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# FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT <br> FOR <br> ABTR STORAGE 

## DESIGN ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Dane Frank, P.E. 50207
Date
On behalf of Terra Nova Engineering, Inc.

## OWNER/DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:

I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Authorized Signature
Date

Printed Name, Title

Business Name

## Address

## EL PASO COUNTY:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.


## FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR <br> ABTR STORAGE

## PURPOSE

The purpose of this Final Drainage Report (FDR) is to identify and analyze the proposed drainage patterns, determine proposed runoff quantities, size drainage structures for conveyance of developed runoff, and present solutions to drainage impacts on-site and off-site resulting from this development. The site has not been previously platted or studied.

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION

This FDR is an analysis of approximately 38.5 acres of undeveloped land located at 415 n Franceville Coal Mine Road. A portion of this site is being developed as vehicle/trailer parking. The site is in the northeast quarter of Section 18, Township 14 South, Range 65 West and the northwest quarter of Section 17, Township 14 South, Range 65 West of the $6^{\text {th }}$ Principal Meridian within El Paso County. The parcel is bounded to the north by Highway 94, to the east and south by unplatted land, and to the west by North Franceville Coal Mine Road (See vicinity map in appendix).

The site lies within the Jimmy Camp Creek Basin, with storm runoff surface draining from the east to the west, with most of the runoff flowing onto North Franceville Coal Mine Road and some runoff flowing off the site to the south. There is one culvert on the west side of the site that crosses North Franceville Coal Mine Road and drains to the neighboring property to the west.

Soils for this project are delineated by the map in the appendix as Nelson-Tassel fine sandy loams, 3 to 18 percent slopes (56). Soils in the study area are shown as mapped by S.C.S. in the "Soils Survey of El Paso County Area" and contains soils of Hydrologic Group B.

The site is largely undeveloped with mostly grass and dirt surfaces, and occasional shrubs/trees. There is one building with a fence and gravel yard in the northwest corner that is owned by Cherokee Metro District. The site drains to the southeast, with an average slope of $3.8 \%$.

## EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

There are six drainage basins, three of which are offsite. See attached Existing Drainage Map (in appendix).

Basin OS-X is 7.12 acres and drains to Design Point X on the east side of the site. This basin is offsite and runoff from this basin flows onto the site and into basin EX-A. Basin OS-X has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.4 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin OS-Y is 21.4 acres and drains to Design Point $Y$ at the east side of the site. This basin is offsite and runoff from this basin flows onto the site and into basin EX-C. Basin OS-Y has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=7.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=34.5 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin OS-Z is 1.84 acres and drains to Design Point Z at the north side of the site. This basin is offsite and runoff from this basin flows onto the site and into basin EX-C. Basin OS-Z has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=7.8 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin EX-A is 13.4 acres and drains to Design Point A near the southwest corner of the site. Runoff flows off the site and onto the adjacent property. Basin EX-A has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=19.1 \mathrm{cfs}$. Design Point A has combined flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=5.2 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=32.5 \mathrm{cfs}$ from basins OS-X and EX-A.

Basin EX-B is 1.96 acres and drains to Design Point B at the west side of the site. Runoff flows off the site and onto North Franceville Coal Mine Road. Basin EX-B has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=0.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=4.0 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin EX-C is 23.2 acres and drains to Design Point C at the west side of the site. Runoff flows into a 54" CMP culvert, under North Franceville Coal Mine Road, and onto the neighboring property to the west. Basin EX-C has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=5.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=30.2 \mathrm{cfs}$. Design Point C has combined flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=15.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=72.5 \mathrm{cfs}$ from basins OS-z, OS-Y, and EX-C.

Note: After the culvert crosses the road and ends, there is a retaining wall that appears to have a RCP pipe opening of similar size that continues west. No plans or reports containing this pipe have been found and the discharge point is not known. Based on historic aerial photos, this pipe may discharge approximately 500 feet west-southwest of the retaining wall, but this has not been | confirmed. | Discuss/state suitability (hydrologically and hydraulically |
| :--- | :--- | adequate) of the existing culvert under Franceville Rd to convey flows to west based on analysis completed.

## PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIUNS

Runoff in the developed conditions consists of 11 basins; one existing basin, seven onsite basins, and three offsite basins. Below is a description of the runoff in the developed conditions and how it will be safely routed, treated and detained. See appendix for calculations.

## Existing Basins

Basin EX-B is 1.96 acres and drains to Design Point B at the west side of the site. Runoff flows off the site and onto North Franceville Coal Mine Road. Basin EX-B has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=0.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=4.0 \mathrm{cfs}$.

## Offsite Basins

Basin OS-X is 7.12 acres and drains to Design Point X on the east side of the site. This basin is offsite and runoff from this basin flows onto the site and into basin EX-A. Basin OS-X has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.4 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin OS-Y is 21.4 acres and drains to Design Point Y at the east side of the site. This basin is offsite and runoff from this basin flows onto the site and into basin EX-C. Basin OS-Y has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=7.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=34.5 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin OS-Z is 1.84 acres and drains to Design Point $Z$ at the north side of the site. This basin is offsite and runoff from this basin flows onto the site and into basin EX-C. Basin OS-Z has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=7.8 \mathrm{cfs}$.

## Onsite Basins

Basin PR-1 is 9.92 acres and drains to Design Point 1 at the southwest EDB. Basin PR-1 is the
southern portion of the asphalt millings parking area. Basin PR-1 has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=11.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=27.3 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin PR-2 is 9.30 acres and drains to Design Point 2 at the northeast corner of the asphalt millings parking area. Basin PR-2 has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=10.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=25.6 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin PR-3 is 8.78 acres and drains to Design Point 3 at the west end of the basin. Basin PR-3 has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=32.9$ cfs and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=62.8 \mathrm{cfs}$. This basin has been calculated with the future commercial development runoff, which has also been used to determine the volume of the northwest EDB.

Basin PR-4 is 3.27 acres and drains to Design Point 4 at the northwest EDB. Basin PR-4 has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=7.1 \mathrm{cfs}$. Design Point 4 has combined flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=44.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ $=95.5 \mathrm{cfs}$ from basins PR-4, PR-3, and PR-2.

Basin PR-5 is 1.78 acres and drains to Design Point 5 at the west edge of the site. This basin is mostly undeveloped area, plus the Cherokee Metro District facility, that includes the culvert that drains the north portion of the site across N Franceville Coal Mine Road. Basin PR-5 has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=4.5 \mathrm{cfs}$. Design Point 5 has combined flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=4.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=$ 34.4 cfs from basins PR-5 and the pond outlet. $\leftarrow \quad$ Since there is grading proposed in Basin PR-5, WQ treatment or an applicable exclusion must be discussed in this section.

Basin PR-6 is 0.42 acres and drains to Design Point 6 on the south edge of the site. This basin is a landscaping area that flows offsite to the south. Basin PR-6 has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=0.2$ cfs and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ $=1.1 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin PR-7 is 3.03 acres and drains to Design Point 7 at the south edge of the site. This basin is landscaping area, driveway, and the portion of the asphalt millings parking area that is below the southwest EDB. Basin PR-7 has flows of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.9 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=8.1 \mathrm{cfs}$. Water quality treatment for this basin is provided by the landscaping area south of the driveway and parking area (runoff reduction by grass buffer).

At Design Point 1 the runoff from basih PR-1 will be captured in a 0.794 acre-foot Southwest EDB. Runoff sheet flows into the EDB ffom three sides. Two 117 cu - ft concrete lined forebays with 1.5 feet high concrete cutoff walls have been placed in the east corners of the EDB where most of the flow will enter. A 3 inch notch in the wall drains the flow to a $1^{\prime}$ concrete trickle channel, then the runoff is routed to the 3.0 ' depep micropool which has a" deep initial surcharge area. The 9.92 acres tributary to the EDB are $40 \%$ impervious. Based upon this we need a WQCV of $0.149 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$, an EURV volume of $0.268 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ and 100 -year volume of $0.377 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$, for a total volume needed of $0.794 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$. The bottom of the micropool elevation is at 6233.00 while the top of the ISV elevation is at 6236.00 . The WQCV orifice plate has four rows of 1 inch diameter holes spaced irregularly. A 4'x4' outlet structure is set at 6238.75 . The 100 -year elevation tops out at 6239.63. A 18 " HDPE outlet with a restrictor plate will release $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=14.2 \mathrm{cfs}$ discharge south, to a riprap settling basin near that south property line that will provide energy dissipation and allow smaller flows to infiltrate. Larger flows will overtop the settling basin and follow the existing drainage path south of the site.

At Design Point 4 the runoff from basins PR-2, PR-3, and PR-4 will be captured in a 2.293 acrefoot Northwest EDB. This EDB has been sized for the volume from the future commercial development of basing PR-3, while the proposed structures (such as forebay and outlet structure) have been sized based on the currently proposed design. Runoff sheet flows into the EDB from three sides. A 300 cu-ft concrete lined forebay with 1.5 feet high concrete cutoff walls has been placed in the southeast corner of the EDB where most of the proposed flow will enter (another forebay will be required for the future commercial development). A 3 inch notch in the wall drains the flow to a 2 ' concrete trickle channel, then the runoff is routed to the $3.0^{\prime}$ deep micropool which has a 6 " deep initial surcharge area. The 21.4 acres tributary to the EDB are 20\% impervious (not including the future commercial development). Based upon this we need a WQCV of $0.206 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$, an EURV volume of $0.219 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ and 100-year volume of $0.697 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$, for a total volume needed of $1.122 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$. The bottom of the micropool elevation is at 6219.00 while the top of the ISV elevation is at 6222.00 . The WQCV orifice plate has three rows of $15 / 16$ inch diameter holes spaced irregularly. A 4'x5' outlet structure is set at 6224.05 . The 100 -year elevation tops out at 6225.19 . A 24" HDPE outlet with a restrictor plate will release $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=4.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=27.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ discharge west, to an outfall point near the existing culvert under N Franceville Coal Mine Road.

In an effort to protect receiving water and as part of the "four-step process to minimize adverse impacts of urbanization" this site was analyzed in the following manner:

1. Reduce Runoff- The proposed impervious areas on the site are surrounded by landscaping and green space areas. Also, the proposed parking area is being surface with asphalt millings, which slow runoff and allow for infiltration compared to pavement. Additionally, the new improvements and impervious areas on the site are being routed to proposed EDBs. These items will reduce the volume of runoff using ponding and infiltration.
2. Stabilize Drainageways- There are no existing drainageways onsite. The proposed swales have been designed to be stable.
3. Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)- The EDBs has been sized and designed to sufficiently capture the required WQCV and slowly release it though the orifice plate, thereby allowing solids and contaminants to settle out.
4. Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs- The proposed development is a vehicle/trailer parking lot; therefore, no Industrial and Commercial BMPs have been proposed.

## HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the El Paso County Storm Drainage Design Criteria Manual - Volumes 1 \& 2, latest editions. The Rational Method was used to estimate storm water runoff anticipated from design storms with 5 -year and 100-year recurrence intervals. The Urban Drainage Criteria Manual was used to calculate the detention and water quality volume.

## HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Hydraulic calculations were estimated using the Manning's Formula and the methods described in the El Paso County Storm Drainage Design Criteria Manual - Volumes $1 \& 2$, latest editions. The pertinent data sheets are included in the appendix of this report.

The existing culvert under N Franceville Coal Mine Road has been evaluated for capacity. The calculation have been inclưded in the appendix.

Explain if offsite flows from HWY 94 and Franceville Coal Mine contribute to the culvert from the ditch adjacent to the site.

| Revise to include any <br> recommendations for culvert. Include <br> whether it meets criteria for |
| :--- |
| overtopping per DCM table 6-1. Per |
| ECM 3.2.4 a suitable outfall is |
| required for developed flows. |

## FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is within a designated F.E.M.A. floodplain, as determined by Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 08041 C 0780 G, dated December 7, 2018 (see appendix).


## Public Non-Reimbursable

None

Private Non-Reimbursable

| 1. $18 "$ HDPE | 120 LF | $\$ 60$ | $\$ 7,200$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 2. 24 " HDPE | 1605 LF | $\$ 70$ | $\$ 112,350$ |
| 3. CDOT Type C Area Inlet | 2 EA | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| 4. 5' Manhole | 2 EA | $\$ 7,700$ | $\$ 15,400$ |
| 5. EDB | 2 EA | $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ |
|  |  |  | Total $\$ \mathbf{2 9 4 , 9 5 0}$ |

## DRAINAGE FEES

This drainage report is part of a site development application; therefore, no drainage fees are due.

MAINTENANCE
Add: "and runoff reduction RPA's"

The Extended Detention Basins are private and will be maintained by the property owner. The proposed storm sewers and swales are private and will be maintained by the property owner.

## SUMMARY

Development of this site will not adversely affect the surrounding development. This report is in general conformance with the previous reports which included this site. Site runoff and storm drain appurtenances from the development will not adversely affect the downstream and surrounding developments and will be safely routed to the proposed extended detention basins and runoff reduced to the allowable pre-developed rates while slowly treating the water quality capture volume. Runoff leaving the proposed extended detention basins is then routed to the existing drainage paths.

PREPARED BY:

## TERRA NOVA ENGINE

Revise to include how much runoff increases by with the addition of the development flows.
Dane Frank, P.E.
Project Engineer

Jobs/2309.00/Drainage/230900 FDR.doc
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# VICINITY MAP 

## El Paso County - Community: Property Search

2309.00 ABTR Storage

Vicinity Map
Schedule Number: 4400000565

## ABTR - Storage - Location Map

Image Dated Oct 2019


## S.C.S. SOILS MAP



## MAP LEGEND

| Area of Interest (AOI) |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Area of Interest (AOI) |  |
| Soils |  |
| $\square$ | Soil Map Unit Polygons |
| $\square$ | Soil Map Unit Lines |
| $\square$ | Soil Map Unit Points |

Special Point Features
(0) Blowout

Borrow Pit
喓 Clay Spot
$\diamond$ Closed Depression
Qhe Gravel Pit
$\therefore \quad$ Gravelly Spot
(5) Landfill
A. Lava Flow

Marsh or swamp
感 Mine or Quarry
(-) Miscellaneous Water
C Perennial Water

- Rock Outcrop
$\uparrow$ Saline Spot
$\because$ Sandy Spot
ㄹS. Severely Eroded Spot
- Sinkhole

3) Slide or Slip
(6) Sodic Spot

## MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

## Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 2, 2022
Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 11, 2018—Oct 20, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Map Unit Legend

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Nelson-Tassel fine sandy loams, 3 to 18 percent slopes | 38.7 | 100.0\% |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  | 38.7 | 100.0\% |

## El Paso County Area, Colorado

## 56-Nelson-Tassel fine sandy loams, 3 to 18 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting<br>National map unit symbol: 3690<br>Elevation: 5,600 to 6,400 feet<br>Mean annual precipitation: 12 to 14 inches<br>Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F<br>Frost-free period: 135 to 155 days<br>Farmland classification: Not prime farmland<br>\section*{Map Unit Composition}<br>Nelson and similar soils: 55 percent<br>Tassel and similar soils: 40 percent<br>Minor components: 5 percent<br>Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.<br>\section*{Description of Nelson}<br>\section*{Setting}<br>Landform: Hills<br>Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest<br>Down-slope shape: Linear<br>Across-slope shape: Linear<br>Parent material: Calcareous residuum weathered from interbedded sedimentary rock<br>\section*{Typical profile}<br>A - 0 to 5 inches: fine sandy loam<br>Ck-5 to 23 inches: fine sandy loam<br>$\mathrm{Cr}-23$ to 27 inches: weathered bedrock<br>\section*{Properties and qualities}<br>Slope: 3 to 12 percent<br>Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock<br>Drainage class: Well drained<br>Runoff class: Medium<br>Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water<br>(Ksat): Moderately low to high ( 0.06 to $2.00 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )<br>Depth to water table: More than 80 inches<br>Frequency of flooding: None<br>Frequency of ponding: None<br>Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent<br>Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline ( 0.0 to 2.0<br>mmhos/cm)<br>Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.8 inches)<br>\section*{Interpretive groups}<br>Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e<br>Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: R067BY045CO - Shaly Plains
Other vegetative classification: SHALY PLAINS (069AY046CO)
Hydric soil rating: No

## Description of Tassel

## Setting

Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Calcareous slope alluvium over residuum
weathered from sandstone

## Typical profile

A - 0 to 4 inches: fine sandy loam
C-4 to 10 inches: fine sandy loam
$\mathrm{Cr}-10$ to 14 inches: weathered bedrock

## Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 18 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 6 to 20 inches to paralithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water
(Ksat): Moderately high ( 0.20 to $0.60 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 1.2 inches)

## Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s
Hydrologic Soil Group: D
Ecological site: R067BY045CO - Shaly Plains
Other vegetative classification: SHALY PLAINS (069AY046CO)
Hydric soil rating: No

## Minor Components

## Other soils

Percent of map unit: 4 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

## Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent
Landform: Depressions

Natural Resources

## Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 2, 2022
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## HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

ABTR STORAGE
AREA RUNOFF COEFFICIENT (C) SUMMARY

EXISTING

|  |  | DEVELOPED / IMPERVIOUS |  |  | UNDEVELOPED / NON-IMPERVIOUS |  |  | WEIGHTED |  | WEIGHTED CA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASIN | TOTAL <br> AREA | AREA | C5 | C100 | AREA | C5 | C100 | C5 | C100 | CA5 | CA100 |
|  | (Acres) | (Acres) |  |  | (Acres) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OS-X | 7.12 | 0.14 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 6.98 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.68 | 2.58 |
| OS-Y | 21.40 | 1.71 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 19.69 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 3.11 | 8.53 |
| OS-Z | 1.84 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 1.10 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 1.10 |
| EX-A | 13.40 | 0.27 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 13.13 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 1.29 | 4.85 |
| EX-B | 1.96 | 0.04 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 1.92 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.71 |
| EX-C | 23.20 | 0.46 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 22.74 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 2.23 | 8.40 |

DEVELOPED

|  |  | DEVELOPED / IMPERVIOUS |  |  | UNDEVELOPED / NON-IMPERVIOUS |  |  | WEIGHTED |  | WEIGHTED CA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASIN | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { AREA } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | C5 | C100 | AREA | C5 | C100 | C5 | C100 | CA5 | CA100 |
|  | (Acres) | (Acres) |  |  | (Acres) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EX-B | 1.96 | 0.04 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 1.92 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.71 |
| PR-1 | 9.92 | 3.97 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 5.95 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 4.05 | 5.89 |
| PR-2 | 9.30 | 3.72 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 5.58 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 3.79 | 5.52 |
| PR-3 | 8.78 | 8.34 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.44 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 7.54 | 8.16 |
| PR-4 | 3.27 | 0.07 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 3.20 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 1.19 |
| PR-5 | 1.78 | 0.18 | 0.9 | 0.96 | 1.60 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.29 | 0.73 |
| PR-6 | 0.42 | 0.01 | $0.90>$ | 0.96 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.15 |
| PR-7 | 3.03 | 0.30 | - 0.90 | 0.96 | 2.73 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 1.24 |
| Calculated by: DLF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revise. It appears that almost the entire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: $\qquad$ Checked by: |  |

Revise. PR-2 appears to be $100 \%$ impervious covered by asphalt millings. Weighted coefficients should be higher.

ABTR STORAGE
RUNOFF SUMMARY

EXISTING

| BASIN | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AREA } \\ \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { (Acres) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | WEIGHTED |  | OVERLAND |  |  |  | STREET / CHANNEL FLOW |  |  |  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | INTENSITY |  | TOTAL FLOWS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | Length | Slope | $T_{t}$ | Length | Slope | Velocity | $T_{t}$ | TOTAL | $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{100}$ | $Q_{5}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{100}$ |
|  |  | * For Cales Se er Rumof Summary |  |  | (ft) | (ft/ft) | (min) | (ft) | (\%) | (fps) | (min) | (min) | (in/hr) | (in/hr) | (c.f.s.) | (c.f.s.) |
| OS-X | 7.12 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 300 | 0.08 | 15.8 | 300 | 8\% | 1.4 | 3.5 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 13.4 |
| OS-Y | 21.40 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 300 | 0.06 | 16.5 | 1000 | 6\% | 1.2 | 13.6 | 30.1 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 7.7 | 34.5 |
| OS-Z | 1.84 | 0.41 | 0.60 | 0.41 | 30 | 0.10 | 3.2 | 400 | 4\% | 1.0 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 7.8 |
| EX-A | 13.40 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 300 | 0.04 | 19.9 | 700 | 4\% | 1.0 | 11.7 | 31.5 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 19.1 |
| EX-B | 1.96 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 300 | 0.07 | 16.5 | 0 | 7\% | 1.3 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 4.0 |
| EX-C | 23.20 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 300 | 0.04 | 19.9 | 1000 | 4\% | 1.0 | 16.7 | 36.5 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 30.2 |

DEVELOPED

| BASIN | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AREA } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { (Acres) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | WEIG | TED | OVERLAND |  |  |  | STREET / CHANNEL FLOW |  |  |  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | INTENSITY |  | TOTAL FLOWS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | Length | Slope | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Length | Slope | Velocity | $T_{t}$ | TOTAL | $\mathbf{I}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{100}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{100}$ |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {* For Calss Sce Renuoff Summary }}$ |  |  | (ft) | (ft/ft) | (min) | (ft) | (\%) | (fps) | (min) | (min) | (in/hr) | (in/hr) | (c.f.s.) | (c.f.s.) |
| OS-X | 7.12 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 300 | 0.08 | 15.8 | 300 | 8\% | 1.4 | 3.5 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 13.4 |
| OS-Y | 21.40 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 300 | 0.06 | 16.5 | 1000 | 6\% | 1.2 | 13.6 | 30.1 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 7.7 | 34.5 |
| OS-Z | 1.84 | 0.41 | 0.60 | 0.41 | 30 | 0.10 | 3.2 | 400 | 4\% | 1.0 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 7.8 |
| EX-B | 1.96 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 300 | 0.07 | 16.5 | 0 | 7\% | 1.3 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 4.0 |
| PR-1 | 9.92 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 300 | 0.04 | 13.7 | 600 | 4.0\% | 1.0 | 10.0 | 23.7 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 11.4 | 27.3 |
| PR-2 | 9.30 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 300 | 0.04 | 13.7 | 600 | 4.0\% | 1.0 | 10.0 | 23.7 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 10.6 | 25.6 |
| PR-3 | 8.78 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 300 | 0.04 | 4.8 | 800 | 4.0\% | 4.0 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 32.9 | 62.8 |
| PR-4 | 3.27 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 300 | 0.10 | 14.7 | 0 | 10.0\% | 1.6 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 7.1 |
| PR-5 | 1.78 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.16 | 300 | 0.10 | 13.7 | 0 | 10.0\% | 1.6 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 4.5 |
| PR-6 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 20 | 0.05 | 4.7 | 400 | 5.0\% | 1.1 | 6.0 | 10.7 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| PR-7 | 3.03 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.16 | 200 | 0.08 | 12.1 | 0 | 8.0\% | 1.4 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 8.1 |

Note: Basin PR-3 is shown for the future commercial conditions.
Calculated by $\qquad$ DLF
Date: 2/20/2023
Checked by: $\qquad$

## ABTR STORAGE

SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

| Design <br> Point $(\boldsymbol{s})$ | Contributing <br> Basins | Area <br> $(\boldsymbol{a c})$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{5}$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{100}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EX-A, OS-X |  | 5.2 | 32.4 |
|  | EX-B | 1.96 | 0.6 | 4.0 |
| C | EX-C, OS-Y | 44.60 | 12.7 | 64.7 |
| 1 | PR-1 | 9.92 | 11.4 | 27.3 |
| 2 | PR-2 | 9.30 | 10.6 | 25.6 |
| 3 | PR-3 | 8.78 | 32.9 | 62.8 |
| 4 | PR-4, PR-3, PR-2 | 21.35 | 44.7 | 95.5 |
| 5 | PR-5, NW EDB Outfall | 1.78 | 4.6 | 34.4 |
| 6 | PR-6 | 0.42 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| 7 | PR-7 | 3.03 | 1.9 | 8.1 |
| 51 | PR-5, NW EDB Outfall, OS-Y, OS-Z | 25.02 | 15.4 | 76.7 |
| 52 | PR-6, OS-X | 7.54 | 2.3 | 14.4 |

## HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal \& Critical Depth Computation)

Project: ABTR Storage
Pipe ID: Existing Road Culvert


| Design Information (Input) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Invert Slope | So $=$ | 0.0270 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Pipe Manning's n-value | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 0.0220 | * |
| Pipe Diameter | $\mathrm{D}=$ | 54.00 | inches |
| Design discharge | Q = | 68.90 | cfs |
| Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated) |  |  |  |
| Full-flow area | $\mathrm{Af}=$ | 15.90 | sq ft |
| Full-flow wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pf}=$ | 14.14 | ft |
| Half Central Angle | Theta $=$ | 3.14 | radians |
| Full-flow capacity | Qf = | 191.45 | cfs |
| Calculation of Normal Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle ( $0<T h e t a<3.14$ ) | Theta $=$ | 1.40 | radians |
| Flow area | An $=$ | 6.23 | sq ft |
| Top width | $\mathrm{Tn}=$ | 4.43 | ft |
| Wetted perimeter | $\mathrm{Pn}=$ | 6.30 | ft |
| Flow depth | $\mathrm{Yn}=$ | 1.87 | ft |
| Flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vn}=$ | 11.05 | $f p s$ |
| Discharge | Qn = | 68.90 | cfs |
| Percent of Full Flow | Flow $=$ | 36.0\% | of full flow |
| Normal Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 1.64 | supercritical |
| Calculation of Critical Flow Condition |  |  |  |
| Half Central Angle (0<Theta-c<3.14) | Theta- $\mathrm{c}=$ | 1.65 | radians |
| Critical flow area | $\mathrm{Ac}=$ | 8.71 | sq ft |
| Critical top width | Tc $=$ | 4.49 | ft |
| Critical flow depth | $\mathrm{Yc}=$ | 2.42 | ft |
| Critical flow velocity | $\mathrm{Vc}=$ | 7.91 | fps |
| Critical Depth Froude Number | $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 1.00 |  |

[^0]
## CULVERT SIZING (INLET vs. OUTLET CONTROL WITH TAILWATER EFFECTS)

## Project: ABTR Storage

ID: Existing Road Culvert


Calculations of Culvert Capacity (output): Backwater calculations required to obtain Outlet Control Flowrate when HWo < 0.75 * Culvert Ris

| Headwater Surface Elevation (ft) | Tailwater Surface Elevation (ft) | Inlet <br> Control <br> Equation <br> Used | Inlet Control Flowrate (cfs) | Outlet Control Flowrate (cfs) | Controlling Culvert Flowrate (cfs) | Flow Control Used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6218.00 |  | No Flow (WS < inlet) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | N/A |
| 6218.25 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 0.41 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6218.50 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 1.52 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6218.75 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 3.55 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6219.00 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 7.24 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6219.25 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 11.16 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6219.50 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 15.84 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6219.75 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 21.23 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6220.00 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 27.31 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6220.25 |  | Min. Energy. Eqn. | 34.02 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6220.50 |  | Regression Eqn. | 40.41 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6220.75 |  | Regression Eqn. | 47.34 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6221.00 |  | Regression Eqn. | 54.87 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6221.25 |  | Regression Eqn. | 62.98 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
| 6221.50 |  | Regression Eqn. | 71.61 | 114.16 | 71.61 | INLET |
| 6221.75 |  | Regression Eqn. | 80.56 | 120.86 | 80.56 | INLET |
| 6222.00 |  | Regression Eqn. | 89.71 | 127.33 | 89.71 | INLET |
| 6222.25 |  | Regression Eqn. | 98.82 | 133.62 | 98.82 | INLET |
| 6222.50 |  | Regression Eqn. | 107.74 | 139.65 | 107.74 | INLET |
| 6222.75 |  | Regression Eqn. | 116.35 | 145.52 | 116.35 | INLET |
| 6223.00 |  | Regression Eqn. | 124.61 | 151.24 | 124.61 | INLET |
| 6223.25 |  | Regression Eqn. | 132.42 | 156.80 | 132.42 | INLET |
| 6223.50 |  | Regression Eqn. | 139.91 | 162.22 | 139.91 | INLET |
| 6223.75 |  | Regression Eqn. | 147.01 | 167.47 | 147.01 | INLET |
| 6224.00 |  | Regression Eqn. | 153.74 | 172.63 | 153.74 | INLET |
| 6224.25 |  | Regression Eqn. | 160.21 | 177.69 | 160.21 | INLET |
| 6224.50 |  | Regression Eqn. | 166.41 | 182.59 | 166.41 | INLET |
| 6224.75 |  | Regression Eqn. | 172.33 | 187.45 | 172.33 | INLET |
| 6225.00 |  | Regression Eqn. | 178.05 | 192.20 | 178.05 | INLET |
| 6225.25 |  | Regression Eqn. | 183.57 | 196.82 | 183.57 | INLET |
|  |  |  |  | Processing Ti | 00.30 Seconds |  |

ID: Existing Road Culvert


## Project $=$ ABTR Storage

## ID = Existing Road Culvert



| Culvert Information (Input) |  |  | inches <br> ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrel Diameter or Height | D or $\mathrm{H}=$ | 54.00 |  |
| Barrel Length | L = | 75.00 |  |
| Barrel Invert Slope | So = | 0.0270 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Downstream Invert Elevation | EDI $=$ | 6216.00 | ft |
| Downstream Top Embankment Elevation | EDT $=$ | 6225.00 | ft |
| Upstream Top Embankment Elevation | EUT $=$ | 6225.00 | ft |
| Design Headwater Depth (not elev.) | $\mathrm{Hw}=$ | 4.00 | ft |
| Tailwater Depth (not elev.) | Yt = | 1.40 | ft |
| Culvert Hydraulics (Calculated) |  |  |  |
| Available Headwater Depth | HW-a = | 6.98 | ft |
| Design Hw/D ratio | $\mathrm{Hw} / \mathrm{D}=$ | 0.89 |  |
| Culvert Vertical Profile |  |  |  |
| Upstream Invert Elevation | EUI = | 6218.03 | ft |
| Upstream Crown Elevation | EUC = | 6222.53 | ft |
| Upstream Soil Cover Depth | Upsoil = | 2.48 | ft |
| Downstream Crown Elevation | EDC = | 6220.50 | ft |
| Downstream Soil Cover Depth | Dnsoil = | 4.50 | ft |
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DETENTION CALCULATIONS


Notes about Runoff Reduction:

- The runoff reduction RPA is considered a WQ Facility and requires a signed Maintenance Agreement - All RPA/SPA areas will need to be within a no build/drainage easement (or tract) and discussed in the maintenance agreement and O\&M manual.
- RPA/SPA limits must be shown on GEC Plans (not just FDR) so our SW inspectors and the QSM know
that these areas are to remain pervious. Our SW inspectors do not look at drainage reports.
- Provide a figure showing all proposed UIA, RPA and SPA areas to be utilized for runoff reduction. Provide a detail for the UIA:RPA interface that shows the recommended vertical drop of 4".


Project: ABTR Storage
Basin ID: Northwet EDB - North half of parking area + future commercial development along HWY 94


| User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP) |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Under |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = Underdrain Orifice Diameter $=$ | N/A | ft (distance below the filtration media surface) inches | Underdrain Orifice Area = Underdrain Orifice Centroid = | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ & \text { feet } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |
| User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP) |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Plate |  |
| Centroid of Lowest Orifice $=$ | 0.00 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | WQ Orifice Area per Row = | $1.208 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate $=$ | 5.04 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | Elliptical Half-Width = | N/A | feet |
| Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing $=$ | N/A | inches | Elliptical Slot Centroid = | N/A | feet |
| Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = | 1.74 | sq. inches (diameter $=1-1 / 2$ inches) | Elliptical Slot Area = | N/A | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

|  | Row 1 (required) | Row 2 (optional) | Row 3 (optional) | Row 4 (optional) | Row 5 (optional) | Row 6 (optional) | Row 7 (optional) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Row 8 (optional)


|  | Row 9 (optional) | Row 10 (optional) | Row 11 (optional) | Row 12 (optional) | Row 13 (optional) | Row 14 (optional) | Row 15 (optional) | Row 16 (optional) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) Orifice Area (sq. inches) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular) |  |  | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) inches | Vertical Orifice Area $=$ <br> Vertical Orifice Centroid $=$ | Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not Selected | Not Selected |  |  | Not Selected | Not Selected |
| Invert of Vertical Orifice $=$ | N/A | N/A |  |  | N/A | N/A |
| Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice $=$ | N/A | N/A |  |  | N/A | N/A |
| Vertical Orifice Diameter $=$ | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |



| Routed Hydrograph Results <br> Design Storm Return Period = | The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WQCV | EURV | 2 Year | 5 Year | 10 Year | 25 Year | 50 Year | 100 Year | 500 Year |
| One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = | N/A | N/A | 1.19 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.52 | 3.00 |
| CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = | 0.421 | 1.393 | 1.262 | 1.743 | 2.156 | 2.680 | 3.122 | 3.666 | 4.535 |
| Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) $=$ | N/A | N/A | 1.262 | 1.743 | 2.156 | 2.680 | 3.122 | 3.666 | 4.535 |
| CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 2.6 | 7.2 | 10.9 | 19.2 | 24.2 | 30.2 | 39.4 |
| OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cff/acre) = | N/A | N/A | 0.12 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.90 | 1.13 | 1.41 | 1.84 |
| Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 23.1 | 32.2 | 38.6 | 48.9 | 56.9 | 67.7 | 83.1 |
| Peak Outflow Q (cfs) = | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 8.3 | 19.0 | 26.4 | 29.9 | 43.7 |
| Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment $\mathrm{Q}=$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Structure Controlling Flow = | Plate | Overflow Weir 1 | Plate | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Outlet Plate 1 | Spillway |
| Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = | N/A | 0.00 | N/A | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Time to Drain 97\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 38 | 67 | 65 | 69 | 68 | 66 | 64 | 63 | 60 |
| Time to Drain 99\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 40 | 72 | 70 | 75 | 74 | 73 | 72 | 71 | 70 |
| Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) = | 2.54 | 5.05 | 4.58 | 5.26 | 5.45 | 5.76 | 5.95 | 6.36 | 6.84 |
| Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) $=$ | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.54 |
| Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) = | 0.424 | 1.397 | 1.192 | 1.492 | 1.576 | 1.729 | 1.818 | 2.025 | 2.285 |



Project: ABTR Storage


| Watershed Information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Selected BMP Type = Watershed Area = | EDB | acres |
|  | 21.40 |  |
| Watershed Length $=$ <br> Watershed Length to Centroid = | 1,400 | ft |
|  | 700 |  |
| Watershed Slope $=$ | 0.037 | $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Watershed Imperviousness = Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A = | 20.00\% |  |
|  | 0.0\% | ercent |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B = | 100.0\% |  |
|  | 0.0\% |  |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D = <br> Target WQCV Drain Time $=$ | 40.0 |  |
| Location for $1-$ hr Rainfall Depths $=$ Denver - Capitol $\beta$ |  |  |
| After providing required inputs above including 1 -hour rain depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure. |  |  |
| Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) = Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) = 2 -yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in .) = 5 -yr Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.5 \mathrm{in}$.) = | 0.206 | acre-feet |
|  | 0.425 | acre-feet |
|  | 0.467 | acre-feet |
|  | 0.851 | acre-feet |
| $10-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.75 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ <br> $25-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in .) = | 1.216 | acre-feet |
|  | 1.811 | et |
| $50-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2.25 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ | 2.237 | acre-feet |
| $100-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2.52 \mathrm{in}$.) = | 2.828 | cre-feet |
| $500-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=3 \mathrm{in}$.) = | 3.681 | et |
| Approximate $2-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ <br> Approximate 5 -yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.292 | acre-feet |
|  | 0.432 |  |
| Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.698 | et |
| Approximate $25-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ <br> Approximate $50-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ | 0.864 | cre-feet |
|  | 0.913 | -feet |
| Approximate $100-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ | 1.122 | -fet |

Define Zones and Basin Geometry
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) $=$ Zone 3 Volume ( 100 -year - Zones $1 \& 2$ ) Total Detention Basin Volume $=$
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) $=$ Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) Total Available Detention Depth $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\text {total }}\right)=$ Depth of Trickle Channel $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{TC}}\right)=$
Slope of Trickle Channel $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{TC}}\right)=$ Slopes of Main Basin Sides $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {main }}\right)=$ Basin Length-to-Width Ratio $\left(R_{L / W}\right)=$

| 0.206 | acre-feet acre-feet |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.219 |  |
| 0.697 | acre-feet |
| 1.122 | acre-feet |
| user | $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |
| user | ft |
| user | ft |
| user | ft |
| user | $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| user | H:V |
| user |  |


| Watershed |
| :--- |
| imperviousness |
| should be higher |
| based on land |
| coverage draining to |
| pond. Revise and |
| provide calculation |
| for how impervious |
| value was |
| determined. |




Project: ABTR Storage
Basin ID: Northwet EDB - North half of parking area (no future commercial)


| User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP) |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Under |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = Underdrain Orifice Diameter $=$ | N/A | ft (distance below the filtration media surface) inches | Underdrain Orifice Area = Underdrain Orifice Centroid = | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ & \text { feet } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |
| User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP) |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Plate |  |
| Centroid of Lowest Orifice $=$ | 0.00 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | WQ Orifice Area per Row = | 4.931E-03 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate $=$ | 2.55 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | Elliptical Half-Width = | N/A | feet |
| Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = | N/A | inches | Elliptical Slot Centroid = | N/A | feet |
| Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = | 0.71 | sq. inches (diameter $=15 / 16$ inch) | Elliptical Slot Area $=$ | N/A | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |

## $15 / 16$ in diameter is actually $\mathrm{A}=0.69 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{in}$. Revise calcs and plans accordingly.

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

|  | Row 1 (required) | Row 2 (optional) | Row 3 (optional) | Row 4 (optional) | Row 5 (optional) | Row 6 (optional) | Row 7 (optional) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Row 8 (optional) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) <br> Orifice Area (sq. inches) | 0.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |




| $\frac{\text { Routed Hydrograph Results }}{\text { Design Storm Return Period }=\widetilde{\text {. }} .}$ | The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WQCV | EURV | 2 Year | 5 Year | 10 Year | 25 Year | 50 Year | 100 Year | 500 Year |
| One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) $=$ | N/A | N/A | 1.19 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.52 | 3.00 |
| CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = | 0.206 | 0.425 | 0.467 | 0.851 | 1.216 | 1.811 | 2.237 | 2.828 | 3.681 |
| Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) $=$ | N/A | N/A | 0.467 | 0.851 | 1.216 | 1.811 | 2.237 | 2.828 | 3.681 |
| CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 2.6 | 7.2 | 10.9 | 19.2 | 24.2 | 30.2 | 39.4 |
| OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) $=$ | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = | N/A | N/A | 0.12 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.90 | 1.13 | 1.41 | 1.84 |
| Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 6.3 | 11.4 | 15.3 | 23.9 | 29.1 | 35.4 | 45.2 |
| Peak Outflow Q (cfs) $=$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 8.8 | 17.7 | 22.9 | 27.0 | 30.1 |
| Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment $\mathrm{Q}=$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Structure Controlling Flow = | Plate | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Outlet Plate 1 | Outlet Plate 1 |
| Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | 0.02 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Time to Drain 97\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 38 | 65 | 68 | 65 | 63 | 59 | 56 | 53 | 49 |
| Time to Drain 99\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 40 | 68 | 72 | 71 | 69 | 68 | 66 | 65 | 63 |
| Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) = | 1.85 | 2.55 | 2.59 | 2.84 | 2.99 | 3.25 | 3.38 | 3.69 | 4.47 |
| Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) $=$ | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.42 |
| Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) = | 0.207 | 0.427 | 0.437 | 0.525 | 0.573 | 0.669 | 0.716 | 0.829 | 1.141 |



| DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project: | TR Storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basin ID: Southwest EDB (south half of parking area) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth Increment $=$ $\square$ 0.25 ft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROAMANENT- Example Zone | nfiguration (Retent | n Pond) | Stage - Storage Description | Stage $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Optional <br> Override <br> Stage (ft) | Length (ft) | Width <br> (ft) | Area $\begin{gathered}\text { Area } \\ \left(\mathrm{t}^{2}\right)\end{gathered}$ | Optional <br> Override Area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (acre) } \end{gathered}$ | Volume (ft ${ }^{3}$ ) | Volume (ac-ft) |
| Watershed InformationSelected BMP Type $=\square$ EDB |  |  | Top of Micropool | -- | 0.00 | -- | -- | -- | 100 | 0.002 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - | 0.25 | - | - | -- | 100 | 0.002 | 25 | 0.001 |
| Watershed Area $=$ | 9.92 | Correct value to 97\% |  |  | 0.50 | -- | - | -- | 100 | 0.002 | 50 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.75 | -- | -- | -- | 1,981 | 0.045 | 310 | 0.007 |
|  |  | Recalculate |  |  | 1.00 | -- | - | -- | 3,862 | 0.089 | 1,040 | 0.024 |
| Watershed SIDe = Watershed Imperviousne | 0 |  |  |  | 1.25 | - | - | -- | 5,742 | 0.132 | 2,241 | 0.051 |
|  | 40.00\% percen. |  |  |  | 1.50 | - | - | - | 7,623 | 0.175 | 3,911 | 0.090 |
| Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group (A = Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D $=$ Target WQCV Drain Time = | $0^{0.0 \%}$ pegent |  |  | - | 1.75 | $\cdots$ | - | -- | 8,007 | 0.184 | 5,865 | 0.135 |
|  | 00.0\% percent |  |  | - | 2.00 | -- | - | - | 8,391 | 0.193 | 7,915 | 0.182 |
|  | 0.0\% percent |  |  | - | 2.25 | -- | - | - | 8,775 | 0.201 | 10,061 | 0.231 |
|  | 40.0 hours |  | 6238 | - | 2.50 | -- | - | - | 9,159 | 0.210 | 12,302 | 0.282 |
| Location for 1 -hr Rainfall Depths $=$ Denver - Capitol Building |  |  |  | - | 2.75 | - | - | -- | 9,575 | 0.220 | 14,644 | 0.336 |
| After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure. |  | Optional User Overrides |  | - | 3.00 | -- | - | - | 9,991 | 0.229 | 17,090 | 0.392 |
|  |  |  | - | 3.25 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 10,407 | 0.239 | 19,640 | 0.451 |
|  |  | 6239 | - | 3.50 | - | - | -- | 10,823 | 0.248 | 22,293 | 0.512 |
| Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) = | 0.149 acre-feet |  | acre-feet |  | - | 3.75 | -- | - | -- | 11,271 | 0.259 | 25,055 | 0.575 |
| Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) = | 0.417 acre-feet |  | acre-feet |  | - | 4.00 | - | - | - | 11,719 | 0.269 | 27,929 | 0.641 |
| $2-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in .) $=$ <br> $5-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=1.5 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ | 0.400 acr-feet | 1.19 inches |  | -- | 4.25 | -- | -- | - | 12,167 | 0.279 | 30,915 | 0.710 |
|  | 0.598 acre-feet | 1.50 inches | 6240 | - | 4.50 | -- | - | -- | 12,615 | 0.290 | 34,012 | 0.781 |
| 10 -yr Runoff Volume (P1 $=1.75$ in.) $=$ | 0.775 acre-feet | 1.75 inches |  | - | 4.75 | -- | - | - | 13,095 | 0.301 | 37,226 | 0.855 |
| 25 -yr Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2 \mathrm{in}$. ) $=$ | 1.031 acre-feet | 2.00 inches |  | - | 5.00 | -- | -- | -- | 13,575 | 0.312 | 40,560 | 0.931 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 50-\mathrm{yr} \text { Runoff Volume }(\mathrm{P} 1=2.25 \mathrm{in} .)= \\ 100-\mathrm{yr} \text { Runoff Volume }(\mathrm{P} 1=2.52 \mathrm{in} .)= \end{array}$ | 1.229 acre-feet | 2.25 inches |  | - | 5.25 | -- | - | - | 14,055 | 0.323 | 44,014 | 1.010 |
|  | 1.489 acre-feet | 2.52 inches | 6241 | - | 5.50 | -- | - | -- | 14,535 | 0.334 | 47,587 | 1.092 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100-yr Runoff Volume ( }(11=2.52 \mathrm{iin})= \\ & \text { 50-yr Runoff Volume ( }(\mathrm{P} 1=3 \mathrm{in} \text {.) })\end{aligned}$ | 1.886 acre-feet | 3.00 inches |  | - | 5.75 | -- | - | - | 15,047 | 0.345 | 51,285 | 1.177 |
| Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume $=$ Approximate $5-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ | 0.307 acre-feet |  |  | - | 6.00 | -- | - | - | 15,559 | 0.357 | 55,111 | 1.265 |
|  | 0.429 acre-feet |  |  | - | 6.25 | - | - | - | 16,071 | 0.369 | 59,065 | 1.356 |
| Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.590 acre-feet |  | 6242 | - | 6.50 | -- | - | - | 16,583 | 0.381 | 63,146 | 1.450 |
| Approximate $25-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ | 0.660 acr-feet |  |  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { Approximate } 50-y \mathrm{yr} \text { Detention Volume } & = \\ \text { Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume } & =\end{aligned}$ | 0.693 acre-feet |  |  | - |  | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Approximate 100 -yr Detention Volume $=$ | 0.794 acre-feet |  |  | - |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Define Zones and Basin Geometry |  |  |  | - |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| Zone 1 Volume (Wecv) $=$ | 0.149 acre-feet |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) $=$ | 0.268 acre-feet |  |  | - |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Zone 3 Volume (100-year-Zones $1 \& 2)=$ | 0.377 acre-feet |  |  | - |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Total Detention Basin Volume $=$ | 0.794 acre-feet |  |  | - |  | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) $=$ <br> Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) = | user $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |  |  | - |  | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | user ${ }^{\text {ft }}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Available Detention Depth ( $\mathrm{H}_{\text {total }}$ ) $=$ | user ft |  |  | - |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Depth of Trickle Channel $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\text {TC }}\right)=$ <br> Slope of Trickle Channel $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{TC}}\right)=$ <br> Slopes of Main Basin Sides $\left(S_{\text {main }}\right)=$ <br> Basin Length-to-Width Ratio $\left(R_{L / w}\right)=$ | user ft |  |  | - |  | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | user $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{/t}$ |  |  | - |  | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | user H:V |  |  | - |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | user |  |  | - |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Surcharge Area $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\text {ISV }}\right)=$ |  |  |  | - |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | user $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Surcharge Volume Length ( $\mathrm{Lsss}^{\text {s }}$ ) $=$ | user ft |  |  | - |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Surcharge volume Width ( $W_{\text {LSV }}$ ) $=$ | user ft |  |  | - |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Depth of Basin Floor (HFiLoor) $=$ | user ft |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Length of Basin Floor (LLiook) = | user ft |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Width of Basin Floor $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\text {FLOOR }}\right)=$ Area of Basin Floor $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\text {FLOOR }}\right)=$ | user ft |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | user $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |  | - |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Volume of Basin Floor ( $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\text {Flook }}\right)=$ | user $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |  |  | - |  | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Depth of Main Basin $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\text {MAIN }}\right)=$ <br> Length of Main Basin $\left(L_{\text {main }}\right)=$ | user $^{\text {ft }}$ |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | user ft |  |  | -- |  | -- | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Width of Main Basin $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\text {MAIN }}\right)=$ | user ft |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { Area of Main Basin }\left(A_{\text {MAIN }}\right)=$ | user $\mathrm{t}^{2}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| Volume of Main Basin $\left(V_{\text {Mant }}\right)=$Calculated Total Basin Volume ( Vtoata $=$ | user $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | Calculated Total Basin Volume ( (Vtota) $=$ user acre-feet |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |



|  | Estimated <br> Stage (ft) |  | Estimated <br> Volume (ac-ft) |  | Outlet Type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |


| User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP) |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Underd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth $=$ Underdrain Orifice Diameter = | N/A | ft (distance below the filtration media surface) inches | Underdrain Orifice Area = Underdrain Orifice Centroid = | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ & \text { feet } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | N/A |  |  | N/A |  |
| User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP) |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Plate |  |
| Centroid of Lowest Orifice $=$ | 0.00 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | WQ Orifice Area per Row = | 5.903E-03 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate $=$ | 3.11 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | Elliptical Half-Width = | N/A | feet |
| Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing $=$ | N/A | inches | Elliptical Slot Centroid = | N/A | feet |
| Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row $=$ | 0.85 | sq. inches (diameter = 1 inch) | Elliptical Slot Area = | N/A | $\mathrm{t}^{2}$ |

## User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

|  | Row 1 (required) | Row 2 (optional) | Row 3 (optional) | Row 4 (optional) | Row 5 (optional) | Row 6 (optional) | Row 7 (optional) | Row 8 (optional) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) | 0.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 V | 2.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Orifice Area (sq. inches) | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |




| Routed Hydrograph Results Design Storm Return Period $=$ | The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WQCV | EURV | 2 Year | 5 Year | 10 Year | 25 Year | 50 Year | 100 Year | 500 Year |
| One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) $=$ | N/A | N/A | 1.19 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.52 | 3.00 |
| CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = | 0.149 | 0.417 | 0.400 | 0.598 | 0.775 | 1.031 | 1.229 | 1.489 | 1.886 |
| Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) $=$ | N/A | N/A | 0.400 | 0.598 | 0.775 | 1.031 | 1.229 | 1.489 | 1.886 |
| CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 1.2 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 11.4 | 14.3 | 18.6 |
| OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = | N/A | N/A | 0.12 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.92 | 1.15 | 1.44 | 1.87 |
| Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 6.4 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 17.0 | 20.3 | 24.4 | 30.6 |
| Peak Outflow Q (cfs) $=$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 14.2 | 15.3 |
| Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment $\mathrm{Q}=$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Structure Controlling Flow = | Plate | Plate | Plate | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Outlet Plate 1 | Spillway |
| Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Time to Drain 97\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 39 | 68 | 67 | 71 | 69 | 66 | 64 | 62 | 59 |
| Time to Drain 99\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 40 | 72 | 71 | 77 | 76 | 75 | 74 | 73 | 71 |
| Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) = | 1.83 | 3.11 | 2.94 | 3.40 | 3.54 | 3.74 | 3.85 | 4.13 | 4.76 |
| Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) $=$ | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.30 |
| Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) = | 0.149 | 0.418 | 0.379 | 0.487 | 0.519 | 0.570 | 0.599 | 0.674 | 0.858 |



No matching calc page provided for the NE Forebay. Make this page for both or have a separate page for each.
$3 \%$ is for forebays with 5-20ac of impervious area tributary to them. Clarify that this is why $3 \%$ was used here. And clarify why half was used (because flows split between two forebays). But make sure that flows are split 50/50.

Half of $3 \%$ of $W Q C V=\quad 275$ cu-ft

| ELEV | AREA | AREA <br> AVG. | DELTA <br> ELEV. | VOLUME | VOLUME <br> TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6223.00 | 200 | 200 | 1.50 | 300 |  |
| 6224.50 | 200 |  |  |  | 300 | cu-ft


| Include calcs that show sizing of Forebay notch. |
| :--- |
| See EDB tab of UD-BMP spreadsheet. |
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DRAINAGE MAPS




# ABTR STORAGE <br> SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP 

MARCH 2023

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LEGEND } \\
& \text { (1) } \\
& 0 \text { desion pont } \\
& \text { - } \text { - basw bownarky } \\
& \text { ExSTINE 2' contour } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Ground Suracef flow orecton } \\
\text { road and otrch flow orecton }
\end{array} \\
& \text { =ax fence }
\end{aligned}
$$





## v1_Drainage Report - Final_Comments.pdf Markup Summary

## Area Measurement (1)

|  | Subject: Area Measurement <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:13:24 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Space: | 0.25 ac |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

## Callout (18)

|  | Subject: Callout <br> Page Label: 3 <br> Author: lpackman <br> Date: $6 / 13 / 2023$ 9:08:08 AM <br> Status: <br> color: <br>  <br> Laye: <br> Space: | Revise to Joshua Palmer, PE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |



## Subject: Callout

Page Label: 9
Author: Ipackman
Date: 6/13/2023 1:25:24 PM
Status:
Revise to include any recommendations for

Color:
Layer:
Space: culvert. Include whether it meets criteria for overtopping per DCM table 6-1. Per ECM 3.2.4 a suitable outfall is required for developed flows.




Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN DET
Author: lpackman
Date: 6/14/2023 5:09:03 PM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:

Provide recommendation for armoring on ditch since velocities are erosive per critera.
Cloud+ (3)

|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: 4 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 2:15:22 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Please confirm, previous statements imply drainage of the site to west and south. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 2:41:17 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Provide culvert sizing detail |
|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: 46 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:18:43 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Correct value to $97 \%$ Recalculate |

## File Attachment (1)

Subject: File Attachment
Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 6/14/2023 8:18:33 AM
Status:
Color:
Layer:
Space:

Highlight (11)

|  | Subject: Highlight |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | Page Label: 4 |
| = | Author: eschoenheit |
| 边 | Date: 6/14/2023 2:15:28 PM |
|  | Status: |
|  | Color: |
|  | Layer: |
|  | Space: |


|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: 4 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 2:15:36 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: 4 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 2:15:38 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |
|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: 9 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 2:19:26 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |
| ied. | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: 4 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 2:28:00 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |
|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:15:58 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |
|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: 46 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:17:55 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |


|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: 8 <br> Author: dsdlaforce <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:28:35 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | 40\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: dsdlaforce <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:39:13 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |  |
|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: dsdlaforce <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:40:14 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |  |
|  | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: dsdlaforce <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:47:57 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |  |
| Image (1) |  |  |
|  | Subject: Image <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 8:14:50 AM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |  |
| SW - Rectangle (4) |  |  |
|  | Subject: SW - Rectangle <br> Page Label: 47 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 12:33:41 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |  |


| $=-2=$ $=0$ | Subject: SW - Rectangle <br> Page Label: 47 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 12:33:38 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subject: SW - Rectangle <br> Page Label: 44 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 12:36:41 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |  |
|  | Subject: SW - Rectangle <br> Page Label: 44 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 12:36:47 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: |  |
| SW - Textbox (7) |  |  |
|  | Subject: SW - Textbox <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 7:59:01 AM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | We need to know how much disturbed area is untreated and if there are any exclusions that apply to those areas. So please create a basic overview map (or modify an existing drainage map) with color shading/hatching that shows areas tributary to each PBMP (pond, runoff reduction, etc.) and those disturbed areas that are not treated by a PBMP, with the applicable exclusion labeled (ex: $20 \%$ up to 1 ac of development can be excluded per ECM App I.7.1.C.1 and exclusions listed in ECM App I.7.1.B.\#). An accompanying summary table on this map (or in the report text above) would also be very helpful (example provided): |
|  | Subject: SW - Textbox <br> Page Label: 39 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 10:39:52 AM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Notes about Runoff Reduction: <br> - The runoff reduction RPA is considered a WQ <br> Facility and requires a signed Maintenance Agreement <br> - All RPA/SPA areas will need to be within a no build/drainage easement (or tract) and discussed in the maintenance agreement and O\&M manual. <br> - RPA/SPA limits must be shown on GEC Plans (not just FDR) so our SW inspectors and the QSM know that these areas are to remain pervious. Our SW inspectors do not look at drainage reports. <br> - Provide a figure showing all proposed UIA, RPA and SPA areas to be utilized for runoff reduction. <br> - Provide a detail for the UIA:RPA interface that shows the recommended vertical drop of 4". |


| $6224.50 \mid$ 200 $\mid$ $\mid$ <br> Design Volume:    <br>  | Subject: SW - Textbox <br> Page Label: 49 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 12:02:25 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Include calcs that show sizing of Forebay notch. See EDB tab of UD-BMP spreadsheet. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6238.50 157 $\mid$  <br>     <br>  Design Volume:   <br>  | Subject: SW - Textbox <br> Page Label: 50 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 12:02:33 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Include calcs that show sizing of Forebay notch. See EDB tab of UD-BMP spreadsheet. |
|  | Subject: SW - Textbox <br> Page Label: 10 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 12:28:42 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Headwalls and Wingwalls: Given the erodible soils onsite, provide a headwall and/or wingwall for the inlet and outlet of culverts/piping as necessary given flowrate, slope, and length (per MHFD USDCM Vol 2, Chapter 9, Section 3.0). Or based on engineering judgement, state that based on the site conditions, they are not necessary. |
|  | Subject: SW - Textbox <br> Page Label: 11 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 12:28:57 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Per DCMv2 - Chap 4.2, trickle channel should at a minimum provide capacity equal to twice the release capacity at the upstream forebay outlet. Provide these calcs in the drainage report and revise plans as needed. |
|  | Subject: SW - Textbox <br> Page Label: 7 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/14/2023 3:11:35 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Since there is grading proposed in Basin PR-5, WQ treatment or an applicable exclusion must be discussed in this section. |
| SW - Textbox with Arrow (7) |  |  |
| PPR2319 | Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow <br> Page Label: 1 <br> Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater <br> Date: 6/8/2023 6:49:08 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | PPR2319 |



## Text Box (5)

|  | Subject: Text Box <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-EX DRAIN <br> Author: Ipackman <br> Date: 6/13/2023 9:38:27 AM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Provide a summary table for the design points shown on the drainage map. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subject: Text Box <br> Page Label: 6 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 5:29:39 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Discuss/state suitability (hydrologically and hydraulically adequate) of the existing culvert under Franceville Rd to convey flows to west based on analysis completed. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Page \#4 say site has } \\ & \text { not been studied } \\ & \text { before } \end{aligned}$ | Subject: Text Box <br> Page Label: 11 <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 2:27:23 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Page \#4 say site has not been studied before |
|  | Subject: Text Box <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:16:58 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Impervious value should be higher around 97\% |
|  | Subject: Text Box <br> Page Label: [1] 230900 SDP-PR DRAIN <br> Author: eschoenheit <br> Date: 6/14/2023 4:17:34 PM <br> Status: <br> Color: <br> Layer: <br> Space: | Impervious value should be higher around 100\% |


[^0]:    * Unexpected value for Manning's n

