

DRAINAGE MEMO

Rename to
Preliminary/Final
Drainage Report

LOT 1, SPACE VILLAGE FILING NO. 3

6809 SPACE VILLAGE AVENUE

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

report renamed

PREPARED FOR:

**Kum & Go, L.C.
6400 Westown Parkway
West Des Moines, IA 50266
(515) 457-6232
Contact: Ryan Halder**

PREPARED BY:

**Olsson Associates
1880 Fall River Drive, Suite 200
Loveland, CO 80538
(970) 461-7733
Contact: Josh Erramouspe**

added

Add SF-18-016

May 17, 2018

PCD Project No. SP-17-009

Olsson Project No. 017-1754

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability cause by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Josh Erramouspe
Colorado Licensed Professional Engineer No. 42141

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Kum & Go, L.C. hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for 6809 Space Village Avenue (Kum & Go #692) shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that El Paso County does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer and that EL Paso County reviews drainage plans pursuant to Colorado Revised Statutes, Title 30, Article 28; but cannot, on behalf of 6809 Space Village Avenue (Kum & Go #692), guarantee that final drainage design review will absolve Kum & Go, L.C. and/or their successors and/or assigns of future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

Kum & Go, L.C.

By: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

EL PASO COUNTY

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, and Land Development Code as amended.

Jenifer Irvine, PE
Count Engineer/ECM Administrator

Date

Table of Contents

1.0 General PROPERTY Description 1

2.0 GENERAL Existing DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS 1

 2.1 Soils Condition 1

 2.2 Existing Site Conditions 1

 2.3 Existing Drainage Conditions 1

3.0 Proposed Drainage Conditions 1

 3.1 Proposed Basin Description 1

 3.2 Allowable Release Rate 2

 3.3 Detention Facility 2

4.0 Drainage fees 2

5.0 Summary 3

6.0 References 3

If the off-site flow is routed through the detention facility then the OS-1 area and imperviousness must be included in the detention pond sizing calculation or else the drainage conveyance design must by-pass the detention facility. Update the UD-Detention calculation and/or narrative accordingly.

storm sewer system. The detention facility should discharge to the existing 24" RCP pipe at the west side of the SITE. Refer to the Proposed Drainage Basin Map for more detail on basin delineation. A more detailed breakdown of the runoff generated on-site is described as follows:

- Basin B-1 is the on-site portion of flow that basin encompasses approximately 1.77 ac development. It has been assumed that de imperviousness ratio. Runoff generated on calculated using the rational method and sh overland flow, curb and gutter, and private storm sewer if
- Basin OS-1 is the off-site portion of flow that should facility. This basin encompasses approximately 0.93 acres and is comprised of asphaltic concrete pavement and existing rooftop. Runoff generated within this basin ($Q_5=3.63$ cfs, $Q_{100}=7.99$ cfs) should flow through a detention facility and should not be detained as it is not being detained in the existing condition.

Narrative has been updated to include all of B-1 in the detention facility

Updated

Update. The majority of OS-1 is on-site of the subdivision plat.

3.2 Allowable Release Rate

The future detention facility's allowable unit release rate was determined using UD-Detention Version 3.07. The allowable release rate was determined to be 1.06 cfs given the following parameters:

I have removed references to UD-Detention, as I am not designing a pond with this drainage report. Any future site development plan will need to design their own drainage facility, and thus have their own UD-Detention spreadsheet.

Include the UD-Detention in the report.

- watershed Area = 1.77 acres (77,077 ft²)

It is anticipated that there will be a small portion of the site's runoff that is not able to be routed to a detention facility. The release rate for the detention facility should be reduced by the amount of undetained flow.

Revise to note pond design for Full-Spectrum Detention

Note now discusses full spectrum

3.3 Detention Facility

A privately owned & maintained detention facility should be utilized to provide water quality on-site. The water quality capture volume (WQCV) and detention pond should be sized using UD-Detention_v3.07 and the composite site imperviousness should be determined at the time of development. Runoff generated on-site should be detained in the future detention facility, and a three-stage outlet system should be designed and constructed. Emergency overflow routing should be provided within the future detention facility by means of bypassing the detention to flow into Peter

sentence removed. pond and spillway will be designed with a site development plan.

Revise the last sentence. I'm not sure what you are trying to say regarding the emergency overflow routing.

4.0 DRAINAGE

This project is [redacted] Drainage Basin. The development's drainage/bridge fees are as follows [redacted] the time of platting:

Drainage Fee: $\$17,197$ per impervious acre $\times (0.80 \times 1.77ac) = \$24,350.95$

Bridge Fee: $\$5,210$ per impervious acre $\times (0.80 \times 1.77ac) = \$7,377.36$

Total Fees: $\$22,407$ per impervious acre $\times (0.80 \times 1.77ac) = \$31,728.31$

* These fees are based on the 2018 fee schedule and are due prior to recordation of the plat.

updated to 4.13 acres and 95% impervious

Revise the area to 4.13 acres and update the imperviousness based on the the entire subdivision not just Lot 1 since this is unplatted and drainage/bridge fees have never been paid.

5.0 SUMMARY

In summary, assuming the SITE (6809 Space Village Avenue) will be commercial development, the drainage pattern of the SITE will be minimally altered when compared to existing drainage patterns. The ultimate discharge point will remain the same for this site (the 24" RCP culvert crossing Peterson Boulevard). Runoff generated on-site should be detained in a detention facility and released at a controlled rate to the afore mentioned existing 24" RCP culvert. The detention facility should be designed using the full spectrum detention method, and as such, should have three different release rates (WQCV, EURV, and the 100YR event). A full, site-specific drainage report will need to be submitted to El Paso County for review and approval in conjunction with a Site Development Plan for the lot.

6.0 REFERENCES

"Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1." Colorado Springs, CO (1994)

"Urban Storm Drainage." Criteria Manual Volume 1 (2017)

"Urban Storm Drainage." Criteria Manual Volume 2 (2017)

"Urban Storm Drainage." Criteria Manual Volume 3 (2010)

a 24" RCP capacity calculation has been provided in the appendices

Provide the capacity calculation of the 24 RCP. Update the narrative to discuss the findings. Is the existing culvert hydraulically adequate?

←


Include the Sand Creek DBPS in the reference. Add a section regarding Major Basin Description and provide a narrative regarding how the subdivision adheres to the DBPS and whether or not the DBPS identifies any public improvements within or adjacent to the development.

added to the report


Sentence added to design site per Appendix I

Add a section regarding the 4-step process (see ECM Appendix I section I.7.2). List each step and below each step describe how the particular process is implement or considered with regards to the drainage plan.

DWG: F:\2017\1501-2000\017-1754\40-Design\Reports\GNCV\Drainage\Memo\AutoCAD\C_XBSN_71754.dwg
 DATE: May 17, 2018 5:39pm
 USER: emorton
 XREFS: C:\BASE_71754 C:\PCONT_71754




MOVED TO END OF REPORT
 Move the existing and proposed drainage map to be at the end of the report



NOTE:
 ALL PROPOSED STORM PIPE SHALL BE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED, AND SHALL BE HDPE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

SPACE VILLAGE FILING NO. 2
 LOT 1
 PETERSON GROUP LLC
 PARCEL# 5417001001
 PLAT BOOK: B4 PAGE: 177
 19,992 SQUARE FEET OR 0.458 ACRES



LEGEND

- EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
- PROPOSED PROPERTY LINE
- PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
- EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- BASIN DELINEATION
- TIME OF CONCENTRATION FLOWPATH
- DRAINAGE ARROW

- A = BASIN DESCRIPTION
- B = BASIN AREA
- C = MINOR EVENT COMPOSITE C-VALUE
- D = MAJOR EVENT COMPOSITE C-VALUE
- E = DESIGN POINT
- F = MINOR EVENT PEAK RUNOFF (CFS)
- G = MAJOR EVENT PEAK RUNOFF (CFS)

BASIN NAME	AREA (ACRES)	C5	C100	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
EX-1	1.77	0.50	0.66	2.64	7.18
EX-2	0.93	0.84	0.91	3.52	7.82
EX-3	1.50	0.64	0.77	3.14	7.67

DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
4	EX-3	3.14	7.67

COWPERWOOD SAIC
 THOMAS PROFESSIONAL & REG GRP
 PARCEL# 5417001004
 REC.# 205122346

MOLSSON ASSOCIATES
 1689 Fall River Ct., Suite 200
 Fort Collins, CO 80528
 TEL: 970.481.7233 www.molssonassociates.com


SPACE VILLAGE FILING NO. 3
 SPACE VILLAGE AVENUE AND PETERSON BOULEVARD

EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN MAP


REVISIONS	REVISION DESCRIPTION	DATE

DATE: 09-08-2017
 SHEET NUMBER: 10 OF 1

DWG: F:\2017\1501-2000\017-1754-140-Design\Reports\GNCV\Drainage\Memo\AutoCAD\C_PBSN_71754.dwg
 DATE: May 17, 2018 5:34pm
 XREFS: C_PBASE_71754_C_XBASE_71754
 USER: emorton



NOTE:
 ALL PROPOSED STORM PIPE SHALL BE PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED, AND SHALL BE HDPE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.



LEGEND

- EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
- PROPOSED PROPERTY LINE
- PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
- EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- BASIN DELINEATION
- TIME OF CONCENTRATION FLOWPATH
- DRAINAGE ARROW

- A = BASIN DESCRIPTION
- B = BASIN AREA
- C = MINOR EVENT COMPOSITE C-VALUE
- D = MAJOR EVENT COMPOSITE C-VALUE
- E = DESIGN POINT
- F = MINOR EVENT PEAK RUNOFF (CFS)
- G = MAJOR EVENT PEAK RUNOFF (CFS)

SUMMARY RUNOFF TABLE					
BASIN NAME	AREA (ACRES)	C5	C100	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
B-1	1.77	0.74	0.80	5.63	12.37
OS-1	0.93	0.86	0.93	3.63	7.99

SPACE VILLAGE FILING NO. 2
 LOT 1
 PETERSON GROUP LLC
 PARCEL# 5417001001
 PLAT BOOK: 84 PAGE: 177
 19,992 SQUARE FEET OR 0.458 ACRES

COWPERWOOD SAIC
 THOMAS PROFESSIONAL & REG GRP
 PARCEL# 5417001004
 REC.# 205122346

1688 Fall River Dr., Suite 200
 Fort Collins, CO 80528
 TEL: 970.481.7233 www.molssonassociates.com

SPACE VILLAGE FILING NO. 3
 SPACE VILLAGE AVENUE AND PETERSON BOULEVARD
PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASIN MAP

DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	REVISIONS

DATE: 11-07-2017

SHEET NUMBER:
10 OF 1

Basin Name	Basin Description	Paved 100% (acres)	Building 90% (acres)	Gravel 40% (acres)	Landscape 2% (acres)	Total Area (ac)	C5	C100	Percent Imperviousness
EX-1	On-Site	0.90	-	-	0.87	1.77	0.50	0.66	51.6%
EX-2	Off-Site	0.73	0.16	-	0.04	0.93	0.84	0.91	94.2%
EX-3	Off-Site	1.03	-	-	0.47	1.50	0.64	0.77	69.2%
TOTAL						2.70	0.61	0.75	66%

Update Basin Description. The majority of EX-2 is On-site

descriptions updated

BASIN	OVERLAND FLOW				GUTTER FLOW 1			GUTTER FLOW 2			Total T _c (min)	Check T _c Eq 6-5	Final T _c (min)
	L1 (ft)	S1 (%)	C5	Ti (min)	L2 (ft)	V (ft/s)	T2 (min)	L3 (ft)	V (ft/s)	T3 (min)			
EX-1	130.0	0.46%	0.50	16.33	300.0	2.9	1.7				18.06	12.36	12.36
EX-2	130.0	1.54%	0.84	4.76	90.0	2.8	0.5				5.29	4.76	5.00
EX-3	100.0	2.50%	0.64	6.17	666.0	2.6	4.3				10.47	10.96	10.47

100' max for urban land uses.

used 100' max

Basin Characteristics						Intensities			Sub-basin	
BASIN NAME	Description	AREA (acres)	C5	C100	Tc* (min)	I5 (in/hr)	I100 (in/hr)	Q 5-yr (cfs)	Q 100-yr (cfs)	
EX-1	On-Site	1.77	0.50	0.66	12.4	3.01	6.16	2.64	7.18	
EX-2	Off-Site	0.93	0.84	0.91	5.0	4.52	9.24	3.52	7.82	
EX-3	Off-Site	1.50	0.64	0.77	10.5	3.25	6.63	3.14	7.67	

* If time of concentration was less than 5 minutes, 5 minutes was used.

I5 values are low. See Chapter 6 Figure 6-6 of the City DCM. Also double check the I100 values.

intensity values are updated

COMPOSITE PERCENT IMPERVIOUSNESS

Update the basin descriptions for OS-1 and B-1. Majority of OS-1 is within the subdivision. B-1 consist mainly of Lot 1, but not the entire site.

basins and descriptions updated

Basin Name	Basin Description	Paved 100% (acres)	Building 90% (acres)	Gravel 40% (acres)	Landscape 2% (acres)	Total Area (ac)	C5	C100	Percent Imperviousness
OS-1	Off-Site Improvements	0.76	0.16	-	0.01	0.93	0.86	0.93	97.4%
B-1	Entire Site	-	-	-	-	1.77	0.74	0.80	80.0%

Update. Without a specific site plan the default is to use the value in Table 6-6 for Commercial Areas which is 95% imperviousness.

updated to 95%

TIME OF CONCENTRATION CALCULATIONS

BASIN	OVERLAND FLOW				GUTTER FLOW 1			GUTTER FLOW 2			Total T _c (min)	Check T _c Eq 6-5	Final T _c (min)
	L1 (ft)	S1 (%)	C5	Ti (min)	L2 (ft)	V (ft/s)	T2 (min)	L3 (ft)	V (ft/s)	T3 (min)			
OS-1	130.6	1.54%	0.86	4.27	90.0	2.9	0.5				4.79	4.26	5.00
B-1	50.0	2.00%	0.74	3.70	250.0	2.0	2.1				5.78	7.48	5.78

100' max for urban land uses.

updated to 100'

STORM DRAINAGE CALCULATION

Storm Duration 60 minutes


Basin Characteristics						Intensities		Sub-basin	
Basin Name	Description	Area (acres)	C5	C100	Tc* (min)	I5 (in/hr)	I100 (in/hr)	Q 5-yr (cfs)	Q 100-yr (cfs)
OS-1	Off-Site Improvements	0.93	0.86	0.93	5.00	4.52	9.24	3.63	7.99
B-1	Entire Site	1.77	0.74	0.80	5.78	4.30	8.74	5.63	12.37

* If time of concentration was less than 5 minutes, 5 minutes was used.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



Map Scale: 1:800 if printed on A landscape (11" x 8.5") sheet.



Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 13N WGS84

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

 Soil Map Unit Polygons

 Soil Map Unit Lines

 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

-  Blowout
-  Borrow Pit
-  Clay Spot
-  Closed Depression
-  Gravel Pit
-  Gravelly Spot
-  Landfill
-  Lava Flow
-  Marsh or swamp
-  Mine or Quarry
-  Miscellaneous Water
-  Perennial Water
-  Rock Outcrop
-  Saline Spot
-  Sandy Spot
-  Severely Eroded Spot
-  Sinkhole
-  Slide or Slip
-  Sodic Spot

-  Spoil Area
-  Stony Spot
-  Very Stony Spot
-  Wet Spot
-  Other
-  Special Line Features

Water Features

 Streams and Canals

Transportation

-  Rails
-  Interstate Highways
-  US Routes
-  Major Roads
-  Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
 Survey Area Data: Version 14, Sep 23, 2016

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 3, 2014—Jun 17, 2014

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

El Paso County Area, Colorado (CO625)			
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
96	Truckton sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	2.2	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		2.2	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

Custom Soil Resource Report

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

El Paso County Area, Colorado

96—Truckton sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 36bf

Elevation: 6,000 to 7,000 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 15 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 50 degrees F

Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days

Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60

Map Unit Composition

Truckton and similar soils: 85 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Truckton

Setting

Landform: Flats

Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or arkosic residuum weathered from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam

Bt - 8 to 24 inches: sandy loam

C - 24 to 60 inches: coarse sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Natural drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Very low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (1.98 to 6.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water storage in profile: Low (about 5.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e

Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Ecological site: Sandy Foothill (R049BY210CO)

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit:

Hydric soil rating: No

Custom Soil Resource Report

Pleasant

Percent of map unit:

Landform: Depressions

Hydric soil rating: Yes

NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2 COLORADO

SPGS MUNI AP

Station ID: 05-1778

Location name: Colorado Springs, Colorado, USA*

Latitude: 38.81°, Longitude: -104.6883°

Elevation:

Elevation (station metadata): 6181 ft**

* source: ESRI Maps

** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

[PF_tabular](#) | [PF_graphical](#) | [Maps & aerials](#)

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches/hour) ¹										
Duration	Average recurrence interval (years)									
	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	2.87 (2.42-3.43)	3.47 (2.93-4.15)	4.52 (3.80-5.44)	5.47 (4.56-6.59)	6.86 (5.54-8.68)	8.02 (6.30-10.2)	9.24 (6.97-12.1)	10.5 (7.60-14.2)	12.4 (8.53-17.2)	13.9 (9.24-19.4)
10-min	2.10 (1.77-2.51)	2.54 (2.14-3.04)	3.31 (2.78-3.98)	4.00 (3.34-4.82)	5.02 (4.06-6.35)	5.87 (4.61-7.51)	6.76 (5.11-8.87)	7.72 (5.56-10.4)	9.07 (6.25-12.6)	10.1 (6.77-14.2)
15-min	1.71 (1.44-2.04)	2.06 (1.74-2.47)	2.69 (2.26-3.23)	3.26 (2.72-3.92)	4.08 (3.30-5.16)	4.77 (3.75-6.10)	5.50 (4.15-7.21)	6.28 (4.52-8.47)	7.37 (5.08-10.2)	8.25 (5.50-11.6)
30-min	1.31 (1.11-1.57)	1.58 (1.33-1.89)	2.06 (1.73-2.47)	2.48 (2.07-2.99)	3.11 (2.52-3.94)	3.63 (2.86-4.65)	4.19 (3.16-5.49)	4.78 (3.44-6.45)	5.62 (3.87-7.79)	6.29 (4.19-8.80)
60-min	0.878 (0.741-1.05)	1.03 (0.870-1.24)	1.32 (1.11-1.58)	1.59 (1.33-1.92)	2.01 (1.64-2.57)	2.38 (1.87-3.06)	2.77 (2.10-3.66)	3.21 (2.32-4.36)	3.85 (2.66-5.36)	4.37 (2.91-6.11)
2-hr	0.550 (0.468-0.654)	0.636 (0.540-0.756)	0.804 (0.680-0.958)	0.968 (0.814-1.16)	1.23 (1.02-1.57)	1.47 (1.17-1.88)	1.73 (1.32-2.27)	2.02 (1.47-2.73)	2.44 (1.70-3.39)	2.79 (1.88-3.89)
3-hr	0.405 (0.346-0.479)	0.460 (0.393-0.545)	0.574 (0.489-0.682)	0.691 (0.584-0.825)	0.887 (0.739-1.14)	1.06 (0.855-1.37)	1.26 (0.975-1.67)	1.49 (1.10-2.02)	1.83 (1.28-2.53)	2.11 (1.42-2.93)
6-hr	0.231 (0.199-0.272)	0.260 (0.224-0.306)	0.323 (0.277-0.381)	0.389 (0.332-0.462)	0.502 (0.423-0.641)	0.606 (0.491-0.777)	0.724 (0.563-0.950)	0.859 (0.636-1.16)	1.06 (0.750-1.46)	1.23 (0.836-1.70)
12-hr	0.126 (0.109-0.147)	0.144 (0.125-0.169)	0.182 (0.157-0.213)	0.220 (0.189-0.259)	0.281 (0.238-0.355)	0.337 (0.275-0.427)	0.399 (0.312-0.518)	0.469 (0.350-0.625)	0.573 (0.408-0.783)	0.659 (0.451-0.902)
24-hr	0.070 (0.061-0.081)	0.082 (0.071-0.095)	0.103 (0.090-0.120)	0.125 (0.108-0.146)	0.158 (0.134-0.196)	0.187 (0.153-0.234)	0.219 (0.172-0.281)	0.254 (0.190-0.335)	0.306 (0.219-0.414)	0.348 (0.240-0.474)
2-day	0.040 (0.035-0.046)	0.046 (0.041-0.054)	0.059 (0.052-0.068)	0.070 (0.061-0.081)	0.087 (0.074-0.107)	0.102 (0.084-0.127)	0.119 (0.094-0.151)	0.137 (0.103-0.178)	0.162 (0.117-0.218)	0.183 (0.127-0.247)
3-day	0.029 (0.026-0.033)	0.034 (0.030-0.039)	0.042 (0.037-0.049)	0.050 (0.044-0.058)	0.062 (0.053-0.076)	0.072 (0.060-0.089)	0.083 (0.066-0.105)	0.095 (0.072-0.124)	0.112 (0.081-0.150)	0.126 (0.088-0.170)
4-day	0.023 (0.021-0.027)	0.027 (0.024-0.031)	0.034 (0.030-0.039)	0.040 (0.035-0.046)	0.049 (0.042-0.059)	0.057 (0.047-0.070)	0.065 (0.052-0.082)	0.074 (0.056-0.096)	0.087 (0.063-0.115)	0.097 (0.068-0.130)
7-day	0.015 (0.014-0.017)	0.018 (0.016-0.020)	0.022 (0.020-0.025)	0.026 (0.023-0.030)	0.031 (0.027-0.038)	0.036 (0.030-0.044)	0.041 (0.033-0.051)	0.046 (0.035-0.059)	0.054 (0.039-0.071)	0.059 (0.042-0.079)
10-day	0.012 (0.011-0.014)	0.014 (0.013-0.016)	0.017 (0.015-0.020)	0.020 (0.018-0.023)	0.024 (0.021-0.029)	0.028 (0.023-0.033)	0.031 (0.025-0.039)	0.035 (0.027-0.044)	0.040 (0.029-0.053)	0.044 (0.031-0.059)
20-day	0.008 (0.007-0.009)	0.009 (0.008-0.010)	0.011 (0.010-0.013)	0.013 (0.011-0.015)	0.015 (0.013-0.018)	0.017 (0.014-0.020)	0.019 (0.015-0.023)	0.021 (0.016-0.027)	0.024 (0.017-0.031)	0.026 (0.018-0.034)
30-day	0.006 (0.006-0.007)	0.007 (0.007-0.008)	0.009 (0.008-0.010)	0.010 (0.009-0.011)	0.012 (0.010-0.014)	0.013 (0.011-0.016)	0.015 (0.012-0.018)	0.016 (0.012-0.020)	0.018 (0.013-0.023)	0.019 (0.014-0.025)
45-day	0.005 (0.005-0.006)	0.006 (0.005-0.007)	0.007 (0.007-0.008)	0.008 (0.007-0.009)	0.009 (0.008-0.011)	0.011 (0.009-0.012)	0.012 (0.009-0.014)	0.013 (0.010-0.016)	0.014 (0.010-0.018)	0.015 (0.011-0.019)
60-day	0.004 (0.004-0.005)	0.005 (0.005-0.006)	0.006 (0.006-0.007)	0.007 (0.006-0.008)	0.008 (0.007-0.009)	0.009 (0.008-0.011)	0.010 (0.008-0.012)	0.011 (0.008-0.013)	0.012 (0.009-0.015)	0.012 (0.009-0.016)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS). Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

[Back to Top](#)

PF graphical

4.1.2 100-year Release Rates

The maximum allowable 100-year release rate for a full spectrum detention facility is equal to 90 percent of the predevelopment discharge for the upstream watershed. This release rate for full spectrum detention basins has been shown to be effective in controlling future development peak discharges in a watershed to levels below predevelopment conditions in the 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year events downstream of multiple detention basins.

The predevelopment 100-year unit discharge for specific soil types per acre of tributary catchment varies based on the ratio of the flow length squared to the watershed area as well as the watershed slope and is provided in Tables 12-6, 12-7, and 12-8. The values in these tables must be multiplied by 0.9 to determine the allowable 100-year release from a watershed.




Development of these tables is documented in a Technical Memorandum entitled *UDFCD Predeveloped Peak Unit Flowrates*, dated December 21, 2016. This is available at www.udfcd.org.

Table 12-6. Predevelopment peak unit discharge for NRCS hydrologic soil group A

Unit Discharge (cfs/acre): NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group A							
Watershed Slope ≤ 0.01 ft/ft							
(L = total flow length)	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
L ² /Area: ≤2:1	0.0009	0.009	0.016	0.18	0.38	0.62	1.14
L ² /Area: 3:1	0.0008	0.008	0.013	0.15	0.32	0.53	0.97
L ² /Area: ≥4:1	0.0007	0.007	0.011	0.14	0.28	0.47	0.87
Watershed Slope = 0.02 ft/ft							
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
L ² /Area: ≤2:1	0.0011	0.011	0.018	0.21	0.44	0.72	1.30
L ² /Area: 3:1	0.0009	0.009	0.015	0.18	0.37	0.60	1.11
L ² /Area: ≥4:1	0.0008	0.008	0.013	0.16	0.33	0.54	0.99
Watershed Slope = 0.03 ft/ft							
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
L ² /Area: ≤2:1	0.0011	0.012	0.020	0.23	0.48	0.78	1.41
L ² /Area: 3:1	0.0010	0.010	0.016	0.19	0.40	0.66	1.20
L ² /Area: ≥4:1	0.0009	0.009	0.014	0.17	0.35	0.58	1.07
Watershed Slope ≥ 0.04 ft/ft							
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
L ² /Area: ≤2:1	0.0012	0.013	0.021	0.25	0.51	0.82	1.48
L ² /Area: 3:1	0.0010	0.011	0.017	0.21	0.43	0.69	1.26
L ² /Area: ≥4:1	0.0009	0.009	0.015	0.18	0.38	0.62	1.13

Markup Summary

dsdlaforce (28)

	<p>Subject: Callout Page Label: 1 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce Date: 6/15/2018 8:23:19 AM Color: ■</p>	<p>Add SF-18-016</p>
	<p>Subject: Callout Page Label: 1 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce Date: 6/15/2018 8:23:20 AM Color: ■</p>	<p>Rename to Preliminary/Final Drainage Report</p>
	<p>Subject: Callout Page Label: 4 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce Date: 6/15/2018 8:23:23 AM Color: ■</p>	<p>Change convenience store to Lot 1 or future commercial development. Since a specific site plan application has not been submitted, this report needs to analyze based on a more general commercial development and not a specific use.</p>
	<p>Subject: Callout Page Label: 4 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce Date: 6/15/2018 8:23:29 AM Color: ■</p>	<p>Provide a summary of Design Point 4. What is the peak combined flow going into the existing 24" RCP culvert.</p>
	<p>Subject: Callout Page Label: 4 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce Date: 6/15/2018 8:23:30 AM Color: ■</p>	<p>Lot 1</p>
	<p>Subject: Callout Page Label: 4 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce Date: 6/15/2018 8:23:31 AM Color: ■</p>	<p>Revise. County GIS does not indicate that Peterson Blvd or the inlet and culvert are County owned or maintained. Double check with the City or AFB and update the narrative accordingly.</p>
	<p>Subject: Text Box Page Label: 4 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce Date: 6/15/2018 8:23:38 AM Color: ■</p>	<p>Add a flood plain statement</p>




Subject: Text Box
Page Label: 6
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:08 AM
Color: ■

Add a section regarding the 4-step process (see ECM Appendix I section I.7.2). List each step and below each step describe how the particular process is implement or considered with regards to the drainage plan.




Subject: Text Box
Page Label: [1] Layout1
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:10 AM
Color: ■

Move the existing and proposed drainage map to be at the end of the report




Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] Layout1
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:15 AM
Color: ■

Revise DP-4. Contributing Basins at DP 4 is EX-1, EX-2, EX-3




Subject: Text Box
Page Label: [1] Layout1
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:16 AM
Color: ■

Expand the analysis to include the southern part of the subdivision. Analysis must be for the entire space village Filing 3 property.




Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] Layout1
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:22 AM
Color: ■

Remove the word "underground"




Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] Layout1
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:23 AM
Color: ■

Add a developed condition DP-4 in the summary table. Contributing Basins at DP 4 is EX-3, B-1, OS-1.




Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] Layout1
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:24 AM
Color: ■

The flow going into the existing 24" RCP culvert must be equal to or less than the existing condition.



Subject: Callout
Page Label: 8
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:31 AM
Color: ■

Update Basin Description. The majority of EX-2 is On-site



Basin Description	Paved	Impervious	Area
On-Site	0.75	0.25	1.00
Off-Site	0.75	0.25	1.00
Off-Site	0.75	0.25	1.00

OVERLAND FLOW			

	(ft)	(%)		(mi)
1	130.0	0.46%	0.50	16
2	130.0	1.54%	0.64	16
3	100.0	2.50%	0.64	16

100' max for urban land uses.

Basin

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 8
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:32 AM
Color: ■

100' max for urban land uses.

Description	Area (Ac)	Impervious (%)	Runoff Coefficient	Runoff Volume (cu ft)	Runoff Rate (in/hr)
Basin 1	130.0	0.46%	0.50	16	16
Basin 2	130.0	1.54%	0.64	16	16
Basin 3	100.0	2.50%	0.64	16	16

EXISTING HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 8
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:33 AM
Color: ■

15 values are low. See Chapter 6 Figure 6-6 of the City DCM. Also double check the 1100 values.

100' max for urban land uses.

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 9
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:42 AM
Color: ■

100' max for urban land uses.

Update the basin descriptions for OS-1 and B-1. Majority of OS-1 is within the subdivision. B-1 consist mainly of Lot 1, but not the entire site.

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 9
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:42 AM
Color: ■

Update the basin descriptions for OS-1 and B-1. Majority of OS-1 is within the subdivision. B-1 consist mainly of Lot 1, but not the entire site.

Update. Without a specific site plan the default is to use the value in Table 6-6 for Commercial Areas which is 95% imperviousness.

Subject: Callout
Page Label: 9
Lock: Locked
Author: dsdlaforce
Date: 6/15/2018 8:24:49 AM
Color: ■

Update. Without a specific site plan the default is to use the value in Table 6-6 for Commercial Areas which is 95% imperviousness.