Final Drainage Report

Citizen on Constitution El Paso County, Colorado

Prepared for:

The Citizen on Constitution, LLC c/o: The Garrett Companies, Inc. Andrew White 1051 Greenwood Springs Blvd, Suite 101 Greenwood, IN 46143 Contact: (317) 497-8275

Prepared by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 4582 South Ulster Street, Suite 1500 Denver, Colorado 80237 (303) 228-2300 Contact: Mitchell Hess, P.E.

Project #: 096481004

Prepared: October 26th, 2022 PCD File Number: SF-226 & PPR-2229





CERTIFICATION

DESIGN ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparation of this report.

SIGNATURE (Affix Seal):

Colorado P.E. No. 53916



OWNER/DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this Drainage Report and Plan.

0.25.22

Date

The Citizen on Constitution, LLC. Name of Developer

Authorized Signature

K. Koal

Printed Name

Kareban 6 Title

1051 Greenwood Springs Blvd Greenwood, IN 46/43 Address:

EL PASO COUNTY

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Joshua Palmer, P.E. County Engineer/ ECM Administrator Date

Conditions:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATION	2
DESIGN ENGINEER'S STATEMENT Owner/Developer's Statement El Paso County	2 2 2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
INTRODUCTION	4
PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF STUDY LOCATION DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	4 4 4
DRAINAGE BASINS	4
MAJOR BASIN DESCRIPTIONS EXISTING SUB-BASIN DESCRIPTIONS Sub-Basin EX1 Sub-Basin EX2 Sub-Basin OS1 Sub-Basin OS2 Sub-Basin OS3 PROPOSED RATIONAL SUB-BASIN DESCRIPTIONS	4 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6
DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA	12
DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA REFERENCE HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA HYDRAULIC CRITERIA	12 12 13
THE FOUR STEP PROCESS	14
DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN	14
GENERAL CONCEPT MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS (MS4) SPECIFIC DETAILS	14 14 15
SUMMARY	16
REFERENCES	16
APPENDIX	17
APPENDIX A: FIGURES APPENDIX B: HYDROLOGY APPENDIX C: HYDRAULICS APPENDIX D: SITE PHOTOS APPENDIX E: EXCEPRTS FROM ADJACENT PROPERTY DRAINAGE REPORTS APPENDIX F: DRAINAGE MAPS	18 20 21 22 23

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF STUDY

The purpose of this Final Drainage Report (FDR) is to provide the hydrologic and hydraulic calculations and to document and finalize the drainage design methodology in support of the proposed Tracts M & N of Urban Collection at Palmer Village Filing No. 2 (the "Site") for The Citizen on Constitution, LLC (the "Project"). The Project is located within the jurisdictional limits of El Paso County (the "County"). Thus, the guidelines for the hydrologic and hydraulic design components were based on the criteria for the County and City of Colorado Springs, described below.

LOCATION

The two parcels totaling 12.26-acres (TSN: 54051-04-075 & 54051-04-074) are located at the southwest corner of the Marksheffel Road and Constitution Avenue intersections. A vicinity map has been provided in the **Appendix A** of this report.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Project is located on approximately 12.26 acres of land consisting of vacant land with native vegetation and is classified as "Undeveloped" per Table 6-6 of the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual. The Project consists of 2 multi-family buildings, 3 detached garage buildings, and a clubhouse amenity space with a pool deck. The Site does not currently provide water quality or detention for the Project area. The existing land use is undeveloped vacant land.

The existing topography consists of slopes ranging from 1% to 35% and generally slopes from North to South.

NRCS soil data is available for this Site and it has been noted that soils onsite are generally USCS Type A/B. The NRCS soil data can be found in **Appendix B**. There is an existing twin 12-foot by 6-foot concrete box culvert which flows from the north side of Constitution Avenue (Hannah Ridge) to the south side where it transitions to a twin 10-foot by 6-foot box culvert before crossing the project site and discharging into an existing gulch near the southwest corner of the Site which contributes to East Fork Sand Creek.

Improvements will consist of mowing, clearing and grubbing, weed control, paved access road construction, roadway grading, a detention pond, culverts, drainage swales, and native seeding.

An updated Topographic field survey was completed for the Project by Barren Land, LLC. dated October 11, 2021 and is the basis for design for the drainage improvements.

DRAINAGE BASINS

MAJOR BASIN DESCRIPTIONS

The Site improvements are located in Zone X, as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) number 08041C0756G effective date, December 7, 2018 (see **Appendix A**).



The Project is located within El Paso County's Sand Creek Drainage Basin.

EXISTING SUB-BASIN DESCRIPTIONS

Site runoff flows from north to south via sheet flow over vacant land to adjacent southern property owners and eventually to the gulch to the southwest that eventually contributes to the East Fork Sand Creek. Below is a description of the existing onsite sub-basin.

Sub-Basin EX1

Sub-Basin EX1 consists of the western half of the multi-family development. Drainage flows overland from north to south and conveys along the southern boundary to the west at Design Point EX1. Runoff during the 5-year and 100-year events are 1.38 cfs and 9.24 cfs, respectively. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OS1 and OS2, are 5.35 and 16.90 cfs, respectively. Runoff from this basin is currently directed to design point EX1 where it will drain into the existing gulch to the southwest that runs to the south eventually contributing to the East Fork Sand Creek. This sub-basin has an area of 4.05 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 2%. Refer to **Appendix D** for the Existing Conditions Drainage Map.

Sub-Basin EX2

Sub-Basin EX2 consists of the eastern half of the multi-family development. Drainage flows overland from north to south and sheet flows off the site near Design Point EX2. Runoff during the 5-year and 100-year events are 2.80 cfs and 18.81 cfs, respectively. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OS3 are 2.80 cfs and 18.81 cfs, respectively. Runoff from this basin is currently directed to design point EX2 where it will sheet flow to the southern adjacent properties currently owned by El Paso County Board of County Commissioners and Waste Connections of Colorado, Inc. This sub-basin has an area of 7.67 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 2%. Refer to **Appendix D** for the Existing Conditions Drainage Map.

Sub-Basin OS1

Sub-Basin OS1 consists of an offsite basin to the northwest of the Property. Drainage flows overland from north to south and conveys to the northern line of Sub-basin EX1 at Design Point OS1. Direct runoff during the 5-year and 100-year events are 0.09 cfs and 0.60 cfs, respectively. Runoff from this basin is currently directed to design point OS1 where it will drain into the Sub-basin EX1, which is on-site. This sub-basin has an area of 0.20 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 2%. Refer to **Appendix D** for the Existing Conditions Drainage Map.

Sub-Basin OS2

Sub-Basin OS2 consists of an offsite basin within Constitution Avenue north of the project site. Drainage is collected in a curb and gutter system and enters the site at the driveway cut to the site at Design Point OS2. Direct runoff during the 5-year and 100-year events are 3.89 cfs and 7.05 cfs, respectively. Runoff from this basin is currently directed to design point OS2 where it will drain into the Sub-basin OS1 and then Sub-basin EX1. This sub-basin has an area of 1.21 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 95%. Refer to **Appendix D** for the Existing



Conditions Drainage Map.

Sub-Basin OS3

Sub-Basin OS3 consists of an offsite basin to the northeast of the Property. Drainage flows overland from north to south and conveys to the northern line of Sub-basin EX2 at Design Point OS3. Direct runoff during the 5-year and 100-year events are 0.20 cfs and 1.33 cfs, respectively. Runoff from this basin is currently directed to design point OS3 where it will drain into the Sub-basin EX2, which is on-site. This sub-basin has an area of 0.46 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 2%. Refer to **Appendix D** for the Existing Conditions Drainage Map.

PROPOSED RATIONAL SUB-BASIN DESCRIPTIONS

Sub-Basin A1 consists of landscaping and a gravel emergency access road and is the westmost portion of the site which will have minimal grading to tie into the rest of the multi-family development on site. Runoff from this basin will be directed to design point A1 and will follow the historical drainage pattern by sheet flowing from north to south and eventually flowing to the existing gulch. This sub-basin has an area of 0.87 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 2%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.26 cfs and 1.92 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basins OA1 and OA2, are 0.75 and 2.15 cfs, respectively. Please see below discussion in the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) discussion for additional information on how stormwater quality is being addressed for basins that run offsite.

Sub-Basin A2 consists of a portion of landscaping and the existing gulch on the south side of the site. Runoff from this basin will follow the historical drainage pattern by sheet flowing to adjacent southern property and eventually flowing to the gulch. This sub-basin has an area of 0.41 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 42%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.89 cfs and 2.15 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Please see below discussion in the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) discussion for additional information on how stormwater quality is being addressed for basins that run offsite.

Sub-Basin B1 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, and sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point B1 where it will be captured by inlet B1 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet B1 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.13 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 83%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.51 cfs and 0.97 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OB1, are 0.86 and 1.60 cfs, respectively.

Sub-Basin B2 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, and sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point B2 where it will be captured by inlet B2 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet B2 has been sized to accept the 5-year flow completely and will allow approximately 0.2 cfs to bypass onto inlet D1a in the 100-year event. This sub-basin has an area of 0.17 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 79%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.62 cfs and 1.20 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OB2, are 0.96 and 1.82 cfs, respectively.



Sub-Basin B3 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, and sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point B3 where it will be captured by inlet B3 and directly discharged to the existing gulch located in sub-basin A2 via storm drain system. Inlet B3 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.35 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 84%. The basin will generate runoff of 1.36 cfs and 2.57 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Please see below discussion in the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) discussion for additional information on how stormwater quality is being addressed for basins that run offsite.

Sub-Basin B4 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, and sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point B4 where it will be captured by inlet B4 and directly discharged to the existing gulch located in sub-basin A2 via storm drain system. Inlet B3 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.18 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 84%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.72 cfs and 1.36 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Please see below discussion in the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) discussion for additional information on how stormwater quality is being addressed for basins that run offsite.

Sub-Basin B5 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, and sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will be directed to design point B5 and will follow the historical drainage pattern by sheet flowing from north to south and eventually flowing to the existing gulch. This sub-basin has an area of 0.03 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 75%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.11 cfs and 0.21 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Please see below discussion in the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) discussion for additional information on how stormwater quality is being addressed for basins that run offsite.

Sub-Basin C1 consists of the on-site detention pond or the "West Pond" and a portion of landscaping. Runoff from this basin will be directed into the West Pond. This sub-basin has an area of 0.84 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 4%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.43 cfs and 2.64 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OC1, are 0.60 and 3.07 cfs, respectively.

Sub-Basin C2 consists of a portion of landscaping, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point C2 where it will be directed through a curb cut to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1. The curb cut has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.26 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 73%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.89 cfs and 1.76 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OC2, are 0.89 and 1.76 cfs, respectively. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OC2, are 0.97 and 2.01 cfs, respectively.

Sub-Basin C3 consists of a portion of landscaping, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point C3 where it will be directed through a curb cut to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1. The curb cut has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.62 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 92%. The basin will generate runoff of 2.61 cfs and 4.79 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D1 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, and sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point D1 where it will be captured by inlet D1 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D1 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.58 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 97%. The basin will generate runoff of 2.61 cfs and 4.72 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D1a consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, and sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point D1a where it will be captured by inlet D1a and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. In the 100-year event Inlet D1a will receive 0.2cfs of bypass flow from inlet B2. Inlet D1a has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin and the bypass flow. This sub-basin has an area of 0.18 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 87%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.73 cfs and 1.37 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D2 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D2 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D2 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 1.08 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 90%. The basin will generate runoff of 4.42 cfs and 8.17 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D3 consists of a portion of landscaping, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D3 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D3 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.30 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 55%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.72 cfs and 1.59 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D4 consists of a portion of landscaping, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D4 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D4 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.30 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 55%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.73 cfs and 1.63 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D5 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D5 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D5 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.51 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 90%. The basin will generate runoff of 2.08 cfs and 3.85 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D6 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D6 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D6 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.81 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 91%. The basin will generate runoff of 3.32 cfs and 6.11 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D7 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D7 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D7 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.39 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 85%. The basin will generate runoff of 1.53 cfs and 2.88 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D8 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D8 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D8 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.54 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 84%. The basin will generate runoff of 2.07 cfs and 3.92 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D9 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D9 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D9 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.43 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 83%. The basin will generate runoff of 1.58 cfs and 3.00 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D10 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D10 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D10 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.37 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 82%. The basin will generate runoff of 1.33 cfs and 2.54 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D11 consists of a portion of landscaping, roadway, parking lot, sidewalk, and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D11 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D11 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.50 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 89%. The basin will generate runoff of 2.03 cfs and 3.78 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin D12 consists of a portion of landscaping and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet D12 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet D12 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.66 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 30%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.99 cfs and 2.86 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OD12, are 0.99 and 2.90 cfs, respectively.

Sub-Basin E1 consists of a portion of landscaping and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet E1 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet E1 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.18 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 46%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.37 cfs and 0.88 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OE1, are 0.49 and 1.27 cfs, respectively.

Sub-Basin E2 consists of a portion of landscaping and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet E2 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet E2 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.21 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 39%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.38 cfs and 0.98 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OE2, are 0.57 and 1.56 cfs, respectively.

Sub-Basin E3 consists of a portion of landscaping and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet E3 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet E3 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.22 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 40%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.41 cfs and 1.04 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OE3, are 0.60 and 1.63 cfs, respectively.

Sub-Basin E4 consists of a portion of landscaping and roof area. Runoff from this basin will be captured by inlet E4 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. Inlet E4 has been adequately sized to convey anticipated onsite flows from this sub-basin. This sub-basin has an area of 0.18 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 46%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.38 cfs and 0.92 cfs in the minor and major storm event. Cumulative flows from this basin, including the flows from Sub-Basin OE4, are 0.53 and 1.34 cfs, respectively.

Sub-Basin OA1 consists of landscaping offsite to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point A1 and travels through Basin A1 to follow the historical drainage pattern by sheet flowing from north to south and eventually flowing to the existing gulch. This sub-basin has an area of 0.05 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 46%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.1 cfs and 0.24 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OA2 consists of landscaped area, sidewalks, and 1,870 square feet of asphalt roadway within Urban Collection at Palmer Village offsite to the west of the Property. Runoff from this basin will be directed to design point A1 and travels through Basin A1 to follow the historic drainage pattern by sheet flowing north to south and eventually flowing to the existing gulch. Runoff values for basin OA2 were obtained from the approved Final Drainage Report for Urban Collection at Palmer Village by JR Engineering dated April 2021. The Final Drainage Report states that basins B14, B15, and B16 total 0.45 acres and will generate runoff of 0.60 cfs and 1.90 cfs in the minor and major storm events. Design Points 28, 29, and 30 correspond to basins B14, B15, and B16 on the Final Drainage Report.

Sub-Basin OB1 consists of a portion Constitution Avenue to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point B1 and travels via curb and gutter through Basin B1 to a curb inlet at design point B1. This sub-basin has an area of 0.08 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 96%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.35 cfs and 0.63 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OB2 consists of a portion of Constitution Avenue to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point B2 and travels via curb and gutter through Basin B2 to a curb inlet at design point B2. This sub-basin has an area of 0.08 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 90%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.34 cfs and 0.62 cfs in the minor and major storm event.



Sub-Basin OC1 consists of a portion of landscaping and sidewalk offsite to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point C1 and overland flows directly into the West Pond, sub-basin C1. This sub-basin has an area of 0.08 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 41%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.17 cfs and 0.42 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OC2 consists of a portion of landscaping and sidewalk offsite to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will be directed into design point C2 and travels through Basin C2 where it will be directed through a curb cut to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1. This sub-basin has an area of 0.06 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 25%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.08 cfs and 0.25 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OD12 consists of landscaping offsite to the northeast of the Property. Runoff from this basin will travel through Basin D12 to be captured by inlet D12 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. This sub-basin has an area of 0.01 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 2%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.01 cfs and 0.04 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OE1 consists of sidewalk and landscaping offsite to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will travel through Basin E1 to be captured by inlet E1 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. This sub-basin has an area of 0.09 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 25%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.13 cfs and 0.39 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OE2 consists of sidewalk and landscaping offsite to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will travel through Basin E2 to be captured by inlet E2 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. This sub-basin has an area of 0.14 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 25%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.19 cfs and 0.58 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OE3 consists of sidewalk and landscaping offsite to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will travel through Basin E3 to be captured by inlet E3 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. This sub-basin has an area of 0.14 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 25%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.19 cfs and 0.59 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OE4 consists of sidewalk and landscaping offsite to the north of the Property. Runoff from this basin will travel through Basin E4 to be captured by inlet E4 and directed to the West Pond located in sub-basin C1 via storm drain system. This sub-basin has an area of 0.09 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 28%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.14 cfs and 0.41 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OF1 consists of an offsite basin within Constitution Avenue north of the project site. Drainage is collected in a curb and gutter system and routed to a proposed curb inlet at the entrance to the site. This sub-basin has an area of 1.12 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 100%. The basin will generate runoff of 3.56 cfs and 6.36 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OF2 consists of the southern-most portion of the Site that drains to the south off-site and consists of entirely landscaping. This sub-basin has an area of 0.42 acres. The impervious



value for this basin is 2%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.15 cfs and 1.09 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Sub-Basin OS1 consists of an offsite basin to the northwest of the site within Constitution Avenue and the adjacent landscaping. Drainage is collected in a curb and gutter system and routed to an existing curb inlet to the west in Constitution Ave, following its historical flow pattern. This sub-basin has an area of 0.25 acres. The impervious value for this basin is 83%. The basin will generate runoff of 0.99 cfs and 1.87 cfs in the minor and major storm event.

Design point UO represents the ultimate outfall for the site into the existing drainageway. The flows at this point include the detained flow release from the detention pond as well as the flows from sub-basins A1, A2, B2, B3, and B4. This design point is utilized to show that the combined flows entering the gulch from the site are less than the historical flows entering the gulch from the Site.

DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA REFERENCE

The proposed storm facilities are designed to be in compliance with the City of Colorado Springs and El Paso County "Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM)" (Current Adopted Version) ("the MANUAL"), El Paso County "Engineering Criteria Manual" ("the Engineering Manual"), Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual dated May 2014 ("the Colorado Springs MANUAL").

HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA

The 5-year and 100-year design storm events were used in determining rainfall and runoff for the existing and proposed drainage analysis per the MANUAL. The rainfall depths for site were determined from equation 6-1, equation 6-2 utilizing Figures 6-6, 6-11, 6-12, and 6 -17 from the DCM. Refer to **Table 1** below for the rainfall depths utilized for the site and **Appendix B** for the hydrologic calculations for the site.

	Duration (HRS)		
Storm Event	1 HR		
2 Year	1.19		
5 Year	1.52		
10 Year	1.75		
100 Year	2.55		

Calculations for the runoff coefficients and percent impervious are included in the **Appendix B**. Rational method was used to determine the peak flows for the project. These flows were used to determine the size of the proposed curb cuts, inlets, and storm drain system.



The proposed impervious values in Table 6-6 of the DCM were utilized in this report for the final design. Refer to **Appendix B** of this report for Table 6-6.

The Site is providing one full spectrum detention pond. The Site is maintaining the historic drainage patterns as much as possible. The site is reducing onsite runoff flows during the 100-year storm from 16.9 cfs to 14.01 cfs for existing to proposed conditions respectively. This is a 2.92 cfs reduction in onsite runoff flows at design point EX1.

There are no additional provisions selected or deviations from the criteria in both the MANUAL and Colorado Springs MANUAL.

HYDRAULIC CRITERIA

Applicable design methods were utilized to size the proposed pond, which includes the use of the UD-Detention spreadsheet, UD-Inlet spreadsheet, rational calculations spreadsheet, StormCAD, and FlowMaster.

Proposed drainage features on-site have been analyzed and sized for the following design storm events:

• Major Storm: 100-year Storm Event

One full spectrum on-site detention pond is proposed in order to maintain historic flows and water quality. The detention pond is on the west side of the Site and is referred to as "West Pond" in this report, with a required volume of 1.466 ac-ft and a proposed volume of 1.481 ac-ft (at the 100-year water surface elevation) and designed for the 100-year storm event. With a discharge rate of 4.4 cfs, water from the West Pond is discharged into an existing gulch located at the southwest corner of the site and ultimately out falling to Sand Creek (Sand Creek's East Fork). West Pond calculations are provided in the **Appendix C**.

The ultimate outfall for the Site is into an existing gulch that runs through the site within a concrete box culvert. The detention pond will outlet into an existing riprap channel at the end of the concrete box culvert to dissipate energy and reduce erosion. The existing riprap has been observed and no signs of erosion have been identified. This reach of Sand Creek's East Fork was determined to be a suitable outfall and is shown to have approximately 1076 cfs in the 100-year event, per the Hydrology Analysis for East Fork Sand Creek Tributary 6. The 100-year storm contribution from the site will be reduced by 3.12 cfs from the existing and the Site will not have an adverse effect on the existing gulch.

Curb cuts, inlets, and storm drain pipes are designed to carry flows to the West Pond. The curb cuts, inlets, swales, and storm drain pipes calculations are provided in the **Appendix C** and the design points are provided in the Proposed Drainage Map located in **Appendix D**. The West Pond is designed to release the 100-year flow at 4.4 cfs, which in combination with undetained flows totals to 13.78 cfs, 3.12 cfs below the pre-development flow rate.

Emergency overflows will be routed through the overflow weir located within the outlet structure of the West Pond. It will follow existing drainage conditions and flow to the gulch to the southwest that eventually contributes to the East Fork Sand Creek to the South.

THE FOUR STEP PROCESS

The Project was designed in accordance with the four-step process to minimize adverse impacts of urbanization, as outlined in the County's "Four-Step Process" for selecting structural BMPs (ECM Section I.7.2 BMP Selection).

Step 1. **Employ Runoff Reduction Practices**- The project is proposing a residential development that will be designed to minimize the impact to the current existing terrain. The Site's proposed paved roadways will increase the Site's impervious area; however, a full spectrum detention pond will be used to capture stormwater and maintain flows discharging off site at or below historic levels.

Step 2. Stabilize Drainageways– Stabilizing proposed drainage paths with landscape will slow flow rates. Rock chutes will be constructed to reduce the velocities of runoff entering the ponds at the curb cut locations. We anticipate this will minimize erosion.

Step 3. Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) –Permanent water quality measures and detention facilities will be provided with the Project. More specifically, this project proposes the construction of an Extended Detention Basin to provide for the required water quality capture volume.

Step 4. Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs – The proposed project is proposing a residential development; therefore, covering of storage/handling areas and spill containment and control will not need to be provided.

DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN

GENERAL CONCEPT

The proposed drainage patterns will match the historic patterns. To maintain historic flows, a full spectrum detention pond is being proposed and will capture and control the flows from the proposed development to convey flows with landscape sheet flow, parking lot sheet flow, and a storm drain system.

Provided in the **Appendix B** are hydrologic calculations utilizing the Rational method for the existing and proposed conditions. Provided in **Appendix C** are the hydraulic calculations for the proposed conditions, Flowmaster details, and cross sections for the proposed drainage features. As previously mentioned, the existing drainage map and proposed drainage map can be found in **Appendix D**.

MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS (MS4)

The Site will handle post construction stormwater by meeting the water quality capture volume design standard from the MS4. Basins B1, B2, C1-C3, D1-D12, and E1-E4 will be captured onsite and directed to the onsite extended detention basin to treat the WQCV. The design standard allows for up to 20 percent (not to exceed 1 acre) to be excluded from the capture are when not practicable to capture runoff. Basins B3 and B4 which include the southern down gradient portion of Akers Drive will be captured by two Type R Inlets and discharged directly into



the existing gulch onsite. Basin B5 which includes the southern most portion of Akers drive will sheet flow to the pervious site to the south and ultimately end up in the existing gulch.

Basins A1 and A2 are proposed to be excluded sites as they are land disturbance to undeveloped land that will remain undeveloped. The Site will utilize informal runoff reduction (shown in the following section) "Best Management Practices" as separate pervious area that does not receive runoff from impervious surfaces. Runoff reduction calculations are not provided for these basins as they meet the exclusion from needing water quality per ECM Appendix I.7.1.B.7. The basins will be stabilized after construction and are primarily made up of Type A hydrologic soil group which has low runoff potential due to high infiltration rates.

SPECIFIC DETAILS

The existing conditions of the Site have flows from north to south via sheet flow over vacant land to adjacent southern property and eventually to the gulch to the southwest. Runoff conditions for the Site were developed utilizing the Rational Method described in the Hydrologic Criteria section of this report.

Sub-basins D1 through D12 consist of future multi-family buildings and associated infrastructure. Sub-basins C1 through C3 consist of a portion of landscaping, pavement, and the detention pond. All basins have flows being captured and conveyed onsite. Flows are conveyed from the north and east sides of the Site to the west side of the Site. On site flows enter the detention pond which then discharges into a proposed 24-inch storm drain pipe at the southwest corner of the site.

Overall the site is reducing onsite runoff flows during the 100-year storm from 33 cfs to 13.98 cfs for existing to proposed conditions respectively (includes pond discharge and Basins A1, A2, B3, B4, and B5 which drain directly offsite). This is a 19.02 cfs reduction in onsite runoff flows, and will provide stormwater flood protection for the properties located downstream of the Site. This reduction in flow will also allow portions of the Site to maintain historical drainage patterns, by allowing un-detained runoff from Sub-basins A1, A2, B3, B4 and B5. Furthermore, by maintaining the historical drainage patterns for the aforementioned sub-basins, imported fill for this project is minimized, allowing established vegetation to continue to provide infiltration and informal runoff reduction.

The hydrologic calculations, hydraulic calculations, and Drainage Maps are included in the **Appendix B**, **Appendix C**, and **Appendix D** of this report for reference.

The Site will disturb more than 1 acre and will require a Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) General Permit for Stormwater Discharge Associated with Construction Activities from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE).

The required fees for the Sand Creek Drainage Basin based upon the 2022 fee schedule, are listed below. Fees will be paid prior to plat recordation.

				Total =	\$221.613.77	
-	Bridge Fee/Impervious Acre =	\$8,923	Х	7.21 acres =	\$64,334.83	
-	Drainage Fee/Impervious Acre =	\$21,814	х	7.21 acres =	\$157,278.94	

SUMMARY

The proposed drainage design is to maintain the historic drainage patterns, the overall imperviousness and release rates for the Site. Runoff from the Site will be controllably discharged through the proposed drainage system and will continue through the existing gulch to an existing El Paso County drainage basin: The Sand Creek Basin. The drainage design presented within this report conforms to the criteria presented in both the MANUAL and the Colorado Springs MANUAL. Additionally, the Site runoff and storm drain facilities will not adversely affect the downstream and surrounding developments, including Sand Creek.

REFERENCES

- 1. City of Colorado Springs "Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM) Volume 1", dated May, 2014
- 2. El Paso County "Drainage Criteria Manual", dated October 31, 2018
- 3. El Paso County "Engineering Criteria Manual" Revision 6, dated June, 23, 2020
- 4. Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1. of Chapter 13-City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual, May 2014.
- 5. Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Drainage Criteria Manual (UDFCDCM), Vol. 1, prepared by Wright-McLaughlin Engineers, June 2001, with latest revisions.
- 6. Flood Insurance Rate Map, El Paso County, Colorado and Incorporated Areas, Map Number 08041C0756G, Effective Date December 7, 2018, prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A: FIGURES

Vicinity Map



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



APPENDIX B: HYDROLOGY



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for El Paso County Area, Colorado



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
El Paso County Area, Colorado	13
8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	13
10—Blendon sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	14
References	16

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION		
Area of Int	erest (AOI)	33	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at		
	Area of Interest (AOI)	٥	Stony Spot	1:24,000.		
Soils		0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale		
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	Ŷ	Wet Spot			
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	Δ	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause		
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of		
Special	Point Features Blowout	Water Fea	atures	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.		
	Borrow Pit	\sim	Streams and Canals			
	Clay Spot	Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map		
飛	Clased Depression	+++	Rails	measurements.		
Š	Croyel Dit	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service		
320	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:		
	Gravelly Spot	\approx	Major Roads	Cooldinate System. Web Welcator (EFSG.3037)		
0	Landfill	\sim	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator		
Λ.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	Ind	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the		
عله	Marsh or swamp	and the	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more		
2	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance of area are required.		
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as		
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.		
\vee	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado		
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021		
°*°	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales		
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.		
0	Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Sep		
>	Slide or Slip			23, 2018		
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.		

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	16.7	75.2%
10	Blendon sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	5.5	24.8%
Totals for Area of Interest		22.2	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

El Paso County Area, Colorado

8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369v Elevation: 4,600 to 5,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Blakeland and similar soils: 98 percent Minor components: 2 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Blakeland

Setting

Landform: Hills, flats Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 11 inches: loamy sand AC - 11 to 27 inches: loamy sand C - 27 to 60 inches: sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 9 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

10—Blendon sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3671 Elevation: 6,000 to 6,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Blendon and similar soils: 98 percent *Minor components:* 2 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Blendon

Setting

Landform: Terraces, alluvial fans Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Sandy alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

A - 0 to 10 inches: sandy loam Bw - 10 to 36 inches: sandy loam C - 36 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 2 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e

Custom Soil Resource Report

Hydrologic Soil Group: B *Ecological site:* R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill *Hydric soil rating:* No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes
References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

(Eq. 6-1)

The methods described in this Manual require only that the 1-hour, 6-hour and 24-hours depths be used as input. The storm return periods required for the application of methods in this Manual are the 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50- and 100-year events. The 6-hour and 24-hour depths for these return periods can be read directly from Figures 6-6 through 6-17 at the end of this chapter. The1-hour depth for return periods can be calculated for all design return periods following this procedure:

Step 1: Calculate 2-year, 1-hour rainfall based on 2-year, 6-hour and 24-hour values.

 $Y_2 = 0.218 + 0.709 \cdot (X_1 \cdot X_1 / X_2)$

Where:

 $Y_2 = 2$ -year, 1-hour rainfall (in)

 $X_1 = 2$ -year, 6-hour rainfall (in) from Figure 6-6

 $X_2 = 2$ -year, 24-hour rainfall (in) from Figure 6-12

Step 2: Calculate 100-year, 1-hour rainfall based on 2-year 6-hour and 24-hour values

$$Y_{100} = 1.897 + 0.439 \cdot (X_3 \cdot X_3 / X_4) - 0.008 Z$$
 (Eq. 6-2)

Where

 $Y_{100} = 100$ -year, 1-hour rainfall (in)

 $X_3 = 100$ -year, 6-hour rainfall (in) from Figure 6-11

 $X_4 = 100$ -year, 24-hour rainfall (in) from Figure 6-17

Z = Elevation in hundreds of feet above sea level

Step 3: Plot the 2-year and 100-year, 1-hour values on the diagram provided in Figure 6-18 and connect the points with a straight line. The 1-hour point rainfall values for other recurrence intervals can be read directly from the straight line drawn on Figure 6-18.

Example: Determine the 10-year, 1-hour rainfall depth for downtown Colorado Springs.

Step 1: Calculate 2-year, 1-hour rainfall (Y_2) based on 2-year, 6-hour and 24-hour values. From Figure 6-6, the 2-year, 6-hour rainfall depth for downtown Colorado Springs is approximately 1.7 inches (X_1) , and from Figure 6-12, the 2-year 24-hour depth is approximately 2.1 inches (X_2) . The 2-year, 1-hour rainfall is calculated as follows:

$$Y_2 = 0.218 + 0.709 \cdot (1.7 \cdot 1.7/2.1) = 1.19$$
 in (Eq. 6-3)

Step 2: Calculate 100-year, 1-hour rainfall (Y_{100}) based on 100-year, 6-hour and 24-hour values. From Figure 6-11, the 100-year, 6-hour rainfall depth for downtown Colorado Springs is approximately 3.5 inches (X_3), and from Figure 6-17, the 100-year 24-hour depth is approximately 4.5 inches (X_4). Assume an elevation of 6,840 feet for Colorado Springs. The 100-year, 1-hour rainfall is calculated as follows:

$$Y_{100} = 1.897 + 0.439 \cdot (3.5 \cdot 3.5 / 4.6) - 0.008 \cdot (6,840 / 100) = 2.52 \text{ in}$$
 (Eq. 6-4)

Step 3: Plot 2-year and 100-year, 1-hour rainfall depths on Figure 6-18 and read 10-year value from straight line. This example is illustrated on Figure 6-18, with a 1-hour, 10-year rainfall depth of approximately 1.75 inches. Figure 6-18a provides the example, and Figure 6-18b provides a blank chart.



Figure 6-6. 2-Year, 6-Hour Precipitation Tenths of an Inch (NOAA Atlas 2)



Figure 6-12. 2-Year, 24-Hour Precipitation Tenths of an Inch (NOAA Atlas 2)



Figure 6-11. 100-Year, 6-Hour Precipitation Tenths of an Inch (NOAA Atlas 2)



Figure 6-17. 100-Year, 24-Hour Precipitation Tenths of an Inch (NOAA Atlas 2)

	Rair	nfall Depths	
			Notes
2 yr, 6 hr rainfall (in)	X1 =	1.7	From Figure 6-6
2 yr, 24 hr rainfall (in)	X ₂ =	2.1	From Figure 6-12
100 yr, 6 hr rainfall (in)	X ₃ =	3.5	From Figure 6-11
100 yr, 24 hr rainfall (in)	X4 =	4.6	From Figure 6-17
Elevation (hundreds of feet)]	Z =	64.5	
2 yr, 1 hr rainfall (in)	Y ₂ =	1.193719	Equation 6-1
100 yr, 1 hr rainfall (in)	Y ₁₀₀	2.550076	Equation 6-2
		Graph	
X-axis		Y-axis	
2	Y2	1.193719	Calculated from Eq 6-1
100	Y100	2.550076	Calculated from Eq 6-2
	Y5	1.52	Determined From Graph below
	Y10	1.75	Determined From Graph below



$$I = \frac{28.5 P_1}{(10+T_D)^{0.786}}$$

Where:

I = rainfall intensity (inches per hour)

P₁ = one-hour rainfall depth (inches) from Table 6-2 One-hour Point Rainfall C City of Colorado Springs Drainage Design

T_c = storm duration (minutes)

	<u>2-yr</u>	<u>5-yr</u>	<u>10-yr</u>	<u>100-yr</u>
P ₁ =	1.19	1.52	1.75	2.55

TIME	2 YR	5 YR	10 YR	100 YR
5	4.05	5.16	5.94	8.65
10	3.23	4.11	4.73	6.90
15	2.71	3.45	3.97	5.79
30	1.87	2.38	2.75	4.00
60	1.21	1.54	1.77	2.58
120	0.74	0.94	1.09	1.58

Time Intensity Frequency Tabulation

Land Lico or Surface	Porcont						Runoff Co	efficients					
Characteristics	Impervious	2-y	ear	5-y	ear	10-1	/ear	ץ-25	/ear	50-y	year	100-	year
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas													
Historic Flow Analysis Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
-													
Streets	400	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.05	0.05	0.05	0.00
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method (Source: UDFCD 2001)

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_i) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For nonurban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_i) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

Weighted Imperviousness Calculations

SUB-	AREA	AREA	ROOF	ROOF		RO	OF		LANDSCAPE	LANDSCAPE		LAND	SCAPE		PAVEMENT	PAVEMENT		PAVE	MENT		WEIGHTED		WEIGHTED	COEFFICIEN	TS
BASIN	(SF)	(Acres)	AREA	IMPERVIOUSNESS	C2	C5	C10	C100	AREA	IMPERVIOUSNESS	C2	C5	C10	C100	AREA	IMPERVIOUSNESS	C2	C5	C10	C100	IMPERVIOUSNESS	C2	C5	C10	C100
EX1	176612	4.05	0	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	4.054449	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36	0	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36
EX2	334022	7.67	0	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	7.668084	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36	0	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36
OS1	8569.09	0.20	0	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.196719	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36	0	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36
OS2	52548.9	1.21	0	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.06	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36	1.15	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	95%	0.85	0.86	0.89	0.93
OS3	20104.2	0.46	0	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.461529	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36	0	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36
TOTAL	415,244	9.53	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	8.39	2%	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.36	1.15	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	14%	0.13	0.19	0.26	0.43

Citizen o	n Constitutio	on - Draind	age Repor	t						Watercou	irse Coeffic	ient				
Existing I	Runoff Calcu	lations			Forest	& Meadow	2.50	Short G	rass Pastur	e & Lawns	7.00			Grasse	d Waterway	15.00
Time of C	Concentratio	n			Fallow or	Cultivation	5.00		Nearly Ba	re Ground	10.00		Paveo	d Area & Sha	allow Gutter	20.00
		SUB-BASIN			INIT	IAL / OVERL	AND	Т	RAVEL TIN	1E				T(c) CHECK		FINAL
		DATA				TIME			T(t)				(URI	BANIZED BA	SINS)	T(c)
DESIGN	DRAIN	AREA	AREA	C(5)	Length	Slope	T(i)	Length	Slope	Coeff.	Velocity	T(t)	COMP.	TOTAL	L/180+10	l
POINT	BASIN	sq. ft.	ac.		ft.	%	min	ft.	%		fps	min.	T(c)	LENGTH		min.
1	EX1	176,612	4.05	0.09	141	1.7%	18.4	275	2.3%	5.00	0.8	6.1	24.5	416	12.3	12.3
2	EX2	334,022	7.67	0.09	100	2.2%	14.2	315	2.3%	5.00	0.8	7.0	21.2	415	12.3	12.3
3	OS1	8,569	0.20	0.09	20	3.5%	5.4	0	2.0%	5.00	0.7	0.0	5.4	20	10.1	5.4
4	OS2	52,549	1.21	0.86	57	2.0%	2.6	849	0.5%	20.00	1.4	10.0	12.6	906	15.0	12.6
5	OS3	20,104	0.46	0.09	20	2.0%	6.6	0	0.5%	5.00	0.4	0.0	6.6	20	10.1	6.6

Citizen on Constitution - Drainage Report Existing Runoff Calculations Design Storm 5 Year (Rational Method Procedure) BASIN INFORMATION DIRECT RUNOFF CUMULATIVE RUNOFF RUNOFF T(c) СхА T(c) СхА NOTES DESIGN DRAIN AREA Q Q Т COEFF in/hr POINT BASIN min cfs min in/hr cfs ac. 1 EX1 4.05 0.09 12.3 0.36 3.78 1.38 5.35 Includes flow from OS1 & OS2 2 EX2 7.67 0.09 12.3 0.69 3.78 2.61 2.80 Includes flow from OS3 3 OS1 0.20 0.09 5.4 0.02 5.05 0.09 0.09 4 OS2 1.21 0.86 12.6 1.04 3.73 3.89 3.89 5 0.46 0.09 6.6 OS3 0.04 4.76 0.20 0.20

Citizen on Constitution - Drainage Report

Existing Runoff Calculations

(Rational Method Procedure)

E	BASIN INFORMATION	N		DIF	RECT RUNG	OFF			CUMULATI	VE RUNOF	F	
DESIGN	DRAIN	AREA	RUNOFF	T(c)	СхА	Ι	Q	T(c)	СхА	-	Q	NOTES
POINT	BASIN	ac.	COEFF	min		in/hr	cfs	min		in/hr	cfs	
1	EX1	4.05	0.36	12.3	1.46	6.33	9.24				16.90	Includes flow from OS1 & OS2
2	EX2	7.67	0.36	12.3	2.76	6.33	17.48				18.81	Includes flow from OS3
3	OS1	0.20	0.36	5.4	0.07	8.47	0.60				0.60	
4	OS2	1.21	0.93	12.6	1.13	6.26	7.05				7.05	
5	OS3	0.46	0.36	6.6	0.17	7.99	1.33				1.33	

Design Storm 100 Year

		SUMM	ARY - EXISTII	NG RUNOFF T	ABLE	
DESIGN POINT	BASIN DESIGNATION	BASIN AREA (ACRES)	DIRECT 5-YR RUNOFF (CFS)	DIRECT 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS)	CUMULATIVE 5-YR RUNOFF (CFS)	CUMULATIVE 100- YR RUNOFF (CFS)
1	EX1	4.05	1.38	9.24	5.35	16.90
2	EX2	7.67	2.61	17.48	2.80	18.81
3	OS1	0.20	0.09	0.60	3.97	7.65
4	OS2	1.21	3.89	7.05	3.89	7.05
5	OS3	0.46	0.20	1.33	0.20	1.33

Weighted Imperviousness Calculations

SUB-	AREA	AREA	ROOF	ROOF		RO	OF		LANDSCAPE	LANDSCAPE		LAND	SCAPE		PAVEMENT/GRAVEL	PAVEMENT/GRAVEL		PAVE	MENT		WEIGHTED		WEIGHTED	COEFFICIEN	TS
BASIN	(SF)	(Acres)	AREA	IMPERVIOUSNESS	C2	C5	C10	C100	AREA	IMPERVIOUSNESS	C2	C5	C10	C100	AREA	IMPERVIOUSNESS	C2	C5	C10	C100	IMPERVIOUSNESS	C2	C5	C10	C100
A1*	38032	0.87	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.87	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.00	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35
A2*	18072	0.41	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.24	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.17	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	42%	0.38	0.42	0.47	0.60
B1	5733	0.13	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.02	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.11	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	83%	0.74	0.76	0.79	0.85
B2	7269	0.17	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.04	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.13	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	79%	0.70	0.72	0.75	0.83
B3*	15035	0.35	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.06	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.29	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	84%	0.75	0.77	0.79	0.86
B4*	7927	0.18	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.03	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.15	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	84%	0.75	0.77	0.80	0.86
B5*	1347	0.03	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.01	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.02	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	75%	0.67	0.69	0.72	0.80
C1	36584	0.84	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.82	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.02	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	4%	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.36
C2	11364	0.26	0.04	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.07	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.15	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	73%	0.64	0.66	0.69	0.78
C3	27135	0.62	0.15	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.03	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.44	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	92%	0.80	0.81	0.84	0.89
D1	25466	0.58	0.07	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.01	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.51	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	97%	0.85	0.87	0.89	0.93
D1a	7879	0.18	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.02	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.16	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	87%	0.77	0.79	0.81	0.88
D2	46995	1.08	0.30	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.08	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.70	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	90%	0.78	0.79	0.82	0.88
D3	12867	0.30	0.16	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.12	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.02	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	55%	0.44	0.48	0.52	0.63
D4	13087	0.30	0.16	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.12	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.02	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	55%	0.44	0.48	0.52	0.63
D5	22186	0.51	0.13	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.04	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.34	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	90%	0.78	0.79	0.82	0.87
D6	35089	0.81	0.25	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.05	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.51	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	91%	0.78	0.80	0.82	0.88
D7	17176	0.39	0.10	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.05	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.24	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	85%	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.84
D8	23507	0.54	0.11	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.08	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.35	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	84%	0.73	0.75	0.77	0.84
D9	18641	0.43	0.21	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.05	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.16	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	83%	0.69	0.72	0.74	0.81
D10	15901	0.37	0.19	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.05	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.13	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	82%	0.68	0.71	0.73	0.80
D11	21854	0.50	0.11	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.05	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.34	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	89%	0.77	0.79	0.81	0.87
D12	28925	0.66	0.21	90%	0./1	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.45	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.00	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	30%	0.24	0.29	0.34	0.50
E1	/63/	0.18	0.09	90%	0./1	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.09	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.00	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	46%	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.58
EZ	9127	0.21	0.09	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.12	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.00	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	39%	0.31	0.35	0.40	0.54
E3	9520	0.22	0.09	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.12	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.00	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	40%	0.32	0.36	0.41	0.55
E4	8040	0.18	0.09	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.09	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.00	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	46%	0.36	0.40	0.45	0.58
	2118	0.05	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.03	۷%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.02	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	40%	0.41	0.45	0.50	0.63
	2200	0.45	0.00	0.0%	0.71	0.72	0.75	0.91	0.00	20/	0.02	0.00	0.15	0.25	0.07	100%	0.80	0.00	0.02	0.06	06%	0.96	0.97	0.80	0.04
	3500	0.08	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.00	2 /0	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.07	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.90	90%	0.80	0.87	0.89	0.94
062	2500	0.08	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.01	0.01	270	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.07	100%	0.85	0.90	0.92	0.90	9078 419/	0.80	0.82	0.04	0.50
002	2580	0.08	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.05	270	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.03	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.90	41/0	0.37	0.41	0.40	0.33
002	653	0.00	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.05	270	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.01	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.90	25%	0.23	0.27	0.33	0.49
OF1	4000	0.01	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.01	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.00	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	25%	0.02	0.00	0.13	0.35
OF2	5897	0.05	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.01	0.07	2%	0.02	0.00	0.15	0.35	0.02	100%	0.05	0.90	0.92	0.96	25%	0.22	0.27	0.33	0.49
OF3	6053	0.14	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.11	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.03	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	25%	0.22	0.27	0.33	0.49
OE4	4075	0.09	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.07	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.02	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	28%	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.51
OF1	48921	1.12	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.00	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	1.12	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96
OF2	18232	0.42	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.42	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.00	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35
OS1*	10993	0.25	0.00	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.04	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	0.21	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	83%	0.74	0.76	0.79	0.85
TOTAL		14.37	2.55	90%	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.81	4.75	2%	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.35	6.63	100%	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.96	63%	0.54	0.57	0.61	0.70

*flows from sub-basin are undetained

^sub-basin area and flows were obtained from previously approved drainage report from adjacent property

Citizen or	n Constitutio	on - Draind	age Repor	t						Watercou	rse Coeffic	ient				
Proposed	l Runoff Cald	culations			Forest	& Meadow	2.50	Short G	rass Pastur	e & Lawns	7.00		(Grassed Wa	terway	15.00
Time of C	Concentratio	n		1	Fallow or	Cultivation	5.00		Nearly Ba	re Ground	10.00	F	aved Area	a & Shallow	Gutter	20.00
		SUB-BASIN			INITI	AL / OVERL	AND	Т	RAVEL TIN	IE				T(c) CHECK		FINAL
		DATA				TIME			T(t)				(URE	BANIZED BA	SINS)	T(c)
DESIGN	DRAIN	AREA	AREA	C(5)	Length	Slope	T(i)	Length	Slope	Coeff.	Velocity	T(t)	COMP.	TOTAL	/180+1	0
POINT	BASIN	sq. ft.	ac.		ft.	%	min	ft.	%		fps	min.	T(c)	LENGTH		min.
A1*	A1*	38,032	0.87	0.08	100	1.6%	16.0	344	1.6%	7.00	0.9	6.5	22.5	444	12.5	12.5
A2*	A2*	18,072	0.41	0.42	40	33.0%	2.5	0	15.0%	7.00	2.7	0.0	5.0	40	10.2	5.0
B1	B1	5,733	0.13	0.76	20	2.0%	2.2	150	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.9	5.0	170	10.9	5.0
B2	B2	7,269	0.17	0.72	20	2.0%	2.4	150	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.9	5.0	170	10.9	5.0
B3*	B3*	15,035	0.35	0.77	20	2.0%	2.2	150	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.9	5.0	170	10.9	5.0
B4*	B4*	7,927	0.18	0.77	20	2.0%	2.1	150	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.9	5.0	170	10.9	5.0
B5*	B5*	1,347	0.03	0.69	20	2.0%	2.7	150	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.9	5.0	170	10.9	5.0
C1	C1	36,584	0.84	0.10	60	33.0%	4.4	0	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.0	5.0	60	10.3	5.0
62	C2	11,364	0.26	0.66	30	25.0%	1.5	100	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.6	5.0	130	10.7	5.0
C3	<u></u>	27,135	0.62	0.81	20	3.0%	1.6	80	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.5	5.0	100	10.6	5.0
DI D1a	DI	25,400	0.58	0.87	30	4.0%	1.5	150	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.9	5.0	180	10.4	5.0
		7,879	1.09	0.79	20	2.0%	1.5	50	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.3	5.0	70	10.4	5.0
D2 D2	D2	40,995	0.20	0.79	40	3.0%	2.5	40	2.0%	20.00	2.0	0.3	5.0	90	10.5	5.0
D3	D3	12,007	0.30	0.40	40	3.0%	5.0	40	2.0%	20.00	2.0	0.2	5.2	80	10.4	5.2
D4	D4	22 186	0.50	0.40	40	3.0%	2.5	40	2.0%	20.00	2.0	0.2	5.0	55	10.4	5.0
DG	D6	22,180	0.51	0.75	40	3.0%	2.5	15	2.0%	20.00	2.0	0.1	5.0	55	10.3	5.0
D7	D7	17 176	0.01	0.00	40	3.0%	2.4	15	2.0%	20.00	2.0	0.1	5.0	55	10.3	5.0
D8	80	23 507	0.55	0.75	40	3.0%	2.0	15	2.0%	20.00	2.0	0.1	5.0	55	10.3	5.0
D9	D9	18 641	0.43	0.73	40	3.0%	3.1	15	2.0%	20.00	2.0	0.1	5.0	55	10.3	5.0
D10	D10	15.901	0.37	0.71	40	3.0%	3.2	15	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.1	5.0	55	10.3	5.0
D11	D11	21.854	0.50	0.79	40	3.0%	2.5	15	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.1	5.0	55	10.3	5.0
D12	D12	28,925	0.66	0.29	25	5.0%	4.3	15	2.0%	20.00	2.8	0.1	5.0	40	10.2	5.0
E1	E1	7,637	0.18	0.40	30	25.0%	2.4	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.0	30	10.2	5.0
E2	E2	9,127	0.21	0.35	30	25.0%	2.6	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.0	30	10.2	5.0
E3	E3	9,520	0.22	0.36	30	25.0%	2.5	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.0	30	10.2	5.0
E4	E4	8,040	0.18	0.40	30	25.0%	2.4	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.0	30	10.2	5.0
OA1*	0A1*	2,118	0.05	0.45	75	5.0%	6.0	50	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.8	6.8	125	10.7	6.8
OA2*^	OA2*^	19,602	0.45	0.00	75	5.0%	10.2	50	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.8	11.0	125	10.7	10.7
OB1	OB1	3,388	0.08	0.87	60	2.0%	2.6	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.0	60	10.3	5.0
OB2	OB2	3,503	0.08	0.82	60	2.0%	3.2	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.0	60	10.3	5.0
OC1	OC1	3,590	0.08	0.41	25	5.0%	3.7	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.0	25	10.1	5.0
OC2	OC2	2,589	0.06	0.27	25	15.0%	3.1	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.0	25	10.1	5.0
OD12	OD12	653	0.01	0.08	25	5.0%	5.5	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	5.5	25	10.1	5.5
OE1	OE1	4,000	0.09	0.27	0	25.0%	0.2	70	2.0%	7.00	1.0	1.2	5.0	70	10.4	5.0
OE2	OE2	5,897	0.14	0.27	0	25.0%	0.2	70	2.0%	7.00	1.0	1.2	5.0	70	10.4	5.0
OE3	OE3	6,053	0.14	0.27	0	25.0%	0.2	70	2.0%	7.00	1.0	1.2	5.0	70	10.4	5.0
OE4	OE4	4,075	0.09	0.30	0	25.0%	0.2	70	2.0%	7.00	1.0	1.2	5.0	70	10.4	5.0
0F1	UF1	48,921	1.12	0.90	50	2.0%	2.1	/50	2.0%	/.00	1.0	12.6	14.7	800	14.4	14.4
0F2 051*	UF2	18,232	0.42	0.08	30	2.0%	8.1	0	2.0%	7.00	1.0	0.0	8.1	30	10.2	8.1
021	031.	10,993	0.25	U./0	50	Z.U%	3.5	15	5.0%	20.00	4.5	0.5	5.0	125	10.7	5.0

Citizen on C	Constitution -	Drainag	ge Report									
Proposed R	unoff Calcula	tions			Desi	gn Storm	5 Year					
'Rational Met	hod Procedure)											
B	ASIN INFORMATI	ON			DIREC	FRUNOFF		C	UMULATI	VE RUNO	FF	
DESIGN	DRAIN	AREA	RUNOFF	T(c)	CxA	1	Q	T(c)	СхА	1	Q	NOTES
POINT	BASIN	ac.	COEFF	min		in/hr	cfs	min		in/hr	cfs	
A1*	A1*	0.87	0.08	12.5	0.07	3.75	0.26				0.75	A1 + OA1 + OA2
A2*	A2*	0.41	0.42	5.0	0.17	5.16	0.89					
B1	B1	0.13	0.76	5.0	0.10	5.16	0.51				0.86	B1 + OB1
B2	B2	0.17	0.72	5.0	0.12	5.16	0.62				0.96	B2 + OB2
B3*	B3*	0.35	0.77	5.0	0.26	5.16	1.36					
B4*	B4*	0.18	0.77	5.0	0.14	5.16	0.72					
B5*	B5*	0.03	0.69	5.0	0.02	5.16	0.11					
C1	C1	0.84	0.10	5.0	0.08	5.16	0.43				0.60	C1 + OC1
C2	C2	0.26	0.66	5.0	0.17	5.16	0.89				0.97	C2 + OC2
C3	C3	0.62	0.81	5.0	0.51	5.16	2.61					
D1	D1	0.58	0.87	5.0	0.51	5.16	2.61					
D1a	D1a	0.18	0.79	5.0	0.14	5.16	0.73					
D2	D2	1.08	0.79	5.0	0.86	5.16	4.42					
D3	D3	0.30	0.48	5.2	0.14	5.09	0.72					
D4	D4	0.30	0.48	5.2	0.14	5.09	0.73					
D5	D5	0.51	0.79	5.0	0.40	5.16	2.08					
D6	D6	0.81	0.80	5.0	0.64	5.16	3.32					
D7	D7	0.39	0.75	5.0	0.30	5.16	1.53					
D8	D8	0.54	0.75	5.0	0.40	5.16	2.07					
D9	D9	0.43	0.72	5.0	0.31	5.16	1.58					
D10	D10	0.37	0.71	5.0	0.26	5.16	1.33					
D11	D11	0.50	0.79	5.0	0.39	5.16	2.03					
D12	D12	0.66	0.29	5.0	0.19	5.16	0.99				0.99	D12 + OD12
E1	E1	0.18	0.40	5.0	0.07	5.16	0.37				0.49	E1 + OE1
E2	E2	0.21	0.35	5.0	0.07	5.16	0.38				0.57	E2 + OE2
E3	E3	0.22	0.36	5.0	0.08	5.16	0.41				0.60	E3 + OE3
E4	E4	0.18	0.40	5.0	0.07	5.16	0.38				0.53	E4 + OE4
0A1*	0A1*	0.05	0.45	6.8	0.02	4.71	0.10					
OA2*^	OA2*^	0.45	0.00		0.00	4.00					0.60	Flows from previous FDR
OB1	OB1	0.08	0.87	5.0	0.07	5.16	0.35					
OB2	OB2	0.08	0.82	5.0	0.07	5.16	0.34					
0C1	OC1	0.08	0.41	5.0	0.03	5.16	0.17					
OC2	OC2	0.06	0.27	5.0	0.02	5.16	0.08					
OD12	OD12	0.01	0.08	5.5	0.00	5.02	0.01					
OE1	OE1	0.09	0.27	5.0	0.02	5.16	0.13					
OE2	OE2	0.14	0.27	5.0	0.04	5.16	0.19					
OE3	OE3	0.14	0.27	5.0	0.04	5.16	0.19					
OE4	OE4	0.09	0.30	5.0	0.03	5.16	0.14					
OF1	OF1	1.12	0.90	14.4	1.01	3.52	3.56					
OF2	OF2	0.42	0.08	8.1	0.03	4.45	0.15					
OS1*	OS1*	0.25	0.76	5.0	0.19	5.16	0.99		I			

Citizen o	n Constitution -	Drainag	ge Report									
Proposed	d Runoff Calculat	ions			Des	ign Storm	100 Year					
(Rational N	Aethod Procedure)											
-												
E	BASIN INFORMATION	1		DIF	ECT RUN	OFF			CUMULATI	VE RUNOF	F	
DESIGN	DRAIN	AREA	RUNOFF	T(c)	СхА	I	Q	T(c)	СхА	I	Q	NOTES
POINT	BASIN	ac.	COEFF	min		in/hr	cfs	min		in/hr	cfs	
A1*	A1*	0.87	0.35	12.5	0.31	6.29	1.92				3.09	A1 + OA1 + OA2
A2*	A2*	0.41	0.60	5.0	0.25	8.65	2.15					
B1	B1	0.13	0.85	5.0	0.11	8.65	0.97				1.60	B1 + OB1
B2	B2	0.17	0.83	5.0	0.14	8.65	1.20				1.82	B2 + OB2
B3*	B3*	0.35	0.86	5.0	0.30	8.65	2.57					
B4*	B4*	0.18	0.86	5.0	0.16	8.65	1.36					
B5*	B5*	0.03	0.80	5.0	0.02	8.65	0.21					
C1	C1	0.84	0.36	5.0	0.31	8.65	2.64				3.07	C1 + OC1
C2	C2	0.26	0.78	5.0	0.20	8.65	1.76				2.01	C2 + OC2
C3	C3	0.62	0.89	5.0	0.55	8.65	4.79					
D1	D1	0.58	0.93	5.0	0.55	8.65	4.72					
D1a	D1a	0.18	0.88	5.0	0.16	8.65	1.37					
D2	D2	1.08	0.88	5.0	0.94	8.65	8.17					
D3	D3	0.30	0.63	5.2	0.19	8.54	1.59					
D4	D4	0.30	0.63	5.2	0.19	8.54	1.63					
D5	D5	0.51	0.87	5.0	0.45	8.65	3.85					
D6	D6	0.81	0.88	5.0	0.71	8.65	6.11					
D7	D7	0.39	0.84	5.0	0.33	8.65	2.88					
D8	D8	0.54	0.84	5.0	0.45	8.65	3.92					
D9	D9	0.43	0.81	5.0	0.35	8.65	3.00					
D10	D10	0.37	0.80	5.0	0.29	8.65	2.54					
D11	D11	0.50	0.87	5.0	0.44	8.65	3.78					
D12	D12	0.66	0.50	5.0	0.33	8.65	2.86				2.90	D12 + OD12
E1	E1	0.18	0.58	5.0	0.10	8.65	0.88				1.27	E1 + OE1
E2	E2	0.21	0.54	5.0	0.11	8.65	0.98				1.56	E2 + OE2
E3	E3	0.22	0.55	5.0	0.12	8.65	1.04				1.63	E3 + OE3
E4	E4	0.18	0.58	5.0	0.11	8.65	0.92				1.34	E4 + OE4
0A1*	0A1*	0.05	0.63	6.8	0.03	7.90	0.24					
OA2*^	OA2*^	0.45	0.00	10.7	0.00	6.71					1.90	Flows from previous FDR
OB1	OB1	0.08	0.94	5.0	0.07	8.65	0.63					
OB2	OB2	0.08	0.90	5.0	0.07	8.65	0.62					
0C1	0C1	0.08	0.59	5.0	0.05	8.65	0.42					
0C2	0C2	0.06	0.49	5.0	0.03	8.65	0.25					
OD12	OD12	0.01	0.35	5.5	0.01	8.43	0.04			ļ		
OE1	OE1	0.09	0.49	5.0	0.05	8.65	0.39					
OE2	OE2	0.14	0.49	5.0	0.07	8.65	0.58			L		
OE3	OE3	0.14	0.49	5.0	0.07	8.65	0.59					
OE4	OE4	0.09	0.51	5.0	0.05	8.65	0.41			L		
OF1	OF1	1.12	0.96	14.4	1.08	5.90	6.36					
OF2	OF2	0.42	0.35	8.1	0.15	7.46	1.09					
OS1*	OS1*	0.25	0.85	5.0	0.22	8.65	1.87					

	SUMMARY - PROPOSED RUNOFF TABLE					
DESIGN	BASIN	BASIN AREA	DIRECT 5-YR	DIRECT 100-YR	CUMULATIVE 5-YR	CUMULATIVE 100-YR
POINT	DESIGNATION	(ACRES)	RUNOFF (CFS)	RUNOFF (CFS)	RUNOFF (CFS)	RUNOFF (CFS)
A1*	A1*	0.87	0.26	1.92	0.75	3.09
A2*	A2*	0.41	0.89	2.15	0.89	2.15
B1	B1	0.13	0.51	0.97	0.86	1.60
B2	B2	0.17	0.62	1.20	0.96	1.82
B3*	B3*	0.35	1.36	2.57	1.36	2.57
B4*	B4*	0.18	0.72	1.36	0.72	1.36
B5*	B5*	0.03	0.11	0.21	0.11	0.21
C1	C1	0.84	0.43	2.64	0.60	3.07
C2	C2	0.26	0.89	1.76	0.97	2.01
C3	C3	0.62	2.61	4.79	2.61	4.79
D1	D1	0.58	2.61	4.72	2.61	4.72
D1a	D1a	0.18	0.73	1.37	0.73	1.37
D2	D2	1.08	4.42	8.17	4.42	8.17
D3	D3	0.30	0.72	1.59	0.72	1.59
D4	D4	0.30	0.73	1.63	0.73	1.63
D5	D5	0.51	2.08	3.85	2.08	3.85
D6	D6	0.81	3.32	6.11	3.32	6.11
D7	D7	0.39	1.53	2.88	1.53	2.88
D8	D8	0.54	2.07	3.92	2.07	3.92
D9	D9	0.43	1.58	3.00	1.58	3.00
D10	D10	0.37	1.33	2.54	1.33	2.54
D11	D11	0.50	2.03	3.78	2.03	3.78
D12	D12	0.66	0.99	2.86	0.99	2.90
E1	E1	0.18	0.37	0.88	0.49	1.27
E2	E2	0.21	0.38	0.98	0.57	1.56
E3	E3	0.22	0.41	1.04	0.60	1.63
E4	E4	0.18	0.38	0.92	0.53	1.34
OA1*	0A1*	0.05	0.10	0.24	0.10	0.24
OA2*^	OA2*^	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.60	1.90
OB1	OB1	0.08	0.35	0.63	0.35	0.63
OB2	OB2	0.08	0.34	0.62	0.34	0.62
OC1	OC1	0.08	0.17	0.42	0.17	0.42
OC2	OC2	0.06	0.08	0.25	0.08	0.25
OD12	OD12	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04
OE1	OE1	0.09	0.13	0.39	0.13	0.39
OE2	OE2	0.14	0.19	0.58	0.19	0.58
OE3	OE3	0.14	0.19	0.59	0.19	0.59
OE4	OE4	0.09	0.14	0.41	0.14	0.41
OF1	OF1	1.12	3.56	6.36	3.56	6.36
OF2	OF2	0.42	0.15	1.09	0.15	1.09
OS1*	OS1*	0.25	0.99	1.87	0.99	1.87

*flows from sub-basin are undetained

^sub-basin area and flows were obtained from previously approved drainage report from adjacent property

APPENDIX C: HYDRAULICS

Project Description		
Friction Method	Manning	
	Formula	
Solve For	Normal Depth	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.020 ft/ft	
Bottom Width	3.00 ft	
Discharge	4.79 cfs	
Results		
Normal Depth	3.2 in	
Flow Area	0.8 ft ²	
Wetted Perimeter	3.5 ft	
Hydraulic Radius	2.7 in	
Top Width	3.00 ft	
Critical Depth	5.2 in	
Critical Slope	0.005 ft/ft	
Velocity	6.00 ft/s	
Velocity Head	0.56 ft	
Specific Energy	0.83 ft	
Froude Number	2.050	
Flow Type	Supercritical	
GVF Input Data		
Downstream Depth	0.0 in	
Length	0.0 ft	
Number Of Steps	0	
GVF Output Data		
Upstream Depth	0.0 in	
Profile Description	N/A	
Profile Headloss	0.00 ft	
Downstream Velocity	Infinity ft/s	
Upstream Velocity	Infinity ft/s	
Normal Depth	3.2 in	
Critical Depth	5.2 in	
Channel Slope	0.020 ft/ft	
Critical Slope	0.005 ft/ft	

Worksheet for Curb Cut Anlaysis (Largest Proposed Discharge)

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	<u>B1</u>	<u>B2</u>	<u>B3</u>
Site Type (Urban or Rural)			
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	On Grade	On Grade
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows						
Minor Q _{Known} (cfs)	0.9	1.0	1.4			
Major Q _{Known} (cfs)	1.6	1.8	2.6			

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	OF1	B1
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.1

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)		
Percent Impervious		
NRCS Soil Type		

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)		
Overland Length (ft)		
Channel Slope (ft/ft)		
Channel Length (ft)		

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)		

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)		

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.9	1.0	1.4
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	1.6	1.8	2.7
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	0.1	0.2	0.6

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	<u>B4</u>	<u>D1</u>	<u>D2</u>
Site Type (Urban or Rural)			
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	In Sump	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows					
Minor Q _{Known} (cfs)	0.7	2.6	4.4		
Major Q _{Known} (cfs)	0.4	4.7	8.2		

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	D1a	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.1	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)		
Percent Impervious		
NRCS Soil Type		

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)		
Overland Length (ft)		
Channel Slope (ft/ft)		
Channel Length (ft)		

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)		

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)		

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.7	2.6	4.4
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.4	4.8	8.2
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	N/A	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	N/A	N/A

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	<u>D5</u>	<u>D6</u>	<u>D7</u>
Site Type (Urban or Rural)			
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	In Sump	In Sump	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows			
Minor Q _{Known} (cfs)	2.1	3.3	1.5
Major Q _{Known} (cfs)	3.9	6.1	2.9

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)		
Percent Impervious		
NRCS Soil Type		

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)		
Overland Length (ft)		
Channel Slope (ft/ft)		
Channel Length (ft)		

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)		

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	2.1	3.3	1.5
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	3.9	6.1	2.9
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	N/A	N/A	N/A

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	<u>D8</u>	<u>D9</u>	<u>D10</u>
Site Type (Urban or Rural)			
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	In Sump	In Sump	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows				
Minor Q _{Known} (cfs)	2.1	1.6	1.3	
Major Q _{Known} (cfs)	3.9	3.0	2.5	

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)		
Percent Impervious		
NRCS Soil Type		

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)		
Overland Length (ft)		
Channel Slope (ft/ft)		
Channel Length (ft)		

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)		

Major Storm Rainfall Input

One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)	D	esign Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
	0	ne-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)		

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	2.1	1.6	1.3
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	3.9	3.0	2.5
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	N/A	N/A	N/A

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	<u>D11</u>	OF1	<u>D1a</u>
Site Type (Urban or Rural)		URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	In Sump	On Grade	On Grade
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows					
Minor Q _{Known} (cfs)	2.0	3.6	0.7		
Major Q _{Known} (cfs)	3.8	6.4	1.4		

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	B2
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.2

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)		
Percent Impervious		
NRCS Soil Type		

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)		
Overland Length (ft)		
Channel Slope (ft/ft)		
Channel Length (ft)		

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)		

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)		
F		

2.0	3.6	0.7
3.8	6.4	1.6
N/A	0.0	0.0
N/A	0.0	0.1
	2.0 3.8 N/A N/A	2.0 3.6 3.8 6.4 N/A 0.0 N/A 0.0





Q =

 $Q_b =$

C% =

0.9

0.0

100

cfs cfs

1%

1.5

0.1

93

Total Inlet Interception Capacity Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet) Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_n =











Q =

 $Q_b =$

C% =

1.3

0.0

97

cfs cfs

1%

2.1

0.6

77

Total Inlet Interception Capacity Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet) Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_n =





Q =

 $Q_b =$

C% =

1

0.7

0.0

100

cfs cfs

1%

0.4

0.0

100

Total Inlet Interception Capacity Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet) Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_n =




Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{0}(C) =$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_{w}(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
	-			_
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)	-	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.42	0.42	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.77	0.77	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	- 1	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	5.9	5.9	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED} =$	2.6	4.8	cfs





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.1	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	$C_{w}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_o(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	-	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{o}(C) = [$	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_w(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
I ow Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAIOR	
Denth for Grate Midwidth	dom =	N/A	N/A	lft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.34	0.42	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{combination} =	0.48	0.57	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{curb} =	0.88	0.94	1
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	1
	orate			-
	-	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	6.9	10.2	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	4.4	8.2	cfs

Warning 5: The width of unit is greater than the gutter width.





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Coverride Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{o}(G) = $	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{o}(C) =$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_w(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	lft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.42	0.42	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.77	0.77	1
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{curb} =	1.00	1.00	1
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	_			
	o –[MINOR	MAJOR]_ f a
I otal Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Qa =	5.9	5.9	crs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Y PEAK REQUIRED =	2.1	3.9	us





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	7.1	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{0}(C) =$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_w(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)	r	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.42	0.51	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.77	0.91	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	$RF_{Grate} =$	N/A	N/A	
	a 1	MINOR	MAJOR	.
I otal Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	$Q_a =$	5.9	8.0	cts
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	V PEAK REQUIRED =	5.5	b.1	CTS





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{0}(C) =$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_{w}(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
I ow Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAIOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Crate} =	N/A	N/A	lft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.42	0.42	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	REcombination =	0.77	0.77	1
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	1
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	1
				-
	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	5.9	5.9	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	1.5	2.9	cfs





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	C Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{o}(G) = $	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{o}(C) =$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_w(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	lft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.42	0.42	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.77	0.77	1
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{curb} =	1.00	1.00	1
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	_			
	o –[MINOR	MAJOR]_ f a
I otal Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Qa =	5.9	5.9	crs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Y PEAK REQUIRED =	2.1	3.9	us





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{o}(C) =$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_{w}(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	-
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.42	0.42	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.77	0.77	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	$RF_{Grate} =$	N/A	N/A	
	a 1	MINOR	MAJOR	.
I otal Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	$Q_a =$	5.9	5.9	crs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	1.6	3.0	CTS





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Coverride Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) = [$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{0}(C) = [$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_{w}(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
I ow Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAIOR	
Denth for Grate Midwidth	doota =	N/A	N/A	le
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.42	0.42	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	REcombination =	0.77	0.77	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	1
	ordite _		,	-
	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a = [5.9	5.9	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	1.3	2.5	cfs





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	5.1	5.1	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{0}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{o}(C) =$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_{w}(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
				-
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	-
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.34	0.34	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.65	0.65	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	a 1	MINOR	MAJOR	.
I otal Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	$Q_a =$	4.4	4.4	crs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	2.0	3.8	CTS

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01	(April 2021)	
ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF O	OF STREET (Minor & Major Sto	orm
(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Alle	owable Flow Depth and Spread)	
: Citizen On Constitution		
Succession T. Tuxx Succession T. Tuxx T. Tuxx T. Tuxx T. Tuxx Street CROWN		
Gutter Geometry:		
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 0.0$ ft	
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.060$ ft/ft	
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	n _{BACK} = 0.020	
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	House = 6.00 linches	
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 30.0$ ft	
Gutter Width	W = 2.00 ft	
Street Transverse Slope	$S_{\rm x} = 0.020$ ft/ft	
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_{W} = 0.083$ ft/ft	
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_0 = 0.005$ ft/ft	
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	n _{STREET} = 0.016	
	Minor Charm Major Charm	
May Allowable Enroad for Minor 9. Major Storm		
Max. Allowable Spiedu für Filinor & Major Storm	$I_{MAX} = 15.0$ 50.0 It	
Allow Eleve Depth at Street Grown (sheet her for yes, leave blank for no)		
Allow Flow Deput at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for ho)		
Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread	Minor Storm Major Storm	
Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2)	y = 3.60 7.20 inches	
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2")	d _C = 2.0 2.0 inches	
Gutter Depression (d_c - (W * S _x * 12))	a = <u>1.51</u> 1.51 inches	
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline	d = 5.11 8.71 inches	
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)	$T_x = 13.0 28.0$ ft	
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)	$E_0 = 0.397 0.194$	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T _x	$Q_X = 3.4$ 26.4 cfs	
Discharge within the Gutter Section W ($Q_T - Q_X$)	$Q_W = 2.2$ 6.3 cts	
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0 0.0 \text{ cts}$	
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread	$Q_{\rm T} = 5.7$ 32.7 cfs	
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section	V = 3.3 4.9 fps V*d = 1.4 3.6	
V a roudet. Now velocity arres dater nowine Depart	V u = <u>1.1</u> <u>5.0</u>	
Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth	Minor Storm Major Storm	
Theoretical Water Spread	$T_{TH} = 14.5$ 18.7 ft	
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)	$T_{XTH} = 12.5$ 16.7 ft	
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)	$E_0 = 0.409 0.318$	
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T _{X TH}	$Q_{X TH} = 3.1 6.6 cts$	
Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance I _{CROWN})	$Q_X = 3.1$ 6.6 cfs	
Discharge within the Gutter Section W ($Q_d - Q_X$)	$Q_W = 2.1$ 3.1 cfs	
Discharge bening the curb (e.g., sigewalk, driveways, & lawns)	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0 0.0 \text{ (cfs)}$	
Average Flow Velocity Within the Cutter Section	$V = 5.2 \qquad 9.7 \text{ CTS}$	
Average riow velocity within the Gutter Section	V = 3.2 3.7 (tps	
Viru Product: Flow velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth	$V^{m}u = 1.3 1.9$	
\mathbb{R}		
Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor ($a \ge 6^{\circ}$) Storm May Flow Based on Allowable Dopth (Safety Factor Applied)		
Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Millor ($d \ge 6$) Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Depulsate Eleve Depth at Cutter Eleveling (Sefety Factor Applied)	d = 5.2 3.7 cm	
Slope-based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor ($d \ge 6$) Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)	$d = \frac{5.2}{5.00} + \frac{5.7}{5.00}$ inches	
Stope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor ($d \ge 6$) Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)	$d = \frac{5.2}{0.00} + \frac{5.7}{0.00} = \frac{5.7}{0.00}$ inches inches	
Slope-based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Milhor (d ≥ 6) Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied) MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion	$d = \frac{5.2}{5.00} + \frac{5.7}{6.00}$ inches $d_{CROWN} = \frac{0.00}{0.00} + \frac{0.00}{0.00}$ inches	



C% =

100

100

1%





C% =

100

94

1%

Project Description		
Solve For	Spread	
Input Data		
Discharge	3.22 cfs	
Left Side Slope	0.050 H:V	
Right Side Slope	0.050 H:V	
Bottom Width	0.00 ft	
Grate Width	1.91 ft	
Grate Length	3.3 ft	
Local Depression	0.0 in	
Local Depression Width	24.0 in	
Grate Type	Curved Vaned	
Clogging	75.0 %	
Results		
Spread	0.1 ft	
Depth	14.2 in	
Wetted Perimeter	2.4 ft	
Top Width	0.12 ft	
Open Grate Area	0.6 ft ²	
Active Grate Weir Length	7.6 ft	

Worksheet for D3 & D4 Inlet Analysis

Project Description		
Solve For	Spread	
Input Data		
Discharge	2.90 cfs	
Left Side Slope	5.000 H:V	
Right Side Slope	5.000 H:V	
Bottom Width	0.00 ft	
Grate Width	1.91 ft	
Grate Length	3.3 ft	
Local Depression	0.0 in	
Local Depression Width	24.0 in	
Grate Type	Curved Vaned	
Clogging	75.0 %	
Results		
Spread	9.6 ft	
Depth	11.5 in	
Wetted Perimeter	9.8 ft	
Top Width	9.57 ft	
Open Grate Area	0.6 ft ²	
Active Grate Weir Length	7.6 ft	

Worksheet for D12 Inlet Analysis

Project Description		
Solve For	Spread	
Input Data		
Discharge	1.63 cfs	
Left Side Slope	4.000 H:V	
Right Side Slope	6.000 H:V	
Bottom Width	0.00 ft	
Grate Width	1.91 ft	
Grate Length	3.3 ft	
Local Depression	0.0 in	
Local Depression Width	24.0 in	
Grate Type	Curved Vaned	
Clogging	75.0 %	
Results		
Spread	3.0 ft	
Depth	3.6 in	
Wetted Perimeter	3.1 ft	
Top Width	3.02 ft	
Open Grate Area	0.6 ft ²	
Active Grate Weir Length	7.6 ft	

Worksheet for E1 E2 E3 & E4 Inlet Analysis

FlexTable: Catch Basin Table

Active Scenario: 5 YR

Label	Elevation (Rim) (ft)	Elevation (Invert) (ft)	Headloss Method	Headloss (ft)	Flow (Additional Subsurface) (cfs)	Flow (Total Out) (cfs)	Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft)
E1	6,435.50	6,431.13	Standard	0.09	0.00	0.62	6,431.55	6,431.47
E2	6,436.03	6,432.13	Standard	0.10	0.00	0.76	6,432.60	6,432.50
E3	6,437.31	6,433.41	Standard	0.10	0.00	0.79	6,433.90	6,433.80
E4	6,437.81	6,434.51	Standard	0.09	0.00	0.67	6,434.96	6,434.87
B2	6,436.08	6,432.33	Standard	0.12	0.00	1.30	6,432.88	6,432.75
B1	6,436.64	6,433.10	Standard	0.12	0.00	1.21	6,433.63	6,433.51
B4	6,431.98	6,422.13	Standard	0.09	0.00	0.72	6,422.53	6,422.45
B3	6,431.71	6,426.71	Standard	0.13	0.00	1.36	6,427.28	6,427.15
POND	6,430.88	6,423.72	Standard	0.14	0.00	2.01	6,424.35	6,424.21
D1	6,432.34	6,428.49	Standard	0.18	0.00	2.61	6,429.29	6,429.10
D2	6,435.00	6,430.61	Standard	0.26	0.00	4.42	6,431.68	6,431.42
D4	6,437.96	6,433.07	Standard	0.10	0.00	0.73	6,433.53	6,433.42
D5	6,436.11	6,430.16	Standard	0.05	0.00	2.08	6,431.04	6,430.99
D6	6,436.48	6,430.78	Standard	0.21	0.00	3.32	6,431.69	6,431.48
D7	6,435.86	6,431.75	Standard	0.13	0.00	1.53	6,432.35	6,432.21
D8	6,437.70	6,433.37	Standard	0.16	0.00	2.07	6,434.07	6,433.91
D9	6,438.88	6,434.85	Standard	0.14	0.00	1.58	6,435.45	6,435.32
D10	6,439.09	6,435.37	Standard	0.12	0.00	1.33	6,435.92	6,435.80
D11	6,437.27	6,432.02	Standard	0.16	0.00	2.03	6,432.71	6,432.56
D12	6,436.95	6,433.73	Standard	0.11	0.00	0.99	6,434.21	6,434.10
L1	6,440.93	6,432.84	Standard	0.22	0.00	3.56	6,433.79	6,433.56
D1a	6,433.43	6,429.43	Standard	0.09	0.00	0.73	6,429.84	6,429.75

Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022

FlexTable: Conduit Table

Active Scenario: 5 YR

Start Node	Stop Node	Invert (Start)	Invert (Stop)	Length	Slope (Calculat	Diamete	Flow (cfs)	Velocity	Capacity (Design)	Flow /	Mannin d's n	Headloss (ft)	Froude
		(Start) (ft)	(3(0p) (ft)	(11)	ed)	(in)	(013)	(17.3)	(cfs)	(Design)	ysn	(11)	(Normal)
		()	(,		(ft/ft)	()			(0.0)	(%)			(Hornich)
D12	34 (STRM)	6,433.73	6,432.95	155.7	0.005	18.0	0.99	2.92	7.44	13.3	0.013	0.78	1.008
34 (STRM)	D11	6,432.85	6,432.22	126.1	0.005	18.0	0.99	2.92	7.43	13.3	0.013	0.51	1.007
D10	D9	6,435.37	6,434.95	42.2	0.010	18.0	1.33	4.07	10.50	12.7	0.013	0.35	1.421
D9	D8	6,434.85	6,433.47	137.7	0.010	18.0	1.58	4.28	10.51	15.0	0.013	1.24	1.426
E1	67 (STRM)	6,431.13	6,430.15	196.1	0.005	12.0	0.62	2.65	2.52	24.6	0.013	0.99	0.941
67 (STRM)	68 (STRM)	6,427.49	6,427.15	33.5	0.010	18.0	4.18	5.60	10.50	39.8	0.013	0.45	1.396
D11	36 (STRM)	6,432.02	6,431.71	62.4	0.005	18.0	2.03	3.58	7.43	27.3	0.013	0.31	1.005
36 (STRM)	37 (STRM)	6,431.21	6,430.04	232.7	0.005	24.0	4.10	4.26	16.00	25.6	0.013	0.93	1.057
D8	36 (STRM)	6,433.37	6,433.15	22.2	0.010	18.0	2.07	4.60	10.45	19.8	0.013	0.31	1.421
E4	E3	6,434.51	6,433.51	200.0	0.005	12.0	0.67	2.71	2.52	26.6	0.013	0.97	0.940
E3	E2	6,433.41	6,432.23	237.2	0.005	12.0	0.79	2.84	2.52	31.4	0.013	1.20	0.934
D4	45 (STRM)	6,433.07	6,431.94	113.0	0.010	12.0	0.73	3.57	3.57	20.5	0.013	1.18	1.337
45 (STRM)	D2	6,431.74	6,431.13	59.3	0.010	12.0	0.73	3.60	3.61	20.2	0.013	0.41	1.352
37 (STRM)	38 (STRM)	6,429.84	6,429.03	159.6	0.005	24.0	6.18	4.79	16.10	38.4	0.013	0.33	1.045
D5	37 (STRM)	6,430.16	6,430.04	22.2	0.005	18.0	2.08	3.65	7.56	27.5	0.013	0.00	1.023
B1	B2	6,433.10	6,432.53	58.0	0.010	18.0	1.21	3.94	10.43	11.6	0.013	0.64	1.409
B2	75 (STRM)	6,432.33	6,427.03	52.0	0.102	18.0	1.30	9.17	33.54	3.9	0.013	5.53	4.344
D6	D5	6,430.78	6,430.26	105.1	0.005	18.0	3.32	4.08	7.43	44.7	0.013	0.44	0.977
38 (STRM)	39 (STRM)	6,428.83	6,427.64	240.5	0.005	24.0	10.60	5.42	15.93	66.6	0.013	1.22	0.958
D2	38 (STRM)	6,430.61	6,430.36	12.8	0.020	18.0	4.42	7.33	14.84	29.8	0.013	0.44	2.005
E2	E1	6,432.13	6,431.23	179.7	0.005	12.0	0.76	2.81	2.52	30.2	0.013	0.91	0.937
D7	D6	6,431.75	6,430.88	174.0	0.005	18.0	1.53	3.31	7.43	20.6	0.013	0.52	1.010
39 (STRM)	40 (STRM)	6,426.66	6,426.13	101.1	0.005	30.0	13.21	5.89	29.84	44.3	0.013	0.35	1.096
40 (STRM)	41 (STRM)	6,425.93	6,425.68	49.3	0.005	30.0	13.21	5.78	29.03	45.5	0.013	0.29	1.064
D1	39 (STRM)	6,428.49	6,427.63	79.8	0.011	18.0	2.61	5.06	10.89	24.0	0.013	0.84	1.477
B3	B4	6,426.71	6,422.33	52.0	0.084	18.0	1.36	8.69	30.49	4.5	0.013	4.60	3.975
B4	129 (STRM)	6,422.13	6,421.17	58.0	0.017	18.0	0.72	4.06	13.52	5.3	0.013	1.04	1.777
POND	32 (STRM)	6,423.72	6,423.00	102.0	0.007	24.0	2.01	4.73	24.71	8.1	0.010	0.83	1.609
L1	67 (STRM)	6,432.84	6,427.69	52.0	0.099	18.0	3.56	12.23	33.07	10.8	0.013	5.04	4.460
D1a	D1	6,429.43	6,428.69	67.7	0.011	18.0	0.73	4.24	14.27	5.1	0.010	0.46	1.872

Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

FlexTable: Manhole Table

-								
Label	Elevation	Elevation	Headloss	Headloss	Headloss	Flow (Total	Hydraulic	Hydraulic
	(Rim)	(Invert)	Method	Coefficient	(ft)	Out)	Grade Line	Grade Line
	(ft)	(ft)		(Standard)		(cfs)	(In)	(Out)
							(ft)	(ft)
34 (STRM)	6,439.98	6,432.85	Standard	0.800	0.11	0.99	6,433.33	6,433.22
67 (STRM)	6,437.03	6,427.49	Standard	0.800	0.25	4.18	6,428.52	6,428.27
36 (STRM)	6,438.00	6,431.21	Standard	0.800	0.21	4.10	6,432.13	6,431.92
45 (STRM)	6,436.59	6,431.74	Standard	0.800	0.10	0.73	6,432.20	6,432.09
37 (STRM)	6,436.84	6,429.84	Standard	0.800	0.27	6.18	6,430.99	6,430.72
38 (STRM)	6,436.12	6,428.83	Standard	0.800	0.37	10.60	6,430.39	6,430.03
40 (STRM)	6,434.09	6,425.93	Standard	0.800	0.38	13.21	6,427.53	6,427.15
39 (STRM)	6,433.75	6,426.66	Standard	0.800	0.38	13.21	6,428.27	6,427.88

Active Scenario: 5 YR

Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666





755-1666

Page 1 of 1





Profile Report Engineering Profile - D3 (Untitled1.stsw)

Untitled1.stsw

10/24/2022

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Profile Report Engineering Profile - D7 (Untitled1.stsw) Active Scenario: 5 YR



Untitled1.stsw 9/8/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Profile Report Engineering Profile - D10 (Untitled1.stsw) Active Scenario: 5 YR



Untitled1.stsw 9/8/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Profile Report Engineering Profile - D12 (Untitled1.stsw) Active Scenario: 5 YR



Untitled1.stsw 9/8/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Profile Report Engineering Profile - E (Untitled1.stsw) Active Scenario: 5 YR



Untitled1.stsw 9/8/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666



Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666


FlexTable: Catch Basin Table

Label	Elevation (Rim)	Elevation (Invert)	Headloss Method	Headloss (ft)	Flow (Additional	Flow (Total Out)	Hydraulic Grade Line	Hydraulic Grade Line
	(ft)	(ft)			Subsurface) (cfs)	(cfs)	(In) (ft)	(Out) (ft)
E1	6,435.50	6,431.13	Standard	0.15	0.00	1.66	6,431.87	6,431.72
E2	6,436.03	6,432.13	Standard	0.16	0.00	2.14	6,433.00	6,432.83
E3	6,437.31	6,433.41	Standard	0.16	0.00	2.22	6,434.30	6,434.14
E4	6,437.81	6,434.51	Standard	0.15	0.00	1.75	6,435.28	6,435.13
B2	6,436.08	6,432.33	Standard	0.18	0.00	2.44	6,433.10	6,432.92
B1	6,436.64	6,433.10	Standard	0.17	0.00	2.23	6,433.83	6,433.66
B4	6,431.98	6,422.13	Standard	0.13	0.00	1.36	6,422.69	6,422.57
B3	6,431.71	6,426.71	Standard	0.18	0.00	2.57	6,427.50	6,427.32
POND	6,430.88	6,423.72	Standard	0.19	0.00	7.82	6,425.13	6,424.95
D1	6,432.34	6,428.49	Standard	0.27	0.00	4.72	6,429.60	6,429.32
D2	6,435.00	6,430.61	Standard	0.42	0.00	8.17	6,432.15	6,431.72
D4	6,437.96	6,433.07	Standard	0.17	0.00	1.63	6,433.78	6,433.61
D5	6,436.11	6,430.16	Standard	0.06	0.00	3.85	6,432.39	6,432.33
D6	6,436.48	6,430.78	Standard	0.15	0.00	6.11	6,432.89	6,432.74
D7	6,435.86	6,431.75	Standard	0.04	0.00	2.88	6,433.05	6,433.01
D8	6,437.70	6,433.37	Standard	0.24	0.00	3.92	6,434.37	6,434.13
D9	6,438.88	6,434.85	Standard	0.20	0.00	3.00	6,435.70	6,435.50
D10	6,439.09	6,435.37	Standard	0.18	0.00	2.54	6,436.15	6,435.97
D11	6,437.27	6,432.02	Standard	0.22	0.00	3.78	6,433.00	6,432.78
D12	6,436.95	6,433.73	Standard	0.19	0.00	2.90	6,434.57	6,434.38
L1	6,440.93	6,432.84	Standard	0.34	0.00	6.36	6,434.16	6,433.82
D1a	6,433.43	6,429.43	Standard	0.13	0.00	1.37	6,429.99	6,429.87

Active Scenario: 100 YR

Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022

FlexTable: Conduit Table

Active Scenario: 100 YR

Start Node	Stop Node	Invert	Invert	Length	Slope	Diamete	Flow	Velocity	Capacity	Flow /	Mannin	Headloss	Froude
		(Start)	(Stop)	(ft)	(Calculat	r (im)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(Design)	Capacity	g's n	(ft)	Number
		(11)	(11)		ea) (ft/ft)	(11)			(CIS)	(Design)			(Normal)
D12	24 (STDM)	6 422 72	4 422 OF	155.7	0.005	10.0	2.00	2.05	7 4 4	(70)	0.012	0.40	0.000
	34 (STRIVI)	0,433.73	0,432.93	100.7	0.005	10.0	2.90	3.95	7.44	39.0	0.013	0.09	0.990
34 (ST KIVI)		0,432.83	0,432.22	120.1	0.005	10.0	2.90	3.94	7.43 10.50	39.0	0.013	0.50	0.988
	D9	0,433.37	0,434.95	4Z.Z	0.010	10.0	2.54	4.89 F 10	10.50	24.2	0.013	0.27	1.424
D9		6,434.85	6,433.47	137.7	0.010	18.0	3.00	5.13	10.51	28.6	0.013	1.14	1.420
ET (CTDM)	67 (STRM)	6,431.13	6,430.15	196.1	0.005	12.0	1.66	3.42	2.52	65.9	0.013	1.03	0.860
67 (STRM)	68 (STRM)	6,427.49	6,427.15	33.5	0.010	18.0	8.02	6.55	10.50	/6.4	0.013	0.11	1.245
D11	36 (STRM)	6,432.02	6,431.71	62.4	0.005	18.0	3.78	4.22	7.43	50.9	0.013	0.13	0.963
36 (STRM)	37 (STRM)	6,431.21	6,430.04	232.7	0.005	24.0	7.70	5.04	16.00	48.1	0.013	0.18	1.017
D8	36 (STRM)	6,433.37	6,433.15	22.2	0.010	18.0	3.92	5.49	10.45	37.5	0.013	0.33	1.395
E4	E3	6,434.51	6,433.51	200.0	0.005	12.0	1.75	3.46	2.52	69.5	0.013	0.82	0.848
E3	E2	6,433.41	6,432.23	237.2	0.005	12.0	2.22	3.62	2.52	88.1	0.013	1.15	0.768
D4	45 (STRM)	6,433.07	6,431.94	113.0	0.010	12.0	1.63	4.44	3.57	45.7	0.013	1.20	1.291
45 (STRM)	D2	6,431.74	6,431.13	59.3	0.010	12.0	1.63	4.48	3.61	45.2	0.013	0.13	1.308
37 (STRM)	38 (STRM)	6,429.84	6,429.03	159.6	0.005	24.0	11.55	3.68	16.10	71.7	0.013	0.42	0.950
D5	37 (STRM)	6,430.16	6,430.04	22.2	0.005	18.0	3.85	2.18	7.56	51.0	0.013	0.03	0.980
B1	B2	6,433.10	6,432.53	58.0	0.010	18.0	2.23	4.70	10.43	21.4	0.013	0.57	1.418
B2	75 (STRM)	6,432.33	6,427.03	52.0	0.102	18.0	2.44	11.06	33.54	7.3	0.013	4.39	4.466
D6	D5	6,430.78	6,430.26	105.1	0.005	18.0	6.11	3.46	7.43	82.3	0.013	0.36	0.854
38 (STRM)	39 (STRM)	6,428.83	6,427.64	240.5	0.005	24.0	19.72	6.28	15.93	123.8	0.013	1.94	0.783
D2	38 (STRM)	6,430.61	6,430.36	12.8	0.020	18.0	8.17	8.60	14.84	55.0	0.013	0.01	1.904
E2	E1	6,432.13	6,431.23	179.7	0.005	12.0	2.14	3.60	2.52	84.9	0.013	0.97	0.785
D7	D6	6,431.75	6,430.88	174.0	0.005	18.0	2.88	3.93	7.43	38.8	0.013	0.12	0.989
39 (STRM)	40 (STRM)	6,426.66	6,426.13	101.1	0.005	30.0	24.44	6.78	29.84	81.9	0.013	0.30	0.958
40 (STRM)	41 (STRM)	6,425.93	6,425.68	49.3	0.005	30.0	24.44	6.63	29.03	84.2	0.013	0.15	0.920
D1	39 (STRM)	6,428.49	6,427.63	79.8	0.011	18.0	4.72	5.94	10.89	43.4	0.013	0.04	1.438
B3	B4	6,426.71	6,422.33	52.0	0.084	18.0	2.57	10.49	30.49	8.4	0.013	4.70	4.081
B4	129 (STRM)	6,422.13	6,421.17	58.0	0.017	18.0	1.36	4.90	13.52	10.1	0.013	-0.10	1.820
POND	32 (STRM)	6,423.72	6,423.00	102.0	0.007	24.0	7.82	6.98	24.71	31.7	0.010	-0.05	1.621
L1	67 (STRM)	6,432.84	6,427.69	52.0	0.099	18.0	6.36	14.45	33.07	19.2	0.013	4.75	4.496
D1a	D1	6,429.43	6,428.69	67.7	0.011	18.0	1.37	5.10	14.27	9.6	0.010	0.27	1.918

Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-

FlexTable: Manhole Table

Label	Elevation	Elevation	Headloss	Headloss	Headloss	Flow (Total	Hydraulic	Hydraulic
	(Rim)	(Invert)	Method	Coefficient	(ft)	Out)	Grade Line	Grade Line
	(ft)	(ft)		(Standard)		(cfs)	(In)	(Out)
							(ft)	(ft)
34 (STRM)	6,439.98	6,432.85	Standard	0.800	0.19	2.90	6,433.69	6,433.50
67 (STRM)	6,437.03	6,427.49	Standard	0.800	0.31	8.02	6,429.07	6,428.76
36 (STRM)	6,438.00	6,431.21	Standard	0.800	0.17	7.70	6,432.65	6,432.48
45 (STRM)	6,436.59	6,431.74	Standard	0.800	0.17	1.63	6,432.45	6,432.28
37 (STRM)	6,436.84	6,429.84	Standard	0.800	0.17	11.55	6,432.30	6,432.13
38 (STRM)	6,436.12	6,428.83	Standard	0.800	0.49	19.72	6,431.71	6,431.22
40 (STRM)	6,434.09	6,425.93	Standard	0.800	0.32	24.44	6,428.65	6,428.34
39 (STRM)	6,433.75	6,426.66	Standard	0.800	0.33	24.44	6,429.29	6,428.95

Active Scenario: 100 YR

Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666



755-1666

Page 1 of 1



76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Page 1 of 1



Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666



Profile Report

Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Profile Report Engineering Profile - D7 (Untitled1.stsw) Active Scenario: 100 YR



Untitled1.stsw 9/8/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Profile Report Engineering Profile - D10 (Untitled1.stsw) Active Scenario: 100 YR



Untitled1.stsw 9/8/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Profile Report Engineering Profile - D12 (Untitled1.stsw) Active Scenario: 100 YR



Untitled1.stsw 9/8/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666

Profile Report Engineering Profile - E (Untitled1.stsw) Active Scenario: 100 YR



Untitled1.stsw 9/8/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666



Untitled1.stsw 10/24/2022 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 76 Watertown Road, Suite 2D Thomaston, CT 06787 USA +1-203-755-1666



Stormwater Detention and Infiltration Design Data Sheet

SDI-Design Data v2.00, Released January 2020

Stormwater Facility Name: Citizen On Constitution-Extended Detention Facility

Facility Location & Jurisdiction: SWC of Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road- El Paso County, CO

User Input: Watershed Characteristics		_			
Extended Detention Basin (EDB)	EDB				
Watershed Area =	11.25	acres			
Watershed Length =	1,200	ft			
Watershed Length to Centroid =	600	ft			
Watershed Slope =	0.020	ft/ft			
Watershed Imperviousness =	71.0%	percent			
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	66.5%	percent			
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	33.5%	percent			
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent			
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours			
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths (use dropdown):					
User Input	•				

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

Once CUHP has been run and the Stage-Area-Discharge information has been provided, click 'Process Data' to interpolate the Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge data and generate summary results in the table below. Once this is complete, click 'Print to PDF'.

After completing and printing this worksheet to a pdf, go to:
https://maperture.digitaldataservices.com/gvh/?viewer=cswdif
Create a new stormwater facility, and attach the DDE of this

Create a new stormwater facility, and attach the PDF of this worksheet to that record.

Routed Hydrograph Results

Design Storm Return Period =	WQCV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	50 Year	100 Year	
One-Hour Rainfall Depth =	N/A	1.19	1.52	1.75	2.25	2.55	in
CUHP Runoff Volume =	0.262	0.746	0.986	1.177	1.663	1.974	acre-ft
Inflow Hydrograph Volume =	N/A	0.746	0.986	1.177	1.663	1.974	acre-ft
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume =	38.0	65.2	71.2	74.5	81.3	84.8	hours
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume =	40.3	69.1	75.8	79.8	88.3	92.9	hours
Maximum Ponding Depth =	3.25	5.09	5.81	6.33	7.53	8.20	ft
Maximum Ponded Area =	0.20	0.29	0.33	0.36	0.42	0.47	acres
Maximum Volume Stored =	0.263	0.703	0.926	1.104	1.567	1.868	acre-ft

	User Defined	User Defined	User Defined	User Defined
	Stage [ft]	Area [ft^2]	Stage [ft]	Discharge [cfs]
	0.00	10	0.00	0.00
	1.23	1,075	1.23	0.05
	2.23	5,842	2.23	0.07
	3.23	8,472	3.23	0.13
	4.23	10,582	4.23	0.18
	5.23	12,841	5.23	0.32
	6.23	15,225	6.23	0.51
	7.23	17,729	7.23	0.61
	8.23	20,348	8.23	0.70
	9.23	23,172	9.23	0.78
	10.03	25,384	10.03	0.84
5				

El Paso SDI_Design_Data_v2.00.xlsm, Design Data

10/24/2022, 11:11 PM



Stormwater Detention and Infiltration Design Data Sheet



El Paso SDI_Design_Data_v2.00.xlsm, Design Data

10/24/2022, 11:11 PM

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

Stage (ft)

Override

Stage (ft)

Depth Increment =

Stage - Storage Description

Optional User Overn

Project: Citizen On Constitution (El Paso) Basin ID: Extended Detention Basin

T	ZONE 2 ZONE 1	
VOLUME EURV WOCV		
+-		-

ZONE 1 AND 2 ORIFICE ORIFICES Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond) PERMANENT-

Watershed Information

÷	EDB	Selected BMP Type =			
acres	11.25	Watershed Area =			
ft ft	1,200	Watershed Length =			
ft	600	Watershed Length to Centroid =			
ft/ft	0.020	Watershed Slope =			
6 percent	71.00%	Watershed Imperviousness =			
b percent	66.5%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =			
b percent	33.5%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =			
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =			
hours	40.0	Target WQCV Drain Time =			
ıt	Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = User Input				

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

	5	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.262	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.970	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.746	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.52 in.) =	0.986	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	1.177	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	1.437	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	1.663	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.55 in.) =	1.974	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.14 in.) =	2.537	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.671	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.894	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.077	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.241	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.339	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.466	acre-feet

Define Zones and Basin Geometry

efine Zones and Basin Geometry		
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.262	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.708	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.496	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.466	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (STC) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (Smain) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	user	

Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$	user	ft ²
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width $(W_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor $(L_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor $(W_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor $(A_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft ²
Volume of Basin Floor $(V_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft ³
Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin $(A_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft ²
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft ³
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

		Top of Micropool		0.00				10	0.000		
		6425.4		0.33				14	0.000	4	0.000
		0423.4		0.35				14	0.000		0.000
		6425.5		0.43				51	0.001	7	0.000
		6425.6		0.53				105	0.002	15	0.000
		6425 7		0.63				216	0.005	31	0.001
		6425.7		0.05	-	-	-	210	0.005		0.001
		6425.8		0.73				306	0.007	57	0.001
		6425.9		0.83				366	0.008	91	0.002
		6426		0.93				443	0.010	131	0.003
		6426.1		1.03				581	0.013	183	0.004
		0420.1		1.05				501	0.015	105	0.004
		6426.2		1.13				/94	0.018	251	0.006
		6426.3		1.23				1,075	0.025	345	0.008
		6426.4		1.33				1.409	0.032	469	0.011
				1.42				4,074	0.042		0.045
		6426.5		1.43	-		-	1,874	0.043	633	0.015
		6426.6		1.53				2,385	0.055	846	0.019
ptional Use	r Overrides	6426.7		1.63				2,845	0.065	1,107	0.025
	acre-feet	6426.8		1 73				3 303	0.076	1.415	0.032
	acresieer	0420.8		1.75				3,303	0.070	1,415	0.032
	acre-feet	6426.9		1.83	-		-	3,778	0.087	1,769	0.041
1.19	inches	6427		1.93				4,309	0.099	2,173	0.050
1.52	inches	6427.1		2.03	-		-	4.843	0.111	2.631	0.060
1 75	inchor	6427.2		2.12				E 242	0.122	2,140	0.072
1.75	inches	0427.2		2.13				3,343	0.123	3,140	0.072
2.00	inches	6427.3		2.23	-		-	5,842	0.134	3,699	0.085
2.25	inches	6427.4		2.33				6,190	0.142	4,301	0.099
2.55	inches	6427.5		2 43				6 5 1 4	0.150	4 936	0.113
2.55		6127.5		2.15				6,521	0.150	5,500	0.110
	Inches	6427.6		2.53	-		-	6,828	0.157	5,603	0.129
		6427.7		2.63				7,114	0.163	6,300	0.145
		6427.8		2.73				7,380	0.169	7,025	0.161
		6427.9		2.83				7 628	0.175	7 775	0 170
		0427.9		2.05	-	-	-	7,020	0.1/5	0.775	0.1/5
		6428		2.93	-		-	7,861	0.180	8,550	0.196
		6428.1		3.03				8,064	0.185	9,346	0.215
		6428.2		3,13				8,268	0.190	10,163	0.233
		6420.2		2.22				0,200	0.101	11,000	0.252
		6428.3		3.23				8,472	0.194	11,000	0.253
		6428.4		3.33				8,677	0.199	11,857	0.272
		6428.5		3.43	-		-	8,883	0.204	12,735	0.292
		6428 6		3 5 7				9,000	0.200	13 634	0 31 2
		0428.0		3.55	-		-	9,090	0.209	13,034	0.313
		6428.7		3.63	-		-	9,298	0.213	14,553	0.334
		6428.8		3.73				9,507	0.218	15,493	0.356
		6428.0		3.83				9 720	0.223	16.455	0.378
		0420.5		5.05				5,720	0.225	10,455	0.570
		6429		3.93				9,934	0.228	17,437	0.400
		6429.1		4.03				10,149	0.233	18,442	0.423
		6429.2		4.13				10,364	0.238	19,467	0.447
		6420 3		4.23				10 582	0.243	20 514	0.471
		0425.5		4.25				10,502	0.245	20,514	0.471
		6429.4		4.33	-		-	10,812	0.248	21,584	0.496
		6429.5		4.43				11,033	0.253	22,676	0.521
		6429.6		4.53				11,255	0.258	23,791	0.546
		6429.7		4.63				11.478	0.264	24 027	0.572
		0425.7		4.05				11,470	0.204	24,527	0.572
		6429.8		4.73	-		-	11,703	0.269	26,086	0.599
		6429.9		4.83				11,928	0.274	27,268	0.626
		6430		4.93	-		-	12,155	0.279	28,472	0.654
		6420.1		5.02				12,202	0.204	20,00	0.692
		6430.1		5.03	-		-	12,302	0.204	29,699	0.662
		6430.2		5.13				12,610	0.289	30,949	0./10
		6430.3		5.23				12,841	0.295	32,221	0.740
		6430.4		5.33	-		-	13.075	0.300	33,517	0.769
		6420 5		5.60				12,200	0.200	24,020	0.000
		0430.5		5.45				13,309	0.300	34,030	0.000
		6430.6		5.53				13,545	0.311	36,179	0.831
		6430.7		5.63				13,781	0.316	37,545	0.862
		6430.8		5 73				14 019	0 322	38 935	0.894
		6430.0		5.03				14,059	0.322	40,340	0.020
		0450.9		5.65	-		-	14,208	0.32/	90,049	0.920
		6431		5.93				14,497	0.333	41,787	0.959
		6431.1 (EURV)		6.03	-		-	14,739	0.338	43,249	0.993
		6431.2		6.13				14,981	0.344	44,735	1.027
		6431.3		6.23				15,225	0.350	46,245	1.062
		6431.4 6421 E		6.42	-		-	15,4/0	0.300	1/,/0U	1 122
		6431.6		6,53				15,963	0.366	50,923	1.169
		6431.7		6.63				16,212	0.372	52,532	1.206
		6431.8		6.73				16,461	0.378	54,165	1.243
		6431.9		6.83				16,712	0.384	55,824	1.282
		6432		6.93	-		-	16,964	0.389	57,508	1.320
		6432.1		7.03	-		-	17,219	0.395	59,21/	1.359
		6432.2		7.13	-		-	17,720	0.401	62 712	1.399
		6432.5		7.33	-		-	17,985	0.413	64,497	1.481
		6432.5 (100-YP)		7.43				18.242	0,419	66.309	1.522
		6432.6		7.53				18,499	0.425	68,146	1.564
		6432.7		7.63				18,757	0.431	70,009	1.607
		6432.8		7.73				19,018	0.437	71,897	1.651
		6432.9		7.83	-		-	19,281	0.443	73,812	1.694
		6433		7.93	-		-	19,545	0.449	75,754	1.739
		6433.1		8.03	-		-	19,811	0.455	77,721	1.784
		6433.2		8.13				20,0/9	0.461	/9,/16	1.830
		6422.4	-	0.23	-		-	20,340	0.472	01,/3/ 83 702	1.0/0
		6433.5		8.43	-		-	20,010	0.480	85 861	1.923
		6433.6		8,53				21,167	0,486	87,964	2.019
		6433.7		8.63	-		-	21,446	0.492	90,095	2.068
		6433.8		8.73				21,727	0.499	92,253	2.118
		6433.9		8.83				22,010	0.505	94,440	2.168
		6434		8.93	-		-	22,296	0.512	96,656	2.219
		6434.1		9.03	-		-	22,584	0.518	98,899	2.270
		6434.2		9.13				22,876	0.525	101,172	2.323
		6434.3		9.23	-		-	23,172	0.532	103,475	2.375
		6434.4		9.33	-		-	23,4/2	0.539	105,807	2.429
		6434.5		9.43	-		-	23,775	0.546	108,169	2.483
		6434.0		9.53				24,082	0.555	110,502	2.038
		6434.8		9,73	-		-	24,716	0.567	115,447	2.554
		6434.9		9.83				25,044	0.575	117,930	2.707
		6435		9.93				25,384	0.583	120,451	2.765
		6435.1		10.03				25,384	0.583	122,990	2.823

Width (ft)

Length (ft)

Area (ft²)

Override Area (ft²

Area (acre)

Volume (ft ³)

Volume (ac-ft)

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)

Project:	Citizen On Constitu	ution (El Paso)							
Basin ID:	Extended Detentio	n Basin							
ZONE 2 ZONE 2 ZONE 1	\bigcirc			Estimated	Estimated				
100-YR			1	Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type	1		
VOLDIME _ EDRV _ Wacv			Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.28	0.262	Orifice Plate			
	100-YEAR ORIFICE		Zone 2 (EURV)	5.97	0.708	Orifice Plate			
PERMANENT ORIFICES			Zone 3 (100-year)	7.30	0.496	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)			
Example Zone	Configuration (Ret	ention Pond)		Total (all zones)	1.466				
User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically i	used to drain WQCV	in a Filtration BMP)					Calculated Paramet	ers for Underdrair	<u>1</u>
Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =	N/A	ft (distance below t	he filtration media s	urface)	Under	drain Orifice Area =	N/A	ft ²	
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	N/A	inches			Underdrai	n Orifice Centroid =	N/A	feet	
		-							
User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices	or Elliptical Slot We	ir (typically used to	drain WQCV and/or I	EURV in a sedimenta	ation BMP)		Calculated Paramet	ers for Plate	
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage = 0) ft)	WQ Ori	fice Area per Row =	N/A	ft ²	
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	6.03	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage = 0) ft)	El	liptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	N/A	inches			Ellip	tical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet	
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	inches				Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A	ft ²	
User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice F	Row (numbered from	n lowest to highest)	Dev 2 (antianal)	Den 4 (anti-mat)	Dev E (anti-anti-	Dev C (anti-ant)	David Z (antise all)	David (anti-mati)	1
	Row I (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row / (optional)	Row 8 (optional)	-
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	2.85	4.23	5.23					-
Offlice Area (sq. inches)	1.40	2.00	3.30	3.30					1
	Row Q (ontional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (ontional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)]
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	Kow 9 (optional)	Kow 10 (optional)	Kow II (opuolial)	Row 12 (optional)	Kow 13 (opuonal)	Kow 14 (optional)	Kow 13 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	
Orifice Area (sq. inches)									
									1
User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangula	ar)						Calculated Paramet	ers for Vertical Or	ifice
	Not Selected	Not Selected	1				Not Selected	Not Selected	1
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage = 0	Dft) Ve	rtical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft²
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage = 0) ft) Vertica	al Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches						
		•	-						
User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or S	loped Grate and Ou	tlet Pipe OR Rectan	gular/Trapezoidal We	eir (and No Outlet Pi	<u>pe)</u>		Calculated Paramet	ers for Overflow V	Veir
	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected					Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	6.03	N/A	ft (relative to basin b	ottom at Stage = 0 ft)	Height of Gra	e Upper Edge, $H_t =$	6.03	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	4.00	N/A	feet		Overflow V	Veir Slope Length =	4.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V	(Grate Open Area / 1	00-yr Orifice Area =	32.36	N/A	
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	4.00	N/A	feet	(Overflow Grate Oper	n Area w/o Debris =	11.14	N/A	ft
Overflow Grate Type =	Type C Grate	N/A			Overflow Grate Ope	en Area w/ Debris =	5.57	N/A	ft²
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%						
	с: I о.:с р I						(0.11.1 P: /		
User Input: Outlet Pipe W/ Flow Restriction Plate (Zana 2 Dostrictor	Not Colortod	angular Orifice)		<u> </u>	alculated Parameter	S for Outlet Pipe W/	Flow Restriction P	<u>nate</u>
Dopth to Invert of Outlot Bing -	1 2E	NUL Selected	ft (dictance below ba	sin bottom at Stago -	0.8)	Jutlat Orifica Araa -	2011e 3 Restrictor	NOL SEIECLEU	en2
	24.00	N/A	inches	sin bottom at stage =		t Orifice Centroid -	0.34	N/A	feet
Pectrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert -	4 00	IN/A	inches	Half-Co	ntral Angle of Pectri	ctor Plate on Pine -	0.20	N/A	radianc
Restrictor Flate freight Above Fipe Invert -	4.00	l	linches	Tidii-Cei	Initial Aligie of Result	ctor Flate on Fipe -	0.04	N/A	
User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or T	rapezoidal)						Calculated Paramet	ers for Spillway	
Spillway Invert Stage=	8.68	ft (relative to basin	bottom at Stage = () ft)	Spillway I	Design Flow Depth=	0.31	feet	
Spillway Crest Length =	60.00	feet	j-		Stage at	Top of Freeboard =	9,99	feet	
Spillway End Slopes =	3.00	H:V			Basin Area at	Top of Freeboard =	0.58	acres	
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet			Basin Volume at	Top of Freeboard =	2.80	acre-ft	
		1						1	
Routed Hydrograph Results	The user can overri	ide the default CUHP	P hydrographs and ru	unoff volumes by en	tering new values in	the Inflow Hydrogr	aphs table (Columns	W through AF).	500 V
Design Storm Return Period =	N/A	EURV N/A	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	2 25	100 Year	3 14
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.262	0.970	0.746	0.986	1.177	1.437	1.663	1.974	2.537
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	0.746	0.986	1.177	1.437	1.663	1.974	2.537
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	0.1	0.2	1.4	4.4	6.2	9.3	14.2
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	0.01	5.4	/.8	0.30	0.55	16.9	1 27
Peak Inflow O (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	11.8	15.3	18.1	23.0	26.7	32.2	41.4
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.5	2.1	4.5	4.7	4.9	5.1
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.1	0.3	1.0	0.8	0.3	0.4
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Weir 1	Outlet Plate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Outlet Plate 1
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	0.1 N/A	0.4 N/A	0.4 N/A	0.4 N/A	0.4 N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	39	70	66	72	73	71	70	69	67
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	41	75	69	76	78	77	77	77	77
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	3.28	5.97	5.10	5.82	6.19	6.39	6.76	7.42	8.43
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) = Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.20	0.34	0.29	0.33	0.35	0.30	1.255	0.42	0.48 1.966
				0.720			1.200		

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN





DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

	SOLIDCE			СПНЬ	СПНЬ	СПНБ	СШНР	СШНР	СШНР	
The Table of	SUURCE									
Time Interval		WQCV [cts]	EURV [CTS]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cts]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [CTS]	100 Year [cts]	500 Year [cts]
5.00 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.04	0.51
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.40	2.34	2.83	1.90	2.36	2.36	3.29
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	4.91	13.61	16.08	4.75	5.52	12 51	7.09
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	11.77	15.33	18.15	20.78	24.25	27.63	35.91
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	10.64	13.70	16.11	23.01	26.72	32.15	41.35
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	9.33	11.82	13.85	21.72	25.19	30.22	38.75
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	7.87	10.13	11.95	18.88	21.92	27.14	34.81
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	6.65	8.75	10.16	16.73	19.45	23.97	30.77
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	5.73	7.52	8.79	14.02	16.27	20.55	26.42
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	5.13	6.70	7.91	11.89	13.78	17.90	23.05
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	4.65	6.04	7.22	10.46	12.09	16.16	20.83
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	3.97	5.42 4.67	5.89	7 57	8 74	10.93	17.22
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	2.80	3.95	5.05	6.15	7.08	8 48	10.87
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	2.42	3.45	4.24	4.98	5.70	6.45	8.21
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	2.22	3.19	3.77	3.99	4.55	4.97	6.31
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	2.13	3.05	3.47	3.40	3.87	4.10	5.18
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	2.07	2.74	3.26	3.03	3.44	3.56	4.47
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	2.04	2.51	3.11	2.79	3.15	3.18	3.98
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	2.01	2.33	3.00	2.62	2.96	2.93	3.64
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.75	2.20	2.85	2.51	2.83	2.74	3.40
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.54	2.04	2.59	2.43	2.74	2.62	3.24
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	1.16	1.53	1.93	1.82	2.05	1.95	2.41
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.85	0.81	1.40	0.97	1.49	1.42	1.75
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.58	0.73	0.70	0.78	0.76	0.93
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.40	0.52	0.49	0.55	0.54	0.66
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.28	0.36	0.35	0.39	0.38	0.46
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.19	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.26	0.32
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.21
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.12
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

RUNOFF SUMMARY: EXISTING VS PROPOSED								
	5-YEAR STORM (CFS)	100-YEAR STORM (CFS)						
TOTAL EXISTING ON-SITE FLOWS:	8.17	33						
EXISTING ON-SITE FLOWS AT DP EX1:	5.35	16.9						
EXISTING ON-SITE FLOWS AT DP EX2:	2.8	18.81						
*PROPOSED TOTAL ON-SITE FLOWS AT DP UO:	7.33	13.78						
NET RESULT: 1.98 -3								

*PROPOSED FLOWS INCLUDE POND DISCHARGE AND BASINS A1, A2, B3, B4, B5



Rock Chute Design - Cut/Paste Plan

(Version WI-Nov. 2017, Based on Design of Rock Chutes by Robinson, Rice, Kadavy, ASAE, 1998)



Rock Chute Design Calculations

(Version WI-Nov. 2017, Based on Design of Rock Chutes by Robinson, Rice, Kadavy, ASAE, 1998)

Project:	Urban Collection at Palmer Village F2
Designer:	SMW
Date:	3/28/2022



I. Calculate the normal depth in the inlet channel

<u>Hig</u>	<u>High Flow</u>			w Flow			
y _n =	0.22	ft.	y _n =	0.16	ft.	(Normal depth)	
Area =	0.8	ft ²	Area =	0.5	ft ²	(Flow area in channel)	
Q _{high} =	3.4	cfs	Q _{low} =	1.9	cfs	(Capacity in channel)	
Scupstreamchannel =	0.007	ft/ft					

II. Calculate the critical depth in the chute

<u>Higl</u>	<u>h Flow</u>		Low Flow	<u>/</u>		
у _с =	0.32	ft.	$y_c = 0.22$	ft	í.	(Critical depth in chute)
Area =	1.2	ft ²	Area = 0.8	ft	2	(Flow area in channel)
Q _{high} =	3.4	cfs	Q _{low} = 1.9	c	fs	(Capacity in channel)
H _{ce} =	0.45	ft.	H _{ce} = 0.32	ft		(Total minimum specific energy head)
h _{cv} =	0.13	ft.	h _{cv} = 0.10	ft		(Velocity head corresponding to y_c)
10y _c =	3.17	ft.				(Required inlet apron length)
0.715y _c =	0.23	ft.	0.715y _c = 0.16	ft	t.	(Depth of flow over the weir crest or brink)

III. Calculate the tailwater depth in the outlet channel

<u>High Flow</u>			Lov	v Flow		
Tw =	0.06	ft.	Tw =	0.04	ft.	(Tailwater depth)
Area =	1.8	ft ²	Area =	1.3	ft ²	(Flow area in channel)
Q _{high} =	3.4	cfs	Q _{low} =	1.9	cfs	(Capacity in channel)
H ₂ =	0.00	ft.	H ₂ =	0.00	ft.	(Downstream head above weir crest, $H_2 = 0$, if $H_2 < 0.715^*y_c$)

IV. Calculate the head for a trapezoidal shaped broadcrested weir

	Co	d =	1.00 (Coe	(Coefficient of discharge for broadcrested weirs)						
<u>Hig</u>	<u>h Flow</u>									
H _p =	0.49	ft.	().45	ft.	(Weir head)				
Area =	1.9	ft ²		1.7	ft ²	(Flow area in channel)				
V _o =	0.00	fps		1.95	fps	(Approach velocity)				
h _{pv} =	0.00	ft.	(0.06	ft.	(Velocity head corresponding to H_p)				
Q _{high} =	3.4	cfs		3.4	cfs	(Capacity in channel)				
	Trial and error procedure solving simultaneously for velocity and head									
Lo	w Flow									
H _p =	0.34	ft.	(0.30	ft.	(Weir head)				
Area =	1.2	ft²		1.1	ft ²	(Flow area in channel)				
V _o =	0.00	fps		1.73	fps	(Approach velocity)				
h _{pv} =	0.00	ft.	(0.05	ft.	(Velocity head corresponding to H_p)				
Q _{low} =	1.9	cfs		1.9	cfs	(Capacity in channel)				
		-		!.						

Trial and error procedure solving simultaneously for velocity and head

Page 3 of 3

Rock Chute Design Calculations

(Version WI-Nov. 2017, Based on Design of Rock Chutes by Robinson, Rice, Kadavy, ASAE, 1998)

Project: Urban Collection at Palmer Village F2
Designer: SMW
Date: 3/28/2022

County:	El Paso County
Checked by:	
Date:	

V. Calculate the rock chute parameters (w/o a factor of safety applied)

<u>High</u>	<u>ı Flow</u>		Low	<u> Flow</u>		
q _t =	0.09	cms/m	$\mathbf{q}_{t} =$	0.05	cms/n	n (Equivalent unit discharge)
D ₅₀ (mm) = 92	2.71 –	→ (3.65 in.)	D ₅₀ =	69.41	mm	(Median <u>angular</u> rock size)
n =	0.046		n =	0.044		(Manning's roughness coefficient)
z ₁ =	0.19	ft.	z ₁ =	0.13	ft.	(Normal depth in the chute)
A ₁ =	0.6	ft ²	A ₁ =	0.4	ft ²	(Area associated with normal depth)
Velocity =	5.27	fps	Velocity =	4.34	fps	(Velocity in chute slope)
z _{mean} =	0.17	ft.	z _{mean} =	0.12	ft.	(Mean depth)
F ₁ =	2.24		F ₁ =	2.17		(Froude number)
L _{rock apron} =	4.56	ft.				(Length of rock outlet apron = $15*D_{50}$)

VI. Calculate the height of hydraulic jump height (conjugate depth)

<u>Hig</u>	<u>h Flow</u>		Lo			
z ₂ =	0.49	ft.	z ₂ =	0.34	ft.	(Hydraulic jump height)
Q _{high} =	3.4	cfs	Q _{high} =	1.9	cfs	(Capacity in channel)
A ₂ =	1.9	ft ²	A ₂ =	1.2	ft ²	(Flow area in channel)

VII. Calculate the energy lost through the jump (absorbed by the rock)

<u>High Flow</u>		Lov	v Flow	
E ₁ =	0.62 ft.	E ₁ =	0.43 ft.	(Total energy <u>before</u> the jump)
E ₂ =	0.53 ft.	E ₂ =	0.37 ft.	(Total energy <u>after</u> the jump)
R _E =	14.21 %	R _E =	12.75 %	(Relative loss of energy)

Calculate Quantities for Rock Chute

Rock Riprap Volume			
Area Calculation	ns Length @ Rock CL		
h = 0.49	Inlet = 9.94		
x ₁ = 2.24	Outlet = 5.16		
L = 1.10	Slope = 28.86		
A _s = 1.10	2.5:1 Lip = 2.59		
x ₂ = 2.00	Total = 46.55 ft.		
A _b = 5.47	Rock Volume		
A _b +2*A _s = 7.66	ft ² 13.21 yd ³		

Geotextile Quantity			
Width	<u>Length @ Bot. Rock</u>		
2*Slope = 6.66	Total = 46.54 ft.		
Bottom = 3.47	Geotextile Area		
Total = 10.14 ft.	52.41 yd ²		

Bedding Volume			
Area Calculation	ons		
h = 1.49	<u> </u>	Bedding Thickness	
$x_1 = 0.00$		t ₁ , t ₂ = 0.00 in.	
L = 3.33			
$A_{s} = 0.00$		Length @ Bed CL	
$x_2 = 0.00$		Total = 46.54 ft.	
$A_{b} = 0.00$		Bedding Volume	
$A_{b}+2*A_{s}=0.00$	ft²	0.00 yd³	

- <u>Note</u>: 1) The radius is not considered when calculating quantities of riprap, bedding, or geotextile.
 - The geotextile quantity does not include overoverlapping (18-in. min.) or anchoring material (18-in. min. along sides, 24-in. min. on ends).

Kimley »Horn Date 6/6/2022 Forebay Sizing Calculations - Forebay A JWM Prepared By Contributing Sub-Basins: E1-E4, OE1-OE4, OF1 Checked By мон Forebay B Required Flow: $Q_{100} = (cfs)$ Release Rate Release 2% of the undetained **Forebay Release** 100-year peak discharge by way and Configuration 3.37 0.07 of a wall/notch or berm/pipe configuration Required (CF) Provided (CF) **Minimum Forebay** 40hr drain time a = 1 **Volume Required** I = 0.791% of the WQCV 5.38 24.00 A = 0.46 AC Maximum Forebay Provided Required Depth 12" Max 12" **Concrete Forebay Structure** Forebay Notch Calculations $Q = C_o A_o (2gH_o)$ Q, 0.07 cfs 2% of Peak 100 YR Discharge for contributing Sub-Basins 0.6 0.5 ft H 32.2 ft/s² 0.02 ft² 0.01 ft

0.16 in 3" Minimum per Criteria

 $WQCV = a(0.91I^3 - 1.19I^2 + 0.78I)$

Equation 3-1

Where:

WQCV = Water Quality Capture Volume (watershed inches)

a = Coefficient corresponding to WQCV drain time (Table 3-2)

I = Imperviousness (%/100) (see Figures 3-3 through 3-5 [single family land use] and /or the *Runoff* chapter of Volume 1[other typical land uses])

Table 3-2. Drain Time Coefficients for WQCV Calculations

Drain Time (hrs)	Coefficient, a
12 hours	0.8
24 hours	0.9
40 hours	1.0

Kimley »Horn Date 6/6/2022 Forebay Sizing Calculations - Forebay B JWM Prepared By Contributing Sub-Basins: B1, B2, OB1, OB2 Checked By мон Forebay A Required Flow: $Q_{100} = (cfs)$ Release Rate Release 2% of the undetained **Forebay Release** 100-year peak discharge by way and Configuration 16.00 0.32 of a wall/notch or berm/pipe configuration Required (CF) Provided (CF) **Minimum Forebay** 40hr drain time a = 1 **Volume Required** I = 0.66 1% of the WQCV 21.26 24.00 A = 2.27 AC Maximum Forebay Provided Required Depth 12" Max 12" **Concrete Forebay Structure** Forebay Notch Calculations $Q = C_o A_o (2gH_o)$ Q, 0.32 cfs 2% of Peak 100 YR Discharge for contributing Sub-Basins 0.6 0.5 ft H 32.2 ft/s² 0.09 ft²

 $WQCV = a(0.91I^3 - 1.19I^2 + 0.78I)$

Equation 3-1

3" Minimum per Criteria

Where:

WQCV = Water Quality Capture Volume (watershed inches)

a = Coefficient corresponding to WQCV drain time (Table 3-2)

I = Imperviousness (%/100) (see Figures 3-3 through 3-5 [single family land use] and /or the *Runoff* chapter of Volume 1[other typical land uses])

0.06 ft

Table 3-2. Drain Time Coefficients for WQCV Calculations

Drain Time (hrs)	Coefficient, a
12 hours	0.8
24 hours	0.9
40 hours	1.0

Kimley»	Horn		Date	6/6/2022	
Forebay Sizing Ca Contributing Sub-Bas	alculations - Forebay C ins: D1-D12, OD 12		Prepared By Checked By	JWM MOH	
	Required	Flow: Q ₁₀₀ = (cfs)	a <u>y C</u> <u>Release Rate</u>]	
Forebay Release and Configuration	100-year peak discharge by way of a wall/notch or berm/pipe configuration	43.57	1.31		
Minimum Forebay		40hr drain time a = 1	Required (CF)	Provided (CF)	
Volume Required	3% of the WQCV for contributing basins	4011 drain time a = 1 I = 0.78 A = 6.31 AC	144.87	147.00	
Maximum Forebay Depth	<u>Required</u> 18" Max	Provided 18"	Concrete Forebay	Structure	
Forebay Notch Calo	culations]		
$Q = C_o A_o (2gH_o)^0$	5		-		
Q _a	1.31	cfs	2% of Peak 100 YR	Discharge for contrib	uting Sub-Basins
C _o	0.6				
H _o	0.5	ft			
g	32.2	ft/s ²	-		
A _a	0.38	ft ²	-		
La	0.26	ft	1		
	3.07	in	3" Minimum per C	riteria	

 $WQCV = a(0.91I^3 - 1.19I^2 + 0.78I)$

Equation 3-1

Where:

WQCV = Water Quality Capture Volume (watershed inches)

a = Coefficient corresponding to WQCV drain time (Table 3-2)

I = Imperviousness (%/100) (see Figures 3-3 through 3-5 [single family land use] and /or the *Runoff* chapter of Volume 1[other typical land uses])

Table 3-2. Drain Time Coefficients for WQCV Calculations

Drain Time (hrs)	Coefficient, a
12 hours	0.8
24 hours	0.9
40 hours	1.0

APPENDIX D: SITE PHOTOS



Photo 1





Photo 3





Photo 5



Photo 6



Photo 7



APPENDIX E: EXCEPRTS FROM ADJACENT PROPERTY DRAINAGE REPORTS

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN COLLECTION AT PALMER VILLAGE

Prepared For:

MDC Holdings – Richmond American Homes 4350 South Monaco Street Denver, CO 80237 720-977-3827

> PCD Filing No.: SF-20-028

April 23, 2021 Project No. 25149.01

Prepared By: JR Engineering, LLC 5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235 Colorado Springs, CO 80919 719-593-2593


FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN COLLECTION AT PALMER VILLAGE

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by El Paso County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Glenn D. Ellis, Colorado P.E. 38861 For and On Behalf of JR Engineering, LLC



DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name:

MDC Holdings – Richmond American Homes

By:

Title: Address: <u>VP • Fleure Acquisition + Entitlements</u> <u>4350 South Monaco Street</u> Denver, CO 80237

El Paso County:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2 and Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

	Approved	
Jennifer Irvine, P.E.	by Jeff Rice El Paso County Planning and Community Development on behalf of Elizabeth Nijkamp, Engineering Review Manager	
County Engineer/ ECM Administrator	09/07/2021 10:32:14 AM	1

Conditions:



April 2021

north to the sump Double Type 16 inlet at DP23. In the event that the inlet at DP22 becomes clogged, the flow would follow the curb flowline north to the sump Double Type 16 inlet at DP23.

The total combined flow at DP23 from Basin B7, DP20, and DP22 flow-by is $Q_5=2.6$ cfs and $Q_{100}=5.6$ cfs. All flow at DP23 is captured and combines with flow from DP18.1 at DP23.1. In the event the inlet at DP23 becomes clogged, the flow will overtop the road crown to the north and enter the sump Triple Type 16 inlet at DP24.

Total flows at DP22.1 are $Q_5=1.7$ cfs and $Q_{100}=3.5$ cfs. The flow is conveyed via 18" RCP to DP23.1, where it combines with flow from DP23.

Total flows at DP23.1 from DP18.1, DP22.1, and DP23 are $Q_5=8.2$ cfs and $Q_{100}=16.5$ cfs. The flow is conveyed via 24" RCP to DP24.1, where it combines with flow from DP19 and DP24.

Total flows at DP24.1 are $Q_5=10.2$ cfs and $Q_{100}=21.5$ cfs. The flow is conveyed via 30" RCP to Pond B at DP25, where it combines with flow from Basin B11.

Basin B11 consists of approximately 0.55 acres of walks and landscaped areas and contains Full-Spectrum Water Quality and Detention Pond B. Flow from this basin ($Q_5=0.3$ cfs and $Q_{100}=1.7$ cfs) sheet flows directly into Pond B where it combines with flow from DP24.1 at DP25. A detailed discussion of Full-Spectrum Water Quality and Detention Pond B is presented in the Water Quality section later in this report.

Total flows at DP25 are $Q_5=10.4$ cfs and $Q_{100}=22.9$ cfs. All flow at DP25 is routed through the Pond B outlet structure and proposed RCP (various sizes) before discharging into the existing double 10'x6' concrete box culvert that conveys a tributary to the East Fork Sand Creek.

Basin B12 consists of approximately 0.06 acres of landscaped areas and sidewalk. Due to topographical constraints, flow from this basin ($Q_5=0.1$ cfs and $Q_{100}=0.3$ cfs) will discharge directly into Constitution Avenue curb and gutter at DP26, which conveys the flow east to an existing Type R inlet about 670 feet east of Hannah Ridge Drive.

Basin B13 consists of approximately 0.18 acres of landscaped areas and sidewalk. Due to topographical constraints, flow from this basin ($Q_5=0.3$ cfs and $Q_{100}=0.9$ cfs) will discharge directly into Constitution Avenue curb and gutter at DP27, and will follow the same flow path as Basin B12.

Basin B14 consists of approximately 0.17 acres of landscaped areas and contains approximately 1,870 square feet of asphalt roadway. Flow from this basin ($Q_5=0.2$ cfs and $Q_{1oo}=0.7$ cfs) follows historic drainage patterns and sheet flows offsite at DP28, along the eastern site boundary, eventually flowing directly into the Tributary to Sand Creek – East Fork Reach No. 6.



Basin B15 consists of approximately 0.17 acres of walks and landscaped areas. Flow from this basin ($Q_5=0.2$ cfs and $Q_{1oo}=0.6$ cfs) follows historic drainage patterns and sheet flows easterly offsite at DP29 to Tributary to Sand Creek – East Fork Reach No. 6.

Basin B16 consists of approximately 0.11 acres of landscaped areas and will remain undeveloped. Flow from this basin ($Q_5=0.1$ cfs and $Q_{1oo}=0.3$ cfs) is conveyed in a grass-lined swale onsite before discharging to the east property line at DP30. From here, the flow follows historic drainage patterns to the Tributary to Sand Creek – East Fork Reach No. 6.

DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA REFERENCE

Storm drainage analysis and design criteria for this project were taken from the "*City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual*" Volumes 1 and 2 (EPCDCM), dated October 12, 1994, the "*Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*" Volumes 1 to 3 (USDCM) and Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the "*Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual*" (CSDCM), dated May 2014, as adopted by El Paso County.

HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA

All hydrologic data was obtained from the "*El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual*" Volumes 1 and 2, and the "*Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*" Volumes 1, 2, and 3. Onsite drainage improvements were designed based on the 5-year (minor) storm event and the 100-year (major) storm event. Runoff was calculated using the Rational Method, and rainfall intensities for the 5-year and the 100-year storm return frequencies were obtained from Table 6-2 of the CSDCM. One hour point rainfall data for the storm events is identified in the chart below. Runoff coefficients were determined based on proposed land use and from data in Table 6-6 from the CSDCM. Time of concentrations were developed using equations from CSDCM. All runoff calculations and applicable charts and graphs are included in the Appendices.

Storm	Rainfall (in.)								
5-year	1.50								
100-year	2.52								

HYDRAULIC CRITERIA

The Rational Method and USDCM's SF-2 and SF-3 forms were used to determine the runoff from the minor and major storms on the site, and the UDFCD UD-Detention v4.04 spreadsheet was utilized for evaluating proposed detention and water quality pond. Sump and on-grade inlets were sized using UDFCD UD-Inlet v4.05. Manning's equation was used to size the proposed pipes in this report and StormCAD was used to model the proposed storm sewer system and to analyze the



PALRMER VILLAGE - PROPOSED DRAINAGE SUMMARY

	BASIN 'A' SUMMARY TABLE													
Tributary	Area	Percent			t _c	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀							
Sub-basin	(acres)	Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	(min)	(cfs)	(cfs)							
EX1	0.15	0%	0.08	0.35	7.9	0.0	0.2							
EX2	0.46	0%	0.08	0.35	8.1	0.2	0.7							
A1	0.78	75%	0.65	0.77	5.5	2.6	5.1							
A2	0.22	67%	0.63	0.76	7.8	0.6	1.3							
A3	0.11	63%	0.60	0.74	6.2	0.3	0.7							
A4	0.62	75%	0.65	0.76	5.2	2.0	4.0							
A5	0.83	55%	0.50	0.65	7.0	2.0	4.2							
A6	0.18	0.18 84%		0.84	7.9	0.6	1.1							
A7	0.46	67%	0.60	0.73	5.8	1.3	2.7							
A8	0.75	48%	0.44	0.61	7.8	1.5	3.5							
A9	0.57	72%	0.66	0.77	6.9	1.8	3.5							
A10	0.78	5%	0.12	0.38	9.4	0.4	2.1							
A11	0.16	40%	0.41	0.60	9.8	0.3	0.6							
A12	0.13	39%	0.40	0.59	7.8	0.2	0.6							

BASIN 'B' SUMMARY TABLE													
Tributary	Area	Area Percent t _c Q ₅ Q ₁											
Sub-basin	(acres)	Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	(min)	(cfs)	(cfs)						
B1	0.61	55%	0.50	0.65	6.3	1.4	3.2						
B2	0.08	100%	0.90	0.96	5.0	0.4	0.6						
B3	0.12	87%	0.79	0.88	5.0	0.5	0.9						
B4	0.76	75%	0.66	0.77	5.9	2.5	4.9						
B5	0.66	53%	0.49	0.64	6.2	1.6	3.5						
B6	0.08	84%	0.77	0.87	5.0	0.3	0.6						
B7	0.13	88%	0.80 0.8		5.0	0.5	1.0						
B8	0.72	68%	0.60	0.72	5.5	2.2	4.4						
B9	0.31	2%	0.10	0.36	5.6	0.1	0.9						
B10	0.55	65%	0.59	0.72	6.4	1.6	3.2						
B11	0.55	3%	0.10	0.37	5.6	0.3	1.7						
B12	0.06	36%	0.37	0.57	5.0	0.1	0.3						
B13	0.18	39%	0.40	0.59	7.0	0.3	0.9						
B14	0.17	21%	0.25	0.48	5.6	0.2	0.7						
B15	0.17	11%	0.17	0.42	5.0	0.2	0.6						
B16	0.11	0%	0.08	0.35	5.0	0.1	0.3						

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY										
TABLE										
Design Point	Q ₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)								
EX1	0.04	0.2								
EX2	0.2	0.7								
1	2.3	4.9								
2	2.0	4.7								
3	3.0	6.2								
4	2.4	5.7								
4.1	4.8	9.4 4.2								
5	2.0									
5.1	6.7	13.5								
6	1.8	4.8								
7	1.5	3.5								
8	2.4	5.9								
8.1	8.8	15.0								
9	3.4	9.2								
9.1	11.9	23.9								
10	12.1	25.7								
11	0.3	0.6								
12	0.2	0.6								

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE										
Design Point	Q ₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)								
15	1.4	3.2								
16	2.5	4.9								
17	1.7	3.6								
18	2.8	5.4								
18.1	4.2	7.8								
19	1.9	4.8								
20	2.2	4.4 0.9								
21	0.1									
22	1.6	3.2								
22.1	1.7	3.5								
23	2.6	5.6								
23.1	8.2	16.5								
24	1.0	3.2								
24.1	10.2	21.5								
25	10.4	22.9								
26	0.3	1.7								
27	0.1	0.3								
28	0.3	0.9								
29	0.2	0.7								
30	0.1	0.3								

COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS

Subdivision: PALMER VILLAGE Location: Colorado Springs Project Name: PALMER VILLAGE

Project No.:	2514901
Calculated By:	RPD
Checked By:	
Date:	1/27/21

			Drives/Wal	ks		Roofs			Basins Total		
Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted %	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted %	Weighted %
Busining		io imp.	7 11 OG (UO)	Imp.	70 milpi	7 11 OG (GO)	% Imp.	70 mip:	7 1 0u (uo)	Imp.	Imp.
EX1	0.15	100%	0.00	0.0%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.15	0.0%	0.0%
EXZ	0.46	100%	0.00	0.0%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.46	0.0%	0.0%
A1	0.78	100%	0.28	36.2%	90%	0.33	38.3%	0%	0.17	0.0%	74.5%
A2	0.22	100%	0.15	67.3%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.07	0.0%	67.3%
A3	0.11	100%	0.07	63.3%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.04	0.0%	63.3%
A4	0.62	100%	0.16	26.6%	90%	0.33	48.3%	0%	0.12	0.0%	74.8%
A5	0.83	100%	0.21	24.8%	90%	0.28	29.9%	0%	0.35	0.0%	54.7%
A6	0.18	100%	0.11	59.4%	90%	0.05	24.5%	0%	0.02	0.0%	83.9%
A7	0.46	100%	0.16	34.5%	90%	0.17	32.7%	0%	0.13	0.0%	67.1%
A8	0.75	100%	0.16	20.9%	90%	0.22	26.6%	0%	0.37	0.0%	47.5%
A9	0.57	100%	0.32	54.9%	90%	0.11	17.4%	0%	0.15	0.0%	72.3%
A10	0.78	100%	0.04	5.2%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.74	0.0%	5.2%
A11	0.16	100%	0.06	40.5%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.09	0.0%	40.5%
A12	0.13	100%	0.05	38.9%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.08	0.0%	38.9%
B1	0.61	100%	0.13	21.6%	90%	0.22	33.0%	0%	0.25	0.0%	54.6%
B2	0.08	100%	0.08	100.0%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.00	0.0%	100.0%
B3	0.12	100%	0.10	87.0%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.02	0.0%	87.0%
B4	0.76	100%	0.27	35.8%	90%	0.33	39.2%	0%	0.16	0.0%	75.0%
B5	0.66	100%	0.15	23.0%	90%	0.22	30.0%	0%	0.29	0.0%	53.0%
B6	0.08	100%	0.07	84.4%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.01	0.0%	84.4%
B7	0.13	100%	0.11	87.9%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.02	0.0%	87.9%
B8	0.72	100%	0.19	26.4%	90%	0.33	41.6%	0%	0.20	0.0%	68.0%
В9	0.31	100%	0.01	2.2%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.30	0.0%	2.2%
B10	0.55	100%	0.21	38.0%	90%	0.17	27.0%	0%	0.18	0.0%	65.0%
B11	0.55	100%	0.02	2.8%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.54	0.0%	2.8%
B12	0.06	100%	0.02	36.0%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.04	0.0%	36.0%
B13	0.18	100%	0.07	39.3%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.11	0.0%	39.3%
B14	0.17	100%	0.04	20.7%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.14	0.0%	20.7%
B15	0.17	100%	0.02	11.0%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.15	0.0%	11.0%
B16	0.11	100%	0.00	0.0%	90%	0.00	0.0%	0%	0.11	0.0%	0.0%
SITE TOTAL	11.46									SITE	50.0%
WEST POND	5.92									WEST POND	50.5%
EAST POND	4.57									EAST POND	54.3%

COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS

Subdivision: PALMER VILLAGE Location: Colorado Springs

Project Name: PALMER VILLAGE Project No.: 2514901 Calculated By: RPD Checked By:

Date: 1/27/21

			Hydr	ologic Soil	Group		Land Use		Minor	Coefficient	S	Major Coefficients				
Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	Basins Total Weighted % Imp.	Area A (ac)	Area B (ac)	Area C/D (ac)	Area Walks & Drives (ac)	Area Roofs (ac)	Area Lawns (ac)	C _{5,A,WALKS & DRIVES}	C _{5,A,ROOFS}	C _{5,A,LAWNS}	C _{100,A,WALKS & DRIVES}	C _{100,A,ROOFS}	C _{100,A,LAWNS}	Basins Total Weighted C₅	Basins Total Weighted C ₁₀₀
EX1	0.15	0%	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.08	0.35
EX2	0.46	0%	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.08	0.35
A1	0.78	75%	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.33	0.17	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.65	0.77
A2	0.22	67%	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.07	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.63	0.76
A3	0.11	63%	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.04	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.60	0.74
A4	0.62	75%	0.62	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.33	0.12	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.65	0.76
A5	0.83	55%	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.28	0.35	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.50	0.65
A6	0.18	84%	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.05	0.02	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.74	0.84
A7	0.46	67%	0.46	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.60	0.73
A8	0.75	48%	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.22	0.37	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.44	0.61
A9	0.57	72%	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.11	0.15	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.66	0.77
A10	0.78	5%	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.74	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.12	0.38
A11	0.16	40%	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.09	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.41	0.60
A12	0.13	39%	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.08	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.40	0.59
B1	0.61	55%	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.22	0.25	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.50	0.65
B2	0.08	100%	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.90	0.96
B3	0.12	87%	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.79	0.88
B4	0.76	75%	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.33	0.16	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.66	0.77
B5	0.66	53%	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.22	0.29	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.49	0.64
B6	0.08	84%	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.77	0.87
B7	0.13	88%	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.02	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.80	0.89
B8	0.72	68%	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.33	0.20	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.60	0.72
B9	0.31	2%	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.30	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.10	0.36
B10	0.55	65%	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.17	0.18	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.59	0.72
B11	0.55	3%	0.55	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.54	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.10	0.37
B12	0.06	36%	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.37	0.57
B13	0.18	39%	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.11	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.40	0.59
B14	0.17	21%	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.14	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.25	0.48
B15	0.17	11%	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.15	0.90	0.73	80.0	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.17	0.42
B16	0.11	0%	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.90	0.73	0.08	0.96	0.81	0.35	0.08	0.35
TOTAL	11.46	50.0%	11.46	0.00	0.00	3.24	2.76	5.45							0.47	0.63

STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision: PALMER VILLAGE Location: Colorado Springs

Project Name:	PALMER VILLAGE
Project No.:	2514901
Calculated By:	RPD
Checked By:	
Date:	1/27/21

SUB-BASIN					INITIAL/OVERLAND					TRAVEL TIM	ЛЕ						
		DA	ATA				(T _i)				(T _t)			(L	JRBANIZED BA	(SINS)	FINAL
BASIN	D.A.	Hydrologic	Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	L	S _o	t _i	L _t	S _t	K	VEL.	t _t	COMP. t _c	TOTAL	Urbanized t_c	t _c
ID	(ac)	Soils Group	(%)			(ft)	(%)	(min)	(ft)	(%)		(ft/s)	(min)	(min)	LENGTH (ft)	(min)	(min)
EX1	0.15	A	0%	0.08	0.35	50	5.0%	7.7	26	5.0%	10.0	2.2	0.2	7.9	76.0	26.2	7.9
EX2	0.46	A	0%	0.08	0.35	50	5.0%	7.7	56	5.0%	10.0	2.2	0.4	8.1	106.0	26.5	8.1
A1	0.78	A	75%	0.65	0.77	87	5.0%	4.4	155	1.4%	20.0	2.4	1.1	5.5	242.0	14.4	5.5
A2	0.22	A	67%	0.63	0.76	87	2.0%	6.3	180	1.0%	20.0	2.0	1.5	7.8	267.0	16.2	7.8
A3	0.11	A	63%	0.60	0.74	87	6.0%	4.7	180	1.0%	20.0	2.0	1.5	6.2	267.0	16.9	6.2
A4	0.62	A	75%	0.65	0.76	87	6.0%	4.2	150	1.6%	20.0	2.5	1.0	5.2	237.0	14.3	5.2
A5	0.83	A	55%	0.50	0.65	87	5.0%	5.9	150	1.6%	20.0	2.5	1.0	7.0	237.0	17.9	7.0
A6	0.18	A	84%	0.74	0.84	99	1.0%	6.4	178	1.0%	20.0	2.0	1.5	7.9	277.0	13.2	7.9
A7	0.46	A	67%	0.60	0.73	87	5.5%	4.8	153	1.6%	20.0	2.5	1.0	5.8	240.0	15.7	5.8
A8	0.75	A	48%	0.44	0.61	90	4.5%	6.8	115	1.1%	20.0	2.1	0.9	7.8	205.0	19.1	7.8
A9	0.57	A	72%	0.66	0.77	87	3.0%	5.2	200	1.0%	20.0	2.0	1.7	6.9	287.0	15.5	6.9
A10	0.78	A	5%	0.12	0.38	50	15.0%	5.1	325	0.7%	15.0	1.3	4.3	9.4	375.0	31.8	9.4
A11	0.16	A	40%	0.41	0.60	90	2.0%	9.4	55	1.0%	20.0	2.0	0.5	9.8	145.0	19.7	9.8
A12	0.13	A	39%	0.40	0.59	20	2.0%	4.5	280	0.5%	20.0	1.4	3.3	7.8	300.0	24.0	7.8
B1	0.61	A	55%	0.50	0.65	97	8.0%	5.4	105	1.0%	20.0	2.0	0.9	6.3	202.0	17.8	6.3
B2	0.08	A	100%	0.90	0.96	12	2.0%	1.0	182	2.3%	20.0	3.1	1.0	2.0	194.0	9.9	5.0
B3	0.12	A	87%	0.79	0.88	12	2.0%	1.5	190	2.3%	20.0	3.0	1.0	2.6	202.0	12.2	5.0
B4	0.76	A	75%	0.66	0.77	120	6.0%	4.9	183	2.0%	20.0	2.8	1.1	5.9	303.0	14.4	5.9
B5	0.66	A	53%	0.49	0.64	97	8.0%	5.5	103	1.6%	20.0	2.5	0.7	6.2	200.0	17.8	6.2
B6	0.08	А	84%	0.77	0.87	12	2.0%	1.6	160	2.5%	20.0	3.2	0.8	2.5	172.0	12.5	5.0
B7	0.13	А	88%	0.80	0.89	12	2.0%	1.5	170	2.5%	20.0	3.2	0.9	2.4	182.0	11.9	5.0
B8	0.72	A	68%	0.60	0.72	97	9.0%	4.3	145	1.0%	20.0	2.0	1.2	5.5	242.0	15.7	5.5
B9	0.31	А	2%	0.10	0.36	15	10.0%	3.3	365	3.0%	15.0	2.6	2.3	5.6	380.0	29.4	5.6
B10	0.55	А	65%	0.59	0.72	87	5.0%	5.1	155	1.0%	20.0	2.0	1.3	6.4	242.0	16.4	6.4
B11	0.55	А	3%	0.10	0.37	15	2.0%	5.5	40	33.0%	15.0	8.6	0.1	5.6	55.0	25.6	5.6
B12	0.06	А	36%	0.37	0.57	20	2.0%	4.7	19	2.5%	20.0	3.2	0.1	4.8	39.0	20.0	5.0
B13	0.18	A	39%	0.40	0.59	20	2.0%	4.5	450	2.2%	20.0	3.0	2.5	7.0	470.0	22.8	7.0
B14	0.17	А	21%	0.25	0.48	20	2.0%	5.5	20	2.0%	20.0	2.8	0.1	5.6	40.0	22.7	5.6
B15	0.17	А	11%	0.17	0.42	20	25.0%	2.6	35	25.0%	15.0	7.5	0.1	2.7	55.0	24.2	5.0
B16	0.11	A	0%	0.08	0.35	15	10.0%	3.3	150	1.5%	15.0	1.9	1.3	4.7	165.0	28.2	5.0



MP96001

HYDROLOGY ANALYSIS EAST FORK SAND CREEK TRIBUTARY 6

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

Prepared for Mr. Chuck Crum MVE, Inc. 1903 Lelaray Street Suite 200 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80909

Prepared by

Kiowa Engineering Corporation 1604 South 21st Street Colorado Springs, Colorado 80904

Project number 06040 August 31, 2006 Revised December 15, 2006 Revised January 18, 2007

RECEIVED

JAN 2 9 2007 EPC DEVELOPMENT SERVICES



Table 1: Comparisons of Future Development Condition Peak Discharges

			1996 Sanda	inek DBRS				4: 12006 Hydro	logy/Updates in U	
		Drainage	Pe	ak Discharge (cl	is)	Design Point	Drainage	Pe	eak Discharge (c	fs)
	Location	Area (mi²)	100-year	100yr cfs/ac	10-year		Area (mi ²)	100-year	100yr cfs/ac	10-year
Tributary 6-	East Fork Sand Creek									
at Outf	all East Fork Sand Creek	1.43	1,671	1.83	702	8A	1.91	2,088	1.71	925
	at Constitution Avenue	1 14	1,581	2.17	640	8	1.07	1,076	1.57	457
at Railr	oad embankmenti(inflow)	0.691	990	2.24	490	14 ⁱ	0.66	915	2.17	374
at Railro	ad embankment (outflow)	0:69	NA	NA	NA	14 ⁱ	0.66	640	1.52	360
	at North Carefree Circle	0!39	613	2.46	280	1	0.34	551	2.53	255

APPENDIX F: DRAINAGE MAPS



SUMMARY - EXISTING RUNOFF TABLE						
	DESIGN POINT	BASIN DESIGNATION	BASIN AREA (ACRES)	DIRECT 5-YR RUNOFF (CFS)	DIRECT 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS)	CUMULATIV YR RUNOFF (
	1	EX1	4.05	1.38	9.24	5.35
	2	EX2	7.67	2.61	17.48	2.80
	3	OS1	0.20	0.09	0.60	3.97
	4	OS2	1.21	3.89	7.05	3.89
	5	OS3	0.46	0.20	1.33	0.20



0S3



SUMMARY TABLE	
IP TRIBUTARY AREA (ACRES)	PBMP
11.17	EDB
1.29	EXCLUDED PER ECM APPENDIX I.7.1.B.7
0.98	EXCLUDED PER ECM APPENDIX I.7.1.C.1

RUNOFF SUMMARY: EXI	STING VS PROPOSED	
	5-YEAR STORM (CFS)	100-YEAR STORM (CFS)
XISTING ON-SITE FLOWS:	8.17	33
N-SITE FLOWS AT DP EX1:	5.35	16.9
N-SITE FLOWS AT DP EX2:	2.8	18.81
N-SITE FLOWS AT DP UO:	7.33	13.78
NET RESULT:	1.98	-3.12

		SUM	ΛMARY - PRC)FF
DESIGN	BASIN	BASIN AREA	DIRECT 5-VR	DIRECT 100-VR	6
POINT	DESIGNATION	(ACRES)	RUNOFF (CFS)	RUNOFF (CFS)	
A1*	A1*	0.87	0.26	1.92	
A2*	A2*	0.41	0.89	2.15	
B1	B1	0.13	0.51	0.97	
B2	B2	0.17	0.62	1.20	
B3*	B3*	0.35	1.36	2.57	
B4*	B4*	0.18	0.72	1.36	
B5*	B5*	0.03	0.11	0.21	
C1	C1	0.84	0.43	2.64	
C2	C2	0.26	0.89	1.76	
C3	C3	0.62	2.61	4.79	
D1	D1	0.58	2.61	4.72	
D1a	D1a	0.18	0.73	1.37	
D2	D2	1.08	4.42	8.17	
D3	D3	0.30	0.72	1.59	
D4	D4	0.30	0.73	1.63	
D5	D5	0.51	2.08	3.85	
D6	D6	0.81	3.32	6.11	
D7	D7	0.39	1.53	2.88	
D8	D8	0.54	2.07	3.92	
D9	D9	0.43	1.58	3.00	
D10	D10	0.37	1.33	2.54	
D11	D11	0.50	2.03	3.78	
D12	D12	0.66	0.99	2.86	
E1	E1	0.18	0.37	0.88	
E2	E2	0.21	0.38	0.98	
E3	E3	0.22	0.41	1.04	
E4	E4	0.18	0.38	0.92	
0A1*	OA1*	0.05	0.10	0.24	
OA2*^	OA2*^	0.45	0.00	0.00	
OB1	OB1	0.08	0.35	0.63	
OB2	OB2	0.08	0.34	0.62	
OC1	OC1	0.08	0.17	0.42	
OC2	OC2	0.06	0.08	0.25	
OD12	OD12	0.01	0.01	0.04	
OE1	OE1	0.09	0.13	0.39	
OE2	OE2	0.14	0.19	0.58	
OE3	OE3	0.14	0.19	0.59	
OE4	OE4	0.09	0.14	0.41	
OF1	OF1	1.12	3.56	6.36	
OF2	OF2	0.42	0.15	1.09	
OS1*	OS1*	0.25	0.99	1.87	
*flows f	rom sub-basin a	re undetained			

^sub-basin area and flows were obtained from previously approved drainage report from adjacent property

			APPR
		LEGEND	ATE
	, i	A	
			B
	·		
- / · !		A = BASIN DESIGNATION	
. /		B = AREA (ACRES) C = 100-YR COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	Z
	ST	D = 100 - YR DESIGN STORM RUNOFF (CFS)	EVISIO
012		\wedge	
0.35		# DESIGN POINT	
		FLOW DIRECTION	
×××		PROPERTY LINE	V
	E S E S	XXXX - EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR	
	ARI ARI	XXXX EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR	
			5, INC
			200 2300 2330
		<u>NOTES</u>	Association (13) (3) (3) (3)
EMENT 047599)	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	1. THESE DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	ND A 8090
	AR	SUPERVISION. SAID DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN PREPARED	Aven , co
	E E	ACCORDING TO THE ESTABLISHED CRITERIA FOR DETAILED DRAINAGE PLANS AND	Y-HC vada vrings
		SPECIFICATIONS, AND SAID DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE MASTER PLAN OF THE DRAINAGE BASIN	do Sp
2- 2-	· /	SAID DETAILED DRAINAGE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS MEET THE PURPOSES FOR	022 Nort
	/·	WHICH THE PARTICULAR DRAINAGE FACILITY(S) IS DESIGNED. I ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR	DESIGNED BY: MOH
	/ / /	ANY LIABILITY CAUSED BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS, ERRORS OR COMMISSIONS ON MY PART IN PREPARATION OF THE DETAILED DRAINAGE	DRAWN BY: JWM CHECKED BY: DLS
<u></u>		PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. 2. PLAN REVIEW BY EL PASO COUNTY IS PROVIDED	DATE: 10/26/2022
	/		
		ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND	
E TIVE 5-YR	CUMULATIVE 100-YR	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE	
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR	z d
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	TION AND -S MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	TUTION ADO OL AND ENTS ENTS
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	STITUTION ORADO ITROL AND UMENTS AGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	DNSTITUTION COLORADO CONTROL AND OCUMENTS INAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	I CONSTITUTION TY, COLORADO N CONTROL AND N DOCUMENTS RAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 42	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	ON CONSTITUTION UNTY, COLORADO SION CONTROL AND TION DOCUMENTS DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 42 72 73	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	EN ON CONSTITUTION COUNTY, COLORADO ROSION CONTROL AND UCTION DOCUMENTS ED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 61 61 73 42 72 73 08 32	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	TIZEN ON CONSTITUTION ASO COUNTY, COLORADO G EROSION CONTROL AND STRUCTION DOCUMENTS SED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 72 73 73 08 32 53 07	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO DING EROSION CONTROL AND DNSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS POSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 75 75 83 83 83	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	HE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO RADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS ROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 61 61 73 42 72 73 08 32 53 08 32 53 07 58 33 03 99	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 72 73 61 61 73 61 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 72 73 61 61 73 61 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.34	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 73 61 61 73 73 61 61 73 73 61 61 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.56 1.63 1.34 0.24 1.90	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 42 72 73 61 61 61 73 42 72 73 61 61 73 42 72 73 61 61 73 42 72 73 61 61 73 42 72 73 61 61 73 42 73 73 61 61 73 42 73 73 61 61 73 61 61 73 73 61 61 73 73 61 61 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.53 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 73 42 72 73 61 61 73 42 72 73 08 32 53 07 58 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 10 60 35 34 17 08	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.34 0.24 1.90 0.63 0.62 0.42 0.25	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN CRITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 73 42 72 73 08 32 73 08 32 73 08 32 73 08 32 73 08 32 73 08 32 53 07 58 33 07 58 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 10 60 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 31 03 99 49 57 60 53 10 60 53 10 60 53 10 60 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.34 0.24 1.90 0.63 0.62 0.42 0.25 0.04 0.20	<text></text>	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 73 42 72 73 08 32 75 73 08 33 07 58 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 73 10 60 53 73 10 60 53 73 10 60 53 73 10 60 53 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 7	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.63 1.56 1.5	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN (RITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 73 42 73 60 97 61 61 73 42 73 08 32 53 07 58 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 33 10 60 53 10 60 53 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 08 35 34 17 19 19 14 56	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.34 0.24 1.90 0.63 0.62 0.42 0.42 0.25 0.04 0.39 0.58 0.59 0.41 	ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH DESIGN (RITERIA. EL PASO COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. EL PASO COUNTY, THROUGH APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT, ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP DROTECT NO DAGRADO ADDA DAINAGE MAP
E TIVE 5-YR FF (CFS) 75 89 86 96 36 96 36 72 11 60 97 61 61 61 73 42 72 73 60 61 73 42 72 73 08 32 53 07 58 33 03 99 49 57 60 53 10 60 5 5 5 10 60 5 5 5 10 60 5 5 5 10 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	CUMULATIVE 100-YR RUNOFF (CFS) 3.09 2.15 1.60 1.82 2.57 1.36 0.21 3.07 2.01 4.79 4.72 1.37 8.17 1.59 1.63 3.85 6.11 2.88 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 3.92 3.00 2.54 3.78 2.90 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.27 1.56 1.63 1.34 0.24 1.90 0.63 0.62 0.42 0.25 0.04 0.63 0.62 0.42 0.25 0.04 0.59 0.59 0.59 0.41 6.36 1.09	<text></text>	THE CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO BLASO COUNTY, COLORADO GRADING EROSION CONTROL AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS BROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND

PCD PROJECT NUMBER: PPR-2229 & SF-226