

Planning and Community Development Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Updated: 6/26/2019

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name: GRANDVIEW PHASE 2 (PUDSP-23-006)

Schedule No.(s): 4200000475, 4200000474

Legal Description: A TRACT OF LAND BEING A PORTION SECTION 21, AND A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST

QUARTER OF SECTION

28, TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OF THE 6TH/ PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, EL PASO COUNTY,

COLORADO

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Company: MELODY HOMES, INC.

Name: RILEY HILLEN

oximes Owner oximes Consultant oximes Contractor

Mailing Address: 9555 S. KINGSTON CT, SUITE 200,

ENGLEWOOD, CO 80112

Phone Number: 303-503-4903

FAX Number: -

Email Address: RHILLEN@DRHORTON.COM

ENGINEER INFORMATION

Company: HR GREEN DEVELOPMENT, LLC.

Name: KEN HUHN, PE Colorado P.E. Number: 54022

Mailing Address: 1975 RESEARCH PARKWAY, STE. 160

COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80920

Phone Number: 720-602-4965

FAX Number: -


Email Address: KHUHN@HRGREEN.COM

OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual
and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I
have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also
understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission,
Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of
this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or
condition(s) of approval.

Signature of owner (or authorized representative) Date
F 7 Engineer's Seal, Signature And Date of Signature
Please revise, this is the incorrect figure This section is for roadway terminations DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request) A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.3.8.A of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested. Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:
Figure 2-16. Typical Urban Minor Arterial Section. The figure shows the typical cross section with a pavement width of 62' and a tree lawn of 7'. The Modified Urban Minor Arterial Section ((2 Lane) proposes a pavement width of 36' and tree lawn widths of 18' and 23' but maintains the 100' R.O.W.
State the reason for the requested deviation:
The 2-lane section is consistent with the County MTCP (adopted 2024-07-18) Which identifies the road as an Urban Minor Arterial (2 lane) (See Snips from MTCP below)

State the reason for the requested deviation: Swe 2045 Number of Lanes 6 Lanes 4 Lanes 3 Lanes 2 Lanes Legend 2045 Functional Classification CDOT Facilities Urban - Expressways Urban - Principal Arterial. Urban - Minor Arterial REX ROAD udige Orr Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):



(At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)
 ☑ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation. ☐ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. ☐ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.
Provide justification:
Ther is no typical section in the ECM for 2 lane an Urban Minor Arterial roadway
CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL
Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations . The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria :
The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.
Deviation based on need for the ECM to identify a 2 lane an Urban Minor Arterial Roadway Section
Please include a statement that identifies why this proposed section is comparable or
superior
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.
The roadway section will not lower the Level of Service to an unacceptable range.

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.
The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost. The proposed section will meet the traffic requirements and ultimately reduce maintenance and replacement costs associated with a pavement than is
wider than necessary.
The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.
The deviation will improve the aesthetic appearance of the project and allow for widened tree lawn area between the back of curb and sidewalk.
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards. The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards by providing the full 100' ROW width required by the Urban Minor Arterial Roadway while also meeting the required 2 lane roadway and allows for the
median turn lane for necessary left turns. The additional ROW will allow for future roadway widening if warranted in the future.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation will not be applicable regarding the County's MS4 permit.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. hereby granted based on the justification provided.	A deviation from Section	of the ECM i
Γ	1	
L	J	
Denied by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. hereby denied.	A deviation from Section _	of the ECM i
Г	٦	
L	T	
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:		

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
 on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
 available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
 modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
 the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.


1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL


Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

Page	8	of	8
------	---	----	---





V3_ Rex Rd Crossection Deviation.pdf Markup Summary

Callout (2) Subject: Callout **REX ROAD** Page Label: 9 Author: khuhn Date: 8/21/2024 12:27:11 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space: Subject: Callout MurphyRd **REX ROAD** Page Label: 10 Author: khuhn Date: 8/21/2024 1:01:50 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space: Engineer (8) Subject: Engineer PUDSP236 Page Label: 1 PUDSP236 Author: Bret Date: 9/10/2024 3:56:39 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space: Subject: Engineer Please revise this criteria, this section is for Page Label: 2 roadway terminations Author: Bret Date: 9/10/2024 3:58:36 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space: ilgures, and our Subject: Engineer 2.3.8.A Page Label: 2 tion 2.3.8.A of th Author: Bret Date: 9/10/2024 3:58:42 PM a deviation is re-Status: Color: Layer: Space: Subject: Engineer Figure 2-16. Page Label: 2 Identify the specifi Figure 2-16. Typ Author: Bret of 62' and a tree **Date:** 9/10/2024 3:59:51 PM and tree lawn v Status:

Color: Layer: Space: Please revise, this is the incorrect figure
DEVATION REQUEST (Altach diagrams, fig. A deviation from/her standards of or in Section Section Food (Control of Control of Control

....

Subject: Engineer Page Label: 2 Author: Bret

Date: 9/10/2024 4:00:13 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space:

Ther

Provide Ther is

Subject: Engineer Page Label: 5

Date: 9/10/2024 4:01:44 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space:

Author: Bret

.....

ane an Urb Author: Bret

Subject: Engineer Page Label: 5 Author: Bret

Date: 9/10/2024 4:03:47 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space:

Please include a statement that identifies why this proposed section is comparable or

eviation pased on need for the

Subject: Engineer Page Label: 5 Author: Bret

Date: 9/10/2024 4:05:41 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Please include a statement that identifies why this proposed section is comparable or superior

Please revise, this is the incorrect figure