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# Tamlin Road Storage Traffic Impact Study PD\#: PPR1945 <br> (LSC \#184610) <br> July 15, 2020 

## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.


LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
2504 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.Isctrans.com

July 15, 2020

Peter Carroll
C\&M Properties
12748 Barossa Valley Road
Colorado Springs, CO 80921

## RE: Tamlin Road Storage El Paso County, CO <br> Traffic Impact Study <br> PCD \#: PPR1945 <br> LSC \#184610

Dear Mr. Carroll,

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic impact study for the proposed Tamlin Road storage site. The site is located south of Tamlin Road and east of Marksheffel Road in El Paso County, Colorado. This report is an update to the previously-accepted traffic impact study for this site (dated March 5, 2019) which assumed a commercial rezone.

## REPORT CONTENTS

The report contains the following:

- Existing roadway and traffic conditions adjacent to and in the vicinity of the site, including the intersection lane geometries, traffic controls, posted speed limits, functional classifications, intersection spacing and alignment, sight distances, etc.
- Existing peak-hour turning movement traffic counts on Tamlin Road and at the intersections of Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road (located southwest of the site)
- Description of the existing land uses in the vicinity of the site
- The status of Marksheffel Road and the potential for future connection to the master-planned Banning Lewis Ranch Roadway network
- Estimates of short- and long-term baseline/background traffic volumes at the proposed site access intersections on Tamlin Road and the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin
- A description of the currently proposed land use for the site (RV Storage) and potential future (long-term) land use scenarios assumed in this report associated with the proposed site
- Trip generation estimates for the RV storage and each of the future land use scenarios and estimates of the trip directional distribution
- Assignment of projected peak-hour and daily site-generated traffic volumes at the study area access point intersections
- Resulting traffic impacts of the proposed development expressed in terms of average daily traffic volumes and intersection levels of service
- Analysis of potential future intersection configurations at Marksheffel/Tamlin given that a future traffic signal is unlikely to be allowed at this intersection
- Recommendations for the roadway classification of Tamlin Road and auxiliary left-/right-turn lanes at the site access points and the Marksheffel/Tamlin intersection
- Summary of findings and recommendations


## LAND USE AND ACCESS

The 16-acre site is located south of Tamlin Road and east of Marksheffel Road in El Paso County. The entire site is zoned for commercial use. Figure 1 shows the site location and the adjacent roadways.

## Currently Proposed Land Use

Assumes RV storage would be the only land use for the short term. This report also includes a long-term scenario assuming the RV Storage remains on the site through 2038. The site plan is shown in Figure 2.

## Future Land Use Scenarios

LSC analyzed two additional future land use scenarios with the rezone application (approved). These scenarios have been taken from the March 5, 2019 TIS report and assume the RV Storage use removed in the future and development of new uses. These scenarios include a "moderateintensity" (in terms of vehicle-trip generation associated with land use) buildout scenario and a "high-intensity" future land use scenario.

Moderate-Intensity Buildout Scenario: Assumes 115,600-square-foot mini-warehouse development on Lot 2 and a mixed-use, non-residential development on Lot 1. A general site plan is shown in Figure 3. This LSC-developed scenario assumes the following land use mix for Lot 1. This scenario assumes that the parcel would be separated into two separate lots (Lot 1-7.5 acres, Lot $2-8.5$ acres):

- 21,500 square feet of general office
- 21,500 square feet of general light industrial
- 16,000 square feet of "shopping center" (retail center) land uses

This scenario may be more likely than the high-intensity scenario presented below given the location of the site.

High-Intensity Future Land Use Scenario: The high-intensity future land use scenario assumed that Lots 1 and 2 would collectively consist of 113,000 total square feet of shopping center/retail space. This scenario assumes no mini storage. This scenario has been analyzed as a reasonable representation of the "highest and best use" of the property with commercial zoning and associated
estimate of "worst-case" trip generation resulting from the proposed land use. This scenario assumes that the parcel would be separated into two separate lots (Lot 1-7.5 acres, Lot 2-8.5 acres).

## Currently Proposed RV Storage Access

The RV storage access is anticipated to align with the existing Trojan Storage of Stetson Hills access, as described in the "Sight Distance" section later in this report.

## Potential Future Land Use Scenario Access

Potential future Lot 2 access point to Tamlin Road is shown on Figure 3. This eastern lot site access point is planned to align with the Trojan Storage of Stetson Hills access. Lot 1 access under a future redevelopment scenario would likely be located approximately 560 feet northeast of the intersection of Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road.

Although the rezone traffic report (and Figure 3 of this report) show preliminary access point locations for the future land use scenarios, these final access point locations for future redevelopment scenarios will be determined at the time of redevelopment if/when the RV Storage is replaced with other future land uses. Access points must meet ECM standards for sight distance, should be placed a sufficient distance from Marksheffel for acceptable traffic operations, constructed in a location where any necessary auxiliary turn lanes can be installed, and result in adequate spacing between access points. Access points are anticipated to be stop-controlled, full-movement intersections with Tamlin Road.

## ROADWAYS AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## Area Roadways

Study area roadways are identified below, followed by a brief description of each:

Marksheffel Road is designated as a Principal Arterial on the El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridor Plan (MTCP). Currently a two-lane road, Marksheffel extends north-to-south for 17.4 miles between Link Road in the City of Fountain to the south (at the intersection of C\&S Road/Link Road) and just north of Woodmen Road. Marksheffel Road is planned to be extended north to Vollmer Road in the short term. In the vicinity of the site, the posted speed limit on Marksheffel Road is 55 miles per hour (mph).

Tamlin Road is a rural, paved, local roadway that extends northeast from Marksheffel Road for just over one mile and serves the properties located within the unincorporated County enclave. Tamlin continues east as a gravel road through the Banning Lewis Ranch property to Meridian Road. However, use of the road is minimal and will be removed as future Banning Lewis Ranch development occurs. Tamlin is classified as a Collector on the El Paso County 2016 MTCP. Adjacent to the site, the posted speed limit is 35 mph .

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Vehicular turning movement counts were conducted at the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin on Tuesday, July 10, 2018 from 6:30-8:30 a.m. and from 4:00-6:00 p.m. Existing morning and evening weekday peak-hour traffic volumes at this intersection is shown in Figure 4. Raw count reports are attached. LSC has estimated the current peak-hour turning movements (based on the land use and standard trip generation rates) at the existing storage business access point on Tamlin Road. The figure also shows estimated weekday traffic volumes.

## SIGHT DISTANCE

## Proposed RV Storage Access

Figure 2 shows the proposed location for the RV storage access. Field-measured sight distances for passenger vehicles are 445 feet to/from the southwest and 489 feet to/from the northeast. Assuming a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ posted speed limit, field-measured sight distances for both approaches from this proposed site access location exceed the required 350 -foot requirement for passenger vehicles per ECM Table 2-35. The requirement of 455 feet for single-unit trucks would be met as well with the driver's eye being significantly higher than 3.5 feet for single unit trucks. Therefore, access entering sight distance would be acceptable.

## Future Access for Potential Future Land Use Scenarios

The following analysis corresponds to field-measured sight distances for a Lot 2 site access aligned with the existing Trojan Storage of Stetson Hills access and a potential future Lot 1 access located approximately 560 feet northeast of the intersection of Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road.

## Potential Future Lot 2 Access to Align with the Trojan Storage of Stetson Hills Access

Field-measured sight distances for passenger vehicles are 445 feet to/from the southwest and 489 feet to/from the northeast. Assuming a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ posted speed limit, field-measured sight distances for both approaches from this proposed site access location exceed the required 350-foot requirement for passenger vehicles per ECM Table 2-35. The requirement of 455 feet for single-unit trucks would be met as well with the driver's eye being significantly higher than 3.5 feet for single unit trucks. Therefore, access entering sight distance would be acceptable, if the future site access point were to align with the existing Trojan Storage of Stetson Hills access. If the access is planned for regular use by multi-unit trucks, the sight distance should be verified for this design vehicle.

## Potential Future Lot 1 Access 560 Feet East of Marksheffel Road

The sight distance along Tamlin Road is unobstructed from the potential future Lot 1 access (560 feet northeast of Marksheffel Road) to the Marksheffel Road intersection to the southwest.

Looking to the northeast, sight distance from the potential Lot 1 access exceeds the ECM's required 350 -foot requirement for passenger vehicles and would meet the ECM requirement of 455 feet for single unit trucks. Therefore, access entering sight distance would be acceptable, if the future site access point were to be located 560 feet northeast of the intersection of Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road.

As indicated above, the final access point locations associated with future development scenarios will be determined at the time of redevelopment if/when the RV Storage is replaced with other future land uses.

## TRIP GENERATION

Estimates of vehicle-trips projected to be generated by proposed developments are typically made using the nationally published trip generation rates from Trip Generation, 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2017 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). However, for this report "RV/Vehicle Storage" rates (shown in the attached Table 3) are based on the results of traffic counts by LSC, conducted at several RV storage facilities in El Paso County (2018). These counts were conducted specifically to estimate a trip generation rate for this land use, as ITE's Trip Generation does not include trip generation rates specifically for RV/boat storage businesses. These rates have been used within TIS reports for other RV storage projects in El Paso County within the past couple of years. The following list contains dates and location data for these sample RV storage facility counts in El Paso County. Raw count data is attached:

- Dalby Drive, LLC RV Storage - July 20, 2018
- 6850 Dalby Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80923
- All About Outdoor Storage - July 24-25, 2018
- 16140 Old Denver Road, Monument, CO 80312
- All Outside Storage - July 23, 2018
- 835 N Washington Street, Monument, CO 80132
- Falcon Meadow Campground (2 site accesses)
- 11150 US 24, Peyton, CO 80831

Table 1 shows a summary of the results of the trip generation estimate. The morning peak hour generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., and the afternoon peak hour occurs for one hour between 4:00 and 6:00 p.m. A detailed trip generation estimate for the development, including ITE rates for the proposed land use, is presented in Table 3 (attached). Figure 2 contains a diagram of the proposed site plan.

Table 1: Estimated Site Vehicle-Trip Generation

| Analysis Period | In | Out | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currently-Proposed Land Use Scenario |  |  |  |  |
| RV Storage-Only |  |  |  |  |
| Morning peak hour (vehicle trips/hour) | 7 | 4 | 11 |  |
| Evening peak hour (vehicle trips/hour) | 6 | 8 | 14 |  |
| Weekday -- non-pass-by (vehicle trips/day) | 29 | 29 | 58 |  |
| Potential Future Land Use Scenarios |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate-Intensity Buildout |  |  |  |  |
| Morning peak hour (vehicle trips/hour) | 141 | 71 | 211 |  |
| Evening peak hour (vehicle trips/hour) | 82 | 116 | 198 |  |
| Weekday -- non-pass-by (vehicle trips/day) | 1110 | 1110 | 2220 |  |
| High-Intensity Buildout |  |  |  |  |
| Morning peak hour (vehicle trips/hour) | 129 | 79 | 208 |  |
| Evening peak hour (vehicle trips/hour) | 286 | 309 | 595 |  |
| Weekday -- non-pass-by (vehicle trips/day) | 3267 | 3267 | 6533 |  |

## Currently-Proposed Land Use - RV Storage

The entire site is expected to generate about 58 vehicle-trips on the average weekday (one half entering and one half exiting in a 24 -hour period) with the RV storage-only. During the morning peak hour, 7 vehicles are projected to enter the site while 4 are projected to exit. Approximately 6 vehicles would enter, and 8 vehicles would exit the site during the evening peak hour.

Note: This trip generation estimate is based on full, 100\% occupancy of the RV Storage facility. However, the applicant has indicated that it will be about one year before they have their first customer. They anticipate that they might have 25 to 30 customers in the first year after completion and 30 new customers per year would be a best-case scenario.

## Potential Future Land Use Scenarios

## Moderate-Intensity Scenario

The entire site is expected to generate about 2,220 vehicle-trips on the average weekday (one half entering and one half exiting in a 24 -hour period) in the moderate-intensity buildout scenario. During the morning peak hour, 141 vehicles are projected to enter the site while 71 are projected to exit. Approximately 82 vehicles would enter and 116 vehicles would exit the site during the evening peak hour.

## High-Intensity Scenario

The entire site is expected to generate about 6,533 vehicle-trips on the average weekday (one half entering and one half exiting in a 24 -hour period) in the high-intensity buildout scenario.

During the morning peak hour, 129 vehicles are projected to enter the site while 79 are projected to exit. Approximately 286 vehicles would enter and 309 vehicles would exit the site during the evening peak hour.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

## Trip Distribution

An estimate of the directional distribution of site-generated vehicle-trips to the study area streets and intersections is a necessary component in determining the site's traffic impacts. Figure 5 shows the directional distribution estimate for the site-generated trips and the percentages of the site-generated vehicle-trips projected to be oriented to and from the site's major approaches. Estimates were based on the following factors: traffic counts conducted at nearby intersections, the proposed land use and access plan, the existing and anticipated future area roadway system serving the site, the site's geographic location, adjacent existing land uses, projected traffic growth in the area, and lane geometry modifications to nearby turning movements.

As shown in Figure 5, half of all entering vehicles were assumed to come from both the northbound and southbound approaches on Marksheffel. Field observations showed that westbound left-turning drivers may have difficulty turning onto Marksheffel due to high opposing southbound through volumes. LSC anticipates that some exiting drivers may turn right onto Marksheffel then take a left at Stetson Hills Boulevard, rather than waiting at the stop sign to turn left directly onto Marksheffel.
Site-generated traffic volumes have been calculated at the following intersections:

- Tamlin Road/proposed site access point(s)
- Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road


## Trip Assignment (Site Generated Traffic)

- Figure 6 shows the projected site-generated traffic volumes for the weekday evening peak hour for the currently proposed RV storage land use. These estimates are likely conservative as they are based on an "allowance" of up 370 storage units at $100 \%$ occupancy. The current plan anticipates 289.
- Figure 7 shows the projected weekday evening peak-hour site-generated traffic volumes for the potential future moderate-intensity scenario.
- Figure 8 shows the projected weekday peak-hour site-generated traffic volumes for the potential future high-intensity scenario.


## Short-Term Total Traffic Volumes

Figure 9 show volumes and LOS output for the short term with the RV storage only. Short-term total traffic volumes are the sum of the existing traffic volumes (from Figure 4) and RV storage site-generated peak-hour traffic volumes (from Figure 6).

Regarding the laneage/traffic control shown in Figure 9 for the short-term total scenario, Marksheffel is currently a two-lane roadway (one lane per direction). The short-term scenario assumes the upgraded, future five-lane Marksheffel Road. LSC is not aware of the timing of this Marksheffel widening project. However, El Paso County may have new information. Due to uncertainty regarding the timing, a growth rate was not applied to existing Marksheffel traffic volumes for the short-term scenario. Instead, existing traffic volumes were used as the "short-term" background/baseline traffic. The short-term scenario analysis and results reflect Marksheffel as an improved five-lane roadway, rather than the existing two-lane road.

## Estimated Future Background Traffic Volumes

Figure 10 shows the projected 20-year background traffic volumes for the year 2038. Traffic from the proposed buildout land uses on Lots 1 and 2 is not included in the 2038 background traffic volumes. The 2038 background/baseline through traffic volumes on Marksheffel Road are based on MTCP projections. Background increases in vehicle turning movements at the intersection of Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road could potentially vary from those estimated herein with significant other development projects served by Tamlin Road. However, any other significant development project would likely be required to also complete a traffic impact report. Traffic from the site is not included in the 2038 background traffic volumes.

## 2038 Total Traffic Volumes

## RV Storage Land Use Scenario

Figure 11 shows the sum of 2038 background traffic volumes (from Figure 10) plus the currently-proposed RV storage-only site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 6). This scenario has been provided to represent conditions if the RV storage remains the land use on the site through 2038.

## Potential Future Land Use (Redevelopment) Scenario - Moderate-Intensity Buildout

Figure 12 shows the sum of 2038 background traffic volumes (from Figure 10) plus the moderate-intensity site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 7).

## Potential Future Land Use (Redevelopment) Scenario - High-Intensity Buildout

Figure 13 shows the sum of 2038 background traffic volumes (from Figure 10) plus the high-intensity site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 8).

## LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection and is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A is indicative of little congestion or
delay. LOS F indicates a high level of congestion or delay. Table 2 shows the level of service delay ranges for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 2: Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersections | Unsignalized <br> Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) ${ }^{1}$ |
| A | $\leq 10.0$ | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | $10.1-20.0$ | $10.1-15.0$ |
| C | $20.1-35.0$ | $15.1-25.0$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0$ | $25.1-35.0$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0$ | $35.1-50.0$ |
| F | $\geq 80.1$ | $\geq 50.1$ |
| 1 For unsignalized intersections, if V/C is $>1.00$, then LOS is LOS F <br> regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle |  |  |

The following intersections have been analyzed to determine the projected levels of service:

- Tamlin Road/ site access point(s)
- Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road

A summary of existing, projected short-term total, 2038 background, and 2038 total levels of service during the morning and evening peak hours is shown in Figure 1 through Figure 13. Please refer to the detailed Synchro and SimTraffic reports (attached) for additional details.

## Proposed Site Access/Tamlin Road

All turning movements at both proposed site access points are projected to operate at LOS B or better during all short- and long-term scenarios during both the morning and evening peak hours.

## Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road

## Short-Term Total Scenario

The southwest-bound approach currently operates at LOS E during the morning peak hour and LOS F during the evening peak hour. As noted above, this scenario assumes Marksheffel upgraded to a multi-lane facility. The results of this scenario (with the roadway expansion on Marksheffel) indicate the southwest-bound approach is projected to operate at LOS B during the morning peak hour and LOS D during the evening peak hour, regardless of the proposed land use (RV storage).

## 2038 Long-Term Site Buildout Scenarios

SimTraffic simulation LOS results were used in place of Synchro LOS output to account for gaps created by upstream signals on Marksheffel at Barnes and Stetson Hills. Three separate traffic control conditions were analyzed for the 2038 traffic scenarios:

- Two-way stop-sign-control (TWSC)
- Three-quarter movement (unsignalized)
- Channelized-T

If the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin were to remain TWSC, the westbound left-turn movement is projected to operate at LOS F during the 2038 background scenario evening peak hour and the southbound left-turn movement is projected to operate at LOS C.

During the moderate-intensity 2038 total traffic scenario, the westbound left-turn movement from Tamlin Road has been eliminated, while the westbound right turn operates at LOS D or better as a free movement.

A channelized-T intersection was assumed during the high-intensity 2038 potential future land use redevelopment scenario, where the southbound through lanes bypass the intersection, the southbound left-turn lane has a median-separated storage lane, and the westbound left-turn lane has an interior acceleration lane. The westbound left-turn lane is projected to operate at LOS F during the evening peak hour.

## AUXILIARY TURN LANES

## Marksheffel/Tamlin Road

## Left-Turn Deceleration Lane

According to the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), exclusive left-turn lanes shall be provided for any access on a Principal Arterial with a projected peak-hour ingress turning volume of 10 vehicles per hour (vph) or greater. The projected southbound left-turn volume at the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin with the RV storage development would be below the 10 vph minimum left-turn volume threshold in the ECM requiring a left-turn lane.

A left-turn deceleration lane is projected to be warranted based on both 2038 background plus site moderate-intensity and high-intensity future redevelopment scenarios (if not completed with a Marksheffel upgrade project). The required lane dimensions would include a 290 -foot deceleration distance (adjusted for grade as applicable) plus stacking distance plus an ECM standard-length 240-foot bay taper. Redirect tapers at a $55: 1$ ratio would also be necessary. For the long-term scenarios, the southbound left-turn deceleration lane stacking distance would be up to about 150 feet (for the high-intensity scenario).

## Right-Turn/Left-Turn Acceleration Lanes

Projected total westbound right-turning volumes at the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin would not exceed the threshold for a northbound right-turn acceleration lane on Marksheffel Road for the RV/storage development only. However, a northbound right-turn acceleration lane on Marksheffel Road would be required for either of the potential future land use redevelopment scenarios.

A southbound left-turn acceleration lane with channelizing raised median design would be part of the channelized-T traffic control option analyzed with the potential high-intensity future land use site buildout scenario. This lane would likely extend south to Barnes Road and could potentially be configured as a continuous acceleration/deceleration southbound left-turn lane. A raised right-turn channelizing island for the westbound lane into the northbound acceleration lane would be an option to consider as, if properly designed, it could reasonably prevent westbound left-turn movements. A raised center median with three-quarter intersection design is another potential solution to consider.

## Right-Turn Deceleration Lane

A northbound right-turn deceleration lane currently exists at the intersection of Marksheffel/ Tamlin and meets turn lane design criteria in the ECM. No modifications to its existing geometry are required.

## Tamlin Road/Site Access Points

Auxiliary turn lanes would not be required on Tamlin Road for the currently proposed RV storage land use.

Based on ECM criteria, an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane plus taper would be required at the west site access for either potential future land use redevelopment scenario. At the east access, the right-turn volume threshold requiring a turn lane would be exceeded for the high-intensity scenario.

## POTENTIAL "MITIGATION" FOR LEVEL OF SERVICE AT MARKSHEFFEL/TAMLIN

Given the existing and projected peak-hour volumes at the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin, the calculated level of service E, and the County comment regarding the need to mitigate the level of service, LSC recommends that wayfinding signs be posted for purposes of guiding/redirecting motorists exiting the site to an alternate route if intending to travel south on Marksheffel during peak traffic periods. The signs would guide exiting motorists along an alternate route to southbound Marksheffel via a right turn when leaving the site, travel to the east on Tamlin to the intersection of Tamlin/Huber, then travel westbound on Huber to the signalized intersection of Marksheffel/Stetson Hills/Huber. This is an alternative to use of
westbound Tamlin to the Marksheffel/Tamlin intersection. The first sign (adjacent to the exiting lane of the driveway) could include suggested time periods (during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours). This signage would operate similar to detour signage.

## ACCESS TRUCK TURNING MOVEMENT ANALYSIS

AutoTurn analysis was run at the request of staff and to assist with the planning and design of the proposed site access. Detailed AutoTurn analysis exhibits depicting entering and exiting class A RV vehicle movement wheel paths are attached. Also included are similar exhibits for the intersection of Tamlin/Huber along the suggested "detour" route.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Trip Generation

Please refer to Table 1 for a summary of the results of the trip generation estimate for currently proposed RV storage land use and the potential future land use redevelopment (moderate- and high-intensity) land use scenarios. A detailed trip generation estimate for the development, including ITE rates for the proposed land uses, is presented in attached Table 3.

## Level of Service Analysis

Access points to Tamlin Road are projected to operate at LOS A or B for all scenarios.
The southwest-bound approach at the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin currently operates at LOS E or worse and is projected to operate at a low level of service with the addition of the proposed RV storage development. Short-term improvements to the intersection, turn restrictions, and/or new traffic control are not practical based on the short-term, RV storage-only scenario. The County is requiring mitigation for this level of service at the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin. LSC recommends wayfinding signage be posted as described above. This signage would operate similar to detour signage.

With significant redevelopment on the property in the future (replacing the RV storage), the level of service is likely to be LOS F for the westbound-to-southbound left-turn movement, if the intersection were to remain in its current full-movement configuration. The potential future moderate intensity scenario shows LOS D for the southbound left-turning movement (southbound Marksheffel to eastbound Tamlin). The LOS for the westbound-to-southbound left-turn movement would not apply under this scenario as conversion of the intersection to a three-quarter movement (restriction of the westbound-to-southbound left turn) is assumed as part of the scenario.

Given the LOS F projected for the channelized-T configuration (as part of the high-intensity future scenario), a directional traffic signal would likely need to be considered. This would likely improve
the LOS to acceptable levels and acceptable progression bandwidths would likely be easy to achieve with a directional signal rather than a "full" signal. However, the concept of a directional signal would need to be acceptable to the County and/or the City. A roundabout intersection would not likely be a viable solution as it would be inconsistent with the other intersections in the Marksheffel corridor.

Please refer to the Level of Service Analysis section above for detailed LOS results. A traffic analysis will be required with the individual site plans to determine which final off-site improvements are required.

## Access Points

The proposed RV storage access/the future Lot 2 access point (under the moderate intensity future redevelopment scenario) is shown to align with the existing Trojan Storage at Stetson Hills access on the north side of Tamlin Road.

The future Lot 1 access point(s) (under one of the future redevelopment scenario) will be determined later. However, Lot 1 access is anticipated to be located approximately 560 feet northeast of the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin. Access points must meet ECM standards for sight distance, should be placed a sufficient distance from Marksheffel for acceptable traffic operations, constructed in a location where any necessary auxiliary turn lanes can be installed, and result in adequate spacing between access points. Access points are anticipated to be stopcontrolled, full-movement intersections with Tamlin Road.

## Auxiliary Turn Lanes

Projected total westbound right-turning volumes at the intersection of Marksheffel/Tamlin would not exceed the threshold for a northbound right-turn acceleration lane on Marksheffel Road for the RV/storage development only.

Turn lanes at Marksheffel/Tamlin (if not completed with a Marksheffel upgrade project) and potentially at the site access points are projected to be warranted based on the potential future land use (redevelopment) scenarios. Please refer to the Auxiliary Turn Lanes section above and Table 4 for details and turn lane design recommendations.

## Sight Distance

Entering sight distance at the proposed RV storage access would be acceptable. Please refer to the sight distance section above for additional details.

## Roadway Classification/Upgrade

Tamlin Road is classified as a Collector on the El Paso County Major Transportation Corridor Plan. The short-term RV storage land use would not increase traffic volumes on Tamlin Road above the Rural Local Roadway level. However, Tamlin Road would likely need to be improved to County Collector standards with either potential future land use scenario. In the long term, Tamlin Road should be upgraded to an Urban Collector for both the moderate-intensity and high-intensity scenarios for Lots 1 and 2.

## Road Improvement Summary

Table 4 (attached) presents a summary of roadway improvements with timing and responsibility for construction. Under future redevelopment scenarios, road improvements required for Lot 2 will be funded with Lot 2 and improvements required for Lot 1 would be with the redevelopment of Lot 1.

## El Paso County Roadway Improvement Fee Program

This development will be subject to participation in the El Paso County Roadway Improvement Fee Program. Staff has indicated that it will investigate how the impact fees will be calculated for this site. A developer agreement may be required.

Per correspondence received from the County Principal Transportation Planner, the roadway impact fee shall be calculated based on

- The total square footage of RV storage parking spaces (not including drive aisles, landscaping islands, etc.) and
- The mini warehouse fee rate of $\$ 725 / 1,000$ square feet.
- The latest site plan indicates that the 289 RV storage parking spaces would cover 183,000 square feet ( 183 KSF )
- Therefore, the calculated County Roadway Impact Fee for the RV storage is $\$ 132,675$.
- This amount paid should be taken into account in the future upon redevelopment of the RV storage area, so fees are not paid twice for the same lot.


## Recommended Stacking Distance at the Site Entrance

Per comments on the site plan, the following is a recommendation for the entry stacking distance at the RV storage site. The stacking distance would be measured southeast of the southeast edge of Tamlin Road to the point at which a vehicle would potentially stop prior to turning left or right to access storage unit aisles. The objective is to provide sufficient storage to accommodate the maximum length of the design vehicle or vehicle with trailer to avoid queue blockage of the public street.

Most vehicles entering the RV storage facility will be passenger vehicles, pickup trucks,
potentially towing trailers, and motor homes. LSC recommends a 65 -foot stacking distance southeast of the southeast edge of Tamlin Road. This would allow for a 45 -foot-long class A motor home or a large passenger vehicle/pickup truck towing a boat or camping trailer.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.

Respectfully Submitted,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

By: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E.
Principal

JCH/JAB:jas
Enclosures: Tables 3 and 4
Figure 1 - Figure 13
AutoTurn Exhibits
Traffic Count Reports
Level of Service Reports

Tables and Figures

Table 3: Trip Generation Estimate and Comparison

| Lots Acres ITE | Value | Units ${ }^{1}$ | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | Driveway Trips Generated |  |  |  |  | \% <br> Primary | \% Non- <br> Primary | Non-Pass-by Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average <br> Weekday | A.M. |  | P.M. |  | Average <br> Weekday | A.M. |  | P.M. |  |  |  | Average <br> Weekday | A.M. |  | P.M. |  |
| Code Description |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  | In | Out | In | Out |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |
| INITIAL DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RV Storage Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1+216.0$ RV/Vehicle Storage | 2.890 | HOC | 20.00 | 2.28 | 1.37 | 1.98 | 2.81 | 58 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 100\% | 0\% | 58 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| POTENTIAL FUTURE LAND USE SCENARIOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low-Intensity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \quad 7.5 \quad 710$ General Office Building | 21.500 | KSF | 9.74 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.97 | 209 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 21 | 100\% | 0\% | 209 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 21 |
| 110 General Light Industrial | 21.500 | KSF | 4.96 | 0.62 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.55 | 107 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 100\% | 0\% | 107 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| 820 Shopping Center | 16.000 | KSF | 108.07 | 6.19 | 3.79 | 4.20 | 4.55 | 1729 | 99 | 61 | 67 | 73 | 42\% | 58\% | 726 | 42 | 26 | 28 | 31 |
| $2 \quad 8.5 \quad 151$ Mini-Warehousing | 115.600 | KSF | 1.51 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 175 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 100\% | 0\% | 175 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 2220 | 141 | 71 | 82 | 116 |  |  | 1217 | 83 | 35 | 43 | 10 |
| High-Intensity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1+216.0 \quad 820$ Shopping Center | 113.000 | KSF | 57.81 | 1.14 | 0.70 | 2.53 | 2.74 | 6533 | 129 | 79 | 286 | 309 | 42\% | 58\% | 2744 | 54 | 33 | 120 | 130 |
| ${ }^{1}$ KSF $=1,000$ square feet, HOC = hundred occupied spaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Source: "Trip Generation, 10th Edition, 2017" by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4Tamlin Road RV StorageRoadway Improvements |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item \# | Improvement | Timing/"Trigger" | Responsibility | Eligible for Fee Program Credit/Reimbursement? |
| Auxiliary Turn Lanes |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road - Southbound Left-Turn Lane | Potentially with Lot 1 or Lot 2 redevelopment (future) | Applicant | Potentially |
| 2 | Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road - Northbound Right-Turn Accel Lane | Potentially with Lot 1 redevelopment (future) | Applicant | Potentially |
| Intersection Improvements |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road - Median improvements to restrict the intersection to a three-quarter movement or channelized-T type intersection with the addition of a leftturn acceleration lane | Potentially with Lot 1 redevelopment (future) | Applicant (potentially under a Lot 1 redevelopment scenario), if not completed with a Marksheffel Road improvement project | Potentially |
| 4 | Marksheffel Road/Tamlin Road - Directional Traffic Signal (w/ channelized T option identified in \#5 above) | Potentially with Lot 1 redevelopment (future) | Applicant | Potentially |
| Roadway Segment Improvements |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Marksheffel Road - Upgrade to Principal Arterial Standards | Potentially with Lot 1 redevelopment (future) | City of Colorado Springs | N/A |
| 6 | Tamlin Road - Upgrade to County Collector Standard Road | Potentially with Lot 1 redevelopment (future) | Applicant | Potentially |
| Site Access Construction |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Lot 2 Access - Aligning with the self storage acess on the north side of Tamlin | With Lot 2 redevelopment (future) | Applicant | No |
| 8 | Wayfinding signs for exiting motorists (per the traffic report narrative) | With Lot 2 RV storage use | Applicant | No |
| 9 | Lot 1 Access - Location(s) to be determined | Potentially with Lot 1 redevelopment (future) | Applicant | No |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. (04-09-2020) |  |  |  |  |





Figure 3



Note: These estimates are likely conservative, as they are based on an "allowance" of up to 370 storage units at $100 \%$ capacity. The current plan anticipates 289 units.

Figure 6
Site-Generated Traffic Currently Proposed Use (Short Term) (RV Storage Only)
TRANSPORATITONC. $\quad X X, X X X=$ Average Daily Traffic Volumes (ADTs)








## Exhibits





Figure 3

## AutoTurn <br> Analysis



Figure 4

## AutoTurn Analysis

## RV Storage Trip Generation Traffic Counts

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Dublin - Powers RV Storage AM
Site Code : 164650
Start Date : 7/20/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | RV Storage Access Out Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | RV Storage Access In Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |


| $08: 00$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Dublin - Powers RV Storage AM
Site Code : 164650
Start Date : 7/20/2018
Page No : 2

|  | RV Storage Access Out Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | RV Storage Access In Northbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:15-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 583 | . 000 | . 000 | . 583 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 594 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Dublin-Powers RV Storage AM
Site Code : 164650
Start Date $: 7 / 20 / 2018$
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Dublin - Powers RV Storage PM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/23/2018
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Unshifted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Dublin-Powers RV <br> Storage OUT <br> Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Dublin-Powers RVStorage IN Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43.5 | 0 | 0 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Dublin - Powers RV Storage PM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/23/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Dublin-Powers RV Storage OUT <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Dublin-Powers RVStorage <br> IN <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:00

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $16: 00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| $16: 15$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $16: 30$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| $16: 45$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| $\%$ App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .438 | .000 | .000 | .438 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .500 | .000 | .000 | .500 | .650 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Dublin - Powers RV Storage PM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/23/2018
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868

File Name : Old Denver Rd RV Storage AM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/25/2018
Page No : 1


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Old Denver Rd RV Storage AM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/25/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Old Denver Rd RV Storage IN Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Denver Rd RV Storage OUT Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:15 - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30

| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 667 | . 000 | . 000 | . 667 | . 500 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Old Denver Rd RV Storage AM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/25/2018
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Beacon Lite RV Storage PM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/24/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Beacon Lite RV Storage OUT Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Beacon Lite RV Storage <br> PM IN <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.9 | 0 | 0 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Beacon Lite RV Storage PM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/24/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Beacon Lite RV Storage OUT Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Beacon Lite RV Storage <br> PM IN Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:00

| 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 625 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Beacon Lite RV Storage PM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/24/2018
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868

```
File Name : Washington St RV Storage AM
Site Code :00164650
Start Date : 7/24/2018
Page No :1
```

|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Washington St RV Strage <br> AM IN <br> Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Washington St RV Storage OUT Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 06:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 06:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |


| *** BREAK *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 |  |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Washington St RV Storage AM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/24/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Washington St RV Strage <br> AM IN <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Washington St RV Storage <br> OUT <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:15 - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 06:30

| 06:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 000 | . 625 | . 400 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Washington St RV Storage AM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/24/2018
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868

> File Name : Washington St RV Storage PM
> Site Code $: 00164650$
> Start Date $: 7 / 23 / 2018$
> Page No $: 1$


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868

> File Name : Washington St RV Storage PM
> Site Code $: 00164650$
> Start Date $: 7 / 23 / 2018$
> Page No $: 2$

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Washington St RV Storage OUT Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Washington St RV Storage <br> IN <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:15

| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 833 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Washington St RV Storage PM
Site Code : 00164650
Start Date : 7/23/2018
Page No : 3


## Traffic Counts

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Marksheffel rd - Tamlin Rd AM
Site Code : 184610
Start Date : 7/10/2018
Page No : 1

## Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Marksheffel Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Tamlin Rd Westbound |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 06:30 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 409 |
| 06:45 | 0 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460 |
| Total | 0 | 559 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 869 |


| $07: 00$ | 0 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 471 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $07: 15$ | 0 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 486 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 447 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 447 |
| Total | 0 | 1179 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 649 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1851 |


| $08: 00$ | 0 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 414 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 0 | 2273 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1245 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3567 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 86.4 | 0 | 13.6 | 0 | 0 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel rd - Tamlin Rd AM
Site Code : 184610
Start Date : 7/10/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Marksheffel Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamlin Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:15-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 06:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 | 0 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 147 | 8 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 158 | 5 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 471 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 312 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 166 | 3 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 486 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 160 | 1 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 447 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 1203 | 0 | 0 | 1203 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 631 | 17 | 0 | 648 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1864 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 76.9 | 0 | 23.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 964 | . 000 | . 000 | . 964 | . 833 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 650 | . 000 | . 950 | . 531 | . 000 | . 959 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 959 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel rd - Tamlin Rd AM
Site Code : 184610
Start Date : 7/10/2018
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Marksheffel rd - Tamlin Rd PM
Site Code : 184610
Start Date : 7/10/2018
Page No : 1

## Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Marksheffel Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Tamlin Rd Westbound |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 1 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 462 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 501 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 505 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 546 |
| Total | 1 | 801 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1190 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2014 |


| $17: 00$ | 1 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 533 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $17: 15$ | 1 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 527 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443 |
| Total | 2 | 817 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1208 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2055 |


| Grand Total | 3 | 1618 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2398 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4069 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 0.2 | 99.8 | 0 | 0 | 68.8 | 0 | 31.2 | 0 | 0 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel rd - Tamlin Rd PM
Site Code : 184610
Start Date : 7/10/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Marksheffel Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Tamlin Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:45 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 5 | 0 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 546 |
| 17:00 | 1 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 330 | 6 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 533 |
| 17:15 | 1 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 307 | 4 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 527 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 308 | 6 | 0 | 314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 |
| Total Volume | 2 | 854 | 0 | 0 | 856 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1275 | 21 | 0 | 1296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2158 |
| \% App. Total | 0.2 | 99.8 | 0 | 0 |  | 83.3 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 901 | . 000 | . 000 | . 903 | . 417 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 966 | . 875 | . 000 | . 964 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 977 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel rd - Tamlin Rd PM
Site Code : 184610
Start Date : 7/10/2018
Page No : 3


6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.6 | 2.3 |  | 1.2 | 0.3 | 3.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.7 |  |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 1.9 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 1.8 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 1.8 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#0 6:50

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 1.6 | 34.7 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 2.1 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.9 | 2.8 | 13.8 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 2.4 | 12.0 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.9 | 2.6 | 44.7 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 2.1 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.5 | 1.9 | 21.8 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 1.8 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del $/$ Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 2.2 | 19.9 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.9 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.1 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 2.9 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 | 2.4 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 1.8 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 2.5 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#0 6:50

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 1.3 | 34.4 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 2.6 |  |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.1 | 1.6 | 17.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.4 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 1.8 | 30.2 | 0.8 |  | 3.4 | 2.5 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.5 | 1.5 | 22.4 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 2.1 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.6 | 1.5 | 12.4 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 1.6 | 24.2 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.3 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Neh (s) | 26.7 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 8.9 | 2.2 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 1.9 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.4 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 9.8 | 2.0 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 19.3 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 1.8 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.1 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 2.0 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 693.0 | 261.2 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 145.3 | 55.8 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 863.2 | 771.4 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 140.6 | 68.7 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 900.0 |  | 900.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 173.7 | 69.8 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Neh (s) | 897.8 |  | 899.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 211.4 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 11.9 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3060.6 | 1088.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 195.0 | 70.7 |

## Levels of Service - Synchro








| Approach | NB | SB | SW |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.1 | 31.2 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBTSWLn1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 504 | - | 155 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.013 | -0.114 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 12.2 | - | 31.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ | - | D |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0 | - | 0.4 |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim:$ Volume exceeds capacity | $\$:$ Delay exceeds 300s | $+:$ Computation Not Defined $\quad *:$ All major volume in platoon |  |  |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWL | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | 4. | $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 | 个 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 631 | 21 | 4 | 1203 | 12 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 631 | 21 | 4 | 1203 | 12 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 215 | 50 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 664 | 22 | 4 | 1266 | 14 | 7 |



| Approach | NB | SB | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11.4 |

HCM LOS B


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\leftrightarrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 |




| Major/Minor Major1 | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 02181 | 02888 | 1079 |
| Stage 1 |  |  | - 2158 |  |
| Stage 2 |  | - | - 730 |  |
| Critical Hdwy |  | - 4.14 | 6.84 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 |  | - - | - 5.84 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 |  | - - | - 5.84 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy |  | - 2.22 | - 3.52 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver |  | 240 | *8 | 214 |
| Stage 1 |  | - - | - *74 |  |
| Stage 2 |  | - - | - *537 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | 1 |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver |  | 240 | *8 | 214 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver |  | - - | - *61 |  |
| Stage 1 |  | - - | - *74 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - *531 |  |


| Approach | NB | SB | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s 0 | 0 | 59.8 |  |
| HCM LOS |  | F |  |

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBT NBR SBL SBWWLn1

| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -240 | -74 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.013 | -0.114 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -20.2 | -59.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0 |

Notes
~: Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300 s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT N | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | WL | WT | WR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | ree | Free | ree |  |
| RT Channelized |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | one | - |  | one |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage,-\# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Movement $\quad$ NBT NBR SBL SBT SWL SWR |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations $\uparrow \uparrow$¢ |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 1000 | 20 | 01925 | 11 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h 100020 | 20 | 01925 | 11 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Free Free Free Free Stop Stop |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized - None |  | - None |  | one |
| Storage Length - 21 | 215 | 50 | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage0\# |  | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor 959 | 95 | 9595 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 2 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow 1053 | 21 | 02026 | 12 | 4 |



| Approach | NB | SB | SW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s 0 | 0 | 12.5 |  |

HCM LOS B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBT NBR SBL SB\$WLn1

| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -645 | -496 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | - | -0.032 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 0 | -12.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | A | - |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0 | -0.1 |

Notes
$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 \mathrm{~s} \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | WR |  |
| Lane Configurations | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | ree |  |
| RT Channelized |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | one |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage,-\# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized |  | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mumt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |







6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#0 6:50

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 1.3 | 34.4 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 2.6 |  |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.1 | 1.6 | 17.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.4 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 1.8 | 30.2 | 0.8 |  | 3.4 | 2.5 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.5 | 1.5 | 22.4 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 2.1 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.6 | 1.5 | 12.4 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 1.6 | 24.2 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.3 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3 | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement SEL | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | WR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 35 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 11 |  | 35 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | r 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | - None | - |  | one |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage | ge,-\# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 97 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.9 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.1 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 2.9 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 | 2.4 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 1.8 |

6: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SWR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 2.5 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 2.0 |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection }}{} \quad$Int Delay, s/veh <br> 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement SEL SET SER NWL NWT NWR NEL NET NER SWL SWT SWR | SEL SET | SER NWL NWT NWR |  |  |  |  | NET NER SWL SWT SWR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 | 0 | 5 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 71 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h 0 | 0 | 5 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 71 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | ree |  |
| RT Channelized |  | None | - |  | - None | - |  | None | - |  | one |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage,\# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow 0 | 0 | 5 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 75 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |




| Major/Minor Minor1 Major1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All 214 | 214 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1214 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 15.42 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy 3.5183 | 3.318 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver774 | 826 | - | - |
| Stage 1822 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver74 | 826 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver74 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1822 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| Approach NB |  | E |  |
| HCM Control Delay,14. 8 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS B |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NET | R |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - |  |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 693.0 | 261.2 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 145.3 | 55.8 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 863.2 | 771.4 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 140.6 | 68.7 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 900.0 |  | 900.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 173.7 | 69.8 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Neh (s) | 897.8 |  | 899.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 211.4 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 11.9 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3060.6 | 1088.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 195.0 | 70.7 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT N | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | WR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 0 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h 0 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage,-\# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 34 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#1 7:00

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Neh (s) | 26.7 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 8.9 | 2.2 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#2 7:15

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.6 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 1.9 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#3 7:30

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.4 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 9.8 | 2.0 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Interval \#4 7:45

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 19.3 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 1.8 |

5: Marksheffel Rd \& Tamlin Rd Performance by movement Entire Run

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.1 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 2.0 |

