

Planning and Community
Development Department
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

ado Springs, Colorado 80910 Updated: 6/26/2019

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

PROJECT INFORMATION

Revised 10-14-2022 (updated Exhibit No. 1)

DEVIATION REQUEST

AND DECISION FORM

Project Name: Waterbury Filings 1 and 2

Schedule No.(s): 4200000417

Legal Description: TR IN NW4, SW4 SEC 28, E2SE4 SEC 29, NW4 SEC 33-12-64 DESC AS FOLS: COM AT NW COR OF

SD SEC 28, TH S 00<30'55" E 1319.39 FT TO NW COR OF S2NW4, S 89<47'08" E 588.96 FT TO A PT ON ELY R/W OF EASTONVILLE RD FOR POB, CON S 89<47'08" E 1605.16 FT, S 00<12'59" W 435.00 FT, S 89<47'01" E 139.63 FT, S 00<12'59" W 330.00 FT, N 89<47'01" W 350.00 FT, N 00<12'59" E 30.00 FT, N 89<47'01" W 435.00 FT, S 00<12'59" W 377.02 FT, S 12<05'17" E 298.63 FT, S 25<18'38" E 227.74 FT, S 37<45'39" E 249.37 FT, S 51<48'59" E 239.45 FT, S 24<21'29" W 365.46 FT, TH ALG ARC OF CUR TO THE L HAVING A RAD OF 965.00 FT AN ARC DIST OF 18.61 FT A C/A OF 01<06'18" WHICH CHORD BEARS N 26<38'08" E, TH S 25<31'50" W 699.86 FT, N 28<50'14" W 419.93 FT, S 39<02'37" W 269.86 FT, S 28<43'09" E 182.42 FT, S 20<34'25" E 144.94 FT, S 04<10'28" W 63.70 FT, TH ALG ARC OF CUR TO THE R HAVING A RAD OF 1465.00 FT AN ARC DIST OF 64.34 FT A C/A OF 02<30'59" WHICH CHORD BEARS N 07<06'03" E, S 09<37'02" W 70.00 FT, S 12<40'04" W 679.15 FT, S 10<45'49" E 120.00 FT, TH ALG ARC OF CUR TO THE L HAVING A RAD OF 1280.00 FT AN ARC DIST OF 336.84 FT A C/A OF 15<04'39" WHICH CHORD BEARS S 10<45'49" E, S 64<09'32" W 723.95 FT, N 10<22'31" E 439.41 FT, N 12<01'08" W 399.03 FT, N 18<38'16" W 326.29 FT, N 24<17'51" W 617.25 FT, N 30<04'30" W 382.89 FT, N 18<14'27" W 254.35 FT, N 28<23'01" W 429.55 FT TO A PT ON ELY R/W LN OF EASTONVILLE RD, N 38<15'31" E 549.80 FT TO A PT ON SLY LN OF NE4 SEC 29 S 89<54'34" E 310.49 FT, N 00<30'55" W 389.80 FT TO A PT ON ELY R/W LN OF EASTONVILLE RD, N 38<15'31" E 3.28 FT, N 37<34'53" E 508.84 FT, TH ALG ARC OF CUR TO THE L HAVING A RAD OF 1630.00 FT AN ARC DIST OF 589.68 FT A C/A OF 20<43'39" TO POB, EX THAT SLY POR CONV BY REC # 208025323, EX PT

DESC BY REC # 217092201

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Company: 4 Way Ranch Joint Venture, LLC

Name: Mr. Peter Martz

oximes Owner oximes Consultant oximes Contractor

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 50223

Colorado Springs, CO 80949

Phone Number: 719-491-3150

FAX Number:

Email Address: pmartzlrg@comcast.net

ENGINEER INFORMATION

Company: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Name: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon Colorado P.E. Number: 31684

Mailing Address: 2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304

Colorado Springs, CO 80909

Phone Number: 719-633-2868

FAX Number: 719-633-5430

Email Address: jeff@LSCtrans.com

OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission. Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

Signature of owner (or authorized representative)

Date

Engineer's Seal, Signature And Date of Signature



DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.3.7.E.1 & 2 of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested. The requested deviation is to allow left- and right-turn bays on the southbound Saybrook approach to Stapleton to be designed for required stacking/storage distance plus a compact bay taper design in order to minimize the impact to on-street parking and lots fronting Saybrook.

This deviation was previously approved. A copy of the prior approved deviation is attached to the end of this deviation for reference. The projected southbound approach volumes at Saybrook/Stapleton used in the analysis to support the prior approved deviation are essentially the same as the corresponding projected volumes in the current TIS report.

The first attached exhibit is a copy of the laneage exhibit depicting the deviation request. The second exhibit is a copy of the Saybrook proposed cross section with on-street parking and lot frontage that would be impacted without this deviation.

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:

ECM Section 2.3.7.E.1: The design elements for a left turn lane are the bay taper, lane length, storage length, which in combination makes up the left turn lane. The proposed design would provide required stacking/storage distance only plus a compact bay taper design. ECM Section 2.3.7.E.2: The design elements for right turn and deceleration lanes are the approach taper, lane length, storage length, which in combination makes up the right turn lane. The proposed design would provide required stacking/storage distance only plus a compact bay taper design.

State the reason for the requested deviation:

The deviation is needed to minimize the impact full-length, standard turn lanes would have to on-street parking and lots fronting Saybrook (please refer to the attached deviation exhibits).

Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):

The ECM requires turn lanes to include deceleration distance plus stacking distance plus taper length. Based on a design speed of 30 mph (posted speed would also be 30 mph) and the turning volumes, the ECM criteria for turn lanes requires a southbound right-turn lane length of 165 to 190 feet (115 feet of deceleration distance plus 50 to 75 feet of storage) plus a 120-foot taper for a total right turn length of 285 to 310 feet and a southbound left-turn lane length of 165 to 190 feet plus a 120-foot taper for a total left turn length of 285 to 310 feet. The proposed left- and right-turn lanes are 100 feet long plus a 60 foot compact bay taper for a total lane length of 160 feet. This is 125 to 150 feet shorter than the ECM criteria.

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

	deviation request to be considered.)

☐ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.
☐ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent
alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
🗵 A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will
impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

Provide justification:

The deviation is requested in order to minimize the impact to on-street parking and lots facing Saybrook. Deceleration distance is not necessary as explained below. Compact tapers are also reasonable and preferred on this planned urban street.

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is <u>not based exclusively on financial</u> <u>considerations</u>. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with <u>all of the following criteria</u>:

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.

Given the site-specific situation, LSC's judgement is that these lane lengths could be shortened to provide stacking distance only and still achieve the intended result of separating turning traffic from through traffic.

The adjacent southbound through lane is likely to see relatively low volume as most southbound traffic will turn left or right. Also, once signalized, the side street will likely have limited signal phase time compared to Stapleton Road. Drivers will expect a "stop condition" at Stapleton. Given these two factors, driver expectancy will be to reduce speed approaching the intersection even without the ECM deceleration distance. Note: The queuing analysis from the 2013 PUD development plan TIS report (utilized as basis for the previously-approved deviation) actually indicated a buildout need for about 100 feet of stacking to accommodate the projected queues, rather than 50 to 75 per ECM. The projected southbound approach volumes at Saybrook/Stapleton are essentially the same as the corresponding projected volumes in the current TIS report.

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

Most southbound vehicles will be turning left or right at Stapleton, and with either a stop-sign on the southbound approach, or a future traffic signal, southbound motorist will expect a stop condition at Stapleton. The side street will likely have limited signal green time. Given these two factors combined with the 30 mph speed limit, the planned urban development and roundabout to the north along Saybrook, driver expectancy will be to reduce speed approaching the intersection even without the ECM deceleration distance.

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.

As the proposed lanes are shorter than those required by the ECM the associated maintenance costs would be lower.

The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

Turn bays with only the necessary length for the situation would improve aesthetics of the area by reducing the width and surface area of asphalt.


The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.


All the above factors make this situation different from an access or intersection along a higher speed collector or arterial where a deceleration length component is important. The deviation exhibits show both southbound and left- and right-turn bays on Saybrook on the approach to Stapleton. The southbound left-turn lane would have sufficient stacking length to accommodate over 95 percent of the southbound left vehicle queues during the peak hour. The southbound right-turn lane would have sufficient stacking length to accommodate the southbound right-turn vehicle queues. Both lanes would be of sufficient length such that the entry to the lanes would not be blocked by the southbound through lane queue except perhaps in an unusual situation.

The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.	
Water quality will be provided.	

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

	roved by the ECM Administrator		
	request has been determined to have met the criteria for by granted based on the justification provided.	approval. A deviation from Section 2.3.7.E.1 & 2	of the ECM is
Γ	Approved by Jeff Rice El Paso County Department of Public Works on behalf of Elizabeth Nijkamp, Deputy County Engineer	٦	
L	04/27/2023 4:11:23 PM	Ţ	
	ed by the ECM Administrator		=0
	request has been determined not to have met criteria for by denied.	approval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ		٦	
L		T	
ECM	ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:		









Development Services Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

DEVIATION REVIEW AND DECISION FORM

Procedure # R-FM-051-07			
Issue Date: 12/31/07			
Revision Issued: 00/00/00			
DOD EILE NO .			

State: CO

DOD FILE NO.:						
		ľ				
					1	

General Property Information:

Address of Subject Property (Street Number/Name): 0 Eastonville Road Tax Schedule ID(s) #:4200000367, 4200000366, 4200000349, 4200000326 Legal Description of Property: See Attached Subdivision or Project Name: Waterbury (formerly 4 Way Ranch)

Prior Deviation - For southbound turn bays on Saybrook at Stapleton - Still Applicable. This one was approved.

Postal Code: 80903

Section of ECM from Which Deviation is Sought: 2.3.7.E.1 &.2 Intersections - Turn Lane Design Elements. Specific Criteria from Which a Deviation is Sought: The design elements for a left turn lane are the bay taper, lane length, storage length, which in combination makes up the left turn lane. The design elements for a right turn and deceleration lanes are the approach taper, lane length, storage length, which in combination makes up the right turn lane.

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The requested deviation is to allow left and right turn bays on the southbound Saybrook approach to Stapleton to be designed for required stacking/storage distance plus a compact bay taper design in order to minimize the impact to on-street parking and lots fronting Saybrook (see attached exhibit)

Applicant Information:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicant: 4 Way Rar	nch Joint Ventur	e,LLC (Peter Martz)	Email Addres	ss: pmartzlrg@cor	ncast.net	
Applicant is:X	Owner	_ Consultant	Contractor			
Mailing Address: P.O). Box 50223 C	Colorado Springs		State: CO	Postal Code: 80949	
Telephone Number:	(719) 491-3150			Fax Number:		
•	•					

Engineer Information:

Engineer: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon Email Address: jeff@lsccs.com

Company Name: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Mailing Address: 516 N. Tejon St., Colorado Springs

Registration Number: 31684 State of Registration: CO Telephone Number: 719-633-2868 Fax Number: 719-633-5430

Explanation of Request (Attached diagrams, figures and other documentation to clarify request):

Section of ECM from Which Deviation is Sought: 2.3.7.E.1 &.2 Intersections - Turn Lane Design Elements. Specific Criteria from Which a Deviation is Sought: The design elements for a left turn lane are the bay taper, lane length, storage length, which in combination makes up the left turn lane. The design elements for a right turn and deceleration lanes are the approach taper, lane length, storage length, which in combination makes up the right turn lane.

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The requested deviation is to allow left and right turn bays on the southbound Saybrook approach to Stapleton to be designed for required stacking/storage distance plus a compact bay taper design.

Reason for the Requested Deviation: The deviation is requested in order to minimize the impact to on-street parking and lots fronting Saybrook (see attached exhibit).

Comparison of Proposed Deviation to ECM Standard: The ECM requires turn lanes to include deceleration distance plus stacking distance plus taper length. Based on a design speed of 30 mph (posted speed would also be 30 mph) and the turning volumes, the ECM criteria for turn lanes requires a southbound right-turn lane length of 165 to 190 feet (115 feet of deceleration distance plus 50 to 75 feet of storage) plus a 120-foot taper and a southbound left-turn lane length of 165 to 190 feet plus a 120-foot taper. LSC's judgment is that given the particular situation, these lane lengths could be shortened to provide stacking distance only. Note: The traffic simulation actually indicates a 2035 need for about 100 feet of stacking to accommodate the projected queues, rather than 50 to 75 per ECM

El Paso County Procedures Manual Procedure # R-FM-051-07 Issue Date: 12/31/07 Revision Issued: 00/00/00 requirements. The right turn lane stacking need would be 50 to 75 feet Applicable Regional or National Standards used as Basis:

S CRITERIA FOR	JUSTIFICATION		
ble to a particular			
ner geographical e an undue hardship nt alternative that phiective is available			
safety or			
ired to address a oblem, and if not e an undue hardship aterial benefit to the	The deviation is requested in order to minimize the impact to on-street parking and lots facing Saybrook. Deceleration distance is not necessary as explained below. Compact tapers are also reasonable and preferred on this planned urban street.		
ed above is not met,	this application for deviation cannot be considered.		
OF THE FOLLOWING	CRITERIA HAVE BEEN SATISFIED BY THIS REQUEST		
	ased on cost. The request is being made to minimize the arking and lots fronting Saybrook.		
Stop-sign on the sour motorists will expect limited signal green t urban development a through deviation as	nicles will be turning left or right at Stapleton, and with either a thbound approach, or a future traffic signal, southbound a stop condition at Stapleton. The side street will likely have ime. Given this combined with the 30 mph speed limit, the along Saybrook, and the fact that the street was approved a lower speed collector street with on-street parking, a should not be needed.		
a higher speed collectimportant. The Prelim on Saybrook on the a have sufficient stackilleft vehicle queues di have sufficient stackillqueues. Both lanes v	the this situation different from an access or intersection along ctor or arterial where a deceleration length component is animary Plan shows both southbound left- and right-turn bays approach to Stapleton. The southbound left-turn lane would ng length to accommodate over 95 percent of the southbound uring the peak hour. The southbound right-turn lane would ng length to accommodate the southbound right-turn vehicle would be of sufficient length such that the entry to the lanes if by the southbound through lane queue except perhaps in an		
Not Applicable.			
Not Applicable.			
Declaration:			
	pole to a particular ther geographical an undue hardship an talternative that objective is available safety or ared to address a oblem, and if not an undue hardship aterial benefit to the sed above is not met, Most southbound vel Stop-sign on the sour motorists will expect limited signal green t urban development a through deviation as deceleration distance All these factors mak a higher speed collect important. The Prelin on Saybrook on the a have sufficient stacki queues. Both lanes w would not be blocked unusual situation. Not Applicable. Declaration:		

DEVIATION REVIEW AND DECISION Page 3 of 3

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and a true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulation filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and that any approval of this application is based on the representation on any breach of representation or condition(3) of approval.	of any information on this application may be a and procedures with respect to preparing and be cause to have the project removed from the and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review,
	(12/01/3
Signature of owner (or authorized representative)	Date
Signature of applicant (if different from owner)	Date
	11.00 C/C/S
Signature of Engineer	Date
00 85C	
Engineer's Seal	
Review and Recommendation:	
APPROVED by the ECM Administrator	
This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approva	Date 7-16-13
2.3.7. E. H2 of ECM is hereby granted based on the justification	on provided. Comments:
Additional comments or information are attached.	
DENIED by the ECM Administrator	
	Date
This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approva of ECM is hereby denied. Comments:	II. A deviation from Section
Additional comments or information are attached.	
El Paso County Procedures Manuał Procedure # R-FM-051-07 Issue Date: 12/31/07	
Revision Issued: 00/00/00 DSD File No.	

