FINAL
DRAINAGE PLAN AND REPORT

MURR SUBDIVISION

A PROPOSED 4-LOT SUBDIVISION AT 10090 DAVIS ROAD

Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of Section 33,Township 13 South, Range 64 Wet, of the 6th P.M., County of El Paso, State of Colorado, Except the West 66 feet and Except the East 68.4 feet of the Southerly 373.8 feet, thereof

County Fil No.:

December 29, 2022



Prepared for
Erik and Sharon Murr
14090 Davis Road
Peyton, CO 80831-7502

Oliver E. Watts, Consulting Engineer, Inc.
Colorado Springs, Colorado

OLIVER E. WATTS, PE-LS
OLIVER E. WATTS, CONSULTING ENGINEER, INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING
614 ELKTON DRIVE
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907
(719) 593-0173
fax (719) 265-9660
olliewatts@aol.com
Celebrating over 43 years in business

January 3, 2023

El Paso County Planning and Community Development
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, CO 80910

ATTN: *Joshua Palmer, P.E.*

SUBJECT: Final Drainage Plan and Report
Church at 10695 Lindbergh Road

Transmitted herewith for your review and approval is the drainage plan and report for the proposed Murr Subdivision at 10090 Davis Road in El Paso County. This report will accompany the minor subdivision submittal.

Please contact me if I may provide any further information.

Oliver E. Watts, Consulting Engineer, Inc.

BY: _____
Oliver E. Watts, President

Table of Contents

1. ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Oliver E. Watts, Consulting Engineer, Inc.

_____

Oliver E. Watts Colo. PE-LS No. 9853 date

2. OWNERS / DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:

I the owner / developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Erik and Sharon Murr

By: _____
14090 Davis Road
Peyton, CO 80831-7502

EL PASO COUNTY:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, and the Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

_____ _____

Joshua Palmer, P.E., date
County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Conditions:

4. LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION:

The proposed Murr Subdivision is located at 10090 Davis Road, being the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of Section 33, Township 13 South, Range 64 Wet, of the 6th P.M., County of El Paso, State of Colorado, Except the West 66 feet and Except the East 68.4 feet of the Southerly 373.8 feet, thereof. The site is 37.134 acres and zoned RR-5. The site current has a single family home and out buildings in the southwest corner. We propose to subdivide the property into four, single family, residential lots. There will be three, 5-acre lots along the north boundary and one lot on the south, which contains said existing house and outbuildings. This proposed Lot 4 is 21.32 acres.

The site, other than the home is used for livestock. The majority of the lot is native grasses. The terrain slopes from the northwest to the south, east and southeast. Access for the site is a private drive, off of Davis Road.

The property is in the Livestock Company drainage basin.

5. FLOOD PLAIN STATEMENT:

This subdivision is not within the limits of a flood plain or flood hazard area, according to FEMA map panel number 08041C0780 G, dated December 7, 2018, a copy of which is enclosed for reference.

6. METHOD AND CRITERIA:

The method used for all computations is that specified in the City-County Drainage Criteria Manual, using the rational method for areas of the size of the development. All computations are enclosed for reference and review.

The soils in the subdivision have been mapped by the local USDA/SCS office, and a soils map and interpretation sheet are enclosed for reference. All soils in this area are of the Blakeland complex, being in hydrologic group "A".

7. DESCRIPTION OF RUNOFF:

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

As shown on the existing conditions drainage map, the site is adjacent to and north of Davis Road. Access to the subdivision will be along the westerly boundary, where an existing access exists. The subdivision area consists of drainage basins A, B and C. and an offsite basin (O-1) will drain into the access road, developing 1.0 cfs \ 7.4 cfs (5-year / 100-year runoffs. The access road will divert the runoff onto Davis Road, which will drain easterly. Basin A lies north of Davis Road and will drain easterly with 2.3 cfs / 17.1 cfs into an existing "buffalo wallow" near the southeast corner and thence across Davis Road. Basis B will drain 1.4 cfs / 10.5 cfs southerly into the same outfall point. Basin C consists of the northerly portion of the subdivision, draining easterly with 2.3 cfs / 16.7 cfs onto adjacent range lands.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The area will be graded to conform to the existing topography shown on the drainage plans and existing routing will remain. All runoff will be routed to and contained within the private site,

Revise to include a discussion for each step in the four step process. If that section is not applicable to the site explain that in the narrative.

nating at the historic outfall points. The offsite Basins O-1 will continue to be routed erly along the access roadway and will increase to 1.8 cfs / 8.8 cfs. Basin A will continue to discharge into the Buffalo Wallow and increase to 4.0 cfs / 19.6 cfs runoff. Basin B will continue to share the same outfall point, increasing to 7.8 cfs / 13.2 cfs. The Buffalo wallow will continue to provide detention benefits and should not be re-graded. Basin C will continue to drain easterly to adjacent range land and will increase to 5.3 cfs / 24.6 cfs. Basins A, B, and C runoffs are not concentrated into stream configurations short of the outfall points.

FOUR STEP PROCESS : The four step process is not applicable in this case.

Indicate if any roadside ditch/swale will be needed to convey flows along private driveway along with culverts and provide calculations for size of swale.

8. COST ESTIMATE:

No storm sewers appear to be required at this time. The constructio create areas that could require private culverts.

Provide a recommendation for the size of culverts that will be required for those access points in a narrative. Include all areas that would need culverts.

9. FEES:

This site is within the Livestock Company Drainage Basin. Fees are due. The large lots are estimated to result in approximately 10% impervious density.

Basin Fee: $18,273 per impervious acre

Bridge: $217

Total Fees Estimated: $ 18,490.00 at 37.134 acres x 10% = $68,607.66

Revise to include a 25% drainage basin fee reduction for large lots.

10. SUMMARY

The proposed Murr Subdivision is a 4-lot, minor subdivision in the RR-5 zone. There will be no adverse effects on downstream or surrounding properties.

The drainage analysis has been prepared in accordance with the current El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manuel. Supporting information and calculations are included in this report.

This application was submitted in 2023 so 2023 drainage fees will apply. Update drainage fee for the livestock basin per fee schedule of $21,351 for basin and $254 for bridge fees.

Update narrative to discuss whether detention is required. From the flows provided, it appears runoff increases substantially after development.

Update summary to discuss the outfall. Per ECM 3.2.4 a suitable outfall is required. Determine if outfall is stable and can withstand increase in flows.



Murr Subdivision

VICINITY MAP
4-LOT MINOR SUBDIVISION
14090 DAVIS ROAD
EL PASO COUNTY, CO.

DRAWN:	DATE: 10/27/22	CHECKED:	DATE:

MAJOR BASIN	SUB BASIN	AREA		BASIN		Tc MIN	I in./hr.		SOIL GRP	DEV. TYPE	C		FLOW 5-yr	FLOW 100-yr	RETURN PERIOD -years-	
		PLANIM READ	ACRES	LENGTH -FT.-	HEIGHT -FT.-		5	100			5	100	qp -CFS-	qp -CFS-		
Livestock	O-1		6.44	300	5	27			A	PASTURE					5	100
Company		K=10	F=1.13	+1090		+16										
						43	2.0	3.3					1.0	7.4	5	100
HISTORIC																
CONDITIONS	A		12.55	300	14	19										
		K=7	V=1.38	+1080	42	+13										
						32	2.3	3.9					2.3	17.1	5	100
	B		7.17	300	22	17										
		K=7	V=1.17	+710	20	+10										
						27	2.5	4.2					1.4	10.5	5	100
	A+B		19.72			32	2.3	3.9					3.6	26.9	5	100
	C		17.09	300	18	46										
		K=7	V=1.59	+770	40	+8										
						54	17	2.8					2.3	16.7	5	100

HYDROLOGICAL COMPUTATION – BASIC DATA
PROJ: MURR SUBDIVISION BY: O.E. WATTS
RATIONAL METHOD DATE: 12/30/22

OLIVER E. WATTS, CONSULTING ENGINEER, INC.
614 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80907

MAJOR BASIN	SUB BASIN	AREA		BASIN		Tc MIN	I in./hr. 5	100	SOIL GRP	DEV. TYPE	C 5	100	FLOW 5-yr qp -CFS-	FLOW 100-yr qp -CFS-	RETURN PERIOD -years-	
		PLANIM READ	ACRES	LENGTH -FT.-	HEIGHT -FT.-											
Livestock	O-1	2.43	6.44	300	5	25			A	5 ACRE	0.14	0.40			5	100
Company		K=10	V1.13	+1090	+6	+16										
						41	2.0	3.4					1.8	8.8	5	100
DEVELOPED																
CONDITIONS	A	4.73	12.55	300	14	18			A	5 ACRE	0.14	0.40				
		K=7	V=1.38	+1080	42	+13										
						31	2.3	3.9					4.0	19.6	5	100
	B	2.70	7.17	300	22	16			A	5 ACRE	0.14	040				
		K=7	V=1.17	+710	20	+10										
						26	2.8	4.6					2.8	13.2	5	100
	A+B		19.72			31	2.3	3.9	A	5 ACRE	0.14	0.40	6.3	30.8	5	100
	C		17.09	300	18	28			A	5 ACRE	0.14	0.40				
		K=7	V=1.59	+770	40	+8										
						536	2.2	2.8	A	5 ACRE	0.14	0.40	5.3	24.6	5	100

HYDROLOGICAL COMPUTATION – BASIC DATA
PROJ: MURR SUBDIVISION BY: O.E. WATTS
RATIONAL METHOD DATE: 12/30/22

OLIVER E. WATTS, CONSULTING ENGINEER, INC.
614 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80907

PAGE 2 OF **2**

Time of concentration is significantly more than the time of concentration for historic conditions, revise if meant to be a different number or explain in a narrative why number is higher.

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette

FEMA

104°34'20"W 38°52'21"N

104°33'42"W 38°51'53"N

0 250 500 1,000 1,500 2,000 Feet

1:6,000

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS
- Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) *Zone A, V, A99*
- With BFE or Depth *Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR*
- Regulatory Floodway

OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD
- 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile *Zone X*
- Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard *Zone X*
- Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. *Zone X*
- Area with Flood Risk due to Levee *Zone D*

OTHER AREAS
- NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard *Zone X*
- Effective LOMRs
- Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard *Zone D*

GENERAL STRUCTURES
- Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
- Levee, Dike, or Floodwall

OTHER FEATURES
- 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance
- 17.5 Water Surface Elevation
- Coastal Transect
- 513 Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE)
- Limit of Study
- Jurisdiction Boundary
- Coastal Transect Baseline
- Profile Baseline
- Hydrographic Feature

MAP PANELS
- Digital Data Available
- No Digital Data Available
- Unmapped

N

The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represen an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 12/20/2022 at 10:36 AM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

)F AGRICULTURE
TION SERVICE

N
1" = 2000'



TABLE 16.--SOIL AND WATER FEATURES

[Absence of an entry indicates the feature is not a concern. See "flooding" in Glossary for definition of terms as "rare," "brief," and "very brief." The symbol > means greater than]

Soil name and map symbol	Hydrologic group	Flooding			Bedrock		Potential frost action
		Frequency	Duration	Months	Depth	Hardness	
					In		
Alamosa: 1	C	Frequent	Brief	May-Jun	>60	---	High.
Ascalon: 2, 3	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Badland: 4	D	---	---	---	---	---	---
Bijou: 5, 6, 7	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Blakeland: 8	A	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
[1]9: Blakeland part	A	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls part	D	Common	Very brief	Mar-Aug	>60	---	High.
Blendon: 10	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Bresser: 11, 12, 13	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Brussett: 14, 15	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Chaseville: 16, 17	A	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
[1]18: Chaseville part	A	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Midway part	D	None	---	---	10-20	Rippable	Moderate.
Columbine: 19	A	None to rare	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Connerton: [1]20: Connerton part	B	None	---	---	>60	---	High.
Rock outcrop part	D	---	---	---	---	---	---
Cruckton: 21	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Cushman: 22, 23	C	None	---	---	20-40	Rippable	Moderate.
[1]24: Cushman part	C	None	---	---	20-40	Rippable	Moderate.
Kutch part	C	None	---	---	20-40	Rippable	Moderate.
Elbeth: 25, 26	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
[1]27: Elbeth part	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.

See footnote at end of table.

TABLE 16.--SOIL AND WATER FEATURES--Continued

Soil name and map symbol	Hydrologic group	Flooding			Bedrock		Potential frost action
		Frequency	Duration	Months	Depth	Hardness	
					In		
Tomah:							
[1]92, [1]93:							
Tomah part	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Crowfoot part	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Travessilla:							
[1]94:							
Travessilla part	D	None	---	---	6-20	Hard	Low.
Rock outcrop part	D	---	---	---	---	---	---
Truckton:							
95, 96, 97	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
[1]98:							
Truckton part	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Blakeland part	A	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
[1]99, [1]100:							
Truckton part	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Bresser part	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Ustic Torrifluvents:							
101	B	Occasional	Very brief	Mar-Aug	>60	---	Moderate.
Valent:							
102, 103	A	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Vona:							
104, 105	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Moderate.
Wigton:							
106	A	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Wiley:							
107, 108	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.
Yoder:							
109, 110	B	None	---	---	>60	---	Low.

[1]This map unit is made up of two or more dominant kinds of soil. See map unit description for the composition and behavior characteristics of the map unit.

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method
(Source: UDFCD 2001)

Land Use or Surface Characteristics	Percent Impervious	Runoff Coefficients											
		2-year		5-year		10-year		25-year		50-year		100-year	
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas													
Historic Flow Analysis-- Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets													
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_t) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For non-urban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_t) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

$$t_c = t_i + t_t \quad \text{(Eq. 6-7)}$$

Where:

t_c = time of concentration (min)

t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

t_t = travel time in the ditch, channel, gutter, storm sewer, etc. (min)

3.2.1 Overland (Initial) Flow Time

The overland flow time, t_i, may be calculated using Equation 6-8.

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}} \quad \text{(Eq. 6-8)}$$

Where:

t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)
C_5 = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (see Table 6-6)
L = length of overland flow (300 ft maximum for non-urban land uses, 100 ft maximum for urban land uses)
S = average basin slope (ft/ft)

Note that in some urban watersheds, the overland flow time may be very small because flows quickly concentrate and channelize.

3.2.2 Travel Time

For catchments with overland and channelized flow, the time of concentration needs to be considered in combination with the travel time, t_t, which is calculated using the hydraulic properties of the swale, ditch, or channel. For preliminary work, the overland travel time, t_t, can be estimated with the help of Figure 6-25 or Equation 6-9 (Guo 1999).

$$V = C_v S_w^{0.5} \quad \text{(Eq. 6-9)}$$

Where:

V = velocity (ft/s)

C_v = conveyance coefficient (from Table 6-7)

S_w = watercourse slope (ft/ft)

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, C_v

Type of Land Surface	C_v
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Riprap (not buried)*	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

* For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

The travel time is calculated by dividing the flow distance (in feet) by the velocity calculated using Equation 6-9 and converting units to minutes.

The time of concentration (t_c) is then the sum of the overland flow time (t_i) and the travel time (t_t) per Equation 6-7.

3.2.3 First Design Point Time of Concentration in Urban Catchments

Using this procedure, the time of concentration at the first design point (typically the first inlet in the system) in an urbanized catchment should not exceed the time of concentration calculated using Equation 6-10. The first design point is defined as the point where runoff first enters the storm sewer system.

$$t_c = \frac{L}{180} + 10 \qquad \text{(Eq. 6-10)}$$

Where:

t_c = maximum time of concentration at the first design point in an urban watershed (min)

L = waterway length (ft)

Equation 6-10 was developed using the rainfall-runoff data collected in the Denver region and, in essence, represents regional "calibration" of the Rational Method. Normally, Equation 6-10 will result in a lesser time of concentration at the first design point and will govern in an urbanized watershed. For subsequent design points, the time of concentration is calculated by accumulating the travel times in downstream drainageway reaches.

3.2.4 Minimum Time of Concentration

If the calculations result in a t_c of less than 10 minutes for undeveloped conditions, it is recommended that a minimum value of 10 minutes be used. The minimum t_c for urbanized areas is 5 minutes.

3.2.5 Post-Development Time of Concentration

As Equation 6-8 indicates, the time of concentration is a function of the 5-year runoff coefficient for a drainage basin. Typically, higher levels of imperviousness (higher 5-year runoff coefficients) correspond to shorter times of concentration, and lower levels of imperviousness correspond to longer times of

Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency



IDF Equations

$I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$

$I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$

$I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$

$I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$

$I_{5} = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$

$I_{2} = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$

Note: Values calculated by equations may not precisely duplicate values read from figure.

Update drainage maps to show entire subbasins that drain into the site. Show time of concentrations as well.

Update map to show path of time of concentrations.

Per ECM 3.3.4.A any drainageways that convey more than 15 cfs need drainage easements assigned by the drainage engineer. Revise to show dimensions of drainage easement per cfs shown.

DAVIS RD

BLANEY RD

Colorado Springs Utilities
It's how we're all connected

Scale 1" = ~~200'~~ 1"=340'*

N

0 50 100 200 300 400

Feet

* Scale is accurate if PDF is printed on standard 22 x 34 D Size paper with page size property set to 'Actual Size'. Settings other than these may result in an inaccurate verbal map scale but scale bar will be correct.

Map Created: 12/28/2022

Reference Map

Y-28	Z-28	AE-28
Y-29	Z-29	AE-29
Y-30	Z-30	AE-30
Y-3*	Z-31	AE-31

Bridge, Alley, Paved Parking, Unpaved Road, Paved Road, Fence, Hedge, Wall, Railroad Track
Building, Parcel, Lake, Stream, Intermediate Contour, Intermediate Depression Contour, Intermediate Indefinite Contour, Intermediate Dep. Indef. Contour, Index Contour, Index Depression Contour, Index Indefinite Contour, Index Dep. Indef. Contour

Horizontal Datum: State Plane Central CO Zone - NAD83
Vertical Datum: State Plane NGVD29 - US Survey Feet (Utility Data)
Vertical Datum: State Plane NGVD88 - US Survey Feet (Contour & Control Data)

Lower Left: 3264074,1377203
Upper Right: 3268074,1380203

Contours & Parcels Public Access Map

811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.

21-5660

OLIVER E. WATTS
CONSULTING ENGINEER, INC.
COLORADO SPRINGS

DEVELOPED CONDITIONS
DRAINAGE BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN	AREA -AC.-	RUNOFF IN CFS 5-YEAR	100-YEAR
OS-1	6.44	1.8	8.8
A	12.55	4.0	19.6
B	7.17	2.8	13.2
A+B	19.72	6.3	30.8
C	17.09	5.3	24.6

Murr Subdivision
Drainage Plan
Proposed Developed Condition

Update drainage maps to show entire subbasins that drain into the site. Show time of concentrations as well.

Update map to show path of time of concentrations.

Move the historical map to before the developed conditions map.



Murr Subdivision
Drainage Plan
Existing Conditions

HISTORIC CONDITIONS
DRAINAGE BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN	AREA -AC.-	RUNOFF IN CFS 5-YEAR	100-YEAR
OS-1	6.44	1.0	7.4
A	12.55	2.3	17.1
B	7.17	1.4	10.5
A+B	19.72	3.6	26.9
C	17.09	2.3	16.7

OLIVER E. WATTS
CONSULTING ENGINEER, INC.
COLORADO SPRINGS

Colorado Springs Utilities
It's how we're all connected

Scale 1" = 340'
~~1" = 200'~~ *

N

0 50 100 200 300 400
Feet

* Scale is accurate if PDF is printed on standard 22 x 34 D Size paper with page size property set to 'Actual Size'. Settings other than these may result in an inaccurate verbal map scale but scale bar will be correct.

Map Created: 12/28/2022

Reference Map

Y-28	Z-28	AE-28
Y-29	Z-29	AE-29
Y-30	Z-30	AE-30
Y-3*	Z-31	AE-31

Bridge
Alley
Paved Parking
Unpaved Road
Paved Road
Fence
Hedge
Wall
Railroad Track
Building
Parcel
Lake
Stream
Intermediate Contour
Intermediate Depression Contour
Intermediate Indefinite Contour
Intermediate Dep. Indef. Contour
Index Contour
Index Depression Contour
Index Indefinite Contour
Index Dep. Indef. Contour

Horizontal Datum: State Plane Central CO Zone - NAD83
Vertical Datum: State Plane NGVD29 - US Survey Feet (Utility Data)
Vertical Datum: State Plane NGVD88 - US Survey Feet (Contour & Control Data)

Lower Left: 3264074,1377203
Upper Right: 3268074,1380203

Contours & Parcels Public Access Map

811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.

21.5660