



**Planning and Community
Development Department**
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910
Phone: 719.520.6300
Fax: 719.520.6695
Website www.elpasoco.com

**DEVIATION REQUEST
AND DECISION FORM**

Updated: 6/26/2019

PROJECT INFORMATION

Return Radius

Project Name :	Winsome Filing No 1
Schedule No.(s) :	Existing Right of Way
Legal Description :	Same

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Company :	Winsome LLC
Name :	Joe DesJardin
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Consultant <input type="checkbox"/> Contractor
Mailing Address :	1864 Woodmoor Drive, Suite 100, Monument, CO 80132
Phone Number :	719 476 0800
FAX Number :	
Email Address :	jdesjardin@proterraco.com

ENGINEER INFORMATION

Company :	Monument Hill CM LLC	Colorado P.E. Number :	24207
Name :	Joseph W. DesJardin, PE		
Mailing Address :	1864 Woodmoor Drive, Suite 100, Monument, CO 80132		
Phone Number :	719 476 0800		
FAX Number :			
Email Address :	jdesjardin@proterraco.com		

OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

✓ *Joseph W. DesJardin*

10/05/2022

Signature of owner (or authorized representative)

Date

Engineer's Seal, Signature
And Date of Signature
For and on behalf of
Monument Hill CM LLC



DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in **Table 2-32** of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:

- **Table 2-32 Minimum Curb Return Radius at Intersections (Rural Roads)**

State the reason for the requested deviation:

- Table 2-32 Minimum Curb Return Radius at Intersections (Rural Roads) specifies 40 feet radius for the intersection of Winsome Way (local) and Hodgen Road (minor arterial).

Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):

- The proposed alternative is to allow 30 feet radius on both sides of the intersection of Winsome Way with Hodgen Road.

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

(At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

Provide justification:

- The proposed alternative allows better clearance from existing utilities. On the west side of the intersection is an overhead power pole. On the east side of the intersection is an existing telephone vault and a communications pedestal.

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is **not based exclusively on financial considerations**. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with **all of the following criteria**:

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.

- A WB-50 design vehicle can safely navigate the proposed 30 feet radius.
[as shown on the attached Exhibit](#)

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

- The proposed 30 feet radius provides clearance from existing utilities which allows safer operations of the roadway.
[as shown on the attached Exhibit](#)

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.

- The proposed deviation ~~is no change and does not affect maintenance and associated costs.~~

to install a 30' curb return instead of 40' curb return uses less asphalt with a smaller radius. Less material means the deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.

The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

- The proposed deviation ~~is no change and does not adversely affect the aesthetic appearance.~~

to install a 30' curb return instead of 40' curb return uses less asphalt with a smaller radius. This leaves more room for re-vegetated areas which will enhance the aesthetic appearance of the intersection.

The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

- The proposed deviation minimizes provides more clearance to existing utilities which allow safer operations.

The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.

- Water quality is provided. Storm water runoff sheet flows north from Hodgen Road, is reduced as it sheet-flows across a grass field and is intercepted by a culvert beneath Clove Hitch Court discharging to Water Quality Pond 2.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. A deviation from Section table 2-32 of the ECM is hereby granted based on the justification provided.

┌

APPROVED
Engineering Department

└

└

10/07/2022 12:04:33 PM
dsdnijkamp
EPC Planning & Community
Development Department

┌

Denied by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. A deviation from Section _____ of the ECM is hereby denied.

┌

└

└

┌

ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

