## ENG-PUDSP21005-R6-PDR-MDDP.pdf Markup Summary

10 (1)

The developmenture is applying for an Early Conding Parties in the Per EFF Nove Comp Steadord, the view must be analysed for the date for the per Steadord of the Conding Stea

Subject: Page Index: 10

Date: 12/14/2022 11:51:18 AM

Author: dsdrice Color:

Layer: Space:

Page Label: 10

r59 unoff

209 (2)



Subject: Callout Page Index: 209

Date: 12/14/2022 4:23:54 PM

Author: dsdrice Color: Layer: Space:

Page Label: 209

See comment memo (previous comment) As discussed, there needs to be a footnote stating that the final design values will be determined with

the FDR.

NA Exist. Channel geometry

6.06%

7.91%

NA Exist. doesn't have bankfull have bankfull have bankfull

Subject:

Page Index: 209

Date: 12/14/2022 4:20:04 PM

Author: dsdrice Color: Layer: Space:

Page Label: 209

6.06% 7.91%

308 (1)



Subject: Callout Page Index: 308

Date: 12/14/2022 1:23:20 PM

Author: dsdrice
Color: Layer:
Space:

Page Label: 308

East?

346 (1)



Subject: Callout Page Index: 346

Date: 12/6/2022 9:46:35 AM

Author: CDurham

Color: Layer: Space:

Page Label: [1] EGP 1

Delete duplicate contours?

## 349 (2)



Subject: Callout Page Index: 349

Date: 12/6/2022 10:01:53 AM

Author: CDurham

Color: Layer: Space:

Page Label: [1] PROP 2

Add note that flows from upstream basins to north will be releasing into pond. Show pipes and label

as approximate location.



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow

Page Index: 349

Date: 12/13/2022 3:46:06 PM

Author: EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese

Color: Layer:

Page Label: [1] PROP 2

Replot so that this prints as black.

## 353 (4)



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow

Page Index: 353

Date: 12/13/2022 4:02:04 PM

Author: EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese

Color: Layer: Space:

Page Label: [1] PROP 2

delete comma. Should just be "G1"



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow

Page Index: 353

**Date:** 12/13/2022 4:07:37 PM

Author: EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese

Color: Layer: Space:

Page Label: [1] PROP 2

Missing "H"



Subject: Polygon Page Index: 353

Date: 12/13/2022 4:08:46 PM

Author: EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese

Color: Layer: Space:

Page Label: [1] PROP 2



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow

Page Index: 353

Date: 12/13/2022 4:15:39 PM

Author: EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese

Color: Layer: Space:

Page Label: [1] PROP 2

If this area is supposed to be excluded and to remain undeveloped, then that means that no building can occur on over half of each of these lots. So does that mean there is not going to be any front yards because the houses will butt-up against the sidewalk? This doesn't seem likely.

I think from a documentation standpoint we need to instead put this area into it's own WQ exclusion area (ie: a new row in the table to the right, per my comment in the last review). Utilize exclusion I.7.1.C.1.A (area excluded from WQ treatment that is <20% of the site, not to exceed 1ac).