

# **Development Services Department** 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

# **DEVIATION REVIEW** AND DECISION FORM

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

Procedure # R-FM-051-07 Issue Date: 12/31/07 Revision Issued: 00/00/00 DSD FILE NO .

DSD FILE NO			
DEV18004			

### **General Property Information:**

Tax Schedule ID(s) #: 6100000075

Legal Description of Property: See attached

Subdivision or Project Name: **FLYING HORSE NORTH** 

(Maximum Length of a Cul-de-sac)

Address of Subject Property (Street Number/Name): N, Per Section 2.3.8, "A request for a deviation from the maximum length criteria will not be considered without an expressed written endorsement from the Fire District in which the proposed cul-de-sac is located."

Include the letter with the request.

Section of ECM from Which Deviation is Sought: Section 2.3.8 Roadway Terminations

Specific Criteria from Which a Deviation is Sought: Section 2.3.8.A Maximum length of rural cul-de-sac 1600'

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The nature of this development in this specific area is heavily forested and hillside-like characteristics with grades exceeding 8% in many areas. The approved PUD Plan (PUD-16-002) was developed around a private Golf Course with large lot (2.5 ac. min.) surrounding it. All these factors proved to be a design challenge to meet the cul-de-sac length criteria. At the PUD Plan stage, this issue along with a deviation for cul-de-sac length was discussed. The extent of the deviation is cul-de-sac length (less than 25 lots) created by Longwall Dr. and Gold Run Ct. being surrounded by the proposed Golf Course.

Ann	licant	Info	rmai	ion.
ADD	ucan	i into	rma	uon:

Applicant: PRI #2 LLC				Email Address: Dbalsick@classichomes.co	om
Applicant ic	. v	Owner	Concultant	Contractor	

\_\_ Consultant \_\_\_\_ Contractor Applicant is: \_\_X\_\_ Owner \_\_

Mailing Address: 6385 Corporate Dr., Suite 200 Colo. Spgs. State: CO Postal Code: 80919

Telephone Number: 719-592-9333 Fax Number: 719-785-0799

### **Engineer Information:**

Engineer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Email Address: Mwhorton@classicconsulting.net

Company Name: Classic Consulting

Mailing Address: 619 N. Cascade Ave., Suite 200 Colo. Spgs. State: CO Postal Code: 80903 Registration Number: 37155 State of Registration: Colorado

Fax Number: 719-785-0799 Telephone Number: 719-785-2802

## Explanation of Request (Attached diagrams, figures and other documentation to clarify request):

Section of ECM from Which Deviation is Sought: Section 2.3.8 Roadway Terminations

Specific Criteria from Which a Deviation is Sought: Section 2.3.8.A Maximum length of rural cul-de-sac 1600'

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The nature of this development in this specific area is heavily forested and hillside-like characteristics with grades exceeding 8% in many areas. The approved PUD Plan (PUD-16-002) was developed around a private Golf Course with large lot (2.5 ac. min.) surrounding it. All these factors proved to be a design challenge to meet the cul-de-sac length criteria. At the PUD Plan stage, this issue along with a deviation for cul-de-sac length was discussed. The extent of the deviation is cul-de-sac length (less than 25 lots) created by Longwall Dr. and Gold Run Ct. being surrounded by the proposed Golf Course

El Paso County Procedures Manual Procedure # R-FM-051-07 Issue Date: 12/31/07 Revision Issued: 00/00/00

Include an exhibit of Flying Horse North highlighting the specific cul-de-sacs which a deviation is sought.

Reason for the Requested Deviation: Given the configuration of the private golf course and surrounding lots as shown on the approved PUD Plan (PUD-16-002), the cul-de-sac length to access these lots will exceed 1600'.

Comparison of Proposed Deviation to ECM Standard: ECM standard for maximum length of a rural cul-de-sac is 1600'. Based on the constraints described above, the deviation is requesting a length of 2600'

Applicable Regional or National Standards used as Basis: Other adjacent municipalities such as the City of Colorado Springs has similar length of cul-de-sac standards and also allows for certain flexibility in certain situations.

### **Application Consideration: CHECK IF APPLICATION MEETS CRITERIA FOR JUSTIFICATION** CONSIDERATION ☐ The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation. Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical The approved PUD Plan (PUD-16-002) allows for a private conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship Golf Course within the heavily forested and hillside-like on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that terrain area of the development with surrounding residential can accomplish the same design objective is available lots. Based on these constraints, access to lots 45-67 will and does not compromise public safety or be from a cul-de-sac with a length exceeding 1600'. accessibility. ☐ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

If at least one of the criteria listed above is not met, this application for deviation cannot be considered.

### Criteria for Approval:

# PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA HAVE BEEN SATISFIED BY THIS REQUEST

The request for a deviation is not based exclusively on financial considerations.

The deviation is solely based on the topography, vegetation and Golf Course design constraints

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.

Subdivision planning wise, this deviation will produce a better quality large-lot residential design incorporated in with the Golf Course

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

Given the close proximity of the fire station, this deviation does not adversely affect roadway or fire safety operations.

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.

Regardless of how long, the cul-de-sac is still designed with the required turnaround and therefore does not affect the maintenance ability.

The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

The deviation has no bearing on the aesthetic appearance

provides a better quality design.
How is the applicant providing a comparable design to mitigate the request to exceed the criteria?

Explain how this

Owner, Applicant and Engineer Declaration:	
To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all addit	ional or supplemental documentation is
true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any	y information on this application may be
grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and p	procedures with respect to preparing and
filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cau agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/o	se to have the project removed from the
and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made	de in the application and may be revoked
on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.	io in the application and may be revened
this	
May Tolking	4-9-18
Signature of owner (or authorized representative)	Date
	1 21
Signature of applicant (if different from owner)	Date
	419118
Cignoture of Engineer	
Signature of Engineer	Date
Engineer's Seal	
Engineer's deal	
SO CONTRACTOR ME	
SO S STAFF SO E	
= 2 3/130 z: =	
= 3:	
11 08/ONAL ENGLISH	
Manual Comments	
Review and Recommendation:	
APPROVED by the ECM Administrator	
	Data
This saw and has been determined by the same of the sa	_ Date
This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. A deof ECM is hereby granted based on the justification proving	eviation from Section
or Eciw is flereby granted based on the justification provi	ided. Comments:
Additional comments or information are attached.	
DENIED by the ECM Administrator	
	Data
This request has been determined not to have mot exiteria for account. A di	_ Date
This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. A deof ECM is hereby denied. Comments:	eviation from Section
Of ESM is noteby defined. Comments.	
Additional comments or information are attached.	
El Pasa County Procedures Manual	
El Paso County Procedures Manual Procedure # R-FM-051-07	
Issue Date: 12/31/07	
Revision Issued: 00/00/00	
DSD File No	

# El Paso County Assessor's Office

0 36-11-66

SCHEDULE: 6100000075

OWNER: PRI #2 LLC

COPYRIGHT 2010 by the Board of County Commissioners, El Paso County, Colorado. All rights reserved. No part of this document or data contained hereon may be reproduced; used to prepare derivative products; or distributed without the specific written approval of the Board of County Commissioner, El Paso County, Colorado. This document was prepared from the best data available at the time of plotting and is for internal use only. El Paso County, Colorado, makes no claim as to the completeness or accuracy of the data contained hereon.



