

Planning and Community Development Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Updated: 6/26/2019

PROJECT INFORMA	ATION	Return Radius
Project Name :	Winsome Filing No 1	
Schedule No.(s):	Existing Right of Way	
Legal Description :	Same	
APPLICANT INFOR	MATION	
Company :	Winsome LLC	
Name :	Joe DesJardin	
	⊠ Owner □ Consultant □ Contractor	
Mailing Address :	1864Woodmoor Drive, Suite 100, Monument, CO 80132	
Phone Number :	719 476 0800	
FAX Number :		
Email Address :	jdesjardin@proterraco.com	
ENGINEER INFORM	IATION	
Company :	Monument Hill CM LLC	
Name :	Joseph W. DesJardin, PE	Colorado P.E. Number: 24207
Mailing Address :	1864 Woodmoor Drive, Suite 100, Monument, CO 80132	
Phone Number :	719 476 0800	
FAX Number :		
Email Address :	jdesjardin@proterraco.com	
L		

OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

Signature of owner (or authorized representative)

Engineer's Seal, Signature

And Date of Signature

And Date of Signature

S/ONAL EN

Engineer's Seal, Signature
And Date of Signature
For and on behalf of
Monument Hill CM LLC



DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

deviatio	on from the standards of or in Table 2-32 of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.				
Identify	Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:				
•	Table 2-32 Minimum Curb Return Radius at Intersections (Rural Roads)				
0					
State tr	ne reason for the requested deviation: Table 2-32 Minimum Curb Return Radius at Intersections (Rural Roads) specifies 40 feet radius for the intersection of Winsome Way (local) and Hodgen Road (minor arterial).				
Explain	n the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used				
as basi					

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION (At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)
 □ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation. ☑ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. □ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.
Provide justification:
 The proposed alternative allows better clearance from existing utilities. On the west side of the intersection is an overhead power pole. On the east side of the intersection is an existing telephone vault and a communications pedestal.
CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria: The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.
A WB-50 design vehicle can safely navigate the proposed 30 feet radius.
Attach an autoturn exhibit at the end of this document corresponding to this statement. (SBRT & WBRT) Unresolved
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. ● The proposed 30 feet radius provides clearance from existing utilities which allows safer operations of the roadway.

The proposed deviation	
	on i s no change and does not affect maintenance and associated costs.
Delete. The	
intersection is being	
modified.	Explain why or how?
Unresolved	
Officsolved	
The deviation will not adversely	y affect aesthetic appearance.
 The proposed deviation 	on i s no change and does not adversely affect the aesthetic appearance.
Delete. The	
intersection is being	
modified.	
Unresolved.	Explain why or how?
The deviction were to the educion	interest and assemble a SOM standards
	n intent and purpose of the ECM standards.
 The proposed deviation 	on minimizes provides more clearance to existing utilities which allow safer operations.
The deviation meets the control	
	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
Water quality is provident	ol measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator		
This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approva- hereby granted based on the justification provided.	al. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	Г	
Denied by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approvale hereby denied.	al. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	1	
L	Т	
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:		

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
 on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
 available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
 modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
 the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

Page 6 of 6
