

Planning and Community
Development Department
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

PROJECT INFORMATION

Please include an exhibit with your deviation request.

PCD File No. PPR1913

Project Name: Rocky Top Resources

Schedule No.(s): 6429101029, 6429101030, 6429101031

Legal Description: TRACT 7 VALLEY GARDENS AS AMD BY ADMINISTRATIVE VAC OF INTERIOR LOT LNS BY REC #206006560

TRACT 1 VALLEY GARDENS, EX THAT PT CONV TO COUNTY BY BK 3823-439 & TO HWY BY BK 5973-205, TOG WITH THAT PT BY VAC RES 04-545, REC #206179579

TRACT 2 VALLEY GARDENS, EX THAT PT CONV TO COUNTY BY BK 3823-439, TOG WITH THAT PT

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Company :	Rocky Top Resources
Name :	
C	☑ Owner □ Consultant □ Contractor
Mailing Address :	1755 E LAS VEGAS ST
	COLORADO SPRINGS CO, 80903-4323
Phone Number :	
FAX Number :	
Email Address :	

ENGINEER INFORMATION

Company: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Name: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon

Mailing Address: 545 E. Pikes Peak Ave

Suite 210

Colorado Springs, CO 80905

BY VAC RES 04-545, REC #206179579

Phone Number: 719-633-2868 FAX Number: 719-633-5430

Email Address : jeff@LSCtrans.com

OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

Signature of owner (or authorized representative)

2-/z-20

Date

Engineer's Seal, Signature
And Date of Signature

٦

DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.3.7.E of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.

31684 0 3/-10 3/0NAL ENGINE

Colorado P.E. Number: 31684

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:
 2.3.7.E 1. Turn Lane Design Elements Left Turn Lane. The design elements for a left turn lane are the bay taper, lane length, storage length, which in combination makes up the left turn lane.
State the reason for the requested deviation:
Standard 2.3.7.E (Intersections –Turn Lane Design) requires that the westbound left-turn lane into the site have 50 feet of storage, 195 feet for deceleration, and 180-foot taper. There is an existing two-lane bridge located approximately 500 feet east of the access. Accommodating the full auxiliary lane, taper, (and the required lane redirect taper, which would not be modified) would not be feasible given the distance between the access and the bridge.
Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):
The standards require westbound left-turn lane have 50 feet of storage, 195 feet for deceleration, and 180 -foot taper (or 90-foot bay taper). The proposed alternative is 50 feet of storage (meets the standard), 105 feet for deceleration (90 feet short of the standard), and a 90-foot bay taper. Per the ECM "A minimum taper ratio of 8:1 may be used for tangent bay tapers in constrained locations. Bay tapers should be used (asymmetrical reverse curves) for deceleration transition tapers." The 90-foot bay taper would be six feet short of the 8:1 ratio (96').

(At least one of the condition	ns listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)			
☑ Topography, right-of-valternative that can accon☐ A change to a standar	inapplicable to the particular situation. way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and implish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or dis required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified in on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.	or accessibility.		
Provide justification:				
The bridge to the west of	the site limits how far back a lane redirect can begin, which limits the turn lane.			
	Provide additional information in your justification. The TIS provided additional justification regarding the amount of vehicles and that traffic is seasonal etc. Staff suggest including that information and any other as part of your justification for this deviation.			
CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria: The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.				
	for the standard storage length, 105 feet of the required 195 feet of deceleration distan ngth with an 8:1 ratio (which "may be used for tangent bay tapers in constrained location			
The deviation will not adv	versely affect safety or operations.			
Providing any turn lane fo westbound left-turn from t	or this movement is an improvement over the current roadway layout, which has vehic the through lane. The bay taper plus deceleration length is equal to the required decel build not be adversely impacted. The 150-foot left turn lane plus bay taper is a typical lo	leration length, so		
1				

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated co	st.				
The deviation for the abbreviated lane will not impact maintenance. The redirect taper will be standard.					
	It is indicated above that the taper				
	is not per standards. Revise				
	and/or clarify accordingly.				
The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.					
The deviation will not affect aesthetic appearance as the proposed 150-fo	ot turn lane is typical length in urban areas.				
The designation was also the design in heart and manners of the FOM standards					
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.					
The proposed deceleration lane, although short of the ECM requirements					
access point and the bridge to the east. The lane would allow for left-turn deceleration (to a potential stop condition) to make the left turn. Following					
the lane were able to be constructed to the standard length to allow for the	e initial deceleration of the turning vehicle approaching				
the access, but this is not uncommon in urban areas and/or areas with co	nstraints to turn-lane length				
The coation regarding the MC4 permit is missing.	Plana				
The section regarding the MS4 permit is missing. I include and provide the necessary response.	TEASE				
include and provide the necessary response.					

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator		
	teria for approval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	Л	
Denied by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined not to have met crihereby denied.	teria for approval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	J	
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS	:	

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
 on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
 available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
 modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
 the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

Deviation Request_V5.pdf Markup Summary

Callout (2)



Subject: Callout Page Label: 4 Lock: Locked

Author: Daniel Torres **Date:** 4/14/2020 5:57:43 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Provide additional information in your justification. The TIS provided additional justification regarding the amount of vehicles and that traffic is seasonal etc. Staff suggest including that information and any other as part of your justification for this deviation.



Subject: Callout Page Label: 5 Lock: Locked

Author: Daniel Torres **Date:** 4/14/2020 5:57:44 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: It is indicated above that the taper is not per standards. Revise and/or clarify accordingly.

Text Box (2)



Subject: Text Box Page Label: 5 Lock: Locked

Author: Daniel Torres Date: 4/14/2020 5:57:45 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: The section regarding the MS4 permit is missing. Please include and provide the necessary response.



Subject: Text Box Page Label: 1 Lock: Locked

Author: Daniel Torres **Date:** 4/14/2020 5:57:47 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Please include an exhibit with your deviation

request.