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View Homes, Inc is proposing to build a residential subdivision within El Paso County, northwest of Colorado Springs, Colorado. This memorandum documents the potential traffic impact of the development by evaluating the proposed subdivision in accordance with the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), Appendix B - Transportation Impact Study Guidelines. Per the ECM and the specific development characteristics, this document follows the criteria for an individual site study at the Traffic Memorandum level.

## Project Description

The proposed residential subdivision, Hay Creek Valley, is located at 2855 Hay Creek Road in El Paso County, Colorado. The project includes 6 lots consisting of between 31.9 to 37.7 acres, totaling 213.41 acres. The six lots are planned to be subdivided into 20 single-family residential lots. The development is planned as single-family, detached residences with basements and attached one- or two-car garages. Each proposed lot will consist of between 5.52 and 17.37 acres of land and will be occupied by one residence. There is currently one single-family residence existing in the project location, resulting in 19 additional residences being added to this subdivision. There is no proposed phasing for this development; it can be assumed that construction will occur in one phase. Figure 1 shows the proposed site plan, including the single access to the development, Snow Mountain Heights. As this area is rural in nature and Snow Mountain Heights is classified as a private road and will be privately maintained, there are no provisions for pedestrian or bicycle traffic. There is, however, a 4 -foot shoulder on either side of the roadway, with the inside 2 feet of each shoulder being paved and the outer 2 feet consisting of aggregate base.

Include a line of sight analysis for the Snow Valley Point and Hay Creek Road Intersection.

List and discuss all road deviations to be submitted.

- Deviation request \#1: Cul-de-sac length greater than 1,600 ft (ECM 2.3.8.A)
- Deviation request \#2: Minimum two access points (LDC 6.3.3..2.C)
- Deviation request \#3: Existing Road not perpendicular to Hay Creek Road (ECM 2.3.3.B)

Figure 1: Proposed Preliminary Site Plan


## Analysis Horizon

The location of the proposed development is well built out and therefore traffic volumes are not anticipated to increase over time as most of the growth has already occurred. The project was evaluated for the existing conditions as well as the existing conditions plus the projectgenerated traffic.

## Study Area

This traffic memorandum analyzed the intersection of Snow Mountain Heights and Hay Creek Road. Snow Mountain Heights is the sole access to the proposed development and serves as the main drive. There are no existing or proposed pedestrian or bicycle facilities along Snow Mountain Heights, nor are there along Hay Creek Road. The development access is located approximately 0.9 miles from Forest Lakes Drive. There are no public transportation services existing or proposed in the immediate area of the development. Figure 2 shows the relationship of the proposed site to the surrounding roadway network.

Figure 2: Vicinity Map/Study Area


## Existing Traffic

## Traffic Counts

Existing traffic counts were obtained on April 11, 2023, by All Traffic Data Services. A 24-hour tube count was performed on Hay Creek Road west of Snow Mountain Heights. Counts began at midnight on the $11^{\text {th }}$ and were gathered in 15 -minute intervals. The AM split was $59 \%$ eastbound and $41 \%$ westbound; the PM split was about $56 \%$ westbound and $44 \%$ eastbound; the daily split was even at about $51 \%$ eastbound and $49 \%$ westbound.

Turning movement counts were observed at the intersection of Hay Creek Road and Snow Mountain Heights on the same day, with the AM period collected from 7:00 AM until 9:00 AM and the PM period collected from 4:00 PM until 6:00 PM. The AM peak hour was from 8:00 AM until 9:00 AM, with the peak 15-minute period from 8:15 AM to 8:30 AM. The PM peak hour was from 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM, with the peak 15-minute period from 4:45 PM to 5:00 PM.

## Intersection LOS

The Hay Creek Road/Snow Mountain Heights intersection was evaluated using Highway Capacity Manual, $7^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM7) methodologies using PTV Vistro software. Figure 3 shows the configuration of the intersection, with one caveat: although the intersection is currently
uncontrolled, in order to perform an analysis using HCM methodologies, the intersection had to be modeled as a two-way stop-controlled intersection with the minor street being stop controlled. The figure also shows AM and PM peak hour volumes, in that order.

Figure 3: Hay Creek Road/Snow Mountain Heights Intersection Configuration and Volumes


The control delay for a two-way stop-controlled intersection is the movement with the worst (highest) delay in seconds per vehicle. For both AM and PM peak hours, the approach with the highest delay was the northbound approach. The worst movement in the AM was the northbound right and for the PM it was the northbound left. The results of the analysis are shown below.

Table 1: Existing AM Movement, Approach, and Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.73 | 8.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.27 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/lin] | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ln}$ ] | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.43 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.91 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2: Existing PM Movement, Approach, and Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.83 | 8.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.26 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/In] | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/n] | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.57 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.88 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

Because the volumes at this intersection are relatively low, this intersection operates at LOS A for all legs and all movements.

## Safety - Crash Experience

Serious injury and fatal crashes within the vicinity of the project site were evaluated to determine if any safety concerns exist that should be mitigated. Maps of crashes were taken from the El Paso County Unincorporated Area Safety Performance Dashboard. Figure 4 shows the serious injury crashes and Figure 5 shows the fatal crashes. The size of the symbol represents the number of crashes in a particular location.

There was only one serious injury crash within one mile of the project site and this crash was caused by driver negligence. The next three crashes within approximately two miles of the site were alcohol-related crashes.

There were no fatal crashes within two miles of the project site. Furthermore, all fatal crashes were on or around freeways or freeway interchanges.

The proposed project and its associated single access will not affect the crash types representative of the crash experience in this area. Therefore, there are no mitigative efforts necessary for the proposed project.

Figure 4: Serious Injury Crashes


Figure 5: Fatal Crashes


## Project Traffic

Trip Generation
A trip generation analysis was performed for the proposed site based on the methods and average rates published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The ITE Trip Generation Manual is a compilation of traffic data from existing developments throughout the United States. The ITE land use code that most closely matches the proposed project is 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing. Using the independent variable of Dwelling Units (DU), the Daily, AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour entering, exiting, and total trips were calculated. The proposed development consists of 20 lots of free-standing homes, of which, one existing lot already has a home present. Therefore, a size of 19 DU was used to calculate the number of new trips generated by the development. A fitted curve regression equation was available and applicable for the size of the independent variable being analyzed, so that method was used to determine the number of trips for each scenario. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Site-Generated Trips

|  | Description | Independent Variable | Size | Daily Trips |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use Code |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | Dwelling Units | 19 | 109 | 109 | 218 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 21 |

## Adjustments to Trip Generation

No adjustments to the trip generation were justified or performed as the development is a single land use in an isolated, rural area.

## Trip Distribution

Although the daily volume gathered along Hay Creek Road west of Snow Mountain Heights indicated an almost 50/50 split between eastbound and westbound traffic, turning movement counts at the intersection indicated that almost all of the traffic from Snow Mountain Heights was headed eastbound. A Split of $90 \%$ eastbound/10\% westbound was chosen to reflect that most trips will be heading to the developments east of the proposed site and especially towards l-25.

## Trip Assignment

The trips generated in Table 1 were assigned to the roadway network per the splits described above. Due to the low number of anticipated trips from the west and the percentage of trips assumed in the trip distribution, there were no eastbound right turns generated during the AM peak hour.

## Total Traffic

The total traffic is the existing or background traffic plus the site-generated trips calculated in the trip generation and assigned through the roadway network by the trip distribution percentages.

The configuration of the study intersection is proposed to remain the same, but Snow Mountain Heights will be paved. Figure 6 reiterates that the configuration is unchanged and shows the AM and PM peak hour turning movement volumes.

Figure 6: Hay Creek Road/Snow Mountain Heights Intersection Configuration and Total Volumes


Intersection LOS
The HCM7 and Vistro were again used to analyze the study intersection to determine at what level of service it operates. The results of the analysis are shown below.

Table 4: Existing+Project AM Movement, Approach, and Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.86 | 8.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.27 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/in] | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/n] | 1.69 | 1.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.53 |  | 0.00 |  | 2.42 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5: Existing+Project PM Movement, Approach, and Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 9.07 | 8.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.28 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/n] | 2.11 | 2.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.62 |  | 0.00 |  | 2.79 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

As expected, the northbound approach remains the approach with the highest delay. Due to the site generating trips making a northbound left turn, both the AM and PM worst movement is the northbound left. Despite the addition of the site trips, this intersection still operates at LOS A and the overall delay of the worst movement has increased by less than half a second.

## Project Impact Assessment

This project is anticipated to have a negligible impact on the adjacent roadway network. There are no additional accesses nor are there proposed traffic signals. It is assumed that the intersection will be side-street stop-controlled simply due to the nature of a tee intersection. The nearest pedestrian/bicycle facilities are approximately 0.9 miles away, along the north side of Baptist Road/Forest Lakes Drive.

## Mitigation Measures

As the results of the LOS analysis indicated that the intersection of Hay Creek Road and Snow Mountain Heights will operate at LOS A for all three legs even through the buildout volumes, there are no mitigation measures to be considered. Although there are no concerns for this intersection from a traffic perspective, the existing Snow Mountain Heights is a dirt road that is skewed at approximately 30 -degrees from perpendicular, has stone-column/metal fencing, and several pine trees of approximately six-inch or greater diameter trunks. As the site layout is refined through the development process, it is recommended that sight distance be evaluated to determine if there is sufficient visibility.

## Recommendations and Report Conclusions

The proposed development will not have an appreciable effect on traffic on the adjacent roadway network. The effect on the existing traffic conditions is an increase in delay of 0.4 and 0.3 seconds per vehicle in the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. In both cases, the LOS remains at LOS A. It is recommended that the intersection skew angle be evaluated during the design of the development and that obstructions such as fences and pine trees greater than six inches in diameter be evaluated if they fall within the sight triangles of the intersection.

## Appendices

Appendix A: Traffic Counts
Appendix B: Existing Conditions Analysis
Appendix C: Project Trip Generation
Appendix D: Existing + Project Trips Analysis

Appendix A: Traffic Counts

## Excellence by Design

| Time | EB | WB | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/11/2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 12:15:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 12:30:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 12:45:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 1:00:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 1:15:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 1:30:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4/11/2023 1:45:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 2:00:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 2:15:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 2:30:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 2:45:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 3:00:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 3:15:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 3:30:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 3:45:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 4:00:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4/11/2023 4:15:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 4:30:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4/11/2023 4:45:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 5:00:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4/11/2023 5:15:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 5:30:00 AM | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 4/11/2023 5:45:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4/11/2023 6:00:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4/11/2023 6:15:00 AM | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 4/11/2023 6:30:00 AM | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 4/11/2023 6:45:00 AM | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 4/11/2023 7:00:00 AM | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 4/11/2023 7:15:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 4/11/2023 7:30:00 AM | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 4/11/2023 7:45:00 AM | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 4/11/2023 8:00:00 AM | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 4/11/2023 8:15:00 AM | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| 4/11/2023 8:30:00 AM | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 4/11/2023 8:45:00 AM | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 4/11/2023 9:00:00 AM | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 4/11/2023 9:15:00 AM | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| 4/11/2023 9:30:00 AM | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 4/11/2023 9:45:00 AM | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| 4/11/2023 10:00:00 AM | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| 4/11/2023 10:15:00 AM | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 4/11/2023 10:30:00 AM | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 4/11/2023 10:45:00 AM | 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 4/11/2023 11:00:00 AM | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| 4/11/2023 11:15:00 AM | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| 4/11/2023 11:30:00 AM | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 4/11/2023 11:45:00 AM | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 95 | 66 | 161 |
| Percentage | 59.0\% | 41.0\% |  |
| Peak Hour | 7:30 AM | 10:15 AM | 10:30 AM |
| Volume | 20 | 20 | 38 |
| PHF | 0.714 | 0.625 | 0.73 |

SITE 1_W - HAY CREEK RD WEST OF SNOW MTN HEIGHTS


Location: 1 SNOW MTN HEIGHTS \& HAY CREEK RD AM
Date: Tuesday, April 11, 2023
Peak Hour: 08:00 AM - 09:00 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 08:15 AM - 08:30 AM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

## Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval Start Time | HAY CREEK RD Eastbound |  |  |  | HAY CREEK RD <br> Westbound |  |  |  | SNOW MTN HEIGHTS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn |  | Thru |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South North |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 56 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Location: 1 SNOW MTN HEIGHTS \& HAY CREEK RD PM
Date: Tuesday, April 11, 2023
Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 04:45 PM - 05:00 PM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

## Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | HAY CREEK RD Eastbound |  |  |  | HAY CREEK RD Westbound |  |  |  | SNOW MTN HEIGHTS Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South North |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 81 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix B: Existing Conditions Analysis

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain <br> Heights | Two-way stop | HCM 7th <br> Edition | NB Right | 0.003 | 8.4 | A |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 1: Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights| Control Type: | Two-way stop | Delay (sec /veh): | 8.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | HCM 7th Edition | Level Of Service: | A |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes | Volume to Capacity (v/c): | 0.003 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | Snow Mountain Heights |  | Hay Creek Road |  | Hay Creek Road |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  |
| Lane Configuration | $T$ |  | $\stackrel{t}{\square}$ |  | $4$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | No |  | No |  |

## Volumes

| Name | Snow Mountain Heights |  | Hay Creek Road |  | Hay Creek Road |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.6300 | 0.6300 | 0.7100 | 0.7100 | 0.8800 | 0.8800 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | No |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | No |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.73 | 8.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.27 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.43 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.91 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 34 |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Detail

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights | Final Base | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 34 |
|  |  | Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 34 |

Version 2022 (SP 0-11)
Signal Warrants Report For Intersection 1: Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights

Warrants Summary

| Warrant | Name | Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 1$ | Eight Hour Vehicular Volume | No |
| $\# 2$ | Four Hour Vehicular Volume | No |
| $\# 3$ | Peak Hour | No |

## Intersection Warrants Parameters

| Major Approaches | E, W |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minor Approaches | S |
| Speed $>$ 40mph | No |
| Population $<10,000$ | Yes |
| Warrant Factor | $70 \%$ |

Warrant Analysis Traffic Volumes

| Hour | Major Streets |  | Minor Streets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E | W | S |
| 1 | 14 | 18 | 2 |
| 2 | 14 | 17 | 2 |
| 3 | 13 | 17 | 2 |
| 4 | 12 | 16 | 2 |
| 5 | 11 | 14 | 2 |
| 6 | 11 | 14 | 2 |
| 7 | 11 | 14 | 2 |
| 8 | 10 | 13 | 1 |
| 9 | 10 | 12 | 1 |
| 10 | 10 | 12 | 1 |
| 11 | 8 | 11 | 1 |
| 12 | 8 | 10 | 1 |
| 13 | 8 | 10 | 1 |
| 14 | 6 | 7 | 1 |
| 15 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| 16 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| 17 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 18 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 |  |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  | 0 |

Hay Creek Valley TIS
4/20/2023
Version 2022 (SP 0-11)
Scenario 1: 1 Existing AM
Scott Barnhart
Warrant Analysis by Hour

| Hour | Major Streets |  | Minor Street |  | Warrant 1 Condition A |  |  |  | Warrant 1 Condition B |  |  |  | Warrant 2 | Warrant 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Volume | Number | Volume | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% |  | Condition B |
| 1 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 2 | 1 | 31 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 3 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 4 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 5 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 6 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 8 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 9 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 10 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 11 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 12 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 13 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 15 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 16 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 17 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 18 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 19 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 20 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Hours Met |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Warrant 3 Condition A

| Orientation | S |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Stopped Delay Per Vehicle on Minor Approach (s) | 8.4 |
| Number of Lanes on Minor Street Approach | 1 |
| VehicleHours of Stopped Delay on Minor Approach ([h]h:mm) | $0: 00$ |
| Delay Condition Met | No |
| Volume on Minor Street Approach During Same Hour | 2 |
| High Minor Volume Condition Met | No |
| Total Entering Volume on All Approaches During Same Hour | 34 |
| Number of Approaches on Intersection | 3 |
| Total Volume Condition Met | No |
| Warrant Met for Approach | No |
| Warrant Met for Intersection | No |



Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


Traffic Volume - Base Volume


Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain <br> Heights | Two-way stop | HCM 7th <br> Edition | NB Left | 0.004 | 8.8 | A |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report

 Intersection 1: Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights| Control Type: | Two-way stop | Delay (sec /veh): | 8.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | HCM 7th Edition | Level Of Service: | A |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes | Volume to Capacity (v/c): | 0.004 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | Snow Mountain Heights |  | Hay Creek Road |  | Hay Creek Road |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  |
| Lane Configuration | $T$ |  | $\stackrel{t}{\square}$ |  | $4$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | No |  | No |  |

## Volumes

| Name | Snow | Heights |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 2 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 2 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.7500 | 0.7500 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 4 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 29 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | No |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | No |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.83 | 8.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.26 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.57 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.88 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights | 2 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 47 |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Detail

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights | Final Base | 2 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 47 |
|  |  | Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 2 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 47 |

Version 2022 (SP 0-11)
Signal Warrants Report For Intersection 1: Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights

Warrants Summary

| Warrant | Name | Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 1$ | Eight Hour Vehicular Volume | No |
| $\# 2$ | Four Hour Vehicular Volume | No |
| $\# 3$ | Peak Hour | No |

Intersection Warrants Parameters

| Major Approaches | E, W |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minor Approaches | S |
| Speed $>$ 40mph | No |
| Population $<10,000$ | Yes |
| Warrant Factor | $70 \%$ |

Warrant Analysis Traffic Volumes

| Hour | Major Streets |  | Minor Streets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E | W | S |
| 1 | 27 | 14 | 6 |
| 2 | 26 | 14 | 6 |
| 3 | 26 | 13 | 6 |
| 4 | 24 | 12 | 5 |
| 5 | 21 | 11 | 5 |
| 6 | 21 | 11 | 5 |
| 7 | 21 | 11 | 5 |
| 8 | 19 | 10 | 4 |
| 9 | 19 | 10 | 4 |
| 10 | 18 | 10 | 4 |
| 11 | 16 | 8 | 4 |
| 12 | 15 | 8 | 3 |
| 13 | 15 | 8 | 3 |
| 14 | 11 | 6 | 2 |
| 15 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| 16 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 17 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 18 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 |  |  | 0 |

Hay Creek Valley TIS
4/20/2023
Version 2022 (SP 0-11)
Scenario 2: 2 Existing PM
Scott Barnhart

## Warrant Analysis by Hour

| Hour | Major Streets |  | Minor Street |  | Warrant 1 Condition A |  |  |  | Warrant 1 Condition B |  |  |  | Warrant 2 | Warrant 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Volume | Number | Volume | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Condition } \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 6 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 2 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 6 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 3 | 1 | 39 | 1 | 6 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 4 | 1 | 36 | 1 | 5 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 5 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 5 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 6 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 5 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 5 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 8 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 4 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 9 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 4 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 10 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 4 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 11 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 4 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 12 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 3 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 13 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 3 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 14 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 15 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 16 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 17 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 18 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 19 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 20 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Hours Met |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Warrant 3 Condition A

| Orientation | S |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Stopped Delay Per Vehicle on Minor Approach (s) | 8.6 |
| Number of Lanes on Minor Street Approach | 1 |
| VehicleHours of Stopped Delay on Minor Approach (hh]h:mm) | $0: 00$ |
| Delay Condition Met | No |
| Volume on Minor Street Approach During Same Hour | 6 |
| High Minor Volume Condition Met | No |
| Total Entering Volume on All Approaches During Same Hour | 47 |
| Number of Approaches on Intersection | 3 |
| Total Volume Condition Met | No |
| Warrant Met for Approach | No |
| Warrant Met for Intersection | No |



Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


Traffic Volume - Base Volume


Appendix C: Project Trip Generation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: Hay Creek Memo Project detalls |  | Type of Project: City: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project No: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Country: |  | Built-up Area(Sq.ft): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst Name: | Scott Barnhart | Clients Name: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date: | 4/19/2023 | ZIP/Postal Code: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State/Province: |  | No. of Scenarios: 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Region: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCENARIO SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Name |  | Phases of Development | No. of Years to Project Traffic | User Group | Estimated New Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| Scenarios |  | No. of Land Uses |  |  |  | Entry | Exit | Total |
| Scenario - 1 | AM Peak Hour | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Scenario - 2 | PM Peak Hour | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 13 | 8 | 21 |
| Scenario-3 | Weekday Trips | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 109 | 109 | 218 |


| Scenario-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scenario Name: AM Peak Hour | User Group:No. of Years to Project 0Traffic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dev. phase: 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst Note: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Warning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VEHICLE TRIPS BEFORE REDUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use \& Data Source | Location | IV | Size | Time Period | Method | Entry | Exit | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rate/Equation | Split\% | Split\% |  |
| 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing | General Urban/Suburban | Dwelling Units | 19 | Weekday, Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, | Best Fit (LOG) | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed |  |  |  |  | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.91 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.12$ | 25\% | 75\% |  |

VEHICLE TO PERSON TRIP CONVERSION


ESTIMATED BASELINE SITE PERSON TRIPS:

## Land Use

210 - Single-Family Detached tous

## NEW VEHICLE TRIPS

| Land Use | New Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Total |
| 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing | 4 | 12 | 16 |

## RESULTS

| Site Totals | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Trips Before Reduction | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| External Vehicle Trips | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| New Vehicle Trips | 4 | 12 | 16 |



VEHICLE TO PERSON TRIP CONVERSION


ESTIMATED BASELINE SITE PERSON TRIPS:

| Land Use |
| :--- |
| 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing |



## NEW VEHICLE TRIPS

| Land Use | New Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Total |
| 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing | 13 | 8 | 21 |

## RESULTS

| Site Totals | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Trips Before Reduction | 13 | 8 | 21 |
| External Vehicle Trips | 13 | 8 | 21 |
| New Vehicle Trips | 13 | 8 | 21 |



VEHICLE TO PERSON TRIP CONVERSION


ESTIMATED BASELINE SITE PERSON TRIPS:


## NEW VEHICLE TRIPS

| Land Use | New Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Exit | Total |
| 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing | 109 | 109 | 218 |

## RESULTS

| Site Totals | Entry | Exit | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Trips Before Reduction | 109 | 109 | 218 |
| External Vehicle Trips | 109 | 109 | 218 |
| New Vehicle Trips | 109 | 109 | 218 |

Appendix D: Existing + Project Trips Analysis

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain <br> Heights | Two-way stop | HCM 7th <br> Edition | NB Left | 0.002 | 8.9 | A |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights

| Control Type: | Two-way stop | Delay (sec /veh): | 8.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | HCM 7th Edition | Level Of Service: | A |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes | Volume to Capacity (v/c): | 0.002 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | Snow Mountain Heights |  | Hay Creek Road |  | Hay Creek Road |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  |
| Lane Configuration | $T$ |  |  |  | $4$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | No |  | No |  |

## Volumes

| Name | Snow | Heights |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.6300 | 0.6300 | 0.7100 | 0.7100 | 0.8800 | 0.8800 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 2 | 21 | 25 | 0 | 7 | 14 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | No |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | No |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.86 | 8.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.27 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 1.69 | 1.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.53 |  | 0.00 |  | 2.42 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights | 1 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 50 |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Detail

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights | Final Base | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 34 |
|  |  | Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 1 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 50 |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

## Trip Generation summary

## Added Trips

| Zone ID: Name | Land Use variables | Code | Ind. Var. | Rate | Quantity | \% In | \% Out | Trips In | Trips Out | Total <br> Trips | \% of Total Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4: Hay Creek Valley |  | 210 | Dwellin g Units | 1.000 | 0.000 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Added Trips Total |  |  | 4 | 12 | 16 | 100.00 |

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

## Trip Distribution summary

| Zone / Gate | Zone 4: Hay Creek Valley |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Hay Creek Valley: |  | From Hay Creek <br> Valley: |  |
|  | Share \% | Trips | Share \% | Trips |
| 5: Gate | 10.00 | 0 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 6: Gate | 90.00 | 4 | 90.00 | 11 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |



Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


Traffic Volume - Base Volume


Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume


## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain <br> Heights | Two-way stop | HCM 7th <br> Edition | NB Left | 0.007 | 9.1 | A |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value. For all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

Intersection Level Of Service Report Intersection 1: Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights

| Control Type: | Two-way stop | Delay (sec /veh): | 9.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Method: | HCM 7th Edition | Level Of Service: | A |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes | Volume to Capacity (v/c): | 0.007 |

Intersection Setup

| Name | Snow Mountain Heights |  | Hay Creek Road |  | Hay Creek Road |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  |
| Lane Configuration | $T$ |  |  |  | $4$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Entry Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entry Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Exit Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Pocket Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | No |  | No |  | No |  |

## Volumes

| Name | Snow | Heights |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 2 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 15 | 24 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.7500 | 0.7500 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 6 | 22 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 29 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | No |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | No |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 9.07 | 8.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.28 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/ln] | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln] | 2.11 | 2.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.62 |  | 0.00 |  | 2.79 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights | 3 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 15 | 24 | 68 |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Detail

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights | Final Base | 2 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 47 |
|  |  | Growth Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 21 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 3 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 15 | 24 | 68 |

Version 2022 (SP 0-11)
Signal Warrants Report For Intersection 1: Hay Creek/Snow Mountain Heights

Warrants Summary

| Warrant | Name | Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 1$ | Eight Hour Vehicular Volume | No |
| $\# 2$ | Four Hour Vehicular Volume | No |
| $\# 3$ | Peak Hour | No |

Intersection Warrants Parameters

| Major Approaches | E, W |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minor Approaches | S |
| Speed $>40 \mathrm{mph}$ | No |
| Population $<10,000$ | Yes |
| Warrant Factor | $70 \%$ |

Warrant Analysis Traffic Volumes

| Hour | Major Streets |  | Minor Streets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E | W | S |
| 1 | 39 | 15 | 14 |
| 2 | 38 | 15 | 14 |
| 3 | 37 | 14 | 13 |
| 4 | 35 | 13 | 12 |
| 5 | 31 | 12 | 11 |
| 6 | 30 | 12 | 11 |
| 7 | 30 | 12 | 11 |
| 8 | 27 | 11 | 10 |
| 9 | 27 | 10 | 10 |
| 10 | 27 | 10 | 10 |
| 11 | 23 | 9 | 8 |
| 12 | 21 | 8 | 8 |
| 13 | 21 | 8 | 8 |
| 14 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| 15 | 11 | 4 | 6 |
| 16 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 17 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 18 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 |  |  | 0 |
| 23 |  |  | 0 |

## Warrant Analysis by Hour

| Hour | Major Streets |  | Minor Street |  | Warrant 1 Condition A |  |  |  | Warrant 1 Condition B |  |  |  | Warrant 2 | Warrant 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Volume | Number | Volume | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Condition } \\ \text { B } \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 14 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 2 | 1 | 53 | 1 | 14 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 3 | 1 | 51 | 1 | 13 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 4 | 1 | 48 | 1 | 12 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 5 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 11 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 6 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 11 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 7 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 11 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 8 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 10 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 9 | 1 | 37 | 1 | 10 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 10 | 1 | 37 | 1 | 10 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 11 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 8 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 12 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 8 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 13 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 8 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 14 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 6 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 15 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 6 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 16 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 4 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 17 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 18 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 2 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 19 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 20 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Hours Met |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Warrant 3 Condition A

| Orientation | S |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Stopped Delay Per Vehicle on Minor Approach (s) | 8.6 |
| Number of Lanes on Minor Street Approach | 1 |
| VehicleHours of Stopped Delay on Minor Approach (hh]h:mm) | $0: 02$ |
| Delay Condition Met | No |
| Volume on Minor Street Approach During Same Hour | 14 |
| High Minor Volume Condition Met | No |
| Total Entering Volume on All Approaches During Same Hour | 68 |
| Number of Approaches on Intersection | 3 |
| Total Volume Condition Met | No |
| Warrant Met for Approach | No |
| Warrant Met for Intersection | No |

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

## Trip Generation summary

## Added Trips

| Zone ID: Name | Land Use variables | Code | Ind. Var. | Rate | Quantity | \% In | \% Out | Trips In | Trips Out | Total <br> Trips | \% of Total Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4: Hay Creek Valley |  | 210 | Dwellin g Units | 1.000 | 0.000 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 13 | 8 | 21 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Added Trips Total |  |  | 13 | 8 | 21 | 100.00 |

## Hay Creek Valley TIS

Vistro File: \...\HayCreekTIS_2023-04-20.vistro

## Trip Distribution summary

| Zone / Gate | Zone 4: Hay Creek Valley |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To Hay Creek Valley: |  | From Hay Creek <br> Valley: |  |
|  | Share \% | Trips | Share \% | Trips |
| 5: Gate | 10.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 6: Gate | 90.00 | 12 | 90.00 | 7 |
| Total | 100.00 | 13 | 100.00 | 8 |



Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


Traffic Volume - Base Volume


Traffic Volume - Future Total Volume


