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## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.
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FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@Isctrans.com
Website: http://www.Isctrans.com

September 14, 2020

Ernesto Garcia Armendariz
Land View LLC
c/o Dan Kupferer, PLS
Land Development Consultants, Inc
3898 Maizeland Rd
Colorado Springs, CO 80909

RE: SH 94/Curtis Road<br>Master Traffic Impact Study<br>El Paso County, Colorado<br>LSC \#204310<br>PCD File No. CS203

Dear Mr. Armendariz:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this master traffic impact study for the proposed development planned to be located southeast of the intersection of State Highway (SH) 94/Curtis Road is El Paso County, Colorado. The planned land use is for residential, commercial, and trucking. This report has been prepared for submittal to El Paso County and the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT). Subsequent traffic impact studies will be provided with each phase of development.

## REPORT CONTENTS

The preparation of this report included the following:

- Inventory of the existing adjacent and nearby roadway system. This includes functional classifications, street widths, lane configurations, intersection traffic control, posted speed limits, pavement markings, intersection and access spacing, roadway and intersection alignments, auxiliary left- and right-turn lanes, intersection sight distances, etc.;
- A review of the proposed site land use and access locations;
- Morning and evening peak-hour traffic volumes at the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road;
- Estimates of short- and long-term background traffic volumes and total traffic (site traffic plus background traffic). Forecasts include buildout of adjacent proposed developments;
- Estimates of the daily and peak-hour trip generation for the proposed land use;
- The estimated directional distribution of site-generated vehicle trips on the study area roadway system;
- Projections of peak-hour site-generated turning-movement traffic volumes at the study area intersections;
- Level of service (LOS) analysis at the study area intersections;
- Evaluation of the short-term and long-term projected intersection volumes to determine the potential need for any new auxiliary right-/left-turn lanes and/or the adequacy of existing lanes at the site access-point intersections and the other study area intersections; and
- Findings and recommendations.


## PREVIOUS TRAFFIC STUDIES

In March 2018, a traffic study was completed by Gannett Fleming for Schriever Air Force Base: Traffic Study on Enoch and Irwin Road Entry Control Stations. LSC is not aware of any other recent traffic studies in the study area. In 2012 El Paso County completed the State Highway 94 Access Management Plan.

## LAND USE AND ACCESS

Figure 1 shows the site location relative to the adjacent and nearby roadways. The site plan is shown in Figure 2.

## Site Land Uses Proposed with the Rezone Application

The development is proposed to include 21 2.5-acre+ lots for single family homes, a 30-acre site to be zoned CS for future commercial use(s), and 5 acres of land for a trucking business.

## Commercial Site (30 acres)

For purposes of this report, a conservative assumption (with respect to potential vehicle trip generation) of approximately 225,000 square feet of shopping center space for the 30 -acre commercial site has been made by LSC. This could be considered the "highest and best use" for a CS-zoned parcel (assumes a floor area ratio [FAR] of about 0.18). However, it is more likely that only a minority portion would be developed as a shopping center with retail, restaurant/service businesses, or highway convenience uses. The majority of the 30 -acres is likely to be developed with industrial, business park, or warehousing uses.

## Trucking Business

The trucking business is relocating from another location. This location is anticipated to have up to 10 multi-unit trucks and 10 drivers. The facility will also have a small business office and a small maintenance facility that will employ five people. The trucks are used to support construction projects.

Truck drivers will travel to the site via their own passenger vehicles between 5:00 and 7:00 a.m. and depart in the company trucks to the job site(s). The employees return the company trucks to the site between 3:30 and 6:00 p.m. and then leave the site in their own vehicles.

Some hauling contracts are local with trucks parked at the site each night and dispatched to local job sites as needed during each work day. Other contracts are for projects out of the local area. With these "out-of-town" contracts, truck drivers travel out of the local area with the trucks for jobs that require them to remain away for several days (or longer) periods. The drivers and their trucks are often contracted for out-of-state or out-of-county jobs that require them to remain away for weeks or months at a time. This is most common during the summer construction season. During the winter, when there are fewer jobs, trucks may remain parked on site for several months. However, this analysis conservatively assumes all trucks leaving and returning to the site each day.

## Development Phasing

This development would be phased. The trucking business would be the first to be developed, followed by the single-family subdivision. The commercial site may be the last to be developed. But portions of the 30-acres may be developed in the short term as well.

## Access \& Circulation

As shown in Figure 2, one access point to the adjacent Arterial roadway system is proposed: a full-movement access onto Curtis Road about 1,575 feet south of State Highway 94 (centerline spacing). This spacing meets the intersection spacing criteria in the ECM of a quarter mile required for Curtis Road's current and future roadway classification.

A proposed public roadway extending east from this planned intersection would serve the trucking business, the 30 -acre commercial site and the single-family lots. This public roadway is planned as a rural minor arterial with 100 feet of ROW. Three or four residential lots would have direct access to the section of this roadway frontage shared with the non-residential land uses on the north side of the roadway. The preliminary access location for the proposed trucking business would be approximately 250 feet east of Curtis Road (centerline spacing). The access point(s) to the commercial site have not yet been determined. Additionally, there will be an access easement to the property to the south between two of the single-family lots.

## INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

The required intersection sight distance for the site access on Curtis Road (proposed public road connection to Curtis Road) is per AASHTO criteria for a $60-\mathrm{mph}$ design speed roadway (AASHTO criteria has been used as Table 2-21 in ECM Section 2.3.6 G only shows sight distance for roadways up to a $50-\mathrm{mph}$ design speed. The required sight distance is 665 feet for passenger vehicles and 1,015 feet for combination trucks. This intersection would be able to meet this criterion, provided the intersection line of sight "triangles" are kept free of site improvements (that would limit the line of
sight needed to maintain ECM prescribed sight distance). Examples of site improvements include landscaping, monument signs, parking areas, berms, etc. Obstruction height to maintain passenger car line of sight is about 18 inches. Obstruction height to maintain truck line of sight is higher as the truck "driver's eye" is significantly higher than the "driver's eye" for a two-passenger vehicle.

## ROAD AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## Area Roads

Figure 1 shows the streets in the vicinity of the site. The streets adjacent to the site are identified below, followed by a brief description of each:

- State Highway 94 is a two-lane roadway that extends east from US Highway (US Hwy) 24 to US Hwy 40. SH 94 is classified as a Principal Arterial (NR-A) and has a speed limit of 55 miles per hour (mph) adjacent to the site. The El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) shows SH 94 as a four-lane road in 2040 from the US 24 to Slocum Road. The intersection of Curtis Road/SH 94 is signalized with auxiliary lanes for all turning movements.
- Curtis Road is a two-lane roadway that extends from Bradley Road to Judge Orr Road. The roadway is classified as a Minor Arterial north of SH 94. To the south of SH 94, adjacent to the site, the roadway is classified as a Major Collector. The posted speed limit is 55 mph adjacent to the site. There is an access to Schriever Air Force Base, approximately two miles south of the site on Curtis Road. This results in the roadway having very directional travel during peak hours. The El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan shows Curtis Road as having a classification of Minor Arterial adjacent to the site in the 2040 roadway plan. In the 2060 Corridor Preservation Plan, the Curtis Road is shown as a four-lane arterial roadway.


## Traffic Volumes

Due to the current COVID-19 pandemic, turning-movement counts could not be collected. Traffic counts were previously conducted in October 2017 in the Traffic Study on Enoch and Irwin Road Entry Control Facilities, March 2018 for Schriever Air Force. Figure 3 provides the 2017 traffic volumes at the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road. Additionally, average daily traffic volumes for SH 94 and Curtis Road were available on CDOT's Online Transportation Information System and MS2 websites.

## Crash History

Three years of crash data were collected at the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road. There were ten crashes during the study period. All crashes were property damage only. No correctable crash patterns were identified in the crashes recorded.

## TRIP GENERATION

Estimates of the vehicle trips projected to be generated by the proposed development have been made using the nationally published trip-generation rates from Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2017 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). There was no applicable ITE land use for the proposed trucking business. As a result, the trip generation for the trucking business was estimated, based on a count of the existing location, along with information provided regarding the usage of the site, including number of employees, number of trucks, and schedule. The trucking business will be moving from its existing location, where it currently employs five truck drivers and five additional office staff. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the business employed five additional truck drivers. The new site is intended to employ up to 10 drivers and the five additional office staff. Table 4, attached, provides the calculated trip-generation rates for the existing location with five drivers. Those rates were then used to forecast trip generation for when the trucking business employs 10 drivers.

Table 1, below, presents a summary of the estimated site trip generation on a typical weekday. The detailed trip-generation estimate for the development, including ITE rates for the proposed land use, is presented in Table 5.

Approximately 10,674 total vehicle trips are projected to enter and exit the site at the access point ("driveway trips") on the average weekday during a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, approximately 176 vehicles would enter and 120 vehicles would exit the site. During the evening peak hour, approximately 496 vehicles would enter and 531 vehicles would exit the site.

The proposed development is projected to generate approximately 7,126 (new/non-pass-by or diverted) vehicle trips on the average weekday during a 24 -hour period.

Numbers in text do not match numbers in table
Table 1: Estimated External Site Vehicle-Trip Generation (Vehicles, per Hour)

| Analysis Period | Total Trips |  |  | Pass-by Trips |  |  | New Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| A.M. Peak Hour | 176 | 122 | 298 | 45 | 45 | 90 | 131 | 77 | 208 |
| P.M. Peak Hour | 508 | 539 | 1,047 | 168 | 168 | 336 | 340 | 371 | 711 |
| Daily/24-Hour | 5,367 | 5,367 | 10,734 | 1,774 | 1,774 | 3,548 | 3,593 | 3,593 | 7,186 |

## Pass-by Trips

The trips generated by the site have also been aggregated by trip type to account for the pass-by phenomenon. A pass-by trip is one made by a motorist who would already be on an adjacent road regardless of the proposed development, but who stops in at the site while passing by. The pass-by motorist would then continue on his or her way to a final destination in the original direction. For purposes of this report, pass-by trips are trips by motorists already traveling

## Table 1?

through the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road. Pass-by trips are shown in Table 3 and are based on Trip Generation Handbook - An ITE Proposed Recommended Practice, 3rd Edition, 2014 by ITE.

## BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Background traffic includes growth that is projected to occur on the study roadways, due to future development in the area. Background volumes do not include projected traffic to be generated by the proposed development.

Volumes have been projected based on CDOT growth projections on SH 94 near Curtis Road. The CDOT Online Transportation Information System (OTIS) showed a 1.12 percent/year growth rate west of the Curtis Road intersection on SH 94 and a 0.87 percent/year growth rate east of Curtis Road. However, in the 2019 CDOT study of SH 94 a 0.62 percent/year and 1.51 percent/year growth rates were used on SH 94 west and east of Curtis Road, respectively.

To be conservative, the higher of the two rates was applied on each leg. A 1.12 percent/year growth rate has been applied to the west leg of the intersection. A 1.51 percent/year growth rate was applied to the other three legs of the intersection.

Figure 4 shows the projected short-term background traffic volumes. Figure 5 shows the projected 20-year background traffic volumes for the year 2040.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

## Trip Directional Distribution

Estimation of the directional distribution of site-generated vehicle trips to the study area roads and intersections is a necessary component in determining the site's traffic impacts. Figure 6 shows the directional distribution estimates for the proposed development. Estimates were based on the following factors: existing traffic counts, existing area development, the area roadway system, the site's proposed land use, and CDOT input. The Pikes Peak Area Council of Governments (PPACG) travel demand model was also used to project trip distribution.

## Site-Generated Traffic

Site-generated traffic volumes at the study intersections have been calculated by applying the directional-distribution percentages estimated by LSC (from Figure 6) to the trip-generation estimates (from Table 1). Because it is anticipated that the trucking business will be constructed first, two phases of development have been assumed. Phase 1 is just the development of the trucking business. The buildout phase includes the remainder of the site, including the commercial and residential land uses.

Figure 6 shows the projected site-generated traffic volumes for phase 1 of the proposed development. Figure 7 provides the site-generated traffic for the buildout of the site. In addition, Figure 7 shows the pass-by trips at the study intersections.

## Short-Term Total Traffic Volumes

Figure 8 shows the sum of the short-term background traffic volumes (from Figure 4) and the site-generated peak-hour traffic volumes for phase 1 (shown in Figure 6). These volumes represent the projected short-term total traffic following construction of phase 1. Figure 9 provides the projected short-term total traffic following construction of the entire development.

## Long-Term Total Traffic Volumes

Figure 10 shows the projected 2040 phase 1 total traffic volumes, which are the sum of 2040 background traffic volumes (from Figure 5) plus the site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 6). Figure 11 provides the 2040 total traffic volumes with the buildout of the site.

## LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection and is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A is indicative of little congestion or delay. LOS F indicates a high level of congestion or delay. Table 2 shows the level of service delay ranges for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 2: Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersections | Unsignalized Intersections <br> (seconds per vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
|  | 10.0 sec or less | 10.0 sec or less |
| C | $20.1-30.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $10.1-15.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $15.1-25.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $25.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| F | 80.1 sec or more | $35.1-50.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| (1) | 50.1 sec or more |  |

(1) For unsignalized intersections if $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio is greater than 1.0 the level of service is LOS F regardless of the projected average control delay per

The intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road and the site access point have been analyzed to determine the projected control delay and corresponding levels of service for turning movements. Figure 3 provides the existing levels of service. Figure 4 and Figure 5 provide the background levels of service for the short-term and long-term scenarios, respectively. Figure 8 through Figure 10
provide the levels of service of the short-term and long-term phase 1 and buildout total traffic scenarios.

## SH 94/Curtis Road

The signalized intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road currently operates at LOS C during both peak hours, with all movements operating at LOS D or better. In the short-term background, the intersection will continue to operate at LOS C with all movements at LOS D or better. In the long-term background, it has been assumed that SH 94 has been widened to four-lanes as shown in the 2016 El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan. Additionally, dual left-turn lanes have been assumed on the northbound and southbound approaches. With these improvements, the intersection is projected to operate at LOS C, with all movements operating at LOS D or better.

In the short term, with the addition of both phase 1 and buildout of the proposed development, the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road is expected to operate at LOS C or better, with all movements at LOS D or better. However, to maintain this level of service with the buildout of the site, the northbound left turn will need to be changed to protected/permissive.

In the long term, with the addition of the proposed development (both phase 1 and buildout), the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road is expected to continue to operate at LOS C, with all movements at LOS D or better.

## Site Accesses

In the short term with phase 1 developed, all turning movements at the site are projected to operate at LOS B or better. In this scenario a shared westbound left/right lane is adequate for acceptable traffic operations. With the buildout of the site, there will need to be separate westbound left- and right-turn lanes. With separate outbound turn lanes, all turning movements are expected to operate at LOS C or better during the morning peak hour. During the evening peak hour, the outbound right turn is projected to operate at LOS D, while the outbound left turn would operate at LOS F. The left-turn movement is expected to be at sapacity, but the intersection would not meet signal warrants. A channelized $T$ may help to improve the level of service for the westbound left-turn movement.

> Over-capacity

Similar to the phase 1 short-term scenario, in long-term phase 1 scenario, all turning movements at the site are projected to operate at LOS B or better. In this scenario a shared westbound left/right lane is adequate for acceptable traffic operations.

In the long term with the full buildout of the site, during the evening peak hour both outbound movements are projected to operate at LOS F and be over capacity. However, the intersection is expected to meet the peak-hour warrant, as shown in Figure 12. The peak-hour warrant is typically used for unusual cases where minor-street traffic suffers undue delay entering or exiting the site. Due to the presence of Schriever Air Force Base, traffic on Curtis Road has peak-hour
factors between 12 and 15 percent and is highly directional, with approximately 85 percent of traffic in one direction during the peak hours. Due to the unusual traffic patterns, the peak-hour warrant for a traffic signal would apply and the intersection should be signalized in the long term. As a signalized intersection, the overall intersection would operate at LOS B or better during the peak hours.

## AUXILIARY TURN LANES

As mentioned previously, there are existing deceleration lanes for all movements at the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road. The turn lanes on SH 94 meet the State Highway Access Code criteria. In the long term, when SH 94 is a four-lane roadway and the site is constructed, the rightturn deceleration lanes will need to be 600 feet on SH 94. To accommodate storage, the eastbound left-turn lane will need 600 feet for deceleration with 50 additional feet for storage. The westbound left-turn lane will need 600 feet for deceleration with 150 feet for storage. All of the auxiliary lanes on SH 94 will require a 225 -foot taper.

The northbound right-turning movement at the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road is currently very close to meeting the 50 vph threshold for requiring an acceleration lane. This movement was counted to have 46 vph during the peak hour in October 2017. New counts have not been conducted due to impacts to traffic by the COVID-19 pandemic. It is anticipated that background growth would cause this turn movement to hit the threshold. A northbound right-turn acceleration lane would be required to be 960 feet in length with a 225 -foot taper within that distance.

At the site access, no auxiliary lanes are required in phase 1 or buildout, due to the classification of major collector. However, in the 2016 El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan, the classification of Curtis Road is planned to be changed to Minor Arterial by 2040. Due to the low turning volumes, even with the minor arterial classification, no auxiliary lanes are required for phase 1. With the buildout of the site and the minor arterial classification, a southbound left-turn and northbound right-turn deceleration lane will be required per the ECM. Both the left- and right-turn lanes will be required to have a lane length of 290 feet with a 240 -foot approach taper. Based on a queuing analysis, the southbound left turn will also require 250 feet in storage and the northbound right will require 40 feet in storage. The westbound left turn will require 100 feet of storage at this intersection.

Within the site, the peak-hour volume of the eastbound left-turning movement at the commercial access would exceed the threshold for requiring a left-turn lane, based on a minor arterial classification. However, due to the extremely low through volumes at this location, it is recommended that no left-turn lane be constructed. The Minor Arterial classification is indicated in this report solely based on daily volume estimates and not based on function or continuity of the roadway. Per the CDOT State Highway Access Code, a left-turn deceleration lane requirement may be dropped if the opposing traffic is predicted to be below 100 DHV, which is the case at this location. A deviation will be submitted during the development phase.

Figure 2 indicates that the trucking business access would be the west most access to the site. Under the long term conditions, the EBL into the trucking business would be opposed by westbound traffic from the commercial and the majority of the residential. It would appear based on the total traffic volumes on Figure 11 that this would exceed 100 DHV.

## VEHICLE QUEUING

At the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road, there are adequate existing turn lanes for all projected queueing. At the site access, the westbound lanes are projected to have a $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length of 175 feet. The distance between Curtis Road and the truck business access is planned to be 250 feet, which is adequate for the projected queueing.

## MTCP ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

The 2016 El Paso County Major Transportation Corridor Plan shows planned improvements to Curtis Road and SH 94. State Highway 94 is planned to be widened to four-lanes through the study area. Curtis Road, south of SH 94, is planned to be improved to a minor arterial. These improvements have been included in the long-term analysis.

## PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE ACCOMMODATION

There are currently no sidewalks or multi-modal trails along SH 94 or Curtis Road adjacent to the site. In the 2016 El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan, a bike route is planned along Curtis Road and a secondary regional trail is planned along SH 94.

## COUNTY ROAD IMPROVEMENT FEE PROGRAM

## Transportation Impact Fees

Per ECM Appendix B: State what the current applicable Transportation Impact Fees are and what option the developer will be selecting for payment.

The applicant intends to opt out of the PID options and will pay the full fee amount at the time of building permit. The current "full-fee" is $\$ 3,830$ per single family dwelling unit; $\$ 4,958$ per 1,000 square feet of commercial building floor area, and \$3,651 per 1,000 square feet of industrial building floor area. The total fee amount for the proposed development is $\$ 1,239,792$.

## Reimbursable MTCP Improvements

There are no apparent reimbursable improvements programmed in the MTCP in the general vicinity of this site.

## FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

## Trip Generation

- Phase 1 of the development is expected to generate approximately 100 vehicle trips on the average weekday with approximately 18 trips occurring during the morning peak hour and 36
trips during the evening peak hour.
- The buildout of the site is projected to generate approximately 10,734 vehicle trips on the average weekday. Approximately a third of this traffic is expected to be pass-by traffic.
- With buildout, approximately 176 vehicles would enter and 122 vehicles would exit the site during the morning peak hour. During the evening peak hour, approximately 508 vehicles would enter and 539 vehicles would exit the site at the access point.


## Recommended Improvements

- In both the short-term and long-term scenarios with phase 1 of the development constructed, no additional improvements will be required in the study area. The site access can have a shared left/right outbound lane at Curtis Road.

Prot NBL/SBL evaluated

- The northbound left-turning movement at the intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road will need to have protected/permissive phasing in the short-term and long-term betildout scenarios.
- It is projected that the site access will meet the peak-hour signal warrant in the long-term buildout scenario and will require signalization. A Channelized-T may help to improve intersection operations prior to the intersection meeting signal warrants.
- The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues at all study intersections are not projected to impact adjacent intersections.
- See Table 3 for a summary of recommended improvements.

Separate WBL and WBR turn lanes

## Auxiliary Lanes

- The intersection of SH 94/Curtis Road will require a northbound right-turn acceleration lane in the short-term future, both with and without the addition of the site-generated traffic.
- The site access will require a northbound right and southbound left auxiliary lane in the buildout scenarios.
- The westbound approach at the site access will be required to have separate left- and right-turn lanes in the buildout scenario.

Separate WBL and
WBR turn lanes
Table 3: Recommended Improvements

| Item \# | Location | Improvement | Timing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SH 94/Curtis Road | Northbound Left Phasing - <br> Protected/Permissive | With full buildout of the site |
| 2 | Curtis Road/Site Access | Auxiliary Lanes <br> - Northbound Right <br> - Southbound Left | With full buildout of the site |
| 3 | Curtis Road/Site Access | Signal | When Warranted (Long-term) |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.
Respectfully Submitted,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
By: Colleen Guillotte, P.E., PTOE, RSP
Project Manager
CRG:JCH:jas
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Table 4: Trucking Business Trip Generation Estimate

| Land <br> Use <br> Description | Trip <br> Generation Units | Trip Generation Rates |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average <br> Weekday Traffic | Morning <br> Peak Hour |  | Afternoon <br> Peak Hour |  | Average <br> Weekday Traffic | Morning <br> Peak Hour |  | Afternoon Peak Hour |  |
|  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Existing ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck Business | 5 Trucks | 10.00 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.60 | 50 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 8 |
| Future |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Truck Business | 10 Trucks | 10.00 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.60 | 100 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 16 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Source: LSC Traffic Counts, August 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Cons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5: Detailed Trip Generation Estimate

| Land Use <br> Code | Land <br> Use <br> Description | Trip Generation Units | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass-By } \\ & \text { Trips }{ }^{(2)} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | New External Trips <br> Generated <br> Average <br> Weekday <br> Traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average Weekday | Moa | ing |  |  | Average Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Traffic | In | Out | In | Out | Traffic | In | Out | In | Out |  |  |
| 820 Shopping Center <br> Truck Business <br> 210 Single Family |  | $225 \mathrm{KSF}^{(3)}$ | 46.38 | 0.73 | 0.45 | 2.11 | 2.29 | 10,435 | 164 | 100 | 475 | 515 | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \% \\ & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 6,887 |
|  |  | 10 Trucks | 10.00 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.60 | 100 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 16 |  | 100 |
|  |  | 21 DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 198 | 4 | 12 | 13 | 8 |  | 198 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,734 | 176 | 122 | 508 | 539 |  | 7,185 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Source: "Trip Generation, 10th Edition, 2017" by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and LSC Traffic Counts <br> (2) Source: "Trip Generation Handbook - An ITE Proposed Recommended Practice, Third Edition September 2017" by ITE <br> (3) KSF = one thousand square feet of floor space, DU = dwelling unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: | SC Transportation Cons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



*Due to COVID-19 Pandemic, new turning counts were not recorded. Counts from Traffic Study on Enoch and Irwin Road Entry Control Facilities report. Counts were completed in October 2017.


LEGEND:
$\frac{X X}{X X}=\frac{\text { AM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (vehicles per hour) }}{\text { PM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (vehicles per hour) }}$

- -45 mph - -55 mph $X X X=$ Average Weekday Traffic (vehicles per day)









Figure 4C-4. Warrant 3, Peak Hour (70\% Factor)
(Communlly Lees than 10,000 poputation or above 40 mph on Malor Street)

*Note: 100 VPH applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor stree
approach with two or more lanes and 75 VPH applies as the lower

Figure 12

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 3 | 665 | 309 | 56 | 342 | 14 | 40 | 15 | 19 | 265 | 219 | 26 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 665 | 309 | 56 | 342 | 14 | 40 | 15 | 19 | 265 | 219 | 26 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.484 |  |  | 0.091 |  |  | 0.487 |  |  | 0.747 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 902 | 1863 | 1583 | 170 | 1863 | 1583 | 907 | 1863 | 1583 | 1391 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 364 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 73 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |

Shared Lane Traffic (\%) 4

| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 4 | 782 | 364 | 66 | 380 | 16 | 47 | 16 | 22 | 312 | 258 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split (s) | 9.5 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 9.5 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 42.9 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 45.4 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.41 | 0.05 |
| Control Delay | 7.7 | 36.3 | 2.9 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 0.1 | 24.2 | 21.8 | 0.1 | 34.4 | 26.1 | 0.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 7.7 | 36.3 | 2.9 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 0.1 | 24.2 | 21.8 | 0.1 | 34.4 | 26.1 | 0.5 |
| LOS | A | D | A | B | B | A | C | C | A | C | C | A |


| Approach Delay | 25.6 | 12.7 | 17.5 | 29.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach LOS | C | B | B | C |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 84
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.6
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 73.0\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 3: Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ | － | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 4 | 「 | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Trafic Volume（vph） | 34 | 286 | 33 | 26 | 646 | 252 | 240 | 144 | 46 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 34 | 286 | 33 | 26 | 646 | 252 | 240 | 144 | 46 | 10 | 12 | 14 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.110 |  |  | 0.503 |  |  | 0.748 |  |  | 0.625 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 205 | 1863 | 1583 | 937 | 1863 | 1583 | 1393 | 1863 | 1583 | 1164 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 73 |  |  | 268 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 73 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 40 | 311 | 39 | 31 | 760 | 268 | 282 | 169 | 54 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | ， |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 9.5 | 50.9 | 50.9 | 9.6 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 39.5 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 39.6 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 9.1 | 13.9 | 1.0 | 7.2 | 28.6 | 2.5 | 32.5 | 24.5 | 4.4 | 24.0 | 23.7 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 9.1 | 13.9 | 1.0 | 7.2 | 28.6 | 2.5 | 32.5 | 24.5 | 4.4 | 24.0 | 23.7 | 0.1 |
| LOS | A | B | A | A | C | A | C | C | A | C | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.1 |  |  | 21.4 |  |  | 26.8 |  |  | 14.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 77.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 20.8 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 62．7\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：$\quad 3:$ Curtis Rd \＆Hwy 94



Splits and Phases: $\quad 3:$ Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 35 | 300 | 35 | 30 | 670 | 265 | 250 | 150 | 50 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 35 | 300 | 35 | 30 | 670 | 265 | 250 | 150 | 50 | 10 | 15 | 15 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.104 |  |  | 0.492 |  |  | 0.746 |  |  | 0.611 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 194 | 1863 | 1583 | 916 | 1863 | 1583 | 1390 | 1863 | 1583 | 1138 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 73 |  |  | 282 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 73 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 41 | 326 | 41 | 35 | 788 | 282 | 291 | 176 | 59 | 12 | 18 | 18 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split (s) | 9.5 | 50.9 | 50.9 | 9.6 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 41.0 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 41.1 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 25.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.87 | 0.31 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 9.2 | 13.8 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 29.5 | 2.5 | 34.3 | 25.1 | 5.3 | 24.2 | 23.7 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 9.2 | 13.8 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 29.5 | 2.5 | 34.3 | 25.1 | 5.3 | 24.2 | 23.7 | 0.1 |
| LOS | A | B | A | A | C | A | C | C | A | C | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.1 |  |  | 21.9 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 78.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 21.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 64.5\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: $\quad 3:$ Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94



Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 3: Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | 7 | \% | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | \% ${ }^{1+1}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7 *}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 40 | 385 | 40 | 45 | 870 | 385 | 305 | 210 | 95 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 385 | 40 | 45 | 870 | 385 | 305 | 210 | 95 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.139 |  |  | 0.463 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 259 | 3539 | 1583 | 862 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 182 |  |  | 405 |  |  | 127 |  |  | 182 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 42 | 405 | 42 | 47 | 916 | 405 | 321 | 221 | 100 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 9.6 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 19.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 31.7 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 31.2 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 12.3 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 5.1 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.73 | 0.49 | 0.61 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 15.3 | 20.0 | 0.2 | 13.9 | 26.9 | 4.5 | 38.5 | 20.5 | 3.1 | 41.0 | 28.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 15.3 | 20.0 | 0.2 | 13.9 | 26.9 | 4.5 | 38.5 | 20.5 | 3.1 | 41.0 | 28.0 | 0.1 |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | C | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 26.8 |  |  | 23.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 21.3 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.8\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 3: Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94



Splits and Phases: 3: Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94





Splits and Phases: 3: Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 12 | 450 | 5 | 15 | 80 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 12 | 450 | 5 | 15 | 80 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 50 | 50 | 2 | 50 | 50 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 13 | 489 | 5 | 16 | 87 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 611 | 492 | 0 | 0 | 494 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 492 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 119 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.9 | 6.7 | - | - | 4.6 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.9 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.9 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.95 | 3.75 | - | - | 2.65 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 388 | 491 | - | - | 862 | - |
| Stage 1 | 527 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 800 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 380 | 491 | - | - | 862 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 380 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 527 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 784 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.2 |  | 0 |  | 1.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 458 | 862 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.038 | 0.019 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 13.2 | 9.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |


|  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \％ |  | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F＇ | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 5 | 674 | 383 | 93 | 348 | 15 | 78 | 29 | 57 | 273 | 263 | 30 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 5 | 674 | 383 | 93 | 348 | 15 | 78 | 29 | 57 | 273 | 263 | 30 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.476 |  |  | 0.088 |  |  | 0.297 |  |  | 0.737 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 887 | 1863 | 1583 | 164 | 1863 | 1583 | 553 | 1863 | 1583 | 1373 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 329 |  |  | 127 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 127 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 6 | 793 | 451 | 109 | 387 | 18 | 92 | 31 | 67 | 321 | 309 | 35 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 11.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 11.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 8.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 43.3 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 46.6 | 45.4 | 45.4 | 30.7 | 30.7 | 30.7 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.95 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 9.0 | 47.5 | 7.1 | 21.9 | 14.8 | 0.1 | 26.0 | 20.4 | 5.3 | 52.6 | 34.6 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 9.0 | 47.5 | 7.1 | 21.9 | 14.8 | 0.1 | 26.0 | 20.4 | 5.3 | 52.6 | 34.6 | 0.2 |
| LOS | A | D | A | C | B | A | C | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 32.8 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 17.8 |  |  | 41.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 87.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 30.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 74．9\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：3：Curtis Rd \＆Hwy 94


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 33 | 90 | 73 | 35 | 142 | 596 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 33 | 90 | 73 | 35 | 142 | 596 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 150 | 150 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 36 | 98 | 79 | 38 | 154 | 648 |




Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 3: Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 24.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 100 | 439 | 408 | 126 | 382 | 72 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 100 | 439 | 408 | 126 | 382 | 72 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 150 | 150 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 109 | 477 | 443 | 137 | 415 | 78 |




Splits and Phases: $\quad 3$ : Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




Splits and Phases: $\quad 3:$ Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 33 | 90 | 123 | 35 | 142 | 786 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 33 | 90 | 123 | 35 | 142 | 786 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 150 | 150 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 35 | 95 | 129 | 37 | 149 | 827 |




|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | － | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 性 | F | \％${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{10}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 40 | 385 | 47 | 49 | 870 | 385 | 311 | 213 | 98 | 20 | 24 | 20 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 40 | 385 | 47 | 49 | 870 | 385 | 311 | 213 | 98 | 20 | 24 | 20 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.141 |  |  | 0.466 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 263 | 3539 | 1583 | 868 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 182 |  |  | 405 |  |  | 127 |  |  | 182 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 42 | 405 | 49 | 52 | 916 | 405 | 327 | 224 | 103 | 21 | 25 | 21 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 9.6 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 19.0 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 9.5 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 32.1 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 31.7 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 12.4 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 5.1 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.71 | 0.49 | 0.61 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 14.7 | 19.3 | 0.2 | 13.4 | 25.8 | 4.4 | 38.4 | 21.2 | 3.5 | 41.0 | 28.9 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.7 | 19.3 | 0.2 | 13.4 | 25.8 | 4.4 | 38.4 | 21.2 | 3.5 | 41.0 | 28.9 | 0.1 |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | C | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.0 |  |  | 19.0 |  |  | 27.0 |  |  | 23.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 79.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 20.8 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 55．0\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：3：Curtis Rd \＆Hwy 94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dagger_{\square 1} \quad \dagger_{\varnothing 2}$ | $402$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark_{\square 3}$ | $\rightarrow 84$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.5 s  <br> 9.5 s  | 32.5 s |  |  |  | 6 s | 38.4 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\_{195}$ | ＊ 06 |  |  | $\rangle_{07}$ |  | 408 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 s |  |  |  | 0 s | 38 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 788 | 645 | 0 | 0 | 647 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 645 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 143 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 360 | 472 | - | - | 939 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 522 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 884 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 354 | 472 | - | - | 939 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 354 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 522 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 868 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.6 |  | 0 |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBR | VBLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 436 | 939 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.039 | 0.017 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 13.6 | 8.9 | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |  |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 | F | 7 | 性 | F | \% ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{7 \%}$ | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 40 | 351 | 197 | $11 \cdot 3$ | 811 | 360 | 496 | 308 | 202 | 20 | 86 | 20 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 351 | 197 | 119 | 811 | 360 | 496 | 308 | 202 | 20 | 86 | 20 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.198 |  |  | 0.363 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 369 | 3539 | 1583 | 676 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 236 |  |  | 379 |  |  | 213 |  |  | 236 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 42 | 369 | 207 | 125 | 854 | 379 | 522 | 324 | 213 | 21 | 91 | 21 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Total Split (s) | 9.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 15.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 26.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 9.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.0 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 29.9 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 17.1 | 37.9 | 37.9 | 5.1 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.78 | 0.51 | 0.71 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 19.7 | 29.4 | 4.9 | 19.8 | 32.7 | 5.5 | 36.1 | 17.8 | 3.5 | 40.9 | 29.3 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 19.7 | 29.4 | 4.9 | 19.8 | 32.7 | 5.5 | 36.1 | 17.8 | 3.5 | 40.9 | 29.3 | 0.1 |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | D | B | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.5 |  |  | 23.9 |  |  | 23.9 |  |  | 26.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 80.4
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.7\%
Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 3: Curtis Rd \& Hwy 94


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




## Queuing Reports



Intersection Summary

|  | 7 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 105 | 462 | 598 | 133 | 402 | 103 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.72 | 0.78 | 0.19 | 0.76 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 32.1 | 10.6 | 25.5 | 5.1 | 20.9 | 3.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 32.1 | 10.6 | 25.5 | 5.1 | 20.9 | 3.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 37 | 0 | 184 | 5 | 64 | 10 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 97 | 84 | 403 | 39 | \#239 | 31 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 193 |  | 143 |  |  | 1515 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 541 | 805 | 1216 | 1072 | 671 | 1670 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 0.60 | 0.06 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

