



3500 Blake Street
Denver, CO 80205

July 22, 2025

Kari Parsons, Senior Planner
El Paso County Planning & Community Development
2880 International Circle, Suite 110
Colorado Springs, CO 80910

RE: Responses to Public Comments Posted to Electronic Development Application Review Program as of July 21, 2025, for Colorado's Power Pathway 1041 Permit Application (File Number: AASI231)

Dear Kari Parsons,

Attached to this letter, please find Xcel Energy's responses to public comments posted to Electronic Development Application Review Program (EDARP) as of July 21, 2025, for the 1041 Permit Application for Colorado's Power Pathway (Pathway). The public comments posted to EDARP are also attached to this document.

Xcel Energy notes that one anonymous public comment was provided to Xcel Energy by Kari Parsons via email on July 15, 2025. Xcel Energy has provided a response to the comment in the attached document as well.

We look forward to continuing to work with you and other representatives of El Paso County to prepare for the hearing for the 1041 Permit Application for Pathway.

Please contact me by telephone at (303) 285-6533 or email at jennifer.l.chester@xcelenergy.com, Tiffany Hennig at (806) 378-2146 or email at Tiffany.A.Hennig@xcelenergy.com, or our environmental consultant Stephanie Phippen with Tetra Tech Inc. at (303) 980-3515 or at stephanie.phippen@tetrattech.com.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jennifer L. Chester'.

Jennifer Chester, Senior Manager
Siting & Land Rights
Telephone: (303) 285-6533
jennifer.l.chester@xcelenergy.com

Attachments:

Attachment A: Colorado's Power Pathway – Xcel Energy Responses to Public Comments Posted to Electronic Development Application Review Program as of July 21, 2025

Attachment B: Public Comments Posted To Electronic Development Application Review Program as of July 21, 2025

**ATTACHMENT A: RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS POSTED TO
ELECTRONIC DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION REVIEW PROGRAM AS
OF JULY 21, 2025**

Colorado's Power Pathway – Xcel Energy Responses to Public Comments Posted to Electronic Development Application Review Program as of July 21, 2025

CASE NUMBER: AASI231

Responses to Public Comments Posted to Electronic Development Application Review Program (EDARP) as of July 21, 2025

This section contains Xcel Energy's responses to the public comments on its 1041 Permit Application that were posted to EDARP as of July 21, 2025. The public comments are provided with this submittal as Attachments B-1 through B-13.

Appendix	Response
Attachment B-1: Penny and Lanny Book (no date)	<p>The proposed route in our application to El Paso County is approximately three miles east of the address provided by this commentor.</p> <p>Pathway is designed to enhance Colorado's electric transmission infrastructure, providing significant benefits to all Colorado residents, including those in El Paso County. Xcel Energy currently owns and operates several high voltage electric transmission lines within El Paso County and provides transmission service to other utilities, including those that directly serve El Paso County homes and businesses. The interconnected nature of the state's electric grid ensures that improvements in transmission capacity and reliability have widespread positive impacts to the state as a whole. Therefore, while El Paso County residents may receive electricity from different local providers that connect to the state's electric grid, Pathway's enhancements to the state's transmission infrastructure offer benefits through improved grid reliability, access to new generation resources, economic opportunities, and support for statewide environmental objectives and requirements.</p> <p>In addition to crossing private land, Pathway crosses Colorado State Land Board land twice in El Paso County; one crossing occurs adjacent to Rush Road and another crossing occurs adjacent to El Paso County Road 1. Xcel Energy has negotiated permanent and temporary easements with the Colorado State Land Board for the portions of the Pathway transmission line on state-owned lands and received State Land Board approval on July 10, 2025.</p> <p>Pathway is compatible with rural agricultural use. The transmission line alignment spans existing irrigation ditches and pivot irrigation in fields to minimize effects to existing agricultural operations. Aside from the small footprint of individual transmission poles, areas under and around Pathway can continue agricultural use.</p>

Appendix	Response
	<p>Xcel Energy and El Paso County have negotiated a Development Agreement to provide for the identification of such County Roads which Xcel Energy will be authorized to use, the terms and conditions under which the Company will be authorized to use such County Roads, and the required mitigation or repair of any impacts to such County Roads caused by Xcel Energy's use. The Development Agreement will cover road and bridge damage caused by Xcel Energy's use of the County Roads. Xcel Energy may only use the County Roads identified per the terms of the Development Agreement. Xcel Energy will create a Pavement Condition Index of the pre-existing condition of any paved County Roads. During construction, Xcel Energy will, and El Paso County may, conduct periodic observations of the County Road conditions. If new damage attributable to Xcel Energy's use is identified, either Xcel Energy or the County shall repair the County Road per the process described in the Development Agreement. Upon completion of Xcel Energy's use, it shall repair any impacts for restoration of the County Roads to a condition substantially similar to the preexisting condition of the County Roads.</p> <p>Xcel Energy will provide a surety bond to repair and complete final restoration of the County Roads damaged by Xcel Energy's use of the same during construction. This surety bond will be lowered and ultimately released, per the process described in the Development Agreement.</p>
Attachment B-2: Jeremy Gardner February 18, 2025	<p>Xcel Energy prefers to acquire land rights through negotiations with landowners. Although we do have the power of eminent domain, Xcel Energy only uses that power when a right must be acquired, and a deal cannot be reached with the landowner after good faith negotiations.</p> <p>Colorado law governs how much compensation Xcel Energy must pay for all of its acquisitions associated with this Project. It specifically requires Xcel Energy to pay "just compensation" for those acquisitions, which is defined in Colorado as "reasonable market value," or the price of an acquisition had it been sold on an open market under circumstances where the owner was willing to sell and the purchaser was willing to buy, but neither was under an obligation to do so. Colorado Jury Instruction-Civ. 36:3. Xcel Energy engages independent third-party appraisers to determine the reasonable market value and to provide an opinion on the value of just compensation owed for its acquisitions. When these appraisers evaluate any acquisition, they take into consideration all aspects of the property being acquired as well as impacts the Project will have on that property, including tree removal, if any. Part of that analysis includes evaluating whether any tree removal will impact the property's reasonable market value. Xcel Energy relies on these appraisals when negotiating compensation with the landowners impacted by the Project. In instances where Xcel Energy's appraiser has determined that removal of trees due to the Project will impact the property's value, Xcel Energy is willing to, and regularly does, work with landowners to mitigate that impact where possible. To the extent such impact cannot be mitigated, Xcel Energy will pay the landowner for that impact on the property's market value in accordance with Colorado law and appraisal standards.</p>
Attachment B-3: Beth Salmans February 25, 2025	Colorado's Power Pathway is not associated with the National Interest Electric Transmission Corridor (NIETC) project.
Attachment B-4: Penny Book July 7, 2025	Noted. See response to Attachment B-1: Penny and Lanny Book (no date).
Attachment B-5: Ervin and Cheryl Churchwell June 26, 2025	Potential impacts to wildlife species would primarily be associated with temporary disturbance from construction activities within the ROW, namely the removal and management of vegetation. Pathway has been sited to avoid known eagle nest and roost locations to the extent practicable. In addition, electrical components of the transmission lines will be separated to minimize the risk of avian contact and will follow Avian Power Line Interaction Committee guidelines. Bird flight diverters or other marking devices may be used as determined necessary for specific locations. The Pathway team has coordinated with USFWS and CPW on threatened and endangered species, as applicable. Pathway will continue to coordinate with CPW and USFWS through permitting, construction, and operation of the project, as needed, to ensure compliance with all applicable federal and state regulations.

Appendix	Response
	<p>Prior to construction, a Storm Water Permit for Construction Activities will be obtained from CDPHE, and a site-specific Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) will be developed. To avoid potential indirect impacts from construction-related erosion and sediment movement during construction, Pathway will adhere to best management practices outlined in the SWMP, which will include erosion and sediment control best management practices and revegetation measures.</p> <p>The Pathway route through El Paso County is largely co-located along road rights-of-way to reduce visual impacts. The type of steel used will be weathering steel that oxidizes to resemble a natural brown look and is not shiny. Visual impacts of the transmission line will vary based on proximity and with distance, the scale of the transmission line poles will be minimized. The visual landscape along the route features existing transmission lines, roadways, and industrial elements, and Pathway will result in an incremental increase in facilities in the viewshed. Approximately 25 miles of the Pathway transmission line in El Paso County are co-located along existing roads and electric transmission infrastructure.</p> <p>Xcel Energy has addressed the Application Submittal Requirements in the El Paso County Guidelines and Regulations for Areas and Activities of State Interest Article 5.201, that includes an Environmental Impact Analysis (see 1041 Documents /Answers Related to 5.201 file on EDARP) and Routing and Siting Study for Segment 5.</p>
Attachment B-6: Jeremy C. Gardner September 4, 2024.	<p>Mark Stanwood, Fire Chief, of the Tri-County Fire Protection District provided referral agency comments to the Pathway 1041 Application as part of the first rounds of agency referral comments, second round of agency referral comments, and third round of agency referral comments. Xcel Energy has responded to all referral agency comments as provided in the responses to all the referral agency comments, provided to El Paso County (Xcel Energy Responses to El Paso County Referral Comments dated January 6, 2025; Responses to El Paso County's Second Referral Comments for Colorado's Power Pathway 1041 Permit Application dated April 1, 2025; Responses to El Paso County's Third Referral Comments for Colorado's Power Pathway 1041 Permit Application dated May 7, 2025).</p> <p>Xcel Energy has addressed all agency referral comments from Fire Chief Stanwood. Xcel Energy has revised the Emergency Response Procedures to include the requested information about El Paso County hospitals and fire districts in the Emergency Contacts section on pages 8 and 9 of the Emergency Action Plan (pages 39 and 40 of the PDF of resubmitted Attachment H). Xcel Energy has revised the contact information for the Tri-County Fire District (Attachment T of the 1041 Application). The revised Tri-County Fire District coordination letter and Emergency Response Procedures was submitted to Fire Chief Mark A. Stanwood on March 25, 2025.</p> <p>Xcel Energy has prepared a Wildfire Mitigation Plan specific to El Paso County. Xcel Energy coordinates closely with local fire departments and first responders and consults with them to discuss any concerns within their response area. Xcel Energy offers free online safety training to fire departments and first responders that is based on national standards through the Responding to Utility Emergencies Program. Emergency Response Procedures are provided in Attachment H of the 1041 Application. Xcel Energy will continue to coordinate with each Fire District crossed by Pathway in El Paso County.</p>

Appendix	Response
	<p>Xcel Energy and El Paso County have negotiated a Development Agreement to provide for the identification of such County Roads which Xcel Energy will be authorized to use, the terms and conditions under which the Company will be authorized to use such County Roads, and the required mitigation or repair of any impacts to such County Roads caused by Xcel Energy's use. The Development Agreement will cover road and bridge damage caused by Xcel Energy's use of the County Roads. Xcel Energy may only use the County Roads identified per the terms of the Development Agreement. Xcel Energy will create a Pavement Condition Index of the pre-existing condition of any paved County Roads. During construction, Xcel Energy will, and El Paso County may, conduct periodic observations of the County Road conditions. If new damage attributable to Xcel Energy's use is identified, either Xcel Energy or the County shall repair the County Road per the process described in the Development Agreement. Upon completion of Xcel Energy's use, it shall repair any impacts for restoration of the County Roads to a condition substantially similar to the preexisting condition of the County Roads.</p> <p>Xcel Energy will provide a surety bond in an amount equal to one hundred fifteen percent of the Xcel Energy's estimated costs to repair and complete final restoration of the County Roads damaged by Xcel Energy's use of the same during construction. This surety bond will be lowered and ultimately released, per the process described in the Development Agreement.</p> <p>Traffic Control Plans will be prepared and followed during construction. A Transportation Memorandum is provided as Attachment I of the 1041 Application.</p> <p>Taxing authorities assessing property taxes in El Paso County will receive stable property tax payments on the value of Pathway over its useful life, including fire districts.</p> <p>Undergrounding of the electric transmission line was evaluated. Burying lines is 10 to 50 times higher in cost than installing overhead lines, and there are other significant issues, such as operation and maintenance. Underground transmission lines require insulated underground cables and a concrete trench with truck-size manholes along the length of an underground line. Unlike lower-voltage distribution power lines which deliver electricity to homes and business, higher-voltage transmission lines are not frequently installed underground because of several factors, including the higher material costs for insulated cables and concrete duct banks and the higher specialized labor costs for splicing. An underground transmission line would result in a much wider area of disturbance with concrete installed along the entire route. The visual impact of an underground transmission line would not be completely negated because the required above-ground riser structures are visible.</p> <p>Who benefits and who pays for undergrounding is an important issue, sometimes involving third-party cost sharing. While underground transmission lines are expected to have fewer weather-related outages, underground lines can still fail. And when outages occur, it takes longer to repair an underground line than an overhead line, given the scarce availability of the specialized materials needed. Also, the lifespan of underground lines is estimated to be about half that of overhead lines.</p>


Appendix	Response
	<p>Colorado law governs how much compensation Xcel Energy must pay for all of its acquisitions associated with this Project. It specifically requires Xcel Energy to pay “just compensation” for those acquisitions, which is defined in Colorado as “reasonable market value,” or the price of an acquisition had it been sold on an open market under circumstances where the owner was willing to sell and the purchaser was willing to buy, but neither was under an obligation to do so. Colorado Jury Instruction-Civ. 36:3. Xcel Energy engages independent third-party appraisers to determine the reasonable market value and to provide an opinion on the value of just compensation owed for its acquisitions. When these appraisers evaluate any acquisition, they take into consideration all aspects of the property being acquired as well as impacts the Project will have on that property, including tree removal, if any. Part of that analysis includes evaluating whether any tree removal will impact the property’s reasonable market value. Xcel Energy relies on these appraisals when negotiating compensation with the landowners impacted by the Project. In instances where Xcel Energy’s appraiser has determined that removal of trees due to the Project will impact the property’s value, Xcel Energy is willing to, and regularly does, work with landowners to mitigate that impact where possible. To the extent such impact cannot be mitigated, Xcel Energy will pay the landowner for that impact on the property’s market value in accordance with Colorado law and appraisal standards.</p> <p>Xcel Energy prefers to acquire land rights through negotiations with landowners. Although we do have the power of eminent domain, Xcel Energy only uses that power when a right must be acquired, and a deal cannot be reached with the landowner after good faith negotiations.</p> <p>Prior to construction, a Storm Water Permit for Construction Activities will be obtained from CDPHE, and a site-specific SWMP will be developed. To avoid potential indirect impacts from construction-related erosion and sediment movement during construction, Pathway will adhere to best management practices outlined in the SWMP, which will include erosion and sediment control best management practices and revegetation measures.</p> <p>Vegetation management within the transmission line ROW will be required prior to, or in conjunction with, construction. Trees and tall vegetation growing within or near the Pathway ROW can cause downed lines, power outages, and wildfire. Vegetation management crews will work to prevent these situations from occurring. Vegetation management involves the use of various types of treatment including removing, pruning, and mowing vegetation and the treatment of vegetation with herbicides to ensure safe operations. The extent of this work will vary along the transmission line depending on the level of vegetation encroachment and additional ROW needs.</p> <p>Geotechnical studies are conducted for transmission poles to identify subsurface conditions and determine foundation specifications. Transmission lines are structurally designed according to the National Electric Safety Code, which incorporates standards from the American Society of Civil Engineers on structural loading. At this time, exploratory borings have been drilled along portions of Pathway in El Paso County. Results of the exploratory borings found in the soil boring logs were provided as the Soils & Geology Report document on El Paso County’s Electronic Development Application Review Program portal as Attachment: Soils & Geology Report. Xcel Energy continues to work with landowners to finalize pole locations to be able to finalize a geotechnical study prior to construction. Engineers will use this study to determine the size and type of foundations needed to support the transmission line poles.</p>

Appendix	Response
	<p>Xcel Energy is required to meet state standards as outlined in 4 Code of Colorado Regulations (CCR) 723-3. The CPUC provides reasonableness determinations associated with noise and requires CPCN applicants to evaluate the expected level of noise of the proposed transmission facilities. Based on the Noise and Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Study conducted for Pathway (Attachment E of the 1041 Application), the maximum projected noise level measured at 25 feet from the edge of the ROW is 49.8 A-weighted decibels (dBA). Per CPUC Rule 3206(f), noise levels below 50 dBA are not subject to further review (4 CCR 723-3). The projected noise and EMF levels from the Pathway transmission line were deemed reasonable by the CPUC and not subject to further review. The CPUC Decision Regarding CPCN and Noise and Magnetic Field Reasonableness is included as Attachment S of the 1041 Application.</p>
<p>Attachment B-7: Andrew Kline and Cynthia Newman June 29, 2025</p>	<p>Routing a new transmission line and siting a substation require a comprehensive review and analysis of factors and criteria including, but not limited to, electric system planning, engineering, environmental and cultural resources, land use, regulatory requirements, land rights, stakeholder input, and public and worker safety. The process is described in detail in the routing and siting studies for each segment of Pathway. The Routing and Siting Study for Segment 5 was provided as part of Pathway's 1041 Application with El Paso County.</p> <p>The preferred route chosen has the highest percentage (63%) of co-location with existing linear infrastructure, including existing transmission lines, roads, rail and pipelines for its entire length and is less impactful to the landscape compared to other route alternatives. This route was considered based on feedback from the public, jurisdiction staff and Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW). Generally, this route option balances impacts across resources due to its co-location with other existing infrastructure.</p> <p>Xcel Energy is required to meet state standards as outlined in 4 Code of Colorado Regulations (CCR) 723-3. The CPUC provides reasonableness determinations associated with noise and requires CPCN applicants to evaluate the expected level of noise of the proposed transmission facilities. Based on the Noise and Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Study conducted for Pathway (Attachment E of the 1041 Application), the maximum projected noise level measured at 25 feet from the edge of the ROW is 49.8 A-weighted decibels (dBA). Per CPUC Rule 3206(f), noise levels below 50 dBA are not subject to further review (4 CCR 723-3). The projected noise and EMF levels from the Pathway transmission line were deemed reasonable by the CPUC and not subject to further review. The CPUC Decision Regarding CPCN and Noise and Magnetic Field Reasonableness is included as Attachment S of the 1041 Application.</p> <p>Colorado law governs how much compensation Xcel Energy must pay for all of its acquisitions associated with this Project. It specifically requires Xcel Energy to pay "just compensation" for those acquisitions, which is defined in Colorado as "reasonable market value," or the price of an acquisition had it been sold on an open market under circumstances where the owner was willing to sell and the purchaser was willing to buy, but neither was under an obligation to do so. Colorado Jury Instruction-Civ. 36:3. Xcel Energy engages independent third-party appraisers to determine the reasonable market value and to provide an opinion on the value of just compensation owed for its acquisitions. When these appraisers evaluate any acquisition, they take into consideration all aspects of the property being acquired as well as impacts the Project will have on that property, including tree removal, if any. Part of that analysis includes evaluating whether any tree removal will impact the property's reasonable market value. Xcel Energy relies on these appraisals when negotiating compensation with the landowners impacted by the Project. In instances where Xcel Energy's appraiser has determined that removal of trees due to the Project will impact the property's value, Xcel Energy is willing to, and regularly does, work with landowners to mitigate that impact where possible. To the extent such impact cannot be mitigated, Xcel Energy will pay the landowner for that impact on the property's market value in accordance with Colorado law and appraisal standards.</p>

Appendix	Response
Attachment B-8: Greg Knox September 18, 2024	<p>Routing a new transmission line and siting a substation require a comprehensive review and analysis of factors and criteria including, but not limited to, electric system planning, engineering, environmental and cultural resources, land use, regulatory requirements, land rights, stakeholder input, and public and worker safety. The process is described in detail in the routing and siting studies for each segment of Pathway. The Routing and Siting Study for Segment 5 was provided as part of Pathway's 1041 Application with El Paso County.</p> <p>The preferred route chosen has the highest percentage (63%) of co-location with existing linear infrastructure, including existing transmission lines, roads, rail and pipelines for its entire length and is less impactful to the landscape compared to other route alternatives. This route was considered based on feedback from the public, jurisdiction staff and Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW). Generally, this route option balances impacts across resources due to its co-location with other existing infrastructure.</p> <p>Xcel Energy is required to meet state standards as outlined in 4 Code of Colorado Regulations (CCR) 723-3. The CPUC provides reasonableness determinations associated with noise and requires CPCN applicants to evaluate the expected level of noise of the proposed transmission facilities. Based on the Noise and Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Study conducted for Pathway (Attachment E of the 1041 Application), the maximum projected noise level measured at 25 feet from the edge of the ROW is 49.8 A-weighted decibels (dBA). Per CPUC Rule 3206(f), noise levels below 50 dBA are not subject to further review (4 CCR 723-3). The projected noise and EMF levels from the Pathway transmission line were deemed reasonable by the CPUC and not subject to further review. The CPUC Decision Regarding CPCN and Noise and Magnetic Field Reasonableness is included as Attachment S of the 1041 Application.</p> <p>Colorado law governs how much compensation Xcel Energy must pay for all of its acquisitions associated with this Project. It specifically requires Xcel Energy to pay "just compensation" for those acquisitions, which is defined in Colorado as "reasonable market value," or the price of an acquisition had it been sold on an open market under circumstances where the owner was willing to sell and the purchaser was willing to buy, but neither was under an obligation to do so. Colorado Jury Instruction-Civ. 36:3. Xcel Energy engages independent third-party appraisers to determine the reasonable market value and to provide an opinion on the value of just compensation owed for its acquisitions. When these appraisers evaluate any acquisition, they take into consideration all aspects of the property being acquired as well as impacts the Project will have on that property, including tree removal, if any. Part of that analysis includes evaluating whether any tree removal will impact the property's reasonable market value. Xcel Energy relies on these appraisals when negotiating compensation with the landowners impacted by the Project. In instances where Xcel Energy's appraiser has determined that removal of trees due to the Project will impact the property's value, Xcel Energy is willing to, and regularly does, work with landowners to mitigate that impact where possible. To the extent such impact cannot be mitigated, Xcel Energy will pay the landowner for that impact on the property's market value in accordance with Colorado law and appraisal standards.</p>

Appendix	Response
Attachment B-9: Harold and Jean Meinzer June 23, 2025	<p>Colorado law governs how much compensation Xcel Energy must pay for all of its acquisitions associated with this Project. It specifically requires Xcel Energy to pay “just compensation” for those acquisitions, which is defined in Colorado as “reasonable market value,” or the price of an acquisition had it been sold on an open market under circumstances where the owner was willing to sell and the purchaser was willing to buy, but neither was under an obligation to do so. Colorado Jury Instruction-Civ. 36:3. Xcel Energy engages independent third-party appraisers to determine the reasonable market value and to provide an opinion on the value of just compensation owed for its acquisitions. When these appraisers evaluate any acquisition, they take into consideration all aspects of the property being acquired as well as impacts the Project will have on that property, including tree removal, if any. Part of that analysis includes evaluating whether any tree removal will impact the property’s reasonable market value. Xcel Energy relies on these appraisals when negotiating compensation with the landowners impacted by the Project. In instances where Xcel Energy’s appraiser has determined that removal of trees due to the Project will impact the property’s value, Xcel Energy is willing to, and regularly does, work with landowners to mitigate that impact where possible. To the extent such impact cannot be mitigated, Xcel Energy will pay the landowner for that impact on the property’s market value in accordance with Colorado law and appraisal standards.</p> <p>Xcel Energy prefers to acquire land rights through negotiations with landowners. Although we do have the power of eminent domain, Xcel Energy only uses that power when a right must be acquired, and a deal cannot be reached with the landowner after good faith negotiations.</p> <p>Pathway is compatible with rural agricultural use. The transmission line alignment spans existing irrigation ditches and pivot irrigation in fields to minimize effects to existing agricultural operations. Aside from the small footprint of individual transmission poles, areas under and around Pathway can continue agricultural use.</p>
Attachment B-10: Paula Reinbold September 13, 2024	<p>Pathway includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of approximately 550 miles of new 345-kilovolt (kV) double-circuit transmission line in 12 counties; • Construction of four new electric substations (Canal Crossing, Goose Creek, May Valley and Sandstone); and • Expansion, equipment additions, or equipment upgrades at four existing electric substations (Fort St. Vrain, Pawnee, Harvest Mile, and Tundra). <p>Pathway will support Xcel Energy's Clean Energy Plan (Xcel Energy 2021) that is estimated to deliver as much as an 85 percent reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by 2030 and add approximately 6,500 megawatts of new wind, solar, and other resources. Pathway will help to meet the state’s growing electricity needs, improve safety, reliability, and affordability, and enable the transition to clean energy. Pathway will upgrade the state’s high-voltage transmission system serving all (co-op, municipal) energy providers and Xcel Energy customers. Pathway will allow developers of energy generation projects to interconnect energy resources located in the areas of the state that are currently underserved by backbone transmission lines. Colorado has an open transmission system, so Xcel Energy’s transmission lines also carry electricity generated by other utilities and cooperatives around the state, benefiting everyone who uses electricity. All transmission providers in Colorado will have access to Pathway to deliver clean, renewable energy to its customers, who will still be serviced by their current power provider.</p> <p>In March 2021, Xcel Energy filed a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) application with the CPUC describing the purpose, need, and public benefits of constructing Pathway. In February 2022, the CPUC provided verbal approval, and in June 2022, CPUC provided written approval of the CPCN for Segments 1 through 5 based on a determination that Pathway is in the public interest.</p> <p>Pathway does not include construction, operation, and maintenance of nuclear power plants, wind turbines, or solar arrays.</p>

Appendix	Response
	<p>Routing a new transmission line and siting a substation require a comprehensive review and analysis of factors and criteria including, but not limited to, electric system planning, engineering, environmental and cultural resources, land use, regulatory requirements, land rights, stakeholder input, and public and worker safety. The process is described in detail in the routing and siting studies for each segment of Pathway. The Routing and Siting Study for Segment 5 was provided as part of Pathway's 1041 Application with El Paso County.</p> <p>Additional areas to the east were evaluated in response to public feedback to identify transmission route links where the residential density is lower than to the west. Routes were considered along State Highway 71 in Lincoln County, though these routes were fatally flawed since the conservation easements located on either side of CO 71 explicitly prohibit utility development. Paralleling this existing infrastructure further east would also result in a route that exceeds the estimated mileage outlined in Xcel Energy's Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) application with the Colorado Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). A longer line also poses operational and engineering challenges and may require additional infrastructure, such as another substation, to ensure proper operation and reliability. Routes were also evaluated further west but were eliminated due to conflicts with the U.S. Air Force Academy Training Areas.</p>
Attachment B-11: Jackie Thompson September 10, 2024	<p>Pathway will provide bulk electric transmission capacity in eastern Colorado and will not change local electric service providers. The purpose of Pathway is to create a network transmission system that can integrate new generation resources needed to meet Colorado's clean energy goals. Pathway is a backbone transmission system that will connect generation sources in eastern Colorado to demand throughout Colorado. The current electric transmission facilities in the Eastern Plains do not have adequate capacity to meet the forecasted demand. Pathway facilities proposed in El Paso County are part of the larger Project that creates a transmission "loop" to provide additional transmission capacity. Pathway will be able to integrate approximately 5,500 megawatts of electric power output from new generation; the energy capacity provided by Pathway is the equivalent of powering 2,500,000 Colorado homes, annually. Colorado has an open transmission system, so Xcel Energy's power lines also carry electricity generated by other utilities and cooperatives around the state, benefitting everyone who uses electricity. All transmission providers in Colorado will have access to Pathway to deliver clean, renewable energy to its customers, who will still be serviced by their current power provider.</p> <p>Xcel Energy provides both gas and electric services to customers in Colorado, including portions of Eastern Colorado. Xcel Energy's service territory map is provided below:</p>

Appendix	Response
	 <p>The preferred route chosen has the highest percentage (63%) of co-location with existing linear infrastructure, including existing transmission lines, roads, rail and pipelines for its entire length and is less impactful to the landscape compared to other route alternatives. This route was considered based on feedback from the public, jurisdiction staff and Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW). Generally, this route option balances impacts across resources due to its co-location with other existing infrastructure.</p>

Appendix	Response
	<p>Colorado law governs how much compensation Xcel Energy must pay for all of its acquisitions associated with this Project. It specifically requires Xcel Energy to pay “just compensation” for those acquisitions, which is defined in Colorado as “reasonable market value,” or the price of an acquisition had it been sold on an open market under circumstances where the owner was willing to sell and the purchaser was willing to buy, but neither was under an obligation to do so. Colorado Jury Instruction-Civ. 36:3. Xcel Energy engages independent third-party appraisers to determine the reasonable market value and to provide an opinion on the value of just compensation owed for its acquisitions. When these appraisers evaluate any acquisition, they take into consideration all aspects of the property being acquired as well as impacts the Project will have on that property, including tree removal, if any. Part of that analysis includes evaluating whether any tree removal will impact the property’s reasonable market value. Xcel Energy relies on these appraisals when negotiating compensation with the landowners impacted by the Project. In instances where Xcel Energy’s appraiser has determined that removal of trees due to the Project will impact the property’s value, Xcel Energy is willing to, and regularly does, work with landowners to mitigate that impact where possible. To the extent such impact cannot be mitigated, Xcel Energy will pay the landowner for that impact on the property’s market value in accordance with Colorado law and appraisal standards.</p> <p>Pathway has been sited to avoid known eagle nest and roost locations to the extent practicable. Electrical components of the transmission lines will be separated to minimize the risk of avian contact and will follow Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC) guidelines (APLIC 2006). Bird flight diverters or other marking devices may be used as determined necessary for specific locations.</p> <p>To avoid or minimize potential project impacts to migratory birds and raptors, including eagles, Pathway will conduct tree/vegetation clearing during the nonbreeding season for birds (September 1–April 15), if feasible. If vegetation clearing cannot occur during the nonbreeding season, vegetation clearance surveys, nest surveys, and burrowing owl surveys may be conducted per USFWS and CPW guidance to identify avian nesting activity and determine appropriate avoidance buffers (CPW 2020, CPW 2021) or monitor active nest sites until determined to be inactive.</p> <p>Pathway has been conducting ongoing coordination with CPW and USFWS regarding potential biological resources that may be impacted by Pathway, as described in Table 2 in Section 2.303(6)(c) of the 1041 Application. Pathway had a project introduction meeting with CPW on December 12, 2021, followed by a routing workshop on January 10, 2022, and a follow-up routing discussion on April 22, 2022. On May 9, 2022, Pathway had a project introduction meeting with USFWS. The feedback received from CPW and USFWS during these meetings has been used to inform the routing and siting of Pathway. The Pathway team has coordinated with USFWS and CPW on threatened and endangered species, as applicable. Pathway will continue to coordinate with CPW and USFWS through permitting, construction, and operation of the project, as needed, to ensure compliance with all applicable federal and state regulations.</p> <p>Xcel Energy has prepared a Wildfire Mitigation Plan specific to El Paso County. Xcel Energy coordinates closely with local fire departments and first responders and consults with them to discuss any concerns within their response area. Xcel Energy offers free online safety training to fire departments and first responders that is based on national standards through the Responding to Utility Emergencies Program. Emergency Response Procedures are provided in Attachment H of the 1041 Application. Xcel Energy will coordinate with each Fire District crossed by Pathway in El Paso County.</p>
Attachment B-12: Jackie and Tim Thompson September 10, 2024	Routing a new transmission line and siting a substation require a comprehensive review and analysis of factors and criteria including, but not limited to, electric system planning, engineering, environmental and cultural resources, land use, regulatory requirements, land rights, stakeholder input, and public and worker safety. The process is described in detail in the routing and siting studies for each segment of Pathway. The Routing and Siting Study for Segment 5 was provided as part of Pathway’s 1041 Application with El Paso County.

Appendix	Response
	<p>Additional areas to the east were evaluated in response to public feedback to identify transmission route links where the residential density is lower than to the west. Routes were considered along State Highway 71 in Lincoln County, though these routes were fatally flawed since the conservation easements located on either side of CO 71 explicitly prohibit utility development. Paralleling this existing infrastructure further east would also result in a route that exceeds the estimated mileage outlined in Xcel Energy's Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) application with the Colorado Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). A longer line also poses operational and engineering challenges and may require additional infrastructure, such as another substation, to ensure proper operation and reliability. Routes were also evaluated further west but were eliminated due to conflicts with the U.S. Air Force Academy Training Areas.</p> <p>Colorado law governs how much compensation Xcel Energy must pay for all of its acquisitions associated with this Project. It specifically requires Xcel Energy to pay "just compensation" for those acquisitions, which is defined in Colorado as "reasonable market value," or the price of an acquisition had it been sold on an open market under circumstances where the owner was willing to sell and the purchaser was willing to buy, but neither was under an obligation to do so. Colorado Jury Instruction-Civ. 36:3. Xcel Energy engages independent third-party appraisers to determine the reasonable market value and to provide an opinion on the value of just compensation owed for its acquisitions. When these appraisers evaluate any acquisition, they take into consideration all aspects of the property being acquired as well as impacts the Project will have on that property, including tree removal, if any. Part of that analysis includes evaluating whether any tree removal will impact the property's reasonable market value. Xcel Energy relies on these appraisals when negotiating compensation with the landowners impacted by the Project. In instances where Xcel Energy's appraiser has determined that removal of trees due to the Project will impact the property's value, Xcel Energy is willing to, and regularly does, work with landowners to mitigate that impact where possible. To the extent such impact cannot be mitigated, Xcel Energy will pay the landowner for that impact on the property's market value in accordance with Colorado law and appraisal standards.</p> <p>Vegetation management within the transmission line ROW will be required prior to, or in conjunction with, construction. Trees and tall vegetation growing within or near the Pathway ROW can cause downed lines, power outages, and wildfire. Vegetation management crews will work to prevent these situations from occurring. Vegetation management involves the use of various types of treatment including removing, pruning, and mowing vegetation and the treatment of vegetation with herbicides to ensure safe operations. The extent of this work will vary along the transmission line depending on the level of vegetation encroachment and additional ROW needs.</p> <p>Xcel Energy is required to meet state standards as outlined in 4 Code of Colorado Regulations (CCR) 723-3. The CPUC provides reasonableness determinations associated with noise and requires CPCN applicants to evaluate the expected level of noise of the proposed transmission facilities. Based on the Noise and Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Study conducted for Pathway (Attachment E of the 1041 Application), the maximum projected noise level measured at 25 feet from the edge of the ROW is 49.8 A-weighted decibels (dBA). Per CPUC Rule 3206(f), noise levels below 50 dBA are not subject to further review (4 CCR 723-3). The projected noise and EMF levels from the Pathway transmission line were deemed reasonable by the CPUC and not subject to further review. The CPUC Decision Regarding CPCN and Noise and Magnetic Field Reasonableness is included as Attachment S of the 1041 Application.</p> <p>Pathway has been sited to avoid known eagle nest and roost locations to the extent practicable. In addition, electrical components of the transmission lines will be separated to minimize the risk of avian contact and will follow Avian Power Line Interaction Committee guidelines. Bird flight diverters or other marking devices may be used as determined necessary for specific locations. The Pathway team has coordinated with USFWS and CPW on threatened and endangered species, as applicable. Pathway will continue to coordinate with CPW and USFWS through permitting, construction, and operation of the project, as needed, to ensure compliance with all applicable federal and state regulations.</p>

Appendix	Response
<p>Attachment B-13: Anonymous July 15, 2025, provided to Xcel Energy by email on July 15, 2025</p>	<p>Routing a new transmission line and siting a substation require a comprehensive review and analysis of factors and criteria including, but not limited to, electric system planning, engineering, environmental and cultural resources, land use, regulatory requirements, land rights, stakeholder input, and public and worker safety. The process is described in detail in the routing and siting studies for each segment of Pathway. The Routing and Siting Study for Segment 5 was provided as part of Pathway's 1041 Application with El Paso County.</p> <p>The preferred route chosen has the highest percentage (63%) of co-location with existing linear infrastructure, including existing transmission lines, roads, rail and pipelines for its entire length and is less impactful to the landscape compared to other route alternatives. This route was considered based on feedback from the public, jurisdiction staff and Colorado Parks and Wildlife. Generally, this route option balances impacts across resources due to its co-location with other existing infrastructure.</p> <p>Xcel Energy prefers to acquire land rights through negotiations with landowners. Although we do have the power of eminent domain, Xcel Energy only uses that power when a right must be acquired, and a deal cannot be reached with the landowner after good faith negotiations.</p>

**ATTACHMENT B: PUBLIC COMMENTS POSTED TO ELECTRONIC
DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION REVIEW PROGRAM AS OF JULY 21,
2025**

B-1: Penny and Lanny Book (no date)

Dear Ms. Parsons:

My name is Penny Book, 38555 Book Rd. Rush, CO 80833. One of Xcel's original proposed routes went right through the middle of our land. Xcel has since chosen another route which is a few miles east of us - for which we are so very grateful. But it has come to my attention that the Planning Department and maybe County Commissioners haven't received much opposition to this project lately, so they may think everyone is in support of this project. I wrote to all commissioners, the PUC, congressmen and even the Colorado Sun in opposition to this project back in 2022 and my stance has not changed. I attended meetings, including county commissioner's meeting at which I spoke of the negative effects of this power pathway. So I was surprised when studying the proposal recently, I only saw one letter in opposition. Letters and opinions from 2022 are not being considered evidently. Even though this powerline is not supposed to go through our land, I will still stand up for my neighbors. Xcel has proposed a 560 mile, 345 Kilovolt transmission through property in Eastern Colorado to help provide an "ever cleaner power supply".

We would like your support in trying to stop this proposal.

People in the Front Range feel that the Eastern Plains are fair game for buying easements for putting in these mammoth poles with no consequences. Xcel made a proposal to the PUC in March, 2021. They described where I live on the Eastern Plains as a "clean energy highway". They described my home and that of all my rural neighbors as the "clean energy-rich eastern plains of Colorado". We will not benefit from this mammoth transmission line. It will provide energy for the Front Range, wind turbines and solar farms. These lines, turbines and farms will destroy what we have lived to preserve on this land. It seems like the Eastern Plains are up for grabs. But this is our land. This is an unwanted invasion of our way of life. The state won't let the lines be placed on state owned land but it is okay with them going through private land. Help me understand that logic.

These are the reasons I oppose The Colorado Power Pathway Project:

1. If you read the presentation from Xcel to the PUC, you will read about the energy highways of the eastern Plains. It all sounds wonderful if you don't take into consideration the people who live on those Plains. My grandchildren are 5th generation on this ranch. Agriculture - ranching and farming - are not easy occupations. It is a 24/7 job through all weather and you can't usually make a living off of the land. It takes another income (or 2) to make it work. So why in the world would somebody choose this life!? The air is pure. The peacefulness is indescribable. Looking out my window, seeing the unobstructed view of the mountains is priceless. Raising children in the country, teaching values and a work ethic is probably the most important reason for living where we do and doing what we do.

Nobody considered the impact on our lives because few people who live in cities understand our way of life. I enjoy the city - to visit. I do it often, multiple times a week. Colorado Springs is my

home, also. Shopping, doctors, church, activities, and business keep us going to Colorado Springs all the time. People who live in cities, however, don't have opportunities to learn what it is like to live in the country nor why we live here.

2. In the name of progress, people have always - taken - without understanding the ramifications to those whose land was taken. Native Americans lost their land and the animals they hunted - because it was there for the taking. Free grazers lost their ability to drive herds across the country due to fencing. Land grabs have happened all through history by those who are bigger, stronger and have more money.

Xcel's transmission line is necessary to provide power to Denver but it is coming through our land. Even though it isn't coming directly through my land, I am still impacted. Our roads are badly in need of maintenance. The resulting traffic of putting these huge lines through will cause much damage to the roads. The line is necessary to provide power for wind and solar farms, which will cause even more negative environmental and community impact. The wind and solar farms are necessary because our governor wants Colorado to lead the way to renewable energy - green energy - by 2040.

3. The race is on. And that is my biggest objection. We are asked to let huge transmission lines go across rural land in order for us all to be 100% renewable energy by 2040. It's not green to produce these transmission lines, windmill or solar farms. Then they produce for 20 years - then what? Very smart people are trying to figure out what to do with solar panel, propellers, etc. But they are not there yet - or at least not that I know of. Construction and deconstruction of wind and solar farms certainly aren't green! The problem, as I see it, is it is a RACE!! Don't allow this project without knowing the full impact on all of us.

Thank you for your time.

Penny and Lanny Book

38555 Book Rd

Rush, CO. 80833

[719-478-6027](tel:719-478-6027)

B-2: Jeremy Gardner February 18, 2025

From: PLNWEB
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2025 9:51 AM
To: Kari Parsons
Subject: FW: Xcel Power patgways

From: jgardner80808 <jgardner80808@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2025 7:39 AM
To: PLNWEB <PLNWEB@elpasoco.com>
Subject: Xcel Power patgways

You don't often get email from jgardner80808@aol.com. Learn why this is important

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Please include this as opposition to the proposed colorado power pathways project by excel energy.

I have now received 2 threatening letters from Xcel and their representatives. Those letters threaten the use of eminent domain if i don't sign their documents. As feared, they would announce their route and THEN have properties appraised. As a direct result, all affected properties and those in the vicinity values decrease. Their "offers" for said easements do not account for any future loss of value in either use or sale. Nor do their offers account for any future losses of property as a result of required setbacks should properties become residential. This projects goal is to make sure that doesn't happen. Who wants to live right beneath these towers, turbines or within solar farms. This project is nothing but a land grab, the commissioners and land use committee should both stand against this project as well as the use of eminent domain for this non government project. At the recent State of the Region event, Commissioner Geitner stood and said that she supports farmers and ranchers, this project will determine the truth of her statements.

Thanks
Jeremy Gardner
4th Generation Homestead Family

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S22 5G, an AT&T 5G smartphone

B-3: Beth Salmans February 25, 2025

From: [Beth Salmans](#)
To: [Kari Parsons](#)
Subject: Fw: Department of Energy, Eminent Domain NIETC Transmission Corridor Land Grab
Date: Tuesday, February 25, 2025 1:27:09 AM
Attachments: [Phase 2-PreliminaryListPotentialNIETCsPublicRelease.pdf](#)
[Transmission Line EMF Studies-Ellen's Letter to the Editor Adocate \(1\).docx](#)
[Transmission Line EMF Studies.docx](#)
[NIEHS Report on Health Effects From Exposure to Power Line Frequency EMFs 1992.pdf](#)
[Farm Bureaus Statement.pdf](#)
[Ron Estes Letter to Granholm.pdf](#)
[Hawley-Letter-to-DOE-re-Transmission-Lines.pdf](#)
[Josh Hawley Letter June 12, 2024.pdf](#)
[Moran's Info on NIETC Re-Opened Comment Period Link.docx](#)
[Twenty States Sue Biden Administration Over NEPA Phase 2 Revisions Environment.pdf](#)

You don't often get email from montanacowgirl@att.net. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Hi Kari,

I was asked to forward this information to you so that you could look it over to present it to the commissioners. I am asking them to pass resolutions opposing this NIETC Corridor to protect your farm and ranch lands. I will send a second one after this as I have attached a lot of information. I just wanted the commissioners to have as much information as I could get to them so look for it following this.

Thank you so much and if you or the commissioners have any questions or need more information, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Thank you,

Beth Salmans
1190 11th Rd.
Marysville, KS 66508
785-562-9916 Home
785-556-5700 Cell

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Beth Salmans <montanacowgirl@att.net>
Sent: Monday, January 27, 2025 at 07:37:00 PM CST
Subject: Department of Energy, Eminent Domain NIETC Transmission Corridor Land Grab

Hi All,

I am Beth Salmans from Marysville, KS. I got involved in fighting for private property rights issues when my daughter, Angel Cushing found out that there was a National Heritage Area study being conducted on 49 counties in Kansas and Nebraska. My county of Marshall, Kansas was included in what would have been the Kansas Nebraska National Heritage Area. This would place federal jurisdiction on all 49 counties that would subject landowners to federal laws, rules and regulations. They list the Antiquities Act, Endangered Species Act and Environmental Protection Act as their favorites. I asked my daughter how we could fight this, and she took off. We conducted a series of meetings throughout the 49 counties with the help of Norman Kincaide of Colorado. He had fought one off in southeastern Colorado. We used his method of going county to county obtaining resolutions opposing the federal

designation. We also had letter templates directed to the officials who were in charge of the project that we handed out at our meetings. People sent them in, and they stood as opposition to the project. They also showed a lack of grassroots support for the project. The feasibility study that is required for all federal projects must show there is a need and grassroots support for the project. The resolutions and letters showed the lack of grassroots support, and we were able to stop the project.

I got involved in fighting the NIETC “proposed” transmission corridors, Midwest Plains and Southwest Plains that would have allowed a 5-mile-wide swath of land to be taken out of production and cut our state in half. The land would be taken from private landowners by federal eminent domain if they did not agree to sell. In Kansas, the Kansas Corporation Commission, by law, approves or disapproves all transmission line construction. This corridor plan was originally put out in the form of rules and regulations, however when sued the DOE lost. The judge admonished them saying that it was an egregious overreach by the federal government and a gross misuse of the federal power of eminent domain. That is when they scurried around and pushed it into the Infrastructure Improvement and Jobs Act, a huge omnibus bill that was passed. That made it law of the land as designed and submitted. That included a clause to allow the federal government to bypass the KCC if they had not approved the project within a year. The government was also authorized to use federal power of eminent domain and to pass that power to a private for profit company to obtain the land they coveted for their project. The reason eminent domain was brought in is because the DOE claims the grid is unstable, intermittent and in danger of collapse due to the amount of energy required to run the big data centers that are growing massively across the country. This led them to declare a National Emergency leading to the classification of National Security Risk bringing in the power of eminent domain. While they claim the nation needs massive amounts of new energy, they are slow walking and completely shutting down permitting of our natural resources such as gas, oil, coal and nuclear power. That is what is causing an emergency in need of immediate “government” action. I maintain that nuclear power is the cleanest and most reliable of all “green” energy. They now have a nuclear power plant that makes 3 Mile Island look like a giant. It is compact and doesn't have large stacks present in current plants. Great strides have been made and are still being made in this area. Westinghouse has designed the AP-300 nuclear energy plant that is very small and does not have big stacks attached to it. The cost is very reasonable to allow a county or shared counties to have one if they want.

I found out about this NIETC project about June 15 and the open comment period expired on June 24. I began the fight as I saw it as another land grab, much like the NHA was. I confirmed with several newspapers in counties along the path and they had not received any notification about an open comment period to publish, as is required by law. Our senators, Marshall and Moran, were successful in getting the public comment period re-opened so that the public had a chance to comment on the project. I started getting information to all commissioners in all counties along the path of the corridors. Not one was aware of the project so that showed how the DOE was operating in the dark. I also contacted my state and federal officials to get information on the project and no one was aware of it. Sen. Marshall’s aid told me to check with KCC, so I did. KCC asked for information on the project, so I sent the link to the NIETC website. The gal checked with her director who was unaware of it and gave her a link to information on it to send to me. It was the same link that I sent them! For 2 and a half months, I was in a commission meeting every Monday. I was able to do 2 meetings in a day for a couple of the Mondays. Meanwhile, word had spread from a meeting I spoke at 3 days after finding out about it and personally contacting several commissioners in that first week. That is when the meetings started to get information out. The resolutions started coming in and I got them in the hands of the officials who needed them. State and Federal Representatives, Senators, KCC, DOE, Grid Deployment Office, Kansas A.G. and others. The people rose to say no and we were very happy that on Dec. 16, 2024, the DOE announced that Kansas would not be “chosen” (as opposed to asked) for development. The bad news is that they chose our neighboring states. I am telling you all of this to show you how to fight this in your state because your state has been targeted for development.

While I am not knowledgeable about Colorado laws, I figured this would give you a start. I also do not know whether any of the energy will be dropped in Colorado because it was not going to be in Kansas. I am figuring probably not unless you have laws requiring it like Missouri does. If not, then there is another great opposition point.

I have a compilation of health studies given to me 2 days after I found out about this and I also found another full study authorized in 1992, finished in 1998. I will send the entire study. I usually just print out the conclusion which is the most important part. That is on pages 35 - 40. When printing it the page numbers will be different so print according to the page numbers on the side of the application you are printing from. It contradicts itself in several

instances and in conclusion they agree that there is a risk to health in the form of cancer and reproduction problems but not to the point of having to go to expensive changes and labeling transmission lines as "Hazardous Materials" concluding that more studies are warranted. The problem is finding more updated studies. They have either not been done or are buried. These large capacity lines were not in the works when these studies were done so the risk is multiplied many times. These lines will carry the most energy in the history of our state and, I have been told, the country. Until more studies are completed, we must treat them as hazardous. You will not be able to live, farm or ranch on the property. There will also be multiple lines possibly permitted along with huge battery storage, transfer and conversion facilities built within the corridors.

In Kansas, Congressman Ron Estes and Senator Jerry Moran have released official statements opposing the NIETC Corridors plan which I have been able to download. Dr. Marshall has also released a statement but it is not available to be downloaded that I can find. Congressman Tracy Mann is also opposing this and was the first on board to do so. They have also introduced House and Senate bills. Congressman Tracy Mann introduced H.R. 9527, named "Protecting Our Land from Federal Overreach Act of 2024" on September 10, 2024. Sen. Dr. Roger Marshall and Sen. Jerry Moran introduced SB5042. While I greatly appreciate that our federal legislators are getting on board with this fight, I fear that they will be unable to accomplish removing eminent domain from the original bill and restore the permitting of any new transmission lines to the KCC as required by Kansas law. The bills they are proposing are great, however, they must get this through the House, Senate and signed by the White House. It will probably help that we have a new administration, however passing bills is a long process. The DOE is trying to push this project forward as fast as they can, and you know how slow the legislative process is. Another problem is the bills were introduced in the 118th Congress and the 119th is in place now so they will have to reintroduce them and start the process over again. It can take forever to get a bill passed as it goes to committee(s) and has to be voted out of committee to get to the floor for a whole House or Senate vote. Then, when passed, they will go to the other side of Congress to go through the same procedure. I am hoping the new administration is going to halt the process if they can, to give us more time for legislation. That is why we must work locally with the representatives who are the closest to the people, our county commissioners and your local state representatives and senators. I will send these documents along with Senator Josh Hawley's of Missouri statements. The fastest way for counties to help protect their citizens is to pass a resolution opposing the project and this is why I go county to county along the corridors contacting and meeting with county commissioners. The resolutions will serve as a witness in court when the government assigned private company starts eminent domain process on private property owners. They also become a permanent record in the studies that are required to move the project forward. The government must show that grassroots support that I spoke about above for the NHA. I am asking you all to pass a resolution opposing the use of your county for this transmission corridor. The transmission lines that will go through this corridor pose health risks as well as running generational farmers and ranchers off their land and those who have just started operations or just built their dream home in the country. It will absolutely devastate the local economies, especially local businesses that are dependent upon your largest industry, agriculture. When a miles wide stretch of land is removed from production through your county, it removes a large tax base. In counties with a sparse population, this can be devastating as they are most likely having trouble finding funds for roads, bridges and other county fiscal responsibilities. It will also slice into your economy in the way of fewer people to purchase goods and services. I have written a resolution with the advice from Dan Byfield, a private property rights lawyer and co-founder of American Stewards For Liberty, to use as a template. Feel free to make it your own and applicable to your county. I am also sending a few others that are much better than the one I wrote. I also have letter templates that every individual in a household, business, organization, etc. can sign and mail to 2 separate individuals associated with this project, one being the DOE Director. I am leaving the names in place as new nominees have not yet been confirmed. They will still be part of the record. I am also asking that you send me a copy of the resolution and the name of your state representative so that I may get the resolution into the hands of all who need them. I am sending a lot of information so I may have to send part of it in a separate email to get it to go through so look for that. The attachment for the Phase 2 section will have a map of the whole project on page 9 and your section, the Mountain Plains Southwest map will be on page 32. Please feel free to contact me anytime you need me to answer questions. I will help anyway I can. I thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Thanks again,

Beth Salmans
1190 11th Rd.

Marysville, KS 66508
785-562-9916 Home
785-556-5700 Cell
Facebook: Beth O'Dell Salmans
Angel Cushing

This is the link to the NIETC website. Scroll down to bottom and you will see the links for the different phases of this process. They are currently moving into Phase 3. The phase 2 link will contain the maps and information on the different corridors. There are 10 of them. Yours is the Mountain Plains-Southwest and begins on page 32 of the document.

[National Interest Electric Transmission Corridor Designation Process](#)



B-4: Penny Book July 7, 2025

Jessica Merriam

From: Penny Book <plb3sons@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 8:40 AM
To: PCD Hearings
Subject: Opposition to Xcel Power Pathway
Attachments: Opposition to Xcel.docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

My name is Penny Book.
Phone number is 719-478-6027
Project File Name is. AASI231
Areas and activities of state interest permit (1041) Colorado's Xcel Power Pathway
I plan to participate in person.

Sincerely, Penny Book

Dear Ms. Parsons:

My name is Penny Book, 38555 Book Rd. Rush, CO 80833. One of Xcel's original proposed routes went right through the middle of our land. Xcel has since chosen another route which is a few miles east of us - for which we are so very grateful. But it has come to my attention that the Planning Department and maybe County Commissioners haven't received much opposition to this project lately, so they may think everyone is in support of this project. I wrote to all commissioners, the PUC, congressmen and even the Colorado Sun in opposition to this project back in 2022 and my stance has not changed. I attended meetings, including county commissioner's meeting at which I spoke of the negative effects of this power pathway. So I was surprised when studying the proposal recently, I only saw one letter in opposition. Letters and opinions from 2022 are not being considered evidently. Even though this powerline is not supposed to go through our land, I will still stand up for my neighbors. Xcel has proposed a 560 mile, 345 Kilovolt transmission through property in Eastern Colorado to help provide an "ever cleaner power supply".

We would like your support in trying to stop this proposal.

People in the Front Range feel that the Eastern Plains are fair game for buying easements for putting in these mammoth poles with no consequences. Xcel made a proposal to the PUC in March, 2021. They described where I live on the Eastern Plains as a "clean energy highway". They described my home and that of all my rural neighbors as the "clean energy-rich eastern plains of Colorado". We will not benefit from this mammoth transmission line. It will provide energy for the Front Range, wind turbines and solar farms. These lines, turbines and farms will destroy what we have lived to preserve on this land. It seems like the Eastern Plains are up for grabs. But this is our land. This is an unwanted invasion of our way of life. The state won't let the lines be placed on state owned land but it is okay with them going through private land. Help me understand that logic.

These are the reasons I oppose The Colorado Power Pathway Project:

1. If you read the presentation from Xcel to the PUC, you will read about the energy highways of the eastern Plains. It all sounds wonderful if you don't take into consideration the people who live on those Plains. My grandchildren are 5th generation on this ranch. Agriculture - ranching and farming - are not easy occupations. It is a 24/7 job through all weather and you can't usually make a living off of the land. It takes another income (or 2) to make it work. So why in the world would somebody choose this life!? The air is pure. The peacefulness is indescribable. Looking out my window, seeing the unobstructed view of the mountains is priceless. Raising children in the country, teaching values and a work ethic is probably the most important reason for living where we do and doing what we do.

Nobody considered the impact on our lives because few people who live in cities understand our way of life. I enjoy the city - to visit. I do it often, multiple times a week. Colorado Springs is my

home, also. Shopping, doctors, church, activities, and business keep us going to Colorado Springs all the time. People who live in cities, however, don't have opportunities to learn what it is like to live in the country nor why we live here.

2. In the name of progress, people have always - taken - without understanding the ramifications to those whose land was taken. Native Americans lost their land and the animals they hunted - because it was there for the taking. Free grazers lost their ability to drive herds across the country due to fencing. Land grabs have happened all through history by those who are bigger, stronger and have more money.

Xcel's transmission line is necessary to provide power to Denver but it is coming through our land. Even though it isn't coming directly through my land, I am still impacted. Our roads are badly in need of maintenance. The resulting traffic of putting these huge lines through will cause much damage to the roads. The line is necessary to provide power for wind and solar farms, which will cause even more negative environmental and community impact. The wind and solar farms are necessary because our governor wants Colorado to lead the way to renewable energy - green energy - by 2040.

3. The race is on. And that is my biggest objection. We are asked to let huge transmission lines go across rural land in order for us all to be 100% renewable energy by 2040. It's not green to produce these transmission lines, windmill or solar farms. Then they produce for 20 years - then what? Very smart people are trying to figure out what to do with solar panel, propellers, etc. But they are not there yet - or at least not that I know of. Construction and deconstruction of wind and solar farms certainly aren't green! The problem, as I see it, is it is a RACE!! Don't allow this project without knowing the full impact on all of us.

Thank you for your time.

Penny and Lanny Book

38555 Book Rd

Rush, CO. 80833

[719-478-6027](tel:719-478-6027)

B-5: Ervin and Cheryl Churchwell June 26, 2025

Ervin and Cheryl Churchwell
17750 Oil Well Rd
Ramah, CO 80831

June 26, 2025

El Paso County Commissioners Public Hearing

Project Manager/planner: Kari Parsons

Project: Colorado's Xcel Power Pathway known as Harvest Mile Segment 5 Pathway

FileNumber: AAS1231

We are writing to express our strong opposition to the proposed Colorado Xcel Power Pathway known as Harvest Mile Segment 5 Pathway across Eastern Colorado. We have grave concerns regarding the significant and potentially irreversible impacts this project will have on the delicate ecosystems and the cherished natural vista of our region. We see several detrimental consequences.

Firstly, the construction and maintenance of high-voltage transmission lines and associated infrastructures and solar farms/facilities will inevitably lead to substantial land disturbance. This includes the clearing of native vegetation, topsoil removal, and potential soil compaction. These actions can trigger a cascade of negative effects, including increased soil erosion, sedimentation of waterway, and the fragmentation of crucial wildlife habitats. The unique and often fragile prairie ecosystems of Eastern Colorado are particularly vulnerable to such disturbances, and the long-term consequences for biodiversity could be severe.

Secondly, we are deeply concerned about the visual impact of the Xcel Power Pathway on the natural vista of Eastern Colorado. The sprawling landscapes and expansive skies are a defining characteristic of our region, attracting visitors and providing a sense of tranquility for residents. Erecting large transmission towers, power lines and solar farms across this landscape will irrevocably alter its aesthetic value, diminishing the natural beauty that is an integral part of our identity and potentially impacting local tourism.

We understand the growing need for reliable energy infrastructure. However, we believe that such development must be undertaken with a profound respect for the environment and the communities it affects. We urge Xcel Energy to thoroughly reconsider the proposed route and explore alternative solutions that minimize the impact on our precious land and the natural beauty of Eastern Colorado.

We ask that a comprehensive environmental impact assessment be conducted that fully addresses the concerns outlined above and an evaluation of alternative routes that would have less significant environmental and visual consequences. We believe that meaningful consultation with local communities and stakeholders is essential to ensure that the long-term well-being of Eastern Colorado is prioritized. We hope they will be willing to find a more sustainable path forward.

Sincerely,

Ervin and Cheryl Churchwell

B-6: Jeremy C. Gardner September 4, 2024

Miranda Benson

From: Miranda Benson
Sent: Monday, September 9, 2024 10:08 AM
To: PCD Hearings
Subject: FW: Xcel Power Pathway re:Parcel no 200000461

From: jgardner80808@aol.com <jgardner80808@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2024 12:04 AM
To: PLNWEB <PLNWEB@elpasoco.com>
Subject: Xcel Power Pathway re:Parcel no 200000461

Opposition to current Xcel plans and documents submitted

I own parcel number 200000461 and I am also the Chairman of the Tri-County Fire Protection District.

I'll address Fire Protection concerns first. To my knowledge Xcel has not directly contacted our Fire Protection District to discuss future impacts to our fire district. To my knowledge, Xcel does not currently have a wildland fire plan, nor have they studied those potential impacts to our FPD. Rush Rd and Judge Orr Rd are two of the worst roads in the county already presenting safety concerns. Rush Rd is a main emergency traffic route from our station at Rush to the northern portion of our district. The increased traffic from heavy equipment, semis, and concrete trucks will further damage the already poor road conditions, thus further delaying response times to portions of the district. Who will ensure these roads remain in satisfactory condition during construction? Judge Orr Rd east of Ramah Hwy is some of the oldest pavement in the county, and that road condition worsens every day. Add all this construction traffic to that section of the road, and it will be completely demolished. This presents further safety and emergency vehicle traffic issues. What benefit will our FPD receive from this project? Will it be a tax based issue as is the case with the wind farms? Will Xcel provide the FPD with funds to be able to provide additional services as during constructions this project will add to the already increasing call volume. Because our firefighters and EMTs are completely volunteer, will Xcel do some on site training as online training is not all that feasible for volunteer agencies? Just some initial concerns.

As related to my personal property

Aside from being totally opposed to the project, I am also opposed to multiple portions of the application.

I attended several of the "public meetings" Xcel describes. They were not at all how they described. Other than visual displays, there were no public presentation speakers. They did receive a lot of push back and negative comments during those meetings. There has been no explanation as to why they can not go underground. They played "pin the tail on the donkey" at one set of open houses where each attendee got to put a sticker on where they thought the line should go. Essentially this put neighbor against neighbor, father against son, brother against brother.....literally.

Their initial and thus far only offer for a ROW across my property is a joke, basically a one time payment of current assessed value for the approx 5.5 acres it would affect. It does not address the permanent defacing, devaluation, or future use or sale of said land. Nor does it address any ongoing payments for each tower as they will be generating profit using my land. There currently is no requirement to bring the land back to its original condition after the project is complete. It will take a minimum of 2 years for the grasses to come back. Xcel should be required to bring the landscape condition (flat) back to that condition, as well as ensure native grasses are planted where they have been trampled.

The project does not directly address road conditions, in fact, it minimizes the impact to our current and future road conditions. Commissioner Gonzales, as well as several DPW employees have previously acknowledged the very poor road condition of Judge Orr road east of Ramah Hwy. I have made multiple road complaints over the last 25 years, both personally and as the former Fire Chief about the condition of our roads specifically Judge Orr. In the last 30 yrs this section of judge orr has been chip sealed one time. The pavement is over 40 years old. The potholes appear faster than they can fill them. Still nothing has been done. The added traffic of up to 60 concrete trucks a day, 20 dump trucks, 10 cars, 25 semi trucks, a couple cranes, and a couple areal lifts will completely demolish what is left. Based on the current Development agreement, those road conditions will be allowed to be the same or worse after the project which is totally unacceptable. If the county is to recieve any economic benefit, it should directly benefit those of us directly affected by the project. Xcel should be forced to rebuild the roads as they are done. Nothing should be left in worse condition. As for Oil Well, the road I live on, it stands to see triple or more of the usual daily traffic, much of it semis or other big trucks. Now I know we live in the county, on the dusty plains, that shouldnt mean I just have to deal with the additional dust from the road for this project. I will demand dust abatement either from the county or from Xcel before I sign off on any agreement. Their traffic and road study is a joke. You cant tell me that the additional road traffic during the project will not affect the condition of the roads. It will only make already poor conditions worse, who is liable for that? The term "road damage" is used in the development agreement. The problem is "road damage" already exists on every single road in the project area. In fact there are some signs place already indicating such. Isnt this just a way to get Xcel out of any liability.

Notification of increased traffic to those folks who live on the travel and haul routes should also be required, not just property owners along the route.

If I wanted to erect some sort of high tower on my property, I would be required to get a permit for each one, Id have to get a soils test for each one. Xcel is a private company, they should be required the same.

Is the county prepared to provide my legal fees should I decide I dont want this on my property, supposedly the commissioners are against eminent domain?

El Paso County will recieve no benefits from this project. In fact it is very obvious by their plans, the ultimate goal is to take all of the agriculture land out here and put turbines on it. Where is the protecion of our land rights? Are the commissioners prepared or already primed for that to be allowed to happen?

The "acceptable" sound level is 50dB. Their towers will produce 49.8. Lets get as close as possible so we cant prove its above what they say it will be. I choose to live in the country for a reason. Quite is one of them.

I can promise, if this passes I will be calling each and every day with road condition complaints.

This is nothing more, nothing less than a land grab for a poliical agenda.

Ill have more precise thoughts in a later email.

Thanks,

Jeremy C. Gardner

B-7: Andrew Kline and Cynthia Newman June 29, 2025

From: [Cynthia Kelly-Newman](#)
To: [Kari Parsons](#)
Subject: Response to the Notice of Public Hearing
Date: Sunday, June 29, 2025 8:36:56 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Kari,

We have received the notice of the public hearing for Colorado's Xcel Power Pathway Permit on the 24th, the Tuesday of June. We wanted to email our opinion for the project with transmission lines, facilities etc. We "STRONGLY DISAGREE" of any development going along our road and by our house. Again, we do not have any interest in this project as our place is on the road for your project that will affect our view plus we do not want the effects of the radiation through our time here and do not want this along our road, pertaining to the XCEL Power. We live in the country to get away from all of this for the reasons I explained.

We also have reviewed the email that is provided for the public to get a better understanding of this project that has made our final decision even more. We do not want anyone driving up and down our few 40 acres, we have to be surrounded by all the windmills plus the high-power tension lines. We have worked too hard to keep this home of peace and want to keep it this way. Hope to keep this for all generations to come. Sadly, I feel it's not going to be that way for our kids and their kids but we will try our best to keep this area free and open.

If anyone has questions or concerns, please feel free to contact us through email or mail. Our email is clknewman1971@hotmail.com or andykline23@gmail.com.

Please, let us know you have received our objection to the project. Thank you.

Andrew Kline and Cynthia Newman
16895 Oil Well Road
Ramah, CO 80832

B-8: Greg Knox September 18, 2024

Miranda Benson

From: PCD Hearings
Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 10:20 AM
To: PCD Hearings
Subject: FW: Kxox Comments PCD File No: AASI, Colorado^s Xcel Power Pathway 1041

From: Greg Knox <GregGKnox@outlook.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2024 6:50 PM
To: Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>
Subject: Proposal PCD File No: AASI, Colorado^s Xcel Power Pathway 1041 =>
<https://url.us.m.mimecastprotect.com/s/c3x4CL9D0oSLlzDmCBfNCyagt2>

Hi Kari Parsons,

I was shock to see this notice in the mail which I just received. Even worse, I was just in Colorado drilling a well for my future residency on my land I bought in 2019, parcel #02000-00-513.

To be honest, I was not expecting anything like this when purchasing the land. The wind mills were up in the area and the power lines were already installed. I thought I would have a nice piece of land in a very quiet place with a good view. With this proposal, I see that there will be 2 big power polls with 6 lines running across my planned retirement home. With the power lines comes not only an eye sore, it comes with noise at 50 decibels +/- 50% (which is loud for some people and I am sensitive to noise) and EMF generation which for some reason the radiation is linked to issues with your immune system, sleeping cycles, hormonal production, and stress levels plus an increased cancer rates.

Why am I concerned about the proposal is simply stated above.

I have spent years looking for this piece of land avoiding this very thing. I have an investment which the value will drop dramatically in price if this proposal goes forward. My property's value has doubled in the last 5 years compared to others in the area and with the increased development in Falcon spreading it should continue to increase in a positive way. If this proposal goes up, I do not know if I can recoup my costs let alone my years of searching. Who wants to live under a high-power line? Who moves out to the middle of nowhere to have a constant noise? Who wants to see huge powerlines when looking out their window in the country?

What are the chances of this proposal going through?

Why is the power lines route shifting around? It is not a straight line following a street.

Would you be willing to shift it away from my future house's street? Or is this proposal set in stone.

Sincerely,
Greg Knox

B-9: Harold and Jean Meinzer June 23, 2025

From: [Jean Meinzer](#)
To: [Kari Parsons](#)
Subject: Objection to Harvest Mile Segment 5 Pathway
Date: Monday, June 23, 2025 8:55:03 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Good morning. The purpose of this email is to "voice" our objection to El Paso County approving the Areas and Activities of State Interest Permit (1041 permit) to locate and construct major facilities of a public utility in El Paso County.

A portion of this pathway is projected to cross through our pasture and we strongly object to its construction. Our dealings with Public Service of Colorado and, more directly, with the Xcel Corporation, have been far less than desirable thus far. More pointedly, the Xcel Corporation has bullied its way into obtaining land easements for this pathway, mostly by threatening landowners with the use of eminent domain if landowners deny access for the pathway.

Furthermore, the Xcel Corporation fails to uphold its contractual obligations in providing full and timely payment to landowners. Their "large corporation" attitude is unprofessional and threatening to landowners.

Allowing this pathway segment to be constructed in eastern El Paso County only strengthens the evidence that our county officials do not recognize the historical and agricultural value of this portion of the county. The Xcel Corporation and Colorado Public Utilities have no need to literally invade the rural unincorporated portion of El Paso County with their bullying proposal.

Thank you.

Harold and Jean Meinzer
Yoder, CO

B-10: Paula Reinbold September 13, 2024

From: PCD Hearings
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2024 3:49 PM
To: PCD Hearings
Subject: FW: Comments and petition regarding Xcel Energy's "Colorado's Power Pathway" project
Attachments: XcelPowerlinePetitionSignatures_12Sept2024.xlsx; XcelPowerlinePetitionComments_12Sept2024.xlsx; XcelPetitionDraft3_16Nov.pdf

From: paulareinbold@communityactioncoalition.org <paulareinbold@communityactioncoalition.org>
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2024 1:56 PM
To: Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>
Cc: Paula <paula@handselpublishers.ltd>
Subject: Comments and petition regarding Xcel Energy's "Colorado's Power Pathway" project

Dear Kari,

These are my comments/concerns and attached petition regarding the Xcel Energy Colorado's Power Pathway project. :) Enjoy!

It is important to note that Xcel Energy's "Colorado's Power Pathway" project is not just about 600 miles of very-high voltage transmission lines, but also the industrial wind turbines and solar arrays intended to feed power to those transmission lines.

As far as the public is aware, no analysis has been done of methods best suited to provide Denver with non-fossil fuel power. If there had been, it seems a much better solution than plastering 40% of the state's land area in industrial wind turbines, solar installations, and very high voltage power transmission lines would have been to build a nuclear power plant near the Denver metro area. Expand the existing Harvest Mile, Pawnee, or Fort St. Vrain substation, or build a new one, which undoubtedly would require less than 50 miles of power line versus the 600 miles completely encircling eastern Colorado's agricultural grassland.

A single power plant to serve the Denver metro area would have avoided disrupting life for thousands of the state's rural residents. Was the state even looking for a solution, or did Xcel Energy just propose one for their own benefit? Where are the benefit/cost analysis, risk assessment, and environmental impact reports?

Power companies jumped on a prematurely touted "green" energy bandwagon when the government announced subsidies. Were the subsidies state or federal or both? Who decided that wind and solar rather than nuclear power deserved to be promoted and subsidized? I'd previously not been a fan of nuclear power plants, but their footprint is thousands of square miles smaller than wind and solar, and they're much less disruptive and lethal to the environment, nature, and wildlife as long as they don't melt down. Decades of history in the US demonstrates the safety of nuclear power in our country.

Now, there's a new generation of nuclear power plants, with Bechtel having begun construction in June of this year near Kemmerer, Wyoming. Bechtel is the engineering, procurement, and construction partner of Terra Power for the Natrium Advanced Reactor Demonstration Project, which is part of the U.S. Department of Energy's Advanced Reactor Demonstration Program. This first-of-its-kind reactor will use sodium-technology liquid coolant rather than water. This eliminates the need to place nuclear power plants along a river, lake, or other body of surface water.

Regarding cost, the Terra Power project in Kemmerer splits the \$4 billion total cost with the US government, half of which is covered by the builders. For \$2 billion, a similar project could provide a base 350 megawatts of clean power, capable of flexing up to 500 megawatts – enough to power 400,000 homes. Such a project could be located nearby the intended destination of the Denver metro area, rather than requiring 600 miles of very high-voltage transmission lines, also at a cost of \$2 billion, which doesn't include the cost of hundreds to thousands of industrial wind turbines and solar installations intended to "slather" Colorado's eastern plains, according to Colorado Public Utilities Commissioner John Gavan.

Reflecting on the significance of the new-generation nuclear power project, Craig Albert, Bechtel President and COO, said: "The Sodium reactor's innovative design will launch a new approach to nuclear plant construction that is designed to be safer, cleaner, faster, and more efficient than many energy source alternatives."

Here's a link to Bechtel's ground-breaking announcement:

<https://www.bechtel.com/newsroom/press-releases/americas-next-nuclear-power-plant-begins-construction/>

The process of determining the best power solution for the Denver metropolitan area should have included complete analysis of multiple options. This is basic Systems Analysis 101, and includes the following sequence:

- Define the problem
- List requirements for a solution
- Research and identify potential solutions
- Analyze benefits and risks, as well as costs (financial, human, and environmental) and expected lifetime, decommissioning effort and cost, and recycling potential, of each proposed solution
- Select the option that best meets the requirements while maximizing benefits and minimizing risks
- Implement the solution
- Analyze degree of success and failure over the lifetime of the implementation, and tweak as necessary

Lifetime of a new-generation nuclear power plant is estimated at 40 - 50 years, with potential for upgrades to extend the lifetime to 80 years – significantly greater than the 20 - 30 years for industrial wind turbines. Wind turbines pose the addition problem of disposal after decommissioning, as there currently is no standard for removal or recycling; much of the tower foundation is left in place, adversely affecting repurposing of the area after decommissioning.

A follow-on question for industrial wind turbines is: what comes next after breakdown and decommissioning of the current generation? With some installations lasting only 15 – 20 years, a "wait-and-see" attitude is bound to pose unresolved problems. What is the disposal process for the decommissioned towers and blades? What will replace them to provide future generations of power, and where will the replacements be located?

Understanding that Colorado's Power Pathway extends beyond just the 600 miles of transmission lines, concerns have been raised about the vast installations of industrial wind turbines that actually provide the power to those lines, it would be remiss not to mention a summary of concerns with the wind turbines.

There are problems besides wind turbine syndrome or other health issues:

- inadequate setbacks
- allowing turbines to disrupt neighbors' lives without just compensation
- lack of understanding of adverse effects on groundwater and residential wells by construction of the tower foundations
- absence of detailed accounting - including production of waste, heat, carbon dioxide, and other problematic byproducts - released into the environment in all phases of material gathering, construction, transportation, installation, and maintenance of the turbines
- failure to account for the negative environmental impacts, including pollution, of mining natural resources, minerals, heavy metals, and of other factors that enter into construction of wind tower components
- absence of regulations regarding decommissioning and disposal of wind turbines (Apparently the property owner is usually held responsible for removing them after being decommissioned, at a cost of \$2 million per tower as of a couple years ago. Even if a savvy property owner gets a contract specifying the installer is responsible for the removal, what happens when the original installer sells an installation to another company, or when the installer or current owning company goes bankrupt?)

What about injuries and damage due to ice throw, broken blades, motor fires, oil leaks, and fallen towers?

Documenting shadow flicker, noise, vibration, infrasound, and physics of the wave patterns and all these effects on people, pets, livestock, fish, and wildlife is a significant task and a vital one. We know about bird and bat kills by the blades, because we can see it. The blades, the birds, the bats all are physical entities. Noise, vibrations, waveforms, harmonics, headaches, nausea, sleeplessness, heart arrhythmias, other health problems - all these are not so obvious. The direct cause and effects are not as evident as an eagle lying on the ground beside a tower. Understanding requires sifting through the chaff of both denial and hysteria.

Necessary legislation. There must be legislation to:

- prevent existing practices that exploit lease landowner properties for purposes not expressly disallowed by the lease terms
- set safe setback distances. Ideally these would be 10 miles from a residence; at an absolute minimum should be 2 miles distant
- protect neighbors from losses of property values
- protect and compensate neighbors who experience health issues in themselves, pets, and livestock
- deal with issues whereby a PUC is allowed to overturn a "no" by local county commissioners
- stop the practice whereby energy companies sue governments for denying their applications for proposed installations/projects

Where does population density offer the least disruption to residents? A population density analysis of rural El Paso county vs rural Lincoln county based on the 2010 Census showed a population density of 74.74 people per square mile in rural El Paso county and 1.41 people per square mile in rural Lincoln county. Based on this analysis, along with the indication that most eastern

Colorado commuters to Colorado Springs live within an hour's drive (which closely aligns with the El Paso – Lincoln county line) I suggested to Excel that their lines be located in much less densely-populated Lincoln county rather than in El Paso county. Additionally, eastern El Paso county sees more transition from rangeland to residential property, while property size in Lincoln county still tends to be larger and more agricultural. Although part of Segment 5 lies just east of the county line in Lincoln county, north of Highway 94 the current Segment 5 route swings westward into El Paso County.

Going green. It suddenly became 'de rigueur' to "go green" when governments started offering subsidies. Then, companies jumped on the bandwagon in a rush to cash in on those subsidies. Insufficient objective scientific and medical studies have been done to understand the physics of how turbines affect their environment, and their effects on humans and other animals. Some people have said that their earthworms disappeared. Airflows can affect air movement for tens of miles downwind. Some suspect that they reduce rainfall; it's known that they produce their own climates, and some have been shown to actually heat up their environment.

Look into all aspects of wind tower and solar array construction: mining of materials to build wind and solar equipment; manufacturing of towers, blades, and so on; water usage to make the concrete to set the tower foundations (reportedly drying up some wells in the Calhan area and contaminating others so their water comes out black); transport of materials; maintenance; disposal and potential for recycling. If all of these aspects and more were considered, how "green" is an industrial wind turbine, really? Or a solar array? Does anyone know?

Local residents must be heard: If this mistake is allowed to continue, listen to the residents' concerns regarding siting; signal interference for cellular phone signals and internet access, particularly for first-responders; and issues with heavy construction traffic on the County's dirt roads. Some vocal residents, both current and former, have valuable lessons learned from the 2015 Calhan industrial wind turbine installation.

Attachments: The original 2021 petition objecting to the Xcel Energy "Colorado's Power Pathway" transmission lines (.pdf file); 1,110 petition signatures (.xlsx spreadsheet); petition supporter comments (.xlsx spreadsheet).

Sincerely,

Paula Reinbold

Community Action Coalition of Colorado

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
Paula Reinbold	Rush	CO	80833	US	11/16/2021
Eric Potts	Rush	CO	80833	US	11/16/2021
Diane Varner	Elizabeth	CO	80107	US	11/16/2021
Tonya Perdue	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Sheryl Potts	Rush	CO	80833	US	11/16/2021
Nusbaum Rebecca	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/16/2021
Dana Tinoco	Rush	CO	80833	US	11/16/2021
Alexandrea Alexandra	Akron	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Jared Patterson	Colorado Springs	CO	80919	US	11/16/2021
Porsche Harvey	Peyton	CO	80831	US	11/16/2021
Colleen Nicholson	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/16/2021
Shannon Hoops	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/16/2021
Melissa Young	Rush	CO	80833	US	11/16/2021
Michael Hoops	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/16/2021
Brian Way	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/16/2021
Susan Chavez	Colorado Springs	CO	80909	US	11/16/2021
Tony Doshier	Colorado springs	CO	80817	US	11/16/2021
Susan Green-Dunning	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
James Hauschildt	Denver	CO	80214	US	11/16/2021
Caren Leyva	Gypsum	CO	81637	US	11/16/2021
Tami Sisneros	Pagosa Springs	CO	81147	US	11/16/2021
Tika Ethridge	Ramah	CO	80832	US	11/16/2021
Karyn Ward	Littleton	CO	80127	US	11/16/2021
Kristine Nigl	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Jessica Schultz	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Mauro Espinoza	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Janice Foster	Colorado Springs	CO	80922	US	11/16/2021
Lauren Logan	Denver	CO	80211	US	11/16/2021
Dawn Wind	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/16/2021
Josephine Kinnamon	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Desiree Vandelac	Peyton	CO	80831	US	11/16/2021
Cheryl Sistare	Colorado Springs	CO	80920	US	11/16/2021
Cimarron Hatch	Colorado Springs	CO	80915	US	11/16/2021
Tammy Taylor	Albuquerque	NM	87121	US	11/16/2021
Mayda Montgomery	Peyton	CO	80831	US	11/16/2021
Susan Belveal	Akron	CO	80720	US	11/16/2021
Destiny Barrow	Marion		62959	US	11/16/2021
Theodora Carlson	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Susan Thomas	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Jessie McKnight	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/16/2021
Kaitlyn Vanorden	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
April Mendez	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/16/2021
Nathan Manor	Denver	CO	80246	US	11/16/2021
Cynthia Catlett	Rush	CO	80833	US	11/16/2021
Cristina Barber	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021
Wilbur Sieck	Ramah	CO	80832	US	11/16/2021

Fred Matthews	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/16/2021
Renee Dzamko	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/16/2021
Carolyn Pisel	Elbert	CO	80106 US	11/16/2021
Nicole Hannah	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/16/2021
Kerrie Morrill	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/16/2021
Francine Farnish	Denver	CO	80246 US	11/16/2021
Steve Patton	calhan	CO	80808 US	11/16/2021
Olivia Kadiuraus	Colorado Springs	CO	80922 US	11/16/2021
Christina Smith	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/16/2021
Meagan Gardner	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/16/2021
Kate Laurence	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/16/2021
Penny Book	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Cornelia Dees	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Lance Colaianne	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Crystal Doshier	Red Oak	TX	75154 US	11/17/2021
Rene Luna	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Desiree McKnight	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Michelle Johns	Akron	CO	80720 US	11/17/2021
Anna Bradley	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/17/2021
Randy & Judy Freeman	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Linda Watson	Denver	CO	80206 US	11/17/2021
Jason Laurence	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Maxine Dorcas	Denver	CO	80226 US	11/17/2021
Dean Waters	Arvada	CO	80002 US	11/17/2021
Matthew Chappell	Colorado Springs	CO	80919 US	11/17/2021
Gregory Goyne	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/17/2021
Kyle Waters	Arvada	CO	80002 US	11/17/2021
Santiago Martos	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Timothy Lehmpuhl	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/17/2021
Veronica McGrew	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Brittney Candel	Fairfield		94534 US	11/17/2021
Kiyan Lewis	Rockford		61109 US	11/17/2021
Michael Good	Colorado Springs	CO	80908 US	11/17/2021
ralphie beam	Fort Ashby		26719 US	11/17/2021
Lindsey Hintz	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/17/2021
Sean F.	denver		80204 US	11/17/2021
Basia Rzepka			US	11/17/2021
Dorothea Parmenter	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
ShadyLane Bithlasanga	Miami		US	11/17/2021
Omer Elharth	Arlington	TX	76006 US	11/17/2021
Gary Bechtle	Colorado Springs	CO	80918 US	11/17/2021
Fernanda Flores	Dallas		75243 US	11/17/2021
Winkle Dawn	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Netanya Davis	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Doyle Jordan	Oklahoma City	OK	73107 US	11/17/2021
Tonjia Harris	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/17/2021
Jackie Thompson	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/17/2021

M Sandoval	Colorado Springs	CO	80930 US	11/17/2021
Nathan Mock	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Michelle Manson	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Robert Perdue	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Kimberlie Serrano	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/17/2021
David David	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Lori Carneal	Rush	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Michael Benson	Anchorage	AK	99504 US	11/17/2021
Patricia Patricia	Yoder	CO	80864-966 US	11/17/2021
Brandi Lake	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Leah Thompson	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Tim Pachak	Denver	CO	80206 US	11/17/2021
Jessica Rose	Fountain	CO	80817 US	11/17/2021
natalie swaby	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/17/2021
Alexei Nivens	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Sherrie Kochis	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Jose Martos	Dallas	TX	75287 US	11/17/2021
Heather Jenness	Colorado Springs	CO	80928 US	11/17/2021
William Sunday	Colorado Springs	CO	80908 US	11/17/2021
TINA SNYDER	Peyton		80831 US	11/17/2021
John Ellingson	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/17/2021
Lisa Vidinha	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/17/2021
Jessica May	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Stacy Modesitt	Colorado Springs	CO	80904 US	11/17/2021
Agata Roszkowski	Chicago		60634 US	11/17/2021
Fernando Tinoco	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Anthony Hernandez	San Diego		92113 US	11/17/2021
Ellaina Moorhouse	Colorado Springs	CO	80918 US	11/17/2021
Michael Hiner	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Dan Coleman	Greenfield		46140 US	11/17/2021
Wyatt Manson	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Kaleb Boothby	Wasilla		99623 US	11/17/2021
Logan Sunday	Colorado Springs	CO	80908 US	11/17/2021
Teresa Dodd	Monument	CO	80132 US	11/17/2021
alicia welch	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Delores Roblewsky	Colorado Springs	CO	80910 US	11/17/2021
Dorothy McNabb	Colorado Springs	CO	80918 US	11/17/2021
SHELLY GOYNE	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/17/2021
Dylan Smith	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/17/2021
Regina Alflen	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Richard Schafer	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Doug Fontaine	Eagle	CO	81631 US	11/17/2021
kristy Przybylinski	Peyton	CO	8083q US	11/17/2021
Jessica Sandoval	Colorado Springs	CO	80928 US	11/17/2021
Macinnes Joy	Eagle	CO	81631 US	11/17/2021
Elaine Becker	Roanoke	VA	24018 US	11/17/2021
Emiliano King	Palmer		99645 US	11/17/2021

Ellyn Henderson	Arcata		95521 US	11/17/2021
Joshua Kohler	Mahopac	NY	10541 US	11/17/2021
Patricia Parker	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Colleen Dolan	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Kimberly Boyd	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Richard Bradley	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/17/2021
Jason Archer	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/17/2021
Amanda Ellingson	Chesapeake	VA	23324 US	11/17/2021
Constance MacKirdy	Colorado Springs	CO	80920 US	11/17/2021
Nancy Pope	Terrell	TX	75160 US	11/17/2021
Brea Armknecht-Buchanan	Big Rock	TN	37023 US	11/17/2021
Jim Tucker	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/17/2021
Sewald Susan	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/17/2021
Jacob Loya	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Vicky Mathis	Gustine	CA	95322 US	11/17/2021
Jon Busick	Colorado Springs	CO	80927 US	11/17/2021
Thomas Kwiatkowski	Colorado Springs	CO	80907 US	11/17/2021
Brooke Eskilson-Patterson	Lawrence	KS	66047 US	11/17/2021
Kristi Esch	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/17/2021
BRITTANY BERG	Florissant	CO	80816 US	11/17/2021
Dickie Pritchard	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/17/2021
Hollen AJ	Elbert	CO	80106 US	11/17/2021
MARK FODERA			US	11/17/2021
emerie Keeling	San Antonio		78213 US	11/17/2021
Mike Richards	Mt Zion		62549 US	11/17/2021
Tanner May	Marshall		62441 US	11/17/2021
Aden Dingetu	Aurora		80015 US	11/17/2021
Diego Bernardi	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/17/2021
Lygia Orta	Los Angeles		90039 US	11/17/2021
Kyle Hawkins	Frisco	TX	75035 US	11/17/2021
Kevin Youngmeyer	Fairborn	OH	45324 US	11/17/2021
Shaun Hodges	Colorado springs	CO	80917 US	11/17/2021
Stacie McCrary	Colorado Springs	CO	80907 US	11/17/2021
sherry allen	agate	CO	80101 US	11/17/2021
Nancy Mayes	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Schelau McGuire	Colorado Springs	CO	US	11/17/2021
Raymond Lingley	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/17/2021
Karen Hughes	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
CAROL WOOD	Agate	CO	80101 US	11/17/2021
Michael Calahan	Parker	CO	80134 US	11/17/2021
Tulsi Joglekar	Round Rock		78664 US	11/17/2021
Baker Pat	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Josh Standiford	Lake Zurich		60047 US	11/17/2021
xander gere	Leander		78641 US	11/17/2021
Landon Brinkley	Woodstock		22664 US	11/17/2021
Asia Smith	Detroit		48204 US	11/17/2021
Jasmine Lalic	Phoenix		85040 US	11/17/2021

Nicole Ton	Stanton		90680 US	11/17/2021
Kacper Sobczak	Centennial		80015 US	11/17/2021
Alexandra Talamini	Hollywood		33025 US	11/17/2021
Carmen Hernandez	Denver	CO	80209 US	11/17/2021
Amy McGonagle	Brooklyn	NY	11221 US	11/17/2021
Jarren Gbedema	Sudlersville		21668 US	11/17/2021
Tom Connell	Denver	CO	80206 US	11/17/2021
Charles Hughes	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/17/2021
Kelly McKinney	Ramah	CO	80831 US	11/17/2021
Chris Hauck	Brooksville	FL	34601 US	11/17/2021
Radine Ruiz	Ellicott	CO	80928 US	11/17/2021
Stephanie Meek	Kiowa	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Ira Sunday	Colorado Springs	CO	80916 US	11/17/2021
Frances Dorrance	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Eric Sweigart	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Carol Mock	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Julie Woods	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/17/2021
Mark Mager	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/17/2021
Kasarah Kasarah	Deer Trail	CO	80105 US	11/17/2021
Suzanne James	Frisco,	TX	75035 US	11/17/2021
Kristen Lepar	Denver	CO	80209 US	11/17/2021
Stacy Millet	Denver	CO	80220 US	11/17/2021
Rachel Boone	Colorado springs	CO	83687 US	11/17/2021
John Hughes	Calgary		T2K 4X8 Canada	11/17/2021
Hope Richardson	Colorado Springs	CO	80924 US	11/17/2021
Bernadette Dillavou	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/17/2021
Lauren Barrett	Denver	CO	80238 US	11/17/2021
Charlene Lohnes	Calgary		T2K 4x8 Canada	11/17/2021
Priyanka Ladkani	Bronx	NY	10466 US	11/17/2021
Tyzzara EVE	Sugar City		83448 US	11/17/2021
Brandon Mayer	Philadelphia		19111 US	11/17/2021
Bhavika Mathrani	Saint Louis		63103 US	11/17/2021
Shannon Hauck	Colorado Springs	CO	80904 US	11/17/2021
lexi chapa	Corpus Christi		78414 US	11/17/2021
Cleveland Holland	Charlotte		28208 US	11/17/2021
Khalese Daniels	Aurora		60504 US	11/17/2021
Daniel Perez	Danville		94526 US	11/17/2021
Oberosler Amanda	Ruw h	CO	80833 US	11/17/2021
Mark Triplett	Milwaukee		53211 US	11/17/2021
Timothy Gwinn	Austin		47102 US	11/17/2021
Ann Coontz Coontz	Agate	CO	80101 US	11/17/2021
Kathleen Boyd	Spanish Springs	NV	89441 US	11/17/2021
Cheis Monty	Charlotte	NC	28213 US	11/17/2021
Nathan Wisener	Colorado Springs	CO	80906 US	11/17/2021
Stephen Dunning	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
Jessica Soucy	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/17/2021
Dale Varner	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/17/2021

Susi Carbaugh	Rush	CO	80864 US	11/17/2021
carmel obrien	high bridge	NJ	8829 US	11/18/2021
Sheila Hartley	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/18/2021
Tee Overstreet	Colorado Springs	CO	80905 US	11/18/2021
Susie Purviance	ELIZABETH	CO	80107 US	11/18/2021
Bailey Churchwell	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/18/2021
Kathleen Frank	Akron	CO	80720 US	11/18/2021
Cameron Garner	Colorado Springs	CO	80920 US	11/18/2021
Theresa Palaia	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/18/2021
Kailey John	Cheyenne	WY	82001 US	11/18/2021
Laurinda Blackham	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/18/2021
James Roark	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/18/2021
Nicole Humphrey	Colorado Springs	CO	80904 US	11/18/2021
Cheryl Adelman	Colorado Springs	CO	80904 US	11/18/2021
Lisa Dereszynski	Overland Park	KS	66212 US	11/18/2021
Amanda Tinsley	Denver	CO	80909 US	11/18/2021
Gloria Mendoza	Elbert	CO	80106 US	11/18/2021
Jane Penley	Elbert	CO	80106 US	11/18/2021
Angela Oden	Colorado Springs	CO	80920 US	11/18/2021
Kerry Jibblits	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/18/2021
London Blanton	Muskogee		74403 US	11/18/2021
sasha stronczek	Kenosha		53142 US	11/18/2021
Katherine Sundgren	Leonardville		66449 US	11/18/2021
Torey Jibblits	Franktown	CO	80116 US	11/18/2021
Cardi Mosley	Westchester		60154-443 US	11/18/2021
Maria Beury	Chicago		60605 US	11/18/2021
katherine phelan	Newport News		23602 US	11/18/2021
Clara Skarbek	Corning		14830 US	11/18/2021
caitlin Thomas	Bellevue		98005 US	11/18/2021
Harley Gastineau	Carnegie		73015 US	11/18/2021
D Charles	Pensacola		32526 US	11/18/2021
Kathie Boley	Portland		97221 US	11/18/2021
Veronica Cox	Tampa		33618 US	11/18/2021
Oscar Aquino	Vineland		8360 US	11/18/2021
Tobias Hawkins			US	11/18/2021
Charles Bush	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/18/2021
Wendy Selvig	Colorado Springs	CO	80927 US	11/18/2021
Beverly Peloquin	Cypress	CA	90630 US	11/18/2021
Candice White	San Antonio	TX	78229 US	11/18/2021
Jill bouchard	Colorado Springs	CO	80906 US	11/18/2021
Matt Bloom	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/18/2021
Lesley Lopez	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/18/2021
Jessie Delker	Colorado Springs	CO	80911 US	11/18/2021
Matt Delker	Colorado Springs	CO	80915 US	11/18/2021
Stop TheseThings	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/18/2021
Jasmine Johnson	Colorado Springs	CO	80905 US	11/18/2021
Kaitlyn Richardson	Rockvale	CO	81244 US	11/18/2021

Akins Jessica	Colorado Springs	CO	80906 US	11/19/2021
Leah Braton	CALHAN	CO	80808 US	11/19/2021
Brenda Montes	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/19/2021
qin chen	Brooklyn		11220 US	11/19/2021
iana anderson	North Vernon		47265 US	11/19/2021
Jason Whiteaker	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/19/2021
Ashley Ranieri	Denver	CO	80220 US	11/19/2021
p Chan	Hercules	CA	94547 US	11/19/2021
Brian Galvador	Denver	CO	80208 US	11/19/2021
Stacey Whiteaker	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/19/2021
Rodney Doll	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/19/2021
Maria Gainsforth	Larkspur	CO	80118 US	11/19/2021
Susan Rule	Castle Rock	CO	80104 US	11/19/2021
Duran Michelle	Denver	CO	80208 US	11/19/2021
Ruth Caruso	Scottsdale	AZ	85257 US	11/19/2021
Bushman Bushman	Castle Rock	CO	80108 US	11/19/2021
Julia Murphy	Colorado Springs	CO	80910 US	11/19/2021
JADE SMITH	Colorado Springs	CO	80916 US	11/19/2021
Suzanne Fecteau	Larkspur	CO	80118 US	11/19/2021
Erin Rhoades	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/19/2021
Trish Spaeth	Castle Rock	CO	80108 US	11/19/2021
Cat Carroll	Baltimore	MD	21203 US	11/19/2021
Apryl Thomas	Kiowa	CO	US	11/19/2021
Deb Fletcher	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/19/2021
Jeremy Ardoin	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/19/2021
Brian Wooldridge	Englewood	CO	80113 US	11/19/2021
Heather Robertson	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/19/2021
Kelley Donigan	Kapaa	HI	96746 US	11/19/2021
Erin Smith	Etters	PA	17319 US	11/19/2021
Sullivan Juanita	Rush	CO	80104 US	11/19/2021
Mark Keller	Castle Rock	CO	80104 US	11/20/2021
Rayna Peneton	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/20/2021
Michelle Patrick	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/20/2021
Karen Wehrman	Elbert	CO	80106 US	11/20/2021
Amber Johnson	Denver	CO	80238 US	11/20/2021
Marissia Thomas	Bennett	CO	80102 US	11/20/2021
Sandy Menter	Denver	CO	80234 US	11/20/2021
Michael Welk	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/20/2021
Barbara Wind	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/20/2021
NANCY VRANESHNIEMI	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/20/2021
vincent cherian	ronkonkoma		11779 US	11/21/2021
Joanie "Jo" Johnston	Denver	CO	80220 US	11/21/2021
Lovell Annelise	Colorado Springs	CO	80918 US	11/21/2021
Jeff Brown	Pagosa Springs	CO	81147 US	11/21/2021
MJ Lindsey	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/21/2021
Carol Brown	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/21/2021
Jessica Rodriguez	Houston		77020 US	11/21/2021

Anthony Van Deloo	Amsterdam	NY	12010 US	11/21/2021
sien m	Alpena		49707 US	11/21/2021
Victoria Silsby	Buffalo		14103 US	11/21/2021
Nobody Important	Oklahoma City		73135 US	11/21/2021
Hunter bowden	Athens		30606 US	11/21/2021
Simon Keene	Bronx		10468 US	11/21/2021
Elias Miranda	Bridgewater		2324 US	11/21/2021
Courtney Krazka	Grand haven		49417 US	11/21/2021
Daniely Jones	Ashburn		20149 US	11/21/2021
Erica Williams	San Diego		92154 US	11/21/2021
Anonymous Resident			81008 US	11/21/2021
Barbara Elmlinger	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/21/2021
Nancy Thompson	Oviedo		32765 US	11/21/2021
Michael Kovalyak	Grand Forks		58201 US	11/21/2021
Melanie Rich	Bridgewater	MA	2324 US	11/21/2021
carl franson	Roseville		48066 US	11/21/2021
Andi Chekol	Washington		20005 US	11/21/2021
Janice Avis-Kirby	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/21/2021
Virginia Steinhart	Franktown	CO	80116 US	11/21/2021
Christina Frost	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/21/2021
April Bradley	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/21/2021
Ian Haugen	Littleton	CO	80123 US	11/21/2021
Karen Irvin	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/22/2021
Jason Rearick	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/22/2021
Patti Keith	Colorado Springs	CO	80922 US	11/22/2021
Kayla kerst	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/22/2021
Joseph Allen	Denver	CO	80210 US	11/22/2021
Zach Bradshaw	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/22/2021
Mishka Killgore	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/22/2021
Morgan Goode	Ramah Denver	CO	80832 US	11/22/2021
Lauren McIntyre	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/22/2021
Jennifer Richardson	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/22/2021
shawn bruder	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/22/2021
Barbara Arkey	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/22/2021
Nicholas Neiderhiser	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/22/2021
Stefanie Herren	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/22/2021
Sharon McMahan-Mulnix	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/22/2021
Michelle Riggs	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/22/2021
Stacey Bunting	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Chance Riggs	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
James Hansen	Denver	CO	80208 US	11/23/2021
Brandon Bunting	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Jennifer Clark	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Sara Riggs	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Madyson Buhring	Matheson	CO	80830 US	11/23/2021
James Black	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Taylor Riggs	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021

Shannon Walker	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/23/2021
Dalton L	Albuquerque	NM	87121 US	11/23/2021
Cierra Lobdell	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Rachel Black	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Anna Laidler	East Stroudsburg		18301 US	11/23/2021
Cynthia Chambers	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Esther Harmon	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/23/2021
Troy Tamis	Billerica		1821 US	11/23/2021
Meghan Zollars	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021
susan bruder	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/23/2021
Bonnie Clonts	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021
Cleon Humphrey	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/23/2021
Tammy Merryfield	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021
Carol Ward	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Travis Richardson	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/23/2021
Tonya Gilbert	Denver	CO	80232 US	11/23/2021
Gabriel Ramirez	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Tanya Lopez	Colorado Springs	CO	80906 US	11/23/2021
Abbigail Hill	San Francisco	CO	80833 US	11/23/2021
Samantha Berg	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/23/2021
Jeanne Watkins	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Weimert Wendy	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Jennifer Mihlfeld	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021
susan landry	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/23/2021
Tanya López-Torres	Colorado Springs	CO	80906 US	11/23/2021
Teodoro Lopez-Torres	Colorado Springs	CO	80906 US	11/23/2021
Tania Ramirez	Colorado Springs	CO	80906 US	11/23/2021
Magie Foster	Rush	CO	80831 US	11/23/2021
Johnny Redskin	Gaithersburg		20878 US	11/23/2021
Bryan Siegert	Edison		8817 US	11/23/2021
Melissa Wiseheart	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Jeff Wiseheart	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Nancy Hawkins	Frisco	TX	75035 US	11/23/2021
Luke Top	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Paula Dorcas	Dallas	TX	75270 US	11/23/2021
Brian Mihr	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Felicia Publicker	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Nancy Brooks	Denver	CO	80229 US	11/23/2021
Ali Gann	Denver	CO	80220 US	11/23/2021
yvonne fontaine	Eagle	CO	81631 US	11/23/2021
Kerri Cool	Colorado Springs	CO	80910 US	11/23/2021
Christina Hamacher	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021
Jacqueline Harding	Westcliffe	CO	81252 US	11/23/2021
Kayla Pfefferkorn	Colorado Springs	CO	80909 US	11/23/2021
Shannon Pfefferkorn	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/23/2021
Mary Cross	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Jessica George	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021

Alyzza valdivia	Pagosa Springs	CO	81147 US	11/23/2021
Joni Raygor	Colorado Springs	CO	80909 US	11/23/2021
Anna Dole	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Hernandez Krystal	Colorado Springs	CO	80910 US	11/23/2021
Jason Pfefferkorn	Denver	CO	80209 US	11/23/2021
Rachel Ponsor	Thornton	CO	80229 US	11/23/2021
Jeremy Gardner	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Jarrold Hasenbalg	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021
Katie Brown	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Ryan Borchardt	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Kim Kim	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/23/2021
Belinda Schneider-Irsik	Eagle	CO	81631 US	11/23/2021
Cynthia Hutt	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/23/2021
Jeanne Smullen	Rush	CO	80834 US	11/23/2021
Aubrey Savant	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Harold Dowers	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/23/2021
Alicia Rodgers	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Theresa Rabin	Elbert	CO	80831 US	11/23/2021
Gerry Bruening	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Cindy Cobb	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Carrie Terroux-Barrett	Denver	CO	80238 US	11/23/2021
Julie Fink	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
April Sigmon	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/23/2021
Brittany Sandell	Sedalia	CO	80135 US	11/23/2021
Denise Walsh	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Tina Valdez	Colorado Springs	CO	80919 US	11/23/2021
Felicia Miller	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Rachel Linnebur	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021
Claudia jones	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/23/2021
Laura Reyes	Colorado Springs	CO	80920 US	11/23/2021
Mendy Gonzales	Denver	CO	80205 US	11/23/2021
olivia lopez	Brooklyn		11234 US	11/23/2021
brady pagano	Philadelphia		19118 US	11/23/2021
Maribel Marulanda	New York		11106 US	11/23/2021
Lisa Montez	Tampa	FL	33602 US	11/23/2021
Michael Hintz	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/23/2021
Owen Brown	Neosho		64850 US	11/23/2021
Spencer Grande	Pelham		3076 US	11/23/2021
Dixieanna Rupe	Harrison	AR	72601 US	11/23/2021
jordyn peterson	Eau Claire		54701 US	11/23/2021
Graye Myers	Castle Rock		80104 US	11/23/2021
Amber Isabelle	Manchester		3104 US	11/23/2021
joanne maxwell	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/23/2021
Joe Cobb	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Vickie Huffor	Woodland Park	CO	80863 US	11/23/2021
Lynn Hayes	Elbert	CO	80106 US	11/23/2021
Katie Sedlak	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/23/2021

Robert Rodgers	San Antonio	TX	78211 US	11/23/2021
Kristen George	Colorado Springs	CO	80906 US	11/23/2021
Lauren Reents	Casper	WY	82601 US	11/23/2021
Cynthia Neumaier	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Lisa Wheeler	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/23/2021
David Oberosler	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/23/2021
Bruening Teresa C	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Deni Gilbert	Mckinney	TX	75069 US	11/23/2021
Joni Zimmerman	CALHAN	CO	80808 US	11/23/2021
Stephanie Madden	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Lara Herzog	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Seaman Melissa	Denver	CO	80209 US	11/24/2021
Taylor Cornell	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Geraldine Heisch	Colorado Springs	CO	80928 US	11/24/2021
Jaci Cole	Simla	CO	82935 US	11/24/2021
Aimee Sammauro	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/24/2021
Michelle Hattaway	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/24/2021
Jordan Stickler	Brush	CO	80864 US	11/24/2021
Dora Ennis	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/24/2021
Travis Ballinger	Denver	CO	80212 US	11/24/2021
Ethan Mease	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Kelly Lynch	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Barker Mary	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/24/2021
Kristy HAYES	Denver	CO	80107 US	11/24/2021
Kelly Lynch	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Danielle Ullom	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/24/2021
Tiffany Brickell	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Lindsey Noyes	Bennett	CO	80102 US	11/24/2021
Clinton Phipps	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/24/2021
Randall Whitman	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Sandra Messler	Colorado Springs	CO	80923 US	11/24/2021
Patricia Lynch	Denver	CO	80208 US	11/24/2021
Joni Sprouse	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/24/2021
Nathalie Snyder	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Patricia Meyer	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Arlene Obrien	Sedalia	CO	80135 US	11/24/2021
Jeb Billmire	Denver	CO	80205 US	11/24/2021
Cathy Douglas	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Mike Bates	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/24/2021
Marianne Newman	Denver	CO	80202 US	11/24/2021
Melissa Bringhurst	Denver	CO	80832 US	11/24/2021
Sarah Bates	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Elizabeth Cline	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/24/2021
Tyler Allen	Salida	CO	81201 US	11/24/2021
Stevens Denise	Denver	CO	80209 US	11/24/2021
Mitchell Bates	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Melissa Bates	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021

Shay Klecker	Denver	CO	80238 US	11/24/2021
Lynnsey Bogner	Agate	CO	80101 US	11/24/2021
Donald Chilcoat	Littleton	CO	80122 US	11/24/2021
Kristi Kadrie	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Theresa Kadrie	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Rick Kadrie	Denver	CO	80211 US	11/24/2021
Gladys Glaser	Colorado Springs	CO	80908 US	11/24/2021
Robbin Miller	Parker	CO	80134 US	11/24/2021
Nancyann Davidson	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Julie Walker	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Molly Stuchlik	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Jenifer Chappel	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/24/2021
Shannon Butler	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
kent wiens	Denver	CO	80226 US	11/24/2021
Kim Kissam	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Clarisse Burney	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/24/2021
Erin Bruening	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Nancy Sotomayor	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/24/2021
Pam Alexander	Seibert	CO	80834 US	11/24/2021
Justine Hensler	Larkspur	CO	80106 US	11/24/2021
Kati Gessler	Westlake Village	CA	91361 US	11/24/2021
Gary Lauer	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Lori Ellefson	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Jennifer Braun	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Augustus Warne	Stillwater	OK	74074 US	11/24/2021
Rosemarie Gittner	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/24/2021
Mark Smith	Denver	CO	80205 US	11/24/2021
Jospeh Miller	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Jennifer Mccoy	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/24/2021
Tera Taylor	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/24/2021
Tom McCracken	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/24/2021
Kerry Heurlin	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Rebecca Bugenhagen	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Patricia Jaques	Limon	CO	80828 US	11/24/2021
Christal Monger	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Michael Tomko	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/24/2021
Leslie Gilbert	Frederick	CO	80530 US	11/24/2021
Jason Bruening	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Patrick Draper	Bennett	CO	80102 US	11/24/2021
Jennifer Latimer	Elbert	CO	80106 US	11/24/2021
Karen Ray	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/24/2021
Julie Malchow	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Eric Swayze	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Kim Welk	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Benjamin Janes	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/24/2021
Brenda Kelly	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Alicia Natale	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021

Jessica Smith	Colorado Springs	CO	80910 US	11/24/2021
Kassandra Merryfield	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Scott Weatherlow	Denver	CO	80238 US	11/24/2021
Tina Glaser	Calhab	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
Amber Huey	Denver	CO	80223 US	11/24/2021
Rachel Bruening	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/24/2021
DEBORAH MEDINA	MATHESON	CO	80830 US	11/24/2021
Laura Nehl	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/24/2021
Shelley Runkle	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/25/2021
Natalie S.	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/25/2021
Rodney Kobilan	Colorado Springs	CO	80907 US	11/25/2021
James Nicholson	Peyton	CO	80808 US	11/25/2021
James Crim	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/25/2021
Susan Kamlan	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/25/2021
Sandra Dover	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/25/2021
Samantha Wolf	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/25/2021
Dana Bowman	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/25/2021
Shelley Dullaart	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/25/2021
McKenzi Digby	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/25/2021
Jordan Hansen	Wichita	KS	67204 US	11/25/2021
Lexi Lijewski	Varna	IL	61375 US	11/25/2021
Brittany Silva	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	11/25/2021
Jennie Oatman	Castle Rock	CO	80108 US	11/25/2021
Bruce Fosgate	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/25/2021
Cortney McClellan	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/25/2021
Theresa Ornelas	Las Vegas	NV	89110 US	11/25/2021
kacey phillips	Romulus	NY	14541 US	11/25/2021
Deidrea Hren	Myrtle Beach	SC	29575 US	11/25/2021
Ashley Wilmoth	Galesburg	IL	61401 US	11/25/2021
Amber DeWitt	Chicago	IL	60644 US	11/25/2021
Sharon Rotenberry	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/25/2021
Diantha Kitchen	Deltona	FL	32738 US	11/25/2021
A Hill	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/25/2021
Michelle Dial	Colorado Springs	CO	80930 US	11/25/2021
Elizabeth Hadden	Colorado Springs	CO	80918 US	11/25/2021
Sherri Gentzel	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/25/2021
Felicia Tunink	Denver	CO	80210 US	11/25/2021
Samantha Talbert	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/25/2021
Christine Schultz	Ramah	CO	80832 US	11/25/2021
Taylor Simons	Coloma	WI	54930 US	11/25/2021
Joshua Dill	Denver	CO	80205 US	11/25/2021
Owens Nichole	Mount Vernon	OH	43050 US	11/25/2021
Bryce Fox	Denver	CO	80208 US	11/25/2021
Jennifer Radcliffe	Colorado Springs	CO	80920 US	11/26/2021
Matt Bloom	Commack	NY	11725 US	11/26/2021
Lexie Roberts	Emory	TX	75440 US	11/26/2021
Breanna Hamilton	Colorado Springs	CO	80922 US	11/26/2021

Kaylee Killian	Grand Haven	MI	49417 US	11/26/2021
Amen Walker	Simla	CO	80835 US	11/26/2021
Helen Martin	Colorado Springs	CO	80909 US	11/26/2021
Clyde Waldrop	Colorado Springs	CO	80951 US	11/26/2021
Whitney Zimmerman	Colorado Springs	CO	80917 US	11/26/2021
Ashley Barnhill	Deer Trail	CO	80107 US	11/27/2021
Lana Horton	Parker	CO	80138 US	11/27/2021
Angela Grimes	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/27/2021
Lauren Gerk	Fleming	CO	80728 US	11/28/2021
Carla Lewis	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/28/2021
Cyndi Vandembark	Iliff	CO	80736 US	11/28/2021
Tami Hiner	Springfield	CO	81073 US	11/28/2021
Beth Nicklas	Elbert	CO	80106 US	11/28/2021
Cassidy Smith	Greeley	CO	80634 US	11/29/2021
Kimberly Speer	Colorado Springs	CO	80930 US	11/29/2021
Donald McCollumn	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/29/2021
Melissa Keck	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/29/2021
Becky McElroy	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/30/2021
Alan Meyer	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/30/2021
Nancy Meyer	Calhan	CO	80808 US	11/30/2021
Penny Wilson	Yoder	CO	80864 US	11/30/2021
Victoria Engle	Rush	CO	80833 US	11/30/2021
Clyde Waldrop	Colorado Springs	CO	80951 US	12/1/2021
Lois Miller	Calhan	CO	80808 US	12/2/2021
Chris Bliss	Rush	CO	80833 US	12/2/2021
Heather Grockett	Limon	CO	80828 US	12/2/2021
Rowdy Lindt	Rush	CO	80833 US	12/2/2021
Kim Knight	Colorado Springs	CO	80930 US	12/2/2021
Charles Gerk	Fleming	CO	80728 US	12/2/2021
Cheyenna Billington	Calhan	CO	80808 US	12/2/2021
Becky Malwitz	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	12/3/2021
Jeffrey Malwitz	Castle Rock	CO	80104 US	12/3/2021
Paula Howard	Rush	CO	80833 US	12/4/2021
Kristin Heikkila	Calhan	CO	80808 US	12/6/2021
Noah Morgan	Roscoe		61073 US	12/7/2021
Greg Marshall	Broomfield	CO	80023 US	12/7/2021
Lisa Hayes	Agate	CO	80101 US	12/7/2021
Linda Read	Colorado Springs	CO	80808 US	12/7/2021
Joelene Newcomer	Peyton	CO	80808 US	12/7/2021
Robert Poletiek	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/7/2021
Jennifer Hill	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/7/2021
David Espinoza	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/7/2021
Amber Bridges	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	12/7/2021
John Champ	Usaf Academy	CO	80841 US	12/7/2021
Colleen Gunderman	Calhan	CO	80808 US	12/7/2021
Amy Espinoza	Brush	CO	80117 US	12/7/2021
Theresa Burrell	Portland	ME	4103 US	12/8/2021

Rita Martin	Colorado Springs	CO	80916 US	12/8/2021
Shannon Hill	Denver	CO	80104 US	12/9/2021
Victoria Oswalt	Calhan	CO	80808 US	12/9/2021
Sj Bonham	El Paso County	CO	80915 US	12/10/2021
Jessie Gobeli	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/11/2021
Pete Lindt	Rush	CO	80833 US	12/15/2021
Sandra Wolfe	Calhan	CO	80808 US	12/20/2021
Maria Alfaro	Denver	CO	80214 US	12/20/2021
Jonathan Alfaro	Denver	CO	80214 US	12/20/2021
JUAN ALFARO	Ramah	CO	80832 US	12/20/2021
Becca Alfaro	Denver	CO	80214 US	12/20/2021
Jason Stuchlik	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/20/2021
Stephanie Alfaro Montes	Denver	CO	80214 US	12/20/2021
Oscar Patino	Denver	CO	80208 US	12/20/2021
Dee Bryant	Avondale	AZ	85323 US	12/20/2021
Jay Zamora	Denver	CO	80208 US	12/20/2021
Manuel Alfaro	Broomfield	CO	80020 US	12/20/2021
Maria Alfaro	Denver	CO	80208 US	12/20/2021
Gabriela Alfaro	Denver	CO	80208 US	12/20/2021
Nancy Valdez	Hanford	CA	93230 US	12/20/2021
Terry Lerma	Northglenn	CO	80233 US	12/20/2021
Michael Holland	Rocky Mount	VA	24151 US	12/20/2021
Jesse Flores	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/20/2021
Heather Holmes	Littleton	CO	80129 US	12/20/2021
Purity Tita	Oklahoma City	OK	73111 US	12/21/2021
David DeHoyos	Commerce city	CO	80022 US	12/21/2021
Katrina Dekoning	Denver	CO	80247 US	12/28/2021
Tara Lloyd	Colorado Springs	CO	80918 US	12/28/2021
Eric Jiblit	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/28/2021
Nancy Clark	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/28/2021
Miriam Schafer	Yoder	CO	80864 US	12/28/2021
Tamara Jurich	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	12/28/2021
Andrea Nimeth	Yoder	CO	80864 US	12/28/2021
Dena Palser	Otis	CO	80743 US	12/29/2021
Sally Strand	Otis	CO	80743 US	12/29/2021
Debbie BRANCH	Denver	CO	80204 US	12/29/2021
Jackie Webb	Keystone	SD	57751 US	12/29/2021
Jessica Lind	Colorado Springs	TX	80927 US	12/29/2021
James Digby	Simla	CO	80835 US	12/30/2021
Donna Bryant	Avondale	CO	80831 US	12/30/2021
Nicole Adinolfi	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/30/2021
Liz Hampton	Parker	CO	80138 US	12/31/2021
Sharon Friedman	Colorado Springs	CO	80909 US	12/31/2021
Danielle Schrader-Marshall	Broomfield	CO	80020 US	12/31/2021
Jim White	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	12/31/2021
David Womble			US	1/1/2022
Jackie Perkins	Myrtle Beach	SC	29577 US	1/2/2022

Mechemme Siska	Ramah	CO	80832 US	1/6/2022
Steven Lord	Denver	CO	80205 US	1/7/2022
Louis Hudson	Lafayette	CO	80026 US	1/7/2022
Maranda Ham	St. Peters	MO	63376 US	1/7/2022
Justin Hudson	Strasburg	CO	80136 US	1/8/2022
Cassandra Kirby	Calhan	CO	80808 US	1/8/2022
Barbie Witt	Colorado Springs	CO	80909 US	1/9/2022
Michelle Eberhart	Yoder	CO	80864 US	1/13/2022
Nancy Thelot	Maplewood		7040 US	1/13/2022
Ivan Ramos	Lexington		40505 US	1/14/2022
Daniel Pereira Filho		RI	US	1/14/2022
Cason Faught	Denver		80224 US	1/16/2022
Kristin Kessinger	Patchogue		11772 US	1/16/2022
brenda Fournier	yoder	CO	80864 US	1/17/2022
Andrea Alfaro	Denver	CO	80220 US	1/17/2022
Nicola Baldwin	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	1/30/2022
Fremont Bossow	Longmont		80504 US	1/30/2022
Kyle Oestman	Wray	CO	80758 US	1/31/2022
Jackie Seyler	Joes	CO	80822 US	1/31/2022
Jeff Weyerman	Strasburg	CO	80136 US	2/1/2022
Wendy Weatherly	Eckley	CO	80727 US	2/1/2022
Karen Holtman	Joes	CO	80822 US	2/1/2022
CARLA HAGOOD	Kiowa	CO	80832 US	2/4/2022
Herb Bargoot	Denver	CO	80238 US	2/10/2022
Timothy Tinnes	Ramah	CO	80832 US	2/16/2022
Nicole Larson	Ramah	CO	80832 US	2/17/2022
Daron Green	Conway		29527 US	2/18/2022
Julie Barnes	Lenoir		28645 US	2/18/2022
Julie Caufield	New York		10012 US	2/19/2022
Jude Sargent	Richmond		23220 US	2/19/2022
Ross Larson	Ramah	CO	80832 US	2/20/2022
Lucas Rabello	New York		10118 US	2/20/2022
Kimberleigh Roe	Asheville		28805 US	2/21/2022
Lexie Lukosky	Yoder	CO	80864 US	2/21/2022
Randi Palmer	Washington		15323 US	2/21/2022
Joshua Cohen	Denver	CO	80214 US	2/22/2022
Amber Robinson	Connersville		47331 US	2/22/2022
Tonya Hier	Elbert	CO	80106 US	2/24/2022
Brenda Muñoz	Los Angeles		90023 US	2/24/2022
Chad Frey	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	2/27/2022
brandon riggs	Ramah	CO	80832 US	2/27/2022
Mary Johnson	Colorado Springs	CO	80918 US	2/27/2022
Valentyina Alsheuski	Hoffman Estates		60169 US	2/27/2022
Margie White	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	2/27/2022
Keith Mccafferty	Ramah	CO	80832 US	2/27/2022
Marcy Borneman	silver spring		20904 US	2/27/2022
Kelly English	Ramah	CO	80832 US	2/27/2022

Rachel McMillin	Ramah	CO	80832 US	2/28/2022
avienda reckers	Sarasota		34233 US	2/28/2022
brooklynn fletcher	Cabot		72023 US	2/28/2022
Adam Kaluba	Burleson	TX	76028 US	2/28/2022
Natia Kaplyanskiy	Brooklyn		11235 US	3/1/2022
Brenda Bays	Gypsum	CO	81637 US	3/2/2022
Kristina Turnbull	Rush	CO	78713 US	3/3/2022
May Torres	Miami		33135 US	3/3/2022
Yasmin Campos	York		17404 US	3/4/2022
Ben Schneider	Madison		53704 US	3/4/2022
TJ Walker	Ooltewah		37363 US	3/4/2022
Cheyenne Minor	Bennett		27208 US	3/5/2022
Yasmine Horton	Bessemer		35020 US	3/5/2022
Alisha Glover			US	3/6/2022
Ellexis Tomlinson	Memphis		38127 US	3/6/2022
Lynn Stahler	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	3/6/2022
Hassam Carrasco	Gonzales		US	3/7/2022
Bryan Deng	San Francisco		94133 US	3/8/2022
norah mcguire	Bourbonnais		60914 US	3/9/2022
Christian Gallagher-peacock	Harrisville		2830 US	3/9/2022
Dwayne Bass	Dunn		28334 US	3/10/2022
Kali Simpson	Spokane		99201 US	3/10/2022
Leroy Baca	Amarillo		79106 US	3/10/2022
Ryan Cornella	Cañon City		81212 US	3/10/2022
Zakaria Aljohim	Dearborn		48126 US	3/11/2022
Alexander Harris	Dacula		30019 US	3/11/2022
Julian Kelly	Boston		2128 US	3/12/2022
Joshua Curphey	Peterborough		PE7 US	3/13/2022
Gabrielle Hood	El Paso		79938 US	3/14/2022
Anne Deem	Dallas	TX	76013 US	3/14/2022
Taylor Habib	Severn		21144 US	3/15/2022
Nicholas Crosson	New Albany		43081 US	3/16/2022
Jennifer Kubat	Peyton	CO	80831 US	3/17/2022
Gwynneth Bauer	Elbert	CO	80106 US	3/17/2022
David McMillin	Ramah	CO	80832 US	3/17/2022
Charla Skifalides	Denver	CO	80215 US	3/17/2022
Jessica corbett	Colorado Springs	CO	80911 US	3/17/2022
Taves Maciel	Colorado Springs	CO	80918 US	3/17/2022
Dave Ahrens	Pueblo	CO	81007 US	3/17/2022
Melinda Bower	Simla	CO	80835 US	3/17/2022
Christa Bruns	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/17/2022
Kathryn Britton	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	3/17/2022
Laura Hutson	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	3/17/2022
Randy Britton	Ramah	CO	80832 US	3/17/2022
Shane Goss	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/17/2022
Diane Sandoval	Colorado Springs	CO	80921 US	3/17/2022
Joshua Dill	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	3/17/2022

Eric Potts	Denver	CO	80251 US	3/17/2022
Curt Manyik	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/17/2022
Melinda Mazerall	Simla	CO	80835 US	3/17/2022
Jill Marostica	Rye	CO	81069 US	3/17/2022
Shauna Bjork	Fort Collins	CO	80525 US	3/17/2022
Angela Williams	Colorado Springs	CO	80908 US	3/17/2022
Erin Book	Colorado Springs	CO	80928 US	3/17/2022
Traci Grad	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	3/17/2022
Kim K Martirano	San Diego	CA	16803 US	3/17/2022
Melissa Holschuh	parker	CO	80138 US	3/17/2022
Stuart Butler	Castle Rock	CO	80104 US	3/17/2022
Caitlyn Kelly	Simla	CO	80835 US	3/17/2022
Bertha Koch	Denver	CO	80205 US	3/17/2022
Wallace Ferguson	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/17/2022
Eve Darrow	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	3/17/2022
RaeMarie Gordon-Knowles	Denver	CO	80202 US	3/17/2022
Roberta Jacobs	Greeley	CO	80634 US	3/17/2022
Jana Strain	Drumright	OK	74030 US	3/17/2022
Tess Berglund	Oak Creek	CO	80467 US	3/17/2022
Tiffany Guynn	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	3/17/2022
Varnum Rebekah	Peyton	CO	80831 US	3/17/2022
Carrie Mast	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/17/2022
Kirsten Traul	Castle Rock	CO	80108 US	3/17/2022
Ethan Bogel	Clearfield		16830 US	3/17/2022
becky whetten	Castle Rock	CO	80104 US	3/17/2022
Ingrid Betts	Ramah	CO	80832 US	3/17/2022
Janis Preese	Deer Trail	CO	80105 US	3/17/2022
Patsie Degges Jones	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/17/2022
KAROL S WELLS	APOLLO BEACH		33572 US	3/17/2022
Jason Gaumont	Florissant	CO	80816 US	3/17/2022
Marlene Lane	Broomfield	CO	80023 US	3/17/2022
Jean Haberkorn	Parker	CO	80138 US	3/17/2022
Andrea Cottongim	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/17/2022
Raymond Charles Avery Avery	Elbert	CO	80106 US	3/17/2022
J Marie	San Antonio		78212 US	3/17/2022
Eugene Devine	Denver	CO	80205 US	3/17/2022
Vicki Price	Aurora	CO	80011 US	3/17/2022
Elias Enright	Peyton	CO	80831 US	3/17/2022
Megan Sharp	Boise	ID	83713-268 US	3/17/2022
Mitchell Jergensen	Ramah	CO	80832 US	3/17/2022
Heather Grimes	Castle Rock	CO	80104 US	3/18/2022
Charisa Stone	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/18/2022
Tanya Glick	Lakewood	CO	US	3/18/2022
Kevin Schwab	Peyton	CO	80831 US	3/18/2022
Travis Richardson	Fort Worth	TX	76179 US	3/18/2022
Debbie Ullom	Port Isabel	TX	78578 US	3/18/2022
Alaina Dawson	Simla	CO	80835 US	3/18/2022

Neftali Roque	Newark		7103 US	3/18/2022
Wednesday Lewis	Lockport		14094 US	3/19/2022
Chase Richardson	Newport News	VA	23608 US	3/19/2022
Rex Younger	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	3/19/2022
Sheri Trout	Ramah	CO	80832 US	3/19/2022
Kathleen Baca			US	3/19/2022
Brandon Ragains	Rush	CO	80833 US	3/19/2022
Gayle Lucas	Elbert County	CO	80107 US	3/19/2022
Raylon Williams	Glen Carbon		62034 US	3/19/2022
Alice Markey	Hattiesburg		39402 US	3/22/2022
Vicki Maier	Montpelier		5602 US	3/22/2022
Derek Gendvil	Las Vegas		89117 US	3/22/2022
Luca M	Grand Ledge		48837 US	3/22/2022
Kaitlyn Richardson	Semmes		36575 US	3/23/2022
Leaf Sinor	Colorado		98109 US	3/23/2022
Nadia Sindi	Eugene		97440-005 US	3/24/2022
Milton McPeck	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/24/2022
The Many Lies of Roadside Zoo News			US	3/25/2022
Andrea Winter	Trinidad	CO	81082 US	3/26/2022
francine morales	Jamesburg		8831 US	3/26/2022
Bradley Jenkins	Talladega		35160 US	3/26/2022
Justin Bradbury	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/27/2022
candy zukowski	santa ana		92703 US	3/29/2022
Ana Cardenas	Austin		78758 US	3/29/2022
Joanaly León	San Francisco		94110 US	3/29/2022
megan travers	Orlando		32801 US	3/29/2022
Holdyn Haberman	Minneapolis		55439 US	3/30/2022
Bella Cooper	Houston		77080 US	3/30/2022
Jessi McCloud	Belgrade		59714 US	3/30/2022
Kathryn Rabalais	Dickinson		77539 US	3/31/2022
Samantha Sahagun	North Las Vegas		89084 US	3/31/2022
Jessica Ortega	Lynn		1902 US	3/31/2022
Mayrelis Santiesteban	New York		10118 US	4/4/2022
Prasanthie Arachchige	Hays	KS	67601 US	4/6/2022
Jacob Elbert	Beachwood		44122 US	4/6/2022
Andre Puig	Spring		77379 US	4/6/2022
Justin Kaufman	Fort Wayne		46815 US	4/7/2022
KeeDorian Johnson	Garland		75040 US	4/7/2022
Ozzie ?	No		No US	4/10/2022
Elizabeth (aka Paige) Evans	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	4/10/2022
Haitham Abukhalaf	Pacoima	CA	91331 US	4/10/2022
Amin Vapiwala	Bolingbrook		60490 US	4/10/2022
lea rodger	Greenport		11944 US	4/11/2022
Bruce Buffington	Canon City		81212 US	4/11/2022
Olivia Fuller	McKinney		75070 US	4/11/2022
Abdelrahman ibrahim	Angels Camp		95222 US	4/12/2022
Dylan Woll	We're		17522 US	4/12/2022

Hello There	Washington DC		20001 US	4/12/2022
Gautam Lakshmanarajan	Tracy		95376 US	4/13/2022
Aliyah Cukaj	Hampton Bays		11946 US	4/13/2022
Catherine Larson	Denver	CO	80238 US	4/14/2022
rachael Glogovsky	Lake Geneva		53147 US	4/14/2022
Sarah Weber	Bedford		47421 US	4/14/2022
Emme Hyun	Jacksonville		123445 US	4/14/2022
someone hi			US	4/14/2022
Esther Tai	Hilo		96720 US	4/15/2022
eva chowdhury	Bronx		10473 US	4/19/2022
Bridgette Broussard	Prairieville		70769 US	4/22/2022
Kristin Chen	Washington		20001 US	4/22/2022
Madison Grigsby	Piqua		45356 US	4/23/2022
Ally Sorg	Kimberly		83341 US	4/24/2022
Brian Green	Hesperia		92344 US	4/24/2022
Anthony Mendez	Dallas		75238 US	4/25/2022
Amanda Vaughn	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	5/1/2022
Lorri Kohle	Bennett	CO	80102 US	5/8/2022
max takeze	Denver	CO	80219 US	5/13/2022
JF W	Fort Bragg	NC	28307 US	6/12/2022
Karen Button	Fort Morgan	CO	80701 US	7/5/2022
Thomas Lasater	Englewood	CO	80112 US	8/1/2022
Lacie Harman	Akron	CO	80720 US	8/4/2022
Chelsea Arnold	Akron	CO	80720 US	8/4/2022
JoNell Mollohan	Sterling	CO	80751 US	8/4/2022
William Eugene, Jr. Essman	Akron	CO	80720 US	8/10/2022
Suzana Petrovic-Sweney	Johnstown	CO	80534 US	8/10/2022
Yuma County Horizon Alliance	Wray	CO	US	8/13/2022
Kimberly Weninger	Akron	CO	80720 US	8/20/2022
Jordan Isales	Centennial	CO	80112 US	10/10/2022
Tammy Blake	Ramah	CO	80832 US	10/16/2022
Justin Kuntz	Yuma	CO	80759 US	10/25/2022
Denise Sanchez	Denver	CO	80203 US	1/23/2023
Rachel Sims	Thornton	CO	80233 US	2/28/2023
Vivadean Investments	Denver	CO	80233 US	3/3/2023
alexander corriveau	Calhan	CO	80808 US	3/5/2023
Katy Gruenbaum	Ramah	CO	80832 US	3/5/2023
Maddie Shaw	Selinsgrove		17870 US	3/5/2023
Jaelynn Latimore	Anna		75409 US	3/5/2023
Maxx Kilmer	Phoenix		85008 US	3/5/2023
Lydia Woodburn	Cincinnati		45202 US	3/5/2023
Dahlia Isaac	Tacoma		98405 US	3/5/2023
Kokichi Oma	Los Angeles		90068 US	3/5/2023
Mikey Way	Ridley park		19081 US	3/5/2023
Jesus Gamboa			US	3/5/2023
Haylee Castillo	Lake luzerne		12846 US	3/5/2023
Carlee Parham	Brookland		72417 US	3/5/2023

idk idk	Davenport	52806 US	3/5/2023
Angie Jimenez	New Castle	81647 US	3/5/2023
Nicolas Moore	Urmom	39837 US	3/5/2023
Carli Swan	Wisconsin Rapids	54494 US	3/5/2023
Michael Casasola	Woodbridge	7095 US	3/5/2023
Mariah Garrison	Virginia Beach	23458 US	3/5/2023
Bleach Villa	Philadelphia	19106 US	3/5/2023
Simone Seiler	Austin	78703 US	3/5/2023
Michelle Martinez	Fort Worth	78244 US	3/5/2023
Chelsy Chima	Oakland	94607 US	3/5/2023
Kaylah Santos	Waukegan	60085 US	3/5/2023
Kandice Jones	Easley	29640 US	3/5/2023
Megan Gober	Murfreesboro	37128 US	3/5/2023
Crimson Dragon	Verona	53593 US	3/5/2023
Simon Pooh	Prosper	75078 US	3/5/2023
Emma Garcia	Brick	8724 US	3/5/2023
Giovanni Cali	Bay Shore	11706 US	3/5/2023
Jasmine Rivera	San pablo	94803 US	3/5/2023
Kevin Hernandez	Philadelphia	19120 US	3/5/2023
Gabriel Arboleda	Fairfax	22030 US	3/5/2023
Isabella Bernardo		US	3/5/2023
Sam Vitanov	Littleton	80122 US	3/5/2023
Finley Sheridan	Portland	97267 US	3/5/2023
leila hernandez	Lutz	33549 US	3/5/2023
Lana Jankowski	Cleveland	45290 US	3/5/2023
Fatima Cruz	Beloit	53511 US	3/5/2023
Cary Smith	Spokane	99212 US	3/5/2023
Victoria Taylor	Atlanta	30305 US	3/5/2023
Morrison Deitch	Bluffton	29910 US	3/5/2023
shso wakino	Arlington Heights	60004 US	3/5/2023
Zenia Brown	Dallas	75270 US	3/5/2023
Sarah Wohlford	New Canaan	6840 US	3/5/2023
Jese Dillan	The Bronx	10452 US	3/5/2023
Martina Schmidt	Long Beach	11561 US	3/5/2023
High Land		US	3/5/2023
Jillian Warren	Manchester	6040 US	3/5/2023
Narro Haje	Buffalo	14208 US	3/5/2023
Sophia Haaland	Scottsdale	85257 US	3/5/2023
Nevaeh Souza	Buxton	4093 US	3/5/2023
Holly Tran	Rowlett	75089 US	3/5/2023
Ruby Dominguez	Cypress	77433 US	3/5/2023
Jaime Turgeon	Richmond	23237 US	3/5/2023
Reagan Bradley	Lincoln	95648 US	3/5/2023
Stephanie Chen		US	3/5/2023
Haleigh Johnson	Los Angeles	90014 US	3/5/2023
Samantha Nunez	Waynesboro	30830 US	3/5/2023
ava van oss	Wisconsin	53132 US	3/5/2023

Abby B	Milford		6460 US	3/5/2023
Jaseuoia Mitchell	Gary	IN	46404 US	3/5/2023
Melissa Rosas	Encino		91406 US	3/5/2023
Rylee Lombardi	Bristol		24201 US	3/5/2023
rochelle f Fautanu	Fresno		52737 US	3/5/2023
chloe weaver	Richmond Hill		31324 US	3/5/2023
Matthew Reilly	Malvern		19355 US	3/5/2023
Pamela Griffin	waynesboro		30830 US	3/5/2023
Rei S.	Grants Pass		97526 US	3/5/2023
Jackson F	Fleming Island		32003 US	3/5/2023
Berry Moe	Buffalo		14214 US	3/5/2023
Andrew Floyd			US	3/5/2023
Adam wells Wells	Bettendorf		52722 US	3/5/2023
Vanessa Wootten	Tecumseh		74873 US	3/5/2023
Gillian Rush	Allen		75013 US	3/5/2023
Akira Hayashi	Stockton		95205 US	3/5/2023
Nicole Floyd	Honolulu		96816 US	3/5/2023
Madeline Mondschein	Charlottesville		22903 US	3/5/2023
Anna Jackson	Jacksonville		62650 US	3/5/2023
Jaime bryant	Louisville		40216 US	3/5/2023
Samantha Soto	San Antonio		78201 US	3/5/2023
Italy Pedi			US	3/5/2023
Kenzie Pflum	Chicago		60616 US	3/5/2023
Emma Carls	Parker		80134 US	3/5/2023
Phillip Hayes	Oklahoma City		73160 US	3/5/2023
ryanna c	Dayton		45459 US	3/5/2023
Macy Walls	Florence		41042 US	3/5/2023
Ava Spradling	Oklahoma City		73108 US	3/5/2023
otis kirby	Chatsworth		30705 US	3/5/2023
chelsea barron	Los Banos		93635 US	3/5/2023
Walter Constantin	Lehigh Acres		33971 US	3/5/2023
Barbara Johns	Philadelphia	PA	19126 US	3/5/2023
betty winholtz	morro bay	CA	93442 US	3/5/2023
David Scott	Northfield	MN	55057 US	3/10/2023
Denise Humbert	KIOWA	CO	80117 US	3/23/2023
C Turalski	Denver	CO	80246 US	8/8/2023
Jonathon Knowles	Bennett	CO	80102 US	9/6/2023
Toni Knowles	Bennett	CO	80102 US	9/7/2023
wl mullins	Denver	CO	80204 US	9/7/2023
Ahwh Shsgs	Holly Springs		27540 US	9/7/2023
Debbie E	Felton		19943 US	9/7/2023
jimmie foxall	Tucson		85711 US	9/7/2023
Pepe Hanif	Corona		92880 US	9/7/2023
Charisse Ruiz	Vancouver		98663 US	9/7/2023
Marilyn White	Ocean		7712 US	9/7/2023
Hudson Vonryann	Denver	CO	80204 US	9/7/2023
Vicki Steere	Bennett	CO	80102 US	9/28/2023

Christina Knight	Calhan	CO	80808 US	10/13/2023
Roxanne Paterniti	Denver	CO	80252 US	10/19/2023
nancy washburn	Denver	CO	80223 US	10/25/2023
Tracy Brewer	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/25/2023
Dean Maniaci	Castle Rock	CO	80104 US	10/25/2023
Katelyn Lennemann	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/25/2023
Johnathan Foley	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/25/2023
Sandi Ulmer	Kiowa	CO	80102 US	10/25/2023
Johnson Beyer	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/25/2023
Kurt Prinslow	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/25/2023
Stacy Morgan	Littleton	CO	80127 US	10/25/2023
Suzanne Hendtcks	Denver	CO	80252 US	10/25/2023
Austin Ward	Corvallis	OR	97330 US	10/25/2023
Suni Mills	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/26/2023
Melissa Foley	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/26/2023
Susan Shick	Denver	CO	80207 US	10/26/2023
Rebecca Hawkins	Denver	CO	80211 US	10/26/2023
John Kontrelos	Calhan	CO	80808 US	10/26/2023
Patricia arkebauer	Bennett	CO	80102 US	10/26/2023
Patricia Boozell	Denver	CO	80252 US	10/26/2023
Dale Bergman	Denver	CO	80252 US	10/26/2023
Jo Thiede	Castle Rock	CO	80108 US	10/26/2023
Araceli Estrada	Dallas		75238 US	10/26/2023
E. Thomas	Turlock		95380 US	10/26/2023
harper bobek			US	10/26/2023
Jack MacDonald-Hilton	Worcester		1609 US	10/26/2023
Laurel Enslin	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/26/2023
Rhonda Jostad	Bennett	CO	80102 US	10/26/2023
Andrea Wild	Denver	CO	80238 US	10/27/2023
Natalie Alexander	Irvine		92620-384 US	10/27/2023
Cindy Campbell	Denver	CO	80252 US	10/27/2023
Rob Lindsey	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	10/27/2023
Sandra Morris	Elbert	CO	80106 US	10/27/2023
Stephanie Young	Denver	CO	80252 US	10/27/2023
Qiong Miao	San Jose		95131 US	10/27/2023
Shirley Beyer	Denver	CO	80226 US	10/27/2023
Susan Ehalt	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/27/2023
Shannon Mayer	Aurora	CO	80040 US	10/27/2023
Jessica Heaton	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/27/2023
Maren Heaton	Denver	CO	80219 US	10/27/2023
Minna Edmunds	North Salt Lake	UT	84054 US	10/27/2023
Erica Clark	Denver	CO	80216 US	10/27/2023
Lara Curtis	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	10/27/2023
Debbie Hootman	Parker	CO	80138 US	10/28/2023
Donna Aeck	Highlands Ranch	CO	80126 US	10/28/2023
Alix Bissegger	Atlanta	GA	30301 US	10/28/2023
Cassandra Paul	Cañon City	CO	81212 US	10/28/2023

Henry Moise	Peyton	CO	80831 US	10/28/2023
diane pursell	Peyton	CO	80831 US	10/28/2023
Brittany Forrest	Evans		30809 US	10/28/2023
Jackson Brann	Missoula		59801 US	10/28/2023
Alisha Van Wagoner	Provo	UT	84604 US	10/28/2023
Orva M Gullett	Marion		43302-843 US	10/28/2023
thelma clark	Elizabeth	CO	80107 US	10/28/2023
Matthew Adkins	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	10/28/2023
Debra Sisk	Highlands Ranch	CO	80126 US	10/28/2023
Hollie Hurst	West Jordan	UT	84084 US	10/28/2023
Erika Rikhiram	Clermont		34711 US	10/29/2023
Christian RANGEL	Panorama City	CA	91402 US	10/29/2023
Rachel McDonald	Walnut Creek		94597 US	10/29/2023
Ranjeevan J	Boiling Springs		28017 US	10/29/2023
dennis clark	Denver	CO	80203 US	10/29/2023
Shawn Valenzuela	Castle Rock	CO	80104 US	10/29/2023
Diana Hoover	Colorado Springs	CO	80903 US	10/30/2023
Rebecca Culla	Elbert	CO	80106 US	10/31/2023
kathy teter	elbert	CO	80106 US	11/1/2023
Julie Cox	Peyton	CO	80831 US	11/1/2023
Tamara Rose	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/3/2023
Marian Morkes	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/7/2023
Terry Clayton	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/7/2023
Wyatt Estrada	North Salt Lake	UT	84054 US	11/11/2023
Patricia Britton	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	11/11/2023
John Ciolli	Etobicoke		M9V Canada	11/29/2023
jill angelichio	charlotte	NC	28204 US	1/4/2024
Christian Sparks	Kiowa	CO	80117 US	2/27/2024
K H	League City	TX	77573 US	4/7/2024

Name	City	State	Postal Cod	Country	Commented Date	Comment
Susan Thomas	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/16/2021	"The future quality of life in the proposed area is in jeopardy. The Yoder/Rush/ Truckton area is rapidly growing and many lives will be affected."
Penny Book	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/17/2021	"My grandchildren are 5th generation on our ranch. We work very hard to maintain our way of life. Xcels's mammoth power lines could run through all of our family's property. We value our way of life . We do not feel it is right that big companies can come in and ruin what we have built for generations."
Lori Carneal	Rush	CO	80808	US	11/17/2021	"I do not want these high voltage lines surrounding my home. We had power lines running through our property at our previous home and the constant noise made it impossible to sleep with windows open. We bought this property to raise our family and have our livestock in a less populated area, we choose to live in a rural area for a reason. We do not want to have our views of the landscape, nor our way of life changed."
TINA SNYDER	Peyton		80831	US	11/17/2021	"We love our open land and views, free roaming animals, and the being able to live away from power lines and overbuilding"
Dana Tinoco	Rush	CO	80833	US	11/17/2021	"Is not right for any company to come in and invade people's properties and lives just to benefit big cities. You are harming our way of life, our peace, our homes, our families. We left the city to raise our children peacefully and with grace in this beautiful rural area."
Nancy Mayes	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/17/2021	"This would bring high voltage power lines within yards of my home, affecting the overall wellness of my family and myself."
Fernando Tinoco	Rush	CO	80833	US	11/17/2021	"I moved away from the city to have tranquility and to raise my children away from the chaos of the city life. I'm a veteran and I'm not the only veteran out here, most veterans have some type of service connected disability and is one of the reasons we moved away from the crowded city life. High voltage lines will impact a lot of people out here in the rural area."
Lisa Dereszynski	Overland P	KS	66212	US	11/18/2021	"These can be relocated. Not safe."
Jane Penley	Elbert	CO	80106	US	11/18/2021	"Because I care"
Susan Rule	Castle Rock	CO	80104	US	11/19/2021	"It's the right think to do to protect Bijou Basin"
Karen Wehrman	Elbert	CO	80106	US	11/20/2021	"The basin is a very poor location for the power lines. I know others have spelled out the wildlife which will be effected by placing the power lines in the basin. Just don't do it."
Sharon McMahan-Mulnix	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/22/2021	"This proposed power line appears to go right through my property. I have a beautiful view of Pikes Peak and you want to destroy my view and property values because Denver needs power."
Cynthia Chambers	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/23/2021	"I am signing this because I oppose construction of these power lines in rural colorado. They will not benefit rural Colorado but are to benefit Denver. I am extremely opposed to the proposal as it will destroy the natural habitats and overall scenery and tranquility I grew up with and still enjoy."
Jeanne Watkins	Calhan	CO	80808	US	11/23/2021	"The power lines will go straight through my property. This is not what I thought the future of my property would be. It will destroy the area and hurt the value of properties."
Magie Foster	Rush	CO	80831	US	11/23/2021	"I have lived near these types of lines before and suffered migraines the entire time. I cannot live near them and will be forced to move if they are built across my property."
Tyler Allen	Salida	CO	81201	US	11/24/2021	"it would go through my grandparents property"
Lynnsey Bogner	Agate	CO	80101	US	11/24/2021	"We moved out here to be away from the city things. These towers will be ugly and disturbing. It also runs property value and upset our animals."
Kati Gessler	Westlake V	CA	91361	US	11/24/2021	"I live in Elizabeth Co"
Michael Tomko	Elizabeth	CO	80107	US	11/24/2021	"I do not want power lines in our county or back yard."
Susan Kamlan	Peyton	CO	80831	US	11/25/2021	"I do not support these high voltage lines"
patricia berg	Yoder	CO	80864	US	11/25/2021	"I do not want these around my property. I have livestock. We have a fragile unique ecosystem out here. When the rains come and water pools the toads reproduce the fairy shrimp do as well."
Diantha Kitchen	Deltona	FL	32738	US	11/25/2021	"The impact would adversely affect people I care about."
Greg Marshall	Broomfield	CO	80023	US	12/7/2021	"You're ruining the natural beauty, putting people's lives at risk for profit. It's wrong."
Colleen Gunderman	Calhan	CO	80808	US	12/7/2021	"This will cause more homes, more homes, where will the water come from to sustain these homes????"
Jackie Perkins	Myrtle Bea	SC	29577	US	1/2/2022	"This cause/petition hits home because my father was falsely accused of a crime and served time for it. I know what it's like to have a loved one behind bars for something outrageous and especially they didn't do it. We need reform to help protect our people from such injustice. There needs to be more recourse upon the heads of the wealthy and powerful."
Michelle Eberhart	Yoder	CO	80864	US	1/13/2022	"I am signing because I love our ranch and the peace and quiet it offers. Why should we have to pay the cost for those who chose to live in 5000-6000 sq ft homes. They will destroy the very last frontier in Colorado. Not on my Land. They want a fight expect one..."
brenda Fournier	yoder	CO	80864	US	1/17/2022	"I have live out hear for years to get rid of city life and bringing this in means that more and more people from the city will move out here because they have all the excesses they have in the city, I move out here to the country for piece and quiet not for this shit"
carla hagoon	Llitleton	CO	80120	US	3/17/2022	"Wildlife corridors and habitats must be protected in the Bijou Basin. Rural property values should not suffer and we should not be threaten with eminent domain to benefit the cities."
Melissa Holschuh	parker	CO	80138	US	3/17/2022	"I live off Kiowa-Bennett and don't want this anywhere close to our property as I'm sure anyone with property in the proposed path doesn't."
Marlene Lane	Broomfield	CO	80023	US	3/17/2022	"Better option are available."
max takeze	Denver	CO	80219	US	5/13/2022	"this is the stupidest thing i ahve ever seen"
Johnson Beyer	Kiowa	CO	80117	US	10/25/2023	"We value highly our quality of life in Elbert County and our residence near Kiowa, Colorado. Placement of these power lines will destroy everything we, and our neighbors, have worked so hard to achieve. We are against xcel routing their power lines near Kiowa and our property."

B-11: Jackie Thompson September 10, 2024



Jackie Thompson <jackmclr21@gmail.com>

Colorado's Xcel Power Pathway 1041

3 messages

Jackie Thompson <jackmclr21@gmail.com>
To: kariparsons@elpasoco.com

Tue, Sep 10, 2024 at 3:54 PM

Kari,

I am writing to express my objection to the Xcel high voltage powerlines to power DENVER at the expense of rural residents.

Xcel Energy is planning to construct high voltage powerlines all over Eastern Colorado along with many more wind and solar farms. Tri State is also planning on installing more wind farms which will also bring more high voltage powerlines to Eastern Colorado. Rural Coloradoans are just becoming serfs to Denver – losing water, views and quiet to their wind and solar farms and now the multitude of high powered transmission lines that connect them. Eastern Colorado does not receive energy at all from Xcel Energy.

I read a news article that stated Rep Sonnenberg was initiating state legislation for setbacks on wind farms and could possibly apply to powerlines. The article I read stated "Sonnenberg said. "I will work to develop a new land use policy regarding renewable energy. It doesn't make sense that we have setbacks for oil and gas production but a wind farm can place a turbine with no restrictions according to the current land use policies in place." I appreciated his effort. Why can't the El Paso County Commissioners pursue a set back. Those of us living in the Eastern Colorado Plains would appreciate a collaborative effort to work on legislation such as Sen Sonnenberg has suggested. We are organizing all across Eastern Colorado on a united front against the renewable intrusion.

All landowners in Eastern Colorado should be able to participate in what is becoming the renewable energy center in Colorado. The wind and solar farms and corresponding high voltage transmission lines will affect all of us living east of major population centers and are popping up everywhere. These farms and lines may be accepted by many major landowners who will be compensated but will wreck the property values, views and serenity of those who live nearby. The landowners near these farms and lines will receive no compensation but will receive all of the negatives.

I contacted Western Land Alliance who has participated in policy making for the Colorado Oil and Gas Commission. They connected me with an approach that worked really well in the northern Rockies. In this case it got support from both the energy companies and the affected local communities. <https://vimeo.com/50647103>

There are transmission lines being challenged in Iowa/Wisconsin because they run through a wildlife sanctuary. Audubon says millions of birds are killed by running into these lines. <https://www.audubon.org/news/bird-safety-concern-national-push-build-more-power-lines?fbclid=IwAR3P2e0CwjOLcG6Cay82HbNhic-vK0lftxAJMWnGqWIFI7dJhXI0e9eaCBk> It is estimated that up to 152,000 hoary bats are killed by wind turbines each year. This is really a national issue as it feels like everyone is just rushing to throw these up. In California, the electric company PG&E just paid a settlement for causing multiple fires and are now burying their lines. We are so concerned with fire danger in Eastern Colorado. Our humidity levels have been in the teens especially lately during drought.

Please consider in energy policies, not just the renewables for the populated areas, but those of us who are going to bear the burden of these policies. There should be set backs, etc. The wind farm in Calhan is a travesty to those who live under it. So many homes affected by them.

Jackie Thompson

Ramah, CO

Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>
To: Jackie Thompson <jackmclr21@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 10, 2024 at 4:08 PM

Jackie- Thank you for your comments. I have placed the letter in the file folder in EDARP and it will be attached to the staff report for the Commissioners viewing.

B-12: Jackie and Tim Thompson September 10, 2024



Jackie Thompson <jackmclr21@gmail.com>

Colorado's Xcel Power Pathway 1041

5 messages

Jackie Thompson <jackmclr21@gmail.com>
To: kariparsons@elpasoco.com

Tue, Sep 10, 2024 at 4:04 PM

This correspondence is to express my opposition to the Segment 5 – Tundra Harvest Mile high powered transmission line as proposed by Xcel Energy. Besides the unappealing esthetic, there are many valid reasons to move the route to a less populated area further east.

According to an article from the National Assoc of Realtors dated Aug 18, 2018, high power lines devalue property up to 45%. If these lines ran on my neighbors property, I would receive no compensation for that devaluation.

The transmission line also requires a clearing of 150 ft. All tress and structures will need to be cleared. There are multiple stands of old cottonwood trees and multiple watering holes where numerous pronghorn, deer and coyote gather. There are numerous hawk and owl nests. I am also concerned they will use herbicides to clear the right of way and as a way to keep it clear.

I asked a regenerative grazing facebook group I belong to if any of them were affected by high powered transmission lines. Most of these answers came from farms that encompassed hundreds of acres. Some of the negative impacts were:

- Induction. We have a high power transmission line through us, and amazed at how much leaks through induction. I haven't been game to hook my energiser up to the top of the lane 30mtres from the centre line. We have a 345 tower line running through one of our farms and the fence is hot from it and on a foggy morning or heavy mist you'll get shocked touching the pickup truck. It's a continuous power not pulsing like an energiser. I put little lights on my lines so I can look out and see the powers on at night. Energisers pulse the lights, big line is continuous via induction. More in high air moisture.

- Spoiled Hay. Have high power lines running through our farms from the nuclear plant 40mi away. We discovered that wrapped hay under a high powered line does not ferment properly (hay spoils), so something is going on with anaerobic bacteria at least. Probably because the high moisture environment is more electrically conductive.

- Chemical Spraying. The only problem I have with the power lines is the company hiring morons to spray a mix of 2 different all kills on the easement.

I and many other landowners especially in the pristine Bijou Basin in Elbert County request the lines be routed further east where landowners hold hundreds of acres and homes are miles apart. Much of that land is treeless and flat. They may gladly welcome the additional compensation for the right of way.

Audubon Magazine published an article dated July 29, 2021 "Bird Safety a Concern in National Push to Build More Power Lines". According to the article, "electrical wires are a significant threat to birds; by one widely cited estimate, between 8 million and 57 million birds die each year in the United States by colliding with them". Eastern El Paso county is in the migratory flight of the sand hill crane. There are many hawks, eagles and owls just to name a few. A transmission line through Iowa, Wisconsin is being legally challenged –"Their legal challenges don't merely concern this one power line, the groups say—they're about setting a precedent to ensure that the nation doesn't sacrifice wildlife and public natural areas as it embarks on a monumental expansion of its transmission network."

Back in 2002, Xcel Energy proposed high powered lines on this same route and faced stiff opposition. 95 percent of the landowners along the corridor opposed the routes. .Xcel was forced to look for new routes. Why consider this same route again?

Again, we oppose the Tundra-Harvest high powered transmission lines proposed route.

Jackie and Tim Thompson

Ramah, CO

Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>
To: Jackie Thompson <jackmclr21@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 10, 2024 at 4:14 PM

Thank You for your correspondence, this will be placed in the EDARP file and attached to the staff report.



Kari Parsons
Principal Planner
E.P.C. Planning & Community Development
719.520.6306 719.373.8562
<https://planningdevelopment.elpasoco.com/>

To review all El Paso County projects in **EDARP** go to: <https://epcdevplanreview.com/>

From: Jackie Thompson <jackmclr21@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2024 4:04 PM
To: Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>
Subject: Colorado's Xcel Power Pathway 1041

You don't often get email from jackmclr21@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

B-13: Anonymous July 15, 2025 Provided to Xcel Energy by email on July 15, 2025

From: [Hennig, Tiffany A](#)
To: [Chester, Jennifer L](#); [Phippen, Stephanie](#); [Michel, Taylor](#); [McCall, Sarah](#)
Subject: El Paso Comment from Kari
Date: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:16:15 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from an external sender. Verify the source before opening links or attachments.

From: Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 3:15 PM
To: Hennig, Tiffany A <Tiffany.A.Hennig@xcelenergy.com>
Subject: FW: power pathway

EXTERNAL - STOP & THINK before opening links and attachments.

There are several opposition letters in EDARP. Please make sure to address concerns in your presentation to the Board.

From: bt.construction@netzero.net <bt.construction@netzero.net>
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2025 1:59 PM
To: Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>
Subject: power pathway

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

i live at 6915 s Johnson rd. i oppose this line and by the looks of it it's going to cut my property in half, not even along property line. this line would be right outside my back door. I'm disabled and bought this place to live out my days. I'm on a limited income and cannot just get up and leave. they want to put this line right about through where I spend all my time outside. this is going to destroy my peace and quit. looks like it's going to be so close to my back door I'll be able to hear the lines in the house. I don't have the money to fight this and i know the little guy gets squished like a bug.so I do not want this at all but I'm sure this matters to no one. i would at the very least like to not have the line run down the middle of my property splitting it in half seems out of line. going right across the hill i planned to build on at some point as the view is very good and i could see for a very long way. the best part of my property is looking like what they want. All this is going to be very hard on my health with all the stress. I never in a million years thought i would have to deal with this crap out here. i moved out here just to be away from everything to peace and quiet and now that's all going to be destroyed. if I'm going to be forced to have this stick shoved up my butt, could it at least be at the end of my property as almost all of the lines runs down a road or property line I would like the same at the very least. this is a very discouraging thing to happen. there is so much

vacant land out here why can't it be put on all that. I've spent so much time and money planting hundreds of trees to create a good environment and now all my efforts and time will be in vain. This is very disappointing and makes me angry to have my life changed so drastically.