

Please provide a title page and a table of contents that includes an appendix.

Please also add the PCD File number to the cover page, which is "PPR-21-030".

Please reference EPC DCM Vol. 1 section 4.4 for Final Drainage Report criteria and update report contents to include that information.

Forsgren Associates, LLC  
ess Drive East, Suite 112  
Englewood, CO 80128  
(720) 214-5884

July 7, 2021  
El Paso County Development Services  
2880 International Circle, Ste 110  
Colorado Springs, CO 80910

**SUBJECT:       Town of Monument Water Tank Site – Drainage Plan  
                    744 Forest View Way  
                    Monument, Colorado, 80132**

To whom it may concern,

This letter is being presented to discuss existing and future drainage features of the proposed Town of Monument water storage tank site. Justification will be provided showing there will be no negative impact to existing drainage features or downstream conditions.

The proposed tank site is located at 744 Forest View Way, Monument, Colorado 80132. The El Paso County parcel number is 7116204006. The lot is bordered by Forrest View Way on the northeast side, and residential lots to the east and west.

#### **Description of Property**

The legal description of the 2.0 MG water tank site is "Lot 6 Forest View Estates Filing 4." The lot is 2.55 acres. The site generally slopes from the southwest to the northeast. The site contains steep slopes with the average slope ranging from 20% to 25%. The site is covered in native grasses and vegetation, with evergreen trees scattered sporadically around the site. The soil type across the site consists approximately of 88% kettle gravelly loamy sand at 8% to 40% slopes; 11% perrypark gravelly sandy loam at 3% to 9% slopes; and 0.7% Boyett-frenchcreek complex at 2% to 15% slopes.

#### **Previous Reports**

The following reports and plans reviewed in the process of preparing this drainage letter:

- 1) Final Drainage Report Forest View Estates Filing No. 4, prepared by Kiowa Engineering December 22, 2004.
- 2) Geotechnical Evaluation - Monument Water Tank, prepared by Ninyo & Moore, November 18, 2016.

#### **Major Basin Description**

The site is located within the Raspberry Mountain watershed. Runoff from the basin generally flows to the east via natural drainageways that are well vegetated, ultimately discharging to Monument Creek.

It appears that sections of the project are located in the Bald Mountain drainage basin. Please update to include the information.

Please update to include all firm map numbers for the entire project.

### **Floodplain Statement**

The proposed improvements are not within a 100-year floodplain, FIRM #08041C0257G; dated December 7, 2018. See attachments for FEMA FIRM.

### **Onsite Subbasin Description**

Existing Basin A is the lower northeast portion of the lot. It is approximately 2.18 acres and is covered in native grasses and vegetation. The soil profile is generally a gravelly sandy loam with slopes ranging from 15% to 25%. The site slopes from the southwest to the northeast. Soil properties generally resemble Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) B category. Runoff from the basin drains toward Forest View Way where it is captured in a roadside ditch and drains north along the road to two 48-inch RCP culverts that discharge runoff to the other side of Forest View Way. The existing roadside ditch is approximately 22-feet wide and 3-feet deep and well vegetated with native grasses. The culverts discharge to an existing riprap lined channel. The culverts and channel were designed and sized as part of the Forest View Estates Filing No. 4 Final Drainage Report. The rational method was used to determine peak runoff rates for Basin A. The 5-year peak discharge is 0.9 cfs and the 100-year peak discharge is 6.6 cfs.

Existing Basin B is the upper southwest portion of the lot, which will remain undeveloped and undisturbed. It is approximately 0.89 acres and is covered in native grasses and vegetation. The soil profile is generally a gravelly sandy loam with 25% slopes. The site slopes from the southwest to the northeast. Soil properties generally resemble Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) B category. Runoff from the basin drains toward Forest View Way where it is captured in a roadside ditch and drains north along the road to two 48-inch RCP culverts that discharge runoff to the other side of Forest View Way. The existing roadside ditch is approximately 22-feet wide and 3-feet deep and well vegetated with native grasses. The culverts discharge to an existing riprap lined channel. The culverts and channel were designed and sized as part of the Forest View Estates Filing No. 4 Final Drainage Report. The rational method was used to determine peak runoff rates for Basin B. The 5-year peak discharge is 0.4 cfs and the 100-year peak discharge is 2.7 cfs.

### **Proposed Conditions**

The proposed site improvements include a 2 MG water storage tank, a 12-foot gravel access road to the tank, and site grading to accommodate the new tank. The total lot area is 2.55 acres and the total disturbance for the tank site lot is estimated at 0.94 acres. The completed improvements will have a total impervious area of 0.28 acres resulting in a 13% impervious ratio for the site. The proposed improvements are within Basin A and the proposed 5-year and 100-year runoff rates are 2.1 cfs and 8.1 cfs respectively.

The tanks site lot is contained within the R5 basin of the Forest View Estates Final Drainage Report. The hydrologic calculations used to size the existing 48-inch culverts (and all drainage structures within Forest View Estates Filing 4) assume large lot residential land use, with lots between 1 and 3 acres in size. This assumption generally equates to between 10 and 20 percent imperviousness for each lot, and a 66 SCS curve number used for runoff calculations. The proposed tank site improvements are consistent with these assumptions; therefore, the improvements will not have any adverse impacts to downstream drainage infrastructure which are sized for the proposed land use.

Please also discuss the use of the drainage swale in the North corner of the site and the SD from the tank roof to its outfall point.

The proposed 18-inch culvert under the access road captures runoff from offsite basin 1 which has an area of 1.31 acres. The Forest View Estates final drainage report basin plan was used to estimate the tributary area. The offsite basin is undeveloped and has 5-year and 100-year runoff rates of 0.5 cfs and 4.0 cfs respectively. The culvert capacity calculation is provided in the attachments. The culvert has a full flow capacity of 16.55 cfs, and 24% full flow capacity at the design discharge of 4 cfs.

The following sections detail runoff reduction calculations.

And discuss where runoff will outfall to once it leaves Lot 6.

#### Four Step Process to Minimize Adverse Impacts of Urbanization

El Paso County requires the UDFCD Four Step Process be utilized for receiving water. The goal of the Four Step Process is to reduce runoff volumes, treat the water quality caused by runoff, stabilize drainageways, and implement long-term source controls.

Please expand the four step process to include all of the steps and the respective information. Please reference ECM I.7.2.A for more information.

#### Runoff Reduction Calculations

The runoff reduction calculations are provided in the attachments. The proposed water storage tank contained in Basin A is defined as an Unconnected Impervious Area (UIA) that will discharge to the existing roadside ditch. The roadside ditch is defined as a Receiving Pervious Area (RPA) approximately 22-feet wide and 290-feet long. The remaining lot area is defined as a Separate Pervious Area (SPA) also discharging to the roadside ditch. The resulting runoff reduction calculation provides a 100% reduction from the UIA.

It is the professional opinion of the engineer that the proposed improvements will not have any negative impacts on the existing site conditions or the storm drainage system's ability to convey flows from the site and will not adversely affect the downstream and surrounding developments.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,  
Forsgren Associates, Inc

Note: Any green infrastructure that would be used to meet the runoff reduction requirements is required to be in a drainage easement. And a maintenance agreement would also be required.

James Adams, P.E.  
Project Manager

#### Attachments:


- 1) Drainage Plan & Runoff Calculations
- 2) FEMA FIRM & FIRMETTE – Forest View Way
- 3) Culvert Capacity Calculation
- 4) Runoff Reduction Calculations
- 5) NRCS Custom Soil Resource Report

Please move section to table of contents when updating report layout.

Please move this page to follow the cover page.

**Design Engineer's Statement:**

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jorys Adams, P.E. #38375

\_\_\_\_\_  
7/8/21  
Date

**Owner/Developer's Statement:**

I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Town of Monument  
645 Beacon Lite Rd  
Monument, CO 80132

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Please add the following as a signature block:

"El Paso County:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer Irvine, P.E. Date  
County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Conditions: "



## ATTACHMENTS

Please create a basic overview map with color shading/hatching that shows areas tributary to each PBMP (pond, runoff reduction, etc) and those areas that are not captured by the ponds, with the applicable exclusion labeled (ex: 20% up to 1ac of development can be excluded per ECM App I.7.1.C.1 and exclusions listed in ECM App I.7.1.B.#).

And specific to Runoff Reduction, this aforementioned map, or a separate one should show the UIA and SPA areas.



TOWN OF MONUMENT - WATERLINE AND STORAGE TANK  
SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANS  
LOT 6, FOREST VIEW ESTATES FILING NO. 4



LEGEND:

- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- BASIN DESIGNATION
- "C" COEFFICIENT (100 YR)
- BASIN AREA (ACRES)
- DESIGN POINT
- BASIN LINE
- FLOW DIRECTION

Please move drainage map to end of report contents.

Please include an existing conditions drainage map placed before the proposed conditions drainage map.

Please update map to show subbasins that drain to each design point.

TANK SITE DRAINAGE PLAN  
SCALE: 1"= 20'

5-Year & 100-Year Storm Runoff (Existing)									
Basin		Area	Tc	5 Intensity	100 Intensity	5 Comp. C	100 Comp. C	5 Q	100 Q
		(Acres)	(Min.)	(In./hr.)	(In./hr.)			(cfs)	(cfs)
A	Basin A	2.18	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	0.9	6.6
B	Basin B	0.89	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	0.4	2.7
OS 1	Off-Site 1	1.31	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	0.5	4.0

Routed Design Points									
Basin A	1	2.18	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	0.9	6.6
A + B	2	3.07	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	1.3	9.3
OS 1	3	1.31	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	0.5	4.0

5-Year & 100-Year Storm Runoff (Proposed)									
Basin		Area	Tc	5 Intensity	100 Intensity	5 Comp. C	100 Comp. C	5 Q	100 Q
		(Acres)	(Min.)	(In./hr.)	(In./hr.)			(cfs)	(cfs)
A	Basin A	2.18	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.19	0.43	2.1	8.1
B	Basin B	0.89	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	0.4	2.7
OS-1	Off-Site 1	1.31	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	0.5	4.0

Routed Design Points									
Basin A	1	2.18	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.19	0.43	2.1	8.1
A + B	2	3.07	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.16	0.41	2.5	10.8
OS-1	3	1.31	5.00	5.17	8.68	0.08	0.35	0.5	4.0

P:\Clients\Monument\04-20-0163 Waterline & Storage Tank\Submittals\Site Development Plan\Submission Docs\Drainage Letter\Figures\Drainage PLAN.dwg - 7/2/2021 11:02 AM

PROJECT NO.  
DRAWN  
DESIGNED  
APPROVED  
QA/QC

SHEET NO:  
**1**

DATE:  
July 2021

PAGE NO:

PRELIMINARY  
DRAWING  
NOT FOR  
CONSTRUCTION

**FORSGREN Associates Inc.**  
56 INVERNESS DRIVE EAST #112, ENGLEWOOD, CO 80112  
PH: 720.232.6644

**SITE DRAINAGE PLAN**

REVISIONS  
NO.  
BY  
DATE

This document or any part thereof in detail or design concept is the property of Forsgren Associates Inc. and shall not be reproduced without the written authorization of Forsgren Associates Inc.



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations (BFEs)** and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

**Coastal Base Flood Elevations** shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the **North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88)**. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same **vertical datum**. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services  
NOAA, NNGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/>.

**Base Map** information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date **stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations** than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

**Corporate limits** shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.


Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels, community map repository addresses, and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact **FEMA Map Service Center (MSC)** via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FIMX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at <http://www.msc.fema.gov/>.

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at <http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip>.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table	
Flooding Source	Vertical Datum Offset (ft)
REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION	


Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



NOTE: MAP AREA SHOWN ON THIS PANEL IS LOCATED WITHIN TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 67 WEST.

LEGEND

**SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD**

The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equalled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.

**ZONE A** No Base Flood Elevations determined.  
**ZONE AE** Base Flood Elevations determined.  
**ZONE AH** Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.

**ZONE AO** Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.

**ZONE AR** Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decrefited. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

**ZONE A99** Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations determined.

**ZONE V** Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined.

**ZONE VE** Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevations determined.

**FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE**

The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

**OTHER FLOOD AREAS**

**ZONE X** Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.

**OTHER AREAS**


**ZONE X** Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.

**ZONE D** Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.


**COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS**

**OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)**


CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.



\* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)



MAP REPOSITORIES  
Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index  
EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP  
MARCH 17, 1997  
EFFECTIVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL  
DECEMBER 7, 2018 - to update corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and Special Flood Hazard Areas, to update map format, to add roads and road names, and to incorporate previously issued Letters of Map Revision.  
For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community Map History Table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.  
To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.



PANEL 0257G

FIRM  
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP  
EL PASO COUNTY,  
COLORADO  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

PANEL 257 OF 1300  
(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

CONTAINS:	COMMUNITY	NUMBER	PANEL	SUFFIX
	EL PASO COUNTY	080059	0257	G
	MONUMENT, TOWN OF	080064	0257	G
	PALMER LAKE, TOWN OF	080065	0257	G

Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders. The Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community.

MAP NUMBER  
08041C0257G  
MAP REVISED  
DECEMBER 7, 2018  
Federal Emergency Management Agency



# National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



104°54'34"W 39°5'56"N



## Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS		Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A, V, A99
		With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR
		Regulatory Floodway
OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD		0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X
		Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X
		Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X
		Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D
OTHER AREAS		NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X
		Effective LOMRs
		Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D
GENERAL STRUCTURES		Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
		Levee, Dike, or Floodwall
OTHER FEATURES		20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation
		17.5 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation
		Coastal Transect
		Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE)
		Limit of Study
		Jurisdiction Boundary
		Coastal Transect Baseline
MAP PANELS		Digital Data Available
		No Digital Data Available
		Unmapped



The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on **2/2/2021 at 11:48 AM** and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

0 250 500 1,000 1,500 2,000 Feet 1:6,000

104°53'57"W 39°5'28"N


Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020



## CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation)

Project: Town of Mounument Waterline & Storage Tank

Pipe ID: Culvert 1



### Design Information (Input)

Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0250	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	
Pipe Diameter	D =	18.00	inches
<b>Design discharge</b>	<b>Q =</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>cfs</b>

### Full-flow Capacity (Calculated)

Full-flow area	Af =	1.77	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	4.71	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	16.65	cfs

### Calculation of Normal Flow Condition

Half Central Angle ( $0 < \text{Theta} < 3.14$ )	Theta =	1.23	radians
Flow area	An =	0.52	sq ft
Top width	Tn =	1.41	ft
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	1.85	ft
Flow depth	Yn =	0.50	ft
Flow velocity	Vn =	7.75	fps
Discharge	Qn =	4.00	cfs
Percent Full Flow	Flow =	24.0%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr <sub>n</sub> =	2.26	supercritical

### Calculation of Critical Flow Condition

Half Central Angle ( $0 < \text{Theta-c} < 3.14$ )	Theta-c =	1.59	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	0.91	sq ft
Critical top width	Tc =	1.50	ft
Critical flow depth	Yc =	0.77	ft
Critical flow velocity	Vc =	4.41	fps
Critical Depth Froude Number	Fr <sub>c</sub> =	1.00	



# Design Procedure Form: Runoff Reduction

UD-BMP (Version 3.07, March 2018)

Sheet 1 of 1

Designer: J. Adams  
 Company: Forsgren Associates  
 Date: July 8, 2021  
 Project: Town of Mounument Waterline & Storage Tank  
 Location: Monument, CO

## SITE INFORMATION (User Input in Blue Cells)

WQCV Rainfall Depth 0.60 inches  
 Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm,  $d_6$  = 0.43 inches (for Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Figure 3-1 in USDCM Vol. 3)

Area Type	UIA:RPA	SPA	SPA										
Area ID	Tank	Sub-B	Sub-A										
Downstream Design Point ID	1A	1A	1A										
Downstream BMP Type	None	None	None										
DCIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	--	--										
UIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12,000	--	--										
RPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6,250	--	--										
SPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	38,828	75,888										
HSG A (%)	0%	0%	0%										
HSG B (%)	100%	100%	100%										
HSG C/D (%)	0%	0%	0%										
Average Slope of RPA (ft/ft)	0.028	--	--										
UIA:RPA Interface Width (ft)	34.00	--	--										

## CALCULATED RUNOFF RESULTS

Area ID	Tank	Sub-B	Sub-A										
UIA:RPA Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	18,250	--	--										
L / W Ratio	15.79	--	--										
UIA / Area	0.6575	--	--										
Runoff (in)	0.00	0.00	0.00										
Runoff (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0	0	0										
Runoff Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	500	1941	3794										

## CALCULATED WQCV RESULTS

Area ID	Tank	Sub-B	Sub-A										
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	500	0	0										
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	500	0	0										
WQCV Reduction (%)	100%	0%	0%										
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0	0	0										

## CALCULATED DESIGN POINT RESULTS (sums results from all columns with the same Downstream Design Point ID)

Downstream Design Point ID	1A												
DCIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0												
UIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12,000												
RPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6,250												
SPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	114,716												
Total Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	132,966												
Total Impervious Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12,000												
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	500												
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	500												
WQCV Reduction (%)	100%												
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0												

## CALCULATED SITE RESULTS (sums results from all columns in worksheet)

Total Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	132,966
Total Impervious Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12,000
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	500
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	500
WQCV Reduction (%)	100%
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0





United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

**NRCS**

Natural  
Resources  
Conservation  
Service

A product of the National  
Cooperative Soil Survey,  
a joint effort of the United  
States Department of  
Agriculture and other  
Federal agencies, State  
agencies including the  
Agricultural Experiment  
Stations, and local  
participants

# **Custom Soil Resource Report for El Paso County Area, Colorado; and Pike National Forest, Eastern Part, Colorado, Parts of Douglas, El Paso, Jefferson, and Teller Counties**

**Town of Monument Tank Site**





# Preface

---

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (<http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/>) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (<https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs>) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist ([http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053951](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951)).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require



alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



# Contents

---

<b>Preface</b> .....	2
<b>How Soil Surveys Are Made</b> .....	5
<b>Soil Map</b> .....	8
Soil Map.....	9
Legend.....	10
Map Unit Legend.....	12
Map Unit Descriptions.....	12
El Paso County Area, Colorado.....	14
41—Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes.....	14
65—Perrypark gravelly sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes.....	15
Pike National Forest, Eastern Part, Colorado, Parts of Douglas, El Paso, Jefferson, and Teller Counties.....	17
3—Boyett-Frenchcreek complex, 2 to 15 percent slopes.....	17
<b>References</b> .....	20



# How Soil Surveys Are Made

---

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil



scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and



## Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.



# Soil Map

---

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.


# Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map





## MAP LEGEND

### Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)


### Soils


 Soil Map Unit Polygons


 Soil Map Unit Lines


 Soil Map Unit Points

### Special Point Features

 Blowout

 Borrow Pit


 Clay Spot

 Closed Depression

 Gravel Pit

 Gravelly Spot

 Landfill

 Lava Flow

 Marsh or swamp

 Mine or Quarry

 Miscellaneous Water


 Perennial Water

 Rock Outcrop


 Saline Spot

 Sandy Spot

 Severely Eroded Spot


 Sinkhole


 Slide or Slip

 Sodic Spot

 Spoil Area

 Stony Spot


 Very Stony Spot

 Wet Spot

 Other

 Special Line Features

### Water Features

 Streams and Canals


### Transportation

 Rails


 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

### Background

 Aerial Photography

## MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado

Survey Area Data: Version 18, Jun 5, 2020

Soil Survey Area: Pike National Forest, Eastern Part, Colorado, Parts of Douglas, El Paso, Jefferson, and Teller Counties

Survey Area Data: Version 7, Jun 5, 2020

Your area of interest (AOI) includes more than one soil survey area. These survey areas may have been mapped at different scales, with a different land use in mind, at different times, or at different levels of detail. This may result in map unit symbols, soil properties, and interpretations that do not completely agree across soil survey area boundaries.

## MAP LEGEND

## MAP INFORMATION

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Sep 23, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



## Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
41	Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes	3.8	88.2%
65	Perrypark gravelly sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes	0.5	11.0%
<b>Subtotals for Soil Survey Area</b>		<b>4.3</b>	<b>99.3%</b>
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>		<b>4.3</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
3	Boyett-Frenchcreek complex, 2 to 15 percent slopes	0.0	0.7%
<b>Subtotals for Soil Survey Area</b>		<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>		<b>4.3</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not

mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.



## El Paso County Area, Colorado

### 41—Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

*National map unit symbol:* 368h

*Elevation:* 7,000 to 7,700 feet

*Farmland classification:* Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Kettle and similar soils:* 85 percent

*Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

#### Description of Kettle

##### Setting

*Landform:* Hills

*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Side slope

*Down-slope shape:* Linear

*Across-slope shape:* Linear

*Parent material:* Sandy alluvium derived from arkose

##### Typical profile

*E - 0 to 16 inches:* gravelly loamy sand

*Bt - 16 to 40 inches:* gravelly sandy loam

*C - 40 to 60 inches:* extremely gravelly loamy sand

##### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 8 to 40 percent

*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches

*Drainage class:* Somewhat excessively drained

*Runoff class:* Medium

*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)

*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* None

*Frequency of ponding:* None

*Available water capacity:* Low (about 3.4 inches)

##### Interpretive groups

*Land capability classification (irrigated):* None specified

*Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 7e

*Hydrologic Soil Group:* B

*Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Minor Components

##### Pleasant

*Percent of map unit:*

*Landform:* Depressions

*Hydric soil rating:* Yes

##### Other soils

*Percent of map unit:*

*Hydric soil rating:* No

## **65—Perrypark gravelly sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes**

### **Map Unit Setting**

*National map unit symbol:* 369b  
*Elevation:* 7,000 to 7,500 feet  
*Farmland classification:* Not prime farmland

### **Map Unit Composition**

*Perrypark and similar soils:* 85 percent  
*Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

### **Description of Perrypark**

#### **Setting**

*Landform:* Hills, alluvial fans  
*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Side slope  
*Down-slope shape:* Linear  
*Across-slope shape:* Linear  
*Parent material:* Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or arkosic alluvium derived from granite

#### **Typical profile**

*A - 0 to 4 inches:* gravelly sandy loam  
*Bt - 4 to 48 inches:* sandy clay loam  
*C - 48 to 60 inches:* gravelly sandy loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

*Slope:* 3 to 9 percent  
*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches  
*Drainage class:* Well drained  
*Runoff class:* Medium  
*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)  
*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches  
*Frequency of flooding:* None  
*Frequency of ponding:* None  
*Available water capacity:* Moderate (about 8.0 inches)

#### **Interpretive groups**

*Land capability classification (irrigated):* None specified  
*Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 4e  
*Hydrologic Soil Group:* B  
*Ecological site:* R048AY222CO  
*Hydric soil rating:* No

### **Minor Components**

#### **Other soils**

*Percent of map unit:*  
*Hydric soil rating:* No





## **Pike National Forest, Eastern Part, Colorado, Parts of Douglas, El Paso, Jefferson, and Teller Counties**

### **3—Boyett-Frenchcreek complex, 2 to 15 percent slopes**

#### **Map Unit Setting**

*National map unit symbol:* jpic  
*Elevation:* 6,800 to 8,000 feet  
*Mean annual precipitation:* 14 to 18 inches  
*Mean annual air temperature:* 43 to 46 degrees F  
*Frost-free period:* 70 to 120 days  
*Farmland classification:* Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Boyett and similar soils:* 50 percent  
*Frenchcreek and similar soils:* 35 percent  
*Minor components:* 15 percent  
*Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

#### **Description of Boyett**

##### **Setting**

*Landform:* Ridges on stream terraces  
*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread  
*Down-slope shape:* Linear, convex  
*Across-slope shape:* Convex, linear  
*Parent material:* Old alluvium over material weathered from arkosic sandstone and/or granite

##### **Typical profile**

*Oi - 0 to 1 inches:* slightly decomposed plant material  
*A - 1 to 6 inches:* sandy loam  
*E - 6 to 10 inches:* gravelly coarse sandy loam  
*Bt1 - 10 to 17 inches:* gravelly sandy loam  
*Bt2 - 17 to 35 inches:* gravelly sandy loam  
*Btk - 35 to 43 inches:* gravelly sandy loam  
*Ck - 43 to 54 inches:* sandy loam  
*R - 54 to 58 inches:* bedrock

##### **Properties and qualities**

*Slope:* 5 to 15 percent  
*Depth to restrictive feature:* 40 to 60 inches to lithic bedrock  
*Drainage class:* Well drained  
*Runoff class:* Low  
*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Very low to moderately high (0.00 to 0.20 in/hr)  
*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches  
*Frequency of flooding:* None  
*Frequency of ponding:* None  
*Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 2 percent  
*Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)  
*Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum:* 2.0  
*Available water capacity:* Low (about 5.7 inches)



**Interpretive groups**

*Land capability classification (irrigated):* None specified  
*Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 6e  
*Hydrologic Soil Group:* A  
*Other vegetative classification:* Ponderosa pine/true mountain mahogany (PIPO/CEMO2) (C1107)  
*Hydric soil rating:* No

**Description of Frenchcreek**

**Setting**

*Landform:* Swales on stream terraces  
*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread  
*Down-slope shape:* Linear, concave  
*Across-slope shape:* Concave, linear  
*Parent material:* Alluvium derived from granite and/or arkosic sandstone

**Typical profile**

*A - 0 to 4 inches:* gravelly sandy loam  
*Bw - 4 to 14 inches:* gravelly sandy loam  
*C1 - 14 to 30 inches:* very gravelly coarse sandy loam  
*C2 - 30 to 60 inches:* very gravelly loamy sand

**Properties and qualities**

*Slope:* 2 to 15 percent  
*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches  
*Drainage class:* Well drained  
*Runoff class:* Low  
*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* High (2.01 to 6.02 in/hr)  
*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches  
*Frequency of flooding:* None  
*Frequency of ponding:* None  
*Available water capacity:* Low (about 4.4 inches)

**Interpretive groups**

*Land capability classification (irrigated):* None specified  
*Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 4e  
*Hydrologic Soil Group:* A  
*Other vegetative classification:* Ponderosa pine/true mountain mahogany (PIPO/CEMO2) (C1107)  
*Hydric soil rating:* No

**Minor Components**

**Perrypark**

*Percent of map unit:* 15 percent  
*Landform:* Valley sides, alluvial fans  
*Down-slope shape:* Linear, concave, convex  
*Across-slope shape:* Linear, concave, convex  
*Other vegetative classification:* Little bluestem - blue grama (SCSC-BOGR2) (G0502)  
*Hydric soil rating:* No





# References

---

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_054262](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262)
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053577](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577)
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053580](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580)
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053374](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374)
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084>

## Custom Soil Resource Report


United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_054242](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242)

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053624](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624)

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\\_052290.pdf](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf)


# DRainage V\_1 redlines storm.pdf Markup Summary 8-18-2021

## EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese (6)



**Subject:** Contractor  
**Page Label:** 3  
**Author:** EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese  
**Date:** 8/18/2021 10:04:48 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

And discuss where runoff will outfall to once it leaves Lot 6.




**Subject:** Contractor  
**Page Label:** 7  
**Author:** EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese  
**Date:** 8/18/2021 10:11:01 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Notate approximate location of site on this map.




**Subject:** Contractor  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese  
**Date:** 8/18/2021 10:11:40 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

show approx boundary of site as well




**Subject:** Contractor  
**Page Label:** 3  
**Author:** EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese  
**Date:** 8/18/2021 10:12:58 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Please also discuss the use of the drainage swale in the North corner of the site and the SD from the tank roof to its outfall point.



**Subject:** Contractor  
**Page Label:** 3  
**Author:** EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese  
**Date:** 8/18/2021 9:56:58 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Note: Any green infrastructure that would be used to meet the runoff reduction requirements is required to be in a drainage easement. And a maintenance agreement would also be required.





**Subject:** Contractor  
**Page Label:** 5  
**Author:** EPC Stormwater - Glenn Reese  
**Date:** 8/18/2021 9:58:28 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Please create a basic overview map with color shading/hatching that shows areas tributary to each PBMP (pond, runoff reduction, etc) and those areas that are not captured by the ponds, with the applicable exclusion labeled (ex: 20% up to 1ac of development can be excluded per ECM App I.7.1.C.1 and exclusions listed in ECM App I.7.1.B.#).

And specific to Runoff Reduction, this aforementioned map, or a separate one should show the UIA and SPA areas.







**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/16/2021 11:45:38 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Please update map to show subbasins that drain to each design point.



**Subject:** Cloud+  
**Page Label:** 3  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/16/2021 7:37:14 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Please move section to table of contents when updating report layout.



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 3  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/5/2021 2:26:57 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Please expand the four step process to include all of the steps and the respective information. Please reference ECM I.7.2.A for more information.



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 4  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/5/2021 3:02:08 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Please add the following as a signature block:


"El Paso County:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Jennifer Irvine, P.E. Date  
County Engineer / ECM Administrator


Conditions: "




**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 4  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/5/2021 3:02:39 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Please move this page to follow the cover page.



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 2  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/9/2021 1:06:10 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Please update to include all firm map numbers for the entire project.



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/9/2021 1:35:27 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Please move drainage map to end of report contents.

Please include an existing conditions drainage map placed before the proposed conditions drainage map.



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 1  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/9/2021 12:48:40 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


It appears that sections of the project are located in the Bald Mountain drainage basin. Please update to include the information.



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 1  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/9/2021 12:52:12 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Please provide a title page and a table of contents that includes an appendix.

Please also add the PCD File number to the cover page, which is "PPR-21-030".



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 1  
**Author:** lpackman  
**Date:** 8/9/2021 12:57:56 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Please reference EPC DCM Vol. 1 section 4.4 for Final Drainage Report criteria and update report contents to include that information.