

Ann,

I have reviewed the County's comments and have made some updates to the report. I thought that I had covered Lori's comment previously but went ahead and provided further explanation. This comment is in reference to a math error from the originally approved report where 10 lots were placed in the wrong demand category. On the report update in March, we fixed this issue. It included the language below.

Highlights of this Revision:

- Lots with auxiliary dwelling unit option increased from 38 to 173
- Demand per lot with auxiliary dwelling unit has not changed
- Passive irrigation areas increased to reflect updated landscaping plan
- Corrected math error for lot size distribution where 40 lots exceed 3,500 SF but are less than 7,000 SF

To provide a better explanation for Lori, I have updated the report to have the following language.

Highlights of this Revision:

- Lots with auxiliary dwelling unit option increased from 38 to 173
- Demand per lot with auxiliary dwelling unit has not changed
- Passive irrigation areas increased to reflect updated landscaping plan
- Corrected counting error for lot size distribution
 - In previously approved report dated August 30th, 2024 50 lots were listed in the 3,500 <Lot <7,000 SF category
 - Review showed that only 40 lots are in this category. The remaining 10 lots were moved to the appropriate category of Lot<3,500 SF

This should clarify what happened with the County. It does not change the demand, so an updated WSIS, and amendment memo are not necessary. I have uploaded the new report to the Onedrive with the following link: