

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC. 545 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 210 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 633-2868 FAX (719) 633-5430 E-mail: <u>lsc@lsctrans.com</u> Website: http://www.lsctrans.com

# Carriage Meadows South Updated Traffic Impact and Access Analysis (LSC #164240) June 21, 2017

#### **Traffic Engineer's Statement**

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.

Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E.,	#31684 COLORADO LICENDE	<u> </u>
	Щ 31684 8	

#### **Developer's Statement**

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

Alf have

feiltz



LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC. 545 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 210 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 633-2868 FAX (719) 633-5430 E-mail: <u>lsc@lsctrans.com</u> Website: http://www.lsctrans.com

June 21, 2017

Mr. Jeff Mark The Landhuis Company 212 North Wahsatch Avenue, Suite 301 Colorado Springs, CO 80903

> RE: Carriage Meadows South El Paso County, Colorado Updated Traffic Impact and Access Analysis LSC #164240

Dear Mr. Mark:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this updated traffic impact analysis for the 234lot Carriage Meadows South residential development to be located south of Fontaine Boulevard and east of Marksheffel Road within the Lorson Ranch development in El Paso County, Colorado. The site location is shown on Figure 1.

#### **REPORT CONTENTS**

The report contains the following:

- Recent/current street and traffic conditions adjacent to and in the vicinity of the site including the street widths, lane geometries, traffic controls, posted speed limits, street classification, etc.
- Existing traffic volumes at the key intersections in the vicinity of the site and estimates of short-term and 2040 background traffic volumes.
- The projected average weekday and peak-hour vehicle-trips to be generated by the site.
- The assignment of the projected trips to the adjacent street system.
- The resulting short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes on the street system.
- The resulting traffic impacts. The traffic impacts have been quantified by determining the future levels of service at the intersections of Marksheffel Road/Lorson Boulevard, Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard, the proposed street connection to Fontaine Boulevard, and the proposed site access point intersections on Lorson Boulevard.
- Recommendations for street functional classification, the Lorson Boulevard intersections, traffic controls, and auxiliary turn lanes.

#### SITE DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE

The Carriage Meadows South site is planned to be developed with 234 lots for single-family homes. A street connection is proposed to Fontaine Boulevard about 1,080 feet east of Marksheffel Road. Staff has indicated this street connection will require a deviation to the *El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual*. A deviation request has been prepared and included with this resubmittal. Public street access points to the future Lorson Boulevard are planned at about 900 and 1,900 feet east of Marksheffel Road. The site plan is shown in Figure 2.

# **ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

#### **Area Roadways**

Figure 1 shows the roadways in the vicinity of the site. The major roadways are identified below, followed by a brief description of each.

- **Marksheffel Road** extends north from the Link Road/C&S Road intersection in Fountain, Colorado to north of Woodmen Road. Marksheffel Road is shown as a future four-lane Expressway on the County *Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP)*. The posted speed limit on Marksheffel Road at Fontaine Boulevard is 45 miles per hour (mph). The PPRTA is currently upgrading Marksheffel Road between Mesa Ridge Parkway and Bradley Road. Road construction is underway. This includes intersection improvements at the Fontaine Boulevard intersection.
- Fontaine Boulevard is designated as a four-lane Urban Principal Arterial from Marksheffel Road east to Stingray Lane and has been constructed as such. The applicant will be dedicating 130 feet of right-of-way east of Stingray Lane for a future four-lane Principal Arterial. The north half-section will be constructed as development progresses east. The section west of Marksheffel is shown on the *Major Transportation Corridors Plan* as a two-lane Minor Arterial. The cross section from Marksheffel to Cottonwood Grove Drive has been constructed as a mix of rural and urban cross sections and the section between Cottonwood Grove Drive and Powers is a rural two-lane roadway section. The posted speed limit on Fontaine Boulevard is 35 mph just east of (and a short distance west of) Marksheffel Road. The speed limit increases to 45 mph just east of the bridge over Jimmy Camp Creek.
- Lorson Boulevard is a planned continuous roadway that will extend from Marksheffel Road about one-half mile south of Fontaine Boulevard east across both Jimmy Camp Creek and the East Tributary. Lorson Boulevard will be classified as an Urban Non-Residential Collector Street. The street width will be modified for a 44-foot street width rather than the standard 52-foot street width per the approved deviation. In the short term, Lorson Boulevard is planned to extend through this project, across Jimmy Camp Creek to Stingray Lane.

#### **Existing Traffic Conditions**

Figure 3 shows the recent traffic volumes at the intersection of Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard. The traffic volumes were based on traffic counts conducted by LSC in March 2017. The traffic count reports are attached.

#### **Existing Levels of Service**

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection. Level of service is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A represents control delay of less than 10 seconds for unsignalized and signalized intersections. LOS F represents control delay of more than 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections and more than 80 seconds for signalized intersections. Table 1 shows the level of service delay ranges.

Table 1   Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges												
	Signalized Inte	rsections	Unsignalized Intersections									
Level of Service	Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle)	<b>V</b> / <b>C</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) <sup>(2)</sup>									
А	10.0 sec or less	less than 0.60	10.0 sec or less									
В	10.1-20.0 sec	0.60-0.69	10.1-15.0 sec									
с	20.1-35.0 sec	0.70-0.79	15.1-25.0 sec									
D	35.1-55.0 sec	0.80-0.89	25.1-35.0 sec									
E	55.1-80.0 sec	0.90-0.99	35.1-50.0 sec									
F	80.1 sec or more	1.00 and greater	50.1 sec or more									
(1) Source: Trans	sportation Research Circular	212										
(2) For unsignalized intersections if V/C ratio is greater than 1.0 the level of service is LOS F regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle.												

The intersection of Marksheffel/Fontaine was analyzed to determine the existing levels of service using Synchro. Figure 3 shows the level of service analysis results. As shown on the figure all movements this intersection are currently operating at a level of service C or better during the peak hours. The level of service (LOS) reports are attached.

# SHORT-TERM (YEAR 2020) BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Background traffic is the traffic estimated to be on the roadways without the Carriage Meadows South traffic. Background traffic includes the existing traffic and increases in through traffic on Marksheffel Road due to both regional growth and the extension of Mesa Ridge Parkway east to Marksheffel Road. The portion of the existing traffic volumes were also assumed to be rerouted due to the extension of Mesa Ridge Parkway east to Marksheffel Road. A portion of the existing traffic that currently travels to and from the west on Fontaine Boulevard was assumed to shift to travel to and from the south on Marksheffel Road to this new connection. The short-term background traffic also includes additional traffic generated by buildout of the residential portion of Lorson Ranch subdivisions north of Lorson Boulevard between Jimmy Camp Creek and the East Tributary and the Carriage Meadows North subdivision located north of Fontaine Boulevard and east of Marksheffel Road but assumes zero traffic for parcels east of the east tributary and zero traffic generated by Carriage Meadows South. The short-term background volumes assume Lorson Boulevard has been extended across and east of Jimmy Camp Creek to Stingray Lane. A portion of the existing traffic was assumed to be rerouted to use this new connection. Note: This scenario assuming a new bridge across Jimmy Camp Creek prior to any new subdivisions after Carriage Meadows South has been carried through from earlier Carriage Meadows reports, however as noted in the recently completed Lorson East report, another potential scenario would be development of dwelling units in Lorson Ranch East until the dwelling unit cap is reached prior to the construction of the bridge over Jimmy Camp Creek. Under the scenario in the Lorson Ranch East report, the bridge over the East Tributary would be constructed before the bridge over the main channel of Jimmy Camp Creek. The short-term background traffic volumes are shown in Figure 4.

#### 2040 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Figure 5a shows the projected 2040 background traffic volumes. The 2040 background traffic volumes are based on estimates of traffic projected to be generated at buildout of the Lorson Ranch Sketch Plan and traffic volumes shown in the *Marksheffel Road South Corridor Preservation Plan* dated July 2014. The 2040 background volumes assume Lorson Boulevard has been extended east of Jimmy Camp Creek and the East Tributary.

Figure 5b shows the 2040 lane geometry and projected level of service for the intersections of Marksheffel/Lorson, Marksheffel/Fontaine and Fontaine/Carriage Meadows.

# **TRIP GENERATION**

Estimates of the traffic volumes expected to be generated by the site have been made using the nationally published trip generation rates found in *Trip Generation*,  $9^{th}$  *Edition*, 2012 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Table 1 shows the results of the trip generation estimates.

As shown in Table 2, the site could be expected to generate about 2,228 new vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about 1,114 vehicles entering and 1,114 vehicles exiting in a 24-hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 44 vehicles would enter and 132 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 147 vehicles would enter and 87 vehicles would exit the site.

# TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The directional distribution of the site-generated traffic volumes on the street and roadway system serving the site is one of the most important factors in determining the site's traffic impacts. Figure 6 shows the external trip distribution estimates (external to Lorson Ranch). The directional distribution estimates have been based on the location of the site with respect to the regional residential employment, commercial, and activity centers; the land use proposed; the access/roadway connections assumed; and the roadway network system. The directional distribution estimate assumes Mesa Ridge Parkway has been extended east to Marksheffel Road.

When the external trip distribution percentages (from Figure 6) are applied to the trip generation estimates (from Table 2), the resulting site-generated traffic volumes can be determined. Figures 7 and 8 show the short-term and long-term site-generated traffic volume estimates, respectively. The short-term site-generated traffic volumes assume all trips generated by Carriage Meadows South have origins and destinations outside of Lorson Ranch. The long-term site-generated volumes assume a portion of the trips will travel within the Lorson Ranch Development to and from the planned commercial areas to be located near the intersection of Carriage Meadows Drive/Fontaine Boulevard and the planned school site located north of Fontaine Boulevard and east of the east tributary. The number of vehicle-trips assigned within the Lorson Ranch *Sketch Plan Traffic Technical Memorandum* by LSC dated April 15, 2016. Internal trips from this site are shown in Table 2.

# **PROJECTED TOTAL TRAFFIC**

Figure 9a shows the short-term (year 2020) total traffic volumes. These short-term volumes are the sum of the short-term background traffic volumes (from Figure 4) plus the short-term site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 7).

Figure 10a shows the 2040 total traffic volumes. These 2040 total traffic volumes are the sum of the 2040 background traffic volumes (from Figure 5a) plus the long-term site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 8). Figure 10a also shows the projected 2040 traffic volumes at the intersection of Fontaine/Carriage Meadows assuming the future retail parcels within Lorson Ranch have not been developed by 2040. These volumes are for use in the traffic signal warrant analysis for this intersection only.

# **PROJECTED LEVELS OF SERVICE**

The intersections of Marksheffel/Lorson, Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard, and Fontaine Boulevard/Carriage Meadows and the two site access points to Lorson Boulevard have been analyzed to determine the projected levels of service for the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic volumes based on the signalized method of analysis from Synchro and the unsignalized method of analysis procedures outlined in the *Highway Capacity Manual, 2010 Edition* by the Transportation Research Board. The level of service reports are attached. The results of the analysis are shown in Figures 4, 5b, 9b and 10b.

Figure 9b shows the short-term lane geometry and projected level of service for the intersections of Marksheffel/Lorson, Marksheffel/Fontaine, Fontaine/Carriage Meadows, and the site access points to Lorson Boulevard.

Figure 10b shows the 2040 lane geometry and projected level of service for the intersections of Marksheffel/Lorson, Marksheffel/Fontaine, Fontaine/Carriage Meadows, and the site access points to Lorson Boulevard.

# Marksheffel/Fontaine

The signal-controlled Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard intersection is projected to continue to operate at a level of service D overall or better based on the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic conditions.

# Marksheffel/Lorson

Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes all movements at the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson are projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours as a Stopsign-controlled intersection (Stop-sign on the westbound approach). By 2040, it was assumed that this intersection would be signal controlled. As a signalized intersection all movements are projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours based on the projected 2040 background and total traffic volumes.

#### **Fontaine/Carriage Meadows**

Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes all movements at the intersection of Fontaine/Carriage Meadows are projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours as a two-way Stop-sign-controlled intersection. By 2040, it was assumed that this intersection would be signal controlled. As a signalized intersection all movements are projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours based on the projected 2040 background and total traffic volumes.

#### **Lorson Boulevard Site Access Points**

The proposed site access points to Lorson Boulevard are projected to operate at level of service B or better as Stop-sign-controlled intersections based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes. By 2040 the northbound approaches at both access points are projected to operate at LOS E during the afternoon peak hour.

# **TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS**

# Lorson Boulevard/Fontaine Boulevard

The intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson was analyzed to determine if a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant threshold would be reached or exceeded based on the projected short-term morning and afternoon peak-hour total traffic volumes. The results of the analysis are shown in Figure 11. The traffic volumes shown are based on the short-term total traffic volumes shown in Figure 9a. The minor approach volumes were assumed to include the westbound left-turn movements only. As shown in the figure, the thresholds for a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant are projected to be exceeded based on the morning and afternoon peak hours. This analysis using the peak hours is intended to provide an indication that a warrant may be met or is close to being met. In order for a Four-Hour Traffic Signal Warrant to be satisfied, the volume threshold would need to be met for two additional hours of the day. For example, the four-hour warrant would be satisfied with the volume thresholds met for one hour in the morning, two hours (instead of the one-hour peak) during the afternoon peak period, and an hour during the mid-afternoon.

Figure 11 also shows the projected short-term peak-hour volumes under another potential scenario presented in the recently submitted Lorson Ranch East traffic impact study. Under this scenario the bridge over the East Tributary would be constructed before the bridge over the main channel of Jimmy Camp Creek. The short-term volumes on the minor approach of the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson for this scenario include traffic projected to be generated by Carriage Meadows South and the future townhomes to be located south of Fontaine Boulevard and east of Carriage Meadows Drive only. As shown in Figure 11, the thresholds for a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant are projected to be met for the morning peak hour only under the scenario where Lorson Boulevard is not constructed across the main channel of Jimmy Camp Creek. The afternoon peak hour is just above the minimum 80-vehicle-per-hour threshold. It is unlikely that three other hours could be found that would meet the threshold if Lorson Boulevard is not extended across the Jimmy Camp Creek main channel.

#### **Fontaine Boulevard/Carriage Meadows South**

The intersection of Fontaine/Carriage Meadows was analyzed to determine if a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant threshold would be reached or exceeded based on the projected 2040 morning and afternoon peak-hour total traffic volumes with and without development of the retail parcels within the Lorson Ranch development. The results of the analysis are shown in Figure 12. The traffic volumes shown are based on the 2040 total traffic volumes shown in Figure 10a. The minor approach volumes were assumed to include the northbound left-turn movements, the northbound through movements, and fifty percent of the northbound right-turn movements. As shown in the figure, the thresholds for a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant are only projected to be exceeded based on the morning and afternoon peak hours once the commercial parcel southwest of the intersection of Fontaine/Carriage Meadows is developed.

# QUEUING

A queuing analysis was performed using Synchro/SimTraffic to determine the vehicle queue lengths that could be expected at the site access points to Lorson Boulevard. The projected 2040 morning and afternoon peak-hour traffic volumes were used in the model. The simulation was run five times. The queuing report is attached.

Mr. Jeff Mark Carriage Meadows South

The results of the queuing analysis show that the maximum southbound queue length is about 52 feet long at the east access point and 63 feet at the west access point. There is about 100 feet of storage available between Lorson Boulevard and the first internal street (Becksworth Drive) at both the east and west intersections.

#### LORSON BOULEVARD FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION AND CROSS SECTION

Lorson Boulevard will be classified as an Urban Non-Residential Collector Street. The street width will be modified for a 44-foot-wide street rather than the standard 52-foot-wide street per the approved deviation. The projected 2040 total daily traffic volume on Lorson Boulevard just east of Marksheffel Road is 12,880 vehicles per day. This volume could be accommodated by a three-lane cross section (one through lane in each direction with a center two-way left-turn lane and right-turn lanes where warranted). The striped center turn lane would be 12 feet wide. The through lanes would be 14 feet wide (exclusive of curb and gutter). Travel lanes would be for shared use (bicycles).

# **RECOMMENDED INTERNAL STREET CLASSIFICATIONS**

Figure 13 shows the estimated average weekday traffic volumes and Yeebinfalended street classifications for the Carriage Meadows South internal streets and the street containe Boulevard.

TRAFFIC SIGNAL ESCROW PERCENTAGES/AMOUNTS

# Lorson Boulevard/Fontaine Boulevard

As shown in Figure 11, the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson is likely to meet a traffic signal warrant based on the short-term total traffic volumes, however, those volumes assume a new bridge across Jimmy Camp Creek prior to any new subdivisions after Carriage Meadows South. As noted in the recently completed Lorson East report, another potential scenario would be development of dwelling units in Lorson Ranch East until the dwelling unit cap is reached prior to the construction of the bridge over Jimmy Camp Creek. Under this scenario a traffic signal is not projected to be warranted at the intersection of Lorson/Fontaine in the short-term. The freed for a traffic signal and the escrow amounts towards that signal should be evaluated once the timing of the bridge construction is determined.

#### Fontaine Boulevard/Carriage Meadows South

Table 3 shows the projected total traffic volumes on the minor approach Olumes at the intersection of Fontaine/Carriage Meadows by development at the time a traffic signal will likely be warranted. This analysis assumes buildout of all of the residential areas of Lorson Ranch, development of the school parcel, and development of the retail parcel southwest of the intersection of Carriage Meadows Drive/Fontaine Boulevard only. The minor approach volumes were assumed to include the northbound and southbound left-turn and through movements plus 50 percent of the right-turn movements. As shown in Table 3, the Carriage Meadows South development is projected to

Please do not use back ground traffic in Yettinalentationsefer trearcontagron tohe Lorson/MS needs a number assigned to it

at this time.

the dollar amount should be distributed between these three developments (the residential, and tract N and contribute about 18.4 percent of the traffic on the northbound and southbound approaches to the intersection of Fontaine Boulevard/Carriage Meadows Drive. Assuming a total signal cost of \$300,000, a fair share contribution towards a future signal at this intersection would be \$55,200.The timing of a future traffic signal at Fontaine/Carriage Meadows and the escrow amounts towards that signal should be reevaluated with the development of the retail parcel southwest the intersection and/or development of the multi-family residential development southeast of the intersection.

# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# **Trip Generation**

• The Carriage Meadows South site is expected to generate about 2,228 new vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about 1,114 vehicles entering and 1,114 vehicles exiting in a 24-hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 44 vehicles would enter and 132 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 147 vehicles would enter and 87 vehicles would exit the site.

# **Projected Levels of Service**

- The signal-controlled Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard intersection is projected to continue to operate at level of service D or better based on the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic conditions.
- Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes all movements at the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson are projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours as a two-way Stop-sign-controlled intersection. By 2040, it was assumed that this intersection would be signal controlled. As a signalized intersection all movements are projected to operate a LOS D or better during the peak hours based on the projected 2040 background and total traffic volumes.
- Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes all movements at the intersection of Fontaine/Carriage Meadows are projected to operate at a LOS C or better during the peak hours as a two-way Stop-sign-controlled intersection. By 2040, it was assumed that this intersection would be signal controlled. As a signalized intersection all movements are projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours based on the projected 2040 background and total traffic volumes.
- The proposed site access points to Lorson Boulevard are projected to operate at level of service B or better as Stop-sign-controlled intersections based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes. By 2040 the northbound approaches at both access points are projected to operate at LOS E during the afternoon peak hour. The traffic signal at the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson will likely help to create gaps to help these movements occur more easily.

#### **Auxiliary Turn Lanes**

#### Lorson Boulevard/Marksheffel Road

- Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes a northbound right-turn deceleration lane should be constructed on Marksheffel Road approaching Lorson Boulevard. This lane should be 290 feet long plus a 240-foot taper.
- Marksheffel Road should be restriped to provide a dedicated southbound left-turn lane approaching Lorson Boulevard. This lane should be 440 feet long plus a 240-foot taper.

#### Fontaine/Carriage Meadows

- There is currently adequate pavement width for a continuous right-turn acceleration/ deceleration lane on Fontaine Boulevard between Marksheffel Road and Carriage Meadows Drive. The section of Fontaine Boulevard just west of Carriage Meadows Boulevard will need to be restriped with this development.
- There is an existing 325-foot-long westbound left-turn lane on Fontaine Boulevard approaching Carriage Meadows Drive. This turn lane will meet the criteria contained in the ECM based on a design speed of 50 mph for Fontaine Boulevard and the projected 2040 total westbound left-turn volume at this intersection.

#### Lorson Boulevard Access Points

- A center striped two-way left-turn lane will be provided on Lorson Boulevard. This will provide left-turn lanes for the access points.
- Right-turn deceleration lanes would be **not** required on Lorson Boulevard approaching either of the site access points.
- ECM-standard intersection sight distance at these access point intersections should be initially provided and maintained across the inside of the horizontal curves.

#### **Traffic Signal Escrow Percentages/Amounts**

- Please refer to the above section for calculated fair-share amounts to be escrowed for a future traffic signal at Fontaine/Carriage Meadows.
- The fair-share amounts towards a future traffic signal at Marksheffel/Lorson Boulevard should be calculated once the timing of the construction of a bridge over the main channel of the Jimmy Camp is determined.

#### **Street Classification**

Mr. Jeff Mark Carriage Meadows South Page 11 June 21, 2017 Updated Traffic Impact and Access Analysis

• Figure 13 presents the recommended street classification for Carriage Meadows South.

\* \* \* \* \*

Please contact me if you have any questions or need further assistance.

Sincerely,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

Βv Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E., PTOE Principal

JCH:KDF:bjwb

Enclosures: Tables 2 and 3 Figures 1-13 Traffic Count Reports Level of Service Reports Queuing Reports

	Table 2 Trip Generation Estimate Carriage Meadows																						
	Internal Trips																						
			Trip	Gener	ation F	Rates (1	)	То	tal Tri	ps Gene	erated			Vith Long-T	erm Bu	ildout o	f Lorso	n Ranc	Total External Trips Generated				
Land	Land	Trip	Average	Mor	ning	After	moon	Average	Мо	rning	After	noon	Internal	Average	Mor	ning	After	noon	Average	Mor	ning	Aftern	noon
Use	Use	Generation	Weekday	Peak	Hour	Peak	Hour	Weekday	Peak	Hour	Peak	Hour	Trips	Weekday	Peak	Hour	Peak	Hour	Weekday	Peak	Hour	Peak	Hour
Code	Description	Units	Traffic	In	Out	In	Out	Traffic	In	Out	In	Out	(%)	Traffic	In	Out	In	Out	Traffic	In	Out	In	Out
210	Single-Family Detached Housing	234 DU <sup>(2)</sup>	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	2,228	44	132	147	87	14%	307	6	13	15	7	1,921	38	119	132	80
Notes:																							
(1) Sour	ce: "Trip Generation, 9th Edition, 2	2012" by the li	nstitute of Tr	anspor	tation E	Inginee	rs (ITE)																
(2) DU =	= dwelling unit																						
Source: L	SC Transportation Consultants, Inc.																						

<b>Development</b> Carraige Mead Carriage Mead	Carriage N	leadows/Fo	ontaine Fut Carriage	ture Traffic Meadows	Signal Co	ntributions	i											
<b>Development</b> Carraige Mead Carriage Mead			Carriage	Meadows			Carriage Meadows/Fontaine Future Traffic Signal Contributions											
<b>Development</b> Carraige Mead Carriage Mead			Carriage meadows															
Development Carraige Mead Carriage Mead																		
Carraige Mead Carriage Mead					CRIT	ср тц		(Includes 5	0% of RT) %									
Carriage Mead	ows South	21	1		0	30 11	30 KI	26	20.9%									
Carriage Meau	ows North	0	0	0	7	1	55	36	30.8%									
		0	0	0	/	T	55	50	50.570									
AM Future Townho	mes SF Carriage	15	0	2	0	0	0		13.7%									
Meadows/Font	taine							16										
South Retail		0	0	0	13	0	33	30	25.2%									
		46	1	7	20	2	88	117										
Carraige Mead	ows South	20	з	1	0	5	0	29	12 5%									
Carriage Mead	ows North	0	4	0	1	2	37	26	11.0%									
		Ū	·	Ũ	-	-	57	20	11.070									
PM Future Townho	mes SE Carriage	7	0	0	0	1	0	8										
Meadows/Font	taine								3.5%									
South Retail		0	0	0	108	0	122	169	73.0%									
		27	7	1	109	8	159	232										
Carraige Mead	ows South	51	4	6	0	6	0	64	18.4%									
Carriage Mead	ows North	0	4	0	8	3	92	61	17.6%									
Future Townho	mes SE Carriage	22	0	2	0	1	0	24	6.9%									
Meadows/Font	taine																	
South Retail		0	0	0	121	0	155	199	57.1%									
		73	8	8	129	10	247	348										

































#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

#### 516 N. Tejon St.

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

# Colorado Springs, FUeName : Marksheffel Rd - Fontaine Blvd AM (719) 633-286 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 05/16/2013

	Start Date : 05/10/2015																
	Page No : 1																
						C	Groups	Printed	- Unshi	fted							
	Ν	Markshe	effel Rd			Fontaine Blvd				Markshe	effel Ro						
		From	North			From East				From South				From West			
Start Time	Righ	Thru	Left	Ped	Righ	Thru	Left	Ped	Righ	Thru	Left	Ped	Righ	Thru	Left	Ped	Int.
	<u>ا</u>			5	l			5	1			S	t			S	Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
06:30 AM	7	15	4	0	11	32	7	0	0	52	12	0	3	15	0	0	158
06:45 AM	10	26	3	0	9	35	2	0	4	36	10	0	9	21	4	0	169
Total	17	41	7	0	20	67	9	0	4	88	22	0	12	36	4	0	327
												1				'	
07:00 AM	8	18	6	0	11	33	10	0	1	37	17	0	5	18	4	0	168
07:15 AM	6	24	5	0	15	40	6	0	2	52	8	0	8	13	5	0	184
07:30 AM	4	13	6	0	10	34	1	0	4	48	15	0	5	12	5	0	157
07:45 AM	7	19	8	0	11	25	2	0	3	38	11	0	8	19	5	0	156
Total	25	74	25	0	47	132	19	0	10	175	51	0	26	62	19	0	665
												'					
08:00 AM	9	14	3	0	11	42	2	0	3	23	10	0	12	30	6	0	165
08:15 AM	8	22	5	0	14	39	4	0	3	27	8	0	12	19	5	0	166
Grand Total	59	151	40	0	92	280	34	0	20	313	91	0	62	147	34	0	1323
Annrch %	23.6	60.4	16.0	0.0	227	69.0	84	0.0	47	73.8	21.5	nő	25.5	60.5	14 0	٥Ň	. 520
Total %	15	11 /	2.0	0.0	70	21.2	2.4	0.0	15	227	60	0.0	47	11 1	26	0.0	
10tal %	4.0	11.4	3.0	0.0	1.0	Z1.Z	2.0	0.0	1.5	23.7	0.9	0.0	4.7	11.1	2.0	0.0	

#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 516 N. Tejon St. Colorado Springs, DeName : Marksheffel Rd - Fontaine Blvd AM (719) 633-286 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 05/16/2013 Page No : 2



#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

# 516 N. Tejon St.

#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

# Colorado Springs, DeName : Marksheffel Rd - Fontaine Blvd PM (719) 633-286 Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 05/15/2013

										Juan	Date	. 05/					
										Page	No	: 1					
						G	Groups	Printed	- Unshi	fted							
	٨	Markshe	effel Rd			Fontair	e Blvd		ľ	<b>Markshe</b>	effel Ro						
		From	North			From East				From South				From	West		
Start Time	Righ t	Thru	Left	Ped s	Righ t	Thru	Left	Ped s	Righ t	Thru	Left	Ped s	Righ t	Thru	Left	Ped s	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
04:15 PM	11	36	13	0	11	15	3	0	4	28	7	0	21	39	7	0	195
04:30 PM	5	31	12	0	9	18	1	0	8	21	3	0	15	45	8	0	176
04:45 PM	8	43	16	0	5	26	6	0	3	26	12	0	6	28	9	0	188
Total	24	110	41	0	25	59	10	0	15	75	22	0	42	112	24	0	559
										÷							
05:00 PM	9	30	8	0	9	20	4	0	11	19	14	0	10	43	11	0	188
05:15 PM	10	41	17	0	11	25	7	0	8	31	10	0	11	47	7	0	225
05:30 PM	11	42	10	0	12	29	3	0	3	22	- 7	0	12	39	10	0	200
05:45 PM	5	24	13	0	9	14	2	0	4	8	8	0	15	39	10	0	151
Total	35	137	48	0	41	88	16	0	26	80	39	0	48	168	38	0	764
06:00 PM	7	18	11	0	8	26	3	0	4	20	8	0	18	41	3	0	167
Grand Total	66	265	100	0	74	173	29	0	45	175	69	0	108	321	65	0	1490
Apprch %	15.3	61.5	23.2	0.0	26.8	62.7	10.5	0.0	15.6	60.6	23.9	0.0	21.9	65.0	13.2	0.0	
Total %	4.4	17.8	6.7	0.0	5.0	11.6	1.9	0.0	3.0	11.7	4.6	0.0	7.2	21.5	4.4	0.0	

#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 516 N. Tejon St. Colorado Springs, DeName : Marksheffel Rd - Fontaine Blvd PM (719) 633-2868<sup>Site Code</sup> : 00000000 Start Date : 05/15/2013 Page No : 2



#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 516 N. Tejon St. Colorado Springs, CO (719) 633-2868

#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

File Name: Marksheffel-Peaceful Valley AMSite Code: 00154020Start Date: 05/06/2015Page No: 1

offol Rd								
Peaceful Valley Rd Marksheffel Rd								
South	F	From West						
Left Peds	Right T	Thru Left	Peds	Int. Total				
1.0 1.0	1.0	1.0 1.0	1.0					
0 0	0	0 0	0	98				
0 0	0	0 0	0	109				
0 0	0	0 0	0	207				
0 0	0	0 0	0	110				
0 0	0	0 0	0	143				
0 0	0	0 0	0	106				
0 0	0	0 0	0	87				
0 0	0	0 0	0	446				
	1			1				
0 0	0	0 0	0	81				
0 0	0	0 0	0	99				
0 0	0	0 0	0	833				
0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0					
0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0					
	Iner (Q   South   Left Peds   1.0 1.0   0 0	Inerformed Peds Right   Left Peds Right 1.0   1.0 1.0 1.0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0	Inervise From West   South From West   Left Peds Right Thru Left   1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0	Inervise From West   South Peds Right Thru Left Peds   1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 0   0 0 0 0 0 0 <t< td=""></t<>				

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 516 N. Tejon St. Colorado Springs, CO (719) 633-2868

File Name: Marksheffel-Peaceful Valley AMSite Code: 00154020Start Date: 05/06/2015Page No: 2


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 516 N. Tejon St. (719) 633-2868

#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Colorado Springs, CO File Name : Marksheffel-Peaceful Valley PM Site Code : 00154020 Start Date : 05/06/2015 Page No : 1

											гауе	NU					
						(	Groups	Printed	- Unshif	ted							
		Markshe	effel Rd		Pe	eaceful \	Valley F	۲d		Markshe	effel Rd						
		From	North		From East				From South					From	West		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
04:00 PM	0	36	12	0	4	0	3	0	7	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	96
04:15 PM	0	45	15	0	1	0	2	0	13	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	125
04:30 PM	0	36	5	0	3	0	2	0	8	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	83
04:45 PM	0	48	10	0	2	0	4	0	6	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	107
Total	0	165	42	0	10	0	11	0	34	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	411
05:00 PM	0	41	8	0	6	0	3	0	7	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	96
05:15 PM	0	53	7	0	4	0	3	0	16	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	117
05:30 PM	0	33	4	0	3	0	6	0	9	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
05:45 PM	0	29	4	0	2	0	5	0	8	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
Total	0	156	23	0	15	0	17	0	40	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	340
Grand Total	0	321	65	0	25	0	28	0	74	238	0	0	0	0	0	0	751
Apprch %	0.0	83.2	16.8	0.0	47.2	0.0	52.8	0.0	23.7	76.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total %	0.0	42.7	8.7	0.0	3.3	0.0	3.7	0.0	9.9	31.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 516 N. Tejon St. Colorado Springs, CO (719) 633-2868

File Name : Marksheffel-Peaceful Valley PM Site Code : 00154020 Start Date : 05/06/2015 Page No : 2



### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., #210

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO 80903Name : Marksheffel - Fontaine Blvd AM (719) 633-2868 Site Code : 00164360 Start Date : 03/21/2017

Start Date : 03/21/2017

Page No : 1

						G	Groups I	Printed-	Unshif	ted	- <u>j</u>		-				
	1	Markshe	effel Rd			Fontain	e Blvd		N	larkshe	ffel Rd		Fontain Blvd				
		From	North			From	East			From S	South			From V	Vest		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
06:30 AM	8	17	6	0	28	87	8	0	4	63	8	0	10	22	5	0	266
06:45 AM	9	24	9	0	26	104	9	0	1	36	19	0	15	35	3	0	290
Total	17	41	15	0	54	191	17	0	5	99	27	0	25	57	8	0	556
07:00 AM	12	28	13	0	26	78	13	0	3	56	9	0	13	28	5	0	284
07:15 AM	9	16	5	0	43	78	11	0	5	58	7	0	6	36	7	0	281
07:30 AM	14	24	12	0	30	68	13	0	2	34	6	0	15	41	8	0	267
07:45 AM	9	23	13	0	18	48	7	0	2	47	7	0	25	54	3	0	256
Total	44	91	43	0	117	272	44	0	12	195	29	0	59	159	23	0	1088
08:00 AM	12	10	8	0	19	80	6	1	9	24	15	0	8	41	7	0	240
08:15 AM	14	22	5	0	20	80	3	0	1	21	14	0	12	31	3	0	226
Grand Total	87	164	71	0	210	623	70	1	27	339	85	0	104	288	41	0	2110
Apprch %	27.0	50.9	22.0	0.0	23.2	68.9	7.7	0.1	6.0	75.2	18.8	0.0	24.0	66.5	9.5	0.0	
Total %	4.1	7.8	3.4	0.0	10.0	29.5	3.3	0.0	1.3	16.1	4.0	0.0	4.9	13.6	1.9	0.0	

#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., #210 Colorado Springs, CO 80903Name : Marksheffel - Fontaine Blvd AM (719) 633-2868 Site Code : 00164360 Start Date : 03/21/2017 Page No : 2

Marksheffel Rd Fontaine Blvd Marksheffel Rd Fontain Blvd From North From East From South From West Pe App. Start Rig Thr Lef Rig Thr Lef Pe App. Rig Thr Lef Pe App. Rig Thr Lef Pe App. Int. ds Total ht ds Total ht ds Total ds Total Time ht u t u t u t ht u t Total Peak Hour From 06:30 AM to 08:15 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Intersecti 06:45 AM on 12 32 18 14 1122 Volume 44 92 39 0 175 46 0 499 11 41 0 236 49 23 212 0 5 8 0 4 25. 52. 22. 25. 65. 78. 17. 23. 66. 10. Percent 0.0 9.2 0.0 4.7 0.0 0.0 6 3 1 7 0 4 1 0 8 1 06:45 10 9 24 9 0 42 26 9 0 139 36 19 0 56 15 35 3 0 53 290 1 Volume 4 Peak 0.967 Factor 06:45 AM 07:15 AM 07:30 AM High Int. 07:00 AM 10 53 26 9 5 58 7 0 70 15 41 Volume 12 28 13 0 0 139 8 0 64 4 0.82 0.89 0.84 0.82 Peak 7 5 3 8 Factor



### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., #210

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO 809@3Name : Marksheffel - Fontaine Blvd PM (719) 633-2868 Site Code : 00164360 (719) 633-2868

Start Date : 03/20/2017

Page No : 1

						G	Groups I	Printed-	Unshift	ted	5						
	Ν	Markshe	effel Rd			Fontain	e Blvd		N	larkshet	ffel Rd		Fontaine Blvd				
		From	North			From	East			From S	South			From V	Vest		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
04:00 PM	18	25	8	0	6	53	5	0	5	31	5	0	8	61	0	0	225
04:15 PM	13	36	28	0	21	29	8	0	6	32	11	0	12	84	7	0	287
04:30 PM	21	35	14	0	17	38	3	0	8	21	12	0	12	69	6	0	256
04:45 PM	19	39	29	0	10	42	2	0	4	14	7	0	24	91	5	0	286
Total	71	135	79	0	54	162	18	0	23	98	35	0	56	305	18	0	1054
																,	
05:00 PM	16	24	19	0	14	38	5	0	8	19	5	0	10	81	5	0	244
05:15 PM	20	51	19	0	18	50	6	0	8	19	10	0	17	84	7	0	309
05:30 PM	16	25	23	0	7	39	5	0	12	27	9	0	13	88	1	0	265
05:45 PM	8	24	14	0	6	45	4	0	7	7	7	0	15	77	2	0	216
Total	60	124	75	0	45	172	20	0	35	72	31	0	55	330	15	0	1034
																,	
Grand Total	131	259	154	0	99	334	38	0	58	170	66	0	111	635	33	0	2088
Apprch %	24.1	47.6	28.3	0.0	21.0	70.9	8.1	0.0	19.7	57.8	22.4	0.0	14.2	81.5	4.2	0.0	
Total %	6.3	12.4	7.4	0.0	4.7	16.0	1.8	0.0	2.8	8.1	3.2	0.0	5.3	30.4	1.6	0.0	

#### LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., #210 Colorado Springs, CO 8090 Name : Marksheffel - Fontaine Blvd PM (719) 633-2868 Site Code : 00164360 Start Date : 03/20/2017 Page No : 2

Marksheffel Rd Fontaine Blvd Marksheffel Rd Fontaine Blvd From North From East From South From West Pe App. Pe Start Rig Thr Lef Rig Thr Lef Pe App. Rig Thr Lef App. Rig Thr Lef Pe App. Int. ds Total ht ds Total ht ds ds Total Time ht u t u t u t Total ht u t Total Peak Hour From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Intersecti 04:45 PM on 13 16 34 Volume 71 90 0 300 49 18 0 236 32 79 31 0 142 64 18 0 426 1104 9 9 4 23. 46. 30. 20. 71. 22. 55. 21. 15. 80. Percent 0.0 7.6 0.0 0.0 4.2 0.0 7 3 0 8 6 5 6 8 0 8 05:15 20 51 19 0 90 18 50 6 0 74 8 19 10 0 37 17 84 7 0 108 309 Volume 0.893 Peak Factor High Int. 05:15 PM 05:15 PM 05:30 PM 04:45 PM 74 27 48 Volume 20 51 19 0 90 18 50 6 0 12 9 0 24 91 5 0 120 Peak 0.83 0.79 0.74 0.88 7 3 0 8 Factor



	۶	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>^</b>	1	7	<b>†</b> †	1	۲	<b>†</b>	1	<u>۲</u>	<b>†</b>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	23	140	49	46	328	125	41	184	11	39	92	44
Future Volume (vph)	23	140	49	46	328	125	41	184	11	39	92	44
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2		2	6		6
Detector Phase	4	4	4	8	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0
Total Split (%)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	55.1	55.1	55.1	55.1	55.1	55.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70
v/c Ratio	0.16	0.22	0.15	0.23	0.58	0.35	0.05	0.14	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.04
Control Delay	29.9	28.4	9.7	30.3	33.7	8.0	4.7	4.9	1.1	4.7	4.6	1.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	29.9	28.4	9.7	30.3	33.7	8.0	4.7	4.9	1.1	4.7	4.6	1.8
LOS	С	С	А	С	С	А	А	А	А	А	А	A
Approach Delay		24.2			26.9			4.7			3.9	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 79.1												
Natural Cycle: 40												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.58												
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.	5			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 43.8%			10	CU Level	of Service	eΑ					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

1 ø2	<b>€</b> 04
60 s	30 s
	<b>₩</b> Ø8
60 s	30 s

	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	<b>^</b>	1	٦	<b>^</b>	1	ľ	<b>†</b>	1	۲	1	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	18	344	64	18	169	49	31	79	32	90	139	71
Future Volume (vph)	18	344	64	18	169	49	31	79	32	90	139	71
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases		4			8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2		2	6		6
Detector Phase	4	4	4	8	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0	60.0
Total Split (%)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	55.1	55.1	55.1	55.1	55.1	55.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.59	0.21	0.17	0.36	0.19	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.12	0.13	0.08
Control Delay	28.1	34.3	9.4	30.4	30.4	9.5	4.3	4.3	1.8	4.6	4.4	1.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	28.1	34.3	9.4	30.4	30.4	9.5	4.3	4.3	1.8	4.6	4.4	1.3
LOS	С	С	А	С	С	А	А	А	А	А	А	A
Approach Delay		30.3			26.1			3.7			3.7	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 78.1												
Natural Cycle: 40												
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncod	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59												
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.3	3			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 38.9%			10	CU Level	of Service	eΑ					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

1 ø2	<b>€</b> 04
60 s	30 s
	<b>₩</b> Ø8
60 s	30 s

	≯	-	$\rightarrow$	-	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u> </u>	<b>^</b>	1	7	<u>^</u>	1	<u>۲</u>	•	1	۲	<b>†</b>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	107	60	227	285	171	50	230	83	55	113	50
Future Volume (vph)	28	107	60	227	285	171	50	230	83	55	113	50
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2		2	6		6
Detector Phase	7	4	4	3	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	26.0	26.0	14.0	30.0	30.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Total Split (%)	11.1%	28.9%	28.9%	15.6%	33.3%	33.3%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	12.5	8.8	8.8	20.0	16.6	16.6	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
v/c Ratio	0.13	0.26	0.23	0.76	0.41	0.38	0.07	0.21	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.05
Control Delay	21.2	32.7	6.3	39.5	27.8	7.2	8.3	8.8	2.4	8.5	8.3	0.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	21.2	32.7	6.3	39.5	27.8	7.2	8.3	8.8	2.4	8.5	8.3	0.9
LOS	С	C	A	D	C	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
Approach Delay		22.9			26.5			7.3			6.7	
Approach LOS		С			С			A			A	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75.8												
Natural Cycle: 40												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76	_											
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.	./			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 49.7%			10	CU Level	of Service	Α					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

1 g2	<b>√</b> Ø3		<b>₩</b> Ø4	
50 s	14 s		26 s	
	.≁ ø7	1	28	
50 s	10 s	30 s		

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Int Delay, s/veh	1						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	۲	1	1	1	1	•	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	60	0	363	20	0	400	
Future Vol, veh/h	60	0	363	20	0	400	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	0	-	250	250	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	15	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	93	92	92	94	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	65	0	390	22	0	426	

Major/Minor	Minor1		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	816	390	0	0	390	0	
Stage 1	390	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	426	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	347	658	-	-	1169	-	
Stage 1	684	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	659	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	347	658	-	-	1169	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	465	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	684	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	659	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	14	0	0	
HCM LOS	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	/BLn1Wl	3Ln2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	465	-	1169	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	-	0.14	-	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	14	0	0	-	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	А	А	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.5	-	0	-	

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Int Delay, s/veh	1						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	٦	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	1	ሻ	1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	229	614	0	0	69	
Future Vol, veh/h	16	229	614	0	0	69	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	400	-	-	250	0	0	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	1	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	17	249	667	0	0	75	

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	667	0		-	0	826	334	
Stage 1	-	-		-	-	667	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	-	159	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-		-	-	6.84	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-		-	-	5.84	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-		-	-	5.84	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-		-	-	3.52	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	919	-		-	-	310	662	
Stage 1	-	-		-	-	472	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	-	853	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-		-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	919	-		-	-	304	662	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-		-	-	394	-	
Stage 1	-	-		-	-	472	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	-	837	-	
Approach	EB			WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.6			0		11.1		
HCM LOS						В		
Minor Lane/Maior Mymt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR SBLn1 SBLn2				

Minor Lanc/Major MMint			101	WDIX ODL		
Capacity (veh/h)	919	-	-	-	- 662	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.019	-	-	-	- 0.113	
HCM Control Delay (s)	9	-	-	-	0 11.1	
HCM Lane LOS	Α	-	-	-	A B	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	- 0.4	

	≯	-	$\rightarrow$	-	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	<b>^</b>	1	5	<b>^</b>	1	۲	<b>†</b>	*	5	<b>†</b>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	288	78	123	155	79	38	115	217	142	170	80
Future Volume (vph)	28	288	78	123	155	79	38	115	217	142	170	80
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2		2	6		6
Detector Phase	7	4	4	3	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	26.0	26.0	14.0	30.0	30.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Total Split (%)	11.1%	28.9%	28.9%	15.6%	33.3%	33.3%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	17.0	12.0	12.0	25.2	21.8	21.8	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.21	0.15	0.15	0.31	0.27	0.27	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.55	0.26	0.46	0.20	0.20	0.06	0.12	0.23	0.24	0.20	0.10
Control Delay	19.9	36.0	9.0	25.0	24.6	7.2	9.4	9.5	2.1	10.9	10.1	2.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	19.9	36.0	9.0	25.0	24.6	7.2	9.4	9.5	2.1	10.9	10.1	2.6
LOS	В	D	A	С	С	A	A	A	A	В	В	A
Approach Delay		29.5			20.9			5.2			8.9	
Approach LOS		С			С			A			A	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 80.7												
Natural Cycle: 40												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55												
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.	0			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 45.4%			10	CU Level	of Service	eΑ					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

1 g2	<b>√</b> Ø3		<b>4</b> 04	
50 s	14 s		26 s	
		1	28	
50 s	10 s	30 s		

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	0.6						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	۲	1	<b>↑</b>	1	ሻ	•	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	40	0	370	67	0	371	
Future Vol, veh/h	40	0	370	67	0	371	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	0	-	250	250	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	± 0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	15	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	85	92	92	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	43	0	435	73	0	412	

Major/Minor	Minor1		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	847	435	0	0	435	0	
Stage 1	435	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	412	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	332	621	-	-	1125	-	
Stage 1	653	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	669	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	332	621	-	-	1125	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	453	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	653	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	669	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	13.8	0	0	
HCM LOS	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	BLn1WE	3Ln2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	453	-	1125	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- (	0.096	-	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	13.8	0	0	-	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	В	Α	Α	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.3	-	0	-	

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	0.9						
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	1	ሻ	1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	52	595	311	0	0	46	
Future Vol, veh/h	52	595	311	0	0	46	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	400	-	-	250	0	0	
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	1	-	
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	57	647	338	0	0	50	

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2		Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	338	0		-	0	774	169	
Stage 1	-	-		-	-	338	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	-	436	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-		-	-	6.84	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-		-	-	5.84	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-		-	-	5.84	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-		-	-	3.52	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1218	-		-	-	335	845	
Stage 1	-	-		-	-	694	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	-	619	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-		-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1218	-		-	-	319	845	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-		-	-	435	-	
Stage 1	-	-		-	-	694	-	
Stage 2	-	-		-	-	590	-	
Approach	EB			WB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0.7			0		9.5		
HCM LOS						А		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR SBLn1 SBLn2				
Capacity (veh/h)	1218	-	-	845				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.046	-	-	0.059				

9.5

0

	• • •						
HCM Lane LOS	А	-	-	-	А	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	-	0.2	

-

-

8.1

HCM Control Delay (s)

	≯	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	-	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	<b>^</b>	1	<u> </u>	<b>^</b>	1	۲	•	1	<u> </u>	<b>†</b>	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	112	64	227	300	190	61	244	83	61	118	50
Future Volume (vph)	28	112	64	227	300	190	61	244	83	61	118	50
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2		2	6		6
Detector Phase	7	4	4	3	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	26.0	26.0	14.0	30.0	30.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Total Split (%)	11.1%	28.9%	28.9%	15.6%	33.3%	33.3%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	12.6	9.0	9.0	20.2	16.8	16.8	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.27	0.22	0.22	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
v/c Ratio	0.13	0.27	0.24	0.76	0.43	0.41	0.08	0.22	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.05
Control Delay	21.1	32.6	7.2	39.1	27.9	7.1	8.6	9.0	2.4	8.7	8.4	1.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	21.1	32.6	7.2	39.1	27.9	7.1	8.6	9.0	2.4	8.7	8.4	1.0
LOS	С	С	А	D	С	А	А	А	А	А	А	A
Approach Delay		23.0			25.9			7.5			6.9	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 76												
Natural Cycle: 40												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76												
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.	4			lr	ntersectio	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 50.4%			10	CU Level	of Service	Α					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

1 g2	<b>√</b> Ø3		<b>4</b> 04	
50 s	14 s		26 s	
		1	28	
50 s	10 s	30 s		

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Int Delay, s/veh	2.7						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦	1	<b>↑</b>	1	1	•	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	133	25	363	44	9	400	
Future Vol, veh/h	133	25	363	44	9	400	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	0	-	250	250	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	ŧ 0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	15	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	93	92	92	94	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	145	27	390	48	10	426	

Major/Minor	Minor1		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	835	390	0	0	390	0	
Stage 1	390	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	445	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	338	658	-	-	1169	-	
Stage 1	684	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	646	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	335	658	-	-	1169	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	454	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	684	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	640	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	15.7	0	0.2	
HCMLOS	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRW	BLn1V	VBLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	-	454	658	1169	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- (	).318	0.041	0.008	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	16.6	10.7	8.1	-	
HCM Lane LOS	-	-	С	В	А	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	1.4	0.1	0	-	

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>^</b>	1	1	<b>^</b>	1	۲	↑	1	1	1	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	229	11	0	614	0	34	0	0	0	0	69
Future Vol, veh/h	16	229	11	0	614	0	34	0	0	0	0	69
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	400	-	0	375	-	250	0	-	0	0	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	17	249	12	0	667	0	37	0	0	0	0	75

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	667	0	0	249	0	0	618	951	124	826	951	334
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	284	284	-	667	667	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	334	667	-	159	284	-
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	919	-	-	1314	-	-	373	258	904	264	258	662
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	699	675	-	414	455	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	653	455	-	827	675	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	919	-	-	1314	-	-	326	253	904	260	253	662
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	428	347	-	343	354	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	686	663	-	406	455	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	579	455	-	812	663	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.6	0	14.2	11.1
HCM LOS			В	В

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1 NE	BLn2 NE	3Ln3	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SE	BLn1 SE	3Ln2	SBLn3
Capacity (veh/h)	428	-	-	919	-	-	1314	-	-	-	-	662
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.086	-	-	0.019	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.113
HCM Control Delay (s)	14.2	0	0	9	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	11.1
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	А	А	-	-	А	-	-	А	Α	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0.4

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EDI	EDT	EDD	\//DI			NDI	NDT	NDD	CDI	CDT	CDD
WOVEIHEIIL	EDL	EDI	EDN	VVDL	VVDI	WDR	INDL	INDI	NDN	JDL	SDI	JDN
Lane Configurations	- ግ	- î+		ሻ	- î÷			- <del>4</del> >			- <del>4</del> >	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	14	33	6	0	99	0	17	0	0	0	0	42
Future Vol, veh/h	14	33	6	0	99	0	17	0	0	0	0	42
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	15	36	7	0	108	0	18	0	0	0	0	46

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	108	0	0	42	0	0	200	178	39	178	181	108
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	70	-	108	108	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	130	108	-	70	73	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1483	-	-	1567	-	-	759	716	1033	784	713	946
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	940	837	-	897	806	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	874	806	-	940	834	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1483	-	-	1567	-	-	717	709	1033	778	706	946
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	717	709	-	778	706	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	930	829	-	888	806	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	832	806	-	930	826	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	2	0	10.2	9
HCM LOS			В	A

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	717	1483	-	-	1567	-	-	946
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.026	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	0.048
HCM Control Delay (s)	10.2	7.5	-	-	0	-	-	9
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	-	-	А	-	-	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.2

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	4		ሻ	4			- 44			- 44	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	20	4	0	60	0	12	0	0	0	0	27
Future Vol, veh/h	9	20	4	0	60	0	12	0	0	0	0	27
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	10	22	4	0	65	0	13	0	0	0	0	29

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	65	0	0	26	0	0	123	108	24	108	111	65
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	43	-	65	65	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	65	-	43	46	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1537	-	-	1588	-	-	852	782	1052	871	779	999
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	971	859	-	946	841	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	929	841	-	971	857	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1537	-	-	1588	-	-	823	777	1052	867	774	999
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	823	777	-	867	774	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	965	853	-	940	841	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	902	841	-	965	851	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay	2			0			0.1			87		

HCM Control Delay, s	2	0	9.4	8.7
HCM LOS			А	А

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	823	1537	-	-	1588	-	-	999
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.016	0.006	-	-	-	-	-	0.029
HCM Control Delay (s)	9.4	7.4	-	-	0	-	-	8.7
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	-	А	-	-	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.1

	۶	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	-	*	1	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<u></u>	1	<u>ک</u>	<u></u>	1	ľ	•	1	<u>ک</u>	•	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	305	91	123	165	92	45	124	217	163	186	80
Future Volume (vph)	28	305	91	123	165	92	45	124	217	163	186	80
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8			2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2		2	6		6
Detector Phase	7	4	4	3	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	26.0	26.0	14.0	30.0	30.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
Total Split (%)	11.1%	28.9%	28.9%	15.6%	33.3%	33.3%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	17.5	12.5	12.5	25.7	22.2	22.2	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.15	0.15	0.32	0.27	0.27	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.57	0.29	0.46	0.21	0.22	0.07	0.12	0.23	0.28	0.22	0.10
Control Delay	19.8	36.1	9.5	25.0	24.6	6.8	9.8	9.8	2.2	11.6	10.4	2.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	19.8	36.1	9.5	25.0	24.6	6.8	9.8	9.8	2.2	11.6	10.4	2.6
LOS	В	D	А	С	С	А	А	А	А	В	В	A
Approach Delay		29.3			20.4			5.5			9.4	
Approach LOS		С			С			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 81.2												
Natural Cycle: 40												
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncod	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57												
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.	1			lr	ntersectio	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 47.5%			IC	CU Level	of Service	Α					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

1 g2	<b>√</b> Ø3		<b>4</b> 04	
50 s	14 s		26 s	
		1	28	
50 s	10 s	30 s		

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Int Delay, s/veh	1.7						
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦	1	<b>↑</b>	1	7	•	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	88	17	370	148	28	371	
Future Vol, veh/h	88	17	370	148	28	371	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	0	-	250	250	-	
Veh in Median Storage, #	¢ 0	-	0	-	-	0	
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	15	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	85	92	92	90	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	96	18	435	161	30	412	

Major/Minor	Minor1		Major1		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	908	435	0	0	435	0	
Stage 1	435	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	473	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	306	621	-	-	1125	-	
Stage 1	653	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	627	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	298	621	-	-	1125	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	424	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	653	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	610	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	15.1	0	0.6	
HCMLOS	С			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1W	/BLn2	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 424	621	1125	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.226	0.03	0.027	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 15.9	11	8.3	-	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- C	В	Α	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.9	0.1	0.1	-	

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>^</b>	1	1	<b>^</b>	1	۲	↑	1	1	<b>↑</b>	1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	52	595	38	0	311	0	23	0	0	0	0	46
Future Vol, veh/h	52	595	38	0	311	0	23	0	0	0	0	46
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	400	-	0	375	-	250	0	-	0	0	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	57	647	41	0	338	0	25	0	0	0	0	50

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	338	0	0	647	0	0	929	1098	323	774	1098	169
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	760	760	-	338	338	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	169	338	-	436	760	-
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1218	-	-	934	-	-	222	211	673	288	211	845
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	364	413	-	650	639	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	816	639	-	569	413	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1218	-	-	934	-	-	201	201	673	278	201	845
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	287	300	-	390	306	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	347	394	-	620	639	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	768	639	-	542	394	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.6	0	18.7	9.5
HCM LOS			С	А

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1 NE	3Ln2 NE	3Ln3	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SI	BLn1 SE	3Ln2	SBLn3
Capacity (veh/h)	287	-	-	1218	-	-	934	-	-	-	-	845
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.087	-	-	0.046	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.059
HCM Control Delay (s)	18.7	0	0	8.1	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	9.5
HCM Lane LOS	С	А	А	А	-	-	А	-	-	А	Α	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0.2

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	et 👘		ሻ	ef 👘			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	47	110	19	0	66	0	11	0	0	0	0	28
Future Vol, veh/h	47	110	19	0	66	0	11	0	0	0	0	28
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	51	120	21	0	72	0	12	0	0	0	0	30

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	72	0	0	140	0	0	319	304	130	304	314	72
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	232	232	-	72	72	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	87	72	-	232	242	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1528	-	-	1443	-	-	634	609	920	648	601	990
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	771	713	-	938	835	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	921	835	-	771	705	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1528	-	-	1443	-	-	599	589	920	631	581	990
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	599	589	-	631	581	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	745	689	-	907	835	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	893	835	-	745	681	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	2	0	11.1	8.8
HCM LOS			В	A

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR \$	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	599	1528	-	-	1443	-	-	990
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.02	0.033	-	-	-	-	-	0.031
HCM Control Delay (s)	11.1	7.4	-	-	0	-	-	8.8
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	-	-	А	-	-	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	0.1

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	el 👘		ሻ	el 👘			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	30	67	13	0	40	0	8	0	0	0	0	18
Future Vol, veh/h	30	67	13	0	40	0	8	0	0	0	0	18
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	33	73	14	0	43	0	9	0	0	0	0	20

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	43	0	0	87	0	0	198	188	80	188	195	43
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	145	145	-	43	43	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	43	-	145	152	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1566	-	-	1509	-	-	761	707	980	772	700	1027
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	858	777	-	971	859	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	960	859	-	858	772	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1566	-	-	1509	-	-	734	692	980	760	685	1027
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	734	692	-	760	685	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	840	761	-	951	859	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	942	859	-	840	756	-
-												
Annroach	FR			\//R			NB			SB		

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	2	0	10	8.6
HCM LOS			В	A

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	734	1566	-	-	1509	-	-	1027
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.012	0.021	-	-	-	-	-	0.019
HCM Control Delay (s)	10	7.3	-	-	0	-	-	8.6
HCM Lane LOS	В	А	-	-	А	-	-	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	0.1

	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	<b>^</b>	1	ሻሻ	<u>^</u>	1	<u>۲</u>	<u></u>	1	ሻሻ	<u>^</u>	1
Volume (vph)	36	269	46	596	745	590	159	537	212	213	527	45
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Free	pm+pt	NA	Free	Prot	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4			Free	2		Free			6
Detector Phase	7	4	4	3	8		5	2		1	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	20.0	20.0	9.0	20.0		9.0	20.0		9.0	20.0	20.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	15.0	15.0	25.0	30.0		10.0	35.0		15.0	40.0	40.0
Total Split (%)	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	27.8%	33.3%		11.1%	38.9%		16.7%	44.4%	44.4%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None		None	Max		None	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	14.8	9.8	9.8	19.1	28.0	88.9	35.5	30.5	88.9	9.5	35.0	35.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.11	0.11	0.21	0.31	1.00	0.40	0.34	1.00	0.11	0.39	0.39
v/c Ratio	0.22	0.73	0.13	0.85	0.70	0.39	0.45	0.47	0.14	0.61	0.40	0.06
Control Delay	22.8	50.3	0.8	46.0	32.0	0.7	18.6	24.8	0.2	45.7	20.7	0.2
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	22.8	50.3	0.8	46.0	32.0	0.7	18.6	24.8	0.2	45.7	20.7	0.2
LOS	С	D	А	D	С	А	В	С	Α	D	С	A
Approach Delay		41.1			26.8			18.0			26.3	
Approach LOS		D			С			В			С	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 88.9												
Natural Cycle: 65												
Control Type: Actuated-Unco	ordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85												
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.	9			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 64.5%			10	CU Level	of Service	эC					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

ø1	<b>≪↑</b> <sub>ø2</sub>	<b>√</b> ø3	<b>↓</b> ø4
15 s	35 s	25 s	15 s
▲ ø5	<b>♦</b> ø6	▶ ø7 ₩ ø8	
10 s	40 s	10 s 30 s	

## Timings 5: Marksheffel Rd & South Lorson Access

	-	•	<b>†</b>	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	1	**	1	5	44
Volume (vph)	586	139	768	186	36	1133
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases	8		2		1	6
Permitted Phases		8		2	6	
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	9.0	20.0
Total Split (s)	20.0	20.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	70.0
Total Split (%)	22.2%	22.2%	66.7%	66.7%	11.1%	77.8%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	Min	Min	None	Min
Act Effct Green (s)	15.2	15.2	22.6	22.6	26.1	26.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.30	0.30	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.51
v/c Ratio	0.61	0.26	0.52	0.24	0.12	0.72
Control Delay	20.3	5.4	12.7	3.0	6.5	12.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	20.3	5.4	12.7	3.0	6.5	12.3
LOS	С	А	В	А	А	В
Approach Delay	17.4		10.8			12.1
Approach LOS	В		В			В
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 51.5	5					
Natural Cycle: 50						
Control Type: Actuated-Unc	oordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72						
Intersection Signal Delay: 13	3.0			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization	tion 56.4%			10	CU Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Onlike and Diseases - E. M.						

Splits and Phases: 5: Marksheffel Rd & South Lorson Access



Timings 8: Carriage Meadows & Fountaine Blvd

	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	- <b>†</b> †	1	۲	- <b>†</b> †	1	٦	<b>↑</b>	1	٦	<b>†</b>	7
Volume (vph)	48	601	44	28	1792	30	52	1	17	20	1	88
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8		8	4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	3	8	8	7	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (%)	11.1%	66.7%	66.7%	11.1%	66.7%	66.7%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	62.7	61.9	61.9	61.7	60.1	60.1	8.7	6.9	6.9	7.8	5.1	5.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.74	0.72	0.72	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.06
v/c Ratio	0.26	0.24	0.04	0.05	0.74	0.03	0.33	0.01	0.07	0.13	0.01	0.40
Control Delay	7.4	5.7	0.1	3.8	13.4	0.0	38.3	40.0	0.5	33.9	40.0	7.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	7.4	5.7	0.1	3.8	13.4	0.0	38.3	40.0	0.5	33.9	40.0	7.5
LOS	А	A	A	A	В	А	D	D	А	С	D	A
Approach Delay		5.5			13.0			29.1			12.6	
Approach LOS		A			В			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 83												
Natural Cycle: 80												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.74												
Intersection Signal Delay: 11	.5			lr	ntersectio	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on 70.8%			10	CU Level	of Service	ЭC					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 8: Carriage Meadows & Fountaine Blvd

<b>√</b> ø1	↓ g2	<b>▲</b> ø3	\$ <b>0</b> 4
10 s	60 s	10 s	10 s
∕× ø5	of g6	ø7	<b>≪</b> † <sub>ø8</sub>
10 s	60 s	10 s	10 s

	۶	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>^</b>	1	ሻሻ	<b>^</b>	1	<u>۲</u>	<u></u>	1	ሻሻ	<b>^</b>	1
Volume (vph)	65	911	137	457	529	458	121	234	729	722	342	65
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Free	pm+pt	NA	Free	Prot	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4			Free	2		Free			6
Detector Phase	7	4	4	3	8		5	2		1	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0		9.0	9.0		9.0	9.0	9.0
Total Split (s)	12.0	31.0	31.0	20.0	39.0		10.0	13.0		26.0	29.0	29.0
Total Split (%)	13.3%	34.4%	34.4%	22.2%	43.3%		11.1%	14.4%		28.9%	32.2%	32.2%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0		1.0	2.0		1.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		4.0	5.0		4.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None		None	Max		None	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	34.0	26.0	26.0	15.4	36.4	89.4	15.4	8.4	89.4	21.6	24.0	24.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.38	0.29	0.29	0.17	0.41	1.00	0.17	0.09	1.00	0.24	0.27	0.27
v/c Ratio	0.18	0.93	0.23	0.81	0.39	0.30	0.57	0.73	0.48	0.92	0.37	0.12
Control Delay	12.8	47.9	1.6	47.8	20.5	0.5	32.3	53.7	1.1	50.7	28.1	0.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	12.8	47.9	1.6	47.8	20.5	0.5	32.3	53.7	1.1	50.7	28.1	0.5
LOS	В	D	А	D	С	А	С	D	Α	D	С	A
Approach Delay		40.2			22.8			15.7			41.0	
Approach LOS		D			С			В			D	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 89.4												
Natural Cycle: 80												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93												
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.	.6			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on 80.3%			10	CU Level	of Service	эD					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

øı	<b>√</b> ø2	<b>√</b> ø3	<b>↓</b> <b>↓</b> <b>↓</b> <b>↓</b> <b>↓</b>
26 s	13 s	20 s	31 s
▲ ø5 🕴 ø6		▶ <sub>ø7</sub> ► <sub>ø8</sub>	
10 s 29 s		12 s 39 s	

### Timings 5: Marksheffel Rd & South Lorson Access

	1	•	1	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	1	**	1	5	44
Volume (vph)	392	93	991	642	128	808
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	Free	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases	8		2		1	6
Permitted Phases		8		Free	6	
Detector Phase	8	8	2		1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0		9.0	20.0
Total Split (s)	20.0	20.0	60.0		10.0	70.0
Total Split (%)	22.2%	22.2%	66.7%		11.1%	77.8%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag			Lag		Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None		None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	12.0	12.0	23.9	53.8	31.3	31.3
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22	0.44	1.00	0.58	0.58
v/c Ratio	0.54	0.23	0.66	0.43	0.48	0.45
Control Delay	23.2	7.0	14.8	0.8	10.8	7.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	23.2	7.0	14.8	0.8	10.8	7.0
LOS	С	А	В	А	В	А
Approach Delay	20.1		9.3			7.5
Approach LOS	С		А			А
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 53.8						
Natural Cycle: 55						
Control Type: Actuated-Unco	ordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66						
Intersection Signal Delay: 10	.5			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion 58.2%			10	CU Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min) 15						

Splits and Phases: 5: Marksheffel Rd & South Lorson Access



Timings 8: Carriage Meadows & Fountaine Blvd

	٦	-	$\rightarrow$	4	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	<b>^</b>	1	ሻ	<b>^</b>	1	۲.	•	1	5	•	7
Volume (vph)	209	1942	211	53	1143	55	141	5	115	109	3	159
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8		8	4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	3	8	8	7	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	15.0	55.0	55.0	10.0	50.0	50.0	15.0	10.0	10.0	15.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (%)	16.7%	61.1%	61.1%	11.1%	55.6%	55.6%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	59.4	51.7	51.7	50.3	45.3	45.3	15.7	7.8	7.8	14.0	5.0	5.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.67	0.58	0.58	0.57	0.51	0.51	0.18	0.09	0.09	0.16	0.06	0.06
v/c Ratio	0.70	0.99	0.22	0.31	0.67	0.07	0.56	0.03	0.45	0.45	0.03	0.68
Control Delay	23.2	39.5	2.5	11.1	18.8	0.1	39.0	40.8	10.7	35.3	41.0	22.2
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	23.2	39.5	2.5	11.1	18.8	0.1	39.0	40.8	10.7	35.3	41.0	22.2
LOS	С	D	А	В	В	А	D	D	В	D	D	С
Approach Delay		34.8			17.7			26.5			27.7	
Approach LOS		С			В			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 89												
Natural Cycle: 90												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	oord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.99												
Intersection Signal Delay: 28	.6			I	ntersectio	n LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion 84.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	εE					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

#### Splits and Phases: 8: Carriage Meadows & Fountaine Blvd

✓ ø1	¢2	<b>↑</b> ø3	\$ ø4
10 s 55	is	15 s	10 s
	<b>∮</b> ø6	ø7	<b>≪</b> ¶ø8
15 s	50 s	15 s	10 s

	٦	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	+	•	•	Ť	1	5	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ľ	<u></u>	1	ካካ	<u></u>	1	1	<u></u>	1	ካካ	<u></u>	7
Volume (vph)	36	274	50	596	759	607	169	550	212	218	531	45
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Free	pm+pt	NA	Free	Prot	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4			Free	2		Free			6
Detector Phase	7	4	4	3	8		5	2		1	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	20.0	20.0	9.0	20.0		9.0	20.0		9.0	20.0	20.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	15.0	15.0	25.0	30.0		10.0	35.0		15.0	40.0	40.0
Total Split (%)	11.1%	16.7%	16.7%	27.8%	33.3%		11.1%	38.9%		16.7%	44.4%	44.4%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None		None	Max		None	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	14.8	9.8	9.8	19.1	28.0	89.0	35.4	30.4	89.0	9.6	35.0	35.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.17	0.11	0.11	0.21	0.31	1.00	0.40	0.34	1.00	0.11	0.39	0.39
v/c Ratio	0.22	0.74	0.15	0.85	0.72	0.40	0.48	0.48	0.14	0.62	0.40	0.06
Control Delay	22.9	50.9	0.9	46.1	32.4	0.8	19.6	25.0	0.2	46.0	20.7	0.2
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I otal Delay	22.9	50.9	0.9	46.1	32.4	0.8	19.6	25.0	0.2	46.0	20.7	0.2
LOS	С	D	A	D	C	A	В	C	A	D	C	A
Approach Delay		41.1			26.8			18.4			26.5	
Approach LOS		D			С			В			С	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 89												
Natural Cycle: 70												
Control Type: Actuated-Unco	ordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85												
Intersection Signal Delay: 26.	.1			lr	ntersection	n LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on 65.3%			IC	CU Level	of Service	e C					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

ø1		<b>√</b> ø3		4 ø4	
15 s	35 s	25 s		15 s	
▲ ø5	<b>♦</b> Ø6	.≯ ø7	<b>←</b> ø8		
10 s	40 s	10 s	30 s		

# Timings 5: Marksheffel Rd & Lorson Blvd

	4	•	<b>†</b>	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	1	**	1	5	44
Volume (vph)	651	162	768	206	44	1133
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases	8		2		1	6
Permitted Phases		8		2	6	
Detector Phase	8	8	2	2	1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	9.0	20.0
Total Split (s)	20.0	20.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	70.0
Total Split (%)	22.2%	22.2%	66.7%	66.7%	11.1%	77.8%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	2.2		Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	Min	Min	None	Min
Act Effct Green (s)	15.2	15.2	22.8	22.8	26.3	26.3
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.29	0.29	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.51
v/c Ratio	0.68	0.29	0.52	0.26	0.14	0.72
Control Delay	22.4	5.3	12.6	3.0	6.8	12.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	22.4	5.3	12.6	3.0	6.8	12.3
LOS	С	А	В	А	А	В
Approach Delay	19.0		10.6			12.0
Approach LOS	В		В			В
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 51.7						
Natural Cycle: 50						
Control Type: Actuated-Unco	oordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72						
Intersection Signal Delay: 13	8.5			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion 58.2%			10	CU Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: 5: Mar	ksheffel R	d & Lorso	n Blvd			



Timings 8: Carriage Meadows & Fountaine Blvd

	≯	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ľ	<u></u>	1	ľ	<u></u>	1	1	•	1	ľ	•	1
Volume (vph)	48	601	54	30	1792	30	82	2	22	20	2	88
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8		8	4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	3	8	8	7	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	60.0	60.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (%)	11.1%	66.7%	66.7%	11.1%	66.7%	66.7%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	61.6	59.9	59.9	61.6	59.9	59.9	10.9	9.0	9.0	8.9	5.0	5.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.72	0.70	0.70	0.72	0.70	0.70	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.06
v/c Ratio	0.27	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.76	0.03	0.49	0.01	0.08	0.12	0.02	0.41
Control Delay	7.7	7.0	0.1	3.9	14.5	0.0	43.2	39.5	0.5	33.5	40.5	7.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	7.7	7.0	0.1	3.9	14.5	0.0	43.2	39.5	0.5	33.5	40.5	7.6
LOS	A	A	A	A	В	A	D	D	A	С	D	A
Approach Delay		6.5			14.1			34.3			12.8	
Approach LOS		A			В			С			В	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 85												
Natural Cycle: 80												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76												
Intersection Signal Delay: 12	.9			Ir	ntersectio	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on 72.0%			10	CU Level	of Service	эC					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 8: Carriage Meadows & Fountaine Blvd

<b>√</b> ø1	↓ g2	<b>▲</b> ø3	<b>₽</b> ø4
10 s	60 s	10 s	10 s
∕× ø5	∲ ø6	ø7	<b>≪</b> ¶ø8
10 s	60 s	10 s	10 s

1

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Vol, veh/h	11	222	4	1	715	5	10	1	2	3	0	35
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	12	234	4	1	753	5	11	1	2	3	0	37

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	758	0	0	238	0	0	1035	1019	236	1018	1018	755
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	259	259	-	757	757	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	776	760	-	261	261	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	853	-	-	1329	-	-	210	237	803	216	237	409
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	746	694	-	400	416	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	390	414	-	744	692	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	853	-	-	1329	-	-	189	233	803	212	233	409
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	189	233	-	212	233	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	736	684	-	394	416	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	355	414	-	730	682	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.4	0	22.6	15.6
HCM LOS			С	С

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	218	853	-	-	1329	-	-	381
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.063	0.014	-	-	0.001	-	-	0.105
HCM Control Delay (s)	22.6	9.3	-	-	7.7	-	-	15.6
HCM Lane LOS	С	А	-	-	А	-	-	С
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.3

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Vol, veh/h	12	233	5	0	759	1	16	0	1	2	0	39
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	13	245	5	0	799	1	17	0	1	2	0	41

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	800	0	0	251	0	0	1093	1073	248	1073	1075	799
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	273	273	-	799	799	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	820	800	-	274	276	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	823	-	-	1314	-	-	192	220	791	198	220	386
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	733	684	-	379	398	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	369	397	-	732	682	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	823	-	-	1314	-	-	170	217	791	195	217	386
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	170	217	-	195	217	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	721	673	-	373	398	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	330	397	-	719	671	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.5	0	27.5	16.1
HCM LOS			D	С

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR \$	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	178	823	-	-	1314	-	-	368
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.101	0.015	-	-	-	-	-	0.117
HCM Control Delay (s)	27.5	9.4	-	-	0	-	-	16.1
HCM Lane LOS	D	А	-	-	А	-	-	С
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.4

	٦	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	•	*	1	1	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	- <b>†</b> †	1	ካካ	<u></u>	1	۳	<u></u>	1	ካካ	<u></u>	7
Volume (vph)	65	926	149	457	538	469	128	243	729	741	356	65
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Free	pm+pt	NA	Free	Prot	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4			Free	2		Free			6
Detector Phase	7	4	4	3	8		5	2		1	6	6
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0		9.0	9.0		9.0	9.0	9.0
Total Split (s)	12.0	31.0	31.0	20.0	39.0		10.0	14.0		25.0	29.0	29.0
Total Split (%)	13.3%	34.4%	34.4%	22.2%	43.3%		11.1%	15.6%		27.8%	32.2%	32.2%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0		1.0	2.0		1.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0		4.0	5.0		4.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None		None	Max		None	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	34.0	26.0	26.0	15.4	36.4	89.4	16.0	9.0	89.4	21.0	24.0	24.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.38	0.29	0.29	0.17	0.41	1.00	0.18	0.10	1.00	0.23	0.27	0.27
v/c Ratio	0.18	0.95	0.26	0.81	0.39	0.31	0.59	0.72	0.48	0.94	0.40	0.12
Control Delay	12.8	50.3	2.2	47.8	20.5	0.5	33.1	51.7	1.1	54.8	28.4	0.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	12.8	50.3	2.2	47.8	20.5	0.5	33.1	51.7	1.1	54.8	28.4	0.5
LOS	В	D	A	D	С	A	С	D	Α	D	С	A
Approach Delay		41.9			22.6			16.0			43.5	
Approach LOS		D			С			В			D	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 89.4												
Natural Cycle: 70												
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95												
Intersection Signal Delay: 30.6 Intersection LOS: C												
Intersection Capacity Utilizati		10	CU Level	of Service	e D							
Analysis Period (min) 15												

øı		1 g2	<b>√</b> ø3		<b>↓</b> <sub>₽4</sub>
25 s		14 s	20 s		31 s
<b>▲</b> ø5	¢ ▼ ø6		▶ ø7	<b>←</b> ø8	
10 s 2	9 s		12 s	39 s	
# Timings 5: Marksheffel Rd & Lorson Blvd

	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	•	1	1	1	ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	ሻሻ	1	44	1	5	44
Volume (vph)	435	108	991	715	154	808
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	Free	pm+pt	NA
Protected Phases	8		2		1	6
Permitted Phases		8		Free	6	
Detector Phase	8	8	2		1	6
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	20.0	20.0	20.0		9.0	20.0
Total Split (s)	20.0	20.0	60.0		10.0	70.0
Total Split (%)	22.2%	22.2%	66.7%		11.1%	77.8%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	0.0	0.0	l aq		Lead	0.0
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None		None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	12.7	12.7	23.8	56.8	33.9	33.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22	0.42	1.00	0.60	0.60
v/c Ratio	0.60	0.26	0.70	0.48	0.61	0 44
Control Delay	24.3	6.8	16.5	10	17 7	7 1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	24.3	6.8	16.5	1.0	17.7	7.1
LOS	C.	0.0 A	R	Α	B	A
Approach Delay	20.8	, (	10.0	,,	2	8.8
Approach LOS	20.0 C		B			0.0 A
	5		U			~
Cycle Length: 90	•					
Actuated Cycle Length: 56.	8					
Natural Cycle: 60						
Control Type: Actuated-Uno	coordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.70						
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	11.5			lr	ntersectio	n LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 60.8%			10	U Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: 5: Ma	arksheffel R	d & Lorso	n Blvd			



Timings 8: Carriage Meadows & Fountaine Blvd

	≯	-	$\rightarrow$	1	+	•	1	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ኘ	<b>†</b> †	1	ኘ	<b>†</b> †	1	٦	<b>†</b>	1	۲	<b>†</b>	1
Volume (vph)	209	1942	245	55	1143	55	162	8	116	109	8	159
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8		8	4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	3	8	8	7	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	15.0	55.0	55.0	10.0	50.0	50.0	15.0	10.0	10.0	15.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (%)	16.7%	61.1%	61.1%	11.1%	55.6%	55.6%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%	16.7%	11.1%	11.1%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	None	Max	Max	None	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	59.3	51.6	51.6	50.2	45.2	45.2	15.8	7.9	7.9	14.0	5.0	5.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.67	0.58	0.58	0.56	0.51	0.51	0.18	0.09	0.09	0.16	0.06	0.06
v/c Ratio	0.70	1.00	0.25	0.32	0.67	0.07	0.65	0.05	0.45	0.45	0.08	0.69
Control Delay	23.3	40.0	2.5	11.4	18.9	0.1	43.2	41.1	10.8	35.4	42.0	24.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	23.3	40.0	2.5	11.4	18.9	0.1	43.2	41.1	10.8	35.4	42.0	24.1
LOS	С	D	А	В	В	А	D	D	В	D	D	С
Approach Delay		34.7			17.7			30.0			29.1	
Approach LOS		С			В			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 89.1												
Natural Cycle: 90												
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	oord											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00												
Intersection Signal Delay: 29	.0			li	ntersectio	n LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion 85.2%	-		10	CU Level	of Service	εE					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 8: Carriage Meadows & Fountaine Blvd

ø1	ø2	<b>↑</b> ø3	\$ <b>0</b> 4
10 s 5	5s	15 s	10 s
▶ ø5	₩ Ø6	ø7	<b>≪</b> ‡ <sub>ø8</sub>
15 s	50 s	15 s	10 s

1.3

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Vol, veh/h	41	757	12	0	474	10	7	2	0	16	2	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	43	797	13	0	499	11	7	2	0	17	2	26

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	509	0	0	809	0	0	1407	1398	803	1395	1400	504
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	889	889	-	504	504	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	518	509	-	891	896	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1056	-	-	817	-	-	117	141	383	119	140	568
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	338	361	-	550	541	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	541	538	-	337	359	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1056	-	-	817	-	-	107	135	383	114	134	568
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	107	135	-	114	134	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	324	346	-	528	541	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	514	538	-	321	344	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.4	0	40.1	26
HCM LOS			E	D

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	112	1056	-	-	817	-	-	216
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.085	0.041	-	-	-	-	-	0.21
HCM Control Delay (s)	40.1	8.6	-	-	0	-	-	26
HCM Lane LOS	E	А	-	-	А	-	-	D
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	0.8

0.8

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Vol, veh/h	42	809	17	0	505	1	10	0	0	0	0	28
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	44	852	18	0	532	1	11	0	0	0	0	29

Major/Minor	Major1			Major2			Minor1			Minor2		
Conflicting Flow All	533	0	0	869	0	0	1496	1482	861	1481	1490	532
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	949	949	-	532	532	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	547	533	-	949	958	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1035	-	-	775	-	-	101	125	355	103	124	547
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	313	339	-	531	526	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	521	525	-	313	336	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1035	-	-	775	-	-	92	120	355	100	119	547
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	92	120	-	100	119	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	300	325	-	508	526	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	493	525	-	300	322	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.4	0	49.1	12
HCM LOS			E	В

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	92	1035	-	-	775	-	-	547
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.114	0.043	-	-	-	-	-	0.054
HCM Control Delay (s)	49.1	8.6	-	-	0	-	-	12
HCM Lane LOS	E	А	-	-	А	-	-	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	0.2

# Intersection: 56: East Access & Lorson Blvd

Movement	EB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	31	40	50
Average Queue (ft)	5	11	24
95th Queue (ft)	24	36	50
Link Distance (ft)		392	338
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	200		
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

# Intersection: 177: West Access & Lorson Blvd

Movement	EB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	31	44	63
Average Queue (ft)	6	16	26
95th Queue (ft)	25	43	54
Link Distance (ft)		331	349
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	200		
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

# Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 0

# Intersection: 56: East Access & Lorson Blvd

				0.0
Movement	EB	WB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	TR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	52	4	48	52
Average Queue (ft)	15	0	12	24
95th Queue (ft)	42	3	38	50
Link Distance (ft)		1143	392	338
Upstream Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	200			
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

# Intersection: 177: West Access & Lorson Blvd

Movement	EB	NB	SB
Directions Served	L	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	57	40	40
Average Queue (ft)	14	10	18
95th Queue (ft)	41	35	44
Link Distance (ft)		331	349
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	200		
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

### Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 0

# Markup Summary

#### 8/3/2017 3:43:49 PM (1)

ner poetnia scenario wous de elling unit cajo is reached prior to his scenario a traffic signal is not in the Sintester Mark The greed of ga in the Sintester Nucleon of the distributed between these distributed between these three doelopments (the preadential, and track N and proach Will Mikely be warranted, nosen Ranch, development of the Subject: Text Box Page Label: 9 Lock: Unlocked Status: Checkmark: Unchecked Author: dsdnijkamp Date: 8/3/2017 3:43:49 PM Color:

#### 8/3/2017 3:38:57 PM (1)

INS Please do not use back ground traffic in umes and VetK-initicitieu vs.fur and the streat remains in the Lorson/MS needs a number assigned to it S at this time. Subject: Text Box Page Label: 9 Lock: Unlocked Status: Checkmark: Unchecked Author: dsdnijkamp Date: 8/3/2017 3:38:57 PM Color:

#### 8/3/2017 3:38:43 PM (1)

Figure 13 shows the originate contributions blockward. **Subject:** Callout Page Label: 9 Lock: Unlocked Status: Checkmark: Unchecked Author: dsdnijkamp Date: 8/3/2017 3:38:43 PM Color:

#### 8/3/2017 3:34:26 PM (1)

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 9
Lock: Unlocked
Status:
Checkmark: Unchecked
Author: dsdnijkamp
Date: 8/3/2017 3:34:26 PM
Color: 🗖

#### 8/3/2017 3:32:09 PM (1)



Subject: Highlight Page Label: 9 Lock: Unlocked Status: Checkmark: Unchecked Author: dsdnijkamp Date: 8/3/2017 3:32:09 PM Color: Please do not use background traffic, 100% of the dollar amount should be distributed between these three developments (the residential, and tract N and O).

Please do not use back ground traffic in your calculations for percentages. The Lorson/MS needs a number assigned to it at this time.

Marksheffel