#### AMENDMENT TO THE

# PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT

#### for WINDERMERE

Colorado Springs, CO

January 20, 2020

Prepared for:

#### Windsor Ridge Homes

4164 Austin Bluffs Pkwy #361 Colorado Springs, CO 80918 Contact: James Todd Stephens (719) 200-9594

Prepared by:

# Drexel, Barrell & Co.

3 South Seventh Street Colorado Springs, CO 80905 Contact: Tim McConnell, P.E. (719) 260-0887

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	CERTIFICATION STATEMENTS	1
2.0	PURPOSE	2
3.0	GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION	2
4.0	EXISTING HYDROLOGY	3
5.0	PROPOSED HYDROLOGY (RATIONAL METHOD) & HYDRAULIC SUMMARY	3
6.0	PROPOSED DETENTION/WATER QUALITY FACILITIES	8
7.0	FOUR-STEP PROCESS 1	0
8.0	GEOTECHNICAL HAZARDS 1	1
9.0	CONCLUSIONS 1	2
10.0	REFERENCES 1	2

# **APPENDICES**

DRAINAGE REPORT BY CLASSIC VICINITY MAP SOILS MAP FLOODPLAIN MAP DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS DRAINAGE MAP

#### PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT

for

WINDERMERE

Colorado Springs, Colorado

#### **1.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENTS**

#### ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by El Paso County for drainage reports, and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omission on my part in preparing this report.

Tim D. McConnell, P.E. Colorado P.E. License No. 33797 For and on Behalf of Drexel, Barrell & Co.

#### **DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT**

I, the developer have read and will comply with all the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name:

Windsor Ridge Homes

By:

Title: Address: James Todd Stephens President 4164 Austin Bluffs Pkwy #361 Colorado Springs, CO 80918 Date

Date

#### EL PASO COUNTY

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, and the Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

Jennifer Irvine, P.E. County Engineer/ECM Administrator

Date

CONDITIONS

# 2.0 PURPOSE

This report is prepared by Drexel, Barrel & Co in support of the Windermere Preliminary Plan Amendment. The purpose of this report is to identify onsite and offsite drainage patterns, storm sewer, inlet locations, and areas tributary to the site, and to safely route developed storm water runoff to adequate outfall facilities.

# 3.0 GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

#### <u>Location</u>

The site is located at the northwest corner of N. Carefree Cir. and Marksheffel Rd. - the E 1/2 of Section 29, Township 13 S, Range 65 W of the 6th P.M., El Paso County, Colorado.

The site is bound on the west by Antelope Ridge Dr., on the north by the Chateau at Antelope Ridge subdivision, on the east by Marksheffel Rd., and on the south by N. Carefree Cir.

#### Site Conditions

The site is approximately 55.2 acres in size and is proposed as a single family home subdivision. The proposed site development includes approximately 203 single-family residences and will be developed in two filings. The site is currently undeveloped and is covered with native grass and vegetation. The site is located within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Historically, this site drains in all directions with a large hill in the southern half of the site and an existing temporary detention facility located at the northern end. There is a large roadside ditch adjacent to Marksheffel Road (M.D.D.P. DP-1x) that routes off-site (non-tributary to site facilities) runoff to the existing 24" CMP storm culvert under Marksheffel Road. This site was studied as part of the previously approved "Master Development Drainage Plan for Hilltop Subdivision El Paso County, Colorado" by URS Greiner, Inc. last revised February 1998.

#### <u>Soils</u>

According to the Soil Survey of El Paso County Area, Colorado, prepared by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service, the site is underlain by Truckton sandy loam, a type 'A' hydrologic soil. See appendix for map.

#### <u>Climate</u>

This area of El Paso County can be described as the foothills, with total precipitation amounts typical of a semi-arid region. Winters are generally cold and dry, and summers relatively warm and dry. Precipitation ranges from 12 to 14 inches per year, with the majority of this moisture occurring in the spring and summer in the form of rainfall. Thunderstorms are common during the summer months.

#### Floodplain Statement

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel #08041C0543 G (December 7, 2018) the project site is within a designated Zone X area described as "areas determined to be outside 500-year floodplain". A firmette map is included in the appendix.

# 4.0 EXISTING HYDROLOGY

This report is an amendment to the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Windermere," by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, October 2014. The existing conditions have not changed. An existing detention facility located at the north end of the project has already been capturing flows from the Chateau at Antelope Ridge subdivision to the north. This temporary facility will be replaced with an expanded pond of larger capacity as part of this development. The expanded facility will meet current drainage criteria, including concrete forebays at all piped inflows, a concrete trickle channel at the bottom, an outlet structure and pipe that will reduce the release of flows, and a reinforced spillway on the east side of the facility.

Please refer to excerpts from the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Windermere," by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, October 2014 in the appendix for existing conditions description and drainage maps.

## 5.0 PROPOSED HYDROLOGY (RATIONAL METHOD) & HYDRAULIC SUMMARY

For the purposes of site specific analysis, the project site has been divided into several grouped drainage basins as shown on the proposed drainage plan in the appendix. A-, B-, and C-group basins represent the flows generated onsite. A number of offsite basins were also considered as part of this analysis and are described below.

The Rational Method was used to determine runoff quantities for the 5- and 100-year storm recurrence intervals. Mile High Flood District design software (MHFD-Detention v.4.03 and MHFD-Inlet) and Flowmaster were also used to identify pond and storm system sizing (see appendix for calculations). See below for a summary runoff table and description of each design point.

#### Rational Method Runoff Summary

**Onsite A-group basins** and offsite Basins D-13, D-14, D-15, CT and WS represent flows that are captured by the full spectrum detention facility at the north end of the site.

Existing Design Point 7 (DP7) ( $Q_5$ =20.0 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =41.6 cfs) represents flows generated by offsite basins D-13 and D-14 of Pronghorn Meadows Subdivision and Antelope Ridge Drive, on the west side of this project development. These flows were established by the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Windermere," by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, October 2014. An existing 25' Type R curb inlet intercepts these flows and discharges to the east across Antelope Ridge Dr. This inlet and piping is to remain.

BASIN	AREA (AC)	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)	DP	AREA (AC)	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
A1	2.07	4.7	10.9	А	2.07	4.7	10.9
A2	4.61	8.8	20.5	Ех. 8	14.10	26.7	55.4
A3	1.67	4.8	10.2	В	4.61	8.8	20.5
A4	1.01	1.7	4.0	С	20.38	34.2	73.3
A5	1.98	4.3	10.1	D	21.39	35.4	76.0
A6	3.73	7.0	16.3	E	1.98	4.3	10.1
A7	1.56	3.0	7.0	F	27.10	43.0	93.7
A8	2.96	6.1	14.2	G	1.56	3.0	7.0
A9	1.86	4.0	9.2	Н	2.96	6.1	14.2
A10	4.00	7.5	17.5	I	1.86	4.0	9.2
A11	2.67	5.3	12.5	J	6.38	12.7	29.6
A12	9.46	9.2	39.9	K	33.48	51.1	112.7
B1	3.62	7.9	18.4	L	37.48	56.1	124.4
B2	2.94	6.2	14.6	М	40.15	59.5	132.5
В3	4.00	7.9	18.3	Ex. 24	42.07	111.3	199.7
B4	0.43	0.3	1.9	N	49.61	175.6	355.1
C1	4.24	4.3	18.1	0	3.62	7.9	18.4
C2	0.83	1.1	3.9	Р	2.94	6.2	14.6
C3	1.40	1.8	5.8	Q	4.00	7.9	18.3
C4	0.11	0.1	0.5	R	10.99	20.9	49.8
				Ex. 4		7.2	14.6
				Ex. EXR	0.53	1.7	3.4
				S	1.36	10.3	27.2

DP-A represents the flows generated by onsite Basin A1. Runoff from this basin flows to the west, off-site onto Antelope Ridge Dr. towards existing Design Point 8. The flows from Basin A1 are  $Q_5=4.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=10.9$  cfs.

Т

U

۷

Ex. 19

Ex. J1

Ex. 20

434.39

1.40

0.11

3.01

4.37

4.80

190.7

1.8

0.1

6.9

19.7

21.2

637.8

5.8

0.5

16.1

49.8

52.6

Existing Design Point 8 (DP-8) was established by the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Windermere," by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, October 2014, and is located at a low point on Antelope Ridge Dr. at an existing 10' sump inlet. This design point

# A manhole is required?

represents combined flows from Basins D-15, offsite DP-7 and onsite DP-A. The existing inlet is proposed to be replaced in kind (10' Type R sump inlet) as a turn lane will be installed on Antelope Ridge Dr. for access into the Windermere Subdivision, resulting in the need to adjust the existing inlet. The existing flows currently discharge onto the project site. In the developed condition, the combined flows at DP-8 are  $Q_5=26.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=55.4$  cfs, will captured in their entirety by the new inlet, and redirected to the north via 36" RCP storm sewer.

DP-B is located at a proposed 10' double Type R at-grade inlet in Basin A2. The flows leave this inlet via a 24" storm pipe to the south towards DP-C. This design point captures all the flows from Basin A2. The flows from Basin A2 are  $Q_5$ =8.8 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =20.5 cfs.

DP-C is located at a proposed 10' double Type R at-grade inlet in Basin A3. The flows leave this inlet via a 36" storm pipe towards DP-D to the northeast. This design point captures all the flows from Basins A1 through A3 and offsite Basins D-13 through D-15. The combined flows at DP-C are  $Q_5$ =34.2 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =73.3 cfs.

DP-D is located at a proposed 5' single Type R at grade inlet in Basin A4. The flows leave this inlet via a 36" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-F to the northeast. This design point captures all the flows from Basins A1 through A4 and offsite Basins D-13 through D-15. The combined flows at DP-D are  $Q_5=35.4$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=76.0$  cfs.

DP-E is located at a proposed at-grade 10' double Type R inlet in Basin A5. The flows leave this inlet via an 18" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-F to the south. This design point captures all the flows from Basin A5. The flows from Basin A5 are  $Q_5$ =4.3 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =10.1 cfs.

DP-F is located at a proposed at-grade 15' triple Type R inlet in Basin A6. The flows leave this inlet via a 36" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-K to the northeast. This design point captures all the flows from Basins A1 through A6 and offsite Basins D-13 through D-15. The combined flows at DP-F are  $Q_5$ =43.0 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =93.7 cfs.

DP-G is located at a proposed at-grade 5' single Type R inlet in Basin A7. The flows leave this inlet via an 18" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-H to the west. This design point captures all the flows from Basin A7. The flows from Basin A7 are  $Q_5$ =3.0 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =7.0 cfs.

DP-H is located at a proposed at-grade 15' triple Type R inlet in Basin A8. The flows leave this inlet via a 24" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-J to the northwest. This design point captures all the flows from Basins A7 and A8. The combined flows at DP-H are  $Q_5$ =6.1 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =14.2 cfs.

DP-I is located at a proposed at-grade 10' double Type R inlet in Basin A9. The flows leave this inlet via an 18" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-J to the northeast. This design point captures all the flows from Basin A9. The flows from Basin A9 are  $Q_5$ =4.0 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =9.2 cfs.

DP-J is located at a proposed 18"x24"x30" wye in Basin A10. The flows leave this wye via a 30" storm pipe which conveyed the flows towards DP-K. This design point captures all the

flows from Basins A7 through A9. The combined flows at DP-J are Q5=12.7 cfs and Q100=29.6 cfs.

DP-K is located at a proposed manhole in Basin A10. The flows leave this manhole via a 48" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-L to the northwest. This design point captures all the flows from Basins A1 through A9 and offsite Basins D-13 through D-15. The combined flows at DP-K are  $Q_5=51.1$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=112.7$  cfs.

DP-L is located at a proposed sump 10' double Type R inlet in Basin A10. The flows leave this inlet via a 48" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-M to the northeast. This design point captures all the flows from Basins A1 through A10 and offsite Basins D-13 through D-15. The combined flows at DP-L are  $Q_5=56.1$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=124.4$  cfs.

DP-M is located at the proposed sump 15' triple Type R inlet in Basin A11. The flows leave this inlet via a 48" storm pipe and are conveyed into the north Full Spectrum EDB pond. This design point captures all the flows from Basins A1 through A11 and offsite Basins D-13 through D-15. The combined flows at DP-M are  $Q_5=59.5$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=132.5$  cfs.

Existing Design Point 24 (DP24) was established by the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Windermere," by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, October 2014, and consists of offsite area tributary to the existing detention facility along the northern project boundary. According to the aforementioned report, the runoff for basin WS was calculated at 2% (historic flow analysis) as all developed runoff is treated and released at historic rates within the Whispering Springs onsite facilities to the north. The tributary runoff for basin CT was calculated at 70%. The combined flows at DP-N are  $Q_5$ =111.3 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =199.7 cfs

DP-N is located at the bottom of the north proposed Full Spectrum EDB pond in Basin A12. The flows leave the pond via an outlet structure and a 36" storm pipe which conveys the flows to the roadside ditch along Marksheffel Rd. towards DP-T. This design point reflects all the flows from all "A" basins, offsite basins D-13 through D-15, and offsite flows entering the pond from offsite Basins CT and WS (DP24). The combined flows at DP-N are  $Q_5=175.6$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=355.1$  cfs. The release rates for Pond 1 are  $Q_5=1.8$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=66.0$  cfs.

**Onsite B-group basins** represent flows that are captured by the full spectrum detention facility on the south end of the site.

DP-O is located at the proposed at-grade 15' triple Type R inlet in Basin B1. The flows leave this inlet via a 24" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-P to the south. This design point captures all the flows from Basin B1. The flows from Basin B1 are  $Q_5=7.9$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=18.4$  cfs.

DP-P is located at a proposed sump 15' triple Type R inlet in Basin B2. The flows leave this inlet via a 24" storm pipe and are conveyed towards DP-Q to the south. This design point captures all the flows from Basins B1 and B2. The combined flows at DP-P are  $Q_5$ =6.2 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ =14.6 cfs.

DP-Q is located at a proposed sump 10' double Type R inlet in Basin B3. The flows leave this inlet via a 24" storm pipe and are conveyed into the south Full Spectrum EDB pond.

This design point captures all the flows from Basins B1 through B3. The combined flows at DP-Q are  $Q_5=7.9$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=18.3$  cfs.

DP-R is located at the bottom of the south proposed Full Spectrum EDB pond in Basin B4. The flows leave the pond via an outlet structure and an 18" storm pipe where the flows are conveyed to DP-S. This design point captures all the flows from Basins B1 through B4. The combined flows at DP-R are  $Q_5=20.9$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=49.8$  cfs.

Existing Design Point 4 covers runoff from Basin D-16 of the Pronghorn Meadows Subdivision to the west of this project development. An existing 8' sump curb inlet intercepts the runoff (Q<sub>5</sub>=7.2 cfs and Q<sub>100</sub>=14.6 cfs) and directs it via existing 24" RCP across Antelope Ridge Drive, where it currently discharges into a roadside swale along North Carefree Circle. This 24" RCP is proposed to be extended to the east and connected to the existing storm sewer system at DP-S.

**Onsite C-group basins** represent flows that leave the project site and are captured by the existing storm system. The discharge of these flows from the site without treatment is permissible under MS4 criteria, since the combined acreage of developed area, outside of County owned right-of-way is less than an acre for each established drainageway.

DP-T is located at the existing 24" CMP culvert crossing at Marksheffel Road, and represents flows generated by Basin C1, flows released by the northern detention facility (DP-N) and the offsite flows from MDDP DP-1X. The combined flows at DP-T are Q<sub>5</sub>=191.1 cfs and Q<sub>100</sub>=684.1 cfs, a portion of which were calculated using the SCS Method. More information of the MDDP flows can be found in the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Windermere," by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, October 2014, in the appendix.

DP-S is located at the existing area inlet in Basin C2. The flows leave this inlet via an existing 24" storm pipe that connects to the existing storm system in N. Carefree Cir., which then carries the flows to the south. This design point reflects all the flows from Basins B1 through B5, offsite Basin EXR, and offsite Basin D-16. The combined flows at DP-S are  $Q_5=10.3$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=27.2$  cfs.

DP-U represents the flows generated Basin C3 ( $Q_5=1.8$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=5.8$  cfs), which flow off-site into N. Carefree Cir. The flows are picked up by the existing 15' triple at-grade inlet at Existing Design Point 19 in offsite Basin NC2. The flows then leave this inlet via an existing 18" storm pipe to the east, ultimately converging with the flows from DP-S at an existing manhole, at existing design point J1.

Flows leave DP-J1 via an existing 24" storm pipe and are carried to the existing 10' sump inlet at Existing DP-20 in offsite Basin NC1. The flows leave this existing inlet via an existing 30" storm pipe and are then carried to the south, ultimately traveling to the south via the Marksheffel Road storm system.

DP-V is located at the north end of the site on Antelope Ridge Dr. and exclusively covers right-of-way. This design point reflects all the flows from Basin C4 that exit the site and flow to the north along the curb and gutter in Antelope Ridge Dr. before being captured by the existing storm system. The flows from Basin C3 are  $Q_5=0.1$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=0.5$  cfs.

# 6.0 PROPOSED DETENTION/WATER QUALITY FACILITIES

#### North Detention Facility

The north detention facility has been designed to capture flows from the "A" basins, offsite basins D-13, D-14, D-15, CT and WS. An existing temporary facility located in the same area, that serves the property to the north, will be incorporated into the permanent facility described below.

During the overlot grading of the project, the pond is to excavated to full volume in its entirety and the outlet structure and associated piping installed. An orifice plate for the interim condition (full developed condition within the street right-of-way, but no development of lots) has been designed to be installed at the overlot grading stage, to allow for appropriate WQCV drain time. Once full build out of the project is underway, the orifice plate will be replaced per the final design. See below for description of detention volume and pond characteristics.

A total of 133.15 acres is tributary to this north facility, with a composite imperviousness of 27.8% for the interim condition and 40.7% for the final fully developed condition. Required volumes are listed below.

		Required Volume				
	Imperviousness	WQCV EURV 100-YR				
INTERIM	27.8%	1.60	3.62	6.95		
FINAL	40.7%	2.02	5.90	9.94		

The actual design pond volume at the proposed spillway stage is 12.6 acre-feet. Concrete forebays with energy dissipaters will be placed where the flows enter the pond on the south and the north sides of the pond. The combined volume of the two forebays will be 3% of the WQCV volume for the pond and will be divided proportionally. The flows will exit the forebays through a notch and into the concrete trickle channel at the bottom of the pond that conveys the flows to the micropool. It will capture then release the flows at a reduced flow rate with the use of a plate with orifice holes into a proposed 36" pipe, which will release into a ditch that conveys the flows to a 24" CMP culvert under Marksheffel Rd. after which the flows continue in historic patterns to the east.

In accordance with El Paso County criteria, the modified Type C outlet structure with a permanent micropool will release the WQCV over a 40-hour period. Switching out of the orifice plate will ensure that the WQCV release rate remains within criteria for the interim and developed conditions. The outlet structure will remain in place for the final condition and will result in release rates of Q<sub>5</sub>=1.8 cfs and Q<sub>100</sub>=66.0 cfs.

A 70-ft wide riprap emergency spillway will be located on the east side of the pond. In the event that water overtops the spillway, flow will discharge into the ditch along Marksheffel Rd.

Interim pond calculations are provided in the appendix. Final forebay volumes, micropool surface areas, outlet structures, discharge pipes and spillway design will be

provided at the Final Plat stage.

The pond will have a 15' wide maintenance access that will provide access to the pond bottoms, forebays and outlet structures per ECM 3.3.3.K. Private maintenance agreements and O&M manuals will be established for these ponds as required by the County.

The existing channel along Marksheffel Rd. in the northeast portion of the project site will be aesthetically maintained by Windermere Metropolitan District and will be structurally maintained by the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County. The slopes of the channel are such that it can be accessed for maintenance along Marksheffel Rd.

#### South Detention Facility

The south detention facility has been designed to capture flows from the "B" basins.

During the overlot grading of the project, the pond is to excavated to full volume in its entirety and the outlet structure and associated piping installed. An orifice plate for the interim condition (full developed condition within the street right-of-way, but no development of lots) has been designed to be installed at the overlot grading stage, to allow for appropriate WQCV drain time. Once full build out of the project is underway, the orifice plate will be replaced per the final design. See below for description of detention volume and pond characteristics.

A total of 11.0 acres is tributary to this north facility, with a composite imperviousness of 23% for the interim condition and 62.0% for the final fully developed condition. Required volumes are listed below.

		Required Volume				
	Imperviousness	WQCV EURV 100-YR				
INTERIM	23.0%	0.12	0.24	0.49		
FINAL	62.5%	0.23	0.84	1.27		

The actual design pond volume at the proposed spillway stage is 1.28 acre-feet. A concrete forebay with an energy dissipater will be installed where the flows enter the pond on the west side of the pond. The volume of the forebay will be 3% of the WQCV volume for the pond. The flow will exit the forebay through a notch and into the concrete trickle channel at the bottom of the pond that conveys the flows to the micropool. It will capture then release the flows at a reduced flow rate with the use of a plate with orifice holes into a proposed 24" pipe, which will be connected to an existing storm inlet at the corner of N. Carefree Circle and Marksheffel Rd, after which the flows continue to the south via the existing storm sewer system.

In accordance with El Paso County criteria, the modified Type C outlet structure with a permanent micropool will release the WQCV over a 40-hour period. Switching out of the orifice plate will ensure that the WQCV release rate remains within criteria for the interim

and developed conditions. The outlet structure will remain in place for the final condition and will result in release rates of  $Q_5=0.2$  cfs and  $Q_{100}=9.6$  cfs.

A 15-ft wide riprap emergency spillway will be located on the south side of the pond. In the event that water overtops the spillway, flow will discharge into the curb and gutter along N. Carefree Cir., where it is then picked up by the existing storm system. area of the Type

Interim pond calculations are provided in the appendix. Final forebay volumes, **C inlet**? micropool surface areas, outlet structures, discharge pipes and spillway design will be provided at the Final Plat stage.

The pond will have a 15' wide maintenance access that will provide access to the pond bottoms, forebays and outlet structures per ECM 3.3.3.K. Private maintenance agreements and O&M manuals will be established for these ponds as required by the County.

# 7.0 FOUR-STEP PROCESS

This project conforms to the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Four Step Process. The process focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls.

- 1. **Employ Runoff Reduction Practices:** Proposed impervious areas on this site (roofs, asphalt/sidewalk) will sheet flow across landscaped ground as much as possible to slow runoff and increase time of concentration prior to being conveyed to the proposed public streets and storm sewer system. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the project site.
- 2. Implement BMP's that provide a Water Quality Capture Volume with slow release: Runoff from this project will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV in two permanent Extended Detention Basin facilities designed per current City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County drainage criteria.
- 3. **Stabilize Drainage Ways:** Flows from the north pond are released into the ditch alongside Marksheffel Rd. This ditch has previously been stabilized with rip-rap to handle the MDDP flows of 600 cfs. Flows from the south pond are released directly into the existing storm sewer system and no stabilization will be necessary.
- 4. Implement Site Specific and Other Source Control BMP's: The site is proposed as a residential development, and as such standard household source control will be utilized in order to minimize potential pollutants entering the storm system. Example source control measures consist of: garages for storage of household chemicals, trash receptacles for individual households and in common areas for pet waste. The need for Industrial and Commercial BMP's was considered, however per ECM 1.7.2.A the need for industrial and commercial BMPs are not applicable for this project.

# 8.0 GEOTECHNICAL HAZARDS

In accordance with geotechnical recommendations, the project design is intended to direct runoff away from structures, and into the receiving storm sewer system and water quality/detention basins. This will be accomplished by a variety of means, i.e. curb and gutter and storm sewer.

Per "Soils and Geology Study, Windermere Subdivision" by RMG, October 26, 2020 (Revised January 18, 2021):

#### 10.1 Soil and Rock Design Parameters

TB-6 (Job No. 142206, dated May 28, 2015) and TB-107 (Job No. 162062, last dated February 5, 2019) were located in the general vicinity of the proposed Full Spectrum Detention Basin, Tract A. TB-160 (Job No. 162062, last dated February 5, 2019) was located in the general vicinity of the proposed Private Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin, Tract B. RMG has performed laboratory tests of soil from across the proposed development. Based upon Field and laboratory testing, the following soil and rock parameters are typical for the soils likely to be encountered, and are recommended for use in detention pond embankment design.

Soil Description	Unit Weight (Ib/ft <sup>3)</sup>	Friction Angle (degree)	Active Earth Pressure, Ka	Passive Earth Pressure, Kp	At Rest Earth Pressure, Ko
Silty to Clayey Sand (SC/SM)	105	30	0.33	3.0	0.50
Silty Sandstone	110	30	0.33	3.0	0.50
Sandy					
Claystone/					
Siltstone	100	20	0.49	2.0	0.66

#### **10.2 Embankment Recommendations**

The proposed detention pond in Tract B is to be excavated approximately 40 plus feet below the surrounding ground surface on the western portion and approximately 11 feet above the surrounding ground surface on the eastern portion. Above grade embankments are to be constructed with 4:1 slopes. Embankments should be constructed in accordance with applicable sections of the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, and the El Paso County Land Development Code

And the geotechnical report recommendations

# 9.0 CONCLUSIONS

The Windermere project has been designed in accordance with El Paso County criteria. The EDB/water quality ponds have been designed to limit the release of storm runoff. This development will not negatively impact the downstream facilities.

# **10.0 REFERENCES**

The sources of information used in the development of this study are listed below:

- 1. City of Colorado Springs "Drainage Criteria Manual", 2016.
- 2. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manuals, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District. June 2001, Revised October 2019.
- 3. Soil Survey for Colorado Springs and El Paso County, Colorado, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, June 1980.
- 4. "Flood Insurance Studies for Colorado Springs and El Paso County, Colorado", prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2018.
- 5. "Soils and Geology Study, Windermere Subdivision", prepared by RMG, October 26, 2020, Revised January 18, 2021.
- 6. "Final Drainage Report for Pronghorn Meadows, Filing 2," prepared by URS, July 2004.
- 7. "Final Drainage Report and Erosion Control Amendment for Chateau at Antelope Ridge," prepared by URS, September 9, 2002.
- 8. "Preliminary Drainage Report for Windermere & Final Drainage Report for Windermere Filing No. 1," prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, October 2014.
- 9. "MDDP for Hilltop Subdivision," prepared by URS Greiner, Inc., November 1, 1996.
- 10. "Final Drainage Report Marksheffel Road from Constitution Ave. to Dublin Rd.," by CH2M Hill, dated May 2008 and Marksheffel Road Construction Drawings by Wilson & Company.

APPENDIX



# PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WINDERMERE & FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WINDERMERE FILING NO. 1

October 2014

Prepared for: JAMES TODD STEPHENS c/o WINDSOR RIDGE HOMES 4164 AUSTIN BLUFFS PKWY #361 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80918

Prepared by: CLASSIC CONSULTING ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS 6385 CORPORATE DRIVE SUITE 101 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80919 (719) 785-0790



6385 Corporate Drive, Ste. #101 Colorado Springs, CO 80919 (719) 785-0790 www.ClassicConsulting.net

• You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

# PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WINDERMERE & FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WINDERMERE FILING NO. 1

#### DRAINAGE REPORT STATEMENT

#### **ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:**

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the El Paso County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

6.18.15 Date Kyle R Campbell, Colorado P.E. 29794

#### **DEVELOPER'S STATEMEN**

I, the developer, have read/and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name:	Windsor Ridge Homes
Ву:	him lote stept
Title:	1 Manager
Address:	4164 Austin Bluffs Parkway #361

Address:

Colorado Springs, CO 80918

#### EL PASO COUNTY ONLY:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Cateria Manual and I/and Development Code as amended.

in

Andre P. Brackin, P.E. County Engineer / ECM Administrator

<u>G-ZZ-15</u> Date

Conditions:



# PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WINDERMERE & FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WINDERMERE FILING NO. 1

# TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PURPOSE	Page	1
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	Page	1
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page	2
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS	Page	6
EROSION CONTROL PLAN	Page	16
DRAINAGE CRITERIA	Page	16
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	Page	16
DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES	Page	16
CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION	Page	17
SUMMARY	Page	18
REFERENCES	Page	19

# APPENDICES

VICINITY MAP SOILS MAP (S.C.S. SURVEY) F.E.M.A. MAP REFERENCE MATERIAL FROM ADJACENT STUDIES EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS CALCULATIONS DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS CALCULATIONS FILING NO. 1 – FULL SPECTRUM EDB FINAL DESIGN FILING NO. 2 – FULL SPECTRUM EDB PRELIMINARY DESIGN COORESPONDANCE FROM YES! COMMUNITIES DRAINAGE MAP



# PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WINDERMERE & FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WINDERMERE FILING NO. 1

#### PURPOSE

This document is the Preliminary Drainage Report for the entire Windermere (Filings 1-3) development and the Final Drainage Report for Windermere Filing No. 1. The purpose of this report is to identify onsite and offsite drainage patterns, storm sewer, inlet locations, and areas tributary to the site, and to safely route developed storm water runoff to adequate detention and water quality facilities while releasing storm water at or below historic rates and in accordance with all applicable master drainage plans. This report will discuss the proposed storm system to be built with Filing 1 and the future system to be built with Filings 2 & 3. A Final Drainage Report will be needed for Filings 2 & 3 that will discuss the final construction details, and more specifically, the final design details of the proposed sub-regional public detention/water quality facility located at the north end of the site. Preliminary design of the sub-regional public facility is included in this report, along with the final design information for the Filing No. 1 detention/water quality facility located at the south-east corner of the site.

#### GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Windermere is a 52.07 acre single family home subdivision within the east half of Section 29, Township 13 South, Range 65 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The site is located on the east side of Antelope Ridge Drive just north of North Carefree Circle. The existing Chateau at Antelope Ridge subdivision sits directly north of the site, with Marksheffel Road bordering the east side of the site. The proposed development includes a total of 201 single-family residences and will be developed in three filings. Filing 1 includes 59 residential lots on approximately 15 acres. Filing 2 will include 70 lots on approximately 22 acres, and Filing 3 is 72 lots on approximately 15 acres.

The average soil condition of the entire site and tributary area to the proposed ponds reflects Hydrologic Group "B" (Truckton sandy loam) as determined by the "Soil Survey of El Paso County Area," prepared by the National Cooperative Soil Survey (see map in Appendix).



#### EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The site is located within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Historically, this site drains in all directions with a large hill in the southern half of the site and an existing temporary detention facility located at the northern end. There is a large roadside ditch adjacent to Marksheffel Road (M.D.D.P. DP-1X) that routes off-site (non-tributary to site facilities) runoff to the existing 24" CMP storm culvert under Marksheffel Road (Design Point 26). This site has been previously studied as part of the previously approved "Master Development Drainage Plan for Hilltop Subdivision El Paso County, Colorado" by URS Greiner, Inc. last revised February 1998. See below for detailed descriptions of the existing drainage conditions and see appendix for the Existing Conditions Drainage Map.

**Design Point 4 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 7.2$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 14.6$  cfs) consists of runoff from off-site Basin D-16, 2.73 acres of existing Pronghorn Meadows Subdivision, Antelope Ridge Drive, and North Carefree Circle. An existing 8' curb sump inlet intercepts the runoff with an existing 24" RCP conveying it directly onto the proposed site where a roadside ditch along N. Carefree Circle drains to the area drain at DP-6 (North West corner of Marksheffel Rd. and N. Carefree Circle. The Basin D-16 characteristics and size was derived from the previously approved "Final Drainage Report for Pronghorn Meadows Filing 2," by URS, dated July 2004; "Preliminary Drainage Report for Pronghorn Meadows and Final Drainage Report for Pronghorn Meadows Filing No. 1," by URS, dated September 4, 2002; and also using observed field conditions and satellite imagery.

**Design Point 6 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 18.4 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 42.6 \text{ cfs}$ ) consists of runoff from DP-4-EX, Basin EX-R, and Basin EX-A. Basin EX-R is 0.53 acres of existing Marksheffel Rd. and roadside ditch that drain to the existing grated area drain at DP-6. Basin EX-A is 13.20 acres of undeveloped Windermere property with historic runoff of  $Q_5 = 11.3 \text{ cfs}$  and  $Q_{100} = 28.2 \text{ cfs}$ . This historic runoff rate from Basin EX-A is used as the allowable release rate for the proposed Filing 1 detention/water quality facility located at this corner of the site. This cumulative historic and existing storm water runoff ( $Q_5 = 18.4 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 42.6 \text{ cfs}$ ) at this grated sump area drain inlet does not appear to have been quantified correctly in the design of the downstream Marksheffel Road storm sewer system as the outfall pipe from the existing grated inlet (24" RCP) only has a capacity of 16 cfs at 0.50% grade. The "Final Drainage Report Marksheffel Road from Constitution Ave. to Dublin Rd.," by CH2M Hill dated May 2008 was approved by El Paso County for the storm sewer improvement design associated with the expansion of Marksheffel Road. Construction plans



for the Marksheffel Rd. improvements were completed by Wilson & Company Engineers & Architects in accordance with the Final Drainage Report. On these construction plans (PPRTA Project #75174, Sheets 12 & 13) a 24" RCP (Pipe 6b,  $Q_5 = 18.4$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 42.6$  cfs) was constructed to this existing area drain with a capacity listed as 16 cfs. It appears that the historic runoff from the proposed Windermere site (Basin EX-A) was not included in the downstream pipe sizing and construction. The Marksheffel Road Final Drainage Report by CH2M Hill states on page 13 that "Storm pipes are designed to convey the 10-year flow, except at sump locations where they are designed to convey the 100-year flow." A sump condition exists at this Design Point and at the adjacent N. Carefree Circle median inlet at DP-20. Therefore, it is our belief and understanding that the storm system should convey the entire 100 year historic and existing runoff at this location.

**Design Point 7 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 20.0 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 41.6 \text{ cfs}$ ) consists of runoff from off-site Basins D-13 & D-14, 6.79 acres & 3.88 acres respectively of existing Pronghorn Meadows Subdivision and existing Antelope Ridge Drive. The previous approved reports for Pronghorn Meadows and existing field conditions were used to determine the tributary basin sizes and the results are in conformance to the previously approved reports. An existing 25' (field verified) Type R curb inlet intercepts all of this runoff and an existing storm pipe routes flows to the existing inlet at DP-8 prior to day lighting onto the proposed site. This developed runoff does not appear to be detained or treated for storm water quality before being released onto the Windermere site.

**Design Point 8 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 5.6$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 11.2$  cfs) consists of runoff from off-site Basin D-15, 1.36 acres of existing Antelope Ridge Drive, and from Basin EX-E, 1.10 acres of on-site undeveloped land that drains onto Antelope Ridge Drive. An existing 10' (field verified) Type R curb inlet intercepts all of this runoff and an existing 36'' storm (Pipe 8) routes the combined runoff ( $Q_5 = 24.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 50.9$  cfs) directly onto the proposed Windermere site. This runoff drains across the site to Design Point 26. This developed runoff also does not appear to have been detained or treated for water quality prior to releasing onto the proposed site.

**Design Point 19 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 6.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 12.5$  cfs) consists of runoff from off-site Basin NC-2, 1.49 acres of existing Antelope Ridge Drive and N. Carefree Circle. An existing 15' Type R at-grade curb inlet just west of Marksheffel Road intercepts a portion of this runoff ( $Q_5 = 4.8$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 8.1$  cfs) and an



existing 18" storm (Pipe 6a) connects with Pipe 6B at an existing storm manhole. Pipe 6C is the 24" outfall pipe from this connection manhole and contains a combined runoff of  $Q_5 = 21.6$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 47.9$  cfs. From the Marksheffel Rd. Construction Drawings, the capacity of the system at Pipe 6C is 16 cfs. Pipe 6C connects into the face of the median sump inlet at DP-20.

**Design Point 20 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 3.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 8.0$  cfs) consists of runoff from off-site Basin NC-1, 0.42 acres of existing N. Carefree Circle and Marksheffel Rd, and the flow-by from the at-grade inlet at Design Point 19. An existing 10' Type R sump median curb inlet intercepts all of this runoff and combines it with that from the incoming Pipe 6C. Pipe 7 represents the existing 30" outfall pipe from this inlet and contains a historic and existing runoff rate of  $Q_5 = 24.2$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 53.3$  cfs. From the Marksheffel Rd. Construction Drawings, the capacity of the 30" Pipe 7 is 29 cfs. This runoff continues within the existing Marksheffel Rd. storm system to the south to downstream facilities.

**Design Point 24 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 111.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 199.7$  cfs) consists of off-site tributary area to the existing temporary detention facility located along the northern site boundary. The temporary detention facility was constructed in conjunction with the Chateau at Antelope Ridge subdivision located directly north of the proposed site. The tributary runoff at DP-24 is a combination of developed runoff from the Chateau at Antelope Ridge subdivision and detained release from the Whispering Springs Development, located west of Antelope Ridge Drive and the Chateau at Antelope Ridge subdivision. The "Preliminary Drainage Report for Whispering Springs Development and Final Drainage Report for Whispering Springs Development and Final Drainage Report for Whispering Springs Tiling No. 1," by Rockwell Consulting, Inc., dated August 2013 details the overall detained and water quality treated runoff that drains directly into the storm sewer system of the Chateau at Antelope Ridge. This runoff is described in this report as Basin WS, 41.47 acres with a release rate of  $Q_5 = 47.3$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 66.4$  cfs (Design Point 10 from Whispering Springs Drainage Report).

DP-24 also contains the developed runoff from Basin CT, 42.07 acres of the existing Chateau at Antelope Ridge subdivision. The Basin CT characteristics and size was derived from the previously approved "Final Drainage Report and Erosion Control for Chateau at Antelope Ridge," by URS, approved January 21, 1999 as well as observed field conditions and satellite imagery. Basin CT produces a developed runoff rate of  $Q_5$ = 90.8 cfs and  $Q_{100}$ = 184.7 cfs that drains to DP-24 and into the existing and proposed detention/water quality facility. **Design Point 25 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 117.5$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 215.1$  cfs) consists of the total existing runoff into the existing temporary detention facility located along the northern site boundary. This runoff consists of DP-24, Basins EX-D (6.19 acres of on-site undeveloped land) and EX-F (3.15 acres of temporary detention pond area). Per the Hilltop Subdivision M.D.D.P., detention of developed runoff is required in order to maintain historic release rates under Marksheffel Road and to the east to the main Sand Creek channel. An existing 48" CMP serves as the temporary facility's outfall along with a riprap lined emergency overall spillway. The discharge pipe and portions of the embankment are located outside of the Tract A Temporary Detention Facility.

**Design Point 26 - Existing** ( $Q_5 = 138.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 266.9$  cfs) consists of the total existing runoff to the existing 24" CMP Marksheffel Road culvert crossing from the north-west. This runoff is comprised of the DP-25 storm water and that from Basins EX-B and EX-C, 7.30 acres and 24.28 acres respectively of on-site undeveloped land that drains directly east to the Marksheffel Road ditch. This runoff quantity does not include that from the upstream Marksheffel Road ditch, described in the Hilltop MDDP as Design Point 1X. Per the Hilltop MDDP the existing runoff within the Marksheffel Rd. ditch is  $Q_5 = 144$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 481$  cfs. However, with the "Final Drainage Report and Erosion Control Plans for Chateau at Antelope Ridge El Paso County, Colorado," by URS, dated December 18, 1998 discusses the Marksheffel Road ditch design and assumptions used; varying the flow within the ditch from 420 to 714.5 cfs. As stated in this previous report "these flows were added as each design point without considering routing to give a worst case scenario." This large range of flow rates was used as a very conservative channel design and does not reflect the actual (routed) flow within the Marksheffel ditch. The same December 1998 report included a HEC model to more accurately define the ditch runoff and determine the allowable release rate for the temporary pond. The flow of 521 cfs was used as the routed flow in the Marksheffel ditch.

However, the "Final Drainage Report and Erosion Control Amendment for Chateau at Antelope Ridge El Paso County, Colorado," by URS, dated September 9, 2002 was approved by El Paso County and discusses an increase in tributary runoff to this Marksheffel Rd. ditch (north of Barnes Road). This increase of 79 cfs directly transposed to the M.D.D.P. DP-1X results in a 100-yr historic runoff within the Marksheffel Ditch of 600 cfs (estimated 5 year increased flow to 185 cfs).



Design Point 26 directly correlates with M.D.D.P. DP-1C, which states a maximum flow rate in existing and developed conditions of  $Q_5 = 250$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 852$  cfs that crosses under Marksheffel Road. Using a conservative approach by directly adding the M.D.D.P. DP-1X runoff (increased as previously stated) with the quantified DP-26 runoff from the proposed site analysis, a total runoff value can be compared with the M.D.D.P. allowable runoff rate at this culvert crossing of Marksheffel Road. In the current undeveloped conditions, the total runoff is  $Q_5 = 323.3$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 866.9$  cfs. The 5 year & 100 year storm event runoffs are slightly higher than that quantified in the Chateau at Antelope Ridge Drainage Report due to conservatively assuming runoff drains directly into and out of the temporary facility at DP-25 since an outlet structure restricting runoff does not exist. The proposed developed conditions will ensure the runoff at DP-26 is less than the allowable rates per the M.D.D.P. ( $Q_5 = 250$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 852$  cfs).

#### **PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS**

Developed runoff from Windermere Development will be conveyed into the proposed storm sewer systems as shown on the Developed Conditions Drainage Map, and will outfall into two separate Public Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin (EDB) Water Quality Facilities. All curb inlets are CDOT Type R, storm pipes are reinforced concrete pipe (RCP), and curbs are El Paso County Type A (6" vertical curb) and El Paso County Type C (ramp curb).

Per current El Paso County Drainage Criteria for stormwater capacity within street sections, the following applies:

Street Type	Allowable – Initial Storm (5 yr)	Allowable-Major Storm (100 yr)
Residential w/Ramp Curb	Flow spread to crown. Maximum of 20 cfs per side.	12" maximum depth at flowline with no adjacent flooding.
Residential w/Vertical Curb	6" allowable depth at flowline. Maximum of 34 cfs per side.	12" maximum depth at flowline with no adjacent flooding.
Collector Street	6" allowable depth at flowline, maximum of 34 cfs per side, no overtopping of crown.	12" maximum depth at flowline with no adjacent flooding.



For more exact allowable curb capacities for each curb and roadway type at varying street slopes the Curb Capacity Equations were used as shown on the charts located in the front of the Drainage Criteria Manual. At no times is curb capacity an issue due to the placement of at-grade inlets when needed.

Drainage from individual lots is assumed to travel in side-lot swales to the street. A detailed description of the developed runoff for Windermere, including the final design of Filing No. 1 is as follows:

**Design Point 1** ( $Q_5 = 13.1$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 26.2$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin C, 4.20 acres of Filing No. 1 single family home lots, and the two Pronghorn Meadows Circle roadways. A proposed 15' at-grade inlet will intercept a portion of this runoff while the remaining continues within the curb and gutter to Design Point 2. Pipe 1 (24" RCP,  $Q_5 = 8.9$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 15.6$  cfs) conveys the intercepted runoff south toward the detention/water quality facility at Design Point 5. As is typical throughout the proposed Windermere subdivision, all developed flows are within allowable street capacities for both 5 yr and 100 year events. For the minor event storm, the curb capacity of ramp curb at 1.5% is 13.8 cfs.

**Design Point 2** ( $Q_5 = 12.4$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 27.3$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin B, 2.72 acres of Filing No. 1 single family home lots and adjacent residential roadways, and the flow-by from the at-grade inlet at DP-1. A proposed 15' sump inlet will intercept this runoff and combine with that from Pipe 1. Pipe 2 (30" RCP,  $Q_5 = 20.9$  cfs and  $Q_{100}= 41.9$  cfs) conveys the combined runoff south toward the detention/water quality facility at Design Point 5.

**Design Point 3** ( $Q_5 = 9.1$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 18.2$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin A, 3.35 acres of Filing No. 1 single family home lots and adjacent residential roadways. A proposed 10' sump inlet will intercept this runoff and a 24" RCP (Pipe 3a) will connect to the storm main from DP-2. Pipe 3b (36" RCP,  $Q_5 = 27.6$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 55.5$  cfs) conveys the combined runoff from the Filing No. 1 development into the proposed Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin at Design Point 5.

**Design Point 4** ( $Q_5 = 7.2$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 14.6$  cfs) is exactly as described within the Existing Conditions portion of this report. The existing 24" RCP will be extended with a proposed 24" RCP (Pipe 4) and connected directly into the downstream Marksheffel Road storm sewer system.



**Design Point 5** ( $Q_5 = 28.1 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 56.8 \text{ cfs}$ ) is the Filing No. 1 developed runoff into the proposed Private Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin. This storm water consists of that from Pipe 3b and Basin P, 0.60 acres of the detention facility itself. The facility was designed using the sizing spreadsheet (See Appendix) and criteria from the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District (UDFCD). A total of 10.87 acres of Windermere Filing No. 1 is tributary to this facility, with a composite impervious value of 73.3%. A required Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) of 0.893 acre-feet is required. This volume is provided under the top of outlet box opening (elevation 6570.00, within the orifice plate of the outlet box).

A concrete box forebay will be placed at the Pipe 3b entry point into the facility. Per the UDFCD sizing spreadsheet a 6" notch in the vertical wall of the forebay box is required. All pond structure details are included in the Filing No. 1 construction drawings. A 6' wide concrete trickle channel at 1.0% grade will be installed down the center of the basin to convey the low flows to the outlet box and limit erosion within the bottom of the facility. A 3.0% minimum basin bottom slope into the trickle channel is provided as per the UDFCD requirements.

The bottom of the detention basin is at an elevation of 6562.50 with the EURV provided at the elevation 6570.00. A 6' wide outlet box (4' deep opening) is proposed with a top of box at this 6570.00 elevation. For a Full Spectrum facility, the outlet box orifice holes within the front plate are to drain the EURV in 72 hours, resulting in the necessary orifice hole sizing of 1 column of 3/4" diameter circular holes. A 2.5' deep concrete bottom micropool is to be installed within the outlet structure, with a surface area of 107 square feet. A removable trash screen of 12" in width will be placed in front of the orifice plate to help prevent the orifice holes from clogging. A 24" RCP outlet, Pipe 5, will convey the facility's restricted release ( $Q_5 = 0.63$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 9.77$  cfs) (historic from site is  $Q_5 = 11.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 28.2$  cfs) to the existing 24" storm sewer pipe of the Marksheffel Road storm sewer system. See Design Point 6 for continued discussion of downstream system.

A 20' length emergency spillway located at elevation 6571.00 will pass the entire incoming 100-year storm event (56.8 cfs) at a flood depth less than 1.0' (0.84' using equation Q = CLH^0.5 from the DCM). Per the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM), the top of the pond berm shall be 2.0' higher than the flood depth water surface elevation, in this case at 6574.00. This emergency spillway will only be utilized in the case of a complete outlet box failure and will be constructed of riprap rock buried under top soil and re-



vegetated. Also, a 15' wide maintenance access road at 15% grade will be installed to the bottom of the facility from the interior roadway, Grizedale Terrace. By utilizing the Full Spectrum Outlet box design, the minor storm event release rates are significantly below historic levels, and the 100-year event is less than a third of the historic (allowable) runoff rate of the proposed site (Basin EX-A  $Q_5 = 11.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 28.2$  cfs).

Maintenance of the Private detention/water quality structures and aesthetic maintenance of the facility will be by either the home owner's association or Windermere Metropolitan District 1 as is to be determined.

Initially, as a part of the early grading permit, a temporary sediment pond will be constructed in the same location as the ultimate detention and stormwater quality facility. The temporary sediment basin outlet pipe will ultimately be replaced with a formal outlet structure at the time of Filing No. 1 public street and storm construction. The storm outfalls into the ultimate pond will also be constructed along with the proposed perimeter retaining walls.

**Design Point 6** ( $Q_5 = 3.4$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 7.1$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin R, 1.18 acres of existing Marksheffel Road, adjacent roadside swale, and proposed home lots that drain in the same pattern as existing Basin EX-R. The existing type D grated area drain must remain due to existing electric vaults being installed lower than the roadway intersection. However, this inlet must be relocated to the east in order to construct the N. Carefree sidewalk. The new Type D inlet intercepts all of this runoff and an 18" RCP conveys it to the storm outfall from the proposed detention/water quality facility at DP-5. Pipe 6b (24" RCP,  $Q_5 = 10.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 29.4$  cfs) is the combined runoff rate from the Pond Outfall (Pipe 5), DP-6, and off-site DP-14 (Pipe 4). This 24" RCP connects to the existing 24" RCP of the Marksheffel Rd. storm system. Per the Existing Conditions analysis of this report, the allowable historic runoff rate to the existing storm sewer system constructed with the Marksheffel Road improvements does not have the capacity (listed as 16 cfs) to convey even the reduced detained runoff. See Design Point 19 for continued discussion of the existing Marksheffel Road storm system.

**Design Point 7** ( $Q_5 = 20.0$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 41.6$  cfs) is the same as described in the Existing Conditions section of this report.



**Design Point 8** ( $Q_5 = 7.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 15.1$  cfs) consists of runoff from off-site Basin D-15, 1.36 acres of existing Antelope Ridge Drive, and from Basin E, 1.47 acres of the back yards of proposed single family home lots that drains onto Antelope Ridge Drive. The runoff at this location in the developed conditions is slightly higher than in the existing conditions; however the existing inlet and storm pipe have adequate capacity. The existing 10' Type R curb inlet intercepts all of this runoff and an existing 36'' storm (Pipe 8) the previously daylighted onto the proposed site will be extended east within Borrowdale Lane and eventually to the detention/water quality facility at DP-25. This 36'' Pipe 8 conveys runoff of  $Q_5 = 26.4$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 54.1$  cfs.

**Design Point 9** ( $Q_5 = 12.9$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 26.0$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin I, 4.44 acres of Filing No. 3 single family home lots and Ryedale Way. A proposed 20' at-grade inlet will intercept a portion of this runoff while the remaining continues within the curb and gutter to Design Point 10. Pipe 9 (24" RCP,  $Q_5 = 9.0$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 16.0$  cfs) conveys the intercepted runoff to the 36" main from DP-8 (Pipe 10 36" RCP,  $Q_5 = 32.4$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 64.7$  cfs). At no times within the proposed site is curb capacity an issue due to the placement of these at-grade storm inlets. For the minor event storm, the curb capacity of ramp curb at 4.0% is above the maximum of 20.0 cfs.

**Design Point 10** ( $Q_5 = 5.6$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 13.5$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin N, 0.58 acres of Filing No. 3 single family home lots and Borrowdale Lane, and the flow-by from DP-9. A proposed 15' at-grade inlet will intercept the majority of this runoff while the remaining continues within the curb and gutter to Design Point 11. Pipe 11 (18" RCP,  $Q_5 = 4.3$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 8.5$  cfs) conveys the intercepted runoff to the at-grade inlet at DP-11.

**Design Point 11** ( $Q_5 = 11.2 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 24.8 \text{ cfs}$ ) consists of runoff from Basin J, 3.30 acres of single family home lots and Patterdale Place, and the flow-by from DP-10. A proposed 10' at-grade inlet will intercept a portion of this runoff while the remaining continues within the curb and gutter to the sump inlet at Design Point 15. Pipe 12 (24" RCP,  $Q_5 = 9.8 \text{ cfs}$  and  $Q_{100} = 16.4 \text{ cfs}$ ) conveys the intercepted runoff from this atgrade inlet and that from the at-grade at DP-10 (Pipe 11) to the storm main. The outfall main (Pipe 13, 42" RCP,  $Q_5 = 38.9 \text{ cfs}$  and  $Q_{100} = 75.5 \text{ cfs}$ ) continues east within Borrowdale Lane and ultimately to the proposed detention/water quality facility at DP-25.



**Design Point 12** ( $Q_5 = 11.0 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 22.4 \text{ cfs}$ ) consists of runoff from Basin K, 3.74 acres of single family home lots and Pronghorn Meadows Circle. A proposed 20' at-grade inlet will intercept a portion of this runoff while the remaining continues within the curb and gutter to the sump inlet at Design Point 15. Pipe 16 (24" RCP,  $Q_5 = 8.7 \text{ cfs}$  and  $Q_{100} = 15.4 \text{ cfs}$ ) conveys the intercepted runoff and connects to the 60" RCP storm main that drains into the detention/water quality facility at Design Point 25. For the minor event storm, the curb capacity of ramp curb at 1.5% is 13.8 cfs.

**Design Point 14** ( $Q_5 = 12.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 24.5$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basins F & Q, 2.85 acres and 1.70 acres respectively of single family home lots and adjacent residential roadways. A proposed 20' at-grade inlet will intercept a portion of this runoff while the remaining continues within the curb and gutter to the sump inlet at Design Point 15. Pipe 14 (24" RCP,  $Q_5 = 8.8$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 15.1$  cfs) conveys the intercepted runoff from this at-grade inlet and connects to the 42" storm main (Pipe 15,  $Q_5 = 45.9$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 87.4$  cfs).

**Design Point 15** ( $Q_5 = 20.5$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 50.7$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin G, 3.97 acres of single family home lots and adjacent residential roadways, and the flow-by runoff from the at-grade inlets at Design Points 11, 12, & 14. A proposed 20' sump inlet will intercept all of this runoff with a 42" RCP lateral connecting with the 42" main within Borrowdale Lane at a proposed storm manhole.

**Design Point 16** ( $Q_5 = 10.4$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 20.8$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin L, 3.86 acres of single family home lots, the Ryedale Way cul-de-sac, and Pronghorn Meadows Circle. A proposed 10' at-grade inlet will intercept a portion of this runoff while the remaining continues within the curb and gutter to the sump inlet at Design Point 17. Pipe 17 (18" RCP,  $Q_5 = 6.5$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 9.3$  cfs) conveys the intercepted runoff and connects to the 60" RCP storm main (Pipe 22,  $Q_5 = 78.1$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 158.1$  cfs) that drains into the detention/water quality facility at Design Point 23/25. For the minor event storm, the curb capacity of ramp curb at 1.5% is 13.8 cfs.

**Design Point 17** ( $Q_5 = 7.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 19.1$  cfs) consists of runoff from Basin H, 1.42 acres of single family home lots and adjacent residential roadways, and the flow-by runoff from the at-grade inlet at Design Point 16. A proposed 10' sump inlet will intercept all of this runoff with a 24" RCP (Pipe 20) connecting with the 42" lateral to DP-15. The 42" lateral combines with the 42" Borrowdale Lane main at a storm manhole at



the roadway intersection. Pipe 18 (60" RCP,  $Q_5 = 67.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 141.5$  cfs) conveys the combined runoff to the north to DP-23.

**Design Point 19** ( $Q_5 = 10.4$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 20.7$  cfs) consists of runoff from off-site Basin NC-2, 1.49 acres of existing Antelope Ridge Drive and N. Carefree Circle, and from Basin D, 1.75 acres of landscaped areas and single family home lots. For arterial streets in the minor storm event, the maximum street capacity is 6" depth at flowline with 34 cfs per side while maintaining at least (1) 10' lane width free of water. For the major storm event the maximum street flow is 8" depth at flowline dependant on street grade. An existing 15' Type R at-grade curb inlet (at approx. 4% street grade) intercepts a portion of this runoff ( $Q_5 = 9.0$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 12.8$  cfs) and an existing 18" storm (Pipe 6a) connects with Pipe 6B at an existing storm manhole. Pipe 6C is the 24" outfall pipe from this connection manhole and contains a combined runoff of  $Q_5 = 17.5$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 39.7$  cfs. The storm water in the existing Marksheffel Road storm sewer system is less in the developed conditions than in the existing conditions due to the over-detention of the proposed development runoff at DP-5. Per the Marksheffel Rd. Construction Drawings, the capacity of the system at Pipe 6C is 16 cfs. Pipe 6C connects into the face of the median sump inlet at DP-20.

**Design Point 20** ( $Q_5 = 4.5$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 11.3$  cfs) consists of runoff from off-site Basin NC-1, 0.42 acres of existing N. Carefree Circle and Marksheffel Rd, and the flow-by from the at-grade inlet at Design Point 19. An existing 10' Type R sump curb inlet intercepts all of this runoff and combines it with that from the incoming Pipe 6C. Pipe 7 represents the existing 30" outfall pipe from this inlet and contains a developed runoff rate of  $Q_5 = 21.2$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 48.9$  cfs (less than in the existing conditions). From the Marksheffel Rd. Construction Drawings, the capacity of the 30" Pipe 7 is 29 cfs. This runoff continues within the existing Marksheffel Rd. storm system to the south to downstream facilities.

**Design Point 20-DS** ( $Q_5 = 33.8 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 84.5 \text{ cfs}$ ) This design point quantifies the worst case surface storm runoff downstream of Design Point 20 if the existing Marksheffel Road storm system is at full capacity and the proposed facility overtops the emergency spillway. The quantity is a combination of the surface runoff at Design Point 20 and the theoretical difference in the listed capacity of Pipe 6C (16 cfs) and the total un-detained proposed developed runoff; which is a difference of  $Q_5 = 29.3$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 73.2$  cfs. As previously mentioned, the allowable street runoff for arterial streets in the minor storm event is a max. 6" depth at flowline with 34 cfs per side while maintaining at least (1) 10' lane width free of water. For the



major storm event the maximum street flow is 8" depth at flowline dependant on street grade. Flowmaster gutter calculations are included in the Appendix of this report. The capacity of the arterial roadway (Marksheffel Rd.) downstream of the existing Marksheffel and N. Carefree intersection maintains acceptable flow depths, spreads, and overall flow rates as defined in the current Drainage Criteria Manual in the event of an entire 100-yr storm overtopping the spillway.

**Design Point 23** ( $Q_5 = 83.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 173.0$  cfs) consists of the total runoff from the Windermere development that drains into the northern proposed Public Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin and Water Quality Facility to be constructed with Filing 2. A Final Drainage Report will be completed with Windermere Filings 2 & 3 that further detail the detention/water quality facility and address any changes made to this Preliminary Drainage Report for Filings 2 & 3. DP-23 represents the runoff from 49.32 acres (including Pond Basin M) of proposed Windermere on-site developed land at a calculated impervious value of 60.88%. A forebay will be constructed at this 60" RCP entry point into the facility with a concrete low flow trickle channel draining to the outlet box micropool.

As the existing temporary detention facility is located on property not owned or controlled by the Windermere developer, please find in the appendix a letter from the Tract A owner (Yes! Communities) acknowledging their willingness to work with the Windermere developer in converting this existing temporary private facility into a public facility.

**Design Point 24** ( $Q_5 = 111.3 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 199.7 \text{ cfs}$ ) is described in the Existing Conditions section of this report. A forebay will be constructed at this channel entry point in the facility with a concrete low flow trickle channel within the middle of the pond bottom. For the design purposes of the proposed EDB facility at DP-25, this tributary runoff was calculated at the following impervious values: Basin WS 41.47 acres @ 2% (historic flow analysis since all developed runoff is treated and released at historic rates with Whispering Springs on-site facilities) and Basin CT 42.07 acres @ 70% (interpolated from previous reports and satellite imagery).

**Design Point 25** ( $Q_5 = 185.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 353.3$  cfs) consists of the combination of the existing tributary runoff (DP-24) with the developed runoff from the proposed Windermere site (DP-23) that collect in this proposed Public Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin (EDB) Water Quality Facility. Per the Hilltop



Subdivision M.D.D.P., detention of developed runoff is required in order to maintain historic release rates under Marksheffel Road and to the east to the main Sand Creek channel. As mentioned in the Existing Conditions Section, there is currently a temporary detention pond located in this area constructed with the Chateau at Antelope Ridge development to the north. This facility was to be removed when the large regional Sand Creek detention facility was constructed to the south. This facility is no longer a feasible option due to multiple ownerships and lack of planned development to the east of Marksheffel Road. Therefore this permanent facility will ensure acceptable downstream runoff rates and the statement of this facility being temporary in the Chateau reports no longer applies. This Public EDB facility will be required with the development of Windermere Filing No. 2 and a Final Drainage Report will be completed at that time that will provide the final design of this facility. The following pond design/analysis has been completed with this Preliminary Drainage Report for Windermere:

The Extended Detention Basin (EDB) facility was designed using the sizing spreadsheet (See Appendix) and criteria from the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District (UDFCD). A total of 132.86 acres of land is tributary to this facility at a composite imperviousness of 45.4%. An Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) of 6.548 acre-feet is required. This volume is provided under the top of outlet box opening (elevation 6576.50, within the orifice plate of the outlet box).

Forebays will be constructed at both Design Points 23 & 24 and will likely consist of riprap berms with small outlet pipes draining a concrete bottom forebay. Final details will be provided with the Windermere Filing No. 2 Final Drainage Report. An 8' wide concrete trickle channel at 0.50% grade will be installed down the center of the basin to convey the low flows to the outlet box and limit erosion within the bottom of the facility. A 3.0% minimum basin bottom slope into the trickle channel is provided as per the UDFCD requirements.

The bottom of the detention basin is at an elevation of 6571.00 with the EURV provided at the elevation 6576.50. A 20' wide outlet box (4' deep opening) is proposed with a top of box at this 6576.50 elevation. For a Full Spectrum facility, the outlet box orifice holes within the front plate are to drain the EURV in 72 hours, resulting in the necessary orifice hole sizing of 1 column of 1-7/8" diameter circular holes. A 2.5' deep concrete bottom micropool is to be installed within the outlet structure. A removable trash screen of 35" in width will be placed in front of the orifice plate to help prevent the orifice holes from clogging. A



54" RCP outlet, Pipe 23, will convey the <u>facility's restricted release ( $Q_5 = 3.22 \text{ cfs}$ ,  $Q_{100} = 80.70 \text{ cfs}$ ) to the adjacent Marksheffel Road ditch, which drains to the existing 24" CMP culvert under Marksheffel Road (DP-26).</u>

A 115' length emergency spillway located at elevation 6579.00 will pass the entire incoming 100-year storm event (353.3 cfs) at a flood depth less than 1.0'. Per the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM), the top of the pond berm shall be 2.0' higher than the flood depth water surface elevation, in this case at 6582.00. This emergency spillway will only be utilized in the case of a complete outlet box failure and will be constructed of riprap rock buried under top soil and re-vegetated. Also, a 15' wide maintenance access road at 12% grade will be installed to the bottom of the facility as per the DCM. By utilizing the Full Spectrum Outlet box design, the release rates are significantly below historic levels. A maximum allowable release rate from this facility can be conservatively calculated as the direct difference between the release rates at M.D.D.P. Design Points 1C & 1X;  $Q_5 = 65$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 252$  cfs).

Maintenance of the Public detention/water quality structures is by El Paso County. Aesthetic maintenance of the facility will be by either the home owner's association or Windermere Metropolitan District 1 as is to be determined.

**Design Point 26** ( $Q_5 = 7.6$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 90.9$  cfs) consists of the total developed runoff to the existing 24" CMP Marksheffel Road culvert crossing from the north-west (proposed facility release and Basin S, 4.52 acres of single family homes and Marksheffel Road ditch). This runoff quantity does not include that from the upstream Marksheffel Road ditch.

Design Point 26 directly correlates with M.D.D.P. DP-1C, which states a maximum flow rate in existing and developed conditions of  $Q_5 = 250$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 852$  cfs that crosses under Marksheffel Road. Using a conservative approach by directly adding the M.D.D.P. DP-1X runoff (increased as previously stated) with the quantified DP-26 runoff from the proposed site analysis, a total runoff value can be compared with the M.D.D.P. allowable runoff rate at this culvert crossing of Marksheffel Road. In the proposed developed conditions, the total runoff is  $Q_5 = 192.6$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 690.9$  cfs. The proposed development and construction of a large public regional detention/water quality facility releases runoff to downstream



facilities below historic and allowable rates and therefore will not be detrimental to any downstream facilities.

#### **EROSION CONTROL PLAN**

Erosion control measures will be installed per the approved grading/erosion control plans and in accordance with the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual.

#### DRAINAGE CRITERIA

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and October 1994. Stormwater quality analysis and Extended Detention Basin (EDB) design are per the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Manual and UD-BMP Version 3.01 spreadsheet. The Rational Method was used to estimate stormwater runoff to the proposed inlets, storm sewer pipes, and detention/water quality facilities.

#### FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.) Map Number 08041C 0543F effective date, March 17, 1997 (See Appendix).

#### DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES FILING NO. 1

The Windermere development is located in the Sand Creek Basin and consists of a total acreage of 52.068 acres with a total of 201 single family home lots (3.86 DU/Acre). Filing No. 1 will be platted at this time and contains a total of 14.957 acres. The 2014 El Paso County Drainage Fees are \$15,000 per impervious acrea and the Bridge Fees are \$4,544 per impervious acre. An impervious value of 4 DU/Acre was applied (38%).

Drainage Fees Filing 1:	
\$15,000/acre x 5.684 acres	\$ 85,260.00
Bridge Fees Filing 1:	
\$4,544/acre x 5.684 acres	\$ 25,828.10
TOTALS:	<u>\$ 111,088.10</u>

Fees or use of existing credits are due prior to plat recordation. Prior to issuance of building permits a plat will need to be recorded and appropriate drainage facility and erosion control assurances will need to be posted.



#### **CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION – WINDERMERE FILING NO. 1**

#### Private Drainage Facilities Non-Reimbursable

ITEM	DESCRIPTION		OUANTITY		UNIT COST		CC	DST
1.	Retaining Walls in Private Pond	(Face foot)	1,789.00	FF	\$	35	\$	62,615.00
2.	Geotextile Fabric (Erosion Cont	rol) (Under riprap)	192.00	SY	\$	5	\$	960.00
3.	Rip Rap, d50 Size from 6" to 2	4"	192.00	CY	\$	98	\$	18,816.00
4.	Detention Facility Construction		1,760.00	CY	\$	11	\$	19,360.00
5.	Detention Outlet Structure		1.00	EA	\$18	,000	\$	18,000.00
6.	Detention Emergency Spillway		1.00	EA	\$ 2	2,000	\$	2,000.00
	SUB TOTAL 10% ENGINEERING 5% CONTINGENCIES TOTAL						\$ \$ \$	121,751.00 12,175.10 <u>6,087.55</u> 140,013.65
Pub	lic Drainage Facilities Non-Rei	mbursable						
1.	10' Type R Inlet	1 EACH	\$6,680/EA	\$	6,680	0.00		
2.	15' Type R Inlet	2 EACH	\$7,422/EA	\$	14,844	4.00		
3.	Grated Inlet	1 EACH	\$3,440/EA	\$	3,440	0.00		
4.	18" RCP Storm Drain	54 LF	\$53/LF	\$	2,86	2.00		
5.	24" RCP Storm Drain	1,144 LF	\$58/LF	\$	66,352	2.00		
6.	30" RCP Storm Drain	44 LF	\$77/LF	\$	3,38	8.00		
7.	36" RCP Storm Drain	66 LF	\$95/LF	\$	6,270	0.00		
8.	36" FES	1 EA	\$1,200/EA	\$	1,200	0.00		
9.	Type I Storm MH (slab)	2 EACH	\$4,575/EA	\$	9,150	0.00		
10.	Type I Storm MH (box)	1 EACH	\$7,160/EA	\$	7,16	0.00		
SUB	TOTAL			\$	121,34	6.00		
10%	ENGINEERING			\$	12,13	4.60		
5%	CONTINGENCIES			\$	6,06	<u>7.30</u>		
TO	TAL			\$	139,54	7.90		

Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors cannot and does not guarantee that the construction cost will not vary from these opinions of probable construction costs. These opinions represent our best judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry and this development in particular.



#### SUMMARY

Runoff for the proposed Windermere development is collected in on-site storm sewer systems and routed to two Public Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin Water Quality facilities. This report describes the final design of the Filing No. 1 storm sewer system and detention/water quality pond. Preliminary design for the storm system and large regional facility at the north end of the site is included in the report. A final drainage report is required with the future Filing 2 & 3 of Windermere that will discuss final design of such facilities. The use of Full Spectrum outlet structures provides a release rate from the proposed facilities much less than historic and therefore the proposed Windermere development does not cause any downstream facility constraints. This report/development is in compliance with the Master Development Drainage Plan for Hilltop Subdivision, the Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study, and the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual.

PREPARED BY:

Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC

Matthew Larson Project Engineer mal/244100/REPORTS/PDR-FDR-FIL1.doc


## REFERENCES

- 1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual dated October 1991.
- 2. "Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study," Kiowa Engineering Corp, dated March 1996.
- "Master Development Drainage Plan for Hilltop Subdivision El Paso County, Colorado," by URS Greiner, Inc. prepared November 1, 1996 (last revised February 1998)
- 4. "Preliminary Drainage Report for Whispering Springs Development and Final Drainage Report for Whispering Springs Filing No. 1," by Rockwell Consulting, Inc. dated August 2013.
- 5. "Final Drainage Report and Erosion Control for Chateau at Antelope Ridge," by URS, dated December 1998.
- 6. "Preliminary Drainage Report for Pronghorn Meadows and Final Drainage Report for Pronghorn Meadows Filing No. 1," by URS, dated September 4, 2002.
- 7. "Final Drainage Report for Pronghorn Meadows Filing 2," by URS, dated July 2004.
- 8. "Final Drainage Report for Pronghorn Meadows Filing 3," by URS, dated May 2005.
- 9. North Carefree Circle Developed Drainage Basins Map,' by URS, dated February 2003.
- 10. "Final Drainage Report Marksheffel Road from Constitution Ave. to Dublin Rd.," by CH2M Hill, dated May 2008 and Marksheffel Road Construction Drawings by Wilson & Company.
- 11. Drainage Criteria Manual (Volume 3) latest revision April 2008, Urban Drainage and Flood Criteria District.



## APPENDIX

.

.



VICINITY MAP







## SOILS MAP (S.C.S SURVEY)







## Map Unit Legend

	El Paso County Area,	Colorado (CO625)	
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres In AOI	Percent of AOI
97	Truckton sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes	261.8	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		261.8	100.0%



F.E.M.A. MAP

.





**REFERENCE MATERIAL FROM ADJACENT STUDIES** 























You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)



EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS CALCULATIONS



CT	WS	NC-2	NC-1	D-16	D-15	D-14	D-13	EX-R	EX-F	EX-E	EX-D	EX-C	EX-B	EX-A	BASIN			DATE: CALCULATED BY:	JOB NUMBER:
42.07	41.47	1.49	0.42	2.73	1.36	3.88	6.79	0.53	3.15	1.10	6.19	24.28	7.30	13.20	TOTAL AREA (AC)		EXISTING	10/03/14 MAL	PTA 2441.00
8.67		1,49	0.42	0.77	1.36	1.66	1.37	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	AREA (AC)	IMPERVIC	DRAINA		
0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	C(5)	DUS AREA / S	GE CON		
0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	C(100)	STREETS	DITIONS		
33.40		0.00	0.00	1.96	0.00	2.22	5.42	0.21	3.15	1.10	6.19	24.28	7.30	13.20	AREA (AC)	LANDSCAF	~ BASIN		
0.55	0.55	0.25	0.25	0.53	0.25	0.37	0.53	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	C(5)	E/UNDEVEL	RUNOFF		
0.65	0.65	0.35	0.35	0.64	0.35	0.52	0.64	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	C(100)	OPED AREAS	: COEFFIC		
0.62	0.39	0.90	0.90	0.63	0.90	0.60	0.60	0.64	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	C(5)	WEIGH	IENT SUN		
0.71	0.31	0.95	0.95	0.73	0.95	0.70	0.70	0.71	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	C(100)	TED	AMARY		
26.17	16.05	1.34	0.38	1.73	1.22	2.32	4.11	0.34	0.79	0.28	1.55	6.07	1.83	3.30	CA(5)	WEIGH			
29.95	12.67	1.42	0.40	1.99	1.29	2.73	4.77	0.38	1.10	0.39	2.17	8.50	2.56	4.62	CA(100)	ITED CA	8		

٠

JOB NAME:		WINDERM	IERE												
JOB NUMBER:		2441.00													
DATE:		10/03/14													
CALC'D BY:		MAL													
		EXISTI	NG DI	RAINA	GEC	ONDIT	TIONS	~ BA:	SIN RI	JNOF	F SUM	IMAR'	×		
<	VEIGHTED	4		0	VERLAN	Ð	STRE	ET / CH	IANNEL	FLOW	Tc	INTEN	VSITY	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length	Height	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	nio Tc	TOTAL	(in/hr)	(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)
!	2 22		221	11	1.1	10.0	100	2001	1.00	1	10.00	2.12	/	1010	1000
	0.00			100	1				1						
EX-B	1.83	2.56	0.25	450	40	16.4	600	1.7%	4.5	2.2	18.6	3.13	5.56	5.7	14.2
EX-C	6.07	8.50	0.25	450	24	19.4	750	3.7%	6.7	1.9	21.3	2.92	5.19	17.7	44.1
EX-D	1.55	2.17	0.25	260	24	12.3	150	20.0%	15.7	0.2	12.5	3.75	6.68	5.8	14.5
EX-E	0.28	0.39	0.25	200	12	12.4	50	12.0%	12.1	0.1	12.5	3.75	6.67	1.0	2.6
EX-F	0.79	1.10	0.25	30	8	2.9	400	1.0%	3.5	1.9	5.0	5.10	9.07	4.0	10.0
EX-R	0.34	0.38	0.25	20	2	3.3	320	2.0%	4.9	1.1	5.0	5.10	9.07	1.7	3.4
D-13	4.11	4.77	0.25	270	21	13.3	1380	6.0%	8.6	2.7	16.0	3.36	5.98	13.8	28.5
D-14	2.32	2.73	0.25	125	2.5	14.1	1250	1.7%	4.6	4.6	18.7	3.12	5.54	7.2	15.1
D-15	1.22	1.29	0.25	25	1	5.0	2050	1.7%	4.6	7.5	12.5	3.75	6.66	4.6	8.6
D-16	1.73	1.99	0.53	200	10	8.9	350	3.5%	6.5	0.9	9.8	4.14	7.36	7.2	14.6
NC-1	0.38	0.40	0.25	10	2	1.9	25	3.5%	6.5	0.1	5.0	5.10	9.07	1.9	3.6
NC-2	1.34	1.42	0.25	15	2	2.6	1125	3.5%	6.5	2.9	5.5	4.98	8.86	6.7	12.5
WS	16.05	12.67	0.25			#DIV/0I		2.0%	4.9	0.0	20.9	2.95	5.24	47.3	66.4
CI	26.17	29.95	0.25	100	4	10.1	1450	2.0%	4.9	4.9	14.9	3.47	6.17	90.8	184.7

IOB NAME: IOB NUMBER: DATE: CALCULATED BY:	WINDERMERE 2441.00 10/04/14 MAL								
		22			Inten	sity	П	OW	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
4	BASIN D-16	1.73	1.99	9.8	4.14	7.36	7.2	14.6	8' Existing Sump Inlet
6	BASIN EX-R + BASIN EX-A + DP-4- EXIST	5.37	6.98	15.3	3.43	6.10	18.4	42.6	Existing Type D Grated Inlet
7	BASIN D-13 & BASIN D-14	6.42	7.50	18.7	3.12	5.54	20.0	41.6	Existing 25' Type R Inlet
8	BASIN D-15 & BASIN EX-E	1.50	1.68	12.5	3.75	6.66	5.6	11.2	Existing 10' Type R Inlet
19	BASIN NC-2	1.34	1.42	5.5	4,98	8.86	6.7	12.5	15' Existing At-Grade Inlet
20	BASIN NC-1 + Flow-by DP-19	0.75	0.90	5.5	4.98	8.86	3.7	8.0	10' Exising sump median intet
24	BASIN WS + BASIN CT	42.22	42.62	25.8	2.64	4.69	111.3	199.7	OFF-SITE TO POND
25	DP-24 + BASIN EX-D + BASIN EX-F	44.56	45.88	25.8	2.64	4.69	117.5	215.1	EXIST. TO POND
26	DP-25 + BASIN EX-B + BASIN EX-C	52.45	56.94	25.8	2.64	4.69	138.3	266.9	EXIST. TO MARKSHEFFEL (Not including Marksheffel Ditch)

07	7	ĉ	66	6a	Pipe Run				CALCULATED BY:	DATE:	JOB NUMBER:	JOB NAME:
DP-7-EXIST & DP-8-EXIST	PIPE 6C + DP-20-EXIST	PIPE 6a + PIPE 6b	DP-6	DP-19-EXIST (Intercept)	<b>Contributing Basins</b>		EXISTING DRAI	PIPES ARE LISTED AT MAXIMUN REFER TO INDIVIDUAL PIPE SHI	MAL	10/03/14	2441.00	WINDERMERE
7.92	7.09	6.34	5.37	0.97	Equivalent CA(5)		NAGE CON	I SIZE REQUIR EETS FOR HYD				
9.18	8.80	7.90	6.98	0.91	Equivalent CA(100)		DITIONS ~	ED TO ACCOM				
18.7	15.5	15.5	15.3	5.5	Maximum Tc		PIPE ROU	MODATE Q100 I MATION.				
3.12	3.41	3.41	3,43	4.98	I(5)	Inten	TING SUM	FLOWS AT MIN				
5.54	6.06	6.06	6.10	8.86	l(100)	sity	MARY	IIMUM GRAD				
24.7	24.2	21.6	18.4	4.8	Q(5)	Flo		'n				
50.9	53.3	47.9	42.6	8.1	Q(100)	WC						
EX. 36" RCP	EX 30" RCP	EX. 24" RCP	EX. 24" RCP	EX. 18" RCP	Pipe Size*							

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	E
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		
DATE:	10/03/14		
CALCULATED BY:	MAL		
		_	
DESIGN POINT	EX-8		
	Total Flow: O-	_	6 cfc
		_	
	Q100	=	
Maximum allowabl	e conding depth at sum	<b>.</b> .	
	e ponding departat bang		
	D <sub>5</sub>	=	0.50
	D100	=	0.67 (dmax)
	Qi	=	1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
	Clogging Factor	=	1.25
	Li (1.25)	=	Length of inlet opening
E Veer Evert	<b></b>		fact inlat required
o-rear Event:	4		loor liner required
100-Year Event	4		foot inlet required
	_ <u>,</u>		
EXISTING	10		FT TYPE R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR &
100 YR DEVELOP	ED FLOWS AT THIS D	ESI	GN POINT.
		-	

Classic Consulting

JOB NAME:	WINDERMER	E			
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/03/14				
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
DESIGN POINT	EX-19			100 YEAR FLOW	
	10.5				
Q(100)	12.5	I(100)	8.9		
DERTH	0.25		0.46	Inlat size 2 1 (i) -	15
DEPTH	0.35	- Fr	2.40	iniet size r L(i) =	15
SPREAD	11.0	1(1)	20.8	If Li < 1 (2) then Oi =	9
			20.0		
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	12.5	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	8
		-,-,	7.7726.853		-
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	44.7	FB =	4.4
				CA(eqv.)=	0.50
				5 YEAR FLOW	
Q(5)	6.7	I(5)	5.0		
	0.00		0.05	Inlat size 2. 1 (i) a	15
	0.30		2.30		15
SOPEAD	88	1.(1)	15.8	If Li < 1 (2) then Oi =	6
	0.0		10.0		
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	9.5	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	5
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	33.9	FB =	1.9
				CA(eqv.)=	0.37

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	E
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		
DATE:	10/03/14	_	
CALCULATED BY:	MAL		
DESIGN POINT	EX-20	-	
	Total Flow: Q5	=	4 cfs
	Q <sub>100</sub>	=	8 cts
Maximum allowabl	e ponding depth at sum	D:	
	D <sub>5</sub>	=	0.50
	D <sub>100</sub>	=	0.50 (dmax)
	Qi	=	1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
	Clogging Factor	=	1.25
	Li (1.25)	=	Length of inlet opening
5-Year Event:	4		foot inlet required
100-Year Event:	4		toot inlet required
EXISTING 100 YR DEVELOP	10 PED FLOWS AT THIS D	ESI	FT TYPE R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR & GN POINT.

Classic Consulting

- -- ---

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS CALCULATIONS



×	د ا	-	н	6	, F	m	D	С	в	A	BASIN				CALCULATED BY:	DATE:	JOB NUMBER:	JOB NAME:
3.74	3.30	4.44	1.42	3.97	2.85	1.47	1.75	4.20	2.72	3.35	AREA (AC)	TOTAL		DEVI	MAL	10/03/14	2441.00	WINDERM
0.61	0.74	1.12	0.43	0.67	0.76	0.21	1.08	1.10	0.56	0.88	AREA (AC)		IMPERVIC	LOPED				ERE
0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.60	0.90	0.90	0.90	C(5)		US AREA / S	CONDITI				
0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.70	0.95	0.95	0.95	C(100)		STREETS	ONS ~ B,				
3.13	2.56	3.32	0.99	3.30	2.09	1.26	0.67	3.10	2.16	2.47	AREA (AC)		LANDSCAF	ASIN RUN				
0.60	0.60	0.56	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.51	0.25	0.60	0.60	0.60	C(5)		E/UNDEVEL	NOFF CO				
0.7	0.7	0.66	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.61	0.35	0.7	0.7	0.7	C(100)		OPED AREAS	EFFICIENT				
0.65	0.67	0.65	0.69	0.65	0.68	0.57	0.47	0.68	0.66	0.68	C(5)		WEIG	SUMMA				
0.74	0.76	0.73	0.78	0.74	0.77	0.66	0.57	0.77	0.75	0.77	C(100)		HTED	RY				
2.43	2.20	2.87	0.98	2.58	1.94	0.83	0.82	2.85	1.80	2.27	CA(5)		WEIGH					
2.77	2.50	3.26	1.10	2.95	2.19	0.97	0.99	3.22	2.04	2.57	CA(100)		TED CA					

29.95	26.17	0.71	0.62	0.65	0.55	33.40	0.95	0.90	8.67	42.07	CT
12.67	16.05	0.31	0.39	0.65	0.55		0.95	0.90		41.47	SM
1.42	1.34	0.95	0.90	0.35	0.25	0.00	0.95	0.90	1.49	1.49	NC-2
0.40	0.38	0.95	0.90	0.35	0.25	0.00	0.95	0.90	0.42	0.42	NC-1
1.99	1.73	0.73	0.63	0.64	0.53	1.96	0.95	0.90	0.77	2.73	D-16
1.29	1.22	0.95	0.90	0.35	0.25	0.00	0.95	0.90	1.36	1.36	D-15
2.73	2.32	0.70	0.60	0.52	0.37	2.22	0.95	0.90	1.66	3.88	D-14
4.77	4.11	0.70	0.60	0.64	0.53	5.42	0.95	0.90	1.37	6.79	D-13
2.12	1.67	0.47	0.37	0.35	0.25	2.97	0.70	0.60	1.55	4.52	S
0.80	0.69	0.67	0.58	0.6	0.50	0.93	0.95	0.90	0.25	1.18	R
1.35	1.21	0.79	0.71	0.7	0.60	1.08	0.95	0.90	0.62	1.70	۵
0.21	0.15	0.35	0.25	0.35	0.25	0.60	0.95	0.90	0.00	0.60	P
0.44	0.38	0.75	0.66	0.7	0.60	0.46	0.95	0.90	0.12	0.58	z
3.49	2.49	0.35	0.25	0.35	0.25	9.96	0.95	0.90	0.00	9.96	M
2.99	2.66	0.77	0.69	0.7	0.60	2.71	0.95	0.90	1.15	3.86	F
CA(100)	CA(5)	C(100)	C(5)	C(100)	C(5)	AREA (AC)	C(100)	C(5)	AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	BASIN
										TOTAL	
FED CA	WEIGH	HTED	WEIGH	OPED AREAS	E/UNDEVEL	LANDSCAP	STREETS	US AREA /	IMPERVIC		
		RY	SUMMA	EFFICIENT	IOFF CO	ASIN RUN	ONS ~ B	CONDITI	ELOPED	DEVI	
										MAL	CALCULATED BY:
										10/03/14	DATE:
										2441.00	JOB NUMBER:
						2.			ERE	WINDERM	JOB NAME:

JOB NAME:		WINDERN	EKE	E.											
JOB NUMBER:		2441.00	3		1										
DATE:		10/03/14													
CALC'D BY:		MAL													
		DË	VELO	OPED	COND	ITION	S ~ B/	ASIN F	RUNO	FF SU	MMAF	RY			
2	VEIGHTED			Q	VERLAN		STRE	ET / CH	ANNEL I	-LOM	Тс	INTEN	VSITY	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length	Height (ff)	(min)	Length	Slope	Velocity (fns)	(min)	TOTAL (min)	(in/hr)	(100)	(cfe)	Q(100)
A	2.27	2.57	0.6	170	5	8.5	680	2.2%	5.2	2.2	10.7	3.99	7.10	9.1	18.2
B	1.80	2.04	0.6	10	1	1.4	715	2.5%	5.5	2.2	5.0	5.10	9.07	9.2	18.5
с	2.85	3.22	0.6	50	-	5.3	790	3.5%	6.5	2.0	7.3	4.59	8.15	13.1	26.2
D	0.82	0.99	0.6	100	7	4.9	490	3.9%	6.9	1.2	6.1	4.84	8.60	3.9	8.5
m	0.83	0.97	0.6	60	4	3.9	400	1.5%	4.3	1.6	5.4	5.00	8.88	4.2	8.6
Ŧ	1.94	2.19	0.25	70	8	4.4	550	2.9%	6.0	1.5	5.9	4.87	8.67	9.4	18.9
G	2.58	2.95	0.6	190	12	7.0	480	1.5%	4.3	1.9	8.9	4.29	7.62	11.1	22.4
т	0.98	1.10	0.6	50	-	5.3	480	1.5%	4.3	1.9	7.1	4.62	8.21	4.5	9.0
-	2.87	3.26	0.6	120	8	5.5	800	2.8%	5.8	2.3	7.8	4.49	7.98	12.9	26.0
L	2.20	2.50	0.6	115	8	5.3	470	2.1%	5.1	1.5	6.8	4.68	8.31	10.3	20.7
*	2.43	2.77	0.6	50	-	5.3	945	4.0%	7.0	23	7.5	4.54	8.07	11.0	22.4

P
age
80
F32

JOB NUMBER:		2441.00													
DATE:		10/03/14													
CALC'D BY:		MAL													
		B	EVELO	)PED (	COND	ITION	S ~ B,	ASIN F	RUNO	FF SU	MMAF	٩٢			
	WEIGHTED			Q	VERLAN	9	STRE	ET / CH	IANNEL	FLOW	Тс	INTEN	VSITY	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	(min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	(in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)
۲	2.66	2.99	0.6	130	3	8.1	1265	3.5%	6.5	3.2	11.3	3.91	6.95	10.4	20.8
Z	2.49	3.49	0.25	170	54	6.6	760	0.5%	2.5	5.1	11.7	3.85	6.85	9.6	23.9
z	0.38	0.44	0.5	100	4	7.1	160	4.0%	7.0	0.4	7.5	4.54	8.08	1.7	3.5
P	0.15	0.21	0.25	06	22	5.3	0	1.0%	3.5	0.0	5.3	5.04	8.96	0.8	1.9
۵	1.21	1.35	0.25	145	10	10.1	530	4.5%	7,4	1.2	11.3	3.91	6.95	4.7	9.3
R	0.69	0.80	0.5	70	6	4.6	380	4.5%	7.4	0.9	5.5	4.99	8.86	3.4	7.1
s	1.67	2.12	0.25	100	14	6.7	720	1.8%	4.7	2.6	9.2	4.23	7.52	7.1	16.0
D-13	4.11	4.77	0.25	270	21	13.3	1380	6.0%	8.6	2.7	16.0	3.36	5.98	13.8	28.5
D-14	2.32	2.73	0.25	125	2.5	14.1	1250	1.7%	4.6	4.6	18.7	3.12	5.54	7.2	15.1
D-15	1.22	1.29	0.25	25	-	5.0	2050	1.7%	4.6	7.5	12.5	3.75	6.66	4.6	8.6
D-16	1.73	1.99	0.53	200	10	8.9	350	3.5%	6.5	0.9	9.8	4.14	7.36	7.2	14.6
NC-1	0.38	0.40	0.25	10	2	1.9	25	3.5%	6.5	0.1	5.0	5.10	9.07	1.9	3.6
NC-2	1.34	1.42	0.25	15	2	2.6	1125	3.5%	6.5	2.9	5.5	4.98	8.86	6.7	12.5
WS	16.05	12.67	0.25			#DIV/0		2.0%	4.9	0.0	20.9	2.95	5.24	47.3	66.4
CT	26.17	29.95	0.25	100	4	10.1	1450	2.0%	4.9	4.9	14.9	3.47	6.17	8.06	184.7

10/3/2014

JOB NUMBER: DATE: CALCULATED BY:	2441.00 10/03/14 MAL DEVELOPED CONDITION	IS ~ SURFA		NG SUMMA	RY				
			8		Inten	sity	П	low	
Design Point(s)	<b>Contributing Basins</b>	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
1	BASIN C	2.85	3.22	7.3	4.59	B.15	13.1	26.2	15' At-Grade Type R Inlet
2	BASIN B + Flow-by DP-1	2.70	3.35	7.3	4.59	8.15	12.4	27.3	15' Sump Type R Curb Inlet
з	BASIN A	2.27	2.57	10.7	3.99	7.10	9.1	18.2	10' Sump Type R Curb Inlet
4	BASIN D-16	1.73	1.99	9.8	4,14	7.36	7.2	14.6	8' Existing Sump Inlet
5	BASIN P + PIPE 3b	7.07	8.03	10.8	3.98	7.07	28.1	56.8	SWQ/DETENTION POND
6	BASIN R	0.69	0.80	5.5	4.99	8.86	3.4	7.1	Relocated Type D Grated Inlet
7	BASIN D-13 & BASIN D-14	6.42	7.50	18.7	3.12	5.54	20.0	41.6	Existing 25' Type R Inlet
8	BASIN D-15 & BASIN E	2.06	2.26	12.5	3.75	6.66	7.7	15.1	Existing 10' Type R Inlet
9	BASINI	2.87	3.26	7.8	4,49	7.98	12.9	26.0	20' At-Grade Type R Inlet
10	BASIN N + Flow-by DP-9	1.24	1.69	7.8	4,49	7,98	5.6	13.5	15' At-Grade Type R Inlet
JOB NUMBER: DATE: CALCULATED BY:	DEVELOPED CONDITION	S ~ SURFA	CE ROUTI	NG SUMMA	RY				
--	--	---------------------	-----------------------	---------------	-------	--------	-------	--------	---
					Inten	sity	F	low	
Design Point(s)	<b>Contributing Basins</b>	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	1(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
11	BASIN J + Flow-by DP-10	2.49	3.12	7.8	4.49	7.98	11.2	24.8	10' At-Grade Type R Inlet
12	BASIN K	2.43	2.77	7.5	4.54	8.07	11.0	22.4	20' At-Grade Type R Intet
14	BASIN F + BASIN Q	3.14	3.53	11.3	3.91	6.95	12.3	24.5	20' At-Grade Type R Inlet
15	BASIN G + Flow-by DP-11 + Flow-by DP 12 + Flow-by DP-14	5.24	7.29	11.3	3.91	6.95	20.5	50.7	20' Sump Type R Inlet
16	BASIN L	2.66	2.99	11.3	3.91	6.95	10.4	20.8	10' At-Grade Type R Inlet
17	BASIN H + Flow-by DP-16	1.98	2.75	11.3	3.91	6.95	7.8	19.1	10' Sump Type R Curb Inlet
19	BASIN NC-2 + BASIN D	2.16	2.41	6.1	4.84	8.60	10.4	20.7	15' Existing At-Grade Inlet
20	BASIN NC-1 + Flow-by DP-19	0.93	1.31	6.1	4.84	8.60	4.5	11.3	10° Exising sump median inlet
23	PIPE 22 + BASIN M	28.22	32.77	20.6	2.97	5.28	83.8	173.0	WINDERMERE TO POND
24	BASIN WS + BASIN CT	42.22	42.62	25.8	2.64	4.69	111.3	199.7	OFF-SITE TO POND
25	DP-23 + DP-24	70.44	75.39	25.8	2.64	4.69	185.7	353.3	FILING 2 POND TOTAL IN
26	PIPE 23 + BASIN S	2.89	19.33	25.8	2.64	4.69	7.6	90.6	Developed to Marksheffel (Not Including Marksheffel Ditch)

36" RCP	64.7	32.4	5.50	3.09	19.0	11.76	10.49	PIPE 8 + PIPE 9	10
24" RCP	16.0	9.0	7.98	4.49	7.8	2.00	2.01	DP-9 (Intercepted)	6
36" RCP	54.1	26.4	5.54	3.12	18.7	9.76	8.48	DP-7 & DP-8	8
EX. 30" RCP	48.9	21.2	7.02	3.95	11.0	6.97	5.36	PIPE 6C + DP-20	7
EX. 24" RCP	39.7	17.5	7.02	3.95	11.0	5.65	4.43	PIPE 6a + PIPE 6b	8
EX. 24" RCP	29.4	10.3	7.07	3.98	10.8	4.16	2.58	PIPE 4 + PIPE 5 + DP-6	6
EX. 18" RCP	12.8	9.0	8.60	4,84	6.1	1.49	1.85	DP-19 (intercept)	6a
24" RCP	9.8	0.6	7.07	3.96	10.8	1.38	0.16	POND RELEASE	5
EX. 24" RCP	14.6	7.2	7.36	4.14	9.8	1.99	1.73	DP-4	4
36" RCP	55.5	27.6	7.10	3.99	10.7	7.82	6.92	PIPE 2b + PIPE 3a	36
24" RCP	18.2	9.1	7.10	3.99	10.7	2.57	2.27	DP-3	3a
30" RCP	41.9	20.9	7.98	4.49	7.8	5.26	4.65	PIPE 1 + DP-2	2
24" RCP	15.6	8.9	8.15	4.59	7.3	1.91	1.95	DP-1 (Intercept.)	1
Pipe Size*	Q(100)	Q(5)	I(100)	I(5)	Maximum Tc	Equivalent CA(100)	Equivalent CA(5)	<b>Contributing Basins</b>	Pipe Run
	W	FIC	slty	Inten					
			Y	SUMMAR	ROUTING	ONS ~ PIPE	D CONDITI	DEVELOPE	
		ų	NIMUM GRAD	-LOWS AT MI	MODATE Q100 F MATION.	ED TO ACCOM	M SIZE REQUIR IEETS FOR HYD	PIPES ARE LISTED AT MAXIMU REFER TO INDIVIDUAL PIPE SH	
								MAL	CALCULATED BY:
								WINDERMERE 2441.00 10/03/14	JOB NAME: JOB NUMBER: DATE:

Classic Consulting CALCS-MSTR-WQCV.XLS

JOB NAME:	WINDERMERE								
DATE:	10/03/14								
CALCULATED BY:	MAL								
	PIPES ARE LISTED AT MAXIMUI REFER TO INDIVIDUAL PIPE SH	M SIZE REQUIR EETS FOR HYD	ED TO ACCOMI RAULIC INFOR	MODATE Q100 MATION.	FLOWS AT MIN	VIMUM GRAD	'n		
	DEVELOPE	D CONDITI	ONS ~ PIPE	ROUTING	SUMMAR	*			
					Inten	sity	Flo	W	
Pipe Run	<b>Contributing Basins</b>	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	l(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Pipe Size*
11	DP-10 (Intercepted)	0.95	1.07	7.8	4,49	7.98	4.3	8.5	18" RCP
12	PIPE 11 + DP-11 (Intercept.)	2.18	2.06	7.8	4.49	7.98	9.8	16.4	24" RCP
13	PIPE 10 + PIPE 12	12.67	13.82	19.2	3.07	5.46	38.9	75.5	42" RCP
14	DP-14 (Intercepted)	2.26	2.17	11.3	3.91	6.95	8.8	15.1	24" RCP
15	PIPE 13 + PIPE 14	14.92	16.00	19.2	3.07	5.46	45.9	87.4	42" RCP
16	DP-12 (Intercepted)	1.92	1.91	7.5	4.54	8.07	8.7	15.4	24" RCP
17	DP-16 (Intercepted)	1.66	1.34	11.3	3.91	6.95	6.5	9.3	18" RCP
18	PIPE 15 + PIPE 21	22.14	26.03	19.4	3.06	5.44	67.7	141.5	60" RCP
19	DP-15	5.24	7.29	11.3	3.91	6.95	20.5	50.7	36" RCP
20	DP-17	1.98	2.75	11.3	3.91	6.95	7.8	19.1	24" RCP
21	PIPE 19 + PIPE 20	7.22	10.04	11.3	3.91	6.95	28.2	69.8	42" RCP
22	PIPE 16 + PIPE 17 + PIPE 18	25.73	29.29	19.7	3.04	5.40	78.1	158.1	60" RCP
23	DP-25 POND RELEASE	1.22	17.21	25.8	2.64	4.69	3.2	80.6	54" RCP

JOB NAME: WINDERMERE JOB NUMBER: 2441.00 DATE: 10/03/14 CALCULATED BY: MAL **DEVELOPED CONDITIONS ~ PIPE TRAVEL TIMES** STREET / CHANNEL FLOW PIPE RUN Pipe Diameter Slope Velocity Тс Length (ft) (ft)(%) (fps) (min) 2.0 220 1.0% 1 7.2 0.5 3b 3.0 60 1.0% 9.5 0.1 4 2.0 750 4.0% 14.4 0.9 8 3.0 300 3.0% 16.4 0.3 3.0 270 0.2 10 4.0% 18.9 15 3.5 250 4.0% 21.0 0.2 18 9.4 0.3 5.0 155 0.5% 22 490 9.4 0.9 5.0 0.5%

Classic Consulting

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

12031

JOB NAME:	WINDERMER	E			
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/03/14				
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
DESIGN POINT	1			100 YEAR FLOW	
0//00					
Q(100)	26.2	(100)	8.2		
					_
DEPTH	0.52	Fr	1.68	Inlet size ? L(i) =	15
SPREAD	19.5	L(1)	25.3	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	16
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	15.2	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	16
STREET SLOPE	1.5%	L(3)	54.2	FB =	11
				CA(eqv.)=	1.31
	-				
	100			5 YEAR FLOW	
Q(5)	13.1	l(5)	4.6		
DEPTH	0.42	Fr	1.60	Inlet size ? L(i) =	15
SPREAD	14.8	L(1)	18.1	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	11
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	10.9	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	9
			**		
STREET SLOPE	1.5%	L(3)	38.9	FB =	4
					0.00
				CA(eqv.)=	0.90

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

------

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00	
DATE:	10/03/14	
CALCULATED BY:	MAL	_
DESIGN POINT	2	
	Total Flow: Q5	= 12 cfs
	Q <sub>100</sub>	= <u>27</u> cfs
Maximum allowab	le ponding depth at sum	D:
	D <sub>5</sub>	= 0.50
	D <sub>100</sub>	= 0.67 (dmax)
	Qi	= 1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
	Clogging Eactor	= 1.25
	Li (1.25)	= Length of inlet opening
		ç, ç
5-Year Event:	8	foot inlet required
100-Year Event:	14	foot inlet required
		n an
INSTALL A PUBL	IC 15	FT D-10-R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR &
100 YR DEVELOF	PED FLOWS AT THIS D	ESIGN POINT.

n 16 (aa

·^/3/2014

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	E
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		
DATE:	10/03/14		
CALCULATED BY:	MAL		
	14 - 040-08155	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DESIGN POINT	3		
	Total Flow: Q5	=	9 cfs
	Q <sub>100</sub>	=	18 cfs
Maximum allowabi	e ponding depth at sum	p:	
	D5	=	0.50
	D <sub>100</sub>	=	0.67 (dmax)
	C;	-	4 7/1 : 4 9/14/1/1/ +/19/14 95
	QI	-	1.7(LI+1.0(W))(dmax + W/12)(1.05)
	Clogging Factor	=	1.25
	Li (1.25)	=	Length of inlet opening
5-Year Event:	4		foot inlet required
100-Year Event:	8		foot inlet required
INSTALL A PUBLI 100 YR DEVELOP	C 10 ED FLOWS AT THIS D	ESI	FT D-10-R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR & GN POINT.

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	E
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		
DATE:	10/03/14		
CALCULATED BY:	MAL		
DESIGN POINT	- 4		
	Total Flow: Q5	=	7 cfs
	Q <sub>100</sub>	=	15 cfs
Maximum allowabl	e ponding depth at sum	):	
	D <sub>5</sub>	=	0.50
	D <sub>100</sub>	=	0.67 (dmax)
	Qi	=	1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
	Clogging Factor	=	1.25
	Li (1.25)	=	Length of inlet opening
5-Year Event:	4		foot inlet required
100-Year Event:	4		foot inlet required
EXISTING 100 YR DEVELOF	8 PED FLOWS AT THIS D	ESI	FT TYPE R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR & GN POINT.

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (<u>http://www.novapdf.com</u>) <sup>3/3/2014</sup>

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	E
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		
DATE:	10/03/14		
CALCULATED BY:	MAL		
	6 h-		
DESIGN POINT	7		
3			20 -6
		=	<u>20</u> cfs
	Q <sub>100</sub>	Ξ	<u>42</u> cts
	a panding danth at sum		
waximum allowable	e ponding depth at sum	<b>J</b> .	
	Ds	=	0.50
	Dago	_	0.67 (dmax)
	0100	-	0.07 (dillax)
	Qi	=	1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
			······································
	Clogging Factor	=	1.25
	Li (1.25)	=	Length of inlet opening
5-Year Event:	14		foot inlet required
			fact inlater wind
100-Year Event:	24		toot iniet requirea
EXISTING	25		
		-01	
TOUTR DEVELOP		_0	

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	Ε
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		
DATE:	10/03/14		
CALCULATED BY:	MAL		
			1 g m
DESIGN POINT	8		
	Total Flow	_	8 of 0
		-	
	Q <sub>100</sub>	=	<u>15</u> cts
Maximum allowabl	e ponding denth at sum	0.	
	e ponding depth at sum	μ.	
	D <sub>5</sub>	=	0.50
	D <sub>100</sub>	=	0.67 (dmax)
	Qi	=	1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
	Clogging Factor	=	1.25
	Li (1.25)	=	Length of inlet opening
5-Year Event:	4	2	foot inlet required
		3	
100-Year Event:	6	ĺ	foot inlet required
		5	đ.
EXISTING	10		FT TYPE R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR &
100 YR DEVELOP	ED FLOWS AT THIS D	ESI	GN POINT.

JOB NAME:	WINDERMER	E			
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/03/14				
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
			_		
DESIGN POINT	9			100 YEAR FLOW	
Q(100)	26.0	l(100)	8.0		
			(Marsia)		
DEPTH	0.44	Fr	2.63	Inlet size ? L(i) =	20
SPREAD	15.5	L(1)	31.4	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	17
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	18.9	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	16
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	67.3	FB =	10
				CA(eqv.)=	1.25
		2 			
	40.0	110		5 TEAR FLOW	
Q(5)	12.9	I(5)	4.5		
			0.40		
DEPTH	0.36		2.49	iniet size ( L(i) =	20
	11.0		00.0		- 11
SPREAD	11.8	L(1)	22.0	IT LI < L(2) then QI =	
	2.0%	1 (2)	12.6	If I is I (2) then OI =	0
	2.0%		13.0	ii Li > L(2) then QI =	9
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	48.4	FB =	4
		-(-)			
			22	CA(eqv.)=	0.85

JOB NAME:	WINDERMER	E	_		and the second second
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/03/14	_			
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
DESIGN POINT	10			100 YEAR FLOW	
0/100	105				
Q(100)	13.5	(100)	8.0		
DEPTH	0.36	Fr	2.48	Inlet size ? L(i) =	15
SPREAD	11.5	L(1)	22.0	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	9
				1111 1 (0) 1h 01-	
CRUSS SLUPE	2.0%	L(2)	13.2	IT LI > L(2) then QI =	9
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	47.1	FB =	5
				CA(eqv.)=	0.62
				5 YEAR FLOW	
Q(5)	5.6	l(5)	4.5		
DEPTH	0.28	Er	2.28	Inlet size 2 1 /i) =	15
	0.20		2.20		- 15
SPREAD	7.8	L(1)	13.6	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	6
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	8.2	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	4
STREET SI OPE	4.0%	1 (2)	20.2	EB =	1
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	23.2		
				CA(eqv.)=	0.29

JOB NAME:	WINDERMER	E			
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/03/14				
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
DESIGN POINT	11			100 YEAR FLOW	
Q(100)	24.8	(100)	8.0		
DEPTH	0.44	Fr	2.63	Inlet size ? L(i) =	10
SPREAD	15.5	L(1)	31.4	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	8
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	18.9	lf Li > L(2) then Qi =	12
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	67.3	FB =	17
				CA(eqv.)=	2.12
				5 YEAR FLOW	
Q(5)	11.2	l(5)	4.5		
DEPTH	0.34	Fr	2.45	Inlet size ? L(i) =	10
SPREAD	10.8	L(1)	20.3	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	6
			_		
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	12.2	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	6
	4.0%	1 (2)	13.4	EP -	6
SIREEI SLUPE	4.0%		43,4	PD-	0
				CA(eqv.)=	1.26

JOB NAME:	WINDERME	RE			
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/03/14				
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
DESIGN POINT	12	_		100 YEAR FLOW	
	TT				
Q(100)	22.4	I(100)	8.1		
DEPTH	0.50	Fr	1.67	Inlet size ? L(i) =	20
CODEAD	10.5	E (4)	00.7		10
SPREAU	10.0	L(1)	23.7	if Li < L(2) then Qi =	19
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	14.3	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	15
STREET SLOPE	1.5%	L(3)	50.9	FB =	7
				CA(eqv.)=	0.86
					-
		-9		5 YEAR FLOW	
Q(5)	) 11.0	l(5)	4.5		
DEPTH	0.40	Fr	1.58	Inlet size ? L(i) =	20
SPREAD	13.8	1 (1)	167	If $ i  <  i $ then $\Omega_i =$	13
JFREAD	10.0		10.7		
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	10.0	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	9
STREET SLOPE	1.5%	L(3)	35.7	FB =	2
				CA(env.)=	0.50
		-	111 - 111 - 1		0.00

JOB NAME:	WINDERMEN	RE			
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/03/14				
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
			<b></b>		
DESIGN POINT	14			100 YEAR FLOW	
	24.5	1/100)	60		
Q(100)	24.0	(100)	0.9		
DEPTH	0.44	Fr	2.63	Inlet size ? L(i) =	20
SPREAD	15.5	L(1)	31.4	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	16
0000000000	0.0%	1 (2)	40.0	K(1) 1 (0) (has 0) =	45
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	18.9	IT LI > L(2) then QI =	15
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	67.3	FB =	9
				CA(eqv.)=	1.36
				5 YEAR FLOW	
Q(5)	12.3	l(5)	3.9		
DEPTH	0.35	Fr	2.47	Inlet size ? L(i) =	20
SPREAD	113	1(1)	214	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	11
		-(1)	<b>6</b> 17 <b>T</b>	in Er - eler trion der -	
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	12.9	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	9
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	45.9	FB =	3
				CA/amy )=	0.89
				UA(04V.)-	0.05

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	E
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		
DATE:	10/03/14		
CALCULATED BY:	MAL		
DESIGN POINT	15		
	Total Flow: Q <sub>5</sub> Q <sub>100</sub>	=	20 cfs 51 cfs
Maximum allowab	le ponding depth at sum	p:	
	D <sub>5</sub>	=	0.50
	D <sub>100</sub>	=	0.87 (dmax)
	Qi	=	1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
	Clogging Eactor	=	1.25
	Li (1.25)	=	Length of inlet opening
5-Year Event:	16		foot inlet required
100-Year Event:	20		foot inlet required
INSTALL A PUBL	IC 20 PED FLOWS AT THIS D	ESI	FT D-10-R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR & GN POINT.

JOB NAME:	WINDERMER	E			
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/03/14				
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
DESIGN POINT	16	··		100 YEAR FLOW	
Q(100)	20.8	I(100)	6.9		
DEPTH	0.48	Fr	1.65	Inlet size ? L(i) =	10
SPREAD	17.5	L(1)	22.2	lf Li < L(2) then Qi =	9
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	13.4	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	11
STREET SLOPE	1.5%	L(3)	47.6	FB =	11
				CA(eqv.)=	1.64
	n		17		
				5 YEAR FLOW	
Q(5)	10.4	l(5)	3.9		-
DEPTH	0.38	Fr	1.55	Inlet size ? L(i) =	10
SPREAD	12.8	L(1)	15.2	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	7
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	9.2	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	6
			00.7		
STREET SLOPE	1.5%	L(3)	32.7	FB=	4
	<u> </u>			CA(eqv.)=	1.00

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	E
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		-
DATE:	10/03/14		-
CALCULATED BY:	MAL		
DESIGN POINT	17		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Total Flow: Q5	=	8 cfs
Maximum allowabl	e ponding depth at sum	<b>)</b> :	
	D <sub>5</sub> D <sub>100</sub>	=	0.50 0.67 (dmax)
	Qi	=	1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
	Clogging Factor Li (1.25)	=	1.25 Length of inlet opening
5-Year Event:	4	ĺ	foot inlet required
100-Year Event:	8		foot inlet required
INSTALL A PUBLI 100 YR DEVELOP	C 10 ED FLOWS AT THIS DI	ESI	FT D-10-R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR & GN POINT.

You created this PDF from an application that is not licensed to print to novaPDF printer (http://www.novapdf.com)

JOB NAME:	WINDERMER	E						
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00							
DATE:	10/03/14 MAL							
CALCULATED BY:								
		· · · · · · ·						
DESIGN POINT	19			100 YEAR FLOW				
Q(100)	20.7	I(100)	8.6					
DEPTH	0.37	Fr	2.50	Inlet size ? L(i) =	15			
					) (115) (115)			
SPREAD	12.0	L(1)	23.1	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	13			
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	13.9	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	13			
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	49.6	FB =	7.9			
				CA(eqv.)=	0.91			
			_	5 YEAR FLOW				
Q(5)	10.4	I(5)	4.8		,			
DEPTH	0.20	Er.	2 32	Inlet size 2   (i) =	15			
	0.25		2.52	The size i L(I) -				
SPREAD	8.3	L(1)	14.7	If Li < L(2) then Qi =	11			
CROSS SLOPE	2.0%	L(2)	8.8	If Li > L(2) then Qi =	8			
STREET OL ODE	4.0%	1/2	21 E	ED -	27			
STREET SLOPE	4.0%	L(3)	31.5	rp-	2.1			
			80 U	CA(eqv.)=	0.55			

1/3/2014

JOB NAME:	WINDERM	ER	E
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00		
DATE:	10/03/14		
CALCULATED BY:	MAL	-	
DESIGN POINT	20		
	Total Flow: Q5	=	5 cfs
	Q <sub>100</sub>	=	11 cts
Maximum allowabl	e ponding depth at sum	<b>)</b> :	
	D <sub>5</sub>	=	0.50
	D <sub>100</sub>	=	0.50 (dmax)
	Qi	=	1.7(Li+1.8(W))(dmax + w/12)^1.85
	Clogging Factor	=	1.25
	Li (1.25)	=	Length of inlet opening
5-Year Event:	4		foot inlet required
100-Year Event:	6		foot inlet required
EXISTING 100 YR DEVELOF	10 PED FLOWS AT THIS DI	ESI	FT TYPE R INLET TO ACCEPT BOTH 5YR & GN POINT.

. . . . .

1/3/2014

Design Reint 20-DS

Worksheet for Gutter - 5yr

Project Description			
Solve For	Spread		
Input Data	an a		
Channel Slope	0.02800	ft/ft	
Discharge	33.80	ft³/s	
Gutter Width	2.00	ft	
Gutter Cross Slope	0.08	ft/ft	
Road Cross Slope	0.02	ft/ft	
Roughness Coefficient	0.013		
Results		ana a sha A a asar a s	
Spread	20.07	ft	
Flow Area	4.15	ft²	
Depth	0.53	ft	
Gutter Depression	0.13	ft	
Velocity	8.14	ft/s	

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SolBbotleGentawMaster V8I (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03] 11/18/2014 4:13:31 PM 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Page 1 of 1

;

# Design Part 20-DS Worksheet for Gutter - 100yr

Project Description	مرینه در مردم میرون میرون میرون میرون در میرون در ۲۰۰۰ در میرون میرون میرون میرون در مرد در ۲۰۰۰ در میرون میرون میرون میرون در مرد در	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Solve For	Spread		
Input Data	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <del>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </del>	
Channel Slope		0.02800	ft/ft
Discharge		84.50	ft³/s
Gutter Width		2.00	ft
Gutter Cross Slope		0.08	ft/ft
Road Cross Slope		0.02	ft/ft
Roughness Coefficient		0.013	
Results		n a sana an	na mang ng kanang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an
Spread		28.67	ft
Flow Area		8.34	ft²
Depth		0.70	ft
Gutter Depression		0.13	ft
Velocity		10.13	ft/s

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods SolBthotidgefilawMaster V8I (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03] 11/18/2014 4:15:35 PM 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Page 1 of 1 FILING NO. 1-

## FULL SPECTRUM EDB FINAL DESIGN



	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)	
	W	St	neet 1 of
Designer:	M.Lanson		
Company:	Classic Consulting		
Date:	October 4, 2014		
Project:	Windermere	······································	
Location:	FILING NO. 1 POND		
1. Basin Storage Vol	ите		
A) Effective Imper	viousness of Tributary Area, I,	l <sub>a</sub> = <u>73.3</u> %	
B) Tributary Area's	a Imperviousness Ratic (i = I <sub>∎</sub> / 100 )	i =0.733	
C) Contributing V	/atershed Area	Area =0.870ec	
D) For Watershee Runoff Produc	ts Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average ang Storm	d <sub>e</sub> = <u>0.42</u> in	
E) Design Conce		Choose One	
(Select EURV	when also designing for flood control)	O Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)	
. <b></b>	energe energi energi (d. T. de Statenis, d. 1997)	Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)	
F) Design Volumi (V <sub>DESIGN</sub> ≈ (1.0	9 (1.2 WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time ) * (0.91 * i² - 1.19 * i² + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area * 1.2)	V <sub>DESIGN</sub> = <u>0.318</u> ac-ft	
G) For Watershe Water Quality (Vwocv other	ds Outside of the Denver Region, Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume = (de*(Voesاتصال 43))	VDESIGN OTHER <sup>=</sup> 0.309 sc-ft	
H) User input of (Only if a diffe	Nater Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume rent WQCV Design Volume is desired)	VDESIGN USER" BC-ft	
I) Predominant W	latershed NRCS Soil Group		
J) Excess Urban For HSG A: E For HSG B: E For HSG C/D	Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume EURVA = (0.1878i - 0.0104)*Area EURV <sub>8</sub> = (0.1178i - 0.0042)*Area : EURV <sub>6/0</sub> = (0.1043i - 0.0031)*Area	EURV = ec-f 1	
2. Basin Shape: Len (A basin length to	gth to Width Ratio width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L:W=:1	
3. Basin Side Slope	1		
A) Basin Maximu (Horizontal di	m Side Stopes stance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Z = 3.00 ft / ft DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN, INCREASE WHERE POSSIBLE	
4 Iniet		Concrete box forebay	
A) Describe mea	ns of providing energy dissipation at concentrated		

		Sheet 2
Designer:	V.Larson	
Company:	Classic Consulting	
Date:	October 4, 2014	
Project:	Mndermere	
Location:	FILING NO. 1 POND	
E Fombru		
5. Forebay		
A) Minimum Foreit (V <sub>ENIN</sub> =	3% of the WQCV)	$V_{\text{PMIN}} = 0.008$ ac-ft
P) Adved Feesbar	Values	V-= 0.009 ac.8
b) Adder Forebay	volume	0.005 ach
C) Forebay Depth (D <sub>F</sub> =	18 inch maximum)	D <sub>F</sub> = 18.0 in
)		
D) Forebay Discha	unos entre construction entre	
1	) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge	Q <sub>100</sub> ≃ <u>57.00</u> cfs
1	i) Forebay Discharge Design Flow	Q <sub>F</sub> = <u>1.14</u> cfs
	$(Q_F = 0.02 + Q_{100})$	
E) Forebay Discha	rge Design	
		O Berm With Pipe (now too small for berm w/ pipe)
		Wall with Rect. Notch     O Wall with V-Notch Weir
F) Discharge Proe	ຈັເວຍ ເຫັກແຫນທີ່ ຈັບກາດສະ	Daiculated Sn =r
G) Rectangular No	tch Width	Calculated W <sub>N</sub> ≖5.8 in
		Choose One
6. Trickle Channel		Concrete
A) Type of Trickle	Channel	O Soft Bottom
F) Slope of Trickle	e Channel	S = <u>0.0100</u> ft/ft
7 Micropool and Out	let Structure	
		D.= 25 *
<ul> <li>A) Depth of Micro</li> </ul>	pool (2.5-teat minimum)	ом- <u>2.5</u> к
B) Surface Area (	of Micropool (10 ft <sup>2</sup> minimum)	$A_{M} = 107$ sq ft
C) Outlet Type		r Chose Ope
		Orifice Plate
		O Other (Describe):
D) Depth of Desi	n Volume (EURV or 1.2 WQCV) Based on the Design	H = <u>7.00</u> feet
Concept Chos	en Under 1.E.	
E) Volume to Dra	in Over Prescribed Time	EURV =ac-ft
F) Drain Time	CV- 40 hours: May T, for El (DV= 70 hours)	T <sub>p</sub> = <u>72</u> hours
(Min T <sub>p</sub> for WC	2CV= 40 HOURS; Max 10 TOF CURV= (2 HOURS)	
G) Recommende	d Maximum Outlet Area per Row, (A <sub>a</sub> )	A <sub>o</sub> = <u>0.47</u> square inches
H) Onfice Dimen	sions	
i) Circular Ol	ifice Diameter or High Restangutar Onlice	Wanter = 3/4 Inches
nj vvidin di z	. ign neoin gene on inte	
i) Number of Col	umos	$n_{e} = \underbrace{1}_{n_{e}} number$
J) Actual Design	Outlet Area per Row (A <sub>e</sub> )	A <sub>o</sub> = square inches
K) Number of Ro	ws (nr)	n <sub>t</sub> = number
L) Total Outlet A	rea (A <sub>et</sub> )	A <sub>a</sub> = 9.3 square inches
LA Base stars	0//H)	Harris front
M) Depth of WQ (Estimate usin	CV (Hwocv) ig actual stage-area-volume relationship and Vwocv)	Owacy ~166t
ND France Marine	and the source time for WOOL	Tourse =
N) Ensure Minim		

Designer: Company: Date:	M.Larson	
ompany: ate:		
	Classic Consulting	
roject:	Windemare	
ocation:	FILING NO. 1 POND	
R. Jakial Cumba		
6. Inniai Surcha	rge volume	
A) Depth of	Initial Surcharge Volume	D <sub>ts</sub> = in
(Minununin	recommended depth is 4 inches)	
B) Minimum	Initial Surcharge Volume	V <sub>IS</sub> = <u>34.4</u> cu ft
(Minimum	volume of 0.3% of the WQCV)	
C) Initial Sur	charge Provided Above Micropool	V <sub>s</sub> =35.7cu ft
		Choose One
9. Trash Rack		Circular (up to 2" diameter)
A) Type of V	Vater Quality Orifice Used	O Rectangular (2" high)
B) Water Qu	ality Screen Open Area: A <sub>t</sub> = 38.5*(e <sup>-0.095D</sup> )*A <sub>et</sub>	At =333square inches
C) For 2", or	Smaller, Circular Opening (See Fact Sheet T-12):	
i) Width (	of Water Quality Screen and Concrete Opening (Water)	Werearing = 12.0 inches
<i>y</i>		
ii) Height	of Water Quality Screen (HTR)	H <sub>TR</sub> = <u>112.0</u> inches
		Choose One
iii) Type o	f Screen, Describe if "Other"	S.S. Well Screen with 60% Open Area*
		O Other (Describe):
Or Por 2" Hi	un <u>Rectangular Opening</u>	
י הוטויע ה	r Rectangular Coening (Munice)	incres
ii) Width (	Water Quality Screen Opening (Wissening)	M <sub>spenna</sub> =1
iii) Height	of Water Quality Screen (H-a)	H =H
IVI Type (	at Screen, Describe if "Other"	- Choose One
	na un mensione en la constituít de la const	O Aluminum Amico-Klemp SR Series (or equal)
		O Other (Describe):
		No. Contraction
v) Cross	-bar Spacing	inches
vi) Minim	um Bearing Bar Size	· · · ·

	Design Procedure Form: Ex	tended Detention Basin (EDB)					
D	M Lamon		Sheet 4 of				
Designer:							
Company:	October 4, 2014		No.				
Date:	Wendermare						
Project:							
Location:							
10. Overflow Em	bankment						
A) Describe	embankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping:						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Di Class et	O confirm Employee	7 - 405 4/4					
B) Slope of (Horizoni	tal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	2e = 4.00  m/m					
		r Choose One	»				
11. Vegetation		O Iminated					
		Not Interest					
		e not inigated					
17 Access			·				
12. Accesa							
A) Describe	Sediment Removal Procedures						
		<u> </u>					
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Notes:	I						
1000 C							

14, 3:08 PM

JOB NAME:	WINDERMERE				-	
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00					
DATE:	10/04/14					
CALCULATED BY:	MAL					
FILING NO. 1 F	OND - EURV (TOP OF	BOX)				
POND SIZING	WITH PONDPACK EQL	JATION:				
NSERT POND DESIGN S	IZE INFO: (RED)					
POND ELEVATION :			AREA (BTM to	TOP):		
(from lowest to highest)	6562.50				acres	
	6562.50		-	-	acres	
	6562.50		435	0.01	acres	
	6564.00		488	0.01	acres	
	6566.00		4,247	0.10	acres	
	6568.00		5,558	0.13	acres	
	6570.00		8 210	0.10	20105	
. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0070.00		0,219	-	acres	
	·		12°0-32	-	acres	
				-	acres	
				-	acres	ł
alandi						•
PRELIMINARY SIZE: VOLUME =	1/3{(EL2-EL1)*(A1+A2-	+((A1*A2)	)^.5))}		с	UMMULATIVE
						VOLUME:
	AC-FT	from	6,563	to	6,563	
	AC-FT	from	6,563	to	6,563	-
	0.00 AC-FT	from	6,563	to	6,563	0.00
	0.05_AC-FT	from	6,563	to .	6,564	0.06
	0.22 AC-FT	from	6,564	to	6,566	- 0.28
	0.28 AC-FT	from	6,500	to .	6,568	- 0.50
	<u>0.34</u> AC-FT	from	6,500	to .	0,570	- 0.90
	AC-FT	from	0,570	to		- 0.90
	AC_FT	from		to		- 0.90
	- AC-FT	from		to	-	0.90
		DSES ON				
	13.24128.302.0.3022/AC-121					
APPROXIMATE SURFAC	E AREA REQUIREMEN	т				
POND DEPTH	POND VOLU	JME	SURFACE AREA			
(F1)	AC-FT	00 404	(5F)			
4	0.90 =	39,404	9,851			
- 0	0.90 =	39,404	0,007			
10	0.90 =	39,404	4,920			
	0.00 -	00,404	0,040			

PONDSIZE-filing 1-eurv OF Copy of DetentionPonds.xls 10/4/2014 3:11 PM

JOB NAME:	WINDERMERE	·····			
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00				
DATE:	10/04/14				
CALCULATED BY:	MAL				
POND SIZING	WITH PONDPACK EQU	JATION:			
POND ELEVATION :	1	AREA	(BTM to TOP	·):	נ
(from lowest to highest)	6562.50			acres	1
	6562.50			acres	1

KELIMINART SIZE:
------------------

VOLUME = 1/3{(EL2-EL1)\*(A1+A2+((A1\*A2)^.5))}

6562.50

6562.83

6564.00

6566.00

6568.00

6570.00

6571.00

						VOLUME:
-	AC-FT	from	6,563	to	6,563	
-	AC-FT	from	6,563	to	6,563	-
0.00	AC-FT	from	6,563	to	6,563	0.00
0.05	AC-FT	from	6,563	to	6,564	0.06
0.22	AC-FT	from	6,564	to	6,566	0.28
0.28	AC-FT	from	6,566	to	6,568	0.56
0.34	AC-FT	from	6,568	to	6,570	0.90
0.22	AC-FT	from	6,570	to	6,571	1.12
	AC-FT	from	6,571	to	-	1.12
	AC-FT	from	-	to	-	1.12
	AC-FT	from	-	to	-	1.12

0.010

0.011

0.098

0.128

0.158

0.189

0.252

-

-

acres

acres

acres

acres

acres

acres

acres acres

acres

acres

CUMMULATIVE

435

488

4,247

5,558

6,866

8,219

10,977

#### \*SIZING IS FOR PRELIMINARY PURPOSES ONLY.

### VOLUME = 1112 AC-FT

## APPROXIMATE SURFACE AREA REQUIREMENT

POND DEPTH	PON	SURFACE AREA		
(FT)	AC-FT		CF	(SF)
4	1.12	=	48,873	12,218
6	1.12	=	48,873	8,146
8	1.12	=	48,873	6,109
10	1.12	=	48,873	4,887

PONDSIZE-filing 1-SPILLWAY OF Copy of DetentionPonds.10/4/2014 3:11 PM

<b>FILING N</b>	10.1-	5 1	<b>EAR</b>
-----------------	-------	-----	------------

Project Summary		
Title	WINDERMERE - FILING NO. 1	
Engineer	MLARSON	
Company	CCES	
Date	10/3/2014	
Notes	WINDERMERE - FILIN 5 YEAR POND ROUTI	G NO. 1 IG W/ STORMWATER QUALITY

FILING-1-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 1 of 5

## Table of Contents

	Master Network Summary	2
PO-1 (IN)	Level Pool Pond Routing Summary	3
FIL-1	Modified Rational Hydrograph	4

## FILING NO. 1 - 5 YEAR

Subsection: Master Network Summary

#### **Catchments Summary**

Labe	Ę	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograp Volume (ac-ft)	h Time (ho	to Peak ours)	Peak Flow (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)
FIL-1	Base		5	0	.617	0.180	20.50
Node Sum	mary						
Labe	ł	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograp Volume (ac-ft)	h Time (ho	to Peak ours)	Peak Flow (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)
0-1	Base		5	0	.607	0.550	0.63
Pond Sum	mary						
Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Pond Storage (ac-ft)
PO-1 (IN)	Base	5	0.619	0.200	20.50	(N/A)	(N/A)
PO-1 (OUT)	Base	5	0.607	0.550	0.63	6.568.19	0.599

FILING-1-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 2 of 5

## FILING NO. 1 - 5 YEAR

Subsection: Level Pool Pond Routing Summary Label: PO-1 (IN) Return Event: 5 years Storm Event: CO SPRINGS - 5 Year

Infiltration			
Infiltration Method (Computed)	No Infiltration		
Initial Conditions			
Elevation (Water Surface, Initial)	6,562.50 ft		
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft		
Flow (Initial Outlet)	0.00 ft3/s		
Flow (Initial Infiltration)	0.00 ft3/s		
Flow (Initial, Total)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Time Increment	0.050 hours		
Inflow/Outflow Hydrograph S	ummary		
Flow (Peak In)	20.50 ft3/s	Time to Peak (Flow, In)	0.200 hours
Flow (Peak Outlet)	0.63 ft <sup>3</sup> /s	Time to Peak (Flow, Outlet)	0.550 hours
Elevation (Water Surface, Peak)	6,568.19 ft		
Volume (Peak)	0.599 ac-ft		
Mass Balance (ac-ft)		=	
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Inflow)	0.619 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Infiltration)	0.000 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Outlet Outflow)	0.607 ac-ft		
Volume (Retained)	0.010 ac-ft		
Volume (Unrouted)	-0.002 ac-ft		
Error (Mass Balance)	0.3 %		

FILING-1-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 3 of 5

### FILING NO. 1 - 5 YEAR

Subsection: Modified Rational Hydrograph Label: FIL-1 Return Event: 5 years Storm Event: CO SPRINGS - 5 Year

#### **Modified Rational Method**

#### Q = CiA \* Unit Conversion; Where Conversion = 43560 / (12 \* 3600)

Frequency (years)		C Coefficient	C Adjustment Factor	C Coefficient (Final)	Intensity (in/h)	Area (acres)	Flow (Peak) (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)
	5	1.000	1.000	0.650	2.878	10.870	20.50
		Pe	ak Discharge		20.50 ft <sup>3</sup> /s	=	
		Tir	ne to Peak		0.300 hours		
		Hy	drograph Volume				

## HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES (ft<sup>3</sup>/s) Output Time Increment = 0.050 hours

#### Time on left represents time for first value in each row.

Time (hours)	How (ft³/s)	flow (ft³/s)	flow (ft³/s)	Flow (ft³/s)	flow (ft³/s)	
0.050	3.42	9.11	14.81	20.50	20.50	
0.300	20.50	20.50	18.99	13.29	7.59	
0.550	1.90	0.00	(N/A)	(N/A)	(N/A)	

FILING-1-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 4 of 5

Index

F

FIL-1 (Modified Rational Hydrograph, 5 years)...4

М

Master Network Summary...2

Ρ

PO-1 (IN) (Level Pool Pond Routing Summary, 5 years)...3

FILING-1-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 5 of 5 .

#### 100-YR - FILING NO. 1

Notes	WINDERMERE - FILING NO. 1 100 YEAR POND ROUTING W/ STORMWATER QUALITY		
Date	10/3/2014		
Company	CCES		
Engineer	MLARSON		
Title	WINDERMERE - FILING NO. 1		
roject Summary			

FILING-1.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 1 of 5
## **Table of Contents**

	Master Network Summary	2
PO-1 (IN)	Level Pool Pond Routing Summary	3
FIL-1	Modified Rational Graph	4

---

١.

a start a

••

Subsection: Master Network Summary

#### **Catchments Summary**

Labe		Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograp Volume (ac-ft)	h Timet (ho	to Peak ours)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)
FIL-1	Base		100	1	.126	0.180	46.07
Node Sum	mary						
Labe	A	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograp Volume (ac-ft)	h Timet (ho	to Peak iurs)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)
0-1	Base		100	1	.063	0.450	9.77
Pond Sum	mary					(#)	
Label	Scenario	Retum Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Pond Storage (ac-ft)
PO-1 (IN)	Base	100	1.130	0.200	46.07	(N/A)	(N/A)
PO-1 (OUT)	Base	100	1.063	0.450	9.77	6,570.61	1.043

FILING-1.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentiev Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 2 of 5

Subsection: Level Pool Pond Routing Summary Label: PO-1 (IN) Return Event: 100 years Storm Event: CO SPRINGS - 100 Year

Infiltration			
Infiltration Method (Computed)	No Infiltration		
Initial Conditions	1048 July - 10		
Elevation (Water Surface, Initial)	6,562.50 ft		
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft		
Flow (Initial Outlet)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Flow (Initial Infiltration)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Flow (Initial, Total)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Time Increment	0.050 hours		
Flow (Peak In) Flow (Peak Outlet)	46.07 ft³/s 9.77 ft³/s	Time to Peak (Flow, In) Time to Peak (Flow, Outlet)	0.200 hours 0.450 hours
Elevation (Water Surface, Peak)	6,570.61 ft	=	
Volume (Peak)	1.043 ac-ft		
Mass Balance (ac-ft)	*******		
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft	_	
Volume (Total Inflow)	1.130 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Infiltration)	0.000 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Outlet Outflow)	1.063 ac-ft		
Volume (Retained)	0.065 ac-ft		
Volume (Unrouted)	-0.001 ac-ft		
Error (Mass Balance)	0.1 %		

FILING-1.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 3 of 5

Subsection: Modified Rational Graph Label: FIL-1 Return Event: 100 years Storm Event: CO SPRINGS - 100 Year

Method Type	N	lethod T			
Time of Duration (Modified Rational, Critical)		0.300 hours	_		
Î				<u>Not</u> Scal	to le
Flow	`` _``	3	5	]	
		``	Time		
[1]			[2]		
Time of Concentration (Modified Rational, Composite)	0.180	hours	Time of Duration (Modified Rational, Critical)	0.300	hours
Intensity (Modified Rational, Peak)	7.109	in/h	Intensity (Modified Rational, Critical)	5.680	in/h
Flow (Modified Rational, Peak)	57.66	ft³/s	Flow (Modified Rational, Critical)	46.07	ft³/s
[3]					
First Outflow Breakpoint (Modified Rational, Method T)		0.379 hours			
Flow (Modified Rational, Allowable)		25.80 ft <sup>3</sup> /s	_		
[4]			[5]		
Second Outflow Breakpoint (Modified Rational)	0.279	hours	Storage (Modified Rational, Estimated)	0.524	ac-ft
Flow (Modified Rational, Allowable)	25.80	ft³/s			

FILING-1.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 4 of 5

15

Index

F

FIL-1 (Modified Rational Graph, 100 years)...4

м

Master Network Summary...2

Ρ

PO-1 (IN) (Level Pool Pond Routing Summary, 100 years)...3

FILING-1.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 5 of 5

FILING NO. 2 -

## FULL SPECTRUM EDB PRELIMINARY DESIGN



	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)	
Designer	Miamon		Sheet 1 of
Cemangner:	Classic Consulting		
Company:	Ortobar A 2014		
Date:	Westerner		
Project:			
Location:			
1. Basin Storag	e Volume		
A) Effective I	mperviousness of Tributary Area, I.	is = <u>45.4</u> %	
B) Tributary /	Area's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I / 100 )	i =0.454	
C) Contribut	ing Watershed Area	Area = <u>132.860</u> ac	
D) For Wate Runoff P	rsheds Outside of the Deriver Region, Depth of Average roducing Storm	d <sub>6</sub> = <u>0.42</u> in	
E) Design C	oncent	Choose One	
(Select El	JRV when also designing for flood control)	O Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)	
		Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)	
F) Design V (VDESIGN	blume (1.2 WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time = (1.0 * (0.91 * i <sup>3</sup> - 1.19 * i <sup>4</sup> + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area * 1.2)	V <sub>DESIGN</sub> <sup>™</sup> 2.577 ac⋅ft	
G) For Wate Water Qu (Vwocv o	rsheds Outside of the Denver Region, Jailty Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume INER = (de <sup>*</sup> (V <sub>DESIGN</sub> /0.43))	VDESIGN OTHER= 2.518 BC-ft	
H) User Inpu (Only if a	t of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume different WQCV Design Volume is desired)	VDESIGNUSER® ac-ft	
I) Predomina	ant Watershed NRCS Soli Group		
J) Excess U For HSC For HSC For HSC	rban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume 5 A: EURVA = (0.1878i - 0.0104)*Area 5 B: EURV <sub>B</sub> = (0.1178i - 0.0042)*Area 5 C/D: EURV <sub>CO</sub> = (0.1043i - 0.0031)*Area	EURV = <u>6.548</u> ac-f t	
2. Basin Shape (A basin leng	: Length to Width Ratio th to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L∶W≈ <u>2.0</u> :1	
3. Basin Side S	lapes		
A) Basin Ma (Hortzon)	painum Side Slopes al distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Z = <u>4.00</u> ft / ft	
4. inlet		Forebay with depressed bottom and riprap berm.	

714, 3:07 PM

2430 - 10 -			Sheet 2
Designer:	M.Larson		
Company:	Classic Consulting		
Date:	October 4, 2014		
Project:	Windermera		
Location:	FILING NO. 2 REGIONAL FACILITY		
5. Forebay			-
	Tankan Values	V	
A) Minimum F (V <sub>FM</sub> ;	N =	Vaux = <u>0.053</u> 8C-IT	
B) Actual For	abay Volume	V <sub>F</sub> ≈ 0.070 ac-ft	
		Dea 180 in	
(D	ε= <u>30</u> inch maximum)		
D) Forebey Di	scharge		
-, · · · · · · · · · ·	h the state level 100 mers David D'anteres	0 - 10070	
	i) Ungetained 100-year Peak Discharge	Gian - 188.10 Cia	
	ii) Forebey Discharge Design Flow (Or = 0.02 * Que)	Q <sub>F</sub> = <u>3.99</u> cfs	
E) Forebay Di	scharge Design		
		Wall with Rect. Netch	
		O Wall with V-Notch Weir	
= Pierrama	Pina Siza, minimum Bunchasi	n =	
Liber arde	ne se state		
G) Reclangula			
6. Trickle Chann	el	Choose One	
A) Turne of T	ickle Channel	Concrete	
AT TYPE OF T			
F) Slope of T	rickle Channel	S =f / ft	
7. Micropool and	I Outlet Structure		
A) Depth of t	Acropool (2.5-feet minimum)	D <sub>M</sub> =ft	
	ren of Microsof (10.6 <sup>2</sup> minimum)	Au = 1000 so ft	
B) Sufface A			
C) Outlet Typ	8	Choose One	
		Orifice Plate	
		O Other (Describe):	
	Design Volume (EURV or 1.2 WOOM Based on the Design	H = 5.50 feet	
Concept	Chosen Under 1.E.		
E) Volume to	Drain Over Prescribed Time	EURV =6.548ac-ft	
F) Drain Tim (Min T <sub>D</sub> fo	e r WQCV= 40 hours; Max T <sub>D</sub> for EURV= 72 hours)	T <sub>D</sub> = <u>72</u> hours	
G) Recomm	anded Maximum Outlet Arsa per Row, (A <sub>e</sub> )	A <sub>6</sub> = <u>3.73</u> square inches	
H) Orifice Di	mensions	0	
i) Circul ii) Width	ar Ormee Dameter or of 2" High Rectangular Onlice	W <sub>anice</sub> = <u>1 - 172</u> intens	
I) Number of	Columns	n <sub>e</sub> = number	
J) Actual De	sign Outlet Area per Row (A <sub>e</sub> )	A <sub>e</sub> = <u>3.53</u> square inches	
K) Number (	of Rows (nr)	n, = 16 number	
L) Total Out	let Area (A <sub>a</sub> )	A <sub>ot</sub> =58.3 square inches	
M) Depth of	WQCV (Hwocv)	Hwacv =feet	
(Estimate	using actual stage-area-volume relationship and $V_{\text{WOCV}})$		

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)							
Designer: Company: Dats: Project:	M.Lanson Classic Consulting October 4, 2014 Windermere		Sheet 3 of				
Location:	FILING NO. 2 REGIONAL FACILITY						
8 Initial Surchar	rga Volume						
A) Depth of I (Minimum	nitial Surcharge Volume recommended depth is 4 inches)	D <sub>is</sub> = <u>4.0</u> in					
B) Minimum I (Minimum )	nitial Surcharge Volume volume of 0.3% of the WQCV)	V <sub>is</sub> = <u>280.7</u> cu ft					
C) Initial Surc	harge Provided Above Micropool	V <sub>5</sub> = <u>333.3</u> au ft					
9. Trash Rack A) Type of W	/ater Quality Onfice Used	Choose One Groular (up to 2" diameter) O Rectangular (2" high)	. 54.57				
B) Water Qu	ality Screen Open Area: A, ≈ 38.5*(e <sup>-0.0950</sup> )*A <sub>ct</sub>	A <sub>t</sub> = <u>1,947</u> square inches					
C) For 2", or	Smaller, Circular Opening (See Fact Sheet T-12)						
i) Width o	f Water Quality Screen and Concrete Opening ( $W_{\text{opening}}$ )	W <sub>opening</sub> = <u>35.0</u> inches					
ii) Height	of Water Quality Screen (HTR)	H <sub>TR</sub> = <u>94.0</u> inches					
іі) Туре о	Screen, Describe if "Other"	S.S. Well Screen with 60% Open Area* O Other (Describe):					
0 For 2" His	an Rectangular Opening						
a Aidth o	i Peçiangular Creniirg : $K_{\rm arten}$	'A: =incres					
a) Wedth o	water Quality Screen Opening (W <sub>axwes</sub> )	"N T					
iii) Height	or Water Guality Screen (H <sub>73</sub> )	r1-e =1					
ки Туре з	i Screen. Describe if "Other".	Choose One O Aluminum Amico-Klemp SR Series (or equal) O Other (Describe):					
v) Cross	-bar Spacing	inches					
	In Reactor Bar Size						

	Design Flocedure Form: Ex		
Designer	M.Larson		Sheet 4 o
Company: Classic Consulting			
Date:	October 4, 2014		
Project:	Windermere		
Location: FILING NO. 2 REGIONAL FACILITY			
10. Overflow En	ibankment		
A) Describe	embankment protection for 100-year and greater overlooping:		
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
B) Slope of	Overflow Embankment	$Z_{\rm E} = $ 4.00 ft / ft	
(nonzon	ter distance per dist verbeer, 4.1 or nation prerentedy		
		Choose One	
11. Vegetation		O Irrigated	
		Not Imigated	
			20
12. Access			
A) Describe	Sediment Removal Procedures		
		-	
			10- 19-
Notes			

JOB NAME: WINDERMERE JOB NUMBER: 2441.00 DATE: 10/04/14 CALCULATED BY: MAL

#### FILING 2 - EURV (TOP OF BOX)

POND SIZING WITH PONDPACK EQUATION: ISERT POND DESIGN SIZE INFO: (RED)

POND ELEVATION :	
(from lowest to highest)	6571.00
	6571.00
_	6572.00
	6574.00
	6576.00
	6576.50
	111.02
	4050 ABCD
_	

	2-2	acres
4,724	0.11	acres
7,502	0.17	acres
49,737	1.14	acres
135,006	3.10	acres
153,596	3.53	acres
	-	acres

#### PRELIMINARY SIZE:

VOLUME = 1/3{(EL2-EL1)\*(A1+A2+((A1\*A2)^.5))}

#### CUMMULATIVE VOLUME:

	-	AC-FT	from	6,571	to	6,571	
	0.14	AC-FT	from	6,571	to	6,572	0.14
	1.16	AC-FT	from	6,572	to	6,574	1.30
	4.04	AC-FT	from	6,574	to	6,576	5.34
	1.64	AC-FT	from	6,576	to	6,577	6.98
	-	AC-FT	from	6,577	to –	-	6.98
	-	AC-FT	from	•	to	-	6.98
	-	AC-FT	from	-	to –	-	6.98
	-	AC-FT	from	-	to –	-	6.98
2	-	AC-FT	from		to		6.98
	-	AC-FT	from		to	-	6.98
		2					

#### \*SIZING IS FOR PRELIMINARY PURPOSES ONLY.

## VOLUME = 6.98 AC FT

## PPROXIMATE SURFACE AREA REQUIREMENT

POND DEPTH	PON	SURFACE AREA		
(FT)	AC-FT		CF	(SF)
4	6.98	=	#######	75,980
6	6.98	=	#######	50,653
8	6.98	=	#######	37,990
10	6.98	=	#######	30,392

PONDSIZE-filing 2-eurv OF Copy of DetentionPonds.xls 10/4/2014 3:11 PM

JOB NAME:	WINDERMERE	
JOB NUMBER:	2441.00	
DATE:	10/04/14	
CALCULATED BY:	MAL	

#### FILING 2 VOLUME TO SPILLWAY

POND SIZING WITH PONDPACK EQUATION: ISERT POND DESIGN SIZE INFO: (RED)

POND ELEVATION :	
(from lowest to highest)	6571.00
	6571.00
	6572.00
	6574.00
	6576.00
	6578.00
	6579.00

AREA (BTM to	TOP):	2012
	: <del>.</del>	acres
4,724	0.108	acres
7,502	0.172	acres
49,737	1.142	acres
135,006	3.099	acres
198,782	4.563	acres
216,813	4.977	acres
		acres
	: <del>-</del>	acres
	•	acres
L IV ASSINC	-	acres
	-	acres

#### PRELIMINARY SIZE:

VOLUME = 1/3{(EL2-EL1)\*(A1+A2+((A1\*A2)^.5))}

CUMMULATIVE
VOLUME:

-	AC-FT	from	6,571	to	6,571	
0.14	AC-FT	from	6,571	to	6,572	0.14
1.16	AC-FT	from	6,572	to	6,574	1.30
4.04	AC-FT	from	6,574	to	6,576	5.34
7.54	AC-FT	from	6,576	to	6,578	12.88
4.72	AC-FT	from <sup>–</sup>	6,578	to	6,579	17.60
-	AC-FT	from	6,579	to	-	17.60
-	AC-FT	from	-	to		17.60
	AC-FT	from	-	to	-	17.60
	AC-FT	from	-	to	-	17.60
-	AC-FT	from		to _	-	17.60

#### \*SIZING IS FOR PRELIMINARY PURPOSES ONLY.

## VOLUME = 17.60 AC-FT

## PPROXIMATE SURFACE AREA REQUIREMENT

POND DEPTH	PON	D VOL	UME	SURFACE AREA
(FT)	AC-FT		CF	(SF)
4	17.60	=	#######	191,654
6	17.60	=	#######	127,769
8	17.60	=	#######	95,827
10	17.60	=	#######	76,661

PONDSIZE-filing 2-SPILLWAY OF Copy of DetentionPonds.10/4/2014 3:11 PM

Project Summary		
Title	WINDERMERE - FILING NO. 2	
Engineer	MLARSON	
Company	CCES	
Date	10/3/2014	
Notes	WINDERMER 5 YEAR POND	E - FILING NO. 2 ROUTING W/ STORMWATER QUALITY

FILING-2-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 .

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 1 of 5

## **Table of Contents**

	Master Network Summary	2
PO-1 (IN)	Level Pool Pond Routing Summary	3
DP-24	Modified Rational Graph	4

Subsection: Master Network Summary

#### **Catchments Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)
DP-23	Base	5	2.827	0.343	68.85
DP-24	Base	5	4.237	0.430	102.88

#### **Node Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak How (ft³/s)
0-1	Base	5	5,168	0.950	3.21

#### **Pond Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Pond Storage (ac-ft)
PO-1 (IN)	Base	5	7.080	0.450	171.74	(N/A)	(N/A)
PO-1 (OUT)	Base	5	5.168	0.950	3.21	6,576.46	6.898

FILING-2-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 2 of 5

Subsection: Level Pool Pond Routing Summary Label: PO-1 (IN) Return Event: 5 years Storm Event: CO SPRINGS - 5 Year

Infiltration			
Infiltration Method (Computed)	No Infiltration		
Initial Conditions			
Elevation (Water Surface, Initial)	6,571.00 ft		
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft		
Flow (Initial Outlet)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Flow (Initial Infiltration)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Flow (Initial, Total)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Time Increment	0.050 hours		
Inflow/Outflow Hydrograph S	ummary	The state to be a second	
Flow (Peak In)	171.74 ft <sup>3</sup> /s	Time to Peak (Flow, In)	0.450 hours
Flow (Peak Outlet)	3.21 ft <sup>3</sup> /s	Time to Peak (Flow, Outlet)	0.950 hours
Elevation (Water Surface, Peak)	6,576.46 ft		
Volume (Peak)	6.898 ac-ft		
Mass Balance (ac-ft)		_	
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Inflow)	7.080 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Infiltration)	0.000 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Outlet Outflow)	5.168 ac-ft		
Volume (Retained)	1.893 ac-ft		
Volume (Unrouted)	-0.018 ac-ft		
Error (Mass Balance)	0.3 %		

FILING-2-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 3 of 5

Subsection: Modified Rational Graph Label: DP-24 Return Event: 5 years Storm Event: CO SPRINGS - 5 Year

Method Type	M	ethod T			
Time of Duration (Modified Rational, Critical)		0.500 hours			
Î	. 🗊			<u>Not</u> Scale	<u>to</u> <u>e</u>
Flow		3	5	4	
[1]			Time		
Time of Concentration	0.420	hours	Time of Duration (Modified	0 500	hour
(Modified Rational, Composite) Intensity (Modified Rational,	3.629	in/h	Rational, Critical) Intensity (Modified Rational,	0.500	in/h
Peak) Flow (Modified Rational, Peak)	112.17	ft³/s	Critical) Flow (Modified Rational, Critical)	102.88	ft³/s
[3]					
First Outflow Breakpoint (Modified Rational, Method T)		0.700 hours			
Flow (Modified Rational, Allowable)		55.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s			
[4]			[5]		
Second Outflow Breakpoint (Modified Rational)	0.649	hours	Storage (Modified Rational, Estimated)	2.022	ac-ft
Flow (Modified Rational, Allowable)	55.00	ft³/s			

FILING-2-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 4 of 5

Index

D

DP-24 (Modified Rational Graph, 5 years)...4

м

Master Network Summary...2

Ρ

PO-1 (IN) (Level Pool Pond Routing Summary, 5 years)...3

FILING-2-5year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 5 of 5

Project Summary		
Title	WINDERMERE - FILING NO. 2	-
Engineer	MLARSON	
Company	CCES	
Date	10/3/2014	-
Notes	WINDERMERE - FILI 100 YEAR POND ROL	IG NO. 2 TING W/ STORMWATER QUALITY

FILING-2-100year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 1 of 5

## Table of Contents

	Master Network Summary	2
PO-1 (IN)	Level Pooi Pond Routing Summary	3
DP-24	Modified Rational Graph	4

Subsection: Master Network Summary

#### **Catchments Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)	
DP-23	Base	100	5.847	0.343	142.39	
DP-24	Base	100	7.620	0.430	185.05	

#### **Node Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft³/s)	
0-1	Base	100	11.046	0.800	80.66	

#### **Pond Summary**

Label	Scenario	Return Event (years)	Hydrograph Volume (ac-ft)	Time to Peak (hours)	Peak Flow (ft <sup>3</sup> /s)	Maximum Water Surface Elevation (ft)	Maximum Pond Storage (ac-ft)
PO-1 (IN)	Base	100	13.499	0.450	327.44	(N/A)	(N/A)
PO-1 (OUT)	Base	100	11.046	0.800	80.66	6,577.68	11.595

FILING-2-100year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 2 of 5

Subsection: Level Pool Pond Routing Summary Label: PO-1 (IN) Return Event: 100 years Storm Event: CO SPRINGS - 100 Year

Infiltration			
Infiltration Method (Computed)	No Infiltration		
Initial Conditions			
Elevation (Water Surface, Initial)	6,571.00 ft		
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft		
Flow (Initial Outlet)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Flow (Initial Infiltration)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Flow (Initial, Total)	0.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s		
Time Increment	0.050 hours		
Inflow/Outflow Hydrograph S	ummary		
Flow (Peak In)	327.44 ft <sup>3</sup> /s	Time to Peak (Flow, In)	0.450 hours
Flow (Peak Outlet)	80.66 ft <sup>3</sup> /s	Time to Peak (Flow, Outlet)	0.800 hours
Elevation (Water Surface, Peak)	6,577.68 ft	—	
Volume (Peak)	11.595 ac-ft		
Mass Balance (ac-ft)		_	
Volume (Initial)	0.000 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Inflow)	13.499 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Infiltration)	0.000 ac-ft		
Volume (Total Outlet Outflow)	11.046 ac-ft		
Volume (Retained)	2.415 ac-ft		
Volume (Unrouted)	-0.038 ac-ft		
Error (Mass Balance)	0.3 %		

FILING-2-100year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1866

Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 3 of 5

Subsection: Modified Rational Graph Label: DP-24 Return Event: 100 years Storm Event: CO SPRINGS - 100 Year

Method Type	M	lethod T			
Time of Duration (Modified Rational, Critical)		0.500 hours			
Flow	, ı , ` , `	3	5		
11			10me [2]		
Time of Concentration (Modified Rational, Composite)	0.430	hours	Time of Duration (Modified Rational, Critical)	0.500	hours
Intensity (Modified Rational, Peak)	4.696	in/h	Intensity (Modified Rational, Critical)	4.310	in/h
Flow (Modified Rational, Peak)	201.64	ft³/s	Flow (Modified Rational, Critical)	185.05	ft³/s
[3]		G 2000 S			
First Outflow Breakpoint (Modified Rational, Method T)		0.612 hours			
Flow (Modified Rational, Allowable)		137.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /s	_		
[4]			[5]		
Second Outflow Breakpoint (Modified Rational)	0.568	hours	Storage (Modified Rational, Estimated)	2.134	ac-ft
Flow (Modified Rational, Allowable)	137.00	ft³/s			

FILING-2-100year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 4 of 5

Index

D

DP-24 (Modified Rational Graph, 100 years)...4

м

Master Network Summary...2

P

PO-1 (IN) (Level Pool Pond Routing Summary, 100 years)...3

FILING-2-100year.ppc 10/4/2014 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley PondPack V8i [08.11.01.51] Page 5 of 5

**COORESPONDANCE FROM YES! COMMUNITIES** 





September 18, 2014

El Paso County Development Services 2880 International Circle Suite 110 Colorado Springs, CO 80910

ATTN: Ms. Kari Parsons – Project Manager/Planner II

RE: Proposed Windermere Development Public Detention and Stormwater Quality Facility

Dear Ms. Parsons:

Based upon a meeting with Mr. Campbell of Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, LLC, a proposal was presented to create a regional public detention and SWQ facility in an area where our existing private facility currently resides. Based upon the multiple private and public drainage facilities (both existing and proposed) that drain to this area, we support the creation of one public facility.

While not required for the Windermere's initial Phase 1 area, we will continue to work with the adjacent southerly owner and their representatives as Phase 2 and 3 develop to support this effect.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Wally Moreland Managing Director YES! Communities

Ag/244100/public detention swq facility.docx

CC: Kyle R Campbell

## YESI Communities, 2401 15<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200, Denver, CO 80202

Fax: (303) 468-0525

## DRAINAGE MAP





PeiX 60 CFS 500 CFS	DIFER FOX HELIGHTE	NULET IN NULET IN NULET IN NUMBER POWER	
BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY       BASIN Q5 (CFS)     Q100 (CFS)       BASIN Q5 (CFS)     26.2       EX-A     11.3     26.2       EX-A     11.3     26.2       EX-C     17.7     14.1       EX-C     17.7     44.1       EX-C     1.3     2.6       EX-C     1.3     2.6       EX-C     1.7     2.6       EX-C     1.7     2.6       EX-C     1.7     2.6       EX-F     1.0     1.0.0       EX-F     4.0     10.0       MDDP     D-14     7.2       D-15     4.6     8.6       NC-2     6.7     15.1       NC-2     6.7     12.5       MS     47.3     66.4       CT     90.8     184.7	PIPE     RUN     SUMMARY       PIPE     05 (CFS)     0100 (CFS)       66     18.4     42.6       7     24.7     50.9       8     24.7     50.9       0.00     1.00     1.0	DESIGN     DOINT     SUMMARY       DESIGN     POINT     OIDO       0     18.4     7.2       1     12.2     14.6     Ex. 45 subs       7     20.0     41.6     Ex. 25 subs       9     5.6     11.12     Ex. 10' subs       19     5.6     111.2     Ex. 10' subs       20     3.7     8.0     Ex. 10' subs       26     117.5     215.1     1074L <exender< td="">       26     118.3     256.9     FX. T0 MARS</exender<>	And Separate Contraction



	NEWGRIFENER POINT)	
P DP-1X 186 CFS = 600 CF REPORT T REPORT T	CILITY CILITY CILORADE INLET SUMP INLET SUMP INLET SUMP INLET SUMP INLET SUMP INLET SUMP INLET AT-GRADE INLET A	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
0100 (SEE	SUMMARY FSD PROP. 15' A PROP. 16' FA PROP. 10' PROP. 10' PROP. 20' PROP. 20'	PIPE     SIZE       PROP. 24"     PROP. 24"
SUMMARY 0100 (CFS) 18.2 18.2 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.6 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	Design     Point       C(SS)     0100     ((       (1)     26.2     26.2       (1)     26.2     26.2       (1)     27.3     26.2       (1)     27.3     26.2       (1)     27.3     25.4       (1)     27.3     24.5       (2)     26.4     20.7       (2)     27.4     19.1       (2)     22.4     20.3       (2)     26.4     20.3       (2)     23.4     19.0       (3)     19.0     11.3       (3)     19.0     11.3       (4)     11.3     26.5       (5)     21.4     20.7       (4)     11.3     26.5       (5)     31.9     11.3       (5)     19.3     19.3       (5)     35.3     35.3       (5)     36.3     36.3	UN SUMMARY 0100 (CFS) 15.6 41.9 41.9 18.2 55.5 14.6 29.4 48.9 29.4 48.9 29.4 12.8 29.4 12.8 29.4 15.5 15.5 15.4 16.0 15.4 16.0 15.4 16.0 15.4 16.0 15.4 16.0 15.4 16.0 15.4 16.0 15.5 15.5 16.0 16.0 15.6 17.8 20.7 19.7 20.7 19.7 20.7 19.7 20.7 19.7 20.7 10.0 20.7
IN RUNOFF 5 IN G(CFS) 9,1 9,1 1,3,1 1,3,1 1,3,1 1,3,1 1,3,1 1,3,1 1,4 1,1,1 1,1,1 1,1,1 1,2,9 1,2,9 1,2,9 1,2,1 1,2,2,2 1,2,2,2 1,2,2,2 1,2,2,2 1,2,2,2 1,2,2,2 1,2,2,2 1,2,2	N POINT 05 ( 1 1 10 01 05 ( 2 2 10 01 05 ( 4 4 2 2 10 0 5 5 20 9 9 10 0 1 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	PipE R     PipE R       1     1     20.9       2     20.9     20.9       2     21.2     21.2       2     21.2     21.2       2     21.2     26.4       2     2.12     26.4       2     2.12     26.4       2     3.32.4     8.3       3     3.89     8.7       3     3.89     8.7       3     3.89     8.7       3     3.90     3.32.4       3     3.90     3.32.4       3     3.32.4     8.7       3     3.32.4     3.33.9       3     3.33.9     3.33.9       3     3.33.9     3.33.9       3     3.33.9     3.33.9       3     3.33.9     3.33.9       3     3.33.9     3.33.2       3     3.33.2     3.33.2       3     3.33.3     3.33.2
BASS BASS BASS BASS BASS BASS BASS BASS	DESIG	7     1     1

EX 2004000 (NEW YORK OF A DESCRIPTION OF A





**Natural Resources Conservation Service** 

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



# Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
97	Truckton sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes	A	56.4	100.0%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		56.4	100.0%

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified



September 18, 2020

V



Mr. Tim McConnell, PE Principal / Regional Manager Drexel, Barrell & Co. 3 S. 7<sup>th</sup> Street Colorado Springs, CO 80905

## Re: Proposed Windermere Development Pubic Detention and Stormwater Quality Facility

Dear Mr. McConnell,

Following to our recent correspondence, this is to confirm that YES Communities (YES) has no objection to proceeding forward with design and construction of a public detention pond and stormwater quality facility in conjunction with the proposed Windermere residential development currently under design. The specific property in question is owned by YES and is situated in the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Barnes Road on the north, and Marksheffel Road on the east. It is platted as Chateau at Antelope Ridge, Filing No. 2 in El Paso County, Colorado. The proposed pond location will be within Tract A, as situated on the south side of the property and which is currently used for storm water conveyance and detention. The Windermere property is located adjacent to the east, south, and west sides of Tract A.

It is understood that no adverse impacts to the existing homes and other infrastructure improvements within the YES property will be incurred with the proposed pond construction. The pond will serve local area drainage requirements from both the YES and Windermere properties. All pond improvements will be constructed and paid for by the Windermere developer. No financial burdens or liabilities will be incurred by YES with the work as proposed.

Please let us know if any additional information should be required. Thank you,

Sincerely, YES Communities

. P. Scharlow

Craig P. Schellbach, PE Development Manager

c: Mike Askins

5605 N. MacArthur Blvd.; Suite 280; Irving, TX 75038 972-379-9610: | Email: cschellbach@yescommunities.com

Page | 1 of 1

PROJECT INF	ORMATION	N						
PROJECT:	Windermere	9						
PROJECT NO:	21187-01							
DESIGN BY:	SBN						Drexel	, Barrell & Co.
REV. BY:	TDM							
AGENCY:	El Paso Cou	unty						
REPORT TYPE:	Final							
DATE:	1/19/2021							
Soil Type: A								
				C2*	C5*	C10*	C100*	% IMPERV
Landscape/Lawn					0.15		0.50	0
Residential (<1/8 a	icre)				0.45		0.59	65
Asphalt/Sidewalk					0.90		0.96	100
PROPOSED			4854					
SUB-BASIN	SURFACE DE	SIGNATION		COMPOSITI			C100	% IMPERV
Δ1	l andscane/l a	wn		02	0.15	010	0.50	0
	Residential (<	1/8 acre)	2.07		0.10		0.50	65
	Asnhalt/Sidow	alk	0.00		0.40		0.09	100
			0.00	-	0.30		0.50	65%
τοταί α1			2.07		0.45		0.03	0070
	l andscane/l a	wn	0.00	-	0.15		0.50	0
	Residential (<	1/8 acre)	1.61		0.15		0.50	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.00		0.45		0.00	100
			0.00		0.30		0.50	65%
τοταί α2	WEIGHTED A	VEINAGE	1.61		0.45		0.00	0070
101AL A2 A3	l andscane/l a	wn	0.00		0.15		0.50	0
	Residential (<	1/8 acre)	1.67		0.15		0.50	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.00		0.40		0.00	100
			0.00		0.50		0.50	65%
ΤΟΤΑΙ Α3	WEIGHTED/		1 67		0.10		0.00	0070
A4	Landscape/La	wn	0.00		0.15		0.50	0
	Residential (<	1/8 acre)	1.01		0.45		0.59	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.00		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VFRAGE	0.00		0.00		0.59	65%
TOTAL A4			1.01				0.00	
A5	Landscape/Lav	wn	0.00		0.15		0.50	0
-	Residential (<	1/8 acre)	1.98		0.45		0.59	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.00		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE			0.45		0.59	65%
TOTAL A5			1.98					
A6	Landscape/La	wn	0.00		0.15		0.50	0
	Residential (<	1/8 acre)	3.73		0.45		0.59	65
	Asphalt/Sidewa	alk	0.00		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE			0.45		0.59	65%
TOTAL A6			3.73					
A7	Landscape/Lav	wn	0.00		0.15		0.50	0
	Residential (<	1/8 acre)	1.56		0.45		0.59	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.00		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE			0.45		0.59	65%
TOTAL A7			1.56					
A8	Landscape/Lav	wn	0.00		0.15		0.50	0
	Residential (<	1/8 acre)	2.96		0.45		0.59	65
	Asphalt/Sidew	alk	0.00		0.90		0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE	0.00		0.45		0.59	65%
IUIAL A8			2.96					
A9	Landscape/Lawn	0.00	0.15	0.50	0			
-----------	-------------------------	------	------	------	-------			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	1.86	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.45	0.59	65%			
TOTAL A9		1.86						
A10	Landscape/Lawn	0.00	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	4.00	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.45	0.59	65%			
TOTAL A10		4.00						
A11	Landscape/Lawn	0.00	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	2.67	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.45	0.59	65%			
TOTAL A11		2.67						
A12	Landscape/Lawn	7.50	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	1.96	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.21	0.52	13%			
TOTAL A12		9.46						
B1	Landscape/Lawn	0.00	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	3.62	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.45	0.59	65%			
TOTAL B1		3.62						
B2	Landscape/Lawn	0.00	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	2.94	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.45	0.59	65%			
TOTAL B2		2.94						
B3	Landscape/Lawn	0.00	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	4.00	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.45	0.59	65%			
TOTAL B3		4.00						
B4	Landscape/Lawn	0.43	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	0.00	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.15	0.50	0%			
TOTAL B4		0.43						
C1	Landscape/Lawn	3.25	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	0.99	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.22	0.52	15%			
TOTAL C1		4.24						
C2	Landscape/Lawn	0.50	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	0.33	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.27	0.54	26%			
TOTAL C2		0.83						
C3	Landscape/Lawn	0.74	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	0.66	0.45	0.59	65			
-	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.29	0.54	31%			
IUIAL C3		1.40						
64	Landscape/Lawn	0.11	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	0.00	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	0.00	0.90	0.96	100			
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		0.15	0.50	0%			
IOTAL C4		0.11						
NC2	Landscape/Lawn	0.27	0.15	0.50	0			
	Residential (<1/8 acre)	0.00	0.45	0.59	65			
	Asphalt/Sidewalk	1.34	0.90	0.96	I 100			

	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE		0.77	0.88	83%
TOTAL NC2			1.61			
NC1	Landscape/Lawn		0.03	0.15	0.50	0
	Residential (<1/8 acre)		0.00	0.45	0.59	65
	Asphalt/Sidewalk		0.40	0.90	0.96	100
	WEIGHTED A	VERAGE		0.85	0.93	93%
TOTAL NC1			0.43			
TOTAL SITE			55.15	0.38	0.57	50.2%
ONSITE TO NORT	TH POND		37.58			52.0%
OFFSITE BASINS TO NORTH POND		95.57			36.3%	
TOTAL TO NORTH	I POND		133.15			40.7%
AREA TO SOUTH	POND		10.99			62.5%

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:	Windermere
PROJECT NO:	21187-01
DESIGN BY:	SBN
REV. BY:	TDM
AGENCY:	El Paso County
REPORT TYPE:	Final
DATE:	1/19/2021



#### RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF PROPOSED TIME OF CONCENTRATION STANDARD FORM SF-2

	5	SUB-BASI	N			INITIAL/O	VERLAND	)		TRAVEL	TIME				PIPE TR	AVEL TIME		TIME OF	CONC.	FINAL
		DATA				TIME (t <sub>i</sub> )				(t <sub>t</sub> )					(t <sub>p</sub> )			t <sub>c</sub>		tc
BASIN	DESIGN PT:	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	AREA	LENGTH	HT	SLOPE	ti	LENGTH	HT	SLOPE	VEL.	tt	LENGTH	SLOPE	VEL.	tt	COMP.	MINIMUM	
				Ac	Ft	FT	%	Min	Ft	FT	%	FPS	Min	Ft	%	FPS	Min	t <sub>c</sub>	tc	Min
A1	A	0.45	0.59	2.07	100	12	12.0	5.3	20	5	25.0	15.5	0.0					5.3	5	5.3
	8	0.61	0.71	14.10																18.7
A2	В	0.45	0.59	4.61	100	5	5.1	7.1	1051	21	2.0	8.3	2.1					9.2	5	9.2
A3		0.56	0.67	1.67	35	1	3.5	4.0	600	16	2.6	9.4	1.1					5.0	5	5.0
	С	0.56	0.67	20.38										450	0.5	5.4	1.4	20.1	5	20.1
A4		0.45	0.59	1.01	100	1	1.0	12.2	205	10	4.8	12.8	0.3					12.4	5	12.4
	D	0.55	0.67	21.39										220	4.0	15.3	0.2	20.3	5	20.3
A5	E	0.45	0.59	1.98	100	12	11.9	5.3	385	9	2.4	9.1	0.7					6.0	5	6.0
A6		0.45	0.59	3.73	100	3	3.0	8.4	790	32	4.0	11.7	1.1					9.6	5	9.6
	F	0.53	0.65	27.10										90	3.5	14.3	0.1	20.4	5	20.4
A7	G	0.45	0.59	1.56	75	2	2.7	7.6	610	9	1.4	6.9	1.5					9.1	5	9.1
A8	Н	0.45	0.59	2.96	100	10	10.4	5.6	740	11	1.5	7.2	1.7					7.3	5	7.3
A9	1	0.45	0.59	1.86	100	10	10.5	5.6	460	8	1.6	7.4	1.0					6.6	5	6.6
	J	0.45	0.59	6 38						-				300	10	59	0.9	81	5	8.1
	K	0.52	0.64	33 48										275	3.5	12.7	0.4	20.8	5	20.8
A10		0.45	0.59	4 00	100	3	3.0	84	770	25	32	10.5	12	2.0	0.0		0.1	97	5	9.7
7.110		0.51	0.63	37.48			0.0				0.2	1010		115	10	93	0.2	21.0	5	21.0
Δ11		0.01	0.50	2.67	40	1	15	6.7	9/5	40	12	12.0	13	110	1.0	0.0	0.2	80	5	8.0
711	М	0.40	0.63	10 15			1.0	0.1	340	-10	7.2	12.0	1.0	35	1.0	03	0.1	21.1	5	21.1
۸12	IVI	0.01	0.00	9.16	100	30	20.6	5.4	1005	18	1.8	83	2.0		1.0	5.5	0.1	7/	5	7.4
712	N	0.21	0.52	10 61	100		23.0	3.4	260	10	1.0	83	0.5	180	3.5	1/1 3	0.2	21.9	5	21.9
D1	0	0.45	0.01	2.60	25	1	2.5	4.7	200	20	2.4	10.0	0.5	100	5.5	14.5	0.2	6.1	5	6.1
اط دم	D	0.45	0.59	2.02	50	2	3.5	4.1 5.4	725	20	2.4	0.0	1.4					6.6	5	6.6
D2	P 0	0.45	0.59	2.94	100	2	4.0	7.0	025	20	2.0	9.0	1.2					0.0	5	0.0
B3	Q	0.45	0.59	4.00	100	5	5.3	7.0	823	21	2.5	9.3	1.5					0.4 5.5	5	<u> </u>
B4	P	0.15	0.50	0.43	85	24	28.5	5.4	/5	4	5.3	14.3	0.1	70	05.0	00.0	0.0	5.5	5	5.5
	К	0.44	0.59	10.99					/5	4	5.3	14.3	0.1	70	25.0	29.3	0.0	8.6	5	8.6
C2		0.27	0.54	0.83	60	12	20.0	4.4	455	15	3.3	5.6	1.3					5.8	5	5.8

	S	0.46	0.67	1.36										105	2.0	8.3	0.2	8.8	5	8.8
C1	Т	0.22	0.52	4.24	100	13	13.0	7.0	90	7	7.8	8.7	0.2					7.2	5	7.2
C3	U	0.29	0.54	1.40	100	5	5.5	8.6	75	2	2.1	4.5	0.3					8.9	5	8.9
C4	V	0.15	0.50	0.11	35	6	15.9	4.2										4.2	5	5.0
NC2	19	0.55	0.72	3.01					625	25	4.0	11.7	0.9					9.7	5	9.7
	J1	0.52	0.71	4.37										90	2	6.83	0.2	10.0	5	10.0
NC1		0.85	0.93	0.43	45	1	2.2	2.4	185	4	2.2	8.7	0.4					0.4	5	5.0
	20	0.55	0.73	4.80										45	2	9.60	0.1	10.0	5	10.0

PROJECT INFORMATION	
PROJECT:	Windermere
PROJECT NO:	21187-01
DESIGN BY:	SBN
REV. BY:	TDM
AGENCY:	El Paso County
REPORT TYPE:	Final
DATE:	1/19/2021

# RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF

PROPOSED	RUNOFF		5 YR STOR				
			DIRECT RUNG	DFF			
BASIN (S)	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	RUNOFF COEFF	t <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	C * A	I (IN/HR)	Q (CFS)
A1	A	2.07	0.45	5.3	0.93	5.02	4.7
	8	14.10	0.61	18.7	8.56	3.12	26.7
A2	В	4.61	0.45	9.2	2.07	4.23	8.8
A3		1.67	0.56	5.0	0.93	5.10	4.8
	C	20.38	0.56	20.1	11.38	3.01	34.2
A4		1.01	0.45	12.4	0.45	3.76	1.7
	D	21.39	0.55	20.3	11.84	2.99	35.4
A5	E	1.98	0.45	6.0	0.89	4.85	4.3
A6		3.73	0.45	9.6	1.68	4.17	7.0
	F	27.10	0.53	20.4	14.41	2.98	43.0
A7	G	1.56	0.45	9.1	0.70	4.26	3.0
A8	Н	2.96	0.45	7.3	1.33	4.58	6.1
A9	1	1.86	0.45	6.6	0.84	4.73	4.0
	J	6.38	0.45	8.1	2.87	4.42	12.7
	K	33.48	0.52	20.8	17.28	2.96	51.1
A10		4.00	0.45	9.7	1.80	4.16	7.5
	L	37.48	0.51	21.0	19.08	2.94	56.1
A11		2.67	0.45	8.0	1.20	4.45	5.3
	M	40.15	0.51	21.1	20.28	2.94	59.5
A12		9.46	0.21	7.4	2.01	4.56	9.2
	N	49.61	0.45	21.8	22.29	2.88	175.6
North Pond Release							1.8
B1	0	3.62	0.45	6.1	1.63	4.84	7.9
B2	P	2.94	0.45	6.6	1.32	4.72	6.2
B3	Q	4.00	0.45	8.4	1.80	4.36	7.9
B4		0.43	0.15	5.5	0.06	4.99	0.3
	R	10.99	0.44	8.6	4.82	4.34	20.9
South Pond Release							0.3
C2		0.83	0.27	5.8	0.22	4.91	1.1
	S						10.3
C1		4.24	0.22	7.2	0.93	4.61	4.3
	Т						191.1
C3	U	1.40	0.29	8.9	0.41	4.29	1.8
C4	V	0.11	0.15	5.0	0.02	5.10	0.1
NC2	19	3.01	0.55	9.7	1.65	4.14	6.9
	J1	4.37	0.52	10.0	2.29	4.11	19.7
NC1		0.43	0.85	5.0	0.36	5.10	1.9
	20	4.80	0.55	10.0	2.65	4.09	21.2

....

## PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:	Windermere
PROJECT NO:	21187-01
DESIGN BY:	SBN
REV. BY:	TDM
AGENCY:	El Paso County
REPORT TYPE:	Final
DATE:	1/19/2021



#### RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF

PROPOSED	RUNOFF	10	0 YR STOF	RM						P1=	2.67
			DIRECT RUNG	DFF						PIPE SIZING	
BASIN (S)	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	RUNOFF COEFF	t <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	C * A	I (IN/HR)	Q (CFS)	n	Slope (ft/ft)	Calculated Pipe Dia (ft)	Used Pipe (in)
A1	A	2.07	0.59	5.3	1.22	8.94	10.9				
	8	14.10	0.71	18.7	9.98	5.55	55.4	0.016	0.005	3.4	36
A2	B	4.61	0.59	9.2	2.72	7.54	20.5	0.016	0.01	2.1	24
A3		1.67	0.67	5.0	1.12	9.08	10.2				
	С	20.38	0.67	20.1	13.69	5.35	73.3	0.016	0.04	2.6	36
A4		1.01	0.59	12.4	0.60	6.69	4.0				
	D	21.39	0.67	20.3	14.28	5.32	76.0	0.016	0.035	2.7	36
A5	E	1.98	0.59	6.0	1.17	8.64	10.1	0.016	0.01	1.6	18
A6		3.73	0.59	9.6	2.20	7.43	16.3				
	F	27.10	0.65	20.4	17.65	5.31	93.7	0.016	0.035	2.9	36
A7	G	1.56	0.59	9.1	0.92	7.57	7.0	0.016	0.01	1.1	18
A8	Н	2.96	0.59	7.3	1.75	8.16	14.2	0.016	0.008	1.9	24
A9	I	1.86	0.59	6.6	1.10	8.42	9.2	0.016	0.01	1.6	18
	J	6.38	0.59	8.1	3.76	7.87	29.6	0.016	0.009	2.3	30
	K	33.48	0.64	20.8	21.42	5.26	112.7	0.016	0.01	3.9	48
A10		4.00	0.59	9.7	2.36	7.40	17.5				
	L	37.48	0.63	21.0	23.78	5.23	124.4	0.016	0.01	4.1	48
A11		2.67	0.59	8.0	1.58	7.91	12.5				
	M	40.15	0.63	21.1	25.35	5.23	132.5	0.016	0.035	3.3	48
A12		9.46	0.52	7.4	4.91	8.12	39.9				
	N	49.61	0.61	21.8	30.26	5.13	355.1				
North Pond Release							66.0	0.016	0.02	2.0	30
B1	0	3.62	0.59	6.1	2.14	8.61	18.4	0.016	0.02	1.8	24
B2	Р	2.94	0.59	6.6	1.73	8.40	14.6	0.016	0.006	2.0	24
B3	Q	4.00	0.59	8.4	2.36	7.77	18.3	0.016	0.25	0.9	24
B4		0.43	0.50	5.5	0.22	8.88	1.9				
	R	10.99	0.59	8.6	6.45	7.73	49.8				
South Pond Release							5.3	0.016	0.02	1.1	18
C2		0.83	0.54	5.8	0.44	8.74	3.9				
	S						27.2	0.016	0.005	2.7	24
C1		4.24	0.52	7.2	2.21	8.20	18.1				
	Т						684.1				
C3	U	1.40	0.54	8.9	0.76	7.63	5.8				
C4	V	0.11	0.50	5.0	0.06	9.09	0.5				
NC2	19	3.01	0.72	9.7	2.18	7.37	16.1				
	J1	4.37	0.71	10.0	3.09	7.31	49.8				
NC1		0.43	0.93	5.0	0.40	9.09	3.6				
	20	1.80	0.30	10.0	3.10	7 20	52.6				
	20	4.00	0.13	10.0	3.49	1.29	52.0				

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020)

		Pag	in TD:				
		DdS	an 1D:				
		12	ONE 3				
		1	ZONE 2				
T	-		CONE 1	1			
0-YR			1				
EURV	wacv						-
	17		E		· · · · ·		
			/	/	100-YEAR		
	_	1	ZONE 1 AND 2	/	ORIFICE		
	PERMANENT-		ORIFICES				
	POOL	Example	Zone Conf	iguration	(Retentio	n Pond)	
		Example	20110 00111	garation	(		

Selected BMP Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	133.15	acres
Watershed Length =	4,000	ft
Watershed Length to Centroid =	2,000	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.020	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	27.80%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	

# After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

			Optional
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	1.602	acre-feet	
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	3.621	acre-feet	
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	2.490	acre-feet	1.19
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	3.458	acre-feet	1.50
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	4.288	acre-feet	1.75
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	6.502	acre-feet	2.00
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	8.568	acre-feet	2.25
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	11.344	acre-feet	2.52
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.49 in.) =	21.093	acre-feet	3.49
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	2.269	acre-feet	
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	3.033	acre-feet	
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	3.805	acre-feet	
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	4.830	acre-feet	
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	5.621	acre-feet	
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	6.950	acre-feet	

#### Define Zones and Basin Geometry

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	1.602	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	2.019	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	3.329	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	6.950	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel $(H_{TC}) =$	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S <sub>TC</sub> ) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S <sub>main</sub> ) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R <sub>L/W</sub> ) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width $(W_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor $(L_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume of Basin Floor (V <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Width of Main Basin ( $W_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin $(A_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume of Main Basin ( $V_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>

Calculated Total Basin Volume (V<sub>total</sub>) = user

acre-feet

		Depth Increment =		ft							
		Stage - Storage	Stage	Optional Override	Length	Width	Area	Optional Override	Area	Volume	Volume
		Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft <sup>2</sup> )	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	(acre)	(ft 3)	(ac-ft)
		Top of Micropool		0.00				250	0.006		
		6572		0.50				7,926	0.182	2,044	0.047
		6576		4.50				135,531	3.111	288,957	6.634
		6578		6.50				209,825	4.817	634,313	14.562
		6579		7.50				220,020	5.051	849,236	19.496
		6580		8.50				225,118	5.168	1,071,805	24.605
User	Overrides										
	acre-feet										
	acre-feet										
)	inches										
)	inches										
;	inches										
)	inches										
;	inches										
2	inches										
,	IIICNES										
		-									
		-									
										L	

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020)



Project:	Windermere Nort	ı - INTERIM	nrd-delenilon, v	ersion 4.03 (May 1	2020)				
Basin ID:									
ZONE 3 ZONE 2 ZONE 1	$\frown$			Estimated	Estimated				
				Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type	1		
T ENNAT MacA			Zone 1 (WQCV)	2.33	1.602	Orifice Plate			
ZONE 1 AND 2	ORIFICE		Zone 2 (EURV)	3.39	2.019	Orifice Plate			
PERMANENT ORIFICES POOL Example Zone	Configuration (Re	tention Pond)	Zone 3 (100-year)	4.61	3.329	Weir&Pipe (Circular)			
		O/in a Filtuntian D		Total (all zones)	6.950			taua fau lla dauduaia	
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typicali	<u>y used to drain wy</u>	CV in a Filtration Bi	<u>MP)</u> the filtration media	surface)	Under	Irain Orifice Area -	Calculated Parame	ters for Underdrain	
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =		inches		surface)	Underdrair	Orifice Centroid =		feet	
		1						1	
User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orific	es or Elliptical Slot	Weir (typically used	to drain WQCV and	d/or EURV in a sedi	imentation BMP)		Calculated Parame	ters for Plate	
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basir	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft)	WQ Orif	ice Area per Row =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>	
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	4.26	ft (relative to basir	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft)	Elli	ptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	17.00	inches			Ellipt	ical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet	
Office Plate: Office Area per Row =	N/A	linches			E	ilipucai siot Area =	N/A	]tt-	
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice	e Row (numbered f	rom lowest to high	est)						
	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)	
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.42	2.84						
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	9.85	8.00	4.00						
									1
Stage of Ovifice Controld (#)	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)	
Stage of Office Centroid (it)									
office Area (sq. incres)									
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectange	ular <u>)</u>		_				Calculated Parame	ters for Vertical Ori	fice
	Not Selected	Not Selected					Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Vei	tical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Vertica	Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet
vertical Onlice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	linches						
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat o	r Sloped Grate and	Outlet Pipe OR Rec	tangular/Trapezoid	al Weir (and No Ou	itlet Pipe)		Calculated Parame	ters for Overflow W	eir
	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected					Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	4.30	N/A	ft (relative to basin b	oottom at Stage = 0 f	t) Height of Grate	e Upper Edge, $H_t =$	4.30	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	6.75	N/A	feet	_	Overflow W	/eir Slope Length =	6.75	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V	Gr	ate Open Area / 10	00-yr Orifice Area =	4.51	N/A	o <sup>2</sup>
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	70%	N/A N/A	reet % grate open are:	a/total area C	Vernow Grate Open	Area w/o Debris =	31.89	N/A N/A	π <sup>-</sup> # <sup>2</sup>
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%				15.55	N/A	ii.
		,	1						
User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate	(Circular Orifice, R	estrictor Plate, or R	ectangular Orifice)		<u>Ca</u>	lculated Parameters	s for Outlet Pipe w/	Flow Restriction Pla	<u>ate</u>
	Zone 3 Circular	Not Selected					Zone 3 Circular	Not Selected	_
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	0.00	N/A	ft (distance below ba	asin bottom at Stage	= 0 ft) O	utlet Orifice Area =	7.07	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Circular Orifice Diameter =	36.00	N/A	linches	Half Cont	Outlei Incla of Doctric	t Orifice Centroid =	1.50	N/A	feet
				naii-Cent	rai Angle of Restric	tor Plate on Pipe =	N/A	IN/A	raularis
User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or	Trapezoidal)						Calculated Parame	ters for Spillway	
Spillway Invert Stage=	6.00	ft (relative to basir	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft)	Spillway D	esign Flow Depth=	0.92	feet	
Spillway Crest Length =	70.00	feet			Stage at 7	Fop of Freeboard =	7.92	feet	
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V			Basin Area at	Fop of Freeboard =	5.10	acres	
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet			Basin Volume at	Fop of Freeboard =	21.63	acre-ft	
Routed Hydrograph Results	The user can over	ride the default CUI	HP hydrographs and	d runoff volumes by	v entering new valu	ies in the Inflow Hy	drographs table (Co	olumns W through A	1 <i>F).</i>
Design Storm Return Period =	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A 1.602	N/A 3 621	1.19	1.50	1./5	2.00	2.25	2.52	21.093
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	2.490	3.458	4.288	6.502	8.568	11.344	21.093
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	0.8	1.5	2.2	19.7	39.5	65.5	155.5
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cts) = Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cts/acre) =	N/Α N/Δ	N/Α N/Δ	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.15	0.30	0.49	1 17
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	22.7	31.8	39.4	67.0	90.6	122.0	226.5
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.1	2.0	15.5	34.0	77.5
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = Structure Controlling Flow =	N/A Plate	N/A Plate	N/A Plate	0.7 Plate	0.5 Plate	U.1 Overflow Weir 1	0.4 Overflow Weir 1	0.5 Overflow Weir 1	0.5 Spillwav
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.4	1.0	2.2
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A 70	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	40	66	54	65	70	93	94	93	90
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	2.33	3.39	2.74	3.21	3.56	4.35	4.69	4.98	6.08
	4 50	2 2 2 2	1 0 0	2 16	1 2 4 2	1 3 00	3 26	3 51	4 45



Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate progra

1	COLIDCE	СШНР		СЦНР						CUUD
	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	СОНР	CUHP	СОНР	CUHP	СОНР	CUHP	CUHP
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5.00 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.00 1111	0.02.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.05.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.01	0.54
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.91	1.47	1.84	1.25	1.65	1.55	3.11
	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	4.01	5.49	6.58	4.24	5.09	5.31	8.55
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	11.35	16.56	20.89	10.88	13.63	15.09	26.20
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	19.01	27.62	34.57	31.94	43.54	52.69	101.40
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	22.34	31.76	39.35	54.51	74.24	95.73	180.28
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	22.67	31.80	39.30	65.22	88 41	117.03	216 70
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	21.60	20.22	27.20	66.05	00.60	122.02	226.46
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	21.09	30.22	37.20	64.59	90.00	117.02	220.40
	0.50.00	0.00	0.00	20.22	28.16	34.50	64.58	80.70	117.96	220.31
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	18.92	26.36	32.21	60.23	80.57	110.01	207.40
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	17.79	24.71	30.16	55.72	74.38	102.45	194.54
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	16.78	23.16	28.33	51.68	68.76	95.67	182.97
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	15.87	22.02	27.12	47.60	63.18	87.96	169.18
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	14.98	20.96	26.16	44.06	58.40	80.56	155.02
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	14.07	19.77	24.92	40.80	53.91	73.59	140.87
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	13.17	18.50	23.28	37.54	49.41	66.69	126.60
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	12.26	17.21	21.46	34 22	44.85	60.06	113 04
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	11 20	15.07	10.69	30.05	40.27	53 70	100.09
	1.35.00	0.00	0.00	10.61	14.74	19.00	30.93	70.37	33.70	07.07
	1.45.00	0.00	0.00	10.01	14.71	18.06	27.79	30.03	47.60	07.8/
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	10.07	13.73	17.01	24.91	32.14	42.14	//.98
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	9.70	12.98	16.23	22.90	29.47	38.25	70.70
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	9.23	12.31	15.48	21.39	27.41	35.28	64.65
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	8.66	11.64	14.65	20.07	25.63	32.67	59.23
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	7.94	10.73	13.48	18.47	23.52	29.86	53.65
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	7.13	9.65	12.09	16.67	21.21	26.86	47.92
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	6.34	8.58	10.73	14.89	18.92	23.95	42.40
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	5 59	7 56	9.43	13.17	16.70	21.16	37.17
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	4 89	6.60	8 21	11 54	14.61	18 52	32.19
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	4.24	E 70	7.07	0.00	12.50	16.52	27.24
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	4.24	5.70	7.07	9.99	12.59	13.97	27.34
	2.33.00	0.00	0.00	3.61	4.85	6.00	8.48	10.64	13.46	22.58
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	3.01	4.03	4.98	7.02	8.74	11.00	17.92
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	2.45	3.26	4.02	5.63	6.91	8.61	13.41
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.94	2.56	3.16	4.30	5.17	6.32	9.23
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.54	2.03	2.54	3.10	3.61	4.26	6.44
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.27	1.68	2.12	2.30	2.66	3.01	4.71
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	1.07	1.42	1.80	1.80	2.07	2.28	3.50
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.91	1.20	1.52	1.46	1.67	1.76	2.62
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.78	1.02	1.29	1.19	1.35	1.38	1.97
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.86	1.09	0.99	1.12	1.09	1.47
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.72	0.91	0.81	0.91	0.85	1.10
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.60	0.75	0.66	0.74	0.67	0.86
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.40	0.61	0.54	0.60	0.55	0.60
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.79	0.01	0.34	0.00	0.55	0.09
	3.45.00	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.39	0.48	0.44	0.48	0.44	0.55
	3.43:00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.31	0.38	0.35	0.38	0.36	0.43
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.24	0.30	0.27	0.29	0.28	0.33
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.24
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.16
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02
	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
[	5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5.50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020) Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stago - Storago	Stage	Area	Area	Volume	Volume	Total	]
Description	[6]	10.21	[amon]	10.31	[ac 6]	Outflow	
	[II]	[IC]	[acres]	[IC]	[ac-it]	[CIS]	
							For best results, include the
							stages of all grade slope
-							changes (e.g. ISV and Floor)
-							from the S-A-V table on
							Sheet Basin'.
-							Also include the inverts of all
							outlets (e.g. vertical orifice.
							overflow grate, and spillway,
							where applicable).
							-
							4
							4
							4
							-
							_
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							4
							-
							4
-							-
							-
							4
							-
							_
							_
							1
							]
-							
							1
							1
							1
							1
							-
							4
-							-
							4
							-
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							1
				1			1
							]
							4
							-
							4
							4
							1
							1
							]
							4
							4
							4
						L	1

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020)

Project:	Windermere North
Basin ID:	
	2 ONE 1 1 AND 2 Configuration (Retention Dond)

Watershed Information

Selected BMP Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	133.15	acres
Watershed Length =	4,000	ft
Watershed Length to Centroid =	2,000	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.020	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	40.70%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	

# After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

ale embedded colorado orban nyare	graphinoccut	ii C.	Optional User	Over
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	2.016	acre-feet		acre-
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	5.899	acre-feet		acre-
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	4.497	acre-feet	1.19	inche
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	6.048	acre-feet	1.50	inche
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	7.280	acre-feet	1.75	inche
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	9.553	acre-feet	2.00	inche
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	11.773	acre-feet	2.25	inche
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	14.673	acre-feet	2.52	inche
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.49 in.) =	24.739	acre-feet	3.49	inche
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	3.759	acre-feet		
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	4.975	acre-feet		
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	6.130	acre-feet		
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	7.597	acre-feet		
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	8.584	acre-feet		
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	9.941	acre-feet		

#### Define Zones and Basin Geometry

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	2.016	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	3.883	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	4.043	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	9.941	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel $(H_{TC}) =$	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S <sub>TC</sub> ) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S <sub>main</sub> ) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R <sub>L/W</sub> ) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width $(W_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor $(L_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume of Basin Floor (V <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Width of Main Basin ( $W_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin $(A_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume of Main Basin ( $V_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>

Calculated Total Basin Volume (V<sub>total</sub>) = user

ft ft <sup>3</sup> acre-feet

		Depth Increment =		] <sub>0</sub>							
				Optional				Optional			
		Stage - Storage	Stage (ft)	Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (# <sup>2</sup> )	Override Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Area (acre)	(ft 3)	Volume (ac-ft)
		Ton of Micropool		0.00				250	0.006	(10)	(uc re)
		6573	-	0.50	6		-	7 026	0.192	2 044	0.047
		6572		0.50				7,920	0.162	2,044	0.04/
		6576		4.50				135,531	3.111	288,957	6.634
		6578		6.50				209,825	4.817	634,313	14.562
		6579		7.50				220,020	5.051	849,236	19.496
		6580		8.50				225,118	5.168	1,0/1,805	24.605
Use	r Overrides										
	acre-feet										
	acre-feet										
)	inches										
)	inches										
5	inches										
)	inches										
5	inches										
2	inches										
,	inches										
			-		-	-					
							-				
									-		

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020)



Project:	Windermere North	h.	INFD-Delention, V	ersion 4.03 (May	2020)				
Basin ID:									
ZONE 3 ZONE 2 ZONE 1				Estimated	Estimated				
100-YR				Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type			
VOLUME EURV WOCV			Zone 1 (WQCV)	2.59	2.016	Orifice Plate			
I martine	100-YEAR ORIFICE		Zone 2 (EURV)	4.26	3.883	Orifice Plate			
PERMANENT ORIFICES			Zone 3 (100-year)	5.45	4.043	Weir&Pipe (Circular)			
Example Zone	Configuration (Re	tention Pond)		Total (all zones)	9.941		-		
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typicall	<u>y used to drain WQ</u>	CV in a Filtration B	<u>MP)</u>			_	Calculated Parame	ters for Underdrain	
Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =		ft (distance below	the filtration media	surface)	Underd	drain Orifice Area =		ft²	
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =		inches			Underdrair	Orifice Centroid =		feet	
· · · · · ·									
User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orific	es or Elliptical Slot	Weir (typically used	to drain WQCV and	d/or EURV in a sed	limentation BMP)		Calculated Parame	ters for Plate	
Invert of Lowest Orlfice =	0.00	ft (relative to basir	n bottom at Stage =	= 0 π) - 0 <del>π</del> )	WQ Orifi	ice Area per Row =	7.639E-02	ft <sup>e</sup>	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing -	4.20	inchos	i Dolloin al Slage =	= 0 IL)	Ellipt		N/A	foot	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	11.00	sa inches (use rec	tangular openings)		Empt	Ilintical Slot Area =	N/A	f <sup>2</sup>	
	11.00	lod: menes (use ree	stangalar openings)		-			lic	
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orific	e Row (numbered f	rom lowest to high	est)						
	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)	
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.42	2.84						
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	11.00	11.00	11.00						
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)	
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)									
Orifice Area (sq. inches)									
									~
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectang	ular)	Net Celested	1				Calculated Parame	ters for Vertical Ori	<u>rice</u>
Invert of Vertical Orifica -	Not Selected	Not Selected	ft (volativo to basin	hottom at Ctago	- 0 <del>ft</del> ) \/ev	tical Orifica Area -	Not Selected	Not Selected	a2
Depth at top of Zopo using Vertical Orifice =	Invert of vertical office $=$ N/A N/A it (relative to basin bottom at stage = 0 ft) vertical office Area =					N/A	N/A	foot	
Vertical Orifice Diameter -	N/A	N/A	inches	i bolloni al Slage	- 0 it) vertica		IN/A	IN/A	lieer
Vertical Office Diameter -	IN/A	IN/A	Jinches						
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat o	r Sloped Grate and	Outlet Pipe OR Rec	tangular/Trapezoid	al Weir (and No O	utlet Pipe)		Calculated Parame	ters for Overflow W	/eir
	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected					Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	4.30	N/A	ft (relative to basin b	oottom at Stage = 0	ft) Height of Grate	e Upper Edge, H <sub>t</sub> =	4.30	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	6.75	N/A	feet		Overflow W	/eir Slope Length =	6.75	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V	G	rate Open Area / 10	0-yr Orifice Area =	4.51	N/A	
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	6.75	N/A	feet	0	verflow Grate Open	Area w/o Debris =	31.89	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Overflow Grate Open Area % =	70%	N/A	%, grate open are	a/total area	Overflow Grate Ope	n Area w/ Debris =	15.95	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%						
	(0) 1 0 10 0							-	
User Input: Outlet Pipe W/ Flow Restriction Plate	2 (Circular Orifice, R	estrictor Plate, or R	<u>(ectangular Orifice)</u>		<u>Ca</u>	alculated Parameter	s for Outlet Pipe W/	Flow Restriction Pl	ate 1
Dopth to Invort of Outlot Ring -		NOL SEIECLEU	ft (distance holow h	sin bottom at Ctago	- 0 <del>(t)</del>	utlat Orifica Araz -		NOL SEIECLEU	a <sup>2</sup>
Circular Orifice Diameter -	36.00	N/A N/A	inches	ISIT DOLLOTT AL SLAGE	= 0 IL) Outlet	t Orifice Centroid -	1.50	N/A N/A	feet
	50.00	N/A	linenes	Half-Cen	tral Angle of Restric	tor Plate on Pine =	N/A	N/A	radians
						tor ridte on ripe			l'adiano
User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or	Trapezoidal)						Calculated Parame	ters for Spillway	
Spillway Invert Stage=	6.00	ft (relative to basir	n bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft)	Spillway D	esign Flow Depth=	0.92	feet	
Spillway Crest Length =	70.00	feet			Stage at 1	Fop of Freeboard =	7.92	feet	
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V			Basin Area at 1	Fop of Freeboard =	5.10	acres	
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet			Basin Volume at T	Fop of Freeboard =	21.63	acre-ft	
Pouted Hydrograph Results	The user can over	ride the default (1)	HP hydrographs an	d runoff volumes h	v entering new valu	ues in the Inflow Hy	drographs table (Co	olumns W/ through	AF)
Design Storm Return Period =	WOCV	FURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.49
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	2.016	5.899	4.497	6.048	7.280	9.553	11.773	14.673	24.739
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	4.497	6.048	7.280	9.553	11.773	14.673	24.739
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (Cfs) =	N/A	N/A	0.0	1.5	2.2	19.7	39.3	05.5	155.5
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.15	0.30	0.49	1.17
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	49.7	67.5	81.3	119.9	153.1	194.1	328.3
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	1.0 N/A	1.8 N/A	1.6 N/A	1.8	/.1	24.1	40.8	66.0	142.3
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Spillwav
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.7	1.2	2.0	2.3
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	66 71	59 63	68	/1	69	6/	65 74	58
Maximum Pondina Denth (ft) =	2.59	4.26	3.63	4.19	4.50	4.82	5.06	5.36	6.46
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	1.71	2.94	2.47	2.88	3.10	3.38	3.59	3.84	4.77
					1	3 ( 30	0.540	0.005	11.000



Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate progra

1	00110.05									01.11.10
	SOURCE	CUHP	СОНР	СОНР	СОНР	СОНР	CUHP	СОНР	СОНР	CUHP
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
E 00	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.00 min	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.04	1.79
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	3.08	5.00	6.25	4.23	5.56	5.23	10.12
	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	13.14	17.90	21 44	13.80	16 49	17.30	26.88
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	20.66	42.62	52.55	20.00	26.34	20.62	62.05
	0.20.00	0.00	0.00	30.00	42.03	52.55	30.00	30.34	39.03	03.05
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	45.29	62.58	76.42	/1.90	90.87	105.93	182.48
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	49.73	67.51	81.32	107.92	137.85	170.57	291.56
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	47.91	63.71	75.93	119.95	153.06	194.08	328.34
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	44.07	58.40	69.54	114.40	145.18	187.45	316.92
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	40.20	53.69	63.58	105.69	133.45	173.09	295.78
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	36.96	49.53	58.54	95.83	120.06	156.63	269.02
	1.00.00	0.00	0.00	24.26	45.00	E4 26	96 59	107.96	142.19	245.44
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	34.30	43.50	54.30	30.38	107.80	172.10	243.44
	1.10.00	0.00	0.00	31.94	42.41	50.36	/8.54	97.42	130.44	226.56
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	29.07	39.07	46.52	/0./5	87.32	116.74	202.55
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	26.20	35.81	43.15	62.99	77.18	101.65	174.91
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	23.94	33.02	40.41	55.46	67.36	86.68	147.99
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	22.32	30.87	37.66	49.65	60.11	75.37	128.19
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	20.99	29.03	34.83	44.84	54.08	66.61	112.29
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	19.78	27.32	32.10	40.54	48 71	59.23	98.82
	1.40.00	0.00	0.00	19.70	27.32	20.74	26 55	42 71	53.25	96.64
	1.45.00	0.00	0.00	10.01	25.24	29.74	30.55	43.71	52.56	00.04
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	17.44	22.91	27.40	32.88	39.08	46.30	/5.30
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	16.27	20.63	25.12	29.34	34.59	40.27	64.48
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	14.58	18.46	22.72	25.91	30.27	34.55	54.33
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	12.67	16.36	20.15	22.71	26.24	29.27	45.03
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	10.49	13.70	16.81	18.57	21.17	23.09	34.65
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	8 50	11 14	13.76	14 27	16.13	17.24	25.81
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	11.20	11.12	10.15	12.11	10.50
	2.15.00	0.00	0.00	6.92	9.08	11.30	11.13	12.53	13.11	19.56
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	5.68	7.45	9.30	8.91	10.00	10.25	15.09
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	4.66	6.10	7.63	7.17	8.02	8.04	11.62
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	3.81	4.99	6.23	5.77	6.44	6.31	8.96
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	3.09	4.06	5.04	4.63	5.16	4.92	6.83
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	2.48	3.26	4.02	3.67	4.08	3.80	5.17
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	2.00	2 59	3.18	2.89	3 20	2.95	3 99
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.61	2.55	2 51	2.05	3.20	2.55	2.12
	2.50.00	0.00	0.00	1.01	2.04	2.51	2.27	2.51	2.33	3.13
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.29	1.62	1.99	1.81	2.00	1.8/	2.52
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.01	1.27	1.56	1.43	1.58	1.48	1.98
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.96	1.20	1.10	1.21	1.14	1.51
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.71	0.89	0.82	0.90	0.84	1.10
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.50	0.62	0.58	0.63	0.59	0.76
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.33	0.40	0.38	0.41	0.38	0.48
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.20	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.22	0.26
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.24	0.22	0.20
	3.35.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.11
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
[	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l	5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020) Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stage - Storage	Stage	Area	Area	Volume	Volume	Total Outflow	
Description	[ft]	[ft <sup>2</sup> ]	[acres]	[ft <sup>3</sup> ]	[ac-ft]	[cfs]	
							For best results, include the
							changes of all grade slope
							from the S-A-V table on
							Sheet 'Basin'.
							Also include the inverts of all
							outlets (e.g. vertical orifice,
							where applicable).
							-
							-
							1
							4
							1
							-
							1
							1
							-
							1
							]

		DE	TENTIC	on bas	IN STAGE-S	TORA	GE TAB	LE BU	ILDER
				MH	FD-Detention, Versio	on 4.03 (Ma	ay 2020)		
Project:	Windermere	e South - Il	NTERIM						
ZONE 3									
	2 ONE 1								
		100-YE ORIFIC	EAR		Depth Increment =		ft		
PERMANENT ORIFIC	Configurati	on (Botont	ion Dond)			Channel	Optional	Louist	and date
Example Zone	Configuration	on (Retent	ion Pona)		Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)
Watershed Information		_			Top of Micropool		0.00		
Selected BMP Type =	EDB				6574		3.00		
Watershed Area =	11.00	acres			6577		6.00		
Watershed Length =	780	ft			6580		9.00		
Watershed Length to Centrold =	400	п. Ө/Ө			6580.5		9.50		
Watershed Imperviousness =	23.00%	percent							
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent							
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent							
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent							
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours							
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input								
After providing required inputs above inc depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate rung	luding 1-hour	rainfall Is using							
the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro	graph Procedu	ure.	Optional Use	r Overrides					
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.117	acre-feet		acre-feet					
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.235	acre-feet		acre-feet					
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.150	acre-feet	1.19	inches					
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.212	acre-feet	1.50	inches					
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = $1.75$ in.) =	0.269	acre-feet	2.00	inches					
50-vr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	0.598	acre-feet	2.25	inches					
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	0.816	acre-feet	2.52	inches					
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.49 in.) =	1.585	acre-feet	3.49	inches					
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.146	acre-feet							
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.196	acre-feet							
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.248	acre-feet							
Approximate 20-yr Detention Volume =	0.378	acre-feet							
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.486	acre-feet							
		-							
Define Zones and Basin Geometry		-							
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.117	acre-feet							
Zone 2 Volume (EUKV - Zone 1) = Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.118	acre-feet							
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.486	acre-feet							
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft 3							
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft							
Total Available Detention Depth $(H_{total}) =$	user	ft							
Depth of Trickle Channel $(H_{TC}) =$	user	ft							
Slope of Trickle Channel (S <sub>TC</sub> ) =	user	H:V							
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio $(R_{LAV}) =$	user	1							
		_							
Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$	user	ft <sup>2</sup>							
Surcharge Volume Length ( $L_{ISV}$ ) =	user	ft							
Surcharge Volume Width ( $W_{ISV}$ ) =	user	ft							
Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft							
Lengun of Basin Floor ( $L_{FLOOR}$ ) = Width of Basin Floor ( $W_{record}$ ) =	User	h.							
Area of Basin Floor ( $A_{FLOOR}$ ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>							
Volume of Basin Floor (V <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>							
Depth of Main Basin ( $H_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft							
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft							
Width of Main Basin (W <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	nt a2							
Area of Main Basin (A <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	nt - e 3							
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V) =	user	acre-feet							
( total)									

			-			
	 	 	 	-		
		 		<b> </b>		
		 	 	-		
-		 				
		 		<u> </u>		
		 				-
		 			-	-
		 	 	L		
		 		L	<u> </u>	
		 		L		
		 		-		
		 		<u> </u>		

Optional Override Area (ft<sup>2</sup>)

40 6,420

10,026

14,401 18,235 Area (acre) 0.001

0.147

0.230

0.331

Volume (ft<sup>3</sup>)

9,690

34,359

70,999 79,158 Volume (ac-ft)

0.222

0.789

1.630 1.817

Area (ft<sup>2</sup>)

---

---

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020)



Project:	Windermere Sout	/// h - INTERIM	hFD-Delention, V	ersion 4.03 (May .	2020)				
Basin ID:									
ZONE 3 ZONE 2 ZONE 1	$\frown$			Estimated	Estimated				
100-YR				Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type			
VOLUMEL EURV Wacv			Zone 1 (WQCV)	2.17	0.117	Orifice Plate			
	100-YEAR ORIFICE		Zone 2 (EURV)	3.09	0.118	Orifice Plate			
PERMANENT ORIFICES			Zone 3 (100-year)	4.57	0.251	Weir&Pipe (Circular)			
Example Zone	Configuration (Re	tention Pond)		Total (all zones)	0.486				
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typical	<u>y used to drain WC</u>	CV in a Filtration Bl	<u>MP)</u>			_	Calculated Parame	ters for Underdrain	1
Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =		ft (distance below	the filtration media	surface)	Under	drain Orifice Area =		ft <sup>2</sup>	
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =		inches			Underdrair	n Orifice Centroid =		feet	
User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orific	es or Elliptical Slot	Weir (typically used	to drain WQCV and	d/or EURV in a sed	imentation BMP)		Calculated Parame	ters for Plate	
Invert of Lowest Orlifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basir	bottom at Stage =	= 0 π) - 0 <del>π</del> )	WQ Urif	ice Area per Row =	N/A	ft <sup>-</sup>	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing -	12.40	inches	i Dolloin al Slage -	- 0 10)	Ellipt		N/A	feet	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	12. <del>1</del> 0 Ν/Δ	inches			F	Ilintical Slot Area =	N/A	H <sup>2</sup>	
	14/7	Inches			-		- Ny K	In	
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice	e Row (numbered f	rom lowest to high	est)						
	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)	1
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.03	2.06						1
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	0.70	0.70	0.70						
									_
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)	
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)									
Orifice Area (sq. inches)									
	<del></del>								
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectange	<u>ilar)</u>	NICLI	1				Calculated Parame	ters for Vertical Ori	<u>ifice</u>
In set of Vestion Origina	Not Selected	Not Selected			0.61)	tiaal Ouifiae Ause	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orlifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Vertica	l Orifice Controid =	N/A	N/A	-IT- foot
Vertical Orifice Diameter -	N/A	N/A	inchos	i Dolloin al Slaye -	- 0 IL) Veluca		IN/A	IN/A	Jieer
vertical Office Diameter -	N/A	IN/A	linches						
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat o	r Sloped Grate and	Outlet Pipe OR Rec	tangular/Trapezoid	al Weir (and No Ou	itlet Pipe)		Calculated Parame	ters for Overflow V	Veir
+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	1	••••			Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	1
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	6.75	N/A	ft (relative to basin b	oottom at Stage = 0 f	t) Height of Grat	e Upper Edge, H <sub>t</sub> =	6.75	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	3.00	N/A	feet		Overflow W	/eir Slope Length =	3.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V	Gr	ate Open Area / 10	00-yr Orifice Area =	2.01	N/A	]
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	3.00	N/A	feet	Ov	verflow Grate Open	Area w/o Debris =	6.30	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Overflow Grate Open Area % =	70%	N/A	%, grate open area	a/total area C	Overflow Grate Ope	n Area w/ Debris =	3.15	N/A	]ft <sup>2</sup>
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%						
User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate	(Circular Orifice, R	estrictor Plate, or R	ectangular Orifice)		<u>Ca</u>	alculated Parameters	s for Outlet Pipe w/	Flow Restriction Pl	late T
	Zone 3 Circular	Not Selected					Zone 3 Circular	Not Selected	-
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.83	N/A	IT (distance below ba	asin bottom at Stage	= 0 ft) Outloi	t Orifice Area =	3.14	N/A	ft <sup>-</sup>
Circular Onnice Diameter =	24.00	IN/A	linches	Half Cont	Outle wal Angle of Dostria	t Orifice Centroid =	1.00	N/A	Treet
				naii-Cent	rai Angle of Restric	tor Plate on Pipe =	IN/A	IN/A	
User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or	Trapezoidal)						Calculated Parame	ters for Spillway	
Spillway Invert Stage=	7.65	ft (relative to basir	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft)	Spillway D	esian Flow Depth=	0.45	lfeet	
Spillway Crest Length =	15.00	feet		,	Stage at	Top of Freeboard =	9.10	feet	
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V			Basin Area at	Top of Freeboard =	0.35	acres	
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet			Basin Volume at 7	Top of Freeboard =	1.66	acre-ft	
		-							
Devite d Hudre events Devides	<i>T</i> /								45)
Rouled Hydrograph Results	WOCY		TP Hydrographs and	5 Voor	10 Vear	25 Voor	50 Vear	100 Vear	47).
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.49
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.117	0.235	0.150	0.212	0.269	0.438	0.598	0.816	1.585
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	0.150	0.212	0.269	0.438	0.598	0.816	1.585
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	0.1	0.3	0.3	3.1	5.9	9.6	21.6
Predevelopment   Init Peak Flow a (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.28	0.54	0.87	1.97
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	2.5	3.6	4.5	7.8	10.9	15.0	27.9
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	11.2
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A Diata	N/A Plato	N/A Diato	0.4	0.3 Plata	0.0 Plata	0.0 Plato	0.0 Plate	0.5
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	52	43	50	56	70	82	96	102
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	<b>40</b>	56	46	54	60	77	90	106	
riaximum Ponding Depth (ft) = Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) -	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.15	4.19 0.18	0.20	0.01	0.27
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.118	0.236	0.12	0.197	0.251	0.417	0.574	0.789	1.119



Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

	SOURCE									
	SOURCE									
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5.00 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.10
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.29	0.36	0.25	0.31	0.30	0.51
	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.84	0.99	0.63	0.73	0.78	1.27
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	1.88	2.91	3.80	1.71	2.23	2.54	4.60
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	2.47	3.61	4.51	5.91	8.58	10.83	21.75
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	2.22	3.20	4.01	7.82	10.90	14.96	27.90
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	1.94	2.74	3.43	7.45	10.44	14.37	26.80
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	1.66	2 36	2 95	6 45	8 97	12 77	24 29
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.44	2.05	2.53	5.68	7.82	11.02	21.57
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.24	1.75	2.15	4.81	6.61	9.43	18.63
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.06	1.48	1.82	4 05	5 53	8.03	16.07
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.94	1 30	1.64	3 38	4 58	6.78	13.93
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.84	1.19	1.53	2.83	3.84	5.57	11.53
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.74	1.06	1.55	2.63	3 31	4 67	9.63
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.93	1.26	2.06	2 79	3.85	7.85
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.95	1.20	1.72	2.75	3.12	6.27
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.60	0.97	1.72	1.97	2.42	0.27
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.07	1.06	1.02	1 70	2.50
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.37	0.70	0.79	0.07	1.75	3.30
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.47	0.55	0.70	0.37	0.95	1.62
	1.50.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.42	0.54	0.59	0.72	0.65	1.05
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.39	0.31	0.30	0.53	0.07	1.24
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.36	0.48	0.45	0.53	0.56	0.99
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.34	0.44	0.42	0.48	0.50	0.83
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.2/	0.35	0.33	0.38	0.37	0.60
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.21	0.2/	0.25	0.29	0.27	0.42
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.16	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.20	0.29
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.21
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.16
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.12
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.09
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4.20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020) Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stago - Storago	Stage	Area	Area	Volume	Volume	Total	]
Description	[6]	10.21	[amon]	10.31	[ac 6]	Outflow	
	[II]	[IC]	[acres]	[IC]	[ac-it]	[CIS]	
							For best results, include the
							stages of all grade slope
-							changes (e.g. ISV and Floor)
-							from the S-A-V table on
							Sheet Basin'.
-							Also include the inverts of all
							outlets (e.g. vertical orifice.
							overflow grate, and spillway,
							where applicable).
							-
							4
							4
							4
							-
							_
-							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							4
							-
							4
-							-
							-
							4
							-
							_
							_
							1
							]
-							
							1
							1
							1
							1
							-
							4
-							-
							4
							-
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							1
				1			1
							]
							4
							-
							4
							4
							1
							1
							]
							4
							4
							4
						L	1

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020)

	Project: Windermere South - FINAL
	Basin ID:
	20NE 3 20NE 2 2000 2 2000 2 100 YEAR
PERMANENT	ZONE 1 AND 2 ORIFICE ORIFICES
POOL EX	ample Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Watershed	Information
-----------	-------------

Selected BMP Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	11.00	acres
Watershed Length =	780	ft
Watershed Length to Centroid =	400	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.060	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	62.50%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	

# After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro	graph Procedu	ire.	Optional Use	r Overri
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.224	acre-feet		acre-fe
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.844	acre-feet		acre-fe
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.586	acre-feet	1.19	inches
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.771	acre-feet	1.50	inches
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.918	acre-feet	1.75	inches
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	1.115	acre-feet	2.00	inches
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	1.308	acre-feet	2.25	inches
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	1.545	acre-feet	2.52	inches
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.49 in.) =	2.367	acre-feet	3.49	inches
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.548	acre-feet		
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.717	acre-feet		
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.866	acre-feet		
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.045	acre-feet		
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.153	acre-feet		
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.269	acre-feet		

#### Define Zones and Basin Geometry

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.224	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.619	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.425	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.269	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel $(H_{TC}) =$	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S <sub>TC</sub> ) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S <sub>main</sub> ) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R <sub>L/W</sub> ) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width $(W_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor $(L_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor ( $W_{FLOOR}$ ) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume of Basin Floor (V <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Width of Main Basin ( $W_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin $(A_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume of Main Basin ( $V_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>
Calculated Total Basin Volume ( $V_{total}$ ) =	user	acre-feet

<u> </u>	Denth Increment -		h							
	Deptil Increment =		Optional				Optional			
	Stage - Storage	Stage	Override	Length	Width	Area	Override	Area	Volume	Volume
	Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft²)	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	(acre)	(ft ')	(ac-ft)
	Top of Micropool		0.00				40	0.001		
	6574		3.00				6,420	0.147	9,690	0.222
	6577		6.00				10,026	0.230	34,359	0.789
	6580		9.00				14 401	0.331	70 000	1.630
	6580.5		9.50				18 235	0.410	70,555	1.817
	0380.5		9.00				10,233	0.415	75,130	1.01/
rides										
feet										
feet										
s										
es										
s										
5										
~										
									-	
25									-	
es										
									1	
									1	
									-	-
		6		6	6	6			-	
									+	
									+	
									-	
									-	
									1	
								-		
									-	-
									-	
					-				1	
									-	
									-	
									1	

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020)



Project:	Windermere Sout	/// ۱ - FINAL	IHFD-Detention, V	ersion 4.03 (May .	2020)						
Basin ID:											
ZONE 3 ZONE 2				Estimated	Estimated						
100-YR				Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type					
			Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.02	0.224	Orifice Plate	]				
	100-YEAR		Zone 2 (EURV)	6.24	0.619	Orifice Plate					
PERMANENT ORIFICES	ORIFICE		Zone 3 (100-year)	7.84	0.425	Weir&Pipe (Circular)	1				
POOL Example Zone	Configuration (Re	tention Pond)		Total (all zones)	1.269	,	1				
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typicall	y used to drain WQ	CV in a Filtration Bl	MP)			1	Calculated Parame	ters for Underdrain			
Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =	N/A	ft (distance below	the filtration media	surface)	Underc	Irain Orifice Area =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>			
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	N/A	inches			Underdrair	Orifice Centroid =	= N/A feet				
User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orific	es or Elliptical Slot	Weir (typically used	to drain WQCV and	d/or EURV in a sed	imentation BMP)		Calculated Parame	ters for Plate			
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basir	bottom at Stage =	0 ft)	WQ Orifi	ce Area per Row =	9.236E-03	ft <sup>2</sup>			
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	6.24	ft (relative to basir	n bottom at Stage =	• 0 ft)	Elli	ptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet			
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	26.50	inches			Ellipt	ical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet			
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	1.33	sq. inches (diameti	er = 1-5/16 inches)		E	lliptical Slot Area =	N/A	]ft <sup>e</sup>			
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orific	Pow (numbered f	rom lowest to high	oct)								
oser input. Stage and Total Area of Each Ornito	Pow 1 (required)	Row 2 (ontional)	Row 3 (optional)	Pow 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Pow 8 (optional)	1		
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	2 08	4 16		Row 5 (optional)		Kow / (optional)				
Orifice Area (sg. inches)	1.33	1.33	1.33								
	100	100	1.55						1		
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)			
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)		(0) 0000		(							
Orifice Area (sq. inches)											
			•		*	*					
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectange	ular)		1				Calculated Parame	ters for Vertical Ori	fice		
	Not Selected	Not Selected		Not Selected	Not Selected						
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Ver	N/A	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>			
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	Ift (relative to basin	bottom at Stage =	= 0 ft) Vertica	it) vertical Orifice Centrold = <u>N/A</u> feet					
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	linches								
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Elat o	r Sloped Grate and	Outlet Pipe OP Per	tangular/Tranozoid	al Weir (and No Ou	itlet Pine)		Calculated Parame	ters for Overflow M	loir		
Oser Input. Overnow weil (Dropbox with hat o	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	]		<u>itiet ripej</u>		Zopo 2 Woir	Not Soloctod			
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho -	6 75	N/A	ft (relative to basin h	ottom at Stage – 0 f	+) Height of Grate	Unner Edge H. =	6 75	N/A	feet		
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	3.00	N/A	feet	octom at Stage = 01	Overflow W	eir Slope Length =	3.00	N/A	feet		
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V	Gr	ate Open Area / 10	0-vr Orifice Area =	2.01	N/A			
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	3.00	N/A	feet	Ov	verflow Grate Open	Area w/o Debris =	6.30	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>		
Overflow Grate Open Area % =	70%	N/A	%, grate open area	a/total area C	Overflow Grate Ope	n Area w/ Debris =	3.15	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>		
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%					•			
User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate	(Circular Orifice, R	estrictor Plate, or R	Rectangular Orifice)		<u>Ca</u>	Iculated Parameter	s for Outlet Pipe w/	Flow Restriction Pl	<u>ate</u>		
	Zone 3 Circular	Not Selected					Zone 3 Circular	Not Selected			
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.83	N/A	ft (distance below ba	asin bottom at Stage	= 0 ft) O	utlet Orifice Area =	3.14	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>		
Circular Orifice Diameter = 24.00 N/A inches Outlet Orifice Centroic						t Orifice Centroid =	1.00	N/A	feet		
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe = N/A N/A radians								radians			
Liser Input: Emergency Spillway (Pestangular or	Trapozoidal)						Calculated Parame	tors for Spillway			
Spillway Invert Stage=	7.65	ft (relative to hasin	hottom at Stage =	0 ft)	Spillway D	esian Flow Denth=	0.79	feet			
Spillway Crest Length =	15.00	feet	- bottom at blage -	010)	Stage at 1	fon of Freeboard =	9.44	feet			
Spillway End Slopes =			Basin Area at 1	fop of Freeboard =	0.41	acres					
Freeboard above Max Water Surface = 1.00 feet Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard						op of Freeboard =	1.79	acre-ft			
							L	1			
Devite d Under source Devides	<i>T</i> /	ide the defends CU					d		4 (5)		
Rouled Hydrograph Results	WOCY		P Hydrographs and	5 Voor	10 Vear	25 Voor	50 Vear	100 Year	1 <i>г).</i> 500 Vear		
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.49		
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.224	0.844	0.586	0.771	0.918	1.115	1.308	1.545	2.367		
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	0.586	0.771	0.918	1.115	1.308	1.545	2.367		
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	0.1	0.3	0.3	3.1	5.9	9.6	21.6		
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, g (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.28	0.54	0.87	1.97		
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	12.2	16.1	19.6	24.5	29.7	34.7	53.5		
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	1.8	4.1	9.6	27.1		
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A Plate	N/A Plate	N/A Plate	1.0 Plate	U.8 Plate	U.6 Overflow Weir 1	U.7 Overflow Weir 1	1.0 Overflow Wair 1	1.3 Spillway		
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.6	1.5	3.1		
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	72	62	70	76	79	77	76	70		
I ime to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	<b>40</b>	/8	66	/5	6 20	6 00	84	84	81		
riaximum Ponding Depth (π) =	0.15	0.24	0.20	0.22	0.30	0.90	0,26	0,27	0.29		
Alea at Haximum Fonding Debth (acres) =	0.10										



Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate progra

	The user can of			lographs nom t		an innow nydrog	парла астеюрее		ogram.	
	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
<b>5</b> .00 I	0.00.00									
5.00 min	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.02	1.13
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	2.00	3 25	4.03	2 71	3 31	3 30	5 21
	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	6.51	9.24	0.72	6.00	7.01	7.62	10.07
	0.20.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.34	9.73	0.09	7.01	7.02	10.97
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	12.20	16.15	19.57	12.07	13.69	14./5	22.40
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	11.98	15.63	18.17	24.52	29.71	34.07	53.50
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	9.28	11.84	13.69	23.34	27.89	34.65	52.94
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	7.18	8.86	10.18	19.12	22.87	27.99	42.83
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	5.25	6.74	7.87	14.04	16.65	21.53	33.21
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	3.94	5.29	5.96	11.25	13.27	16.60	25.93
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	3.02	3.98	4 58	8 10	9.44	12.43	19.32
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	3.62	3.50	2.00	5.07	6.02	0.44	14.71
	1.00.00	0.00	0.00	2.59	3.30	3.99	5.97	0.83	9.44	14./1
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	2.44	3.14	3.82	4.92	5.60	8.07	12.77
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	2.05	3.05	3.75	4.06	4.60	5.88	9.06
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.85	2.81	3.73	3.63	4.10	4.70	7.05
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	1.72	2.54	3.38	3.05	3.43	3.46	5.06
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	1.66	2.38	2.88	2.75	3.10	2.80	4.02
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	1.61	2.30	2.59	2.34	2.63	2.37	3.33
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	1 50	2.00	2.05	2.131	2.00	2.12	2.96
	1.40.00	0.00	0.00	1.59	1.01	2.71	1.00	2.37	2.17	2.50
	1.45.00	0.00	0.00	1.58	1.91	2.30	1.98	2.23	2.05	2.64
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	1.58	1.73	2.24	1.91	2.15	2.01	2.78
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.58	1.62	2.21	1.88	2.11	2.01	2.78
l	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.56	2.11	1.86	2.10	2.01	2.78
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.06	1.44	1.86	1.86	2.09	2.01	2.78
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.82	1.07	1.07	1.20	1.15	1.59
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.47	0.60	0.61	0.69	0.66	0.91
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.26	0.25	0.49
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.25	0.32	0.52	0.30	0.55	0.76
	2.20.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.19	0.18	0.24
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.09
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3.40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3.45.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3.43:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:12:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5.20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5.23.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5.35.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5.33.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.03 (May 2020) Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stage - Storage	Stage	Area	Area	Volume	Volume	Total	
Description	[#1	[fft <sup>2</sup> ]	[acres]	[ft <sup>3</sup> ]	[ac-ft]	[cfs]	
	[.e]	[10]	[ucros]	[10]	[uc it]	[0.0]	
							For best results, include the
							stages of all grade slope
							from the S-A-V table on
							Sheet 'Basin'.
							Also include the inverts of all
							outlets (e.g. vertical orifice,
							overflow grate, and spillway,
-							where applicable).
-							
							1
							-
							-
							-
							4
							4
							-
							-
-							1
-							
-							
							1
-							
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							-
							-
							1
							1
							-
							-
							1
							1
							1
							]
							]



# Figure 8-7. Inlet Capacity Chart Continuous Grade Conditions, Residential (Local) (Attached and Detached Sidewalk)

The standard street section parameters as defined in Chapter 7 must apply to use these charts. For non-standard sections, the inlet capacity shall be calculated using the UDFCD spreadsheets. The maximum spread width is limited by the curb height based on no curb overtopping during a minor storm and flow being contained within the public right-of-way during the major storm. Calculations were done using UD-Inlet 3.00.xls, Mar., 2011 with the default clogging factors.



# Figure 8-7. Inlet Capacity Chart Continuous Grade Conditions, Residential (Local) (Attached and Detached Sidewalk)

The standard street section parameters as defined in Chapter 7 must apply to use these charts. For non-standard sections, the inlet capacity shall be calculated using the UDFCD spreadsheets. The maximum spread width is limited by the curb height based on no curb overtopping during a minor storm and flow being contained within the public right-of-way during the major storm. Calculations were done using UD-Inlet 3.00.xls, Mar., 2011 with the default clogging factors.

8-12



Figure 8-11. Inlet Capacity Chart Sump Conditions, Curb Opening (Type R) Inlet

### Notes:

1. The standard inlet parameters must apply to use this chart.





These charts shall only be used for the standard street sections as shown. The capacity shown is based on ½ the street section as calculated by the UD-Inlet spreadsheets. Minor storm capacities are based on no crown overtopping, curb height or maximum allowable spread widths. Major storm capacities are based on flow being containing within the public right-of-way, including conveyance capacity behind the curb. The UDFCD Safety Reduction Factor was applied. An 'nstreet' of 0.016 and 'n<sub>BACK</sub>' of 0.020 was used. Calculations were done using UD-Inlet 3.00.xls, March, 2011.






