PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR STERLING RANCH PHASE 2 PRELIMINARY PLAN

Engineering Review

04/06/2021 9:18:21 AM
dsdrice

JeffRice@elpasoco.com
(719) 520-7877

EPC Planning & Community
Development Department

See comment letter also.

Prepared For:

SR Land, LLC 20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 491-3024

> October 1, 2020 Project No. 25188.02 SP-20-003

Prepared By:
JR Engineering, LLC
5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235
Colorado Springs, CO 80919
719-593-2593



ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by El Paso County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

acts, errors, or offission	is on my part in preparing this re	port.	
Mike Bramlett, Colorad	lo P.E. 38861		
For and On Behalf of JI	R Engineering, LLC		
DEVELOPER'S STA	<u> FEMENT:</u>		
I, the developer, have report and plan.	read and will comply with all o	of the requirements specified in this	drainage
Business Name:	SR Land, LLC		
Ву:			
Title:			
Address:	20 Boulder Crescent, Su	ite 200	
	Colorado Springs, CO 8	<u>)903</u>	
El Paso County:			
	•	o County Land Development Code,	Drainage
Criteria Manual, Volum	nes 1 and 2 and Engineering Crit	eria Manual, as amended.	
Jennifer Irvine, P.E.		Date	
County Engineer/ ECM	Administrator		



Conditions:

Table of Contents

Purpose
General Site Description
General Location
Description of Property
Floodplain Statement
Existing Drainage Conditions
Major Basin Descriptions
Existing Sub-basin Drainage
Proposed Drainage Conditions
Proposed Sub-basin Drainage
Interim Condition Proposed Sub-basin Drainage
Drainage Design Criteria
Development Criteria Reference
Hydrologic Criteria
Hydraulic Criteria
Drainage Facility Design
General Concept
Four Step Process to Minimize Adverse Impacts of Urbanization
Water Quality
Erosion Control Plan
Operation & Maintenance
Drainage and Bridge Fees1
Summary1
References1

APPENDIX

Appendix A – Vicinity Map, Soil Descriptions, FEMA Floodplain Map

Appendix B – Hydrologic and Hydraulic Calculations

Appendix C - Reference Material

Appendix D - Drainage Maps



PURPOSE

This document is the Preliminary Drainage Report for Sterling Ranch Phase 2. The purpose of this report is to identify on-site and off-site drainage patterns, storm sewer, culvert and inlet locations, areas tributary to the site, and to safely route developed storm water to adequate outfall facilities.

GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

GENERAL LOCATION

Sterling Ranch Phase 2 (hereby referred to as the "site") is a proposed development within the Sterling Ranch master planned community with a total area of approximately 75 acres that are presently undeveloped.

The site is located in portions of Section 4, 5 & 33, Township 12 & 13 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian in El Paso County, State of Colorado. The site is bounded by Un-platted land to the southwest, the Barbarick Subdivision to the north, Sterling Ranch Road cuts through the site, and Sand Creek borders the site to east. The parcels are planned to be platted after approval of the Preliminary Plan. Refer to the vicinity map in Appendix A for additional information.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The property will be primarily be single-family residential development (approximately 42 acres), Open space and drainage tracts (approximately 28 acres, and an approximate 5 acre tract in the southwest corner where the Sterling Ranch Lift Station is located. The site is comprised of variable sloping grasslands that generally slope(s) downward to the southeast at 3 to 8% towards the Sand Creek tributary basin.

Soil characteristics are comprised of Type A and B hydrologic Soil groups. Refer to the soil survey map in Appendix A for additional information.

There are no major drainage ways running through the site, although a tributary to the Sand Creek basin is immediately to the east of the site. Currently, Kiowa Engineering Corp. is performing studies and plans to address Sand Creek stabilization.

There are no known irrigation facilities located on the project site.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

Based on the FEMA FIRM Maps number 08041C0533G, dated December 7, 2018, the far eastern portion of the project site that is adjacent to the existing drainage way lies within Zone AE. Zone AE is defined as area subject to inundation by the 1-percent-annual-chance flood event. The majority of



the proposed development lies within Zone X. Zone X is defined as area outside the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (or 500-year) flood. No grading operations are proposed within the Zone AE at this time. FIRM Maps have been presented in Appendix A.

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

MAJOR BASIN DESCRIPTIONS

The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin based on the "Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study" (DBPS) completed by Kiowa Engineering Corporation in January 1993, revised March 1996. The Sand Creek Drainage Basin covers approximately 54 square miles and is divided into major sub-basins. The site is within the respective sub-basin is shown in Appendix E.

The Sand Creek DBPS assumed the Sterling Ranch Phase 2 property to have a "large lot residential" use for the majority of the site. The Sterling Ranch MDDP assumed a mix of commercial and single family residential lots ranging in size from 0.2 to 0.3 acres for the Sterling Ranch Phase 2 site. The proposed Sterling Ranch master plan is a mix of; school, multi-family, single-family, and commercial land uses, resulting in higher runoff. Any additional runoff will be provided for with the extended detention basin located at the southern edge of the site. The site generally drains from north to south consisting of rolling hills. Currently, the site is used as pasture land for cattle. Sand Creek is located east of the site running north to south. This reach of drainage conveyance is not currently improved. There are a few stock ponds within the creek channel used for cattle watering. Currently, Kiowa is performing studies and plans to address Sand Creek stabilization adjacent to the site.

The proposed drainage on the site closely follows the approved "Master Development Drainage Plan for Sterling Ranch", (MMDP) prepared by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., dated October 24, 2018. The site is tributary to Pond W5 and full-spectrum detention for the site was previously analyzed and can be found in the Final Drainage Report for Sterling Ranch Filing 2.

EXISTING SUB-BASIN DRAINAGE

The existing / predeveloped condition of the site was broken into two major basins: Basin A (western portion) and Basin B (Eastern Portion), as well as several offsite basins. The basin and sub-basin delineation is shown in the existing drainage map in Appendix E and is described as follows:

Sub-basin A1(Q_5 = 1.1cfs, Q_{100} =8.0cfs) is 5.17 acres and 0 percent impervious consists of the eastern portion of Sterling Ranch phase 2. Runoff from this basin drains to the south west into the assumed existing storm sewer built with Filing 2 just east of Marksheffel Road located at design point 1.



Collected runoff is piped south to the existing detention pond built with Filing 2 and outfalls to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin A2 (Q_5 = 4.6cfs, Q_{100} =33.6cfs) is 27.48 acres and 0 percent impervious and consists the central portion of Sterling Ranch Phase 2. Runoff from this basin drains south onsite into the assumed existing storm sewer built with Filing 2 located at design point 2. Collected runoff is piped south to the existing detention pond built with Filing 2 and outfalls to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin A3 (Q_5 = 2.9cfs, Q_{100} =21.5cfs) is 11.68 acres and 0 percent impervious and is located onsite in the northern part of Sterling Ranch Phase 2. Runoff from this basin drains to the assumed existing storm sewer built with Filing 2 just north of Sterling Ranch Road located at design point 5. Design Point 5.1 is a confluence of flows from basins A3, OS6 and OS7. Collected runoff is piped south to the existing detention pond built with Filing 2 and outfalls to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin B1 (Q_5 = 2.6cfs, Q_{100} =19.0cfs) is 11.78 and is 0 percent impervious and is located on the eastern portion of the site portion of the site. Runoff from this basin drains to the southeast into Sand Creek at design point 6.

Sub-basin OS1(Q_5 = 13.4cfs, Q_{100} =29.8cfs) is 9.27 acres is 30.7 percent impervious and is located to the east of the site. Runoff from this basin drains into the Sterling Ranch Filing 2 detention Pond in confluence with upstream flows from the eastern portion of Sub-basin A2. Collected runoff is piped south to the existing detention pond built with Filing 2 and outfalls to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin OS2 (Q_5 = 6.3cfs, Q_{100} =11.2cfs) is 5.00 acres and 100 percent impervious and is comprised of the southern half street of Sterling Ranch Road. Runoff from this basin drains into the assumed existing storm sewer built with Filing 2 located at design point 7. Collected runoff is piped south to the existing detention pond built with Filing 2 and outfalls to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin OS3 (Q_5 = 8.1cfs, Q_{100} =14.6cfs) is 2.36 acres and 100 percent impervious and is comprised of the northern half street of Sterling Ranch Road. Runoff from this basin drains into the assumed existing storm sewer built with Filing 2 located at design point 8. Collected runoff is piped south to the existing detention pond built with Filing 2 and outfalls to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin OS4 (Q_5 = 2.8cfs, Q_{100} =16.9cfs) is 11.71 acres and 3.6 percent impervious and is located immediately north of Sterling Ranch Road and the eastern portion of the site. Runoff from this basin drains south into assumed existing storm sewer built with Filing 2 located at design point 9. Collected runoff is piped south to the existing detention pond built with Filing 2 and outfalls to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin OS5 (Q_5 = 0.7cfs, Q_{100} =5.0cfs) is 3.46 acres and 0 percent impervious and is located to the east of the northern portion of the site. Runoff from this basin drains to a low point just north of



Sterling Ranch Road located at Design Point 4 and will be collected in the assumed existing storm sewer built with Filing 2 and piped to the Filing 2 detention pond located south of the site and outfalls to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin OS6 (Q_5 = 35.4cfs, Q_{100} =72.2cfs) is 18.38 acres and 11.3 percent impervious as is located northwest of the site in the Barbarick subdivision. Historic runoff from this basins drains south onto the site at design point 10. Detained flow from this basin will be piped through the site to the detention pond and will outfall to Sand Creek.

Sub-basin OS7(Q_5 = 20.6cfs, Q_{100} =60.4cfs) is 33.07 Acres and 19.1 percent impervious and is located directly north of the site in the Barbarick subdivision. Historic runoff from this site drains south onto the site at design point 11. Detained flow from this basin will be piped through the site to the detention pond and will outfall to Sand Creek.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

PROPOSED SUB-BASIN DRAINAGE

The proposed site was broken into three major basins: Basin A (lower-portion), Basin B (mid and eastern –portion) and Basin C (upper-portion) of the site. The proposed basin (and sub-basin) delineation is shown on the drainage basin map within Appendix E and is described as follows.

Basin A1 (Q_5 = 8.1cfs, Q_{100} =17.4cfs) is 4.31 acres and 63 percent impervious and is comprised of single-family residential lots, and a local road. Runoff from this basin drains to design point 1, a type R on grade inlet at the southwest corner of the site

Basin A2 (Q_5 = 1.4cfs, Q_{100} =4.0cfs) is 1.41 acres and 31 percent impervious is comprised of single-family residential lots, open space, several trails, and a local road. Runoff from this basin drains to design point 2 a type R on grade inlet on the southwest corner of the site, in confluence with upstream flows from basin A1.

bypass?

Basin A3 (Q_5 = 6.8cfs, Q_{100} =14.6cfs) is 3.68 acres and 65 percent impervious is comprised of single-family residential lots and a local road. Runoff from this basin drains to an on grade inlet located at design point 3 in confluence with upstream flows from basin A9.

Basin A4 (Q_5 = 5.5cfs, Q_{100} =11.3cfs) is 2.71 acres and 73 percent impervious is comprised of single-family residential lots, open space a local road and two urban knuckles. Runoff from this basin drains to a sump type R inlet located at design point 4 in confluence with upstream flows from basins A1, A2, A3, and A9.



Basin A5 (Q_5 = 1.4cfs, Q_{100} =2.9cfs) is 0.45 acres and 78 percent impervious is comprised of single-family residential lots and a local road. Runoff from this basin drains to an on grade inlet at design point 5.

<u>^</u> 16?

Basin A6 (Q_5 = 15.3cfs, Q_{100} =31.4cfs) is 7.60 acres and 73 percent impervious is comprised of single-family residential lots, local roads. Runoff from this basin drains to an on grade type inlet at design point 6 in confluence with upstream flows from basins A5, A10, and A6.1

19?

n A7 (O_5 = 3.0cfs, O_{100} =6.1cfs) is 1.43 acres and 75 r

Basin A7 (Q_5 = 3.0cfs, Q_{100} =6.1cfs) is 1.43 acres and 75 percent impervious is comprised of single family residential lots and local roads. The Runoff from this basin drains to a sump type R inlet located at design point 7 in confluence with upstream flows from basins A5, A10, A6.1 and A6.1.

21?

Basin A8 (Q_5 = 2.2cfs, Q_{100} =9.1cfs) 4.22 acres and 13 percent impervious is comprised of a single family residential lots and open space. The runoff from this basin drains to a swale on western side of the site and into an area inlet located at design point 8.

Basin A9 (Q_5 = 0.7cfs, Q_{100} =3.5cfs) 2.02 acres and 8 percent impervious is comprised of a single family residential lots and open space. The runoff from this basin drains to a swale on western side of the site and into a flared end section and pipe located at design point 29.

Basin A10 ($Q_5 = 0.7$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 3.5$ cfs) 2.18 acres and 36 percent impervious is comprised of a single family residential lots and open space. The runoff from this basin sheet flows to the south and into existing pond W5 at design point 27.

Basin B1 (Q_5 = 6.2cfs, Q_{100} =12.0cfs) is 2.44 acres and 80 percent impervious is comprised of single-family residential lots, local roads, two urban knuckles, and a cul-de sac. The runoff from basin B1 drains to a type R sump inlet located at design point 1B.

13?

Basin B2 (Q_5 = 9.1cfs, Q_{100} =18.7cfs) is 4.33 acres and 73 percent impervious is comprised of single family residential lots. Runoff from basin B2 drains to a type R sump inlet located at design point $2B_{100}$ 12?

Basin B3 (Q₅= 1.4cfs, Q₁₀₀=2.8cfs) is 0.66 acres and 63 percent impervious is comprised of open space and sidewalk. Runoff from basin B3 drains to a type R sump inlet located at design point 9 in existing Sterling Ranch Road.

adjust plan location?

Basin B4 (Q_5 = 2.1cfs, Q_{100} =5.0cfs) is 1.55 acres and 57 percent impervious is comprised of single family residential lots and open space. Runoff from basin B4 drains to a rear lot area inlet at DP \bigcirc

Basin B5 (Q_5 =0.7cfs, Q_{100} =1.7cfs) is 0.45 acres and 51 percent impervious is comprised of single family residential lots and open space. Runoff from basin B4 drains to a rear lot area inlet at DP 11.

Basin B6 (Q_5 =0.8cfs, Q_{100} =2.2cfs) is 0.78 acres and 44 percent impervious is comprised of single family residential lots and open space. Runoff from basin B4 drains to a rear lot area inlet at DP 14.

Basin C1 (Q_5 = 5.4cfs, Q_{100} =12.3cfs) is 3.29 acres and 55 percent impervious is comprised of single family residential lots, local roads, and an urban knuckle Runoff from basin C1 drains to a sump type R inlet located at design point 14.

Basin C2 (Q_5 = 12.0cfs, Q_{100} =25.9cfs) is 6.74 acres and 63 percent impervious is comprised of local roads, single-family residential lots, an urban knuckle, open space, and paved walks. Runoff from basin C2 drains to a type R sump inlet located at design point 13.

Basin C3 (Q_5 = 1.9cfs, Q_{100} =8.2cfs) is 3.11 acres and 11 percent impervious is comprised of single family residential lots, open space, and paved walks. Runoff from basin C3 drains to a swale on the western side of the site and into an area inlet located at design point 12.

Basin C4 (Q_5 = 1.5cfs, Q_{100} =3.4cfs) is 1.34 acres and 53 percent impervious is comprised of open space single family residential lots. Runoff from basin B3 drains to a type R sump inlet located at design point 8 in existing Sterling Ranch Road.

adjacent properties and

Basin D1(Q_5 = 0.3cfs, Q_{100} =1.9cfs) is 0.77 acres and 0 percent impervious is comprised of open space area. Runoff from basin D1 sheet flow to the southeast into Sandcreek as per the historic condition. Flows generated from this basin have been attributed to design point 28.

southwest and (?)

Basin D2 (Q_5 = 1.4cfs, Q_{100} =10.2cfs) is 3.92 acres and 0 percent impervious is comprised of open space area. Runoff from basin D1 sheet flow to the southeast into Sandcreek as per the historic condition. Flows generated from this basin have been attributed to design point 29.

Basin OS4 (Q_5 = 2.8cfs, Q_{100} =16.9cfs) is 11.71 acres and 3.6 percent impervious and is located immediately north of Sterling Ranch Road and the eastern portion of the site. Runoff from this basin drains south into assumed existing storm sewer built with Filing 2 located at design point 9. Collected runoff is piped south to the existing detention pond built with Filing 2 and outfalls to Sand Creek.

- 4?

Basin OS6 (Q_5 = 35.4cfs, Q_{100} =72.2cfs) is 18.38 acres and 54 percent impervious as is located northwest of the site in the Barbarick subdivision. Historic runoff from this basins drains south onto the site at design point 10. Detained flow from this basin will be piped through the site to the detention pond and will outfall to Sand Creek.

Address proposed conveyance sizes

and emergency overflow paths

Basin OS7 (Q_5 = 20.6cfs, Q_{100} =60.4cfs) is 33.07 Acres and 23 percent impervious and is located directly north of the site in the Barbarick subdivision. Historic runoff from this site drains south onto



____1?

the site at design point 1. Detained flow from this basin will be piped through the site to the detention pond and will outfall to Sand Creek.

Address the eastern portion of the basin.

INTERIM CONDITION PROPOSED SUB-BASIN DRAINAGE

In the interim site condition, all the basins stay the same except basins A2, A3, A4, A6, A7, A8, A9 and A10 will remain undeveloped. The undeveloped basins are summarized below. An interim condition map can be found in Appendix F.

Basin I1 (Q_5 = 4.4 cfs, Q_{100} =31.2cfs) 21.99 acres and 1 percent impervious is comprised of open space. The runoff from this basin sheet flows generally to the south and east into a temporary drainage channel where it is conveyed to an existing storm stub at design point I1.

Basin I2 (Q_5 = 0.7cfs, Q_{100} =4.9cfs) 3.47 acres and 0 percent impervious is comprised of open space. The runoff from this basin sheet flows to the south and east into an existing drainage swale where it eventually enters an existing storm stub provided from the Sterling Ranch Filing No 2. Project.

Provide discussion of design points, inlets and pipes.

DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA REFERENCE

Storm drainage analysis and design criteria for this project were taken from the "City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual" Volumes 1 and 2 (EPCDCM), dated October 12, 1994, the "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual" Volumes 1 to 3 (USDCM) and Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the "Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual" (CSDCM), dated May 2014, as adopted by El Paso County.

HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA

All hydrologic data was obtained from the "El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual" Volumes 1 and 2, and the "Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual" Volumes 1, 2, and 3. Onsite drainage improvements were designed based on the 5 year (minor) storm event and the 100-year (major) storm event. Runoff was calculated using the Rational Method, and rainfall intensities for the 5-year and the 100-year storm return frequencies were obtained from Table 6-2 of the CSDCM. One hour point rainfall data for the storm events is identified in the chart below. Runoff coefficients were determined based on proposed land use and from data in Table 6-6 from the CSDCM. Time of concentrations were developed using equations from CSDCM. All runoff calculations and applicable charts and graphs are included in the Appendices.



Table 2 - 1-hr Point Rainfall Data

Storm	Rainfall (in.)
5-year	1.50
100-year	2.52

HYDRAULIC CRITERIA

The Rational Method and USDCM's SF-2 and SF-3 forms were used to determine the runoff from the minor and major storms on the site. Sump and on-grade inlets will be sized using UDFCD UD-Inlet v2.07. Manning's equation was used to size the proposed pipes in this report and StormCAD will be used to model the proposed storm sewer system and to analyze the proposed HGL calculations for the Construction Drawings.

DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN

GENERAL CONCEPT

The proposed stormwater conveyance system was designed to convey the developed Sterling Ranch Phase 2 runoff to an existing (Filing 2) full spectrum water quality and detention pond via storm sewer. The proposed pond was designed to release at less than historic rates to minimize adverse impacts downstream. Treated water will outfall directly into the Sand Creek Drainage way, where it will eventually outfall into Fountain Creek. A proposed drainage map is presented in Appendix E showing locations of the pond.

FOUR STEP PROCESS TO MINIMIZE ADVERSE IMPACTS OF URBANIZATION

In accordance with the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2, this site has implemented the four step process to minimize adverse impacts of urbanization. The four step process includes reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls.

Step 1 – Reducing Runoff Volumes: The Sterling Ranch Phase 2 development project consists of single-family homes with open spaces and lawn areas interspersed within the development which helps disconnect impervious areas and reduce runoff volumes. Roof drains from the structures will discharge to lawn areas, where feasible, to allow for infiltration and runoff volume reduction.

Step 2 – Stabilize Drainageways: The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Basin and bridge fees will be due at time of platting. These funds will be used for the channel stabilization being designed by Kiowa adjacent to the site and on future projects within the basin to stabilize drainageways. The site does not discharge directly into the open drainageway of Sand Creek, therefore no downstream stabilization will be accomplished with this project.

Step 3 – Treat the WQCV: Water Quality treatment for this site is provided in an existing full



spectrum water quality detention pond (W5). The runoff from this site will be collected within inlets and conveyed to the proposed ponds via storm sewer. Upon entrance to the ponds, flows will be captured in a forebay designed to promote settlement of suspended solids. A trickle channel is also incorporated into the ponds to minimize the amount of standing water. The outlet structure has been designed to detain the water quality capture volume (WQCV) for 40 hours, and the extended urban runoff volume (EURV) for 72 hours. All flows released from the ponds will be reduced to less than historic rates.

Step 4 –BMPs will be utilized to minimize off-site contaminants and to protect the downstream receiving waters. The permanent erosion control BMPs include asphalt drives and parking, storm inlets and storm pipe, two full spectrum water quality and detention ponds, and permanent vegetation.

WATER QUALITY

Address ECM Step 4

In accordance with Section 13.3.2.1 of the CCS/EPCDCM, full spectrum water quality and detention are provided for all developed basins. This site will drain into an existing Full Spectrum Drainage Pond W5 developed during the Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2 Project. Further details as well as all pond volume, water quality, and outfall calculations are included in the Sterling Ranch Filing 2 Final Drainage Report. Pond W5 corresponds to pond FSD6 from the MMDP ($Q_5=7.6$ cfs, $Q_{100}=149.7$ cfs) and is releasing less than the MDDP values in the proposed design. A summary of Pond W-5 has been included below for reference.

REQUIRED VOLUME VOLUME PROVIDED WQCV **EURV** 5-YEAR RELEASE 100-YEAR RELEASE (AC-FT) (AC-FT) (AC-FT) (AC-FT) (CFS) (CFS) 137.1 18.217 18.441 3.29 11.71 2.7 POND W5

Table 3. Pond Volumes & Release Rates

EROSION CONTROL PLAN

We respectfully request that the Erosion Control Plan and Cost Estimate be submitted in conjunction with the grading and erosion control plan and construction assurances posted prior to obtaining a grading permit.

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE

In order to ensure the function and effectiveness of the stormwater infrastructure, maintenance activities such as inspection, routine maintenance, restorative maintenance, rehabilitation and repair, are required. The district shall be responsible for the inspection, maintenance, rehabilitation and repair of stormwater and erosion control facilities located on the property unless another party



accepts such responsibility in writing and responsibility is properly assigned through legal documentation. Access is provided from onsite facilities and easements for proposed infrastructure located offsite. We respectfully request that the Operation & Maintenance Manual be submitted in conjunction with the construction documents, prior to obtaining a grading permit. A maintenance road was provided for the existing pond W5 and information on the road can be found in the Final Drainage Report for Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2. The maintenance road access is off of Marksheffel Road and wraps around the top of the pond providing access to the inflow pipe wingwalls and outlet structure for the pond .

DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES

The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Anticipated drainage and bridge fees are presented below and will be due at time of platting (depending on date of plat submittal):.

2020 DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES – STERLING RANCH PHASE 2													
Impervious Acres (ac)	Drainage Fee (Per Imp. Acre)	Bridge Fee (Per Imp. Acre)	Sterling Ranch Drainage Fee	Sterling Ranch Bridge Fee									
37	\$19,698	\$8,057	\$728,826	\$298,109									

SUMMARY

The proposed Sterling Ranch Phase 2 drainage improvements were designed to meet or exceed the El Paso County Drainage Criteria. The proposed development will not adversely affect the offsite drainageways or surrounding development. This report is in conformance and meets the latest El Paso County Storm Drainage Criteria requirements for this site.




REFERENCES

- 1. "El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol I & II".
- 2. <u>Final Bridge and Channel Design Report</u>, prepared by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, May 19, 2020 (not yet approved)
- 3. "Master Development Drainage Plan for Sterling Ranch", (MMDP) prepared by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., dated October 24, 2018.
- 4. <u>Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study</u>, prepared Kiowa Engineering Corporation, January 1993, revised March 1996.
- 5. "Sterling Ranch Filing 2 Final Drainage Report", prepared by JR Engineering, dated May 2020 (not yet approved)
- 6. <u>Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual</u> (Volumes 1, 2, and 3), Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, June 2001.




Appendix A Vicinity Map, Soil Descriptions, FEMA Floodplain Map





VICINITY MAP N.T.S.



MAP LEGEND MAP INFORMATION The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at Area of Interest (AOI) С 1:24.000. Area of Interest (AOI) C/D Soils Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. D Soil Rating Polygons Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause Not rated or not available Α misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil **Water Features** line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of A/D contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed Streams and Canals Transportation B/D Rails ---Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Interstate Highways C/D Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service **US Routes** Web Soil Survey URL: D Major Roads Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Not rated or not available -Local Roads Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts Soil Rating Lines Background distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Aerial Photography Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. B/D Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 17, Sep 13, 2019 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger. Not rated or not available Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—May 26. 2019 **Soil Rating Points** The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background A/D imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. B/D

Hydrologic Soil Group

	_			
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	А	182.3	25.4%
9	Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls	А	36.8	5.1%
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	307.5	42.9%
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В	188.4	26.3%
85	Stapleton-Bernal sandy loams, 3 to 20 percent slopes	В	1.2	0.2%
96	Truckton sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	0.6	0.1%
Totals for Area of Inter	rest		716.9	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

NOTES TO USERS

his map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does ot necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage curces of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for sestile updated or additional flood heazer information.

To class more dealers of included in contract measurements and the contract measurement of the contract measuremen

coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0 horn American Vertical Datum of 1989 (NAVDBS). Users of this FRM should be level from the level the level from the level level the level the level level the level level the level level level the level level level level the level level

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolate between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations will regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodways width and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood contri** tructures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the presentation of this map was Universal Transverse decision URIN 200 on 13. The hosticontal datum was MADSIS GR899 sphesoid Differences in datum, spheroid, prejection or UTM zones zones used in the conduction of FRINE for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not refer the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and conversion between the National Geodesic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, with the National Geodesic Survey website at the National Geodesic Survey website at the National Geodesic Survey and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodesic Survey are the National Geodesic Survey at the National Geodesic Survey are the National Geodesic Survey at the National Geodesic Survey at

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and loopighin delineations than those shown on the previous FRM for this principlion was been adjusted to contrion these are stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Instrumed SNU, Separative of the SNU of the S


lease refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the count nowing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and sting of Communities table containing National Flood insurance Program dates for sch community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is

ontact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange MIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this M. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a lood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may so be reached by Fax at 1-800-336-8620 and its website at

you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flossurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) sit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gow/business/nflp.


El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION



Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a serating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado or Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management





LEGEND

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD

ZONE A No Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AE Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AH Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood

ZONE AO Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.

determined.

Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the Former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

ZONE A99 Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Bevations

ZONE VE Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood

FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE

The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encreachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

ZONE X

OTHER AREAS

ZONE X Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodolain.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)

Roodolain boundary

Zone D Boundary -----

Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.

Base Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet*

(EL 987) Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone;

* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88) $\begin{picture}(100,0) \put(0,0){\line} \put(0,0){\li$

23-----23

97° 07' 30.00° 32° 22' 30.00° Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)

4274(000mg) 1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 13

• M1.5

EFFECTIVE DATE(8) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL
DECEMBER 7, 2016 - to update corporate limits, to change Base Flood
Special Flood Hazard Areas, to update may breast, to add roads and road
incompating reproducts issued Latters of Man Revision.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-930-638-6630.



250 0 500 1000 H H H FEET

FIRM

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP EL PASO COUNTY,

PANEL 0533G

COLORADO

AND INCORPORATED AREAS PANEL 533 OF 1300 (SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

CONTAINS COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX

MAP NUMBER 08041C0533G

MAP REVISED **DECEMBER 7. 2018**

Federal Emergency Management Agency

Appendix B Hydrologic and Hydraulic Calculations



COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS & COMPOSITE EXISTING RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Existing
Location: EI Paso County

Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Project No.: 25188.02

Calculated By: CJD

Checked By:

Date: 10/1/20

	Total	Str	eets (10	0% Impe	rvious)			•	npervious) % Impervious)	Impery	ious) Lig	ersidenti ht Comm ervious)	al (20% nercial (80%		•	5 Imperv % Imperv		J	s Total nted C ues	Basins Total Weighted %
Basin ID	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅ C ₁₀₀		Area (ac)	Weighte d % Imp.	C ₅ C ₁₀₀		Imp.
A1	5.17	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	5.17	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
A2	27.48	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	27.48	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
A3	11.68	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	11.68	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
B1	11.78	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	11.78	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
OS1	9.27	0.90	0.96	2.85	30.7%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	2.85	6.1%	0.08	0.35	3.57	0.0%	0.49	0.65	36.9%
OS2	1.94	0.90	0.96	1.94	100.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.90	0.96	100.0%
OS3	2.36	0.90	0.96	2.36	100.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.90	0.96	100.0%
OS4	11.71	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.65	3.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	11.06	0.0%	0.10	0.36	3.6%
OS5	3.46	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	3.46	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
OS6	18.38	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.90	0.90	10.40	11.3%	0.08	0.35	7.98	0.0%	0.54	0.66	11.3%
OS7	33.07	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.90	0.90	7.91	19.1%	0.08	0.35	25.16	0.0%	0.28	0.48	19.1%
TOTAL (A1-B1)	56.11																			0.0%
TOTAL (OS1-OS7)	80.19																			20.6%
TOTAL	136.30																			12.1%

EXISTING STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision:	Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Existing
Location:	El Paso County

Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2 Project No.: 25188.02 Calculated By: CJD Checked By: Date: 10/1/20

		SUB-E	BASIN			INITI	AL/OVER	LAND			TRAVEL TI	ME					
		DA	TA				(T_i)				(T_t)			(L	FINAL		
BASIN	D.A.	Hydrologic	Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	L	So	t _i	L _t	S_t	К	VEL.	t _t	COMP. t_c	TOTAL	Urbanized t_c	t _c
ID	(ac)	Soils Group	(%)			(ft)	(%)	(min)	(ft)	(%)		(ft/s)	(min)	(min)	LENGTH (ft)	(min)	(min)
A1	5.17	А	0%	0.08	0.35	212	2.0%	21.4	517	2.1%	10.0	1.4	6.0	27.4	729.0	32.6	27.4
A2	27.48	А	0%	0.08	0.35	297	2.5%	23.4	1475	2.4%	10.0	1.6	15.7	39.1	1772.0	43.5	39.1
А3	11.68	А	0%	0.08	0.35	121	5.4%	11.6	784	2.7%	10.0	1.7	7.9	19.5	905.0	34.8	19.5
B1	11.78	А	0%	0.08	0.35	297	2.9%	22.4	380	5.2%	10.0	2.3	2.8	25.2	677.0	29.1	25.2
OS1	9.27	А	37%	0.49	0.65	298	2.7%	13.7	737	2.4%	10.0	1.5	8.0	21.7	1035.0	25.4	21.7
OS2	1.94	А	100%	0.90	0.96	117	3.1%	2.7	1745	1.6%	20.0	2.5	11.5	14.2	1862.0	19.0	14.2
OS3	2.36	А	100%	0.90	0.96	41	2.5%	1.7	1681	1.8%	20.0	2.7	10.5	12.2	1722.0	18.1	12.2
OS4	11.71	А	4%	0.10	0.36	491	1.4%	36.0	940	5.6%	10.0	2.4	6.6	42.6	1431.0	32.4	32.4
OS5	3.46	А	0%	0.08	0.35	298	3.0%	22.1	784	2.4%	10.0	1.6	8.4	30.4	1082.0	35.3	30.4
OS6	18.38	А	11%	0.54	0.66	165	3.4%	8.6	612	2.7%	10.0	1.6	6.2	14.8	777.0	30.0	14.8
OS7	33.07	А	19%	0.28	0.48	298	3.0%	17.9	1664	2.7%	10.0	1.6	16.9	34.7	1962.0	37.2	34.7

NOTES:

$$t_c = t_i + t_t$$

Equation 6-2

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L_i}}{S_o^{0.33}}$$

Equation 6-3

Where:

 t_c = computed time of concentration (minutes)

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

 t_t = channelized flow time (minutes).

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes) C_S = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (from Table 6-4) L_i = length of overland flow (ft)

 S_0 = average slope along the overland flow path (ft/ft).

Use a minimum t_c value of 5 minutes for urbanized areas and a minimum t_c value of 10 minutes for areas that are not considered urban. Use minimum values even when calculations result in a lesser time of

$$t_t = \frac{L_t}{60K\sqrt{S_o}} = \frac{L_t}{60V_t}$$

Equation 6-4
$$t_c = (26-17i) + \frac{L_t}{60(14i+9)\sqrt{S_t}}$$

Equation 6-5

 $t_t = \text{channelized flow time (travel time, min)}$

 V_t = chained flow that (flavor line), if V_t = waterway length (ft) V_t = travel time velocity (ft/sec) = $K\sqrt{S_0}$

K = NRCS conveyance factor (see Table 6-2).

 t_c = minimum time of concentration for first design point when less than t_c from Equation 6-1.

 t_c – imminish that of concentration for first of t_r = length of channelized flow path (ft) t_r = imperviousness (expressed as a decimal) t_r = slope of the channelized flow path (ft/ft).

Table 6-2. NRCS Conveyance factors, K

Type of Land Surface	Conveyance Factor, K
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

STANDARD FORM SF-3 - EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

	Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Existing	
	El Paso County	
Design Storm:	5-Year	

Project Na	ame: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Project	No.: 25188.02
Calculated	d By: CJD
Checked	
Г	0ate: 10/1/20

					DIRE	CT RUI	NOFF			T	OTAL F	RUNOF	F	STRE	ET/SW	/ALE		PI	PE		TRAV	EL TIN	ЛE	
STREE	Т	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t, (min)	REMARKS
		1	A1	5.17	0.08	27.4	0.41	2.62	1.1															
		2	A2	27.48	0.08	39.1	2.20	2.08	4.6															Basin A2
		3	OS1	9.27	0.49	21.7	4.53	2.97	13.4															Basin A1
		4	OS5	3.46	0.08	30.4	0.28	2.46	0.7															Basin A4
		6	B1	11.78			0.94																	Basin OS1
		7	OS2				1.75																	Basin OS2
			OS3	2.36			2.12																	Basin OS3
																								Basin OS4
			OS6	18.38					35.4						10.0	3.4					998	1.8		Basin OS6 travel to design point 5.1
			OS7	33.07											9.13	3.2					936	1.8	8.7	Basin OS7 travel to design point 5.1
		5	A3	11.68			0.93																	Basin A3
		5.1									20.06	3.13	62.7											Design point 5.1 fed by basins A3, OS6, and OS7

Notes:

Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value. All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

X:\2510000.all\2518800\Excel\Drainage\Phase 2\2518800 Phase 2 Existing.xlsm Page 1 of 1 9/28/2020

STANDARD FORM SF-3 - EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN (RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision:	Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Existing
Location:	El Paso County
Design Storm:	100-Year

Drainet Name.	Starling Danak Dhaga 2
	Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Project No.:	
Calculated By:	CJD
Checked By:	
Date:	10/1/20

				DIR	RECT R	UNOFF			1	TOTAL R	UNOF	F	STRE	ET/SW	ALE		PIP	E		TRAVI	EL TIN	ΛE	
Description	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS
	1	A1	5.17	0.35	27.4	1.81	4.39	8.0															
	2	A2	27.48	0.35	39.1	9.62	3.49	33.6															Basin A2
	3	OS1	9.27	0.65	21.7	5.98	4.98	29.8															Basin A1
	4	OS5	3.46	0.35	30.4	1.21	4.13	5.0															Basin A4
	6	B1	11.78	0.35	25.2	4.12	4.60	19.0												l			Basin OS1
	7	OS2	1.94	0.96	14.2	1.86	6.04	11.2															Basin OS2
	8	OS3	2.36	0.96	12.2	2.27	6.44	14.6															Basin OS3
	9	OS4			32.4			16.9															Basin OS4
	10					12.15		72.2						12.2	3.4					998	1.8		Basin OS6 travel to design point 5.1
	11							60.4						15.93	3.2					936	1.8	8.7	Basin OS7 travel to design point 5.1
	5	А3	11.68					21.5															Basin A3
Notos	5.1									32.17	5.25	168.9											Design point 5.1 fed by basins A3, OS6, and OS7

X:\2510000.all\2518800\Excel\Drainage\Phase 2\2518800 Phase 2 Existing.xlsm Page 1 of 2 9/28/2020

Notes: Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value. All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

STANDARD FORM SF-3 - EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision:	Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Existing	
Location:	El Paso County	
Design Storm:	100-Year	

Project Name:	Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Project No.:	25188.02
Calculated By:	CJD
Checked By:	
Date:	10/1/20

ſ					DIR	RECT RU	JNOFF			1	TOTAL	RUNOI	FF	STRI	ET/SW	ALE		PIP	E		TRAV	L TIM	1E	IME
	Description	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS

X:(2510000.all/2518809/Excel/Drainage/Phase 2(2518809 Phase 2 Existing.xlsm

COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS & COMPOSITE PROPOSED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision-Interim Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2

Location: El Paso County Project No.: 25188.02

Calculated By: CJD

Checked By:
Date: 9/25/20

	Total	Str	eets (10	0% Impe	ervious)	Re	sidentia	l (65% lm	pervious)	0		•	npervious) pervious)	Lawns (0% Impe (55% In	ervious) nperviou	School s)	Weig	s Total hted C	Basins Total Weighted %
Basin ID	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighte d % Imp.	Val C ₅	ues C ₁₀₀	Imp.
A1	4.31	0.90	0.96	0.92	21.3%	0.45	0.59	2.79	42.1%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.60	0.0%	0.49	0.64	63.4%
A5	0.45	0.90	0.96	0.17	37.8%	0.45	0.59	0.28	40.4%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.62	0.73	78.2%
I1	21.99	0.90	0.96	0.12	0.5%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	21.87	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.5%
12	3.47	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	3.47	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
B1	2.44	0.90	0.96	1.04	42.6%	0.45	0.59	1.40	37.3%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.64	0.75	79.9%
B2	4.33	0.90	0.96	0.94	21.7%	0.45	0.59	3.39	50.9%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.55	0.67	72.6%
C1	3.29	0.90	0.96	0.72	21.9%	0.45	0.59	1.66	32.8%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.91	0.0%	0.45	0.60	54.7%
C2	6.74	0.90	0.96	1.49	22.1%	0.45	0.59	4.21	40.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	1.04	0.0%	0.49	0.63	62.7%
C3	3.11	0.90	0.96	0.10	3.2%	0.45	0.59	0.37	7.7%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	2.64	0.0%	0.15	0.40	10.9%
B6	0.78	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.53	44.2%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.25	0.0%	0.33	0.51	44.2%
B5	0.45	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.35	50.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.10	0.0%	0.37	0.54	50.6%
B4	1.55	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	1.35	56.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.20	0.0%	0.40	0.56	56.6%
В3	0.66	0.90	0.96	0.34	51.5%	0.45	0.59	0.12	11.8%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.20	0.0%	0.57	0.71	63.3%
C4	1.34	0.90	0.96	0.19	14.2%	0.45	0.59	0.80	38.8%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.35	0.0%	0.42	0.58	53.0%
D1	0.77	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.77	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
D2	3.92	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	3.92	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
OS6	18.38	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.90	0.90	10.40	53.8%	0.08	0.35	7.98	0.0%	0.54	0.66	53.8%
OS4	11.71	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.65	3.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.58	0.68	11.06	51.9%	0.57	0.68	55.6%
OS7	33.07	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.90	0.90	7.91	22.7%	0.08	0.35	25.16	0.0%	0.28	0.48	22.7%
TOTAL (A1-C4)(I1-I2)	59.60																			28.9%
TOTAL (OS4 -OS7)	63.16																			37.8%
TOTAL	122.76																			33.5%

PROPOSED STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision:	Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Interim
Location:	El Paso County

Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Project No.: 25188.02
Calculated By: CJD Checked By: Date: 9/25/20

		SUB-I	BASIN			INITIA	AL/OVERL	AND			TRAVEL TIM	ME					
		DA	TA				(T _i)				(T_t)			(L	JRBANIZED BA	SINS)	FINAL
BASIN	D.A.	Hydrologic	Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	L	So	t_{I}	L_t	S_t	K	VEL.	t_t	COMP. t_c	TOTAL	Urbanized $t_{\rm c}$	t _c
ID	(ac)	Soils Group	(%)			(ft)	(%)	(min)	(ft)	(%)		(ft/s)	(min)	(min)	LENGTH (ft)	(min)	(min)
A1	4.31	Α	63%	0.49	0.64	79	1.7%	8.2	1007	3.7%	20.0	3.8	4.4	12.5	1086.0	20.1	12.5
A5	0.45	Α	78%	0.62	0.73	54	3.7%	4.1	217	3.9%	20.0	4.0	0.9	5.0	271.0	13.6	5.0
I1	21.99	Α	1%	0.08	0.35	793	3.1%	35.5	627	3.7%	10.0	1.9	5.4	41.0	1420.0	31.9	31.9
12	3.47	Α	0%	0.08	0.35	383	3.2%	24.6	394	1.0%	10.0	1.0	6.6	31.1	777.0	33.3	31.1
B1	2.44	Α	80%	0.64	0.75	50	2.5%	4.3	1066	1.6%	20.0	2.5	7.1	11.4	1116.0	19.4	11.4
B2	4.33	Α	73%	0.55	0.67	226	4.9%	8.8	346	0.7%	20.0	1.7	3.4	12.2	572.0	17.2	12.2
C1	3.29	Α	55%	0.45	0.60	228	4.3%	11.0	393	1.8%	20.0	2.7	2.5	13.5	621.0	19.7	13.5
C2	6.74	Α	63%	0.49	0.63	99	1.8%	9.0	796	1.7%	20.0	2.6	5.1	14.1	895.0	21.1	14.1
C3	3.11	Α	11%	0.15	0.40	144	9.6%	9.8	255	3.5%	15.0	2.8	1.5	11.3	399.0	26.3	11.3
B6	0.78	Α	44%	0.33	0.51	246	1.5%	19.1	0	1.0%	20.0	2.0	0.0	19.1	246.0	18.5	18.5
B5	0.45	Α	51%	0.37	0.54	129	5.0%	8.8	0	1.0%	20.0	2.0	0.0	8.8	129.0	17.4	8.8
B4	1.55	В	57%	0.40	0.56	222	11.0%	8.5	914	1.1%	20.0	2.1	7.4	15.9	1136.0	25.1	15.9
B3	0.66	Α	63%	0.57	0.71	165	3.4%	8.2	612	2.7%	10.0	1.6	6.2	14.4	777.0	18.7	14.4
C4	1.34	Α	53%	0.42	0.58	298	3.0%	14.8	1664	2.7%	10.0	1.6	16.9	31.7	1962.0	27.3	27.3
D1	0.77	Α	0%	0.08	0.35	16	2.0%	5.9	570	6.0%	10.0	2.4	3.9	9.7	586.0	30.3	9.7
D2	3.92	Α	0%	0.08	0.35	105	25.0%	6.5	975	50.0%	15.0	10.6	1.5	8.1	1080.0	28.6	8.1
OS6	18.38	Α	54%	0.54	0.66	165	3.4%	8.6	612	2.7%	10.0	1.6	6.2	14.8	777.0	20.6	14.8
OS4	11.71	Α	56%	0.57	0.68	491	1.4%	19.0	940	5.6%	10.0	2.4	6.6	25.6	1431.0	20.5	20.5
OS7	33.07	Α	23%	0.28	0.48	298	3.0%	17.9	1664	2.7%	10.0	1.6	16.9	34.7	1962.0	36.0	34.7

NOTES:

Equation 6-2

 $t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L_i}}{S_o^{0.33}}$

Equation 6-5

Table 6-2. NRCS Conveyance factors, K Type of Land Surface Tillage/field Short pasture and law Nearly bare ground Grassed waterway
Paved areas and shallow paved swales

 t_c = computed time of concentration (minutes) t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes) t_t = channelized flow time (minutes).

t, = channelized flow time (travel time, min) L_1 = waterway length (ft) S_0 = waterway slope (ft/ft) V_1 = travel time velocity (ft/sec) = $K \lor S_0$ K = NRCS conveyance factor (see Table 6-2).

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes) C_S = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (from Table 6-4) L_f = length of overland flow (ft) S_0 = average slope along the overland flow path (ft/ft).

 $L = (26 - 17i) + \frac{L_t}{60(14i + 9)\sqrt{S_t}}$

 t_c = minimum time of concentration for first design point when less than t_c from Equation 6-1. L_z = length of channelized flow path (ft) = imperviousness (expressed as a decimal) S_z = slope of the channelized flow path (ft/ft).

Use a minimum t_c value of 5 minutes for urbanized areas and a minimum t_c value of 10 minutes for areas that are not considered urban. Use minimum values even when calculations result in a lesser time of concentration.

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

	Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Interim	Project No.: 25188.02
Location: El Paso County	Calculated By: CJD
Design Storm: 5-Year	Checked By:
	Date: 9/25/20

				DIR	ECT RU	NOFF			T	OTAL R	UNOF	F	STRE	ET/SW	/ALE		PIF	PΕ		TRAV	EL TIN	ΛE	
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS
	1	OS7	33.07	0.28	34.7		2.26	20.6								20.6			42	725	8.2		Offsite Barbarick Subdivision pond release Piped to DP 3
	2	OS4	11.71	0.57	7 20.5	6.71	3.05	20.5								20.5	6.71	1.0	36	112	8.3	0.2	Offsite future school Piped to DP 3
	3								36.2	15.84	2.20	34.8											Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road
	4	OS6	18.38	0.54	4 14.8	10.00	3.54	35.4								35.4	10.00	1.0	48	800	9.4	1.4	Offsite subdivision pond release Piped to DP 7.1
	5	C2	6.74	0.49	9 14.1	3.32	3.61	12.0								12.0	3.32	1.0	24	63	7.3		Sump Inlet Piped to DP 6.1
	6	C1	3.29	0.45	5 13.5	1.47	3.68	5.4															Sump Inlet Piped to DP 6.1
	6.1								14.3	4.79	3.59	17.2				17.2	4.79	1.0	36	245	7.9		Piped to DP 7.1 Area Inlet
	7	С3	3.11	0.15	5 11.3	0.47	3.95	1.9															Piped to DP 7.1
	7.1								16.2	15.26	3.40	51.9											Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road Offsite flow to existing inlet in Sterling Ranch Road
	8	C4	1.34	0.42	2 27.3	0.56	2.62	1.5															Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road Offsite flow to existing inlet in Sterling Ranch Road
	9	В3	0.66	0.57	7 14.4	0.38	3.58	1.4															Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road Rear lot and area inlets
	10	B4	1.55	0.40	15.9	0.62	3.43	2.1								2.1	0.62	1.0	12	380	4.7		Piped to DP 11.1 Area Inlet
	11	B5	0.45	0.3	7 8.8	0.17	4.31	0.7															Piped to DP 14.1
	11.1								17.3	0.79	3.31	2.6				2.6	0.79	1.0	18	357	4.9	1.2	Piped to DP 14.1 Sump Inlet
	12	B2	4.33				3.83									9.1	2.37	1.0	18	38	6.7		Piped to DP 13.1 Sump Inlet
	13	B1	2.44	0.64	4 11.4	1.57	3.93	6.2															Piped to DP 13.1
	13.1								12.3	3.94	3.82	15.0				15.0	3.94	1.0	24	125	7.7		Piped to DP 14.1 Area Inlet
	14	B6	0.78	0.33	3 18.5	0.26	3.21	0.8															Piped to DP 14.1
	14.1								18.5	4.99	3.21	16.0				16.0	4.99	1.0	24	415	7.8	0.9	Piped to DP 15.1

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

	Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Interim	Project No.: <u>25188.02</u>
Location: El Paso County	Calculated By: CJD
Design Storm: 5-Year	Checked By:
	Date: 9/25/20

				DIRE	CT RUI	NOFF			TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET/SWALE				PIF	PE		TRAV	EL TIN	1E	
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t_{c} (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS
	15	A1	4.31	0.49	12.5		3.79						0.7		1.6	7.4				230		1.5	On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 15.1, Bypass flow to DP 17 On-grade Inlet
	15.1								19.4	7.12	3.14	22.3				22.3	7.12	1.0	24	45	8.2	0.1	Captured Flows piped to DP 16.1
	16	A 5	0.45	0.62	5.0	0.28	5.16	1.4															On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 16.1
	16.1								19.5	7.40	3.13	23.2				23.2	7.40	1.0	24	125	8.2		FES release to drainage channel FES
	11	11	21.99	0.08	31.9	1.86	2.39	4.4															
	11.1								31.9	9.26	2.39	22.1				22.1	9.26	0.4	42	62	6.1	0.2	Combined flow from DPI1 & DP16.1 Piped to Existing 84" RCP
	12	12	3.47	0.08	31.1	0.28	2.43	0.7															Piped to Existing 84" RCP
Notos																							

Notes:

Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value. All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Interim	
Location: El Paso County	
Design Storm: 100-Year	

Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Project No.: 25188.02
Calculated By: CJD
Checked By: 9/25/20

		DIRECT RUNOFF							T	OTAL F	RUNOF	F	STREET/SWALE				PIPE			TRAVE	L TIN	1E	
Description	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS
	1	OS7	33.07	0.48	34.7	15.93	3.79	60.4								60.4	15.93		42	725		1.1	Offsite Barbarick Subdivision pond release Piped to DP 3
																							Offsite future school
	2	OS4	11.71	0.68	20.5	7.90	5.12	40.5								40.5	7.90	1.0	36	112	9.9	0.2	Piped to DP 3
	3	OS6	18.38	0.66	14.8	12.15	5.94	72.2	35.9	23.83	3.71	88.5				72.2	12.15	1.0	48	800	11.4	1.2	Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road Offsite subdivision pond release Piped to DP 7.1
	5	C2	6.74			4.28	6.06	25.9								25.9	4.28				8.3		Sump Inlet Piped to DP 6.1
				0.63												25.9	4.28	1.0	24	03	8.3	0.1	Sump Inlet
	6	C1	3.29	0.60	13.5	1.99	6.18	12.3															Piped to DP 6.1
	6.1								14.3	6.27	6.04	37.8				37.8	6.27	1.0	36	245	9.7	0.4	Piped to DP 7.1 Area Inlet
	7	C3	3.11	0.40	11.3	1.24	6.63	8.2															Piped to DP 7.1
	7.1								16.0	19.66	5.75	113.0											Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road
	8	C4	1.34	0.58	27.3	0.78	4.40	3.4															Offsite flow to existing inlet in Sterling Ranch Road Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road
																							Offsite flow to existing inlet in Sterling Ranch Road
	9	В3	0.66	0.71	14.4	0.47	6.01	2.8															Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road Rear lot and area inlets
	10	B4	1.55	0.56	15.9	0.87	5.76	5.0								5.0	0.87	1.0	12	380	6.4	1.0	Piped to DP 11.1 Area Inlet
	11	B5	0.45	0.54	8.8	0.24	7.24	1.7															Piped to DP 14.1
	11.1								16.9	1.11	5.61	6.2				6.2	1.11	1.0	18	357	6.2	1.0	Piped to DP 14.1
	12	B2	4.33	0.67	12.2	2.90	6.43	18.7								18.7	2.90	1.0	18	38	10.6	0.1	Sump Inlet Piped to DP 13.1
		B1					6.60									10.7	2.70	1.0	10	50	10.0	0.1	Sump Inlet Piped to DP 13.1
	13	ы	2.44	0.75	11.4	1.82	0.00	12.0															•
	13.1								12.3	4.72	6.42	30.3				30.3	4.72	1.0	24	125	9.7	0.2	Piped to DP 14.1 Area Inlet
	14	В6	0.78	0.51	18.5	0.40	5.38	2.2															Piped to DP 14.1
	14.1								18.5	6.23	5.38	33.5				33.5	6.23	1.0	24				Piped to DP 15.1
	15	A1	4.31	0.64	12.5	2.74	6.37	17.4					10.0	1.5777	1.6	7.4				230	2.5	1.5	On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 15.1, Bypass flow to DP 17
	15.1								19.1	8.97	5.30	47.5				47.5	8.97	1.0	24	45	15.1	0.0	On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 16.1

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

	Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision- Interim	Project No.: <u>25188.02</u>
Location: El Paso County	Calculated By: CJD
Design Storm: 100-Year	Checked By:
	Date: 9/25/20

				DIF	ECT RU	JNOFF			Ţ	OTAL R	UNOF	F	STRE	ET/SW	ALE		PIPE			TRAV	EL TIN	ИE	
Description	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS
	16	A 5	0.45	0.73	5.0	0.33	8.66	2.9															On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 16.1
	16.1								19.2	9.30	5.29	49.2				49.2	9.30	1.0	24	125	15.7	0.1	FES release to drainage channel
	11	l1	21.99	0.35	31.9	7.77	4.01	31.2															FES
	11.1								31.9	17.07	4.01	68.4				68.4	17.07	0.4	42	62	7.7	0.1	Combined flow from DPI1 & DP16.1 Piped to Existing 84" RCP
	12	12	3.47	0.35	31.1	1.21	4.07	4.9															Piped to Existing 84" RCP

Notes:

Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value. All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS & COMPOSITE PROPOSED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

Sterling Ranch Subdivision -Proposed Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2 Subdivision:

Project No.: 25188.02 El Paso County Location:

Calculated By: CJD

Checked By:

Date: 10/1/20

	Total	Str	reets (10	0% Impe	rvious)	Re	sidentia	(65% lm	pervious)				npervious) pervious)	Lawns (0% Impe (55% In	ervious) nperviou	School s)	Weighted C		Basins Total Weighted %
Basin ID	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighte d % Imp.	Val C ₅	lues C ₁₀₀	Imp.
A1	4.31	0.90	0.96	0.92	21.3%	0.45	0.59	2.79	42.1%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.60	0.0%	0.49	0.64	63.4%
A2	1.41	0.90	0.96	0.22	15.6%	0.45	0.59	0.34	15.7%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.85	0.0%	0.30	0.50	31.3%
A3	3.68	0.90	0.96	0.71	19.3%	0.45	0.59	2.59	45.7%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.38	0.0%	0.50	0.64	65.1%
A4	2.71	0.90	0.96	0.59	21.8%	0.45	0.59	2.13	51.1%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	-0.01	0.0%	0.55	0.67	72.9%
A5	0.45	0.90	0.96	0.17	37.8%	0.45	0.59	0.28	40.4%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.62	0.73	78.2%
A6	7.60	0.90	0.96	1.76	23.2%	0.45	0.59	5.84	49.9%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.55	0.68	73.1%
A7	1.43	0.90	0.96	0.43	29.8%	0.45	0.59	1.00	45.5%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.58	0.70	75.3%
A8	4.22	0.90	0.96	0.12	2.8%	0.45	0.59	0.68	10.5%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	3.42	0.0%	0.16	0.41	13.3%
B1	2.44	0.90	0.96	1.04	42.6%	0.45	0.59	1.40	37.3%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.64	0.75	79.9%
B2	4.33	0.90	0.96	0.94	21.7%	0.45	0.59	3.39	50.9%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.0%	0.55	0.67	72.6%
C1	3.29	0.90	0.96	0.72	21.9%	0.45	0.59	1.66	32.8%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.91	0.0%	0.45	0.60	54.7%
C2	6.74	0.90	0.96	1.49	22.1%	0.45	0.59	4.21	40.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	1.04	0.0%	0.49	0.63	62.7%
C3	3.11	0.90	0.96	0.10	3.2%	0.45	0.59	0.37	7.7%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	2.64	0.0%	0.15	0.40	10.9%
А9	2.02	0.90	0.96	0.06	3.0%	0.45	0.59	0.15	4.8%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	1.81	0.0%	0.13	0.39	7.8%
A10	2.18	0.90	0.96	0.14	6.4%	0.45	0.59	0.98	29.2%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	1.06	0.0%	0.30	0.50	35.6%
B6	0.78	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.53	44.2%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.25	0.0%	0.33	0.51	44.2%
B5	0.45	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.35	50.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.10	0.0%	0.37	0.54	50.6%
B4	1.55	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	1.35	56.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.20	0.0%	0.40	0.56	56.6%
В3	0.66	0.90	0.96	0.34	51.5%	0.45	0.59	0.12	11.8%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.20	0.0%	0.57	0.71	63.3%
C4	1.34	0.90	0.96	0.19	14.2%	0.45	0.59	0.80	38.8%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.35	0.0%	0.42	0.58	53.0%
D1	0.77	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.77	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
D2	3.92	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	3.92	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.0%
OS6	18.38	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.90	0.90	10.40	53.8%	0.08	0.35	7.98	0.0%	0.54	0.66	53.8%
OS4	11.71	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.65	3.6%	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.58	0.68	11.06	51.9%	0.57	0.68	55.6%
OS7	33.07	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.90	0.90	7.91	22.7%	0.08	0.35	25.16	0.0%	0.28	0.48	22.7%
TOTAL (A1-C4)	54.70														-					55.0%
TOTAL (OS4 -OS7)	63.16																			37.8%
TOTAL	122.55																			44.0%

PROPOSED STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision:	Sterling Ranch Subdivision -Proposed
Location:	El Paso County

Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2

Project No.: 25188.02

Calculated By: CJD

Checked By:

Date: 10/1/20

		SUB-l	BASIN			INITIA	AL/OVERI	LAND			TRAVEL TI	ME					
		DA	ATA				(T_i)				(T_t)			(L	JRBANIZED B <i>A</i>	SINS)	FINAL
BASIN	D.A.	Hydrologic	Impervious	C_5	C ₁₀₀	L	S_o	t_i	L_t	S_t	Κ	VEL.	t_t	COMP. t_c	TOTAL	Urbanized t_c	t _c
ID	(ac)	Soils Group	(%)			(ft)	(%)	(min)	(ft)	(%)		(ft/s)	(min)	(min)	LENGTH (ft)	(min)	(min)
A1	4.31	А	63%	0.49	0.64	79	1.7%	8.2	1007	3.7%	20.0	3.8	4.4	12.5	1086.0	20.1	12.5
A2	1.41	Α	31%	0.30	0.50	266	3.7%	15.4	141	1.5%	20.0	2.4	1.0	16.3	407.0	22.1	16.3
A3	3.68	А	65%	0.50	0.64	120	3.7%	7.7	1008	2.4%	20.0	3.1	5.5	13.2	1128.2	21.0	13.2
A4	2.71	А	73%	0.55	0.67	118	2.1%	8.5	814	1.9%	20.0	2.8	4.9	13.4	932.0	18.7	13.4
A 5	0.45	А	78%	0.62	0.73	54	3.7%	4.1	217	3.9%	20.0	4.0	0.9	5.0	271.0	13.6	5.0
A6	7.60	А	73%	0.55	0.68	212	4.3%	8.9	723	1.4%	20.0	2.4	5.0	13.9	934.9	18.8	13.9
A7	1.43	А	75%	0.58	0.70	303	3.4%	10.9	367	1.2%	20.0	2.2	2.8	13.7	670.0	16.1	13.7
A8	4.22	А	13%	0.16	0.41	233	4.9%	15.3	307	0.9%	15.0	1.4	3.6	18.9	540.0	28.7	18.9
B1	2.44	А	80%	0.64	0.75	50	2.5%	4.3	1066	1.6%	20.0	2.5	7.1	11.4	1116.0	19.4	11.4
B2	4.33	А	73%	0.55	0.67	226	4.9%	8.8	346	0.7%	20.0	1.7	3.4	12.2	572.0	17.2	12.2
C1	3.29	А	55%	0.45	0.60	228	4.3%	11.0	393	1.8%	20.0	2.7	2.5	13.5	621.0	19.7	13.5
C2	6.74	А	63%	0.49	0.63	99	1.8%	9.0	796	1.7%	20.0	2.6	5.1	14.1	895.0	21.1	14.1
C3	3.11	А	11%	0.15	0.40	144	9.6%	9.8	255	3.5%	15.0	2.8	1.5	11.3	399.0	26.3	11.3
A9	2.02	А	8%	0.13	0.39	452	2.4%	27.8	108	2.6%	20.0	3.2	0.6	28.4	560.0	25.8	25.8
A10	2.18	А	36%	0.30	0.50	248	2.8%	16.2	0	1.0%	20.0	2.0	0.0	16.2	248.0	19.9	16.2
В6	0.78	А	44%	0.33	0.51	246	1.5%	19.1	0	1.0%	20.0	2.0	0.0	19.1	246.0	18.5	18.5
B5	0.45	А	51%	0.37	0.54	129	5.0%	8.8	0	1.0%	20.0	2.0	0.0	8.8	129.0	17.4	8.8
B4	1.55	В	57%	0.40	0.56	222	11.0%	8.5	914	1.1%	20.0	2.1	7.4	15.9	1136.0	25.1	15.9
В3	0.66	А	63%	0.57	0.71	165	3.4%	8.2	612	2.7%	10.0	1.6	6.2	14.4	777.0	18.7	14.4
C4	1.34	А	53%	0.42	0.58	298	3.0%	14.8	1664	2.7%	10.0	1.6	16.9	31.7	1962.0	27.3	27.3
D1	0.77	Α	0%	0.08	0.35	16	2.0%	5.9	570	6.0%	10.0	2.4	3.9	9.7	586.0	30.3	9.7
D2	3.92	Α	0%	0.08	0.35	105	25.0%	6.5	975	50.0%	15.0	10.6	1.5	8.1	1080.0	28.6	8.1
OS6	18.38	А	54%	0.54	0.66	165	3.4%	8.6	612	2.7%	10.0	1.6	6.2	14.8	777.0	20.6	14.8
OS4	11.71	А	56%	0.57	0.68	491	1.4%	19.0	940	5.6%	10.0	2.4	6.6	25.6	1431.0	20.5	20.5
OS7	33.07	А	23%	0.28	0.48	298	3.0%	17.9	1664	2.7%	10.0	1.6	16.9	34.7	1962.0	36.0	34.7

PROPOSED STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision -Proposed Location: El Paso County

Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2 Project No.: 25188.02 Calculated By: CJD Checked By: Date: 10/1/20

			SUB-I	BASIN			INIT	IAL/OVER	LAND			TRAVEL TI	ME			tc CHECK		
			DA	λTA				(T _i)				(T _t)			(UF	rbanized b <i>i</i>	ASINS)	FINAL
BA	BASIN D.A. Hydrologic Impervious C ₅ C ₁₀₀							So	t _i	$egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						Urbanized t_c	t _c	

NOTES:

 $t_c = t_i + t_t$ Equation 6-2

Where:

 t_c = computed time of concentration (minutes)

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

 t_t = channelized flow time (minutes).

$$t_t = \frac{L_t}{60K\sqrt{S_o}} = \frac{L_t}{60V_t}$$

Equation 6-4

 $t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L_i}}{S_o^{0.33}}$

Where:

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

 C_5 = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (from Table 6-4)

 L_i = length of overland flow (ft)

 S_0 = average slope along the overland flow path (ft/ft).

 $t_c = (26 - 17i) + \frac{L_t}{60(14i + 9)\sqrt{S_t}}$

Equation 6-3

Equation 6-5

Conveyance Factor, K	
2.5	
5	
7	
10	
15	
20	
	2.5 5 7 10 15

Table 6-2. NRCS Conveyance factors, K

Where:

 t_t = channelized flow time (travel time, min)

 L_t = waterway length (ft)

 S_0 = waterway slope (ft/ft) V_t = travel time velocity (ft/sec) = $K\sqrt{S_0}$

K = NRCS conveyance factor (see Table 6-2).

 t_c = minimum time of concentration for first design point when less than t_c from Equation 6-1.

 t_t = length of channelized flow path (ft) t_t = imperviousness (expressed as a decimal) t_t = slope of the channelized flow path (ft/ft).

Use a minimum t_c value of 5 minutes for urbanized areas and a minimum t_c value of 10 minutes for areas that are not considered urban. Use minimum values even when calculations result in a lesser time of concentration.

STANDARD FORM SF-3 - PROPOSED

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

	Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision -Proposed	Project No.: 25188.02
Location: El Paso County	Calculated By: CJD
Design Storm: 5-Year	Checked By:
	Date: 10/1/20

		DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STRE	ET/SW	/ALE		PIF	PE		TRAV	'EL TIN	1E		
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	O (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS	
	1	OS7	33.07	0.28	34.7	9.13	2.26	20.6								20.6	9.13	1.0	42	725	8.2	1.5	Offsite Barbarick Subdivision pond release Piped to DP 3	
	2	OS4	11.71	0.57	20.5	6.71	3.05	20.5								20.5	6.71	1.0	36	112	8.3		Offsite future school Piped to DP 3	
	3								36.2	15.84	2.20	34.8											Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road	
	4	OS6	18.38	0.54	14.8	10.00	3.54	35.4								35.4	10.00	1.0	48	800	9.4	1.4	Offsite subdivision pond release Piped to DP 7.1	
	5	C2	6.74	0.49	14.1	3.32	3.61	12.0								12.0	3.32	1.0	24	63	7.3	0.1	Sump Inlet Piped to DP 6.1	
	6	C1	3.29	0.45	13.5	1.47	3.68	5.4															Sump Inlet Piped to DP 6.1	
	6.1								14.3	4.79	3.59	17.2				17.2	4.79	1.0	36	245	7.9		Piped to DP 7.1	
	7	C3	3.11	0.15	11.3	0.47	3.95	1.9															Area Inlet Piped to DP 7.1	
	7.1								16.2	15.26	3.40	51.9											Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road	
	8	C4	1.34	0.42	27.3	0.56	2.62	1.5															Offsite flow to existing inlet in Sterling Ranch Road Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road	
	9	В3	0.66	0.57	14.4	0.38	3.58	1.4															Offsite flow to existing inlet in Sterling Ranch Road Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road	
	10	B4	1.55	0.40	15.9	0.62	3.43	2.1								2.1	0.62	1.0	12	380	4.7	1.3	Rear lot and area inlets Piped to DP 11.1	
	11	B5	0.45	0.37	8.8	0.17	4.31	0.7															Area Inlet Piped to DP 14.1	
	11.1								17.3	0.79	3.31	2.6				2.6	0.79	1.0	18	357	4.9		Piped to DP 14.1 Sump Inlet	
	12	B2	4.33	0.55	12.2	2.37	3.83	9.1								9.1	2.37	1.0	18	38	6.7		Piped to DP 13.1	
	13	B1	2.44	0.64	11.4	1.57	3.93	6.2															Sump Inlet Piped to DP 13.1	
	13.1								12.3	3.94	3.82	15.0				15.0	3.94	1.0	24	125	7.7		Piped to DP 14.1 Area Inlet	
	14	В6	0.78	0.33	18.5	0.26	3.21	0.8															Piped to DP 14.1	
	14.1								18.5	4.99	3.21	16.0				16.0	4.99	1.0	24	415	7.8	0.9	Piped to DP 15.1	

STANDARD FORM SF-3 - PROPOSED

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

	Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2	
Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision -Proposed	Project No.: 25188.02	
Location: El Paso County	Calculated By: CJD	
Design Storm: 5-Year	Checked By:	
	Date: 10/1/20	

		DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET/SWALE				PIF	PΕ		TRAV	EL TIN	ΛE	
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t_{c} (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS
	15	A1	4.31	0.49	12.5		3.79	8.1					0.7		1.6	7.4	1.95			230	2.5	1.5	On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 15.1, Bypass flow to DP 17
	15.1								19.4	6.94	3.14	21.8	0.0			21.8	6.94	1.0	24	48	8.2	0.1	Captured Flows piped to DP 16.1
	16	A 5	0.45	0.62	5.0	0.28	5.16	1.4					0.0	0		1.4							On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 16.1
	16.1								19.5	7.22	3.13	22.6	0.0	0		22.6	7.22	1.0	24	280	8.2	0.6	Piped to DP 18.1 On-grade Inlet
	17	A2	1.41	0.30	16.3	0.42	3.40	1.4	16.3	0.60	3.40	2.0		U		2.0	0.42	1.0	24	27	4.4	0.1	Piped to DP 18.1
	18.1								20.0	7.82	3.09	24.1	F 2	1.46		24.1	0.00	1.0	30	600	8.7	1.2	Piped to DP20.1 On-grade Inlet
	19	A6	7.60	0.55	13.9	4.21	3.64	15.3					0.0		1.0	10.0	2.75	1.0	18	30	6.8	0.1	Captured Flows piped to DP 20.1, Bypass flow to DP 21 On-grade Inlet
	20	А3	3.68	0.50	13.2	1.84	3.72	6.8					0.0	0	1.0	6.8	1.84	1.0	18	4	6.3	0.0	Captured Flows piped to DP 20.1
	20.1								21.2	12.41	3.00	37.3				37.3	12.41	1.0	36	220	9.6	0.4	Piped to DP23
	21	A7	1.43	0.58	13.7	0.83	3.66	3.0	14.0	2.29	3.63	8.3				8.3	2.29	1.0	18	60	6.6	0.2	Sump Inlet Piped to DP22.1
	22	A4	2.71	0.55	13.4	1.49	3.69	5.5	13.4	1.49	3.69	5.5											Sump Inlet Piped to DP22.1
	22.1								14.1	3.78	3.61	13.6				13.6	3.78	1.0	24	10	7.5	0.0	Piped to DP23
	23								21.6	16.19	2.98	48.2				48.2	16.19	1.0	42	145	10.3	0.2	Piped to DP26
	24	A8	4.22	0.16	18.9	0.69	3.17	2.2															Area Inlet Piped to EX 84" Storm Line Built w/ SR Filing 2 First Phase
	25	A9	2.02	0.13	25.8	0.27	2.71	0.7								0.7	0.27	1.0	18	30	3.4	0.1	EX FES Piped to EX 84" Storm Line Built w/ SR Filing 2 First Phase
	27	A10	2.18	0.30	16.2	0.65	3.41	2.2															Pervious area sheet flows into EX Pond W5
	28	D1	0.77	0.08	9.7	0.06	4.17	0.3															Pervious area sheet flows into Sand Creek
	29	D2	3.92	0.08	8.1	0.31	4.45	1.4															Pervious area sheet flows into Sand Creek

Notes:

Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value. All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

STANDARD FORM SF-3 - PROPOSED STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision:	Sterling Ranch Subdivision -Proposed
Location:	El Paso County
Design Storm:	100-Year

Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Project No.: 25188.02
Calculated By: CJD
Checked By: Date: 10/1/20

			DIRECT RUNOFF							T	OTAL RU	NOFF		STREET/	SWALE			PIPE			TRAV	'EL TIN	1E	
	Description	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/nr)	Q (cfs)	Ostreet/swale (cfs)	(%) eduls		O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS
		1	OS7	33.07	0.48	34.7	15.93	3.79	60.4								60.4	15.93		42	725	10.9		Offsite Barbarick Subdivision pond release Piped to DP 3
		2	OS4	11.71		20.5		5.12	40.5							Ť	40.5		1.0					Offsite future school Piped to DP 3
		3	034	11.71	0.00	20.5	7.70	5.12	40.5	25.0	23.83	71	88.5			T	10.5	7.70	1.0	30	112	7.7		Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road
		4	OS6	18.38	0.66	14.8	12 15	5.94	72.2	33.9	23.03	1.71	00.3			T	72.2	12.15	1.0	10	800	11 /		Offsite subdivision pond release Piped to DP 7.1
		5	C2	6.74	0.63			6.06	25.9								25.9	4.28						Sump Inlet Piped to DP 6.1
							1.99		12.3							t	23.9	4.20	1.0	24	03	0.3	0.1	Piped to DP 6.1 Piped to DP 6.1
		6	C1	3.29	0.60	13.5	1.99	6.18	12.3	110		0.4	07.0				07.0		1.0	0.4	0.45	0.7	0.4	·
		6.1								14.3	6.27	0.04	37.8			t	37.8	6.27	1.0	36	245	9.7	0.4	Piped to DP 7.1 Area Inlet
include		7	C3	3.11	0.40	11.3	1.24	6.63	8.2							t								Piped to DP 7.1
the who	Jo.	7.1								16.0	19.66	5.75 1	13.0			+								Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road Offsite flow to existing inlet in Sterling Ranch Road
basins		8		7.34		27.3	0.78	4.40	3.4							+								Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road Offsite flow to existing inlet in Sterling Ranch Road
Dasilis		9	В3	3 .66		14.4	0.47	6.01	2.8							+								Piped to existing storm sewer in Sterling Ranch Road Rear lot and area inlets
		10	B4	1.55	0.56	15.9	0.87	5.76	5.0							+	5.0	0.87	1.0	12	380	6.4	1.0	Piped to DP 11.1 Area Inlet
		11	B5	0.45	0.54	8.8	0.24	7.24	1.7							-								Piped to DP 14.1
		11.1								16.9	1.11 5	.61	6.2			+	6.2	1.11	1.0	18	357	6.2	1.0	Piped to DP 14.1 Sump Inlet
		12	B2	4.33	0.67	12.2	2.90	6.43	18.7							4	18.7	2.90	1.0	18	38	10.6	0.1	Piped to DP 13.1 Sump Inlet
		13	B1	2.44	0.75	11.4	1.82	6.60	12.0															Piped to DP 13.1
		13.1								12.3	4.72	.42	30.3				30.3	4.72	1.0	24	125	9.7	0.2	Piped to DP 14.1
		14	В6	0.78	0.51	18.5	0.40	5.38	2.2															Area Inlet Piped to DP 14.1
		14.1								18.5	6.23	.38	33.5				33.5	6.23	1.0	24				Piped to DP 15.1
		15	A1	4.31	0.64	12.5	2.74	6.37	17.4					7.4 1.1	693 1	1.6	10.0	1.57			230	2.5	1.5	On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 15.1, Bypass flow to DP 17
		15.1								19.1	7.80	5.30	41.3				41.3	7.80	1.0	24	48	13.2	0.1	Captured Flows piped to DP 16.1

STANDARD FORM SF-3 - PROPOSED

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

	Project Name: Sterling Ranch Phase 2
Subdivision: Sterling Ranch Subdivision -Proposed	Project No.: <u>25188.02</u>
Location: El Paso County	Calculated By: CJD
Design Storm: 100-Year	Checked By:
	Date: 10/1/20

		DIRECT RUNOFF								OTAL F	F	STREET/SWALE				PIPE			TRAV	EL TIN	ЛE		
Description	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t_{c} (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	l (in/hr)	O (cfs)	O _{street/swale} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	O _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _t (min)	REMARKS
	16	A 5	0.45	0.73	5.0	0.33	8.66	2.9					0.0	0		2.9							On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 16.1
	16.1								19.2	8.13	5.29	43.0				43.0	8.13	1.0	24	280	13.7		Piped to DP 18.1
	17	A2	1.41	0.50	16.3	0.71	5.70	4.0	16.3	1.88	5.70	10.7	0.0	0		10.7	0.71	1.0	24	27	7.1		On-grade Inlet Piped to DP 18.1
	18.1								19.5	10.01	5.24	52.5	10.1			52.5	10.01	1.0	30	600	10.7	0.9	Piped to DP20.1
	19	A6	7.60	0.68	13.9	5.14	6.11	31.4						3.1744		12.0	1.97	1.0	18	30	6.8	0.1	On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 20.1, Bypass flow to DP 21
	20	A3	3.68	0.64	13.2	2.34	6.24	14.6					2.6	0.4163	1.0	12.0	1.92	1.0	18	4	6.8	0.0	On-grade Inlet Captured Flows piped to DP 20.1, Bypass flow to DP 22
	20.1								20.5	13.90	5.13	71.3				71.3	13.90	1.0	36	220	10.6		Piped to DP23
	21	A7	1.43	0.70	13.7	1.00	6.14	6.1	14.0	4.17	6.09	25.4				25.4	4.17	1.0	18	60	14.4	0.1	Sump Inlet Piped to DP22.1
	22	A4	2.71	0.67	13.4	1.82	6.19	11.3	13.4	2.24	6.19	13.8											Sump Inlet Piped to DP22.1
	22.1								14.0	6.41	6.08	39.0				39.0	6.41	1.0	24	10	12.4	0.0	Piped to DP23
	23								20.8	20.31	5.08	103.3				103.3	20.31	1.0	42	145	11.9		Piped to DP26 Area Inlet
	24	A8	4.22	0.41	18.9	1.71	5.32	9.1															Piped to EX 84" Storm Line Built w/ SR Filing 2 First Phase EX FES
	25	A9	2.02	0.39	25.8	0.78	4.55	3.5								3.5	0.78	1.0	18	30	5.4		Piped to EX 84" Storm Line Built w/ SR Filing 2 First Phase
	27	A10	2.18	0.50	16.2	1.08	5.72	6.2															Pervious area sheet flows into EX Pond W5
	28	D1	0.77	0.35	9.7	0.27	7.00	1.9															Pervious area sheet flows into Sand Creek
Notos	29	D2	3.92	0.35	8.1	1.37	7.48	10.2															Pervious area sheet flows into Sand Creek

Notes:

Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value. All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

- inlet?

Thursday, May 14 2020

Design Point 8 Q100 = 3.7CFS


Triangular
Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 4.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.00

Invert Elev (ft) = 6977.05 Slope (%) = 3.61 N-Value = 0.025

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q Known Q (cfs) = 3.70 Highlighted

= 0.47Depth (ft) Q (cfs) = 3.700Area (sqft) = 0.88Velocity (ft/s) = 4.19 Wetted Perim (ft) = 3.88Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.56Top Width (ft) = 3.76EGL (ft) = 0.74



Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Thursday, May 14 2020

= 0.71

Design Point 8, Q100 = 3.7CFS

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 4.00= 2.00

Total Depth (ft)

Invert Elev (ft) = 6977.05 Slope (%) = 0.90N-Value = 0.025


Calculations

Compute by: Known Q = 3.70Known Q (cfs)

Highlighted

EGL (ft)

Depth (ft) = 0.61Q (cfs) = 3.700Area (sqft) = 1.49Velocity (ft/s) = 2.49Wetted Perim (ft) = 5.03Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.56Top Width (ft) = 4.88



Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Thursday, May 14 2020

= 0.66

Design Point 12, Q100 = 3.1CFS

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 4.00

Total Depth (ft) = 2.00


Invert Elev (ft) = 6992.41 Slope (%) = 3.61 N-Value = 0.030

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q Known Q (cfs) = 3.10 Highlighted

EGL (ft)

Depth (ft) = 0.47 Q (cfs) = 3.100 Area (sqft) = 0.88 Velocity (ft/s) = 3.51 Wetted Perim (ft) = 3.88 Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.52 Top Width (ft) = 3.76



Provide inlet, culvert and pipe calculations.


Appendix C Reference Material



SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY

PRELIMINARY DESIGN REPORT

CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO



PREPARED FOR:

City of Colorado Springs
Department of Comprehensive Planning, Development and Finance
Engineering Division
30 S. Nevada
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903

PREPARED BY:

Kiowa Engineering Corporation 1011 North Weber Colorado Springs, CO 80903

II. STUDY AREA DESCRIPTION

The Sand Creek drainage basin is a left-bank tributary to the Fountain Creek lying in the west-central portions of El Paso County. Sand Creek's drainage area at Fountain Creek is approximately 54 square miles of which approximately 18.8 square miles are inside the City of Colorado Springs corporate limits. The basin is divided into five major sub-basins, the Sand Creek mainstem, the East Fork Sand Creek, the Central Tributary to East Fork, the West Fork, and the East Fork Subtributary. Figure II-1 shows the location of the Sand Creek basin.

Basin Description

The Sand Creek basin covers a total of 54 square miles in unincorporated El Paso County and Colorado Springs, Colorado. Of this total, approximately 28 square miles is encompassed by the Sand Creek basin, and 26 square miles for the East Fork Sand Creek basin. The basin trends in generally a south to southwesterly direction, entering the Fountain Creek approximately two miles upstream of the Academy Boulevard bridge over Fountain Creek. Two main tributaries drain the basin, those being the mainstem of Sand Creek and East Fork Sand Creek. Development presence in most evident along the mainstream. At this time, approximately 25 percent of the basin is developed. This alternative evaluation focuses upon the Sand Creek basin only.

The maximum basin elevation is approximately 7,620 feet above mean sea level, and falls to approximately 5,790 feet at the confluence with Fountain Creek. The headwaters of the basin originate in the conifer covered areas of The Black Forest. The middle eastern portions of the basin are typified by rolling range land with fair to good vegetative cover associated with semi-arid climates.

Climate

This area of El Paso County can be described, in general as high plains, with total precipitation amounts typical of a semi-arid region. Winters are generally cold and dry. Precipitation ranges from 14 to 16 inches per year, with the majority of this precipitation occurring in spring and summer in the form of rainfall. Thunderstorms are common during the summer months, and are typified by quick-moving low pressure cells which draw moisture from the Gulf of Mexico into the region. Average temperatures range from about 30°F in the winter

to 75° in the summer. The relative humidity ranges from about 25 percent in the summer to 45 percent in the winter.

Soils and Geology

Soils within the Sand Creek basin vary between soil types A through D, as identified by the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. The predominant soil groupings are in the Truckton and Bresser soil associations. The soils consist of deep, well drained soils that formed in alluvium and residium, derived from sedimentary rock. The soils have high to moderate infiltration rates, and are extremely susceptible to wind and water erosion where poor vegetation cover exists. In undeveloped areas, the predominance of Type A and B soils give this basin a lower runoff per unit area as compared to basins with soils dominated by Types C and D. Presented on Figure II-2 is the Hydrologic Soil distribution map for the Sand Creek basin.


Property Ownership and Impervious Land Densities


Property ownership along the major drainageway within the Sand Creek basin vary from public to private. Along the developed reaches, drainage right-of-ways and greenbelts have been dedicated during the development of the adjacent residential and commercial land. Where development has not occurred, the drainageways remain under private ownership with no delineated drainage right-of-way or easements. There are several public parks which abut the mainstem of Sand Creek. Roadway and utility easements abutting or crossing the major drainageways occur most frequently in the developed portions of the basin.

Land use information for the existing and future conditions were reviewed as part of the planning effort. This information is used in the hydrologic analysis to predict runoff rates and volumes for the purposes of facility evaluation. The identification of land uses abutting the drainageways is also useful in the identification of feasible plans for stabilization and aesthetic treatment of the creek. Presented on Figure II-3 is the proposed land use map used in the evaluation of impervious land densities discussed in the hydrologic section of this report. Figure II-3 is not intended to reflect the future zoning or land use policies of the City or the County.

The land use information within the Banning-Lewis Ranch property was obtained from Aries Properties during the time the draft East Fork Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study was being prepared. The land use information was again reviewed with the City of Colorado Springs Department of Planning and was found to be appropriate for use in the estimation of hydrology for the East Fork Basin. The location of future arterial streets and roadways within


4






Appendix D Drainage Maps





LEGEND

BASIN ID
A: BASIN LABEL
B: AREA
C: C -100 YR
D: C-5 YR



EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION

DESIGN POINT

DRAINAGE AREA

EXISTING STORM SEWER

DRAINAGE ACCESS & MAINTENANCE --- --- --- ---

EXISTING

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE

Tributary	Area	Percent			t _c	Q₅	Q ₁₀₀
Sub-basin	(acres)	Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	(min)	(cfs)	(cfs)
A1	5.17	0%	0.08	0.35	27.4	1.1	8.0
A2	27.48	0%	0.08	0.35	39.1	4.6	33.6
А3	11.68	0%	0.08	0.35	19.5	2.9	21.5
B1	11.78	0%	0.08	0.35	25.2	2.6	19.0
OS1	9.27	37%	0.49	0.65	21.7	13.4	29.8
OS2	5.00	100%	0.90	0.96	14.2	6.3	11.2
OS3	2.36	100%	0.90	0.96	12.2	8.1	14.6
OS4	11.71	4%	0.10	0.36	32.4	2.8	16.9
OS5	3.46	0%	0.08	0.35	30.4	0.7	5.0
OS6	18.38	11%	0.54	0.66	14.8	35.4	72.2
OS7	33.07	19%	0.28	0.48	34.7	20.6	60.4

TITLE

EXISTING GRADING ASSUMES FILING 2, STERLING RANCH ROAD, & MARKSHEFFEL ROAD ARE BUILT.

Also provide DP summary




300 150 0 300 60 ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 300'


STERLING RANCH PHASE 2 EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP JOB NO. 25188.02 10/01/20 SHEET 1 OF 1



Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com




STERLING RANCH PHASE 2 PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP



LEGEND

BASIN ID
A: BASIN LABEL
B: AREA
C: C -100 YR
D: C-5 YR



DESIGN POINT

PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION

EXISTING STORM SEWER

STORM SEWER PROPOSED

PROPOSED R.O.W

PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES —

EXISTING PROPERTY LINE ROW EXISTING FL EXISTING


DRAINAGE ACCESS & MAINTENANCE — — — — EASEMENT

EXISTING

PROPOSED

6100

6100



STERLING RANCH PHASE 2 PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP JOB NO. 25188.00 09/25/20 SHEET 2 OF 2



J-R ENGINEERING

A Westrian Company

Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

