

# Planning and Community Development Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Colorado P.E. Number: 51257

Updated: 6/26/2019

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

Project Name: Tanja Curtis Minor Subdivision

Schedule No.(s): 6100000519

Legal Description: Lot 2 in Curtis Subdivision Filing No. 1

#### **APPLICANT INFORMATION**

Company: 1920 E Baptist Rd LLC

Name: Barry Curtis

Mailing Address: 1920 E Baptist Rd

Monument, CO 80132

Phone Number: 719-331-0159

FAX Number:

Email Address: Curtis3197@msn.com

#### **ENGINEER INFORMATION**

Company: Forsgren Associates, Inc

Name: Conner Burba

Mailing Address: 56 Inverness Dr E Ste 112

Englewood, CO 80112

Phone Number: 720-214-5884

FAX Number:

Email Address: cburba@forsgren.com

## OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

conditions approval.

Signature of owner (or authorized represent

3 Feb 20 Date

Engineer's Seal, Signature And Date of Signature

PCD File No. MS-195

A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.5.2 of the Engineering Official Mandal (ECM) is requested.				
Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:				
Section 2.3.2 Design Standards by Functional Classification: Minimum Centerline Curve Radius for Major Collector, as shown in Table 2-5.				
Roadway Design Standards for Rural Collectors and Locals.				
State the reason for the requested deviation:				
The 2040 classification of the El Paso County 2016 MTCP show future plans for a minor arterial roadway passing through the				
northeast corner of the Owner's property. If a roadway was constructed through this area at a design speed of 50-mph,				
approximately 6-acres of land would need to be dedicated by the Owner to the County. Reducing the speed limit in this area by just 5-mph would reduce the amount of land dedicated from approximately 6-acres to approximately 3.2-acres and is much more				
reasonable for the Owner.				
Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used				
as basis):				
A deviation is proposed for the posted speed limit for the anticipated, future roadway. ECM standard is a 50mph design speed and 930-foot centerline radius. The proposed deviation is for a 45mph design speed and 711-foot centerline radius.				
1 930-1001 centenine radius. The proposed deviation is for a 43mph design speed and 7 11-1001 centenine radius.				
Applicable Regional or National Standards used as Basis: AASHTO standards for minimum radii and superelevation for low-speed				
urban streets was utilized using superelevation distribution method 2.				

**DEVIATION REQUEST (**Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

<b>LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION</b> (At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)				
<ul> <li>□ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.</li> <li>☑ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.</li> <li>□ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.</li> </ul>				
Provide justification:				
A roadway through the property is not being removed from future consideration with this request. The only change would be a slight reduction in the posted speed limit along the roadway				
The request is for a reduction in the design speed of the roadway from 50mph to 45mph. This would, in turn, reduce the required minimum centerline curve radius from 930-feet to 711-feet per AASHTO standards. With this, the Owner of the property will not have to sacrifice an excessive portion of their property and the County will still be granted enough land for an adequate roadway from Roller Coaster Road.				
CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL  Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria:				
The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.  The deviation will achieve the same result, as the tract of land being given to the County is adequate for roadway construction				
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.				
As the speed limit will be reduced in this area, this may lead to a more safe roadway as a result of this deviation.				

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.			
A shorter length of roadway will be constructed with this deviation. Therefore, maintenance costs are anticipated to be reduced.			
The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.			
The aesthetic appearance of the roadway will not be negatively affected due solely on the change in roadway curvature.			
The destrictic appearance of the roadway will not be negatively affected due solely on the change in roadway curvature.			
The deviation recets the design intent and numbers of the FCM standards			
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by EI Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by EI Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			
The deviation will still allow a roadway be built in the future as intended by El Paso County. The speed limit would simply be reduced, which does not negatively impact the design intent of the roadway.  The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.			

# **REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:**

Approved by the ECM Administrator		
This request has been determined to have met the criteria for app hereby granted based on the justification provided.	roval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	Л	
<b>Denied by the ECM Administrator</b> This request has been determined not to have met criteria for app hereby denied.	roval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	Л	
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:		

## 1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

## 1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

#### 1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

#### 1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
  on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
  available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
  modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
  the public.

## 1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE


The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

## 1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL


Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

#### 1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.



**EXISTING AND PROPOSED SUBDIVISION MAP - 5 LOTS** WITH 711' RADIUS FOR MINOR COLLECTOR W/60' **ROW** 



SUBDIVISION MAP - 5 LOTS

WITH 930' RADIUS FOR

**MAJOR COLLECTOR** 

\Clients\Curtis - Replat\CAD\Exhibits\Deviation Request