

Marcella Maes

From: lddriver2@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 3, 2024 8:51 PM
To: PCD Hearings
Cc: Scott Weeks
Subject: Opposition questions and concerns to variance VA244

You don't often get email from lddriver2@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

My Name is Larry Driver I live at 7150 Nevada Lane. I have major concerns and protests against this purposed variance for the following reasons

-Oppose Closing Nevada Lane,

This has been our property access road for over 30 years. It is recognized as road by state who put up street signs. Others residents have been granted access through business but not us. Why not? our horse property and home are the only private residence (non business) on this road. This road has been maintained by residents and already is the power easement that can not be totally closed.

-Oppose building a business that will change drainage.

There is a 65 foot elevation drop from Adventure Way to our property. The runoff supplies a pond that is used to water livestock and property vegetation. This question has been raised to planner Scott Weeks who hasn't ever replied. Do I lose our water supply?

-Oppose business relocating postal boxes

Why are mail box relocations already in progress? Is the variance request already approved? What is the point of a hearing?

- Oppose business variance

This area has been zoned rural and this large of business is nothing but gain for builders. It doesn't add jobs. Isn't an immanent domain need. In my opinion it devalues my property value. Does that not matter? Why not?

Do I as a tax payer and resident have any rights in this matter? Why do my emails to planners and department officials go unanswered?

Thank you
Larry Driver