



TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS

June 18, 2024

Drew Balsick Flying Horse Development 2138 Flying Horse Club Drive Colorado Springs, Colorado 80921

RE: Flying Horse North Filing 3 / Traffic Generation Analysis PCD File No. SF2326

Dear Drew,

SM ROCHA, LLC is pleased to submit vehicle traffic generation information for the proposed subdivision and residential development located near the terminus of Quartz Creek Drive in El Paso County, Colorado.

The intent of this analysis is to present traffic volumes likely generated by the proposed development, provide a traffic volume comparison to previous land use assumptions approved for the development site, and consider potential impacts to the adjacent roadway network. This letter also serves as an update to the previously approved Flying Horse North Updated Traffic Impact Analysis¹ prepared for the overall Preliminary Plan application, pursuant to Section B.1.2.D of El Paso's County's Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM)².

General Site Description

Land for the development is vacant and surrounded by a mix of residential and recreational land uses.

The proposed development entails a new subdivision plat for the construction of 50 single-family dwelling units.

Primary access to the development is generally provided via the extension of Quartz Creek Drive and Allen Ranch Road.

¹ <u>Flying Horse North: Updated Traffic Impact Analysis</u>, LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc., July 21, 2016.

² El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, El Paso County, October 2020.

Site Generated Traffic

Standard traffic generation characteristics compiled by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in their report entitled Trip Generation, 11th Edition, were applied to the proposed land use in order to estimate average daily traffic (ADT), AM peak hour, and PM peak hour vehicle trips for the development site. A vehicle trip is defined as a one-way vehicle movement from a point of origin to a point of destination.

The previously approved Flying Horse North Updated Traffic Impact Analysis for the overall Preliminary Plan used trip generation rates from ITE's Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition, and included "Single-Family Detached Housing" land use in the same development area as currently proposed with this project.

Table 1 presents average trip generation rates for the development area proposed. Use of average trip generation rates presents a conservative analysis. ITE land use code 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing) was maintained for this analysis because of its best fit to the proposed land use.

				٦	rrip ge	NERATION	N RATES		
ITE			24	AM	PEAK HO	DUR	PM	PEAK HO	DUR
CODE	LAND USE	UNIT	HOUR	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
210	Single-Family Detached Housing	DU	9.43	0.18	0.53	0.71	0.59	0.53	1.12

Table 1 – Trip Generation Rates

Key: DU = Dw elling Units.

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.

Table 2 summarizes the projected ADT and peak hour traffic volumes likely generated by the land use area proposed and provides comparison to traffic volume estimates for the previously approved land use.

Table 2 – Trip Generation Summary

				1	ITAL TI	RIPS GEN	ERATED		
ITE			24	AM	PEAK HO	OUR	PM	PEAK HO	DUR
CODE	LAND USE	SIZE	HOUR	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
Site De	velopment - Previously Approved *								
210	Single-Family Detached Housing	49 DU	466	9	27	37	31	18	49
	Previously Ass	umed Total:	466	9	27	37	31	18	49
Site De	velopment - Proposed								
210	Single-Family Detached Housing	50 DU	472	9	27	36	30	27	56
	Prop	oosed Total:	472	9	27	36	30	27	56
	Differe	ence Total:	5	0	-1	-1	-1	8	7

Key: DU = Dw elling Units.

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.

* = Trip generation rates from ITE's Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition.

As Table 2 illustrates, the proposed development has the potential to generate approximately 472 daily trips with 36 of those occurring during the morning peak traffic hour and 56 during the afternoon peak traffic hour.

Development Impacts

With exception to 24-hour and PM peak hour egress volumes, Table 2 shows how proposed development traffic volumes generally do not exceed that approved in the Flying Horse North Updated Traffic Impact Analysis. The minor increase in 24-hour and PM peak hour egress volume estimates is not likely to negatively impact traffic operations of Old Stagecoach Road, Allen Ranch Road, Quartz Creek Drive, nor other adjacent roadways or intersections.

Recommended Improvements

Pursuant to the previous Flying Horse North Updated Traffic Impact Analysis prepared for the previous Flying Horse North PUD dated 2016, the development area proposed with this plat application was previously assumed as part of development Phases 5 and 7. Table 3 of the referenced traffic analysis shows how auxiliary lane improvements to the Stagecoach Road intersections with State Highway 83 and Black Forest Road were recommended upon completion of Phases 3 and 7, respectively.

Previous trip generation estimates and recommended improvement information from the 2016 Flying Horse North Updated Traffic Impact Analysis is provided for reference in Attachment A.

An updated traffic analysis³ for the previously proposed 2016 PUD was provided to analyze impacts caused by development of Phase 1/Filing 1 of Flying Horse North. In review of the updated traffic analysis, auxiliary lane improvements were recommended as part of Phase 1/Filing 1 (instead of Phase 3 previously identified by the 2016 Flying Horse North Updated Traffic Impact Analysis).

A recent site visit of the study area concludes how auxiliary lane improvements to the Stagecoach Road and State Highway 83 intersection have already been implemented.

Previous trip generation estimates and recommended improvement information for Filing 1 is provided for reference in Attachment B.

³ <u>Flying Horse North Filing No. 1: Updated Traffic Impact Analysis</u>, LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc., July 10, 2018.

Conclusion

It is our professional conclusion that the site generated traffic volumes presented by the proposed residential development will create no discernable impact to operations of the adjacent roadways and intersections.

In review of previous traffic analyses prepared for the overall and filing-specific development areas, no intersection improvements to the existing public roadway network are warranted nor recommended with this subdivision plat.

It is trusted that information provided within the amendment letter will assist in the review and approval of the proposed residential development. We remain available should additional assistance be needed.

Sincerely,

SM ROCHA, LLC Traffic and Transportation Consultants

Branker Wilson

Brandon Wilson, EIT Traffic Engineer





Traffic Engineer's Statement

The attached traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.

Ju Las

Fred Lantz, P.E. #23410

06/18/2024

Date

Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

06/18/2024

Date

Drew Balsick Flying Horse Development 2138 Flying Horse Club Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80921

ATTACHMENT A

2016 Flying Horse North Updated Traffic Impact Analysis

				Trip Fiying Hoi	Tabi o Generati rse at Sha	le 2 on Estim mrock Ra	ate inch East						
					Trip Gene	eration Ra	tes ⁽¹⁾			Total Tri	ps Genera	ted	
	Land Use	Land Use	Trip Generation	Average	Mori	ning Hour	Afterr	1000 Uour	Average	Morr	aning	Aftern	000
Phase	Code	Description	Units	Traffic	чр. ц	Out	ln u	Out	Traffic -	In	Out	In	Out
1-2	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	43 DU ⁽²⁾	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	409	ω	24	27	16
1-6	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	136 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	1,295	26	77	86	50
	430	Golf Course	18 holes	35.74	1.63	0.43	1.49	1.43	643	29	8	27	26
									1,938	55	84	112	76
Buildout	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	283 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	2,694	53	159	178	105
	430	Golf Course	18 holes	35.74	1.63	0.43	1.49	1.43	643	29	60	27	26
									3,337	82	167	205	130
Notes:													
(1) Source: "	"Trip Ger	neration, 9th Edition, 2012" by the Inst	titute of Transpor	tation Engine	ers (ITE)								
(2) DU = dw	elling un	it											
Source: LSC Tr	ransportatic	on Consultants, Inc.											

SR 1

	Table 3 Recommended Improvements Flying Horse at Shamrock Ranch East	ą		
Intersection/Road	Improvement	Lane Length (ft)	Taper Length (ft)	Phase When Required
	Northbound Right-Turn Deceleration Lane	378	222	3
SH 83/Stagecoach	Northbound Right-Turn Acceleration Lane	738	222	ო
3	Southbound Left-Turn Lane	418	222	ო
	Southbound Left-Turn Accleration Lane	738	222	С
Hogden/Full-Movement Site Access	No Auxiliary Lane	es Required		
Hodgen/Black Forest (West)	No Additional Auxiliary	/ Lanes Requ	uired	
Black Forest/Stagecoach	Northbound Left-Turn Lane	340	240	7
Black Forest/Site Access Points	No Auxiliary Lane	es Required		
Holmes Road	Pave	N/A	N/A	6
Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.				

s = 3

n 9

ATTACHMENT B

Flying Horse North Filing No. 1 Updated Traffic Impact Analysis

				Trip Flying H	Table Generatio Horse No	e 2 on Estima rth Filing	te No. 1						
					Frip Gene	ration Ra	tes ⁽¹⁾			Total Tri	ips Genera	ated	
	Land Use	Land Use	Trip Generation	Average Weekday	Morn Peak I	ing Jour	Afterr Peak I	hour	Average Weekday	Morr Peak	ning Hour	Aftern Peak I	oon Iour
Phase	Code	Description	Units	Traffic [–]	Ч	Out	Ч	Out	Traffic	Ч	Out	Ч	Out
Filing No													
~	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	80 DU ⁽²⁾	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	762	15	45	50	30
-	430	Golf Course	18 holes	35.74	1.63	0.43	1.49	1.43	643	29	8	27	26
		Total Phase 1/Filing No. 1							1,405	44	53	77	56
Future Fi	lings												
7	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	55 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	524	10	31	35	20
		Total Phases 1 and 2	135 DU						1,929	55	84	112	76
ę	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	35 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	333	7	20	22	13
4	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	23 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	219	4	13	14	6
5	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	28 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	267	5	16	18	10
9	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	20 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	190	4	11	13	7
7	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	37 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	352	7	21	23	14
œ	210	Single-Family Detached Housing	5 DU	9.52	0.19	0.56	0.63	0.37	48	-	ю	ю	2
		Total Phases 5-8	148 DU						1,409	28	83	93	55
		Buildout	283 DU						3,337	82	167	205	131
Notes:													
(1) Source	e: " <i>Trip</i> G	Seneration, 9th Edition, 2012" by the Ins	stitute of Transp	ortation Engine	eers (ITE)								
(2) DU = (dwelling t	unit											
Source: LS(C Transport	tation Consultants, Inc.											

	Table 3 Recommended Improvements Flying Horse North Filing No. 1		
Intersection/Road	Improvement	Lane Length (ft)	Taper Length (ft)
	Northbound Right-Turn Deceleration Lane	378	222
SH 83/Stanecoach	Northbound Right-Turn Acceleration Lane ⁽¹⁾	738	222
	Southbound Left-Turn Lane	428	222
	Southbound Left-Turn Accleration Lane	738	222
Notes:			
 A northbound right applicant may choc 	-turn acceleration lane would not be required with ose to construct this lane with the other auxiliary tu	Filing 1; howev rn lanes require	/er, the ed.
Source: LSC Transportatio	n Consultants, Inc.		