

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3**

Prepared For:

**SR Land, LLC
20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 491-3024**

**August 2022
Project No. 25188.12**

Prepared By:

**JR Engineering, LLC
5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235
Colorado Springs, CO 80919
719-593-2593**

PCD Filing No.:

SF-22-XX

SF2229

JR Response: Addressed

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by El Paso County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Mike Bramlett, Colorado P.E. 38861
For and On Behalf of JR Engineering, LLC

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name: SR Land, LLC

By: _____

Title: _____

Address: 20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

El Paso County:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2 and Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

Joshua Palmer, P.E.
County Engineer/ ECM Administrator

Date

Conditions:

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	3
Purpose	1
General Site Description.....	1
General Location	1
Description of Property	1
Floodplain statement	2
Existing Drainage Conditions.....	2
Major Basin Descriptions	2
Existing Sub-basin Drainage	3
Proposed Drainage Conditions.....	4
Drainage Design Criteria.....	9
Development Criteria Reference	9
Hydrologic Criteria	9
Hydraulic Criteria	10
Drainage Facility Design.....	11
Four Step Process to Minimize Adverse Impacts of Urbanization	11
Water Quality	13
Erosion Control Plan	14
Operation & Maintenance	14
Drainage and Bridge Fees	14
Construction Cost Opinion	14
Summary	16
References.....	17

APPENDIX

- Appendix A – Vicinity Map, Soil Descriptions, FEMA Floodplain Map
- Appendix B – Hydrologic Calculations
- Appendix C – Hydraulic Calculations
- Appendix D – Reference-Material
- Appendix E – Drainage Maps



PURPOSE

This document is the Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3. The purpose of this report is to identify on-site and off-site drainage patterns, storm sewer, culvert and inlet locations, areas tributary to the site, and to safely route developed storm water to adequate outfall facilities.

This report also finalizes and provides design details for the concepts previously studied within the “Preliminary Drainage Report and MDDP Addendum for Homestead North At Sterling Ranch Preliminary Plan” by JR Engineering, Dated January 2022.

GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

GENERAL LOCATION

Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3 (hereby referred to as the “site”) is a proposed Single-Family SF residential, urban (RS-6000) development with a total area of approximately 40.83 acres.

The site is located in a portion of the SE ¼ of Section 28, Township 12 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian in the County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The site is located immediately east of Vollmer Road and South of Poco Road. Beyond Poco Road to the north lies “the Retreat at Timberridge Filing No. 1” and beyond Vollmer Road to the west lies a parcel owned by John R. James (Rec No. 210130714). The site is bounded by Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2 to the south, and Sand Creek borders the site to east. Beyond the Creek to the east is another portion of “The Retreat At Timberridge Filing No. 1”. Refer to the vicinity map in Appendix A for additional information.

John R. Jaynes (Rec No 211001958)

JR Response: Addressed

The site is completely within the “Sand Creek Major Drainage Basin”. There are no known irrigation facilities located within the project site.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The site totals 40.83 acres in area and will be platted to contain 77 single-family residential lots, public, urban residential streets with 50’ Right-of-Way’s, and Tracts. The site ground cover is comprised of variable sloping grasslands that generally slope(s) downward to the south and east at 1 to 30+% towards Sand Creek. On the eastern side of the site, between the proposed lots, and the Creek, is an existing 15’ wide concrete maintenance and access trail centered within an existing 25’ public easement. The western edge of this easement is the anticipated limits of disturbance for the entire eastern boundary of this project/site. The total area anticipated to be disturbed with this project is 36.49 acres.



Soil characteristics are comprised of Type B hydrologic Soil groups. Refer to the soil survey map in Appendix A for additional information.

The Sand Creek borders the eastern portion of the site. Currently, JR Engineering is performing studies and plans to address Sand Creek stabilization directly adjacent to the site. This project corresponds to PCD Project Number CDR-20-004.

JR Response: Addressed

SP-22-007

Pre-Development grading and early utility plans have been submitted to El Paso County for this project site (El Paso County Proj. #'s ~~XXXX~~ and ~~XXXX~~ respectively). The existing conditions for this site reflect the grading proposed on the “Pre-Development Grading Plans” and the Water and Sanitary infrastructure proposed within those plans sets can be considered existing for the purposes of this report. No other utilities are known to be located within the project site.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

Based on the FEMA Firm Maps Number 08041C0535G revised December 7, 2018, the vast majority of the development is located within Zone X, or areas area outside the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (or 500-year) flood. The eastern property boundary will be platted to the center of Sand creek, placing a portion of the site within Zone AE. The area of disturbance for site grading is located outside of the delineated floodway within Zone X. The FEMA map containing the site has been presented in Appendix A. The plat for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3 is anticipated to be recorded prior to a LOMR for channel improvement. It is anticipated that the floodplain improvements will result in a no-rise condition and will not adversely impact the Homestead Filing No. 3 development and surrounding developments. See Appendix A for a copy of the FEMA Firm Map.

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

MAJOR BASIN DESCRIPTIONS

The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin based on the “Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study” (DBPS) completed by Kiowa Engineering Corporation in January 1993, revised March 1996. The Sand Creek Drainage Basin covers approximately 54 square miles and is divided into major sub-basins. The site is within the respective sub-basin is shown in Appendix D.

The site generally drains from north to south consisting of rolling hills. Currently, the site is used as pasture land for cattle. Sand Creek is located adjacent to the east portion of the site running north to south. This reach of drainage conveyance is not currently improved. Currently, JR engineering is performing studies and plans to address Sand Creek stabilization adjacent to the site. It is anticipated that the channel improvements will be in place prior to the development of the site. The design



presented herein is coordinated with the proposed channel improvements presented in the "Sand Creek Restoration Public Improvement Plans" by JR Engineering. This project corresponds to PCD Project Number CDR-20-004.

The proposed drainage on the site closely follows the approved "Master Development Drainage Plan for Sterling Ranch", (MMDP) prepared by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., dated October 24, 2018 and the "Preliminary Drainage Report And MDDP Addendum For Homestead North At Sterling Ranch Preliminary Plan", prepared by JR Engineering, dated January 2022. The Homestead North Filing No. 3 detention facility closely follows the drainage patterns of pond A and B in the preliminary drainage report as well as the Final Drainage Report for Homestead At Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2, prepared by JR Engineering, dated July 2022. The Homestead North preliminary drainage report map and WQ map is shown within Appendix D of this report.

EXISTING SUB-BASIN DRAINAGE

The existing site drainage conditions were analyzed as 7 basins totaling 37.26 acres. These existing basins outfall to Sand Creek at the two locations shown and to Homestead North Filing No. 2 to the south at the four **JR Response: Revised, listed total platted area and specified excluded area for Sand Creek in next paragraph** 2 have been accounted and accommodated for in the design of the Filing No. 2 infrastructure, as presented in the Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2.

Include what is happening to the remaining 3.57 acres

Is this the 3.57 acres not accounted for above?

The Sand Creek borders and is partially within the eastern limits of the site. The portion of the Sand Creek running through and along the project site was not basinized for the purposes of this report, as the basis of design for this site was to not disturb or modify the Creek in any way, and to limit developed flows leaving the project site to pre-development/historic rates. The Creek is being studied and improved with the "Sand Creek Restoration Public Improvement Plans" by JR Engineering. This project corresponds to PCD Project Number CDR-20-004.

Basin EX1 (Q5 = 0.8 cfs, Q100 = 5.7 Cfs) is 3.82 acres, and consists of undeveloped land, covered with sparse native vegetation. Runoff generated generally sheet flows south per existing drainage patterns until it reaches the Site's southern border at DP E1 (the northern curb of Perry Owens Drive, El Paso County Type C). Once flows reach the curb and gutter, they continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2, by JR Engineering.

Basin EX2 (Q5 = 1.6 cfs, Q100 = 11.7 cfs) is 9.74 acres, and consists of undeveloped land covered with sparse native vegetation. Runoff generated in this basin generally flows southeast towards the Site's southern border. Flows are intercepted by the existing grass-lined swale and carried east to DP E2, flows continue through Basin EX3 to DP 3.1.

Basin EX3 (Q5 = 3.4 cfs, Q100 = 24.7 cfs) is 21.50 acres, and consists of undeveloped land covered with sparse native vegetation. Runoff generated in this basin generally flows southeast towards the



Site's southern border. Flows are intercepted by the existing grass-lined swale and carried east to Sand Creek at DP E3.1. Combined flows in the grass swale from DP2 and Basin EX3 that reach DP3.1 are $Q_5 = 4.5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 32.7$ cfs.

Basin EX4 ($Q_5 = 1.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 4.7$ cfs) is 1.47 acres in area and consists mainly of undeveloped land bordering the western banks of Sand Creek and a Regional Trail that serves as a pedestrian and bike corridor as well as maintenance access road that allows for vehicular access to Sand Creek and other drainage infrastructure. In general this basin slopes to the east, directly into Sand Creek. Slopes range from flat to 33%. Runoff generated flows east, over the existing 15' concrete regional trail and into Sand Creek at DP E4.

Basin EX1.2 ($Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.4$ cfs) is 0.17 acres, and consists of undeveloped land with sparse, native vegetation. Runoff generated flows southeast to the Site's southern border with Filing No. 2 at DP 1.2. Flows continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2, by JR Engineering. The flows identified in this report that are tributary to the Filing No. 2 development have remained consistent with what was planned for.

Basin EXB6 ($Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.3$ cfs) is 0.13 acres, and consists of undeveloped land with sparse, native vegetation. Runoff generated flows southeast to the Site's southern border with Filing No. 2 at DP B6. Flows continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2, by JR Engineering. The flows identified in this report that are tributary to the Filing No. 2 development have remained consistent with what was planned for.

Basin EXB4 ($Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 1.0$ cfs) is 0.43 acres, and consists of undeveloped land with sparse, native vegetation. Runoff generated flows southeast to the Site's southern border with Filing No. 2 at DP B4. Flows continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2, by JR Engineering. The flows identified in this report that are tributary to the Filing No. 2 development have remained consistent with what was planned for.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The proposed site consists of 77 single family detached residential lots ranging in size from ¼ acre to over ½ acre, public urban local streets, and intermixed open space. The site has been designed to collect, detain, and treat all developed flows prior to their discharge from the project site or their basins respective ultimate outfall. Developed basins that leave the site to the south, are all treated in either POND B or POND C. Basins have been named to be consistent with the Preliminary Drainage Report And MDDP Addendum For Homestead North At Sterling Ranch Preliminary Plan and



subsequent Filing No. 1 and Filing No.2 FDR's. Basins designated with the prefix B, go to Pond B within the Filing 2 development and are consistent with what was planned for in the Filing No. 2 FDR. Basins designated with the Prefix D, go to Pond C, part of the Filing No. 1 development and are consistent with what was planned for in the Final Drainage Report for the Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1 by JR Engineering. All developed basins identified with the prefix A, are treated and detained on-site in the proposed Full-Spectrum detention and Water Quality Pond A. Basins OS-1 and OS-2 are undeveloped basins that flow directly to the Sand Creek. These basins are infeasible to capture onsite due to the existing topography and proximity to the Creek.

In general this site utilizes a system of grass swales and lot grading to direct developed flows to the proposed streets and curb and gutter system. The proposed streets and curb and gutter, direct water to inlets and the proposed storm sewer system that carries flows to the proposed on-site, full-spectrum detention and water quality Pond A.

PROPOSED SUB-BASIN DRAINAGE

All basins include a numbered design point indicating where all flows will outfall/leave that basin. Basins that include a design point suffix of (i) or (B) in the rational calculations indicate that a portion of the flows are either captured in an inlet or continue as "by-pass" flow per overland flow patterns. Captured flows get the suffix (i) and by-pass flows get the suffix B. These are not shown on the map for clarity purposes.

JR Response: Addressed

Basin A1 is 3.82 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential lots ranging in size from just below a 1/4th of an acre to greater than 1/2 acre, the west half of a portion of proposed Aspen Valley Road, and Jess Evans Drive west of Aspen Valley Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 5.2 cfs, Q100 = 13.5 cfs) sheet flows towards the curb and gutter and is then directed to the proposed on-grade 15' Type R inlet at Design Point 1. Captured flows at DP 1i (Q5 = 5.2 cfs, Q100 = 10.9 cfs) are piped to DP2.1. By-pass flows at DP 1B(Q100 = 2.6 cfs) continue in the curb and gutter, south, to DP3 per the drainage patterns identified in Basin A3.

Basin A2 is 3.02 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential lots ranging in size from 1/4 of an acre to greater than 1/2 acre, the east half of a portion of proposed Aspen Valley Road, and Jess Evans Drive east of Aspen Valley Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 4.5 cfs, Q100 = 10.7 cfs) sheet flows towards the curb and gutter and is then directed to the proposed on-grade 15' Type R inlet at Design Point 2. Captured flows at DP 2i (Q5 = 4.5 cfs, Q100 = 9.6 cfs) are piped to DP2.1. By-pass flows at DP 2B(Q100 = 1.1 cfs) continue in the curb and gutter, south, to DP4 per the drainage patterns identified in Basin A4.

1.2 cfs per inlet spreadsheet

JR Response: Revised to updated value from calcs.

Total flow in the pipe at DP 2.1 (24" RCP) is Q5 = 9.3 cfs, and Q100 = 19.7 cfs. Flows at DP2.1 are piped to DP4.1.



Basin A3 is 4.54 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential lots ranging in size from 1/4 of an acre to greater than 1/2 acre, the west half of a portion of proposed Aspen Valley Road, and David Rudabaugh Drive west of Aspen Valley Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 6.1 cfs, Q100 = 15.0 cfs) sheet flows towards the curb and gutter and is then directed to the proposed on-grade 15' Type R inlet at Design Point 3 (Total Flow = Q5 = 6.1 cfs, Q100 = 17.4). Captured flows at DP 3i (Q5 = 6.1 cfs, Q100 = 12.5 cfs) are piped to DP3.1. By-pass flows at DP 1B(Q100 = 4.9 cfs) continue in the curb and gutter, south, to DP5 per the drainage patterns identified in Basin A5.

Basin A4 is 3.82 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential averaging around a quarter of an acre in size, the east half of a portion of proposed Aspen Valley Road, and David Rudabaugh Drive east of Aspen Valley Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 = 14.3 cfs) sheet flows towards the curb and gutter and is then directed to the proposed on-grade 15' Type R inlet at Design Point 4 (Total Flow = Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 = 14.3 cfs). Captured flows at DP 4i (Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 = 11.3 cfs) are piped to DP4.1. By-pass flows at DP 4B(Q100 = 3.0 cfs) continue in the curb and gutter, south, to DP6 per the drainage patterns identified in Basin A6.

JR Response: Addressed

Update this sentence

Total flow in the pipe at DP 4.1 (36" RCP) is Q5 = 23.4 cfs, and Q100 = 42.1 cfs. Flows at DP2.1 are piped to DP4.1.

20.5 per spreadsheet in appendix B

Basin A5 is 7.53 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential lots ranging in size from just under a 1/4 of an acre to greater than 1/2 acre, the west half of a portion of proposed Aspen Valley Road, and William Downing Drive west of Aspen Valley Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 7.5 cfs, Q100 = 21.6 cfs) sheet flows towards the curb and gutter and is then directed to the proposed 15' Type R sump inlet at Design Point 5 (Total Flow = Q5 = 7.5 cfs, Q100 = 25.7 cfs). This inlet was sized to capture all flows up to and including the 100-yr storm event. Captured flows at DP 5i (Q5 = 7.5 cfs, Q100 = 25.9 cfs) are piped to DP5.1. If the inlet were to become clogged, flows would overtop the crown of Aspen Valley and either enter the proposed 15' Type R sump inlet at DP-6, or would overtop the curb and gutter and flow directly into the proposed full spectrum EDB at design point 7.1.

JR Response: Revised with updated value from calcs.

Total flow in the pipe at DP 5.1 (30" RCP) is Q5 = 27.7 cfs, and Q100 = 66.0 cfs. Flows at DP5.1 are piped to DP6.1.

Basin A6 is 4.29 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential lots averaging a quarter of an acre in size, the east half of a portion of proposed Aspen Valley Road, and William Downing Drive east of Aspen Valley Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 6.5 cfs, Q100 = 15.5 cfs) sheet flows towards the curb and gutter and is then directed to the proposed 15' Type R sump inlet at Design Point 6 (Total Flow = Q5 = 6.5 cfs, Q100 = 18.7 cfs). This inlet was sized to capture all flows up to and including the 100-yr storm event. Captured flows at DP 6i (Q5 = 6.5 cfs, Q100 = 18.7 cfs) are piped to DP7.1. If the inlet were to become clogged, flows would overtop the crown of Aspen Valley



and either enter the proposed 15' Type R sump inlet at DP-5, or would overtop the curb and gutter and flow directly into the proposed full spectrum EDB at design point 7.1.

Total flow in the pipe at DP 6.1 (36" RCP) is $Q_5 = 33.7$ cfs, and $Q_{100} = 83.0$ cfs. Flows at DP6.1 are piped to DP7.1.

JR Response: Addressed

Basin A7 is 2.93 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential lots ranging in size from a quarter of an acre to just under $1/3^{rd}$ of an acre and a proposed full-spectrum extended detention basin (EDB) named Pond A. See the water quality section of this report for design information related to Pond A. Runoff generated ($Q_5 = 1.9$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 8.3$ cfs) sheet flows towards and into the proposed EDB, Pond A at Design Point 7.1 (Total Flow = $Q_5 = 35.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 92.2$ cfs). Combined flows include the Basin A7's runoff and the storm sewer outfall into the Pond from Design Point 6.1.

JR Response: Addressed

Basin B1.1 is 2.08 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential lots averaging about a quarter of an acre in size, the west half of Billy Clairborne Drive, and a portion of the proposed trail and landscaping that borders the eastern side of Vollmer Road. Runoff generated in this basin ($Q_5 = 2.7$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 7.4$ cfs) sheet flows southeast towards the western curblines of **Billy** Clairborne Drive, where it enters the roadway and is directed in the curb line south, to the Filing 2/3 boundary at Design Point 1F (same flows). Flows continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Filing No. 2 FDR. This basin was accounted for in the Filing 2 FDR, and the basin characteristics and anticipated flows have remained consistent with that report. The filing 2 storm sewer and Pond B were sized to accept, detain, and treat these flows in accordance with all local and state criteria.

From map, basin does not appear to contain any of Tract B

JR Response: Addressed

Basin B1.2 is 1.36 acres and consists of proposed single-family residential lots ranging in size from a quarter of an acre to $1/3$ of an acre, the east half of Billy Clairborne Drive, and a portion of Tract B open space. Runoff generated in this basin ($Q_5 = 2.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 5.1$ cfs) sheet flows southwest towards the eastern curblines of **Billy** Clairborne Drive, where it enters the curb line south, to the Filing 2/3 boundary at Design Point 2F (same flows). Flows continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Filing No. 2 FDR. This basin was accounted for in the Filing 2 FDR, and the basin characteristics and anticipated flows have remained consistent with that report. The filing 2 storm sewer and Pond B were sized to accept, detain, and treat these flows in accordance with all local and state criteria.

JR Response: Addressed

Basin B1.3 is 0.33 acres and consists of a small portion of proposed single-family residential lots that average a $1/4$ of an acre in size, a small portion of the open space Tract B, and approximately 130' of the southernmost portion of Aspen Valley Road within Filing 3. Runoff generated ($Q_5 = 0.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 1.4$ cfs), sheet flows south and towards Aspen Valley Road until it reaches Design Point 1.3 (same flows) at the Filing 2/3 boundary. Flows continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Filing No. 2 FDR. This basin was accounted for in the Filing 2 FDR, and the basin characteristics and anticipated flows have remained consistent with that report. The filing 2 storm sewer and Pond B were sized to accept, detain, and treat these flows in accordance with all local and state criteria.

Note that flows are less than those shown in Filing 2 (1.0 & 2.2 cfs)

JR Response: Addressed



Basin B4 is 1.21 acres and consists of a portion of Tract C which consists of open space and the proposed EDB, Pond A. Runoff generated ($Q5 = 0.4$ cfs, $Q100 = 3.0$ cfs) in this basin flows overland southeast towards the Filing 2/3 boundary at Design point B4 (same flows). Flows continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Filing No. 2 FDR. This basin was accounted for in the Filing 2 FDR, and the basin characteristics and anticipated flows have remained consistent with that report. The filing 2 storm sewer and Pond B were sized to accept, detain, and treat these flows in accordance with all local and state criteria.

JR Response: Revised to correlate areas to basins in Filing 2

No corresponding design point in F2 report

Basin OS1 is 0.20 acres and consists of undeveloped open space or landscaped areas part of Tract C, bordering the southern side of the Poco Road Right-of-Way. There is no planned imperviousness or development in this basin. Construction consists only of grading work. It was found that in order to match into the existing grades of Poco Road and Right-of-Way, this basin was infeasible to capture and therefore flows off-site to the north, to the Poco Road drainage system. The runoff generated ($Q5 = 0.1$ cfs, $Q100 = 0.6$ cfs) reaches the Poco Road Right-of-Way at Design Point OS1 (same flows), and continues per existing drainage patterns. The flows entering the Poco Road drainage system in the proposed condition are equal to or less than the flows reaching Poco Road in the existing condition, and therefore, the drainage system will safely route these flows to their ultimate outfall and no downstream impacts are expected due to this project.

State what those existing flows are

JR Response: Revised statement for flow path

Basin OS2 is 1.01 acres and consists of undeveloped open space or landscaped areas and the existing regional trail and maintenance access that borders the western banks of Sand Creek within Tract C of this development. There is no new planned imperviousness or development in this basin related to this project. Construction consists only of grading work. It was found that in order to match into the existing grades of the regional trail/maintenance road, this basin was infeasible to capture and therefore flows off-site to the east and into Sand Creek at Design Point OS2. The runoff generated ($Q5 = 0.4$ cfs, $Q100 = 2.8$ cfs) sheet flows east, over the existing regional trail and into Sand Creek per existing drainage patterns. The flows entering the Sand Creek drainage system in the proposed condition are equal to or less than the flows reaching Sand Creek from this project site in the existing condition, and therefore, the drainage system will safely route these flows to their ultimate outfall and no downstream impacts are expected due to this project.

JR Response: Addressed

as the trail was constructed with (List Project name & number)

Basin D2 is 0.18 acres and consists of undeveloped open space or landscaped areas bordering Vollmer Road, part of Tract A. There is no new planned imperviousness or development in this basin related to this project. Construction consists only of grading work. It was found that in order to match into the existing grades of the site and therefore flows off-site to the existing roadside swale of Vollmer Road. Runoff generated ($Q5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q100 = 0.5$ cfs) sheet flows west to the Vollmer Road Right-of-Way at Design point D2. This area was studied with the Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1. By JR Engineering, and the Vollmer Road drainage system was sized to accept these flows and safely route them to their ultimate outfall. Flows continue per the drainage

JR Response: Revised to correlate areas to basin in Filing 1

JR Response: Addressed

State what/where ultimate outfall is

State what flows were assumed in this report for this area.



patterns identified in the Filing 1 report and no downstream impacts are anticipated due to this development.

Basin D3 is 0.17 acres and consists of undeveloped open space or landscaped areas bordering Vollmer Road, part of Tract A. There is no new planned imperviousness or development in this basin related to this project. Construction consists only of grading work. It was found that in order to match into the existing grades of the Vollmer Road Right-of-Way, this basin was infeasible to capture on-site and therefore flows off-site to the existing roadside swale of Vollmer Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 0.1 cfs, Q100 = 0.5 cfs) sheet flows west to the Vollmer Road Right-of-Way at Design point D3. This area was studied with the Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1, By JR Engineering, and the Vollmer Road drainage system was sized and designed to accept these flows and safely route them to their ultimate outfall. Flows continue per the drainage patterns identified in the Filing 1 report and no downstream impacts are anticipated due to this development. See Appendix D for applicable excerpts.

State what flows were assumed in this report for this area.

State what/where ultimate outfall is

DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

JR Response: Addressed

DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA REFERENCE

JR Response: Revised to correlate areas to basin in Filing 1

Storm drainage analysis and design criteria for this project were taken from the “*City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual*” Volumes 1 and 2 (EPCDCM), dated October 12, 1994, the “*Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*” Volumes 1 to 3 (USDCM) and Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the “*Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual*” (CSDCM), dated May 2014, as adopted by El Paso County.

HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA

All hydrologic data was obtained from the “*El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual*” Volumes 1 and 2, and the “*Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*” Volumes 1, 2, and 3. Onsite drainage improvements were designed based on the 5 year (minor) storm event and the 100-year (major) storm event. Runoff was calculated using the Rational Method, and rainfall intensities for the 5-year and the 100-year storm return frequencies were obtained from Table 6-2 of the CSDCM. One-hour point rainfall data for the storm events is identified in the chart below. Runoff coefficients were determined based on proposed land use and from data in Table 6-6 from the CSDCM. Time of concentrations were developed using equations from CSDCM. All runoff calculations and applicable charts and graphs are included in the Appendices.

1-hr Point Rainfall Data

Storm	Rainfall (in.)
5-year	1.50
100-year	2.52



HYDRAULIC CRITERIA

The Rational Method and USDCM's SF-2 and SF-3 forms were used to determine the runoff from the minor and major storms on the site, and the UDFCD MHFD-Detention v4.05 spreadsheet was utilized for evaluating the proposed detention and water quality pond(s). Sump and on-grade inlets were sized using UDFCD UD-Inlet v5.01. Autodesk Hydraflow express and UDFCD figure 8-22 was used to size the swales. Storm StormCAD V8i, a modeling program for stormwater drainage, was utilized to determine the hydraulic grade lines and energy grade lines for the storm sewer network. Manhole and pipe losses for the model were obtained from the *Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1*. The manhole loss coefficients used in the model were determined using Figure 7-13 of this manual. The manhole loss coefficients used in the model are shown in the Table below. StormCAD, Autodesk Hydraflow results, along with street and inlet capacities are presented in Appendix C.




Figure 7-13. Bend loss coefficients

Structure Name	Angle	Headloss
DP01-03	40	0.3
DP01-05	90	1.32
DP01-07	90	1.32
DP01-09	90	1.32
DP01-11	0	0.1
DP02-02	0	0.1
DP03-02	0	0.1
DP04-02	0	0.1
DP05-02	0	0.1

StormCAD was missing in appendix. Please include

JR Response: Addressed

Include PCD project #

August 2022

JR Response: Addressed

The Sand Creek improvements adjacent to the Sterling Ranch Homestead North are being designed in a separate report, The Final Design Report for Sand Creek Restoration by JR Engineering, April 2022. The general concept of the channel design is to design a low maintenance, high performance channel with a meandering bankfull channel. The design will cut in a new bankfull section offset to the east from the existing thalweg, grade up to the existing thalweg so that it can remain hydraulically connected to the new thalweg, and then extend a 1% flood terrace to the east between 80 and 120 ft. depending on shear stresses and velocities. The purpose of trying to keep the existing channel hydraulically connected to the new thalweg is to maintain as many existing wetlands as possible and satisfy the ACOE. The previous design in the Kiowa DBPS made no attempt to preserve wetlands in order to satisfy the County's design criteria, and was rejected by the ACOE. While the County's criteria are certainly a determining factor, we consider the need to satisfy the ACOE the highest priority, because without their approval JR won't be granted a 404 permit. The County review of the previous design by the Kiowa DBPS states that the maximum stable longitudinal slope of the channel is 0.17%. Using this longitudinal slope will require the use of at least 10 and possibly 15 GSB drop structures. This channel slope will also ensure the destruction of more wetlands by taking the existing ones offline due to large changes in elevation. JR Engineering's intent to prove that a steeper slope can remain stable long term, thus allowing us to preserve more wetlands and appease the ACOE, a work map for the Final Design Report for Sand Creek Restoration by JR Engineering has been provided for information in Appendix E.

JR Response: Revised to Appendix D

This map was not in Appendix E. Please provide.

DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN

FOUR STEP PROCESS TO MINIMIZE ADVERSE IMPACTS OF URBANIZATION

In accordance with the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2, this site has implemented the four step process to minimize adverse impacts of urbanization. The four step process includes reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls.

Water quality and detention for this site is provided in 1 of 3 ponds. See the "Water Quality" exhibit in appendix D for additional information. The majority of Filing 3, all "A" basins, totaling 29.95 acres, drain to the proposed full-spectrum extended detention basin named Pond A. All "B" basins, drain to Filing No. 2, and the full-spectrum extended detention basin named Pond B. All "D" basins, (named to be consistent with the Filing No. 1 FDR) drain to the full-spectrum extended detention basin named Pond C. Ponds A, B, and C were sized and designed to provide detention and water quality, per the "full-spectrum" design methodology and all local and state criteria. The Filing No. 3 areas that drain to Ponds B or C, have remained consistent with what was planned for in both the Filing No. 1 and Filing No. 2 Final Drainage Reports for the Homestead North at Sterling Ranch developments. See those reports for design details and more information.



Step 1 – Reducing Runoff Volumes: The Homestead North at Sterling Ranch development project consists single -family homes with open spaces and lawn areas interspersed within the development, which helps disconnect impervious areas and reduce runoff volumes. Roof drains from the structures will discharge to lawn areas, where feasible, to allow for infiltration and runoff volume reduction.

Step 2 – Stabilize Drainageways: The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Basin and bridge fees will be due at time of platting. These funds will be used for the channel stabilization being designed by JR Engineering adjacent to the site and on future projects within the basin to stabilize drainageways. The Soils and Geology study on the site showed a potentially unstable region directly adjacent to the western bank of Sand Creek on the southeast corner of the site. At the time of final design, specifications from a Geotechnical Engineer will be implemented to ensure that the developed site is safe. All developed areas of Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3 will discharge into Full Spectrum Detention Ponds, and outflows will be less than or equal to historic flows.

The subdivision improvement agreement (SIA) for Sterling Ranch Filing 1 states that “bank stabilization of the Sand Creek channel shall be required prior to any replats of other final plats adjacent to the channel. The design and installation of said improvements shall be accomplished and guaranteed through the normal subdivision review and collateralization process.” Additionally, “Other drainage improvements in Tract D and future tracts containing the Sand Creek Channel, such as drop structures, check structures and similar stabilization or protection improvements, will be designed and constructed by the District with the final construction drawings to be approved by the County no later than the final platting of the 700th single family lot within the boundaries of the approved Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan and the completion of all said improvements no later than the 800th single family lot with the boundaries of the approved Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan.”

Step 3 – Treat the WQCV: Water Quality treatment for this site is provided in three proposed full spectrum water quality detention ponds: Pond A, B, and Pond C. The runoff from this site will be collected within inlets and conveyed to the proposed ponds via storm sewer or overland flows. Upon entrance to the ponds, flows will be captured in a forebay designed to promote settlement of suspended solids. A trickle channel is also incorporated into the ponds to minimize the amount of standing water and provide easy accumulated sediment removal. The outlet structure has been designed to detain the water quality capture volume (WQCV) for 40 hours, and the extended urban runoff volume (EURV) for approx. 72 hours. All flows released from the ponds will be reduced to less than historic rates.

Step 4 – Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs: There are no commercial or industrial components to this development; therefore no BMPs of this nature are required. BMPs will be utilized to minimize off-site contaminants and to protect the downstream receiving waters. The site is a residential subdivision (ie: not a high-risk site per Figure I-1 in ECM Appendix I), therefore specialized BMPs do not need to be considered. Site specific temporary source control BMPs that



will be implemented include, but are not limited to, silt fencing placed around downstream areas of disturbance, construction vehicle tracking pads at the entrances, designated concrete truck washout basin, designated vehicle fueling areas, covered storage areas, spill containment and control, etc. The permanent erosion control BMPs include asphalt drives and parking, storm inlets and storm pipe, the full spectrum water quality and detention ponds, and permanent vegetation.

WATER QUALITY

Water Quality treatment for this site is provided in three proposed full spectrum water quality detention ponds: Pond A, B, and Pond C. See the “Water Quality Map” in Appendix E. For this Final drainage report the design points, pipes and inlets are discussed in the Proposed Drainage Conditions section of this report. The corresponding design points, pipes and basins are shown within the Proposed Drainage Map within Appendix E. The ponds have been designed per Section 13.3.2.1 of Resolution 15-042 of the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual. For additional information on Pond A’s storage and outlet characteristics see the MHFD sheets within Appendix C. See below for information regarding Ponds A and B.

The Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2 identified areas from the Filing No. 3 project site that are tributary. The filing 2 design and report planned for and accepts these flows, safely routes them to their ultimate outfall, and treats and detains these flows per County and State criteria in the Proposed EDB called Pond B. These areas were identified in the Filing 2 FDR as “Future” basins F1-5. These areas are identified in this drainage report as Basins with the prefix B. This report, per the requirements of the Filing No. 2 FDR, has re-analyzed Pond B, within Filing 2, for the purpose of confirming that Pond B’s design is adequate and meets the County and State requirements for water quality and detention, when including the anticipated Filing No. 3 developed flows from B basins. This report has found that no modifications are required to the design of Pond B, however, there was a slight difference in the F basins from the Filing 2 report, versus the Filing 3’s B basins. An updated UD-Detention workbook is included in Appendix C of this report. Appendix D, also includes the UD-Detention printouts from the Filing No. 2 report, as a reference.

As previously stated, a small portion of the Filing No. 3 site designated as “D” basins in this report, drains to the proposed Pond A, part of the Filing No. 1 project site. These areas were accounted for and planned for in the design of Filing No. 1 and Pond A. Applicable excerpts from the Filing No. 1 report are included in Appendix D.

Pond C, with Filing 1?

JR Response: Addressed

As shown on the Water Quality Map included in Appendix E, 4.42 acres of this site, consists of portions of the Sand Creek, an Existing 15’ Gravel Maintenance and Pedestrian Trail and undeveloped Basins OS1 and OS2 (grading only, no proposed development) and are excluded from the “Post-Construction (Permanent) Stormwater Management requirements per the “Post Construction Stormwater Management Applicability Evaluation Form” Section II, items G, H, & I.

Include write up for Pond A, which is being built with this development.

JR Response: Addressed

EROSION CONTROL PLAN

It is the policy of the El Paso County, that a grading and erosion control plan be submitted with the drainage report. Proposed silt fence, vehicles traffic control, temporary sediment basins, seeding and mulching are proposed as erosion control measures. The GEC plans have been submitted concurrently with this report.

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE

In order to ensure the function and effectiveness of the stormwater infrastructure, maintenance activities such as inspection, routine maintenance, restorative maintenance, rehabilitation and repair, are required. The property owner shall be responsible for the inspection, maintenance, rehabilitation and repair of stormwater and erosion control facilities located on the property unless another party accepts such responsibility in writing and responsibility is properly assigned through legal documentation. Access is provided from onsite facilities and easements for proposed infrastructure located offsite.

Who will maintain Pond? Is storm system not public?

JR Response: Revised section to match Filing 1

DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES

The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. An estimate of the Impervious Acres and Drainage/Bridge is presented below,

HN F3 Impervious Area Calculation

Breakdown	Acres	% Impervious	Impervious Acres
ROW	7.1418	100%	7.14
Lots	21.8837	50%	10.94
Tracts	11.8016	2%	0.24
Total	40.8271		18.32

2022 Drainage and Bridge Fee – Sterling Ranch Homestead North Filing 3				
Impervious Acres (Ac.)	Drainage Fee (Per Imp. Acre)	Bridge Fee (Per Imp. Acre)	Sterling Ranch Drainage Fee	Sterling Ranch Bridge Fee
18.32	\$21,814	\$8,923	\$399,632.48	\$163,469.36

CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION

A construction cost opinion for the public storm drainage infrastructure has been provided below. The below cost opinion is only an estimate of facility and drainage infrastructure cost and may vary.

JR Response: Noted

Homestead North Filing No. 3 (Public Non-Reimbursable)

Item	Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Cost
1	18" RCP	230	L.F.	\$ 70	\$ 16,100.00
2	24" RCP	402	L.F.	\$ 83	\$ 33,366.00
3	30" RCP	8	L.F.	\$ 104	\$ 832.00
4	36" RCP	350	L.F.	\$ 128	\$ 44,800.00
5	42" RCP	184	L.F.	\$ 171	\$ 31,464.00
6	18" FES	1	Ea.	\$ 420	\$ 420.00
7	15' Curb Inlet Type R < 5 ft.	5	Ea.	\$ 10,984	\$ 54,920.00
8	20' Curb Inlet Type R < 5 ft.	1	Ea.	\$ 11,706	\$ 11,706.00
9	Storm Sewer MH, box base	2	Ea.	\$ 12,876	\$ 25,752.00
10	Storm Sewer MH, slab base	1	Ea.	\$ 7,082	\$ 7,082.00
11	Pond A	1	Ea.	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000.00
Sub-Total					\$ 266,442.00

Will review estimate at next submittal when storm sewer design has been included.

Per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii) Fee Reductions, Credits or Reimbursement for Facilities, this development requests that no cash drainage or bridge fees are due at platting as the value of reimbursable DBPS improvements for the Sand Creek Tributary segment 159, 164, 169, 186 and the Briargate Bridge shown in the below table exceed the drainage and bridge fee estimate shown above.

Sterling Ranch Deferred Drainage Fees Analysis

Reimbursable Costs associated with DBPS Segment 159 and 164, Segment 169 and 186

Reimbursable Estimate Segment 159 and 164 from SR F2 FDR (SF-2015)	\$1,918,065.00
Reimbursable Estimate Segment 169 and 186 from HN F1 FDR (SF-2213)	<u>\$611,628.00</u>
Subtotal Reimb. Costs associated with DBPS Segments 159-164, 169-186	\$2,529,693.00

JR Response: Revised

Earlier Plats Deferred Drainage Fees (Branding Iron F1 & Homestead F1)	\$219,540.55
SR F2 (SF-2015) Drainage Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	\$400,855.70
SR F3 (SF-2132) Drainage Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	\$214,430.47
HN F1 (SF-2213) Drainage Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	\$290,199.00
HN F2 (SF-2218) Drainage Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	\$310,413.22
HN F2 (SF-2218) Drainage Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	<u>\$399,632.48</u>
Subtotal Deferred Drainage Fees	\$1,835,071.42

This does not match costs shown in F1 & F2 reports

JR Response: Revised

F3 (SF-2229)

Unused Reimb. Costs associated with DBPS Segments 159-164, 169-186	\$694,621.58
--	---------------------

Sterling Ranch Deferred Bridge Fees Analysis

Reimbursable Costs associated with DBPS Bridge at Briargate Parkway and Sterling Ranch Rd.

Reimbursable Estimate Briargate Parkway Bridge from CDR 2113	\$1,546,676.98
Reimbursable Estimate Sterling Ranch Road Bridge from CDR 226	<u>\$948,584.25</u>
Subtotal Reimb. Costs associated with BGP and SR Rd. Bridges	\$2,495,261.23

Does not match what is shown F2 report or currently shown on FAE for CDR 226

SR F3 (SF-2132) Bridge Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	\$87,709.60
HN F1 (SF-2213) Bridge Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	\$118,706.00
HN F2 (SF-2218) Bridge Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	\$126,983.21
HN F2 (SF-2218) Bridge Fees Deferred per LDC section 8.5.5.C.3.b(ii)	<u>\$163,439.36</u>
Subtotal Deferred Bridge Fees	\$496,838.17

Does not match bridge fees shown in F1 report

JR Response: Revised

Unused Reimb. Costs associated with Briargate Parkway and SR Road Bridges	\$1,998,423.06
---	-----------------------

Does not match bridge fees shown in F2 report

JR Response: Revised

F3 (SF-2229)

SUMMARY


The proposed Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3 drainage improvements were designed to meet or exceed the El Paso County Drainage Criteria. The proposed development's ponds are designed to release at less than the predeveloped runoff rates per the studies associated with the subject site. The proposed development will not adversely affect the offsite drainageways or surrounding developments. This report is in conformance and meets the latest El Paso County Storm Drainage Criteria requirements.

REFERENCES

1. "El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol I & II".
 2. El Paso County ECM, 2019
 3. El Paso County DCM Vol. 1 Update, 2015
 4. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (Volumes 1, 2, and 3), Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, June 2001.
 5. Upper Sand Creek Detention Evaluation Study, Wilson and Company'
 6. Final Drainage Report For Retreat at Timberridge Filing No. 1, Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors
 7. Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study, Stantec, January 2021
 8. Sand Creek Channel Design Report JR Engineering, October 2021- Draft
 9. Preliminary Drainage Report And MDDP Addendum For Homestead North At Sterling Ranch Preliminary Plan", prepared by JR Engineering, dated January 2022
 10. The Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1, prepared by JR Engineering, Dated June 2022
 11. The Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2, prepared by JR Engineering, Dated July 2022.
-

Appendix A
Vicinity Map, Soil Descriptions, FEMA Floodplain Map

X:\2510000_all\2518812\Drawings\Blocks\2518812 Vic Map.dwg, 8.5x11 Portrait, 7/19/2022 10:20:38 AM, CS




VICINITY MAP
 HOMESTEAD NORTH AT
 STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3
 2000-5188.12
 2022-07-19




Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

































Hydrologic Soil Group—El Paso County Area, Colorado



Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.



MAP LEGEND

- Area of Interest (AOI)**
 -  Area of Interest (AOI)
- Soils**
 - Soil Rating Polygons**
 -  A
 -  A/D
 -  B
 -  B/D
 -  C
 -  C/D
 -  D
 -  Not rated or not available
 - Soil Rating Lines**
 -  A
 -  A/D
 -  B
 -  B/D
 -  C
 -  C/D
 -  D
 -  Not rated or not available
 - Soil Rating Points**
 -  A
 -  A/D
 -  B
 -  B/D
- Water Features**
 -  Streams and Canals
- Transportation**
 -  Rails
 -  Interstate Highways
 -  US Routes
 -  Major Roads
 -  Local Roads
- Background**
 -  Aerial Photography
- Other**
 -  C
 -  C/D
 -  D
 -  Not rated or not available

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
 Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
 Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 11, 2018—Oct 20, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	B	59.6	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest			59.6	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in determining the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) flood insurance rates for the El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table. It does not constitute a flood insurance policy. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updates or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or Floodway Data are shown, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Floodway Data tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIS. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIS report represent whole-flood elevations. There are exceptions for flood insurance being purchased only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIS report for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only to landward of 1/2 mile from the coastline. These flood elevations are based on hydraulic computations with respect to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other elements of floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 Flood Protection Measures of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was the National Transverse Mercator (NTM) Zone 10. The horizontal datum was NAD83 (GDA95) referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD83). Vertical datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 are related by the following equation: NAVD83 = 1.170823 + 0.000001 x distance. For more information on the relationship between the two datums, please contact the National Geodetic Survey at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services
 NOAA, NGS-12
 National Geodetic Survey
 12055 Beltsville Road
 Beltsville, MD 20705-5562

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at 20257 173-5242 or visit its website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov>.

Base Map information shown on this FIS was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Survey, Inc., and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2008.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIS for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIS may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the flood profiles and hydrology data based on the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydrology data) may reflect stream channel adjustments from what is shown on this map. The profiles shown on this map represent the hydraulic modeling features that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplains.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and contents elevations to determine if a structure is in a flood hazard area. If the structure is located within a flood hazard area, please contact the appropriate community officials to verify correct corporate limits locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels, community map repository addresses, and a listing of Communities with existing National Flood Insurance Program rates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information Exchange (MIX) at 1-877-336-2257 for information on available products associated with this FIS. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of the map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-368-9820 and its website at <http://www.fema.gov>.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2257) or visit the FEMA website at <http://www.fema.gov/business/nfi>.


El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table

Floodway Name	Vertical Datum
REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL CONVERSION INFORMATION	

Panel Location Map

This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperative Federal Partnership (CFP) agreement between the State of Colorado, Water Conservation Board (WCBC) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



THIS PANEL SHOWN AT A SCALE OF 1"=500' ON MAP NUMBER 08041C0533

NOTE: MAP AREA SHOWN ON THIS PANEL IS LOCATED WITHIN TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST, AND TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 68 WEST.

LEGEND

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAs) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD

ZONE AE
 Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevation determined.

ZONE A
 Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevation determined.

ZONE AO
 Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that is substantially completed. Zone AO includes the 1% annual chance flood elevation, but is not a special flood hazard area. The 1% annual chance flood elevation is shown in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

ZONE AV
 Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevation determined.

ZONE VE
 Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevation determined.

FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

ZONE X
 Areas of 1% annual chance flood, areas of 1% annual chance flood with special study of the flood, or areas with average depth less than 1 foot, but not less than 0.5 foot, and areas protected by levees from the 1% annual chance flood.

OTHER AREAS

ZONE A
 Areas identified for inclusion in the 1% annual chance floodplain.

ZONE A
 Areas in which flood hazard are undetermined, but possible.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPA)

OPAs and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.

MAP REPRODUCTION

Which is the best reproduction of this map.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) MAP

DECEMBER 19, 2008


MAP SCALE 1" = 1000'

MAP NUMBER 08041C0535G



MAP REVISÉ

DECEMBER 7, 2018

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY



MAP SCALE 1" = 1000'



PANEL 0535G

FIRM
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP
EL PASO COUNTY,
COLORADO
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

PANEL 535 OF 1300

(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

CONTAINS:

COMMUNITY	NUMBER	PANEL	SUFFIX
COLORADO SPRINGS, CITY OF	080060	0535	G
EL PASO COUNTY	080059	0535	G

Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders; the Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community.



MAP NUMBER
08041C0535G

MAP REVISED
DECEMBER 7, 2018

Federal Emergency Management Agency

This is an official FIRMette showing a portion of the above-referenced flood map created from the MSC FIRMette Web tool. This map does not reflect changes or amendments which may have been made subsequent to the date on the title block. For additional information about how to make sure the map is current, please see the Flood Hazard Mapping Updates Overview Fact Sheet available on the FEMA Flood Map Service Center home page at <https://msc.fema.gov>.

Appendix B

Hydrologic Calculations

COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS & COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

Subdivision: Existing Conditions Rational
 Location: El Paso County

Project Name: Homestead North @ Sterling Ranch F3
 Project No.: 25188.12
 Calculated By: REB
 Checked By: _____
 Date: 7/6/22

Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	Streets/Paved (100% Impervious)				Residential (45%-65% Impervious)				Lawns (2% Impervious)				Basins Total Weighted C Values		Basins Total Weighted % Imp.
		C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	
EX1	3.82	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	3.82	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX2	9.74	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	9.74	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX3	21.50	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	21.50	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX4	1.47	0.90	0.96	0.39	26.5%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	1.08	1.5%	0.30	0.51	28.0%
EX1.2	0.17	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.17	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EXB6	0.13	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.13	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EXB4	0.43	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.43	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
TOTAL	37.26															3.0%

Per Table 6.6, C-values for 2% Impervious are 0.09 & 0.36

JR Response: Revised the c-values

STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision: Existing Conditions Rational
Location: El Paso County

Project Name: Homestead North @ Sterling Ranch F3
Project No.: 25188.12
Calculated By: REB
Checked By:
Date: 7/6/22

SUB-BASIN DATA						INITIAL/OVERLAND (T _i)			TRAVEL TIME (T _t)					t _c CHECK (URBANIZED BASINS)			FINAL
BASIN ID	D.A. (ac)	Hydrologic Soils Group	Impervious (%)	C _s	C ₁₀₀	L (ft)	S _o (%)	t _i (min)	L _t (ft)	S _t (%)	K	VEL. (ft/s)	t _t (min)	COMP. t _c (min)	TOTAL LENGTH (ft)	Urbanized t _c (min)	t _c (min)
EX1	3.82	B	2%	0.08	0.35	300	3.3%	21.5	600	3.3%	7.0	1.3	7.9	29.4	900.0	31.6	29.4
EX2	9.74	B	2%	0.08	0.35	300	2.9%	22.4	1375	2.9%	7.0	1.2	19.2	41.7	1675.0	40.2	40.2
EX3	21.50	B	2%	0.08	0.35	300	2.9%	22.4	1600	2.9%	7.0	1.2	22.4	44.8	1900.0	42.5	42.5
EX4	1.47	B	28%	0.30	0.51	237	5.0%	13.1	0	5.0%	7.0	1.6	0.0	13.1	237.0	21.2	13.1
EX1.2	0.17	B	2%	0.08	0.35	92	2.1%	13.8	0	2.1%	7.0	1.0	0.0	13.8	92.0	25.7	13.8
EXB6	0.13	B	2%	0.08	0.35	75	10.0%	7.5	0	10.0%	7.0	2.2	0.0	7.5	75.0	25.7	10.0
EXB4	0.43	B	2%	0.08	0.35	75	13.0%	6.8	0	13.0%	7.0	2.5	0.0	6.8	75.0	25.7	10.0

NOTES:

$$t_c = t_i + t_t$$

Where:

- t_c = computed time of concentration (minutes)
- t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)
- t_t = channelized flow time (minutes).

$$t_t = \frac{L_t}{60K\sqrt{S_o}} = \frac{L_t}{60V_t}$$

Where:

- t_t = channelized flow time (travel time, min)
- L_t = waterway length (ft)
- S_o = waterway slope (ft/ft)
- V_t = travel time velocity (ft/sec) = K√S_o
- K = NRCS conveyance factor (see Table 6-2).

$$\text{Equation 6-2} \quad t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_s)\sqrt{L_i}}{S_o^{0.33}}$$

Where:

- t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)
- C_s = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (from Table 6-4)
- L_i = length of overland flow (ft)
- S_o = average slope along the overland flow path (ft/ft).

$$\text{Equation 6-4} \quad t_c = (26 - 17t_i) + \frac{L_t}{60(14t_i + 9)\sqrt{S_o}}$$

Where:

- t_c = minimum time of concentration for first design point when less than t_t from Equation 6-1.
- L_t = length of channelized flow path (ft)
- t_i = imperviousness (expressed as a decimal)
- S_o = slope of the channelized flow path (ft/ft).

$$\text{Equation 6-3}$$

Table 6-2. NRCS Conveyance factors, K

Type of Land Surface	Conveyance Factor, K
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

Use a minimum t_c value of 5 minutes for urbanized areas and a minimum t_c value of 10 minutes for areas that are not considered urban. Use minimum values even when calculations result in a lesser time of

STANDARD FORM SF-3
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Existing Conditions Rational
Location: El Paso County
Design Storm: 5-Year (Minor)

Project Name: Homestead North @ Sterling Ranch F3
Project No.: 25188.12
Calculated By: REB
Checked By:
Date: 7/6/22

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET/SWALE			PIPE			TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS	
		Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	tc (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Q _{street/swale} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Q _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)		t _c (min)
	E1	EX1	3.82	0.08	29.4	0.31	2.51	0.8															Sheet flows south to DP E1 (Enters north c&g of Perry Owens Dr)
	E2	EX2	9.74	0.08	40.2	0.78	2.04	1.6				1.6	0.78	0.75						600	1.3	7.7	Sheet flows south to ex grass swale, @ DP E2, continues east through basin EX3 to DP3.1
	E3.1	EX3	21.50	0.08	42.5	1.72	1.96	3.4	47.9	2.50	1.78	4.5											Sheet flows southeast to ex grass swale, flows east to DP3.1 @ Sand Creek
	E4	EX4	1.47	0.30	13.1	0.44	3.72	1.6															Sheet flows east to Sand Creek at DP EX4
	1.2	EX1.2	0.17	0.08	13.8	0.01	3.64	0.0															Sheet flows southeast to Filing 2 Boundary @ DP EX1.2
	B6	EXB6	0.13	0.08	10.0	0.01	4.13	0.0															Sheet flows southeast to Filing 2 Boundary @ DP EXB6
	B4	EXB4	0.43	0.08	10.0	0.03	4.13	0.1															Sheet flows southeast to Filing 2 Boundary @ DP EXB4

Notes:
Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value.
All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

STANDARD FORM SF-3
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Existing Conditions Rational
Location: El Paso County
Design Storm: 100-Year (Major)

Project Name: Homestead North @ Sterling Ranch F3
Project No.: 25188.12
Calculated By: REB
Checked By:
Date: 7/6/22

Description	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET/SWALE			PIPE			TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS	
		Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t_c (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q_i (cfs)	t_c (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q_i (cfs)	$Q_{street/swale}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Q_{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)		t_r (min)
	E1	EX1	3.82	0.35	29.4	1.34	4.22	5.7															Sheet flows south to DP E1 (Enters north c&g of Perry Owens Dr)
	E2	EX2	9.74	0.35	40.2	3.41	3.43	11.7					11.7	3.41	0.75					600	1.3	7.7	Sheet flows south to ex grass swale, @ DP E2, continues east through basin EX3 to DP3.1
	E3.1	EX3	21.50	0.35	42.5	7.53	3.28	24.7	47.9	10.94	2.99	32.7											Sheet flows southeast to ex grass swale, flows east to DP3.1 @ Sand Creek
	E4	EX4	1.47	0.51	13.1	0.75	6.25	4.7															Sheet flows east to Sand Creek at DP EX4
	1.2	EX1.2	0.17	0.35	13.8	0.06	6.12	0.4															Sheet flows southeast to Filing 2 Boundary @ DP EX1.2
	B6	EXB6	0.13	0.35	10.0	0.05	6.93	0.3															Sheet flows southeast to Filing 2 Boundary @ DP EXB6
	B4	EXB4	0.43	0.35	10.0	0.15	6.93	1.0															Sheet flows southeast to Filing 2 Boundary @ DP EXB4

Notes:
Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q_i/I using the catchment's intensity value.
All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column

COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS & COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

Subdivision: Proposed Conditions Rational
Location: El Paso County

Project Name: Homestead North @ Sterling Ranch F3
Project No.: 25188.12
Calculated By: REB
Checked By: _____
Date: 7/6/22

Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	Streets/Paved (100% Impervious)				Residential (30%-40% Impervious)				Lawns (2% Impervious)				Basins Total Weighted C Values		Basins Total Weighted % Imp.
		C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	
A1	3.82	0.90	0.96	0.79	20.7%	0.25	0.47	2.40	18.8%	0.08	0.35	0.63	0.3%	0.36	0.55	39.9%
A2	3.02	0.90	0.96	0.82	27.2%	0.25	0.47	2.06	20.5%	0.08	0.35	0.14	0.1%	0.42	0.60	47.7%
A3	4.54	0.90	0.96	0.75	16.5%	0.30	0.50	3.45	30.4%	0.08	0.35	0.34	0.1%	0.38	0.56	47.1%
A4	3.82	0.90	0.96	0.78	20.4%	0.30	0.50	2.73	28.6%	0.08	0.35	0.31	0.2%	0.40	0.58	49.2%
A5	7.53	0.90	0.96	0.79	10.5%	0.30	0.50	4.23	22.5%	0.08	0.35	2.51	0.7%	0.29	0.50	33.6%
A6	4.29	0.90	0.96	0.88	20.5%	0.30	0.50	3.22	30.0%	0.08	0.35	0.19	0.1%	0.41	0.59	50.6%
A7	2.93	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.30	0.50	1.03	14.1%	0.08	0.35	1.90	1.3%	0.16	0.40	15.4%
B1.1	2.08	0.90	0.96	0.25	12.0%	0.30	0.50	1.41	27.1%	0.08	0.35	0.42	0.4%	0.33	0.53	39.5%
B1.2	1.36	0.90	0.96	0.21	15.4%	0.30	0.50	1.10	32.4%	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.1%	0.38	0.57	47.9%
B1.3	0.33	0.90	0.96	0.14	40.9%	0.30	0.50	0.08	9.2%	0.08	0.35	0.12	0.7%	0.47	0.63	50.8%
B4	1.21	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	1.21	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
OS1	0.20	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.20	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
OS2	1.01	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	1.01	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
D2	0.18	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.18	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
D3	0.17	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.0%	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	0.17	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
TOTAL POND A	29.95															40.5%
TOTAL SITE	36.49															37.9%

Per Table 6.6, C-values for 2% impervious are 0.09 & 0.36

JR Response: Revised the c-values

STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision: Proposed Conditions Rational _____
 Location: El Paso County _____

Project Name: Homestead North @ Sterling Ranch F3 _____
 Project No.: 25188.12 _____
 Calculated By: REB _____
 Checked By: _____
 Date: 7/6/22 _____

SUB-BASIN						INITIAL/OVERLAND			TRAVEL TIME					tc CHECK			FINAL
DATA						(T _i)			(T _t)					(URBANIZED BASINS)			
BASIN ID	D.A. (ac)	Hydrologic Soils Group	Impervious (%)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	L (ft)	S _o (%)	t _i (min)	L _t (ft)	S _t (%)	K	VEL. (ft/s)	t _t (min)	COMP. t _c (min)	TOTAL LENGTH (ft)	Urbanized t _c (min)	t _c (min)
A1	3.82	B	40%	0.36	0.55	100	4.5%	8.2	810	2.6%	20.0	3.2	4.2	12.4	910.0	25.0	12.4
A2	3.02	B	48%	0.42	0.60	100	2.0%	9.8	764	1.6%	20.0	2.5	5.0	14.8	864.0	24.3	14.8
A3	4.54	B	47%	0.38	0.56	100	2.1%	10.1	1027	2.8%	20.0	3.3	5.1	15.3	1127.0	24.6	15.3
A4	3.82	B	49%	0.40	0.58	100	7.2%	6.5	861	1.7%	20.0	2.6	5.5	12.0	961.0	24.6	12.0
A5	7.53	B	34%	0.29	0.50	100	4.3%	9.0	1294	2.4%	20.0	3.1	7.0	16.0	1394.0	30.4	16.0
A6	4.29	B	51%	0.41	0.59	100	6.0%	6.9	976	1.4%	20.0	2.4	6.9	13.7	1076.0	25.9	13.7
A7	2.93	B	15%	0.16	0.40	100	9.7%	8.0	161	7.1%	7.0	1.9	1.4	9.5	261.0	24.3	9.5
B1.1	2.08	B	40%	0.33	0.53	100	4.5%	8.5	506	3.9%	20.0	3.9	2.1	10.6	606.0	22.2	10.6
B1.2	1.36	B	48%	0.38	0.57	100	2.4%	9.7	324	3.9%	20.0	3.9	1.4	11.0	424.0	19.6	11.0
B1.3	0.33	B	51%	0.47	0.63	100	1.5%	10.0	30	1.5%	20.0	2.4	0.2	10.2	130.0	17.6	10.2
B4	1.21	B	2%	0.08	0.35	100	8.7%	9.0	42	9.0%	7.0	2.1	0.3	9.4	142.0	25.9	9.4
OS1	0.20	B	2%	0.08	0.35	25	20.0%	3.4	0	20.0%	7.0	3.1	0.0	3.4	25.0	25.7	5.0
OS2	1.01	B	2%	0.08	0.35	50	8.0%	6.6	0	13.0%	7.0	2.5	0.0	6.6	50.0	25.7	6.6
D2	0.18	B	2%	0.08	0.35	30	5.7%	5.7	0	10.0%	7.0	2.2	0.0	5.7	30.0	25.7	5.7
D3	0.17	B	2%	0.08	0.35	30	12.0%	4.4	0	13.0%	7.0	2.5	0.0	4.4	30.0	25.7	5.0

NOTES:

$$t_c = t_i + t_t$$

Where:

t_c = computed time of concentration (minutes)

t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

t_t = channelized flow time (minutes).

$$t_t = \frac{L_t}{60K\sqrt{S_o}} = \frac{L_t}{60V_t}$$

Where:

t_t = channelized flow time (travel time, min)

L_t = waterway length (ft)

S_o = waterway slope (ft/ft)

V_t = travel time velocity (ft/sec) = K√S_o

K = NRCS conveyance factor (see Table 6-2).

Use a minimum t_c value of 5 minutes for urbanized areas and a minimum t_c value of 10 minutes for areas that are not considered urban. Use minimum values even when calculations result in a lesser time of concentration.

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_2)\sqrt{L_i}}{S_o^{0.33}}$$

Equation 6-3

Equation 6-2

Where:

t_i = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

C₂ = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (from Table 6-4)

L_i = length of overland flow (ft)

S_o = average slope along the overland flow path (ft/ft).

$$t_t = (26 - 17i) + \frac{L_t}{60(14i + 9)\sqrt{S_o}}$$

Equation 6-5

Where:

t_t = minimum time of concentration for first design point when less than t_c from Equation 6-1.

L_t = length of channelized flow path (ft)

i = imperviousness (expressed as a decimal)

S_o = slope of the channelized flow path (ft/ft).

Table 6-2. NRCS Conveyance factors, K

Type of Land Surface	Conveyance Factor, K
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

STANDARD FORM SF-3
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Proposed Conditions Rational
Location: El Paso County
Design Storm: 5-Year

Project Name: Homestead North @ Sterling Ranch F3
Project No.: 25188.12
Calculated By: REB
Checked By:
Date: 7/6/22

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET/SWALE			PIPE			TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS
		Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t_c (min)	C*A (Ac)	i (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	t_c (min)	C*A (ac)	i (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$Q_{street/swale}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Q_{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (Inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	
	1i 1B	A1	3.82	0.36	12.4	1.36	3.81	5.2				0.00	0	2.8	5.2	1.36	5.0	18	6	10.6	0.0	Basin A1 runoff captured by 15' Type R on-grade inlet, piped to DP2.1 Basin A1 runoff inlet by-pass/flow by, continues in gutter to DP3
	2i 2B	A2	3.02	0.42	14.8	1.26	3.54	4.5				0.00	0	2.8	4.5	1.26	2.0	18	24	7.2	0.1	Basin A2 runoff captured by 15' Type R on-grade inlet, piped to DP2.1 Basin A2 runoff inlet by-pass/flow by, continues in gutter to DP4
	2.1							14.9	2.62	3.53	9.3				9.3	2.62	2.7	24	344	9.8	0.6	Flow in Pipe @ DP2.1, piped to DP4.1
	3i 3B	A3	4.54	0.38	15.3	1.74	3.50	6.1				0.00		2.6	6.1	1.74	4.0	24	10	9.9	0.0	Basin A3 runoff captured by 15' Type R on-grade inlet, piped to DP4.1 Basin A3 runoff inlet by-pass/flow by, continues in gutter to DP5
	4i 4B	A4	3.82	0.40	12.0	1.55	3.85	6.0				0.00		2.6	6.0	1.55	2.5	24	24	8.3	0.0	Basin A4 runoff captured by 15' Type R on-grade inlet, piped to DP4.1 Basin A4 runoff inlet by-pass/flow by, continues in gutter to DP6
	4.1							15.5	5.91	3.48	20.5				20.5	5.91	2.2	36	337	11.0	0.5	Flow in Pipe @ DP4.1, piped to DP5.1
	5i	A5	7.53	0.29	16.0	2.18	3.42	7.5							7.5	2.18	7.0	36	5	12.0	0.0	Basin A5 runoff captured by 20' Type R sump inlet, piped to DP5.1
	5.1							16.0	8.09	3.42	27.7				27.7	8.09	3.0	42	24	13.1	0.0	Flow in Pipe @ DP4.1, piped to DP5.1
	6i	A6	4.29	0.41	13.7	1.77	3.65	6.5							6.5	1.77	3.0	42	1	8.4	0.0	Basin A6 runoff captured by 15' Type R sump inlet, piped to DP6.1
	6.1							16.0	9.86	3.42	33.7				33.7	9.86	3.0	42	180	13.9	0.2	Flow in Pipe @ DP6.1, piped to DP7 (Pond A)
	7.1	A7	2.93	0.16	9.5	0.46	4.21	1.9	16.3	10.32	3.40	35.1										Combined flow from Basin A7 runoff & flows piped from DP6.1 in Pond A
	1F	b1.1	2.08	0.33	10.6	0.68	4.04	2.7														Runoff from Basin B1.1, flows south in C&G to DP1F @ Southern project boundary
	2F	B1.2	1.36	0.38	11.0	0.52	3.98	2.1														Runoff from Basin B1.2, flows south in C&G to DP2F @ Southern project boundary
	1.3	B1.3	0.33	0.47	10.2	0.15	4.10	0.6														Runoff from Basin B1.3, flows south in C&G to DP1.3 @ Southern project boundary
	B4	B4	1.21	0.08	9.4	0.10	4.23	0.4														Runoff from Basin B4, flows southeast overland to project boundary
	OS1	OS1	0.20	0.08	5.0	0.02	5.17	0.1														Runoff from Basin OS1, flows north to project boundary, continues east in existing POCO Rd C&G
	OS2	OS2	1.01	0.08	6.6	0.08	4.76	0.4														Runoff from Basin OS2, flows east to Sand Creek and continues in creek to South
	D2	D2	0.18	0.08	5.7	0.01	4.98	0.0														Runoff from Basin D2, sheet flows West to ex Vollmer Rd swale @ D2, continues south in swale
	D3	D3	0.17	0.08	5.0	0.01	5.17	0.1														Runoff from Basin D3, sheet flows West to ex Vollmer Rd swale @ D3, continues south in swale

Notes:
Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value
All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

STANDARD FORM SF-3
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Proposed Conditions Rational
Location: El Paso County
Design Storm: 100-Year

Project Name: Homestead North @ Sterling Ranch F3
Project No.: 25188.12
Calculated By: REB
Checked By:
Date: 7/6/22

Description	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF			STREET/SWALE			PIPE				TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS	
		Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	t _c (min)	C*A (ac)	Q (cfs)	Q (cfs)	Q (cfs)	Q _{street/swale} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Q _{pipe} (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	t _c (min)				
	1i 1B	A1	3.82	0.55	12.4	2.11	6.40	13.5				2.6	0.41	2.8	10.9	1.70	5.0	18	6	13.0	0.0	Basin A1 runoff captured by 15' Type R on-grade inlet, piped to DP2.1	
	2i 2B	A2	3.02	0.60	14.8	1.80	5.94	10.7				1.1	0.18	2.8	9.6	1.62	2.0	18	24	8.9	0.0	Basin A1 runoff inlet by-pass/flow by, continues in gutter to DP3	
	2.1																					Basin A2 runoff captured by 15' Type R on-grade inlet, piped to DP2.1	
	3i 3B	A3	4.54	0.56	15.3	2.56	5.87	15.0	14.9	3.32	5.93	19.7			19.7	3.32	2.7	24	344	11.9	0.5	Basin A2 runoff inlet by-pass/flow by, continues in gutter to DP4	
	4i 4B	A4	3.82	0.58	12.0	2.22	6.46	14.3	15.3	2.97	5.87	17.4	4.9	0.84	2.6	12.5	2.13	4.0	24	10	12.3	0.0	Flow in Pipe @ DP2.1, piped to DP4.1
	4.1																					Basin A3 runoff captured by 15' Type R on-grade inlet, piped to DP4.1	
	5i	A5	7.53	0.50	16.0	3.75	5.75	21.6	3.0	0.54	2.6	11.3	1.75	2.5	24	24	10.0	0.0	334	3.2	1.7	Basin A3 runoff inlet by-pass/flow by, continues in gutter to DP5	
	5.1								15.3	7.20	5.85	42.1			42.1	7.20	2.2	36	337	13.4	0.4	Basin A4 runoff captured by 15' Type R on-grade inlet, piped to DP4.1	
	6i	A6	4.29	0.59	13.7	2.52	6.13	15.5	17.0	4.59	5.60	25.7			25.7	4.59	7.0	36	5	17.6	0.0	Basin A4 runoff inlet by-pass/flow by, continues in gutter to DP6	
	6.1								17.0	11.78	5.60	66.0			66.0	11.78	3.0	42	24	16.8	0.0	Flow in Pipe @ DP4.1, piped to DP5.1	
	7.1	A7	2.93	0.40	9.5	1.18	7.07	8.3	13.8	3.06	6.11	18.7			18.7	3.06	3.0	42	1	11.7	0.0	Basin A5 runoff captured by 15' Type R sump inlet, piped to DP5.1	
	1F	b1.1	2.08	0.53	10.6	1.09	6.78	7.4														Basin A6 runoff captured by 15' Type R sump inlet, piped to DP6.1	
	2F	B1.2	1.36	0.57	11.0	0.77	6.68	5.1														Flow in Pipe @ DP6.1, piped to DP7 (Pond A)	
	1.3	B1.3	0.33	0.63	10.2	0.21	6.88	1.4														Combined flow from Basin A7 runoff & flows piped from DP6.1 in Pond A	
	B4	B4	1.21	0.35	9.4	0.42	7.10	3.0														Runoff from Basin B1.1, flows south in C&G to DP1F @ Southern project boundary	
	OS1	OS1	0.20	0.35	5.0	0.07	8.68	0.6														Runoff from Basin B1.2, flows south in C&G to DP2F @ Southern project boundary	
	OS2	OS2	1.01	0.35	6.6	0.35	8.00	2.8														Runoff from Basin B1.3, flows south in C&G to DP1.3 @ Southern project boundary	
	D2	D2	0.18	0.35	5.7	0.06	8.36	0.5														Runoff from Basin B4, flows southeast overland to project boundary	
	D3	D3	0.17	0.35	5.0	0.06	8.68	0.5														Runoff from Basin OS1, flows north to project boundary, continues east in existing POCO Rd C&G	
																						Runoff from Basin OS2, flows east to Sand Creek and continues in creek to South	
																						Runoff from Basin D2, sheet flows West to ex Vollmer Rd swale @ D2, continues south in swale	
																						Runoff from Basin D3, sheet flows West to ex Vollmer Rd swale @ D3, continues south in swale	

Notes:
Street and Pipe C*A values are determined by Q/I using the catchment's intensity value.
All pipes are private and RCP unless otherwise noted. Pipe size shown in table column.

Appendix C

Hydraulic Calculations

Channel Report

EX Swale Section A-A

Trapezoidal


Bottom Width (ft) = 2.00
Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 4.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.40
Invert Elev (ft) = 1.00
Slope (%) = 1.00
N-Value = 0.035

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 1.31
Q (cfs) = 32.70
Area (sqft) = 9.48
Velocity (ft/s) = 3.45
Wetted Perim (ft) = 12.80
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 1.11
Top Width (ft) = 12.48
EGL (ft) = 1.49

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q
Known Q (cfs) = 32.70



Channel Report

EX Swale Section B-B

Trapezoidal


Bottom Width (ft)	= 2.00
Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 4.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 2.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 1.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.035

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 0.84
Q (cfs)	= 12.00
Area (sqft)	= 4.50
Velocity (ft/s)	= 2.67
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 8.93
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.69
Top Width (ft)	= 8.72
EGL (ft)	= 0.95

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 12.00



Channel Report

Swale A-A

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 20.00, 50.00

Total Depth (ft) = 0.61

Invert Elev (ft) = 1.00

Slope (%) = 0.70

N-Value = 0.035

Calculations

Compute by:

Known Q (cfs)

Known Q

= 4.16

A portion of flow
from basin A5

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.34

Q (cfs) = 4.160

Area (sqft) = 4.05


Velocity (ft/s) = 1.03

Wetted Perim (ft) = 23.81

Crit Depth, Y_c (ft) = 0.25

Top Width (ft) = 23.80

EGL (ft) = 0.36



Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Tuesday, Aug 2 2022

This sheet appears to be a duplicate. Please delete.

JR Response: Removed

EX Swale Section A-A

Trapezoidal


Bottom Width (ft) = 2.00
Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 4.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.40
Invert Elev (ft) = 1.00
Slope (%) = 1.00
N-Value = 0.035

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 1.31
Q (cfs) = 32.70
Area (sqft) = 9.48
Velocity (ft/s) = 3.45
Wetted Perim (ft) = 12.80
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 1.11
Top Width (ft) = 12.48
EGL (ft) = 1.49

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q
Known Q (cfs) = 32.70



INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	1i	2i	3i
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	On Grade	On Grade
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows

Minor Q_{Known} (cfs)	5.2	4.5	6.1
Major Q_{Known} (cfs)	13.5	10.7	17.4

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)			
Percent Impervious			
NRCS Soil Type			

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)			
Overland Length (ft)			
Channel Slope (ft/ft)			
Channel Length (ft)			

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	5.2	4.5	6.1
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	13.5	10.7	17.4
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	2.6	1.2	4.9

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	4i	5i	6i
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	In Sump	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows

Minor Q_{Known} (cfs)	6.0	7.5	6.5
Major Q_{Known} (cfs)	14.3	25.7	18.7

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)			
Percent Impervious			
NRCS Soil Type			

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)			
Overland Length (ft)			
Channel Slope (ft/ft)			
Channel Length (ft)			

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

CALCULATED OUTPUT


Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	6.0	7.5	6.5
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	14.3	25.7	18.7
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	N/A	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	3.0	N/A	N/A

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3

Inlet ID: 1i



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK} =	5.0	ft
S_{BACK} =	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK} =	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H_{CURB} =	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN} =	17.0	ft
W =	2.00	ft
S_x =	0.020	ft/ft
S_w =	0.083	ft/ft
S_o =	0.028	ft/ft
n_{STREET} =	0.013	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX} =	11.0	17.0	ft
d_{MAX} =	4.0	6.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow} =	7.0	18.1	cfs

Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$a_{LOCAL} =$	3.0	inches
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	3	
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$L_o =$	5.00	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$W_o =$	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_r-G =$	N/A	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'	$C_r-C =$	0.10	0.10
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o =$	5.2	13.5 cfs
Water Spread Width	T =	9.1	13.8 ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	d =	3.7	4.8 inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} =$	0.0	0.0 inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o =$	0.627	0.430
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x =$	1.9	7.7 cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w =$	3.3	5.8 cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} =$	0.0	0.0 cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w =$	0.45	0.64 sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w =$	7.3	9.1 fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} =$	6.7	7.8 inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)	$L =$	N/A	N/A ft
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$E_{o-GRATE} =$	N/A	N/A
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$V_o =$	N/A	N/A fps
Under No-Clogging Condition	$R_f =$	N/A	N/A
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$R_x =$	N/A	N/A
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$Q_i =$	N/A	N/A cfs
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$GrateCoef =$	N/A	N/A
Interception Capacity	$GrateClog =$	N/A	N/A
Under Clogging Condition	$L_e =$	N/A	N/A ft
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$V_o =$	N/A	N/A fps
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$R_f =$	N/A	N/A
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$R_x =$	N/A	N/A
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$Q_a =$	N/A	N/A cfs
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$Q_b =$	N/A	N/A cfs
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$Q_o =$	5.2	10.9 cfs
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_b =$	0.0	2.6 cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$S_e =$	0.138	0.101 ft/ft
Curb or Slotted Inlet Opening Analysis (Calculated)	$L_T =$	13.14	24.67 ft
Equivalent Slope S_e (based on grate carry-over)	$L =$	13.14	15.00 ft
Required Length L_T to Have 100% Interception	$Q_i =$	5.2	11.0 cfs
Under No-Clogging Condition	$CurbCoef =$	1.31	1.31
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L , L_T)	$CurbClog =$	0.04	0.04
Interception Capacity	$L_e =$	14.34	14.34 ft
Under Clogging Condition	$Q_a =$	5.2	10.9 cfs
Clogging Coefficient	$Q_b =$	0.0	2.6 cfs
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$Q =$	5.2	10.9 cfs
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$Q_b =$	0.0	2.6 cfs
Actual Interception Capacity	$C\% =$	100	81 %
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_c =$	5.2	10.9 cfs
Summary	$Q_b =$	0.0	2.6 cfs
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$C\% =$	100	81 %
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_c =$	5.2	10.9 cfs
Capture Percentage = $Q_c/Q_o =$	$Q_b =$	0.0	2.6 cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3

Inlet ID: 2i



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T _{BACK} =	5.0	ft
S _{BACK} =	0.020	ft/ft
n _{BACK} =	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H _{CURB} =	6.00	inches
T _{CROWN} =	17.0	ft
W =	2.00	ft
S _x =	0.020	ft/ft
S _w =	0.083	ft/ft
S _o =	0.028	ft/ft
n _{STREET} =	0.013	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T _{MAX} =	11.0	17.0	ft
d _{MAX} =	4.0	6.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q _{allow} =	7.0	18.1	cfs

Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	a_{LOCAL} =	3.0	inches
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	3	
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	L_o =	5.00	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	W_o =	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C_r-G =	N/A	
	C_r-C =	0.10	0.10
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	Q_o =	4.5	10.7 cfs
Water Spread Width	T =	8.5	12.5 ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	d =	3.5	4.5 inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	d_{CROWN} =	0.0	0.0 inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	E_o =	0.661	0.473
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	Q_x =	1.5	5.7 cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	Q_w =	3.0	5.1 cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	Q_{BACK} =	0.0	0.0 cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	A_w =	0.42	0.59 sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	V_w =	7.0	8.6 fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	d_{LOCAL} =	6.5	7.5 inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	L =	N/A	N/A ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE$ =	N/A	N/A
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V_o =	N/A	N/A fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R_f =	N/A	N/A
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R_x =	N/A	N/A
Interception Capacity	Q_i =	N/A	N/A cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateCoef =	N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateClog =	N/A	N/A
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	L_e =	N/A	N/A ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V_o =	N/A	N/A fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R_f =	N/A	N/A
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R_x =	N/A	N/A
Actual Interception Capacity	Q_{i_a} =	N/A	N/A cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_{i_a}$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	Q_b =	N/A	N/A cfs
Curb or Slotted Inlet Opening Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S_e (based on grate carry-over)	S_e =	0.144	0.109 ft/ft
Required Length L_T to Have 100% Interception	L_T =	11.94	21.16 ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L , L_T)	L =	11.94	15.00 ft
Interception Capacity	Q_i =	4.5	9.5 cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	CurbCoef =	1.31	1.31
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	CurbClog =	0.04	0.04
Effective (Unclogged) Length	L_e =	14.34	14.34 ft
Actual Interception Capacity	Q_{i_a} =	4.5	9.5 cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_{i_a}$	Q_b =	0.0	1.2 cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	4.5	9.5 cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q_b =	0.0	1.2 cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_i/Q_o =	C% =	100	88 %

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)


Project: **Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3**
 Inlet ID: **3i**



Gutter Geometry:	
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 5.0$ ft
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} = 0.020$
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
Gutter Width	$W = 2.00$ ft
Street Transverse Slope	$S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_0 = 0.028$ ft/ft
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} = 0.013$
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	$T_{MAX} = \begin{matrix} \text{Minor Storm} & \text{Major Storm} \\ 11.0 & 17.0 \end{matrix}$ ft
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	$d_{MAX} = \begin{matrix} \text{Minor Storm} & \text{Major Storm} \\ 4.0 & 6.0 \end{matrix}$ inches
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion	
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion	
Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'	$Q_{allow} = \begin{matrix} \text{Minor Storm} & \text{Major Storm} \\ 7.0 & 18.1 \end{matrix}$ cfs
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'	

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	a_{LOCAL} =	3.0	inches
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	N_o =	3	
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	L_o =	5.00	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	W_o =	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C_r-G =	N/A	
	C_r-C =	0.10	0.10
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	Q_o =	6.1	17.4 cfs
Water Spread Width	T =	9.8	15.4 ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	d =	3.9	5.2 inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	d_{CROWN} =	0.0	0.0 inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	E_o =	0.590	0.388
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	Q_x =	2.5	10.7 cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	Q_w =	3.6	6.8 cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	Q_{BACK} =	0.0	0.0 cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	A_w =	0.48	0.70 sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	V_w =	7.6	9.6 fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	d_{LOCAL} =	6.9	8.2 inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	L =	N/A	N/A ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE$ =	N/A	N/A
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V_o =	N/A	N/A fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R_f =	N/A	N/A
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R_x =	N/A	N/A
Interception Capacity	Q_i =	N/A	N/A cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateCoef =	N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateClog =	N/A	N/A
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	L_e =	N/A	N/A ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V_o =	N/A	N/A fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R_f =	N/A	N/A
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R_x =	N/A	N/A
Actual Interception Capacity	Q_{i_a} =	N/A	N/A cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_{i_a}$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	Q_b =	N/A	N/A cfs
Curb or Slotted Inlet Opening Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S_e (based on grate carry-over)	S_e =	0.131	0.093 ft/ft
Required Length L_T to Have 100% Interception	L_T =	14.59	29.15 ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L , L_T)	L =	14.59	15.00 ft
Interception Capacity	Q_i =	6.1	12.7 cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	CurbCoef =	1.31	1.31
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	CurbClog =	0.04	0.04
Effective (Unclogged) Length	L_e =	14.34	14.34 ft
Actual Interception Capacity	Q_{i_a} =	6.1	12.5 cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_{i_a}$	Q_b =	0.0	4.9 cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	6.1	12.5 cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q_b =	0.0	4.9 cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_i/Q_o =	C% =	100	72 %

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)
 (Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)


Project: **Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3**
 Inlet ID: **4i**



Gutter Geometry:									
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 5.0$ ft								
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft								
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} = 0.020$								
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches								
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft								
Gutter Width	$W = 2.00$ ft								
Street Transverse Slope	$S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft								
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft								
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_0 = 0.028$ ft/ft								
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} = 0.013$								
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Minor Storm</td> <td>Major Storm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$T_{MAX} =$</td> <td>11.0</td> <td>17.0</td> <td>ft</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		$T_{MAX} =$	11.0	17.0	ft
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
$T_{MAX} =$	11.0	17.0	ft						
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Minor Storm</td> <td>Major Storm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$d_{MAX} =$</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>6.0</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		$d_{MAX} =$	4.0	6.0	inches
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
$d_{MAX} =$	4.0	6.0	inches						
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Minor Storm</td> <td>Major Storm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion									
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion									
Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Minor Storm</td> <td>Major Storm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$Q_{allow} =$</td> <td>7.0</td> <td>18.1</td> <td>cfs</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		$Q_{allow} =$	7.0	18.1	cfs
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
$Q_{allow} =$	7.0	18.1	cfs						
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'									

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	inches
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	3	
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	L _o =	5.00	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	W _o =	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _{r-G} =	N/A	
	C _{r-C} =	0.10	0.10
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	Q _o =	6.0	14.3 cfs
Water Spread Width	T =	9.7	14.2 ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	d =	3.8	4.9 inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T _{MAX})	d _{CROWN} =	0.0	0.0 inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	E _o =	0.594	0.421
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T _x	Q _x =	2.4	8.3 cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	Q _w =	3.6	6.0 cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	Q _{BACK} =	0.0	0.0 cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	A _w =	0.47	0.65 sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	V _w =	7.5	9.2 fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	d _{LOCAL} =	6.8	7.9 inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	L =	N/A	N/A ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	E _{o-GRATE} =	N/A	N/A
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V _o =	N/A	N/A fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R _f =	N/A	N/A
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R _x =	N/A	N/A
Interception Capacity	Q _i =	N/A	N/A cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateCoef =	N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateClog =	N/A	N/A
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	L _e =	N/A	N/A ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V _o =	N/A	N/A fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R _f =	N/A	N/A
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R _x =	N/A	N/A
Actual Interception Capacity	Q _{ai} =	N/A	N/A cfs
Carry-Over Flow = Q _o - Q _{ai} (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	Q _{ob} =	N/A	N/A cfs
Curb or Slotted Inlet Opening Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S _e (based on grate carry-over)	S _e =	0.131	0.099 ft/ft
Required Length L _r to Have 100% Interception	L _r =	14.44	25.62 ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L, L _r)	L =	14.44	15.00 ft
Interception Capacity	Q _i =	6.0	11.4 cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	CurbCoef =	1.31	1.31
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	CurbClog =	0.04	0.04
Effective (Unclogged) Length	L _e =	14.34	14.34 ft
Actual Interception Capacity	Q _a =	6.0	11.3 cfs
Carry-Over Flow = Q _o (GRATE) - Q _a	Q _{ob} =	0.0	3.0 cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	6.0	11.3 cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	3.0 cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	79 %

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3

Inlet ID: 5i



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$T_{BACK} = 5.0$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.020$

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.013$

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	11.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	4.0	6.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion


$Q_{allow} =$

Minor Storm	Major Storm
SUMP	SUMP

 cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)




		MINOR	MAJOR	
Design Information (Input)				
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	No =	3	3	
Grate Information	Ponding Depth =	5.0	7.7	inches
Length of a Unit Grate		MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
Width of a Unit Grate	L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	L _o (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	W _p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _r (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
	C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on Modified HEC22 Method)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q _{wi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{wa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as a Orifice (based on Modified HEC22 Method)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q _{oi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{oa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow		MINOR	MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q _{mi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{ma} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef =	1.31	1.31	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog =	0.04	0.04	
Curb Opening as a Weir (based on Modified HEC22 Method)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q _{wi} =	8.4	27.1	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{wa} =	8.0	25.9	cfs
Curb Opening as an Orifice (based on Modified HEC22 Method)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q _{oi} =	26.8	33.0	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{oa} =	25.7	31.6	cfs
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow		MINOR	MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q _{mi} =	14.0	27.8	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{ma} =	13.3	26.6	cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q_{Curb} =	8.0	25.9	cfs
Resultant Street Conditions		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Length	L =	15.00	15.00	feet
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)	T =	14.5	26.0	ft. >T-Crown
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown	d _{CROWN} =	0.0	2.1	inches
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.25	0.48	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.47	0.73	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.72	0.88	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q_s =	8.0	25.9	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>0 PEAK)	Q_{PEAK REQUIRED} =	7.5	25.7	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3


Inlet ID: 6i



Gutter Geometry:										
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 5.0$ ft									
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft									
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} = 0.020$									
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches									
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft									
Gutter Width	$W = 2.00$ ft									
Street Transverse Slope	$S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft									
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft									
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft									
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} = 0.013$									
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Major Storm</td> <td style="border: none;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">11.0</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">17.0</td> <td style="border: none;">ft</td> </tr> </table>	Minor Storm	Major Storm		11.0	17.0	ft			
Minor Storm	Major Storm									
11.0	17.0	ft								
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Major Storm</td> <td style="border: none;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">4.0</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">6.0</td> <td style="border: none;">inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="border: none;"></td> </tr> </table>	Minor Storm	Major Storm		4.0	6.0	inches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Minor Storm	Major Storm									
4.0	6.0	inches								
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>									
Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions										
MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion										
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion										
Q_{allow}	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: none;">Major Storm</td> <td style="border: none;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">SUMP</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">SUMP</td> <td style="border: none;">cfs</td> </tr> </table>	Minor Storm	Major Storm		SUMP	SUMP	cfs			
Minor Storm	Major Storm									
SUMP	SUMP	cfs								

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Type R Curb Opening																																									
Type of Inlet	Type = CDOT Type R Curb Opening																																									
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	$a_{local} = 3.00$	inches																																								
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No = 3																																									
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth = 4.7	inches																																								
Grate Information	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>$L_o (G)$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W_o</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A_{ratio}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$C_f (G)$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$C_w (G)$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$C_o (G)$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		$L_o (G)$	N/A	N/A	feet	W_o	N/A	N/A	feet	A_{ratio}	N/A	N/A		$C_f (G)$	N/A	N/A		$C_w (G)$	N/A	N/A		$C_o (G)$	N/A	N/A													
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
$L_o (G)$	N/A	N/A	feet																																							
W_o	N/A	N/A	feet																																							
A_{ratio}	N/A	N/A																																								
$C_f (G)$	N/A	N/A																																								
$C_w (G)$	N/A	N/A																																								
$C_o (G)$	N/A	N/A																																								
Length of a Unit Grate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths																																									
Width of a Unit Grate																																										
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)																																										
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)																																										
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)																																										
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)																																										
Curb Opening Information	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>$L_o (C)$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H_{vert}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H_{throat}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Theta</td> <td style="text-align: center;">63.40</td> <td style="text-align: center;">63.40</td> <td style="text-align: right;">degrees</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W_p</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$C_f (C)$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.10</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$C_w (C)$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.60</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.60</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>$C_o (C)$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.67</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.67</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		$L_o (C)$	5.00	5.00	feet	H_{vert}	6.00	6.00	inches	H_{throat}	6.00	6.00	inches	Theta	63.40	63.40	degrees	W_p	2.00	2.00	feet	$C_f (C)$	0.10	0.10		$C_w (C)$	3.60	3.60		$C_o (C)$	0.67	0.67					
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
$L_o (C)$	5.00	5.00	feet																																							
H_{vert}	6.00	6.00	inches																																							
H_{throat}	6.00	6.00	inches																																							
Theta	63.40	63.40	degrees																																							
W_p	2.00	2.00	feet																																							
$C_f (C)$	0.10	0.10																																								
$C_w (C)$	3.60	3.60																																								
$C_o (C)$	0.67	0.67																																								
Length of a Unit Curb Opening																																										
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches																																										
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches																																										
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)																																										
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)																																										
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)																																										
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)																																										
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)																																										
Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Coef</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Clog</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{wi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{wa}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{oi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{oa}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{mi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{ma}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{grate}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Coef	N/A	N/A		Clog	N/A	N/A		Q_{wi}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{wa}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{oi}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{oa}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{mi}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{ma}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{grate}	N/A	N/A	cfs
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Coef	N/A	N/A																																								
Clog	N/A	N/A																																								
Q_{wi}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{wa}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{oi}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{oa}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{mi}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{ma}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{grate}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units																																										
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units																																										
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on Modified HEC22 Method)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q_{wi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{wa}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Q_{wi}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{wa}	N/A	N/A	cfs																												
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Q_{wi}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{wa}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Interception without Clogging																																										
Interception with Clogging																																										
Grate Capacity as a Orifice (based on Modified HEC22 Method)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q_{oi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{oa}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Q_{oi}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{oa}	N/A	N/A	cfs																												
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Q_{oi}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{oa}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Interception without Clogging																																										
Interception with Clogging																																										
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q_{mi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{ma}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{curb}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20.2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Q_{mi}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{ma}	N/A	N/A	cfs	Q_{curb}	6.6	20.2	cfs																								
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Q_{mi}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{ma}	N/A	N/A	cfs																																							
Q_{curb}	6.6	20.2	cfs																																							
Interception without Clogging																																										
Interception with Clogging																																										
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)																																										
Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Coef</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.31</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.31</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Clog</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.04</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.04</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{wi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.9</td> <td style="text-align: center;">21.1</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{wa}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20.2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{oi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">26.1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">31.5</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{oa}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24.9</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30.1</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{mi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12.5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24.0</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{ma}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12.0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">22.9</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{curb}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20.2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Coef	1.31	1.31		Clog	0.04	0.04		Q_{wi}	6.9	21.1	cfs	Q_{wa}	6.6	20.2	cfs	Q_{oi}	26.1	31.5	cfs	Q_{oa}	24.9	30.1	cfs	Q_{mi}	12.5	24.0	cfs	Q_{ma}	12.0	22.9	cfs	Q_{curb}	6.6	20.2	cfs
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Coef	1.31	1.31																																								
Clog	0.04	0.04																																								
Q_{wi}	6.9	21.1	cfs																																							
Q_{wa}	6.6	20.2	cfs																																							
Q_{oi}	26.1	31.5	cfs																																							
Q_{oa}	24.9	30.1	cfs																																							
Q_{mi}	12.5	24.0	cfs																																							
Q_{ma}	12.0	22.9	cfs																																							
Q_{curb}	6.6	20.2	cfs																																							
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units																																										
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units																																										
Curb Opening as a Weir (based on Modified HEC22 Method)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q_{wi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.9</td> <td style="text-align: center;">21.1</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{wa}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20.2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Q_{wi}	6.9	21.1	cfs	Q_{wa}	6.6	20.2	cfs																												
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Q_{wi}	6.9	21.1	cfs																																							
Q_{wa}	6.6	20.2	cfs																																							
Interception without Clogging																																										
Interception with Clogging																																										
Curb Opening as an Orifice (based on Modified HEC22 Method)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q_{oi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">26.1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">31.5</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{oa}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24.9</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30.1</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Q_{oi}	26.1	31.5	cfs	Q_{oa}	24.9	30.1	cfs																												
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Q_{oi}	26.1	31.5	cfs																																							
Q_{oa}	24.9	30.1	cfs																																							
Interception without Clogging																																										
Interception with Clogging																																										
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q_{mi}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12.5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24.0</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{ma}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12.0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">22.9</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_{curb}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20.2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Q_{mi}	12.5	24.0	cfs	Q_{ma}	12.0	22.9	cfs	Q_{curb}	6.6	20.2	cfs																								
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Q_{mi}	12.5	24.0	cfs																																							
Q_{ma}	12.0	22.9	cfs																																							
Q_{curb}	6.6	20.2	cfs																																							
Interception without Clogging																																										
Interception with Clogging																																										
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)																																										
Resultant Street Conditions	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L</td> <td style="text-align: center;">15.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">15.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T</td> <td style="text-align: center;">13.3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">22.9</td> <td style="text-align: right;">ft. > T-Crown</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d_{CROWN}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.4</td> <td style="text-align: right;">inches</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		L	15.00	15.00	feet	T	13.3	22.9	ft. > T-Crown	d_{CROWN}	0.0	1.4	inches																								
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
L	15.00	15.00	feet																																							
T	13.3	22.9	ft. > T-Crown																																							
d_{CROWN}	0.0	1.4	inches																																							
Total Inlet Length																																										
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)																																										
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown																																										
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>d_{Grate}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d_{Curb}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.23</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.42</td> <td style="text-align: right;">ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$RF_{Combination}$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.44</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.66</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>RF_{Curb}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.70</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.84</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>RF_{Grate}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		d_{Grate}	N/A	N/A	ft	d_{Curb}	0.23	0.42	ft	$RF_{Combination}$	0.44	0.66		RF_{Curb}	0.70	0.84		RF_{Grate}	N/A	N/A																	
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
d_{Grate}	N/A	N/A	ft																																							
d_{Curb}	0.23	0.42	ft																																							
$RF_{Combination}$	0.44	0.66																																								
RF_{Curb}	0.70	0.84																																								
RF_{Grate}	N/A	N/A																																								
Depth for Grate Midwidth																																										
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation																																										
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets																																										
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets																																										
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets																																										
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q_a</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20.2</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18.7</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Q_a	6.6	20.2	cfs	$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$	6.5	18.7	cfs																												
	MINOR	MAJOR																																								
Q_a	6.6	20.2	cfs																																							
$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$	6.5	18.7	cfs																																							
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>0 PEAK)																																										

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

UD-BMP (Version 3.07, March 2018)

Sheet 1 of 3

Designer: APL
Company: JR ENGINEERING
Date: July 28, 2022
Project: STERLING RANCH HOMESTEAD FIL. 3
Location: EL PASO COUNTY

Pond A - Forebay

<p>1. Basin Storage Volume</p> <p>A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, I_a</p> <p>B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio ($i = I_a / 100$)</p> <p>C) Contributing Watershed Area</p> <p>D) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm</p> <p>E) Design Concept (Select EURV when also designing for flood control)</p> <p>F) Design Volume (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time ($V_{DESIGN} = (1.0 * (0.91 * i^2 - 1.19 * i^2 + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)$)</p> <p>G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume ($V_{WQCV\ OTHER} = (d_6 * (V_{DESIGN} / 0.43))$)</p> <p>H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume (Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired)</p> <p>I) NRCS Hydrologic Soil Groups of Tributary Watershed i) Percentage of Watershed consisting of Type A Soils ii) Percentage of Watershed consisting of Type B Soils iii) Percentage of Watershed consisting of Type C/D Soils</p> <p>J) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume For HSG A: $EURV_A = 1.68 * i^{1.28}$ For HSG B: $EURV_B = 1.36 * i^{1.08}$ For HSG C/D: $EURV_{C/D} = 1.20 * i^{1.08}$</p> <p>K) User Input of Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume (Only if a different EURV Design Volume is desired)</p>	<p>$I_a =$ <input type="text" value="40.5"/> %</p> <p>$i =$ <input type="text" value="0.405"/></p> <p>Area = <input type="text" value="29.950"/> ac</p> <p>$d_6 =$ <input type="text" value="2.52"/> in</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Choose One</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)</p> </div> <p>$V_{DESIGN} =$ <input type="text"/> ac-ft</p> <p>$V_{DESIGN\ OTHER} =$ <input type="text"/> ac-ft</p> <p>$V_{DESIGN\ USER} =$ <input type="text" value="0.452"/> ac-ft</p> <p>HSG A = <input type="text"/> %</p> <p>HSG B = <input type="text"/> %</p> <p>HSG C/D = <input type="text"/> %</p> <p>EURV_{DESIGN} = <input type="text"/> ac-ft</p> <p>EURV_{DESIGN\ USER} = <input type="text"/> ac-ft</p>
<p>2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio (A basin length to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)</p>	<p>L : W = <input type="text" value="2.0"/> : 1</p>
<p>3. Basin Side Slopes</p> <p>A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)</p>	<p>Z = <input type="text" value="4.00"/> ft / ft</p>
<p>4. Inlet</p> <p>A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations:</p>	<p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p>
<p>5. Forebay</p> <p>A) Minimum Forebay Volume ($V_{FMIN} =$ <input type="text" value="3%"/> of the WQCV)</p> <p>B) Actual Forebay Volume</p> <p>C) Forebay Depth ($D_F =$ <input type="text" value="18"/> inch maximum)</p> <p>D) Forebay Discharge</p> <p>i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge</p> <p>ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow ($Q_F = 0.02 * Q_{100}$)</p> <p>E) Forebay Discharge Design</p> <p>F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)</p> <p>G) Rectangular Notch Width</p>	<p>$V_{FMIN} =$ <input type="text" value="0.014"/> ac-ft</p> <p>$V_F =$ <input type="text" value="0.015"/> ac-ft</p> <p>$D_F =$ <input type="text" value="18.0"/> in</p> <p>$Q_{100} =$ <input type="text" value="67.80"/> cfs</p> <p>$Q_F =$ <input type="text" value="1.36"/> cfs</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>Choose One</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Berm With Pipe</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Wall with Rect. Notch</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Wall with V-Notch Weir</p> </div> <p>Calculated $D_p =$ <input type="text"/> in</p> <p>Calculated $W_N =$ <input type="text" value="6.3"/> in</p> <p style="color: blue; font-size: small;">Flow too small for berm w/ pipe</p>

Include copy of last page of this spreadsheet which provides type of well screen to use with orifice plate


JR Response: Addressed

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Project: **Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3**

Basin ID: **Pond A**



Watershed Information

Selected BMP Type =	EDB
Watershed Area =	29.95 acres
Watershed Length =	1,930 ft
Watershed Length to Centroid =	830 ft
Watershed Slope =	0.031 ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	40.50% percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0% percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	100.0% percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0% percent
Target WQC Drain Time =	40.0 hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click "Run CUHP" to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

Water Quality Capture Volume (WQC) =	0.452 acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	1.275 acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	1.247 acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	1.860 acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	2.411 acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	3.199 acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	3.813 acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	4.614 acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 4 in.) =	8.451 acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.941 acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	1.313 acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.803 acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	2.013 acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	2.112 acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	2.416 acre-feet

Optional User Overrides

	acre-feet
	acre-feet
	1.19 inches
	1.50 inches
	1.75 inches
	2.00 inches
	2.25 inches
	2.52 inches
	4.00 inches



Define Zones and Basin Geometry

Zone 1 Volume (WQC) =	0.452 acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.823 acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	1.141 acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	2.416 acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	user ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC}) =	user ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S _{TC}) =	user ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S _{main}) =	user H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	user
Initial Surcharge Area (A _{ISV}) =	user ft ²
Surcharge Volume Length (L _{ISV}) =	user ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W _{ISV}) =	user ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H _{FLOOR}) =	user ft
Length of Basin Floor (L _{FLOOR}) =	user ft
Width of Basin Floor (W _{FLOOR}) =	user ft
Area of Basin Floor (A _{FLOOR}) =	user ft ²
Volume of Basin Floor (V _{FLOOR}) =	user ft ³
Depth of Main Basin (H _{MAIN}) =	user ft
Length of Main Basin (L _{MAIN}) =	user ft
Width of Main Basin (W _{MAIN}) =	user ft
Area of Main Basin (A _{MAIN}) =	user ft ²
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MAIN}) =	user ft ³
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V _{total}) =	user acre-feet

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft ²)	Optional Override Area (ft ²)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft ³)	Volume (ac-ft)
55.11 Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	10	0.000		
	7155.44	0.33	--	--	--	50	0.001	10	0.000
	7156	0.89	--	--	--	829	0.019	256	0.006
	7157	1.89	--	--	--	7,288	0.167	4,314	0.099
	7158	2.89	--	--	--	19,027	0.437	17,472	0.401
	7159	3.89	--	--	--	26,352	0.605	40,161	0.922
	7160	4.89	--	--	--	30,164	0.692	68,419	1.571
	7161	5.89	--	--	--	34,095	0.783	100,548	2.308
	7162	6.89	--	--	--	38,115	0.875	136,653	3.137
	7163	7.89	--	--	--	42,286	0.971	176,853	4.060

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)




DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD- Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Project: Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3

Basin ID: Pond A



Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

	Estimated Stage (ft)	Estimated Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.01	0.452	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	4.46	0.823	Orifice Plate
Zone 3 (100-year)	6.03	1.141	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
Total (all zones)		2.416	

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = ft (distance below the filtration media surface)
 Underdrain Orifice Diameter = inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain
 Underdrain Orifice Area = ft²
 Underdrain Orifice Centroid = feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Centroid of Lowest Orifice = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
 Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
 Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = inches
 Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = sq. inches

Calculated Parameters for Plate
 WQ Orifice Area per Row = ft²
 Elliptical Half-Width = feet
 Elliptical Slot Centroid = feet
 Elliptical Slot Area = ft²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.50	3.00	3.25				
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	1.86	1.86	1.86	2.00				

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Vertical Orifice Area =	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft ²
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Gate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe)

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	<input type="text" value="4.50"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	<input type="text" value="5.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Overflow Weir Gate Slope =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	H:V
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	<input type="text" value="5.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Overflow Gate Type =	<input type="text" value="Type C Gate"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	
Debris Clogging % =	<input type="text" value="50%"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	%

Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Height of Gate Upper Edge, H _g =	<input type="text" value="4.50"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Overflow Weir Slope Length =	<input type="text" value="5.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Gate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	<input type="text" value="5.01"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	
Overflow Gate Open Area w/o Debris =	<input type="text" value="17.40"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft ²
Overflow Gate Open Area w/ Debris =	<input type="text" value="8.70"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft ²

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	<input type="text" value="30.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	inches
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	<input type="text" value="20.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Outlet Orifice Area =	<input type="text" value="3.48"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft ²
Outlet Orifice Centroid =	<input type="text" value="0.94"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	<input type="text" value="1.91"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
 Spillway Crest Length = feet
 Spillway End Slopes = H:V
 Freeboard above Max Water Surface = feet

Calculated Parameters for Spillway

Spillway Design Flow Depth = feet
 Stage at Top of Freeboard = feet
 Basin Area at Top of Freeboard = acres
 Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard = acre-ft

Routed Hydrograph Results

The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF).




	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
Design Storm Return Period =									
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	4.00
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.452	1.275	1.247	1.860	2.411	3.199	3.813	4.614	8.451
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	1.247	1.860	2.411	3.199	3.813	4.614	8.451
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	3.2	9.0	13.6	24.4	30.6	39.2	76.8
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A							
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.11	0.30	0.46	0.82	1.02	1.31	2.56
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	17.8	27.5	34.8	47.5	56.5	67.8	122.0
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.2	0.4	0.4	5.4	11.5	23.1	31.2	37.0	96.3
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.9	1.3
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Outlet Plate 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Gate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3	0.6	1.3	1.8	2.1	2.3
Max Velocity through Gate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	68	67	70	68	66	64	62	53
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	40	72	72	76	75	74	73	71	67
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	3.01	4.46	4.31	4.79	4.99	5.29	5.47	5.82	6.60
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.46	0.65	0.64	0.68	0.70	0.73	0.74	0.78	0.85
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.455	1.281	1.177	1.495	1.640	1.848	1.980	2.246	2.879

JR Response: The maximum ponding depth is below the spillway indicating the 100-year storm is detained within the pond.

Not providing enough stored volume for 100-year storm

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)



S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename: _____

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

Time Interval	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5.00 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.02	1.21
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.39	2.28	2.83	1.90	2.39	2.32	4.86
	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	5.04	6.70	8.48	5.00	5.85	6.25	12.73
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	12.49	19.66	26.51	12.31	14.72	16.68	41.49
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	17.77	27.54	34.85	37.80	45.78	52.34	99.09
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	17.62	26.62	33.22	47.33	56.54	67.79	122.02
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	16.12	23.88	29.90	47.53	56.38	67.53	120.27
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	14.04	20.94	26.65	43.70	51.80	63.64	112.83
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	12.27	18.62	23.51	40.07	47.48	58.31	103.50
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	10.81	16.33	20.75	35.27	41.90	52.53	93.38
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	9.49	14.19	18.25	30.76	36.64	47.32	84.11
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	8.41	12.46	16.30	26.83	32.03	42.59	75.99
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	7.38	11.32	15.07	22.80	27.33	35.80	64.98
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	6.57	10.24	14.13	19.83	23.86	30.38	56.06
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	5.87	9.08	12.66	17.06	20.51	25.44	46.93
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	5.23	7.99	10.87	14.60	17.52	21.11	38.77
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	4.59	6.95	9.18	12.18	14.56	17.31	31.67
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	3.98	5.98	7.66	9.94	11.82	13.85	25.20
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	3.45	4.89	6.35	7.89	9.33	10.70	19.42
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	3.07	4.09	5.50	6.11	7.18	8.03	14.87
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	2.88	3.63	5.01	5.01	5.90	6.41	12.13
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	2.55	3.35	4.60	4.35	5.11	5.40	10.35
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	2.28	3.08	4.15	3.92	4.59	4.69	9.09
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	1.84	2.47	3.33	3.08	3.59	3.58	6.97
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	1.44	1.93	2.60	2.35	2.74	2.64	5.15
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.13	1.50	2.01	1.80	2.09	1.93	3.78
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.88	1.16	1.54	1.37	1.58	1.42	2.77
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.89	1.16	1.04	1.20	1.08	2.09
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.67	0.87	0.78	0.89	0.81	1.56
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.50	0.65	0.59	0.67	0.62	1.18
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.37	0.49	0.44	0.50	0.47	0.89
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.27	0.36	0.33	0.38	0.35	0.67
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.19	0.26	0.24	0.27	0.25	0.48
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.13	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.32
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.19
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.09
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Pond A - Spillway RipRap








Figure 12-21. Embankment protection details and rock sizing chart (adapted from Arapahoe County)

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)




DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention_Version 4.04 (February 2021)

Project: 25188.10 Homestead North Filing No. 2
Basin ID: POND B (Ultimate)

no design changes made with this report to Pond B Outlet



Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

	Estimated Stage (ft)	Estimated Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.16	0.478	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	5.28	0.999	Orifice Plate
Zone 3 (100-year)	7.08	1.123	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
Total (all zones)		2.601	

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = ft (distance below the filtration media surface)
 Underdrain Orifice Diameter = inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain

Underdrain Orifice Area = ft²
 Underdrain Orifice Centroid = feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Invert of Lowest Orifice = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
 Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
 Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = inches
 Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = inches

Calculated Parameters for Plate

WQ Orifice Area per Row = ft²
 Elliptical Half-Width = feet
 Elliptical Slot Centroid = feet
 Elliptical Slot Area = ft²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.79	3.57	4.00				
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	2.00	2.00	2.00	12.00				
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Vertical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft ²
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir (and No Outlet Pipe))

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	5.60	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Height of Grate Upper Edge, Ht,
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	5.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	5.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Grate Type =	Type C Grate	N/A	
Debris Clogging % =	0%	N/A	%

Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Slope Length =	5.00	N/A	feet
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	6.88	N/A	
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =	17.40	N/A	ft ²
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =	17.40	N/A	ft ²

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	0.00	N/A	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	24.00	N/A	inches
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	18.00	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Outlet Orifice Area =	2.53	N/A	ft ²
Outlet Orifice Centroid =	0.83	N/A	feet
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	2.09	N/A	radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
 Spillway Crest Length = feet
 Spillway End Slopes = H:V
 Freeboard above Max Water Surface = feet

Calculated Parameters for Spillway

Spillway Design Flow Depth = feet
 Stage at Top of Freeboard = feet
 Basin Area at Top of Freeboard = acres
 Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard = acre-ft




Routed Hydrograph Results

The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF).

	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
Design Storm Return Period	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	4.00
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in)	N/A	N/A	1.404	2.012	2.548	3.271	3.855	4.598	8.224
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft)	0.478	1.478	1.404	2.012	2.548	3.271	3.855	4.598	8.224
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft)	N/A	N/A	1.404	2.012	2.548	3.271	3.855	4.598	8.224
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs)	N/A	N/A	3.0	8.4	12.8	22.9	28.7	36.7	72.0
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs)	N/A	N/A							
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre)	N/A	N/A	0.11	0.30	0.46	0.81	1.02	1.31	2.56
Peak Inflow Q (cfs)	N/A	N/A	22.2	32.6	40.2	53.0	62.6	74.5	130.8
Peak Outflow Q (cfs)	0.2	0.8	0.7	3.9	11.9	25.8	28.7	30.3	108.7
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.5	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.8	1.5
Structure Controlling Flow	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Outlet Plate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Gate 1 (fps)	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.6	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.8
Max Velocity through Gate 2 (fps)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours)	40	67	68	71	68	66	64	62	53
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours)	42	72	72	77	76	75	74	73	68
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft)	3.16	5.28	4.95	5.73	5.91	6.13	6.39	7.04	7.68
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres)	0.39	0.55	0.53	0.58	0.60	0.62	0.64	0.69	0.74
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft)	0.480	1.482	1.299	1.732	1.839	1.972	2.135	2.574	3.033

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)



S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename: _____


Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

Time Interval	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5.00_min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.02	1.71
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.99	3.25	4.03	2.71	3.39	3.31	6.81
	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	7.13	9.42	11.66	7.00	8.16	8.74	17.00
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	16.72	24.94	32.48	16.43	19.43	21.63	48.65
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	22.20	32.60	40.24	44.46	53.10	60.17	109.98
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	21.10	30.30	36.99	52.99	62.57	74.51	130.75
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	18.74	26.32	32.18	51.45	60.39	71.81	124.81
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	15.90	22.64	28.18	45.66	53.55	65.48	113.68
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	13.53	19.68	24.20	41.26	48.40	58.98	102.33
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	11.55	16.70	20.68	35.18	41.34	51.64	89.59
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	10.08	14.44	18.22	29.69	34.96	45.09	78.60
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	9.07	12.93	16.59	25.82	30.52	40.56	71.12
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	7.88	11.72	15.24	22.06	26.14	33.92	60.17
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	6.77	10.26	13.92	18.83	22.38	28.05	50.41
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	5.75	8.64	11.93	15.52	18.41	22.32	40.03
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	4.82	7.20	9.65	12.58	14.90	17.33	30.92
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	4.07	6.05	7.81	9.72	11.45	13.00	23.22
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	3.64	5.42	6.77	7.42	8.72	9.64	17.55
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	3.46	4.79	6.14	6.11	7.16	7.68	14.15
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	3.35	4.31	5.69	5.29	6.17	6.44	11.93
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	3.29	3.96	5.38	4.75	5.52	5.58	10.39
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	2.91	3.70	5.02	4.38	5.06	4.97	9.29
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	2.57	3.41	4.53	4.15	4.77	4.55	8.50
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	1.99	2.63	3.47	3.18	3.65	3.40	6.34
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	1.50	1.96	2.57	2.35	2.68	2.46	4.58
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.13	1.46	1.90	1.74	1.98	1.83	3.38
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.09	1.39	1.29	1.47	1.36	2.51
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.79	1.01	0.94	1.07	1.00	1.84
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.56	0.73	0.68	0.76	0.72	1.32
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.39	0.53	0.49	0.56	0.53	0.96
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.27	0.36	0.35	0.39	0.37	0.67
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.18	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.44
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.25
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.12
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	


Appendix D

Reference Material



NORTHWESTERN TRIBUTARY
SHEET 1 OF 5





SOUTHWEST TRIBUTARY
SHEET 3 OF 5

**PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT AND MDDP ADDENDUM
FOR
HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH PRELIMINARY PLAN**

Prepared For:

**SR Land, LLC
20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 491-3024**

**April 1st, 2021
Project No. 25188.00**

Prepared By:


**JR Engineering, LLC
5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235
Colorado Springs, CO 80919
719-593-2593**

**PCD Filing No.:
SP-20-008**

DRAINAGE MAP

LEGEND

BASIN ID
 A: BASIN LABEL
 B: AREA
 C: C-100 YR
 D: C-5 YR



DESIGN POINT
 PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION



BASIN DRAINAGE AREA




EXISTING STORM SEWER




STORM SEWER PROPOSED



PROPOSED R.O.W



PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES
 PROPOSED SIDEWALK
 EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
 ROW EXISTING
 FL EXISTING
 SIDEWALK EXISTING
 DRAINAGE ACCESS & MAINTENANCE
 EASEMENT




DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE

DP	Q5		Q100	
	Total	Total	Total	Total
1a	6.9	14.7		
2a	8.3	20.5		
5a	9.5	26.1		
7a	10.4	29.9		
2a	6.4	13.3		
1.1	13.0	18.7		
4a	6.6	15.2		
1.2	23.5	48.1		
6a	10.7	18.5		
1.3	43.6	94.5		
8a	11.3	20.0		
1.4	44.4	96.2		
9A	21.6	103.0		
1.1b	5.5	12.5		
1.2b	3.5	7.4		
2.1	8.7	17.5		
1.3b	1.0	2.2		
2b	2.4	6.8		
3b	0.9	1.7		
4b	7.1	16.8		
6b	10.3	26.5		
9b	12.1	30.3		
5b	4.3	8.9		
7b	7.3	14.9		
2.2	16.3	32.9		
2.3	23.5	47.3		
8b	5.0	13.1		
2.4	35.6	77.6		
10b	5.7	14.3		
2.5	42.5	91.5		
11b	0.9	3.7		
12b	1.5	4.1		
2.6	46.1	102.6		
1c	5.4	11.4		
2.3c	7.1	14.9		
2.1c	0.8	1.6		
2.2c	9.8	20.1		
4.2c	5.9	13.2		
3.1	6.5	11.7		
4c	18.9	41.9		
3.1c	1.2	2.4		
3.2	7.9	12.6		
3.2c	3.6	7.9		
3.3	14.3	24.1		
3.4	31.5	63.1		
5c	4.1	8.8		
3.5	34.5	69.7		
6c	2.5	8.8		
3.6	41.4	78.9		
1o	0.8	6.0		
1d	2.4	6.0		
1.1d	3.2	11.6		
2d	2.5	6.1		
1.2d	5.7	17.7		
3d	0.6	1.2		
4d	1.0	1.1		
1.3d	0.5	2.2		
1.4d	6.4	19.2		
2o	27.1	190.9		
6d	2.5	4.6		
5d	3.1	6.1		
1.5d	29.2	195.0		
1.6d	32.6	205.3		
3o	1.7	12.6		
8d	2.5	14.4		
7d	2.8	4.7		
2.1d	3.5	16.1		
1.7d	36.0	220.9		
5	56.0	264.1		

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE

Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	C5	C100	tc (min)	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
A1	3.67	52%	0.51	0.64	13.3	6.9	14.7
A2	3.21	57%	0.54	0.67	13.7	6.4	13.3
A3	4.79	50%	0.49	0.63	13.9	8.5	18.4
A4	3.56	55%	0.53	0.66	14.0	6.8	14.2
A5	5.43	50%	0.49	0.62	11.1	10.5	22.6
A6	3.95	53%	0.52	0.65	12.5	7.7	16.2
A7	1.97	15%	0.19	0.43	16.5	1.3	4.8
A8	0.46	52%	0.50	0.66	5.0	1.2	2.6
A9	2.78	16%	0.20	0.43	13.4	2.1	7.4
B1.1	3.36	45%	0.45	0.60	13.4	5.5	12.5
B1.2	1.81	54%	0.52	0.65	12.8	3.5	7.4
B1.3	0.47	47%	0.46	0.63	8.1	1.0	2.2
B2	0.82	58%	0.55	0.69	5.0	2.3	4.9
B3	0.24	79%	0.73	0.83	5.0	0.9	1.7
B4	4.21	39%	0.40	0.57	9.5	7.1	16.8
B5	1.75	58%	0.55	0.68	7.8	4.3	8.9
B6	3.66	57%	0.55	0.68	6.6	9.5	19.9
B7	1.28	60%	0.57	0.69	8.9	3.1	6.4
B8	2.30	55%	0.53	0.66	9.6	5.1	10.7
B9	3.69	65%	0.50	0.64	13.1	6.9	14.8
B10	0.22	80%	0.73	0.83	5.0	0.8	1.6
B11	1.65	15%	0.16	0.40	16.7	0.9	3.7
B12	2.40	40%	0.30	0.50	39.8	1.5	4.1
C1	2.82	69%	0.52	0.65	13.1	5.4	11.4
C2.1	0.20	91%	0.82	0.90	5.0	0.8	1.6
C2.2	4.69	73%	0.56	0.68	12.8	9.9	20.3
C2.3	0.83	67%	0.54	0.68	10.1	1.9	3.9
C3.1	0.35	73%	0.68	0.79	5.0	1.2	2.4
C3.2	1.46	71%	0.56	0.68	8.4	3.6	7.4
C4.1	6.35	65%	0.49	0.63	12.1	12.0	25.8
C4.2	3.44	59%	0.46	0.61	12.7	5.9	13.2
C5	0.16	81%	0.74	0.84	7.2	0.6	1.0
C6	2.48	21%	0.22	0.45	6.8	2.5	8.8
D1	1.83	39%	0.39	0.58	16.7	2.4	6.0
D2	1.77	43%	0.43	0.61	16.3	2.5	6.1
D3	0.18	68%	0.63	0.76	5.4	0.6	1.2
D4	0.19	57%	0.54	0.70	6.3	0.5	1.1
D5	0.91	77%	0.71	0.82	6.0	3.1	6.1
D6	0.83	69%	0.64	0.77	6.4	2.5	5.2
D7	0.75	79%	0.72	0.83	5.0	2.8	5.4
D8	0.72	69%	0.64	0.77	5.0	2.4	4.8
OS1	2.85	2%	0.08	0.35	14.5	0.8	6.0
OS2	179.61	2%	0.08	0.35	47.4	27.1	190.9
OS3	11.99	2%	0.08	0.35	47.6	1.7	12.6



SEE SHEET 2




DRAINAGE MAP
 HOMESTEAD NORTH
 JOB NO. 25188.00
 3/23/22
 SHEET 1 OF 2

J-R ENGINEERING
 A Westrian Company

Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

DRAINAGE MAP

SEE SHEET 1



LEGEND

BASIN ID
 A: BASIN LABEL
 B: AREA
 C: C - 100 YR
 D: C - 5 YR

PROPOSED R.O.W
 PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES
 PROPOSED SIDEWALK
 EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
 ROW EXISTING
 FL EXISTING
 SIDEWALK EXISTING
 DRAINAGE ACCESS & MAINTENANCE EASEMENT

DESIGN POINT
 PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION

BASIN DRAINAGE AREA
 EXISTING STORM SEWER
 STORM SEWER PROPOSED

EXISTING
 PROPOSED

DP	Q5		Q100	
	Total	Total	Total	Total
1a	6.9	14.7		
3a	8.3	20.5		
5a	9.5	26.1		
7a	10.4	29.9		
2a	6.4	13.3		
1.1	13.0	18.7		
4a	6.6	15.2		
1.2	23.5	48.1		
6a	10.7	18.5		
1.3	43.6	94.5		
8a	11.3	20.0		
1.4	44.4	96.2		
9a	21.6	103.0		
1.1b	5.5	12.5		
1.2b	3.5	7.4		
2.1	8.7	17.5		
1.3b	1.0	2.2		
2b	2.4	6.8		
3b	0.9	1.7		
4b	7.1	16.8		
6b	10.3	26.5		
9b	12.1	30.3		
5b	4.3	8.9		
7b	7.3	14.9		
2.2	16.3	32.9		
2.3	23.5	47.3		
8b	5.0	13.1		
2.4	35.6	77.6		
10b	5.7	14.3		
2.5	42.5	91.5		
11b	0.9	3.7		
12b	1.5	4.1		
2.6	46.1	102.6		
1c	5.4	11.4		
2.3c	7.1	14.9		
2.1c	0.8	1.6		
2.2c	9.8	20.1		
4.2c	5.9	13.2		
3.1	6.5	11.7		
4c	18.9	41.9		
3.1c	1.2	2.4		
3.2	7.9	12.6		
3.2c	3.6	7.9		
3.3	14.3	24.1		
3.4	31.5	63.1		
5c	4.1	8.8		
3.5	34.5	69.7		
6c	2.5	8.8		
3.6	41.4	78.9		
1e	0.8	6.0		
1d	2.4	6.0		
1.1d	3.2	11.6		
2d	2.5	6.1		
1.2d	5.7	17.7		
3d	0.6	1.2		
4d	1.0	1.1		
1.3d	0.5	2.2		
1.4d	6.4	19.2		
2e	27.1	190.9		
6d	2.5	4.6		
5d	3.1	6.1		
1.5d	29.2	195.0		
1.6d	32.6	205.3		
3e	1.7	12.6		
8d	2.5	14.4		
7d	2.8	4.7		
2.1d	3.5	16.1		
1.7d	36.0	220.9		
5	56.0	264.1		

Tributary	Area	Percent	C5	C100	tc	Q5	Q100
Sub-basin	(acres)	Impervious			(min)	(cfs)	(cfs)
A1	3.67	52%	0.51	0.64	13.3	6.9	14.7
A2	3.21	57%	0.54	0.67	13.7	6.4	13.3
A3	4.79	50%	0.49	0.63	13.9	8.5	18.4
A4	3.56	55%	0.53	0.66	14.0	6.8	14.2
A5	5.43	50%	0.49	0.62	11.1	10.5	22.6
A6	3.95	53%	0.52	0.65	12.5	7.7	16.2
A7	1.97	15%	0.19	0.43	16.5	1.3	4.8
A8	0.46	52%	0.50	0.66	5.0	1.2	2.6
A9	2.78	16%	0.20	0.43	13.4	2.1	7.4
B1.1	3.36	45%	0.45	0.60	13.4	5.5	12.5
B1.2	1.81	54%	0.52	0.65	12.8	3.5	7.4
B1.3	0.47	47%	0.46	0.63	8.1	1.0	2.2
B2	0.82	58%	0.55	0.69	5.0	2.3	4.9
B3	0.24	79%	0.73	0.83	5.0	0.9	1.7
B4	4.21	39%	0.40	0.57	9.5	7.1	16.8
B5	1.75	58%	0.55	0.68	7.8	4.3	8.9
B6	3.66	57%	0.55	0.68	6.6	9.5	19.9
B7	1.28	60%	0.57	0.69	8.9	3.1	6.4
B8	2.30	55%	0.53	0.66	9.6	5.1	10.7
B9	3.69	65%	0.50	0.64	13.1	6.9	14.8
B10	0.22	80%	0.73	0.83	5.0	0.8	1.6
B11	1.65	15%	0.16	0.40	16.7	0.9	3.7
B12	2.40	40%	0.30	0.50	39.8	1.5	4.1
C1	2.82	69%	0.52	0.65	13.1	5.4	11.4
C2.1	0.20	91%	0.82	0.90	5.0	0.8	1.6
C2.2	4.69	73%	0.56	0.68	12.8	9.9	20.3
C2.3	0.83	67%	0.54	0.68	10.1	1.9	3.9
C3.1	0.35	73%	0.68	0.79	5.0	1.2	2.4
C3.2	1.46	71%	0.56	0.68	8.4	3.6	7.4
C4.1	6.35	65%	0.49	0.63	12.1	12.0	25.8
C4.2	3.44	59%	0.46	0.61	12.7	5.9	13.2
C5	0.16	81%	0.74	0.84	7.2	0.6	1.0
C6	2.48	21%	0.22	0.45	6.8	2.5	8.8
D1	1.83	39%	0.39	0.58	16.7	2.4	6.0
D2	1.77	43%	0.43	0.61	16.3	2.5	6.1
D3	0.18	68%	0.63	0.76	5.4	0.6	1.2
D4	0.19	57%	0.54	0.70	6.3	0.5	1.1
D5	0.91	77%	0.71	0.82	6.0	3.1	6.1
D6	0.83	69%	0.64	0.77	6.4	2.5	5.2
D7	0.75	79%	0.72	0.83	5.0	2.8	5.4
D8	0.72	69%	0.64	0.77	5.0	2.4	4.8
OS1	2.85	2%	0.08	0.35	14.5	0.8	6.0
OS2	179.61	2%	0.08	0.35	47.4	27.1	190.9
OS3	11.99	2%	0.08	0.35	47.6	1.7	12.6

DESIGN POINT FROM M&S MDDP
 (PROPOSED CONDITIONS MAP) TO BE EXISTING
 AT THE TIME OF CHANNEL DEVELOPMENT
 $Q_{(100)} = 1775.7$ CFS
 $Q_{(5)} = 366.6$ CFS




DRAINAGE MAP
 HOMESTEAD NORTH
 JOB NO. 25188.00
 3/23/22
 SHEET 2 OF 2



Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

WATER QUALITY CAPTURE PLAN


HOMESTEAD NORTH



- POND A 29.82 ACRES, 46.5% IMPERVIOUS**
- POND B 27.86 ACRES, 50.0% IMPERVIOUS**
- POND C 224.42 ACRES, 10.3% IMPERVIOUS**

NOTE:

1. A SEPARATE PLAN FOR STERLING RANCH ROAD AND BRIARGATE PKWY WILL BE PROVIDED IN A THE SEPARATE FDR REQUIRED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THESE ROADWAYS.
2. A TOTAL OF 20,046 SQ-FT ON SITE IS LEFT UNTREATED.
3. POND C TREATS THE IMPROVEMENTS TO VOLLMER ROAD AND THE OFFSITE TRIBUTARY AREA



WQ - PONDS
 HOMESTEAD NORTH
 JOB NO. 25188.00
 03-23-2022
 SHEET 1 OF 1

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO**

Prepared For:

**SR Land, LLC
20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 491-3024**

**June, 2022
Project No. 25188.00**

Prepared By:


**JR Engineering, LLC
5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235
Colorado Springs, CO 80919
719-593-2593**

**PCD Filing No.:
SF-22-2213**




DRAINAGE MAP

SEE SHEET 1



LEGEND

BASIN ID
A: BASIN LABEL
B: AREA
C: C -100 YR
D: C-5 YR




DESIGN POINT



PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION



BASIN DRAINAGE AREA
EXISTING STORM SEWER
STORM SEWER PROPOSED



PROPOSED R.O.W
PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES
PROPOSED SIDEWALK
EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
ROW EXISTING
FL EXISTING
SIDEWALK EXISTING
DRAINAGE ACCESS & MAINTENANCE EASEMENT



BASIN SUMMARY TABLE


Tributary	Area	Percent			tc	Q5	Q100
Sub-basin	(acres)	Impervious	C5	C100	(min)	(cfs)	(cfs)
C1	2.82	69%	0.52	0.65	13.1	5.4	11.4
C2.1	0.20	91%	0.82	0.90	5.0	0.8	1.6
C2.2	4.69	73%	0.56	0.68	12.8	9.9	20.3
C2.3	0.83	67%	0.54	0.68	10.1	1.9	3.9
C3.1	0.35	73%	0.68	0.79	5.0	1.2	2.4
C3.2	1.46	71%	0.56	0.68	8.4	3.6	7.4
C4.1	6.34	65%	0.49	0.63	12.0	12.1	25.9
C4.2	3.59	57%	0.44	0.58	12.9	5.9	13.1
C5	0.16	81%	0.74	0.84	6.4	0.6	1.0
C6	2.59	20%	0.21	0.43	6.8	2.5	8.8
D1	1.77	40%	0.40	0.60	16.5	2.4	6.0
D2	1.44	56%	0.55	0.78	15.0	2.8	6.6
D3	0.18	68%	0.63	0.76	5.4	0.6	1.2
D4	0.19	57%	0.54	0.70	6.3	0.5	1.1
D5	0.91	77%	0.71	0.82	6.0	3.1	6.1
D6	0.83	69%	0.64	0.77	6.4	2.5	5.2
D7	0.75	79%	0.72	0.82	5.0	2.8	5.3
D8	0.72	69%	0.64	0.74	5.0	2.4	4.6
OS1	2.84	2%	0.08	0.35	14.5	0.8	6.0
OS2	179.61	2%	0.08	0.35	47.4	27.1	190.9
OS3	11.98	2%	0.08	0.35	47.6	1.7	12.6

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE

DP	Q5	Q100
	Total	Total
1c	5.4	11.4
2.3c	7.1	14.9
2.3i	7.0	11.5
2.1c	0.8	1.6
2.1i	0.8	1.5
2.2c	9.8	20.1
4.2c	5.9	13.1
4.2i	5.9	10.5
4c	18.8	41.8
3.1	4.7	11.6
3.1c	1.2	2.4
3.1i	1.2	1.9
3.2	7.9	12.9
3.3	9.1	17.6
3.4	26.0	54.9
3.2c	3.6	7.8
5c	4.1	8.7
6c	2.5	8.8
3.5	30.7	65.0
o1	0.8	6.0
1d	2.4	6.0
1.1d	3.2	11.7
2d	2.8	6.6
1.2d	5.8	18.0
3d	0.6	1.2
4d	0.5	1.1
4.1d	0.5	1.1
1.3d	1.0	2.2
1.4d	6.6	19.6
2o	27.1	190.9
6d	2.1	4.3
6.1d	28.1	192.5
Inlet DP 3D	5' Type R	
1.5d	29.2	195.0
5d	3.1	6.1
1.6d	32.7	205.4
Inlet DP 7D	10' Type R	
1.7d	36.1	221.0
3o	1.7	12.6
8d	2.5	14.3
2.1d	2.5	13.2
7d	2.4	5.3
2.2d	3.5	16.0
1.7d	36.1	221.0
5	56.0	264.1

Design Point	Inlet Size
Inlet DP 2.3C	15' Type R
Inlet DP 2.1C	5' Type R
Inlet DP 4.2C	15' Type R
Inlet DP 3.1C	5' Type R
Inlet DP 4C	15' Type R
Inlet DP 5C	15' Type R
Inlet DP 3D	5' Type R
Inlet DP 4D	5' Type R
Inlet DP 5D	10' Type R
Inlet DP 6D	10' Type R
Inlet DP 7D	10' Type R
Inlet DP 8D	20' Type R
Inlet DP 1D	Type D Inlet
Inlet DP 2D	Type D Inlet

DESIGN POINT FROM M&S MDDP (PROPOSED CONDITIONS MAP) TO BE EXISTING AT THE TIME OF CHANNEL DEVELOPMENT
Q₍₁₀₀₎ = 1775.7 CFS
Q₍₅₎ = 366.6 CFS




DRAINAGE MAP
HOMESTEAD NORTH FILLING NO. 1
JOB NO. 25188.00
6/13/22
SHEET 2 OF 2



Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

WATER QUALITY CAPTURE PLAN


HOMESTEAD NORTH



NOTE:

1. A SEPARATE PLAN FOR STERLING RANCH ROAD AND BRIARGATE PKWY WILL BE PROVIDED IN A THE SEPARATE FDR REQUIRED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THESE ROADWAYS.
2. A TOTAL OF 15,488 SQ-FT ON SITE IS LEFT UNTREATED.
3. POND C TREATS THE IMPROVEMENTS TO VOLLMER ROAD AND THE OFFSITE TRIBUTARY AREA

POND C 224.3 ACRES, 10.3% IMPERVIOUS



WQ - POND C
 HOMESTEAD NORTH - FILING ONE
 JOB NO. 25188.00
 06-13-2022
 SHEET 1 OF 1

JR ENGINEERING
 A Westlan Company

Central 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 2**

Prepared For:


**SR Land, LLC
20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 491-3024**

**July 2022
Project No. 25188.10**

**Prepared By:
JR Engineering, LLC
5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235
Colorado Springs, CO 80919
719-593-2593**

**PCD Filing No.:
SF-22-18**

HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 2 DRAINAGE MAP




FLOWS
 2018 M&S MDDP $Q_{(100)} = 1612.2$ CFS
 DPBS $Q_{(100)} = 2262$ CFS
 2008 FEMA LOMR $Q_{(100)} = 2,600$ cfs

SEE SHEET 2

LEGEND

BASIN ID
 A: BASIN LABEL
 B: AREA
 C: C - 100 YR
 D: C - 5 YR



DESIGN POINT



PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION



BASIN DRAINAGE AREA



EXISTING STORM SEWER




STORM SEWER PROPOSED




FUTURE BASIN AREA




PROPOSED R.O.W




PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES




PROPOSED SIDEWALK




EXISTING PROPERTY LINE



ROW EXISTING



FL EXISTING




SIDEWALK EXISTING




DRAINAGE ACCESS & MAINTENANCE




EASEMENT




EXISTING



PROPOSED



FUTURE



NOTE: STORM INFRASTRUCTURE IN PUBLIC R.O.W CONSIDERED PUBLIC. ALL OTHER STORM SEWER INFRASTRUCTURE IS PRIVATE UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY

DP	Q5		Q100	
	Total	Total	Total	Total
1.1b	5.1		12.1	
1.1i	5.1		10.1	
1.2b	3.1		7.0	
1.2i	3.0		6.8	
2.1	8.1		16.9	
1.3b	1.1		2.2	
2b	2.9		8.1	
3b	0.9		1.6	
4b	7.1		17.1	
4i	6.9		12.9	
6b	10.4		26.7	
6i	9.0		14.9	
9b	7.7		25.3	
5b	3.1		6.2	
5i	3.1		5.1	
2.2	3.1		5.1	
7b	4.0		9.0	
7i	4.0		8.4	
2.3	9.6		17.4	
2.4	13.3		25.4	
2.5	18.2		36.1	
8b	4.7		10.4	
2.6	25.5		48.0	
2.7	32.4		71.0	
10b	5.4		11.7	
2.8	36.5		79.9	
11b	1.0		4.6	
C.1	1.8		3.9	
C.2	2.1		5.0	
12b	2.1		6.0	
3.1	2.1		6.0	
13b	0.9		2.1	
3.2	2.6		7.1	
3.3	2.6		7.1	
14b	0.7		1.7	
4	40.2		91.5	
1F	2.9		7.4	
2F	2.1		5.0	
3F	0.4		0.6	
4F	0.3		0.4	

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE

Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	C _s	C ₁₀₀	t _c (min)	Q _s (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
B1.1b	1.24	52%	0.51	0.64	10.2	2.6	5.5
B1.2	0.42	79%	0.73	0.82	5.0	1.5	2.9
B1.3	0.43	50%	0.49	0.64	7.8	0.9	2.0
B2	0.86	58%	0.55	0.69	5.0	2.4	5.1
B3	0.23	78%	0.72	0.83	5.0	0.9	1.6
B4	3.51	46%	0.46	0.61	9.1	6.9	15.3
B5	1.11	61%	0.58	0.70	6.8	3.1	6.2
B6	3.61	58%	0.55	0.69	6.5	9.5	19.9
B7	1.63	56%	0.54	0.67	7.8	4.0	8.2
B8	2.14	56%	0.54	0.66	8.1	5.1	10.6
B9	3.77	64%	0.50	0.64	11.6	7.3	15.7
B10	0.22	80%	0.73	0.83	5.0	0.8	1.6
B11	1.67	11%	0.14	0.39	9.9	1.0	4.6
B12	2.18	36%	0.29	0.49	16.6	2.1	6.0
B13	0.43	54%	0.39	0.55	5.0	0.9	2.1
B14	0.42	45%	0.33	0.52	6.2	0.7	1.7
C-1	0.92	67%	0.48	0.61	10.1	1.8	3.9
C-2	1.24	52%	0.40	0.57	9.3	2.1	5.0
F1	2.08	43%	0.36	0.55	12.1	2.9	7.4
F2	1.37	48%	0.38	0.57	11.7	2.1	5.0
F3	0.08	100%	0.90	0.96	5.0	0.4	0.6
F4	0.06	100%	0.90	0.96	5.0	0.3	0.4
F5	0.69	2%	0.09	0.36	5.0	0.3	2.2

DRAINAGE MAP
 HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 2
 JOB NO. 25188.10
 02-24-2022
 SHEET 1 OF 2



100 50 0 100 200
 ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 100'


J-R ENGINEERING
 A Westrian Company

Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com


HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 2

FUTURE DRAINAGE MAP





SEE SHEET 1



LEGEND



NOTE: STORM INFRASTRUCTURE IN PUBLIC R.O.W. CONSIDERED PUBLIC. ALL OTHER STORM SEWER INFRASTRUCTURE IS PRIVATE UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.




DESIGN POINT SUMMARY

DP	Q5		Q100	
	Total	Total	Total	Total
1.1b	5.1	12.1		
1.1i	5.1	10.1		
1.2b	3.1	7.0		
1.2i	3.0	6.8		
2.1	8.1	16.9		
1.3b	1.1	2.2		
2b	2.9	8.1		
3b	0.9	1.6		
4b	7.1	17.1		
4i	6.9	12.9		
6b	10.4	26.7		
6i	9.0	14.9		
9b	7.7	25.3		
5b	3.1	6.2		
5i	3.1	5.1		
2.2	3.1	5.1		
7b	4.0	9.0		
7i	4.0	8.4		
2.3	9.6	17.4		
2.4	13.3	25.4		
2.5	18.2	36.1		
8b	4.7	10.4		
2.6	25.5	48.0		
2.7	32.4	71.0		
10b	5.4	11.7		
2.8	36.5	79.9		
11b	1.0	4.6		
C.1	1.8	3.9		
C.2	2.1	5.0		
12b	2.1	6.0		
3.1	2.1	6.0		
13b	0.9	2.1		
3.2	2.6	7.1		
3.3	2.6	7.1		
14b	0.7	1.7		
4	40.2	91.5		
1F	2.9	7.4		
2F	2.1	5.0		
3F	0.4	0.6		
4F	0.3	0.4		

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE

Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	Cs	C100	tc (min)	Qs (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
B1.1	1.24	52%	0.51	0.64	10.2	2.6	5.5
B1.2	0.42	79%	0.73	0.82	5.0	1.5	2.9
B1.3	0.43	50%	0.49	0.64	7.8	0.9	2.0
B2	0.86	58%	0.55	0.69	5.0	2.4	5.1
B3	0.23	78%	0.72	0.83	5.0	0.9	1.6
B4	3.51	46%	0.46	0.61	9.1	6.9	15.3
B5	1.11	61%	0.58	0.70	6.8	3.1	6.2
B6	3.61	58%	0.55	0.69	6.5	9.5	19.9
B7	1.63	56%	0.54	0.67	7.8	4.0	8.2
B8	2.14	56%	0.54	0.66	8.1	5.1	10.6
B9	3.77	64%	0.50	0.64	11.6	7.3	15.7
B10	0.22	80%	0.73	0.83	5.0	0.8	1.6
B11	1.67	11%	0.14	0.39	9.9	1.0	4.6
B12	2.18	36%	0.29	0.49	16.6	2.1	6.0
B13	0.43	54%	0.39	0.55	5.0	0.9	2.1
B14	0.42	45%	0.33	0.52	6.2	0.7	1.7
C-1	0.92	67%	0.48	0.61	10.1	1.8	3.9
C-2	1.24	52%	0.40	0.57	9.3	2.1	5.0
F1	2.08	43%	0.36	0.55	12.1	2.9	7.4
F2	1.37	48%	0.38	0.57	11.7	2.1	5.0
F3	0.08	100%	0.90	0.96	5.0	0.4	0.6
F4	0.06	100%	0.90	0.96	5.0	0.3	0.4
F5	0.69	2%	0.09	0.36	5.0	0.3	2.2

DRAINAGE MAP
HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 2
JOB NO. 25188.10
07-15-2022
SHEET 2 OF 2





J-R ENGINEERING
A Westrian Company

Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Depention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)




DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)

Project: 25188 10 Homestead North Filling No. 2

Basin ID: Pond B



	Estimated Stage (ft)	Estimated Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WOCV)	3.06	0.442	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	4.95	0.860	Orifice Plate
Zone 3 (100-year)	6.76	1.078	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
Total (all zones)		2.380	

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WOCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =	N/A	ft (distance below the filtration media surface)
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain	
Underdrain Orifice Area =	N/A ft ²
Underdrain Orifice Centroid =	N/A feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WOCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	5.36	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	N/A	inches
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Plate	
WO Orifice Area per Row =	N/A ft ²
Elliptical Half-Width =	N/A feet
Elliptical Slot Centroid =	N/A feet
Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A ft ²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.79	3.57	4.00				
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	2.00	2.00	2.00	12.00				

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice	
Vertical Orifice Area =	N/A ft ²
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	N/A feet

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir (and No Outlet Pipe))

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	5.60	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	5.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	5.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Grate Type =	Type C Grate	N/A	
Debris Clogging % =	0%	N/A	%

Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir	
Height of Grate Upper Edge, H ₁ =	5.60 feet
Overflow Weir Slope Length =	5.00 feet
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	6.88
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =	17.40 ft ²
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =	17.40 ft ²

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	0.00	N/A	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	24.00	N/A	inches
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	18.00		inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate	
Outlet Orifice Area =	2.53 ft ²
Outlet Orifice Centroid =	0.83 feet
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	2.09 radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage =	7.20	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length =	75.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet

Calculated Parameters for Spillway	
Spillway Design Flow Depth =	0.44 feet
Stage at Top of Freeboard =	8.64 feet
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =	0.82 acres
Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard =	3.79 acre-ft




Routed Hydrograph Results

The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF).

	WOCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
Design Storm Return Period =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	4.00
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.255	1.836	2.352	3.073	3.644	4.379	7.939
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.442	1.302	1.255	1.836	2.352	3.073	3.644	4.379	7.939
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	1.255	1.836	2.352	3.073	3.644	4.379	7.939
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	3.0	8.2	12.5	22.4	28.1	36.0	70.5
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A							
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.11	0.30	0.45	0.81	1.02	1.30	2.55
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	18.7	28.3	35.4	47.4	56.3	67.3	119.7
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.2	0.7	0.7	1.6	9.0	22.1	28.3	29.8	98.1
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.4
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Outlet Plate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.5	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.8
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	66	66	71	69	66	65	63	54
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	40	70	70	77	76	75	74	73	68
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	3.07	4.95	4.68	5.65	5.85	6.07	6.25	6.81	7.64
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.38	0.53	0.51	0.58	0.60	0.61	0.63	0.67	0.74
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.445	1.304	1.165	1.685	1.809	1.942	2.047	2.417	2.996

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)




S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			

Appendix E

Drainage Maps


HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3

EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP



Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	C _s	C ₁₀₀	t _c (min)	Q _s (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
EX1	3.82	2%	0.08	0.35	29.4	0.8	5.7
EX2	9.74	2%	0.08	0.35	40.2	1.6	11.7
EX3	21.50	2%	0.08	0.35	42.5	3.4	24.7
EX4	1.47	28%	0.30	0.51	13.1	1.6	4.7
EX1.2	0.17	2%	0.08	0.35	13.8	0.0	0.4
EXB6	0.13	2%	0.08	0.35	10.0	0.0	0.3
EXB4	0.43	2%	0.08	0.35	10.0	0.1	1.0

DP	Q ₅		Q ₁₀₀	
	Total	Total	Total	Total
E1	0.8	5.7		
E2	1.6	11.7		
E3.1	4.5	32.7		
E4	1.6	4.7		
1.2	0.0	0.4		
B6	0.0	0.3		
B4	0.1	1.0		




LEGEND

- BASIN ID: A: BASIN LABEL, B: AREA, C: C-100 YR, D: C-5 YR
- DESIGN POINT:
- EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION:
- BASIN DRAINAGE AREA:
- EXISTING STORM SEWER:
- EXISTING PROPERTY LINE:
- ROW EXISTING:
- FL EXISTING:
- SIDEWALK EXISTING:
- DRAINAGE ACCESS & MAINTENANCE EASEMENT:
- EXISTING:

EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP
 HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3
 JOB NO. 25188.10
 08-02-2022
 SHEET 1 OF 1





Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com



HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3

PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP



SWALE SECTION A-A
TYPICAL DETAIL
SCALE: NTS


BASIN SUMMARY TABLE							
Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	C _s	C ₁₀₀	t _c (min)	Q _s (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
A1	3.82	40%	0.36	0.55	12.4	5.2	13.5
A2	3.02	48%	0.42	0.60	14.8	4.5	10.7
A3	4.54	47%	0.38	0.56	15.3	6.1	15.0
A4	3.82	49%	0.40	0.58	12.0	6.0	14.3
A5	7.53	34%	0.29	0.50	16.0	7.5	21.6
A6	4.29	51%	0.41	0.59	13.7	6.5	15.5
A7	2.93	15%	0.16	0.40	9.5	1.9	8.3
B1.1	2.08	40%	0.33	0.53	10.6	2.7	7.4
B1.2	1.36	48%	0.38	0.57	11.0	2.1	5.1
B1.3	0.33	51%	0.47	0.63	10.2	0.6	1.4
B4	1.21	2%	0.08	0.35	9.4	0.4	3.0
OS1	0.20	2%	0.08	0.35	5.0	0.1	0.6
OS2	1.01	2%	0.08	0.35	6.6	0.4	2.8
D2	0.18	2%	0.08	0.35	5.7	0.0	0.5
D3	0.17	2%	0.08	0.35	5.0	0.1	0.5

DP	Q ₅		Q ₁₀₀	
	Total	i/B	Total	i/B
1	5.2	10.9	13.5	2.6
2	4.5	9.6	10.7	1.1
2.1	9.3	19.7		
3	6.1	12.5	17.4	4.9
4	6.0	11.3	14.3	3.0
4.1	20.5	42.1		
5	7.5	25.7	25.7	0.0
5.1	27.7	66.0		
6	6.5	18.7	18.7	0.0
7.1	35.1	92.2		
1F	2.7	7.4		
2F	2.1	5.1		
1.3	0.6	1.4		
OS1	0.1	0.6		
OS2	0.4	2.8		
D2	0.0	0.5		
D3	0.1	0.5		


Missing DP's B4 & 6.1. Please include

LEGEND

BASIN ID
A: BASIN LABEL
B: AREA
C: C-100 YR
D: C-5 YR



DESIGN POINT
EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION



BASIN DRAINAGE AREA



EXISTING STORM SEWER
EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
ROW EXISTING
FL EXISTING
SIDEWALK EXISTING
DRAINAGE ACCESS & MAINTENANCE EASEMENT

EXISTING

6100

Add proposed items to legend

JR Response: Addressed

PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP
HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3
JOB NO. 25188.10
08-02-2022
SHEET 1 OF 1




100 50 0 100 200
ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 100'

J-R ENGINEERING
A Westrian Company

Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3 PROPOSED CONDITIONS WATER QUALITY MAP



	POND A TOTAL BASIN:	29.95 ACRES
	POND B TOTAL BASIN:	28.13 ACRES
	TOTAL FROM FILING NO. 3:	4.98 ACRES
	POND C TOTAL BASIN:	224.3 ACRES
	TOTAL FROM FILING NO. 3:	0.35 ACRES
	PLATED FILING NO. 3 WQ EXCLUSIONS:	
	PARTS II G, H, I AREA:	4.42 ACRES

NOTE:

1. THIS MAP SHOWS HOW WATER QUALITY IS PROVIDED FOR FILING NO. 3 AREAS. SEE THE FILING NO. 1 AND 2 FDR'S FOR THE WATER QUALITY MAPS ASSOCIATED WITH THOSE FILINGS.
2. SEE THE HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 1 FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR DETAILED POND C SIZING AND DESIGN INFORMATION.
3. SEE THE HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 2 & 3 FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR DETAILED POND B SIZING AND DESIGN INFORMATION.
2. SEE THE HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3 FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR DETAILED POND A SIZING AND DESIGN INFORMATION.

PROPOSED CONDITIONS WATER QUALITY MAP
HOMESTEAD NORTH AT STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 3
JOB NO. 25188.10
08-02-2022
SHEET 1 OF 1



100 50 0 100 200
ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 100'

J-R ENGINEERING
A Westrian Company

Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com


ENG-SF2229-R1-FDR.pdf Markup Summary

Arrow (1)

These existing No. 2 to the 2 have been sent in the


Subject: Arrow
Page Label: 6
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:38:17 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Callout (39)




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 84
Author: CS
Date: 8/10/2022 2:33:33 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

See app C for updated sizing.




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 4
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 1:02:03 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

John R. Jaynes (Rec No 211001958)




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 29
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:26:13 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Per Table 6.6, C-values for 2% Impervious are 0.09 & 0.36



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 33
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:26:39 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Per Table 6.6, C-values for 2% Impervious are 0.09 & 0.36



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 59
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:28:28 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Not providing enough stored volume for 100-year storm

portion of the site. Currently, JR Eri
Creek stabilization directly adjacent t
CDR-20-004.

SP-22-007

utility plans have been submitted to
s XXXX and XXXX respectively). Th
l on the "Pre-Development Grading Pl
in those plans sets can be considered c

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 5
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:33:23 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

SP-22-007

is in the preliminary
g Ranch Filing No. 2,
y drainage report map

Include what is happening
to the remaining 3.57 acres

acres. These existing
nth Filing No. 2 to the
ing No. 2 have been
re, as presented in the

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 6
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:37:16 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Include what is happening to the remaining 3.57
acres

containing 3.57 acres. A site plan
to Homestead North Filing No. 2 to the
mestead North Filing No. 2 have been
No. 2 infrastructure, as presented in the
h Filing No. 2.

Include the 3.57 acres not
accounted for above?

mits of the site. The portion of the Sand
sized for the purposes of this report, as
lly the Creek in any way, and to limit
historic rates. The Creek is being studied
ement Plans" by IR Engineering. This

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 6
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:38:11 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Is this the 3.57 acres not accounted for above?

ter than 1/2 acre, the east half of a portion of propos
of Aspen Valley Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 4.5 cfs,
th and gutter and is then directed to the proposed in
and flows at DP 2 (Q5 = 4.5 cfs, Q100 = 9.6 cfs) at
0 = 1.1 cfs) continue in the curb and gutter, south,
Basin A6.

1.2 cfs per inlet spreadsheet

at DP 2.1 (24" RCP) is Q5 = 9.3 cfs, and Q100 = 15

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 8
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:52:37 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

1.2 cfs per inlet spreadsheet

in the proposed design. The type of inlet is average
14.3 cfs. Captured flows at DP 4 (Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 =
ws at DP 4HQ100 = 11.0 cfs) continue in the curb and
is identified in Basin A6.

1.2 cfs per spreadsheet in spreadsheet

is Q5 = 21.4 cfs, and Q100 = 42.1 cfs. Flows at DP2.1 are
spond single-family residential lots ranging in size from
acre, the west half of a portion of proposed Aspen Valley
of Aspen Valley Road. Runoff generated (Q5 = 7.5 cfs,
curb and gutter and is then directed to the proposed 15"
at Flow = Q5 = 7.5 cfs, Q100 = 25.7 cfs). This inlet was

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 9
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:56:17 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

20.5 per spreadsheet in appendix B

Type R inlet at Design
(Q5 = 6.0 cfs, Q100 =
stume in the curb and

Update this sentence

cfs. Flows at DP2.1 are

s ranging in size from

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 9
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:56:51 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Update this sentence

the filing 2/3 boundary as identified in the Filing No. 2 characteristics and anticipated r and 1. From any basin open not criteria appear to contain any of Tract B

l lots ranging in size from a ac, and a portion of Tract B cfi) sheet flows southwest roadway and is directed in

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 10
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:03:24 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

From map, basin does not appear to contain any of Tract B

minimums present to require every stream near 00 = 1.4 cfs), sheet flows south and towards Aspen (flows) at the Filing 2/3 boundary. Flows coming up No. 2 F200. This basin was accounted for in its anticipated flows have remained consistent with the sized to accept, detain, and treat these flows in ac

EN: [Note that flows are less than those shown in Filing 2 \(1.0 & 2.2 cfs\)](#)

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 10
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:06:27 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Note that flows are less than those shown in Filing 2 (1.0 & 2.2 cfs)

August 2022

portion of Tract C, which consists of open space and the Q10 = 0.4 cfs, Q100 = 0.6 cfs) to the basin flows outlined of Design point B1 (see flows). Flows coming per the No. 2 F200. This basin was accounted for in the Filing 2. 0.1 cfs. Flows have remained consistent with that report noted in which, detain, and treat these flows in accordance

[Note that flows are less than those shown in Filing 2 \(1.0 & 2.2 cfs\)](#)

developed open space of landscaped area just of Tract C and Right-of-Way. There is no planned imperviousness or areas only of grading work. It was found that in order to ad and Right-of-Way, this basin was identified to capture the Pico Road drainage system. The runoff generated (Q)

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 11
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:12:40 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

No corresponding design point in F2 report

of therefore flows off site to the north, to the Pico Road d 0.1 cfs, Q100 = 0.6 cfs) reaches the Pico Road Right-of- of continues per existing drainage patterns. The flows and r proposed condition are equal to or less than the flow addition, and therefore, the drainage system will safely n of no additional impacts are expected due to this project.

[Note that flows are less than those shown in Filing 2 \(1.0 & 2.2 cfs\)](#)

area 002 is 1.01 acres and consists of undeveloped open s ground and maintenance access that border the area is development. There is no new planned imperviousness in project. Construction consists only of grading work. It- izing grades of the proposed maintenance road, it

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 11
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:14:13 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

State what those existing flows are

as the trail was constructed with (List Project name & number)

002 is 1.01 acres and consists of undeveloped open s ground and maintenance access that border the area is development. There is no new planned imperviousness in project. Construction consists only of grading work. It- izing grades of the proposed maintenance road, it

[Note that flows are less than those shown in Filing 2 \(1.0 & 2.2 cfs\)](#)

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 11
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:15:19 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

as the trail was constructed with (List Project name & number)

002 is 1.01 acres and consists of undeveloped open s ground and maintenance access that border the area is development. There is no new planned imperviousness in project. Construction consists only of grading work. It- izing grades of the proposed maintenance road, it

[Note that flows are less than those shown in Filing 2 \(1.0 & 2.2 cfs\)](#)

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 11
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:17:42 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

State what flows were assumed in this report for this area.

the Filing No. 3 site designated as "D" the Filing No. 1 project site. These areas are: 1 and Pond C. Applicable excerpts are:

Pond C, with Filing 1?
included in Appendix E, 4.42 acres of stinging 15' Gravel Maintenance and Filing only, no proposed development) at

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 16
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:26:46 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Pond C, with Filing 1?

8,880.00
2,400.00
9,889.00
9,840.55
6,800.75
4,438.41
0.000000
0.000000
0.000000
4,821.58

This does not match costs shown in F1 & F2 reports

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:38:30 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

This does not match costs shown in F1 & F2 reports

163.86
1,676.98
1,528.25
1,681.23
1,709.60
1,709.60
1,681.21
1,676.98
1,681.17

Does not match what is shown F2 report or currently shown on FAE for CDR 226

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:42:28 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Does not match what is shown F2 report or currently shown on FAE for CDR 226

16,676.98
18,128.25
18,128.23
17,709.60
18,709.60
16,681.21
16,676.98
16,422.06

Does not match bridge fees shown in F1 report

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:43:42 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Does not match bridge fees shown in F1 report

166,588.25
166,263.23
167,709.60
168,709.60
168,181.21
168,181.21
166,422.06

Does not match bridge fees shown in F2 report


Subject: Callout
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:43:56 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Does not match bridge fees shown in F2 report

HN F3 (SF-2229)
HN F1 (SF-2)
HN F2 (SF-2)
HN F2 (SF-2)
HN F2 (SF-2)
Unused Re

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:44:57 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

F3 (SF-2229)




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:45:12 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

F3 (SF-2229)




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 89
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:47:28 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Label site boundary



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 89
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:47:52 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Add linetypes to legend




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 89
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:48:28 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Indicate if this section of the swale is also grass lined




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 89
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:48:55 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Calculations provided in appendix C show 4:1 side slopes (both swales)




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:49:35 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Increase text size for legibility




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:50:34 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Area does not match table or spreadsheets




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:50:55 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Label 25' access easement



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:51:32 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Provide calculation for riprap outlet protection



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:52:30 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Indicate where the emergency overflow path is for sump inlets


Cloud+ (1)



Subject: Cloud+
Page Label: 89
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:47:06 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Label existing storm structures


Cloud (1)



Subject: Cloud
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:51:07 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:


Label existing storm structures

Highlight (8)


Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 8
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:46:58 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

4
th




Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 9
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:56:40 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:


Flows at DP2.1 are
piped to DP4.1.

Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 10
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:00:48 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:


/3
rd

Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 10
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:01:50 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Bill

Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 10
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:03:49 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Bill


Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:39:19 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

\$694,621.58

\$1,835,071.42

\$694,621.58


\$126,983.21
\$163,439.36
\$496,838.17

Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:45:26 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

\$496,838.17


\$1,998,423.06


35 \$496,838.17
35 \$1,998,423.06


Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:46:17 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:


\$1,998,423.06

Line (3)




Subject: Line
Page Label: 63
Author: GonzalesG
Date: 8/2/2022 1:33:48 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:




Subject: Line
Page Label: 63
Author: GonzalesG
Date: 8/2/2022 1:33:54 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:


3-20-004.
ity plans have been submitted to E
XXX and XXXX respectively). The
the "Pre-Development Grading Pla
hose plans sets can be considered as
to be located within the project site.

Subject: Line
Page Label: 5
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:33:08 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Rectangle (2)



Subject: Rectangle
Page Label: 26
Author: CS
Date: 8/10/2022 2:15:43 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:



Subject: Rectangle
Page Label: 27
Author: CS
Date: 8/10/2022 2:16:10 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

SW - Textbox with Arrow (1)

img no.:


2-XX



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: 1
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 9/13/2022 10:06:52 AM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:


SF2229

Text Box (16)




Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 56
Author: CS
Date: 8/10/2022 2:25:50 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Pond A - Forebay




Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 63
Author: CS
Date: 8/10/2022 2:26:57 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Pond A - Spillway RipRap



Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 64
Author: CS
Date: 8/10/2022 2:28:03 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Pond B - Filing No. 2, updated for Filing 3 tributary areas (B Basins)



Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 66
Author: CS
Date: 8/10/2022 2:29:07 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

no design changes made with this report to Pond B Outlet

Q A portion of flow from basin A5

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 40
Author: AshtonL
Date: 8/2/2022 12:11:18 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

A portion of flow from basin A5

This sheet appears to be a duplicate. Please delete.

Tuesday, Aug 2 2022

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 41
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:27:25 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

This sheet appears to be a duplicate. Please delete.

U
Please see well for basin A5
Include copy of last page of this spreadsheet which provides type of well screen to use with orifice plate
TUESDAY, 9/27/2022

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 56
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:28:03 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Include copy of last page of this spreadsheet which provides type of well screen to use with orifice plate

ELW
Include design of trickle channel

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 63
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 2:28:45 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Include design of trickle channel

An Annex on the Water Quality Map included in Appendix of the Staff Creek and Daring 20' Gun-drilled Basin O&I and O&I (pending only, see per the "Water Conservation (Pondwater) Stormwater 40 Construction Stormwater Management Applicability Etc Includes write up for Pond A, which is being built with this development.
JK ENGINEERING

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 16
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:26:28 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Include write up for Pond A, which is being built with this development.

DESIGN & MAINTENANCE
As to ensure the function and effectiveness of the stormwater infrastructure, the owner shall be responsible for the maintenance, rehabilitation and repair of the stormwater infrastructure, including the maintenance, rehabilitation and repair of stormwater and stormwater control facilities located on the property unless such maintenance, rehabilitation, or repair is the responsibility of another party. The maintenance, rehabilitation and repair of stormwater and stormwater control facilities shall be performed in accordance with the standards set forth in the attached documents.
DESIGN AND BIDDING FEES
The fee shall be used to cover the design and bidding fees. An estimate of the anticipated work to be performed is shown below.

Item	Quantity	Unit	Rate	Total
Design	1	Hour	150	150
Bidding	1	Hour	150	150
Total				300

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 17
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:28:30 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:


Who will maintain Pond? Is storm system not public?

10/29/2022 3:32:55 PM

Will review estimate at next submittal when storm sewer design has been included.

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 18
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:32:55 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Will review estimate at next submittal when storm sewer design has been included.




Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:50:00 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

(SF-19-009)



Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:50:09 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

(SF-19-009)



Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:53:08 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:


Note whether storm facilities are public or private

1.1	0.6	1.4
0.01	0.1	0.6
0.02	0.4	2.8
0.1	0.5	0.5
0.1	0.1	0.5

Missing DP's M & G.1. Please include

Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:53:46 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Missing DP's B4 & 6.1. Please include



Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 90
Author: CDurham
Date: 9/29/2022 3:54:11 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Add proposed items to legend