

Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3

Master Development Drainage Plan

Owner/Developer

Challenger Homes 8605 Explorer Drive Ste. 250 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 (719) 598-5192 Contact: Jim Byers

Engineer

Atwell, LLC 143 Union Blvd., Suite 700 Lakewood, CO 80228 303-462-1100 Contact: Richard Lyon, PE

Atwell Project Number 21000656

Submitted by: Atwell, LLC

June 2021

SKP-21-004

Engineer's Statement:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the City/County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Richard D Lyon

Richard D. Lyon, PE 53921

06/17/2021 Date

Seal:

Developer's Statement:

I, the developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name: Challenger Homes									
By:									
Title:									
Address:									
El Paso County Approval:									
Filed in accordance with Section 51.1 of the El Paso County Land Development Code as amended.									
Jennifer Irvine / County Engineer, Director Conditions:	Date								

change to "requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 & 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code, as amended.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	1
SOILS AND EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS	2
FLOODPLAIN	
DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA	
HISTORIC AND OFFSITE DRAINAGE BASINS	
PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASINS	7
STORM WATER CONVEYANCE AND STORAGE FACILITIES	
WATER QUALITY ENHANCEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	
MAINTENANCE	17
FLOODPLAIN MODIFICATIONS	17
CONCLUSION	17
REFERENCES	

APPENDIX A	VICINITY MAP
APPENDIX B	SOILS SURVEY
APPENDIX C	FEMA FIRMETTE
APPENDIX E	HYDROLOGICAL CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX D	HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX F	DRAINAGE MAPS

INTRODUCTION

This Master Development Drainage Plan Report has been completed for Challenger Homes in order to present an effective storm water management plan for the Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 development, hereinafter referred to as the Site. This report is intended to guide the development of the site and recommend general drainage concepts that can be implemented as development progresses. Included within this report is a proposed drainage plan for the Site along with reference information for drainage basins and storm water conveyance facilities.

The Site was most recently studied in the *Falcon Highlands Filing No. 2 & 3 Final Drainage Report* by Terra Nova Engineering, Inc., latest revision August 2010 for the development of Filing No. 2. Prior to that Final Drainage Report, a Master Development Drainage Plan report entitled *Falcon Highlands Phase 2, Filing No. 2 & 3 Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report* by Terra Nova Engineering, Inc. latest revision September 2005 was developed. This new Master Development Drainage Plan (MDDP) acts as an update of the previous MDDP and FDR for the development of Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 area and basins Application states 114.9 acres

The entire site for Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 is approximately 127.8 acres. The drainage exhibits and calculations within the appendix present Filing No. 2 and other off-site basins consistent with that of previous reports. The total acreage of Filing No. 2 and 3 is approximately 257.7 acres and a portion of Filing No. 1 area totaling 10.6 acre was included for consistency in presenting tributary areas to detention ponds with that of previous studies.

Proposed herein is a network of storm infrastructure, ponds and channels that will meet the relevant criteria for storm water quality and detention, but also allow for aesthetically pleasing landscape and enjoyable green spaces within the PUD community.

GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The Site is located within Section 12, Township 13 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The Site is bounded by Tamlin Road to the south and east, Birch Hollow Way to the north and Bridal Vail Way to the west for the northern portion of the Site and Antelope Meadow Circle to the north for the western end of the Site. The Site, or Filing No. 3 specifically, is directly adjacent and south of Falcon Highlands Filing No. 2 and adjacent to the east and north of Banning Lewis Ranch subdivisions. The overall area consists of approximately 127.8 acres that is proposed to be developed into approximately 395 single-family residential units including 24 nearly half-acre lots and 371 PUD units in varying lot sizes such as eighth-acre lots, quarter-acre lots, and half-acre lots. In addition to the single-family residential units and lots, there is proposed development for open space, a well site, and associated roadways and landscaping. An off-site lift station property subject to potential upgrades to serve the development exists to the south central area of the Site.

Include acreage of open space area

The filing is presented in three phases to plan for and accommodate water supply by the Metro District for what is anticipated to be approximately 250 water service taps in the initial Phase 1 of

the development. However, this MDDP considers development of the entire Filing No. 3 as well as off-site, upstream Filing No. 2 conditions to be comprehensive in the development of the entirety of Filing No. 3.

SOILS AND EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

The majority of the Site is currently undeveloped. Of the development within the Site, there are existing dirt roadways and sanitary sewer infrastructure installed per the Preliminary Plan and Development Plan for Falcon Highlands Phase 2, Filing No. 2 & 3 prepared by Terra Nova Engineering, most recent revised date of September 15, 2005. The ALTA survey conducted by Atwell, LLC. Shows the existing conditions of Filing No. 3 and adjacent development of Filing No. 2. The Site is nearly 100% existing natural grass vegetation typical of the eastern plains with sparse vegetative cover at its outer limits to the south and southeast. There is an existing regional drainage pond referred to as Pond WU, east of the Site within Falcon Highlands Filing No. 2, and a small portion (Basin D) of Filing No. 3. There are two water quality and detention ponds to the south of the Site that were cut in during the construction of Filing No. 2 that were designed for development of both Filings Nos. 2 and 3. The on site slopes range from 0 percent to 10 percent and generally sheet flows from west to east. A Historic Drainage Map is included in Appendix F showing the delineated drainage basins.

The west edge of the Site has existing electric power lines and natural gas main within an existing utility easement. The south side of the Site has a 12" water main and a fiber optic line within what is considered future Tamlin Road right of way.

The Site is made up of mostly loamy sand soils with 100 percent of the soils being Hydrologic Soil Group A. The on-site soils are specified as Blakeland loamy sand (8), Blakeland Complex (8), and Columbine (19) as mapped by the Soil Conservation Service (SCS). The Natural Resources Conservation Service of the United State Department of Agriculture Web Soil Survey has been included in Appendix B for reference.

The western two thirds of the Site are contained within the Sand Creek Basin, the rest within the Falcon Basin.

Per previous drainage studies for the Site and the environmental study for Filing No. 1, there is a high ground water table that should be addressed with the final soils reports for this development. It is recommended that subsurface drains be installed for proposed structures.

Drainage improvements for the Site will include storm sewer infrastructure to capture runoff before street capacities are exceeded and at sump locations as well as channels and swales for potential overflow areas. The existing detention and water quality ponds south of the Site are assessed in this report and are to be constructed according to engineered construction drawings and a Final Drainage Report for Filing No. 3. More specific details regarding the proposed drainage improvements for the Site are provided in the Detention and Water Quality section of this report.

143 Union Boulevard, Suite 700, Lakewood, CO 802 www.atwell-group.com Appendix only has pond voluming sizing
information. Details of pond design will be
included with the Final Drainage Report for the site. Please revise statement accordingly.

Not a

FLOODPLAIN

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 08041C0561G and 08041C0545G dated December 7, 2018, the vast majority of the Site lies within Zone X, which is designated as "Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance flood hazard area", a portion of the site to the east that is proposed open space is located within a Zone A, which is designated as "Areas determined to be within the 0.2% annual chance flood hazard area". The Zone A designation to the east of Tamlin Road is comprised of an Unnamed Tributary that drains to the Black Squirrel Creek No. 2. The FEMA FIRM, Community Panels Nos. 08041 C 0561 G and 08041 C 0545 G (effective December 7,2018) are included in Appendix C for reference.

El Paso County is involved with the Colorado Hazard Mapping Program (CHAMP) because the CWCB delegates its authority to the County to enforce the regulatory floodplain. El Paso County is part of the NFIP (National Flood Insurance Program) which provides assistance to property owners affected by flooding. Inclusion into this program requires that the County enforce floodplain regulations and any changes made to the regulatory maps. Failure to implement these changes could result in the County losing its NFIP status as such a Preliminary FEMA FIRM panel is also included in Appendix C that was remapped as part of CHAMP.

DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

Include discussion of recommendati made in approved DBPS's (Sand Cr & Falcon) for the site.

The El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual and El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual were used in conjunction with the Mile High Flood District Criteria Manual. The rational method was used for drainage basin less than 100-acres. The 5-year design frequency was used for the minor storm and a 100-year design frequency was used for the major storm in calculating onsite storm facility hydraulics. The one-hour point rainfall depth used for the 5-year storm was 1.50 inches and 2.52 inches for the 100-year event. The City of Colorado Springs IDF Curve (Figure 6-5 of the Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1) was used for calculating rainfall intensity.

HISTORIC AND OFFSITE DRAINAGE BASINS

The Site has been assessed previously via the Falcon Highlands Phase 2, Filing No. 2 & 3 Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report developed by Terra Nova Engineering, Inc. latest revision September 2005 as well as a Final Drainage Report for Filing No. 2 & 3 by Terra Nova Enginering, Inc. dated August 2010.

The developments of Falcon Highlands Filing No. 1 & 2 remained consistent with their respective Master Development Drainage Plans and Final Drainage Reports and therefore offsite drainage basin descriptions and delineations provided in this report are based on those previous County approved reports. Include copies of the drainage calculations and maps referenced from these reports in the appendix.

All off-site drainage basin runott data and calculations have been updated for current codes and standards consistent with the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual. Part of the Site lies within the Sand Creek Basin and the other part within the Falcon Basin. Therefore, the *Sand Creek Drainage Basin Study* and the *Falcon Basin Drainage Basin Planning Study* were both referenced as well as the El Paso County Master Plan approved in May of 2021. Previous studies show the delineation between the two basins. Needs to be shown on drainage maps in appendix.

The site has been broken down into five major off-site basins upstream of Filing No. 3, within the existing development of Filing No. 2 and relatively small portions of Filing No. 1. Descriptions of the major basins and their respective sub-basins are below. A drainage map is in the appendix.

Off-Site Basins (Filing No. 2):

Sub-basins are not warranted at this level. You may choose to leave them in, but they will not be reviewed until next level of drainage report.

OS-1 (11.11 ac, $Q_5 = 14.8$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 32.3$ cfs) is an off-site basin located on the southwestern part of Falcons Highlands Filing No. 2 and consists of mostly Tract A and portions of PUD residential zoned lots rear yard areas. The historic drainage pattern sheet flows south where it is captured by basin A.

OS-2 (65.78 ac, $Q_5 = 89.8$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 177.2$ cfs) is an off-site basin that stretches from the eastern border of basin OS-1 to the eastern edge of Bridal Vail Way within Filing No. 2. The basin is zoned as PUD residential lots of about quarter-acre size. Runoff is carried in the public right-ofways where the flow travels south through a series of public curb and gutters, sump inlets and storm infrastructure connected to Filing No. 2 where the flow outfalls into Pond 1. Basin OS-2 has been broken down into smaller sub-basins and are described below. Areas for OS-2 sub-basins do not m

OS-2.1 (6.38 ac, $Q_5 = 10.7$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 21.7$ cfs) is an off-site within Filir as an off-site basin in the previous MDDP for Filing No. 2. The basin co No. 1 area for quarter-acre lots that drains to the public storm system within Filing No. 2 and ultimately flows to Detention Pond 1.

OS-2.2 (26.52 ac, $Q_5 = 36.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 72.2$ cfs) is the off-site sub-basin that encompasses the western part of basin OS-2, west of Honeycomb Drive. Basin OS-2.1 is zoned as PUD residential lots of quarter-acre size. Runoff is directed by the street sections of Spurlock Place, Cascading Spring and Honeycomb Drive to a public 20' sump inlet. The sump captures a flow and directs it into a public 30'' RCP transports the flow to a junction with a public 60'' RCP where it travels south into Basin B's storm system, and ultimately outfalls into Pond 1.

OS 2.3 (29.84 ac, $Q_5 = 37.5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 74.2$ cfs) is the off-site sub-basin located between basin OS-2.1 and Bridal Vail Way consisting of PUD residentially zoned lots of quarter-acre size. Runoff from the basin is directed by the street sections of Honeycomb Drive and Hidden Haven Way to a low point where a 20' sump inlet captures the and directs it to a public 30'' RCP directs the flow to a junction with a public 60'' RCP that travels south into Basin B's storm system, and ultimately outfalls into Pond 1.

OS-2.4 (6.30 ac, $Q_5 = 8.4$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 17.2$ cfs) is the off-site sub-basin containing the Bridal Vail Way right-of-way with the rear portion of some PUD residentially zoned lots. The runoff is directed by public curb and gutters into a public 14' at grade inlet. The captured runoff is carried

143 Union Boulevard, Snite 700, Lakewood, CO 80228 Tel: 303.462.1100 Fax: 303.825.7110 www.atwell-group.com

Basin does not appear to be near Bridal Veil Way, missing boundary lines on map.

OS-2.2?

4

through a public 18" RCP to a junction with a public 24" and 36" RCP. The flow travels west to a public manhole junction with a public 36" and 60" RCP that is directed south into Basin B's storm system, and ultimately outfalls into Pond 1.

 $OS-2.5 - (3.12 \text{ ac}, Q_5 = 7.8 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 13.6 \text{ cfs})$ is an off-site sub-basin within the developed area of Filing No. 1 for quarter-acre lots and is an off-site basin that was included in the MDDP for Filing No. 2. The basin's runoff sheet flows due south in Filing No. 2 and is captured by the roadways and storm system in Filing No. 2 which is connected to the storm system of Filing No. 3, and ultimately outfalls into Pond 1. Missing basin on drainage

map. Please include

OS-3 (37.32 ac, $Q_5 = 41.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 87.3$ crs) is orreste basin located between Bridal Vail Way and Antelope Meadows Circle within Filing 2. This basin includes PUD residential zoned lots of half-acre size and contains drainage tracts. The basin is captured by a series of public curb and gutter systems in the right-of-ways where inlets and various size RCPs convey storm water to the end of the cul-de-sac of Wagon Track Drive where the public storm system of Filing No. 2 is to connect to Filing No. 3 within Antelope Meadows Circle . The basin was broken into smaller basins and are described below.

OS-3.1 (2.14 ac, $Q_5 = 1.9$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 6.6$ cfs) is the off-site sub-basin within Filing No. 2 located on the southwestern edge of basin OS-3, adjacent to Filing No. 3's larger northern lots and is primarily PUD residentially zoned lots of half-acre size. The sub-basin's area sheet flows from the rear of the PUD lots into Filing No. 3's Basin C where it enters the roadway due south to Antelope Meadows Circle and is captured by Basin C's storm system, and ultimately outfalls into Pond 2.

OS-3.2 (29.88 ac, $Q_5 = 29.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 58.5$ cfs) is the off-site sub-basin within Filing No. 2 located north of OS-3.1 consisting of half-acre PUD residential lots and roadways within Filing No. 2. The runoff from the residential lots enters their respective adjacent roadways and flows to public 36" RCP that conveys storm water south into Basin C's storm system, and ultimately outfalls into Pond 2. An emergency overflow swale is designed for flow due south into Basin C in case of surcharge.

OS-3.3 (4.16 ac, $Q_5 = 6.7$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 16.4$ cfs) is the off-site basin within Filing No. 2 that borders the east side of basin OS-3 that consists mostly of the right of way area of Antelope Meadows Circle within Filing No. 2 and some half-acre PUD zoned residential lots. Sheet flow from the lots enters the public right of way of Antelope Meadows Circle and enters the storm system within Basin C of Filing No. 3, and ultimately outfalls into Pond 2.

OS-3.4 (1.14 ac, $Q_5 = 3.4$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 6.0$ cfs) is an off-site basin within Filing No. 1 that includes the developed right of way of Rolling Thunder Way. This sub-basin was included in the previous MDDP as an off-site basin and represents a portion of the landscaped right of way on the south side of Rolling Thunder Way that sheet flows due south into the developed areas of Filing No. 2 and ultimately into the public storm system shared with Filing No. 3, outfalling to Detention Pond 2.

OS-4 (6.47 ac, $Q_5 = 5.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 17.5$ cfs) is the off-site basin within Filing No. 2 north of Basin D. The site is comprised of three-quarter acre PUD residentially zoned lots and runoff sheet flows

to the street sections of Foxwell Way and then onto Antelope Meadows Circle where curb and gutter directs the flow south to Birch Hollow Way and south into Basin D's roadways where the public storm system within Filing No. 3 conveys storm water due south and east where it ultimately outfalls into the existing Regional Detention Pond WU. This basin is consistent with the previous FDR for Filing No. 2.

 $OS-5 - (13.44 \text{ ac}, Q_5 = 4.73 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 31.73 \text{ cfs})$ is not included within the limits of Filing No. 3 but was included as a part of the basin delineations of the previous MDDP within Basin D, specifically sub-basin D3 with an acreage of 14.62 acres. This area is the open space in which existing Pond WU is located. This basin is recalculated within this report because the previous report calculated this basin with a 5-year coefficient of 0.90 and a 100-year coefficient of 0.95. This area is not to be developed as a part of Filing No. 3 and therefore should have much lower runoff coefficients for the tributary area. Revised drainage data is presented in the appendix for this basin that sheet flows to Pond WU.

On-site Basins (Filing No. 3, Undeveloped):

The site has been broken down into seven major on-site basins upstream within the limits of Filing No. 3. A drainage map is in the appendix.

Basin A (3.7 ac, $Q_5 = 5.7$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 8.1$ **cfs)** is the basin located southwest of Antelope Meadow Circle, just below basin OS-1, west of Basin B. The majority of the site is comprised of Tract A and consists of some rear yard runoff from the PUD lots at the western edge of Basin B. The storm water runoff sheet flows south and off-site and per historical drainage patterns is not tributary to on-site detention ponds.

Basin B (39.23 ac, $Q_5 = 36.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 113.3$ cfs) is located south of Antelope Meadow Circle, adjacent to basin A. The site is covered in native grasses with limited grading work from a previous development. Runoff from the site sheet flows southwesterly overland to a dedicated pond, Pond 1.

Basin C (55.8 ac, $Q_5 = 15.8$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 106.0$ cfs) is located adjacent to basin B and covered in native grasses. The site has limited grading due to work from a previous development that did not finish. Runoff from the site sheet flows southwesterly overland to a dedicated pond, Pond 2.

Basin D (7.87 ac, $Q_5 = 2.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 17.2$ cfs) is located adjacent to basin C and east of Antele discussion. Meadows Circle. The site is covered in native grasses with limited grading due to work from a previous development that did not finish. Runoff from the site sheet flows southwesterly overland to a dedicated pond.

Basin E (2.20 ac, $Q_5 = 1.8 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 4.2 \text{ cfs}$ **)** is the undeveloped, natural landscaped area between Tamlin Road and Detention Pond 1. Runoff from Basin E is directed by a ditch section to a low point where an inline inlet will capture flow and direct it south offsite along with the allowable

release rate of the pond. This drainage concept and its associated storm infrastructure is presented in **Existing pond?** er plan and is to remain as the intended plan.

Basin F (6.34 ac, $Q_5 = 5.3$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 12.5$ cfs) is the undeveloped area between Tamlin Road and Detention Pond 2. The runoff from Basin F is directed by a ditch section to a low point where an inline inlet will capture the flow and direct it south offsite along with the allowable release rate of Pond 2. This drainage concept and its associated storm infrastructure is presented in the previous master plan and is to remain as the intended plan.

Basin G (12.61 ac, $Q_5 = 6.8$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 16.0$ cfs) is the area south of Basin D that is not to be disturbed and remain as open, natural landscape. The runoff from Basin G sheet flows downstream and is undetained. There is no increase runoff and the drainage pattern remains that of its historical flow path in the channel south to the box culverts at Highway 24.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASINS

This report has been prepared in accordance with the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual and the Mile High Flood District Criteria Manual. The 5-year storm was used as the minor storm event, while the 100-year storm was used as the major event. The one-hour point rainfall depth used for the 5-year storm was 1.50 inches and 2.52 inches for the 100-year event.

Grading design is preliminary or has not begun for much of the site. Due to this, the assumption has been made that the developed conditions drainage patterns presented in the previous MDDP (Terra Nova Engineering, Inc., September 2005) and FDR (Terra Nova Engineering, Inc., August 2010) will remain for all relevant developed areas consistent with the updated design plan and assumed drainage patterns within altered design areas will conform with the design intent. As design and development progress, this should be revisited to confirm the proposed drainage patterns used in this analysis are still applicable. Since the development of Filing No. 2, sketch plans for Filing No. 3 have been altered from the previous MDDP and FDR. Due to the change in the layout of Filing No. 3 from previous design plans and reports, this report serves to provide updated drainage information for the planned development based on new concept grading and drainage patterns. However, as mentioned previously, the drainage concept for the new layout aims to follow previous master plans as closely as possible including basin delineation areas and pond routing in order to keep with previous detention and water quality pond designs.

The overarching premise of the drainage design is to route overland flow from residential lots and units to adjacent rights-of-way where public storm infrastructure will be installed and ultimately convey the storm water to respective ponds to provide water quality treatment as well as flow attenuation and detention. Previous studies designed Ponds 1 and 2 in order to provide full spectrum detention and water quality for Filing Nos. 2 and 3. The analysis within this report provides more defined pond sizing requirements due to the change in layout for Filing No. 3 as well as preliminary locations and sizes for culverts and/or open channels and the public storm system. This idea is intended to be followed for the entirety of the developed site. Basins which are not along the main drainageways within the proposed developed areas or which are expected to flow offsite have been analyzed.

Preliminary pond sizing and conveyance structures will be analyzed as development progresses to ensure that the final design meets the standards set forward in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual as well as the Mile-High Flood Control Criteria Manual.

As with the historic conditions. the twelve historic major drainage basins have been delineated into seven major basins based on preliminary grading of the Site – basins A through G within the limits of Filing No. 3 and basins OS-1 through OS-5 for off-site basins consistent with the historic conditions for the developed areas of Filing No. 2 and relatively small developed area of Filing No. 1. Of the major basins within the Site, basins A, E, F, and G are consistent with previous reports for Filing Nos. 2 and 3 as those basins are not to be altered during the development of Filing No. 3. Basins B, C, and D are the basins in which development of Sub-basin analysis within these major basins is provided as a part of the hasins in proposed conditions. Please remove reference.

Pervious factors for historic flow analysis have been determined to be 2% by the MHFD. The rational method was used to estimate runoff rates for the proposed development and are in accordance to El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual and any references within the County criteria to the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manuals, volumes 1, 2, and 3. These calculations can be found in Appendix D.

Basin A (3.7 ac, $Q_5 = 5.7$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 8.1$ **cfs)** is the western most basin of the site and consists of the open space Tract A and some small portions of the rear lots of the one-eighth acre single family lots. The runoff from Basin A sheet flows west off site and onto the adjacent open space. Runoff reductions via grass buffers and natural landscape to Design Point 1 allow for no detention of this basin as no down stream conditions will be affected. An area of discharge from the Site to the west property is the west end of Antelope Meadows Circle where it will dead end. It is recommended that temporary control measures such as straw bales or sediment control logs be installed at this dead end for energy dissipation and to disperse any channelized flow from the curb and gutter.

Offsite Basin OS-1 (17.49 ac, $Q_5 = 25.5 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 54.0 \text{ cfs}$ **)** remains as presented in the Historical Drainage Conditions section due to the full development of Filing No. 2. Basin A has been delineated between Filing Nos. 2 and 3 for this report and any basin area tributary to off-site drainage within Filing No. 2 is now considered off-site basin area.

Basin B (39.2 ac, $Q_5 = 67.0$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 157.1$ **cfs)** is the southwestern portion of Filing No. 3 consisting of the area south of Antelope Meadows Circle and west of Basin C. Basin B is laid out with several 50' public right of way roadways with curb and gutter, detached pedestrian sidewalk, and landscape areas. The PUD residential developments within Basin B are shown as 123 lots, varying from 50'x110' to 60'x110'. The roadways consist of high points at the eastern and western edges and low points central to the basin with a drainage Tract that flows north to south. The general drainage pattern is due south to Pond 1. Within the roadways is a public storm system and a series of sump inlets at the low points to capture surface runoff and convey storm water to forebays within Pond 1. A relatively small portion of the northern half-acre lots east of Bridal Vail

Way are included in Basin B where a low point in the western cul-de-sac is to have a sump inlet for surface runoff collection that connects to the Pond 1 storm system.

Basin B1 (2.41 ac, $Q_5 = 6.39$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 13.04$ cfs Sub-basins are not warranted at this level. You frontage of the PUD residential development facin may choose to leave them in, but they will not be right of way of STREET B-D where runoff flows reviewed until next level of drainage report. inlets will capture the runoff at the low point and direct flow south into Pond 1. An emergency overflow swale will be developed to route excess runoff south to Pond 1 in case of failure at inlet.

Basin B2 (4.96 ac, $Q_5 = 13.35$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 27.91$ cfs) is the entrance to Filing 3 from Honeycomb Drive heading south and includes PUD residential lots and the northern portion of STREET B-A. Runoff is captured in the public 50' right of way within Honeycomb Drive where public storm sump inlets are located across from each other at a low point. Public storm infrastructure will direct flow to outfall in Pond 1. An emergency flow path carries excess runoff south via swale through landscaped areas.

Basin B3 (5.46 ac, $Q_5 = 11.81$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 24.76$ cfs) is located between STREET B-A and STREET B-C and collects runoff from STREET B-B into public storm inlets that direct flow through public storm infrastructure that eventually outfalls into Pond 1. An emergency flow path carries excess runoff south via swale through landscaped areas.

Basin B4 (3.84 ac, $Q_5 = 8.25$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 17.02$ cfs) is the area located directly south of basin B3 where runoff is collected within the right of way of **STREET B-C** into storm inlets that captures runoff and directs the flow south into Pond 1. An emergency flow path carries excess runoff south via swale through landscaped areas.

Basin B5 (3.24 ac, Q_5 = 5.89 cfs, Q_{100} = 12.25 cfs) carries through PUD residential lots near Antelope Meadows Circle onto **STREET B-E** where runoff travels south within the right of way via public curb and gutters. Runoff travels south to a low point where it is captured in a public sump inlet and directed to Pond 1.

Basin B6 (2.00 ac, $Q_5 = 4.29$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 8.94$) is the tract and STREET B-C between STREET B-E and STREET C-G. Runoff travels overland south where it eventually reaches two sump inlets in the right of way of STREET B-C where the flow is directed west where it eventually outfalls into Pond 1. An emergency flow path carries excess runoff south via swale through landscaped areas on the south side of STREET B-C.

Basin B7 (3.22 ac, $Q_5 = 8.5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 17.78$ cfs) is the eastern portion of basin B with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way STREET C-G. Runoff is captured within STREET C-G curb and gutter. The flow travels south to a low point and is captured within public sump inlets and directed west where it eventually outfalls into Pond 1. A concrete pan is located at the north end of STREET B-C where it intersects STREET C-G to capture excess runoff and direct it west to another sump inlet.

Offsite Basin OS-2 (65.78 ac, $Q_5 = 89.8 cfs$, $Q_{100} = 177.2 cfs$) remains as presented in the Historical Drainage Conditions section due to the full development of Filing No. 2. Basin B has been delineated between Filing Nos. 2 and 3 for this report and any basin area tributary to Pond 1 within Filing No. 2 is now considered off-site basin area.

Detention Pond 1 is a 17-acre-foot pond that will also act as a water quality basin. Pond 1 was preliminary sized using Haestad's Pondpack program in a previous study. This pond is to be temporary until downstream channel improvements and ponds are installed per the Sand Creek DBPS. At the time all released flows shall conform to the allowable release rates. The allowable release rate of Pond 1 ($Q_5 = 32.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 73.2$ cfs) was calculated by detaining in the pond for Basin E's un-detained runoff. The pond will need to have more detail taken into account at the time of the Final Drainage Report. For now, it is determined that the pond will have an Extended Basin as the water quality feature. A 15' access road will be placed around the top, with the pond having slopes of 4:1. The preliminary outlet structure consisting of an 18" culvert and a 3' x 4 grated inlet box will restrict flows to the above mentioned allowable release rates. The outlet structure will need to have a more detailed analysis at the time of the Final Drainage Report. A 42" RCP outlet will pass the discharge south where it will combine with the runoff from Basin E. From here the outlet pipe will transport the flow south under Tamlin Road onto the adjacent undeveloped Banning Lewis Ranch property. Rip rap protection will need to be provided at the end of the outlet pipe at the time of final construction. From here the runoff drains south across a defined broad open grassland swale to Highway 24. A 72' wide emergency spillway set at 6817.00 will pass the complete 100-year developed flow safely over the proposed riprap lined weir. Where it can be collected with the inline grate inlet mentioned for Basin E. Some of the flow will overtop a high point in the north ditch section of Tamlin Road about 150' west and then be directed west to the 3-36" RCP culverts. A more detailed analysis of the pond will need to be done at the time of the Final Drainage Report.

Basin C (55.82 ac, $Q_5 = 91.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 203.9$ cfs) is the more central to east basin within Filing No. 3 that is tributary to Pond 2. The basin includes the majority of the half-acre PUD residential lots in the northern area south of Filing No. 2 and east of Bridal Vail Way, and stretches south to the very south and east edges of the Filing with the exception of Basin D and Pond WU areas. Basin C areas south of Antelope Meadows Circle consists of approximately 248 lots with some lots of 35'x110' and others of 50'x110' and 60'x110' in size. A public storm system is to be designed within the roadways to convey storm water from the off-site Basin OS-3 within Filing No. 2 and the runoff from the entire Basin C areas. The storm system is to outfall into Pond 2.

Offsite Basin OS-3 (37.32 ac, $Q_5 = 41.2$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 87.3$ **cfs)** remains as presented in the Historical Drainage Conditions section due to the full development of Filing No. 2. Basin C has been delineated between Filing Nos. 2 and 3 for this report and any basin area tributary to Pond 2 within Filing No. 2 is now considered off-site basin area.

Basin C1 (2.89 ac, $Q_5 = 5.6$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 12.4$ **cfs)** is the northern most western portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way **STREET H.** Runoff is captured within **STREET H** curb and gutter. The flow travels south to a public on-grade inlet. Any carry overflow

continues to flow south into a low point and is captured within a public sump inlet and directed west where it eventually outfalls into Pond 2. An engineered swale or concrete pan is to be later engineered to carry any excess flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C2 (3.02 ac, $Q_5 = 6.7$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 14.3$ cfs) is the north western portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way STREET H and STREET I. Runoff is captured within STREET H curb and gutter and STREET I curb and gutter. Runoff from STREET I flows westerly into STREET H and continues to flow due south to a public on-grade inlet. Any carry overflow continues to flow south into a low point and is captured within a public sump inlet and directed west where it eventually outfalls into Pond 2. An engineered swale or concrete pan is to be later engineered to carry any excess flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C3 (5.62 ac, $Q_5 = 8.7 cfs$, $Q_{100} = 20.5 cfs$ **)** is the southern most western portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way **STREET H** and **STREET J**. Runoff is captured within **STREET J** curb and gutter and flows east into the curb and gutter of **STREET H** to a public on-grade inlet. Any carry overflow continues to flow south into a low point and is captured within a public sump inlet and directed west where it eventually outfalls into Pond 2. An engineered swale or concrete pan is to be later engineered to carry any excess flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C4 (1.63 ac, $Q_5 = 4.7$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 9.5$ **cfs)** is the south western portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way **STREET H**. The runoff is captured within **STREET H** curb and gutter and flows south western to a public on-grade inlet. Runoff is also captured in **STREET H** curb and gutter and flows south eastern to a public on-grade inlet. Any carry overflow continues to flow south into a low point and is captured within a public sump inlet and directed west where it eventually outfalls into Pond 2. An engineered swale or concrete pan is to be later engineered to carry any excess flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C5 (1.42 ac, Q_5 = 4.2 cfs, Q_{100} = 8.5 cfs) is the southern portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way **STREET H.** The runoff is captured within the **STREET H** curb and gutter and flows south westerly to a public sump inlet that also captures excess runoff from Basins C1, C2, C3, C4, and C6. The sump inlet outlets into Pond 2. An engineered swale or concrete pan is to be later engineered to carry any excess flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C6 (2.74 ac, $Q_5 = 5.8$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 12.1$ cfs) is a centerally located portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way **Sahalee Trail, STREET C-F**, and **STREET C-E**. The runoff is captured within the **STREET C-F** and **STREET C-E** curb and gutter and flows south easterly and flows into **Sahalee Trail** curb and gutter. The runoff then flows into a public on-grade inlet. Any carry-over flow continues to flow south into a low point and is captured within a public sump inlet and directed west where it eventually outfalls into Pond 2. An engineered swale or concrete pan is to be later engineered to carry any excess flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C7 (3.24 ac, Q_5 = 8.5 cfs, Q_{100} = 17.6 cfs) is a centerally located portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50'public right of way of **STREET C-H**, **STREET C-E**, **Sahalee Trail** and **STREET C-D**. The runoff is captured in **STREET C-H** curb and gutter and flows into the curb and gutter of **STREET C-D** and **STREET C-E** and flows south easterly. The flow from **STREET C-E** flows north easterly into a public on-grade inlet. The flow from **STREET C-D** flows south westerly, meeting the flow from **STREET C-E** at a public on-grade inlet. Any carry-over flow flows across the right of way of **STREET C-D** into a public sump inlet. An engineered swale or concrete pan is to be later engineered to carry any excess flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C8 (2.09 ac, $Q_5 = 3.5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 7.7$ cfs) is the most northern portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way of STREET C-H. The runoff is captured in STREET C-H curb and gutter and flows south easterly to a public on-grade inlet. Any carry-over flow flows southerly to a low point and is captures within a public sump inlet and outlets to an engineered swale or concrete pan that is to later be engineered to carry flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C9 (3.30 ac, $Q_5 = 7.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 14.9$ cfs) is the north eastern portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way of STREET C-H, STREET C-C, and STREET C-B. The runoff is captured in STREET C-C and STREET C-B curb and gutter and flows northerly into the STREET C-H curb and gutter. The runoff then flows into a public on-grade inlet. Any carry-over flow flows southerly to a low point and is captures within a public sump inlet and outlets to an engineered swale or concrete pan that is to later be engineered to carry flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C10 (1.85 ac, $Q_5 = 5.6 cfs$, $Q_{100} = 11.6 cfs$ **)** is the eastern most portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the public right of way of **STREET C-D** and Sahalee Trail. The runoff is captured in **STREET C-D** curb and gutter and flows southerly where it meets the runoff captured in Sahalee Trail curb and gutter that flows north easterly in a public sump inlet. An engineered swale or concrete pan is to be later engineered to carry any excess flow from the sump inlet directly into Pond 2.

Basin C11 (11.8 ac, $Q_5 = 9.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 64.9$ cfs) is the north western portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way of Sahalee Trail and STREET C-A. The runoff is captured in STREET C-A curb and gutter and flows south westerly where it meets the runoff contained in Sahalee Trail curb and gutter and continues to flow southerly into public sump inlets within the right of way of Antelope Meadow Circle.

Basin C12 (1.7 ac, $Q_5 = 1.7$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 5.4$ **cfs)** is the north western portion of basin C with PUD residential lots and the 50' public right of way of Sahalee Trail. The runoff is captured in Sahalee Trail curb and gutter and flows southerly into public sump inlets within the right of way of Antelope Meadow Circle.

Basin C13 (1.7 ac, $Q_5 = 1.7$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 5.4$ **cfs)** is the north western portion of basin C with the right of way of Antelope Meadow Circle. The runoff flows south westerly into public sump inlets within the right of way of Antelope Meadow Circle.

Basin C14 (2.82 ac, $Q_5 = 4.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 10.7$ cfs) is the north eastern portion of basin C with the right of way of Antelope Meadow Circle. The runoff flows to a low point towards the center of the right of way of Antelope Meadow Circle. The runoff then flows into sump inlets.

Basin C15 (11.15 ac, $Q_5 = 15.1 cfs$, $Q_{100} = 22.4 cfs$ **)** is the southern most portion of basin C with PUD residential lots, a full spectrum detention basin and engineered swales or concrete pans to be designed in the future. Flow comes from the sump inlets located in basins C5 and C10 and the subsequent carry-over flows that were not captured by the sump inlets but were captured by the swales/concrete pans to carry the flows in the full spectrum detention basin.

Basin D (7.87 ac, $Q_5 = 10.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 27.2$ cfs) lies within the Falcon Basin and will be tributary to the existing Regional Detention Pond WU that is located in the southeast corner of the site. This pond was proposed in the *Falcon Drainage Basin Planning Study* and was also recreated in the *Falcon Highlands Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report and Final Drainage Report for Filing No. 1* by URS. Since those reports, construction drawings for Pond WU and its upstream channel have been completed. The runoff from Basin D is directed to Pond WU by the storm drain system and overland channel. Basin D was taken into account in previous studies and designs. Due to grading and layout changes within Filing No. 2 and now in Filing No. 3, the area that is tributary to Falcon Basin was reduced by approximately 31 acres and therefore developed flows for Basin D are less than what was originally planned for in Pond WU. As stated in previous studies for Filing Nos. 2 and 3, the reduction in size of the acreage is in conformance with the Previous MDDP's assumptions.

Basin D1 (5.47 ac, $Q_5 = 9.2$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 21.2$ **cfs)** is the majority of basin D with PUD residential lots and the public right of way of **STREET C-H** and **STREET D-A**. The runoff flows to a low point within **STREET C-H** and then continues to a low point within **STREET D-A** where it flows into a public sump inlet. The inlet then outflows into Pond WU.

Basin D2 (2.4 ac, $Q_5 = 1.45$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 5.97$ cfs) is the remaining amount of basin D with PUD residential lots. The runoff sheet flows across and ultimately into Pond WU.

Offsite Basin OS-4 (6.47 ac, $Q_5 = 5.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 17.5$ cfs) remains as presented in the Historical Drainage Conditions section due to the full development of Filing No. 2. Basin D has been delineated between Filing Nos. 2 and 3 for this report and any basin area tributary to Pond WU within Filing No. 2 is now considered off-site basin area.

Offsite Basin OS-5 (13.44 ac, Q_5 = 4.7 cfs, Q_{100} = 31.7 cfs) remains as presented in the Historical Drainage Conditions section due to the full development of Filing No. 2. Basin D has been delineated between Filing Nos. 2 and 3 for this report and any basin area tributary to Pond WU

within Filing No. 2 is now considered off-site basin area. This off-site basin has been delineated separate from OS-4 as Filing No. 3 does not include the area within OS-5.

Basin E (2.20 ac, $Q_5 = 1.8 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 4.2 \text{ cfs}$ **)** is the undeveloped, natural landscaped area between Tamlin Road and Detention Pond 1. Runoff from Basin E is directed by a ditch section to a low point where an inline inlet will capture flow and direct it south offsite along with the allowable release rate of the pond. This drainage concept and its associated storm infrastructure is presented in the previous master plan and is to remain as the intended plan.

Basin F (6.34 ac, Q_5 = 5.3 cfs, Q_{100} = 12.5 cfs) is the undeveloped area between Tamlin Road and Detention Pond 2. The runoff from Basin F is directed by a ditch section to a low point where a Not able to verify inline inlet will capture the flow and direct it south offsite along with the allowable release rate of the structures Pond 2. This drainage concept and its associated storm infrastructure is presented in the previou as was not introduced master plan and is to remain as the intended plan.

Detention Pond 2 is a temporary 7 acre-ft pond to be removed once the future Dublin Road is constructed by other and down stream improvements are completed as directed by the Sand Creek DBPS from stream segments 150 and 152. The allowable release rate of Pond 2 ($Q_5 = 52.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 117.6$ cfs) was calculated by over detaining in the pond for Basin F's un-detained runoff. The pond will need to have more detail taken into account at the time of the Final Drainage Report. For now it is determined that the pond will have an Extended Basin as the water quality feature. A 15' access road will be placed around the top, with the pond having sloped of 4:1. The preliminary outlet structure consisting of an 18" culvert and a 4' x 4' grated inlet box will restrict flows to the above mentioned allowable release rates. A 48" RCP outlet will pass the discharge south where it will combine with the runoff from Basin F. From here the outlet pipe will transport the flow south under Tamlin Road onto the adjacent undeveloped Banning Lewis Ranch property. Rip rap protection will need to be provided at the end of the outlet pipe at the time of final construction. From here the runoff drains south to an existing channel and then is directed to a Highway 24 culvert. A 50' wide emergency spillway set at 6817.50 will pass the complete 100-year developed flow safely over the proposed riprap lined weird. Where it can be collected with the inline grate inlet mentioned for Basin F. Some of the flow will overtop a low point in Tamlin Road and then be directed south the existing channel. A more detailed analysis of the pond will need to be done at the time of the Final Drainage Report.

Basin G (12.61 ac, $Q_5 = 6.8$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 16.0$ cfs) is the area south of Basin D that is not to be disturbed and remain as open, natural landscape. The runoff from Basin G sheet flows downstream and is undetained. There is no increase runoff and the drainage pattern remains that of its historical flow path in the channel south to the box culverts at Highway 24.

Due to the revised layout and grading of the site, approximately 31 acres of area that was tributary to the Falcon Basin will now be tributary to the Sand Creek Basin. This cross basin transfer should not cause any downstream problems as detention of the additional runoff and release rates conforming to drainage standards will be implemented.

The Developed Condition's runoff flows are kept at or below historic flows by way of detention within existing Pond WU, proposed Detention Pond 1, and proposed Detention Pond 2; all of which are designed for water quality capture and to release storm water at rates conforming to the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual. It is anticipated that there will be no negative affects to downstream areas due to developed drainage conditions.

STORM WATER CONVEYANCE AND STORAGE FACILITIES

The proposed on-site conveyance facilities will consist of a combination of storm pipe, swales/channels, curb/gutter, and inlets. Proposed drainage patterns will generally follow the historic drainage patterns outlined in the previous sections of this report, including previous master plans and reports for upstream filings. Within the proposed roadway network, stormwater runoff will be conveyed overland via surface flow of streets in the curb and gutter until street capacities have been exceeded or where storm sewer inlets have been designed. At sump locations, inlets will be sized to collect 100-year flows. Runoff entering the inlets will be conveyed within the storm sewer system to detention and water quality ponds. The general onsite drainage paths and patterns were previously discussed in the Proposed Drainage Basins section of this report.

The proposed pond outfalls will be routed to the Sand Creek Basin. These outfalls have been preliminarily sized based on standard pond release rates required by the MHFD criteria. Release rates will be further evaluated and the design stage for each phase of the development.

Detention and Water Quality Ponds for the Site have been preliminarily designed based on previous MDDP and FDR studies for off-site basins and for Filing No. 3 with the methods outlined in the MHFD Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1, 2 and 3 along with the MHFD MHFD-Detention_v4.00. The ponds are designed to detain the Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) and the 100-year Detention Volume. Excess Runoff from the upstream tributary areas will be conveyed to the pond via storm sewers. The storm sewers will then outlet into the pond in concrete forebays.

The proposed ponds have also included preliminary outlet structures that contain 2.5-ft deep micro-pools. EURV release rates will be controlled by an orifice plate designed to meet the MHFD release rate criteria. The 100-year storage volume will be routed through a grate and restricted by a plate that was sized to limit the release rate to the allowable release rate.

The ponds have been previously designed using the runoff data from the Final Drainage Reports from Filing No. 1 and Filing No. 2 as well as assumed runoff data for Filing No. 3 via the most recent FDR in August of 2010 for the development of Filing No. 2.

This report provides more concise drainage calculations for Filing No. 3, consistent with the new layout and grading concept and thus for the tributary areas to Ponds 1 and 2. The MHFD UD-Detention calculator was used to determine Pond 1 and Pond 2's required water quality capture volume, excess urban runoff volume, the 100-year detention volume, and the total volume required as a total of each zone.

A summary of the required pond volumes is presented in the table below.

Extended Detention Pond Volumes												
	Zone 1 (WQCV)	Zone 2 (EURV - Zone 1)	Zone 3 (100- Year - Zones	Total Volume								
			1 & 2)	Required								
Pond 1	1.999 ac-ft	6.852 ac-ft	3.938 ac-ft	10.790 ac-ft								
Pond 2	1.591 ac-ft	4.955 ac-ft	3.173 ac-ft	8.128 ac-ft								

This MDDP consists of the most up to date calculations for percent imperviousness for the tributary areas to Ponds 1 and 2 and therefore has new, adjusted volume requirements compared to that of previous reports.

Pond 1 was calculated to require 10.79 ac-ft of detention volume and with 1-ft of freeboard within the pond, would yield a volume of 14.94 ac-ft. As described in previous sections, Pond 1 was preliminarily sized as a 17 ac-ft pond using Haestad's Pondpack Program and HEC modeling. A Final Drainage Report for Filing No. 3 may require analysis of Pond 1's size and infrastructure to adjust to final hydrology and hydraulic conditions tributary to the pond.

Pond 2 was calculated to require 8.13 ac-ft of detention volume and with 1-ft of freeboard within the pond, would yield a volume of 10.48 ac-ft. As described in previous sections, Pond 1 was preliminarily sized as a 9.43 ac-ft pond using Haestad's Pondpack Program and HEC modeling.

A Final Drainage Report for Filing No. 3 will require analysis of Pond 2's size and infrastructure to adjust to final hydrology and hydraulic conditions tributary to the pond. Adjustments to the delineation between major basins B and C may be considered in order to add more tributary area and runoff to Pond 1 and reduce tributary area and runoff to Pond 2 as there is currently an excess of 2.06 ac-ft of volume in Pond 1 and a need to reduce approximately 1.3 ac-ft of volume to Pond 2.

Existing Regional Detention Pond WU was designed for Filing No. 2 and parts of Filing No. 2 and 3 is was subject to a decrease in storm water runoff as a result of this report's analysis. While the new layout for Filing No. 3 has more density in Basin D resulting in approximately 41 cfs more than previously calculated for a major storm event, the revised runoff for Basin OS-5 (D3 in previous FDR) was reduced by approximately 56.8 cfs for a major storm event yielding a net reduction of 15.8 cfs for a major storm event and therefore a reduction in the required detention volume. No adjustments to Pond WU are required.

WATER QUALITY ENHANCEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

The detention ponds discussed in the previous section have been designed in accordance with the MHFD Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1, 2 and 3 as well as the El Paso County

16

2?

and City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manuals. The ponds are designed to provide Water Quality Capture Volume and detain the Excess Urban Runoff Volume and the 100-year Detention Volume. Excess Runoff from the upstream tributary areas will be conveyed to the ponds via storm sewer and designed channels as emergency overflow routes directed to the ponds.

Non-structural Best Management Practices that will be incorporated into the project are anticipated to include grass swales.

Structural Best Management Practices that are incorporated in the Site design include grass swales and extended detention ponds.

MAINTENANCE

Maintenance of Detention Ponds 1 and 2 shall be by the Falcon Highlands Metro District along with the outlet works for the pond. Public Pond WU will be maintained by El Paso County along with the channel on the east side of the property. The proposed storm sewer system in the internal streets will be owned and maintained by El Paso County once approved.

FLOODPLAIN MODIFICATIONS

A portion of the Site within Flood Zone AE is delineated as Basin G and previously discussed in this report. Basin G is an open natural landscaped area not to be disturbed therefore there will be no modifications to the 100-year floodplain, nor will the development be impacted by said floodplain.

CONCLUSION

This Master Development Drainage Plan report covers the conceptual storm water management plan for the Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 development. Detailed design will be required to develop individual portions of the site, but this document will provide guidance so that the drainage infrastructure constructed throughout the Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 development will function efficiently and effectively. This report follows all standard criteria set forth by the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manuals Volumes 1, 2, and 3, and the Mile High Flood District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, with no requested variances. Downstream drainage facilities will not be negatively affected, as historic drainage patterns and allowable release rates are planned to be maintained.

Need to include discussion on Sand Creek and Falcon Basin DBPS recommendations for the area and how they are being addressed. Include analysis of Pond WU, showing pond still functions adequately based on changes discussed in report.

Include discussion section & analysis of channels exiting site, to show they are adequate to handle flows.

REFERENCES

- 1) Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manuals; Mile High Flood District; latest edition
- El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), latest revision 6 dated December 13, 2016
- 3) El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM), latest revision October 31, 2018
- City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manuals, Volumes 1, 2, and 3, latest revision May 2014
- 5) Flood Insurance Rate Map of El Paso County Colorado, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 08041C0561G and 08041C0545G dated December 7, 2018.
- 6) Hydrologic Soil Group El Paso County, Colorado, Web Soil Survey, National Cooperative Soils Survey, May 21, 2021
- 7) *Falcon Highlands Filing No. 2 & 3 Final Drainage Report* by Terra Nova Engineering, Inc., latest revision August 2010.
- 8) Falcon Highlands Phase 2, Filing No. 2 & 3 Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report by Terra Nova Engineering, Inc. latest revision September 2005
- 9) URS Section for Regional Detention Pond WU

10) Sand Creek DBPS

11) Falcon DBPS

VICINITY MAP

APPENDIX A

Falcon Highlands - Filing No. 3

A PART OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST OF THE SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO





APPENDIX B

SOILS SURVEY



USDA Natural Resources

Conservation Service



Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	A	31.0	14.2%
9	Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls	A	184.2	84.5%
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	2.8	1.3%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		218.0	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher



APPENDIX C

FEMA FIRMETTE

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website a http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12

National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway

Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channe distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

> El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum

Flooding Source Offset (ft) REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



		LEGEND							
	SPECIAL FLOOD) Hazard Areas (SFHAS) Subject to (The 1% Annual Chance Flood							
The 1% annua that bas a 1%	I chance flood (100-	year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood							
Hazard Area is Special Flood H Elevation is the	the area subject the area subject the area subject the area subject the lazard include Zones water-surface eleva	tions determined							
ZONE A ZONE AE	No Base Flood Eleva Base Flood Elevation	tions determined, is determined.							
ZONE AH	Flood depths of 1 Elevations determine Flood depths of 1 to depths determined.	ed. 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also							
ZONE AR	determined. Special Flood Hazard flood by a flood cor AR indicates that t	d Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance ntrol system that was subsequently decertified. Zone he former flood control system is being restored to							
ZONE A99	provide protection fr Area to be protecte protection system	om the 1% annual chance or greater flood. ed from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood under construction; no Base Flood Elevations							
ZONE V	determined. Coastal flood zone	with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood							
Zone ve	Coastal flood zone Elevations determine	e with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood ed.							
	FLOODWAY ARE	AS IN ZONE AE							
The floodway i kept free of er substantial incr	s the channel of a s acroachment so that eases in flood height	tream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be t the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without ts.							
	other flood /	AREAS							
ZONE X	Areas of 0.2% annu average depths of square mile; and are	al chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 as protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.							
	OTHER AREAS								
ZONE X ZONE D	Areas determined to Areas in which flood	be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain. hazards are undetermined, but possible.							
	COASTAL BARRI	ER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS							
	OTHERWISE PR	OTECTED AREAS (OPAs)							
CBRS areas and	d OPAs are normally Floodpl	located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas. ain boundary							
	– — Floodw —— Zone D	ay boundary Boundary							
	••• CBRS a	nd OPA boundary							
~~ 513 -	Flood E	ary arriang special riood hazard Areas or different Base devations, flood depths or flood velocities. ood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet*							
(EL 987)	Base Fi elevatio	ood Elevation value where uniform within zone; on in feet*							
* Referenced to	o the North American $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ Cross s	n Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88) ection line							
 23	-23 Transed	ct line							
97° 07' 30.0 32° 22' 30.0	0" Geogra 0" Datum	phic coordinates referenced to the North American of 1983 (NAD 83)							
*275 ^{000m} N	1000-m zone 13	1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 13							
6000000 F	T 5000-fc system	bot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate , central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), t Conformal Conic Projection							
DX5510	Bench i	mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of RM panel)							
• M1.5	River M	lile							
	Optorio	MAP REPOSITORIES Map Repositories list on Map Index							
	EFFEC								
	FEEOTUS	MARCH 17, 1997							
DECEMBE Special Flo	EFFECTIVE DA ER 7, 2018 - to update od Hazard Areas, to incorporate pro-	te corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and update map format, to add roads and road names, and to evicusly issued Letters of Map Revision.							
For community Map History Ta	map revision history ble located in the Flo	y prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community and Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.							
To determine i agent or call th	f flood insurance is e National Flood Ins	available in this community, contact your insurance urance Program at 1-800-638-6620.							
		AP SCALE 1" = 500'							
	n 250 0 日日日	500 1000							
E 150		METERS 150 300							
	NEID	PANEL 0561G							
	NA.	FIRM							
	B	FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP							
		EL PASO COUNTY,							
		AND INCORPORATED AREAS							
		PANEL 561 OF 1300							
		(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)							
		-							
		<u>CONTAINS:</u> <u>COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX</u>							
	MAANDE	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 080060 0561 G EL PASO COUNTY 080059 0561 G							
	IVANIPSIN	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 080060 0561 G EL PASO COUNTY 060059 0561 G							
	NAMERICA	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 080060 0561 G EL PASO COUNTY 060059 0561 G							
	AVANUSAN FORO	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 080060 0561 G EL PASO COUNTY 060059 0561 G							
	A COLORANSA AND A COLORAN	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 080060 0561 G EL PASO COUNTY 080059 0561 G Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders: the Community Number							
	NZAJONSNEGIOJEJIN	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 080090 0591 G EL PASO COUNTY 080059 0561 G Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders: the Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community. MAD NITIMBED							
	NAME (COO) FINENCIAL	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 080050 0561 G EL PASO COUNTY 080059 0561 G Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders: the Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community. MAP NUMBER 08041C05661G							
	IVAYINSNI GIOLOTI TVANOL	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 060060 0561 G EL PASO COUNTY 060059 0561 G Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders: the Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community. MAP NUMBER 08041C0561G MAP RFVISED MAP RFVISED							
	WANDSNE GOODELANDIEW	CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX COLORADO SPRINGS. CITY OF 080060 0561 G EL PASO COUNTY 080059 0561 G Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used on insurance applications for the subject community. MAP NUMBER 08041C0561G MAP REVISED DECEMBER 7, 2018 MAP REVISED DECEMBER 7, 2018							

DWLRODO ØRRGEDUGDHU)51WWH



hhog



%DAHES 865 DWL RODO DS 21WKRL EN UND UNUHUHAHG 25WREHU

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGICAL CALCULATIONS

Should be using Historical flow coefficients (0.09 & 0.36). Basin uses are all known.

RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS AND IMPERVIOUSNESS Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 - EXISTING CONDITIONS El Paso County, Colorado 6/1/2021

															``	<u> </u>	<u> </u>									
Basin No	Hydrologic Grouping	Total Area	1/8	Acre or L	re or Less Paved			Paved Drive and Walks Lawns			1/2 Acre 1/4 Acre			<u>ک</u>	Offsite Flow Analysis (who landuse is undefined)		is (when ïned)	n Runoff Coefficient		Imperviousness						
				65%			100%			100%		0%		25%		40%		<u>ک</u>			45%					
		(AC)	C5	C100	(AC)	C5	C100	(AC)	C5	C100	(AC)	C5	C100	(AC)	C5	C100	(AC)	C5	C100	(AC	C5	C100	(AC)	5 Year	100-Year	(%)
A	A	3.70	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	3.70	9 .32	0.59	45.0%
В	A	39.23	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	39.23	0.32	0.59	45.0%
С	A	55.82	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	55.82	0.32	0.59	45.0%
D	A	7.87	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	7.87	0.32	0.59	45.0%
E	A	2.20	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	2.20	0.32	0.59	45.0%
F	A	6.34	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	6.34	0.32	0.59	45.0%
G	A	12.61	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	12.61	0.32	0.59	45.0%
OS-1	A	11.11	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	1.61	0.32	0.59	9.50	0.32	0.58	44.3%
OS-2.1	A	6.38	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	6.38	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	40.0%
OS-2.2	A	26.52	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	2.98	0.90	0.96	1.03	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	22.51	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.39	0.57	49.1%
OS-2.3	A	29.84	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	3.25	0.90	0.96	1.12	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	25.46	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.39	0.57	48.8%
OS-2.4	A	6.30	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	1.04	0.90	0.96	0.51	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	4.71	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.45	0.61	54.4%
OS-2.5	A	3.12	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	3.12	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	40.0%
OS-3.1	A	2.14	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	2.14	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.22	0.46	25.0%
OS-3.2	A	29.88	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	2.29	0.90	0.96	0.87	0.08	0.35	0.01	0.22	0.46	26.70	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.29	0.51	32.9%
OS-3.3	A	4.16	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	1.01	0.90	0.96	0.25	0.08	0.35	0.02	0.22	0.46	2.88	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.43	0.61	47.7%
OS-3.4	A	1.14	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	1.14	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	100.0%
OS-4	A	6.47	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.14	0.90	0.96	0.06	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	6.28	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	0.00	0.24	0.48	27.3%
OS-5	A	13.44	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.30	0.5	0.00	0.32	0.59	13.44	0.32	0.59	45.0%
TOTAL		268.3			0.0			10.7			5.0			0.1			38.0			63.8			150.7			44.2%

Missing Basins OS-2 & OS-3, as described in report.

Note: Sub basins are not needed at this stage. They may be left in but will not be reviewed until later submissions. Note: Sub basins are not needed at this stage. They may be left in but will not be reviewed until later submissions.

Place this sheet in front of other proposed spreadsheet calculations.

For open space areas, assume 10% impervious area for C values.

6/1/2021 Hydrologic Grouning Offsite Flow Analysis (whe 1/8 Acre or Less Paved Drive and Walks Lawns 1/2 Acre 1/4 Acre Runoff Coefficient Basin No Total Area Imperviousness landuse is undefined) 65% 100% 100% 0% 25% 40% 45% C5 C100 (AC) C5 C100 C5 C100 (AC) C5 C100 (AC) C5 (AC) C5 C100 C100 (AC) S-Year 100-Yea (AC) (AC) C100 (AC C5 (%) 3.70 0.45 0.59 0.50 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 3.20 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.13 0.38 8.8% 0.59 0.00 B1.1 2.41 0.45 0.59 1.83 0.90 0.96 0.90 0.96 0.10 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.30 0.5 0.00 73.4% Α 0.48 0.00 0.56 0.68 B2.1 Α 1.48 0.45 0.59 1.27 0.90 0.96 0.15 0.90 0.96 0.06 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.51 0.64 70.0% B2.2 1.35 0.45 0.59 0.90 0.96 0.14 0.90 0.96 0.06 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.52 0.64 70.2% А B2.3 2.13 0.45 0.59 1.76 0.90 0.23 0.96 0.14 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.00 0.53 0.65 71.1% А 0.96 0.90 0.08 0.59 B3.1 3.32 0.59 2.88 0.90 0.96 0.31 0.90 0.96 0.13 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.51 0.64 69.6% А 0.45 B3.2 2.14 0.59 1.79 0.90 0.25 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.30 0.00 0.00 0.52 0.65 70.7% Α 0.45 0.96 0.90 0.96 0.08 0.35 0.22 0.46 0.5 0.32 0.59 3.01 0.00 B4.1 Α 0.45 0.59 2.66 0.90 0.96 0.25 0.90 0.96 0.10 0.08 0.35 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.50 0.63 69.1% B4.2 Α 0.83 0.45 0.59 0.48 0.90 0.96 0.25 0.90 0.96 0.10 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.64 0.75 79.8% 0.08 B5.1 3.24 2.69 0.90 0.44 0.11 0.35 0.00 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.59 0.00 0.53 0.65 70.9% Α 0.45 0.59 0.96 0.90 0.96 0.08 0.22 0.46 0.32 B6.1 Α 1.43 0.45 0.59 1.29 0.90 0.96 0.10 0.90 0.96 0.04 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.49 0.63 68.4% B6.2 0.57 0.45 0.59 0.41 0.90 0.96 0.13 0.90 0.96 0.03 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.00 0.58 0.69 74.8% Α 0.59 B7.1 Α 1.81 0.45 0.59 1.57 0.90 0.96 0.17 0.90 0.96 0.07 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.51 0.64 69.6% B7.2 1.41 1.16 0.90 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.66 71.2% 0.59 0.18 0.96 0.30 0.59 0.53 А 0.45 0.96 0.90 0.08 0.35 0.22 0.46 0.5 0.32 6.10 0.35 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.39 0.96 0.16 0.08 0.69 0.22 4.86 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.27 0.49 28.9% B8 А 0.90 0.46 B9 Α 8.00 0.45 0.59 0.13 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 3.54 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 4.34 0.22 0.48 25.4% C1.1 Α 1 64 0.45 0.59 1.34 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.30 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.53 0.66 71 4% C1.2 1.25 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.34 0.08 0.35 0.91 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.30 0.52 27.2% Α C2.1 А 1.68 0.45 0.59 0.76 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.36 0.08 0.35 0.57 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.42 0.59 50.5% C2.2 А 1.34 0.45 0.59 0.88 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.43 0.08 0.35 0.03 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.59 0.70 74.8% C3.1 Α 4.04 0.45 0.59 1.53 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.53 0.08 0.35 1.98 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.33 0.52 37.7% C3.2 1.58 0.45 0.59 1.09 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.49 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.59 0.70 75.8% Α C4.1 А 1.63 0.45 0.59 1.06 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.50 0.08 0.35 0.07 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.57 0.69 72.8% C5.1 0.22 0.30 0.45 0.59 0.96 0.90 0.96 0.08 0.35 0.11 0.46 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 Α 1.42 0.85 0.90 0.00 0.46 0.00 0.00 0.57 0.69 71.2% C6.1 Α 2.74 0.45 0.59 1.85 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.66 0.08 0.35 0.23 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.53 0.66 68.0% C7.1 А 0.82 0.45 0.59 0.38 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.22 0.08 0.35 0.22 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.47 0.62 56.6% C7.2 Α 2.42 0.45 0.59 1.56 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.71 0.08 0.35 0.15 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.56 0.68 71.3% C8.1 А 2.09 0.45 0.59 1.33 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.38 0.08 0.35 0.39 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.46 0.61 59.3% C9.1 Α 3.30 0.45 0.59 1.70 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 1.03 0.08 0.35 0.57 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.53 0.66 64.7% C10.1 А 1.85 0.45 0.59 1.29 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.48 0.08 0.35 0.08 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.55 0.68 71.3% C11.1 7.80 0.90 0.70 2.51 4.59 0.00 0.47 23.7% 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.08 0.35 0.22 0.46 0.30 0.5 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.24 Α C11.2 4.00 0.00 0.90 0.00 0.42 1.28 2.30 0.00 0.00 0.48 24.9% Α 0.45 0.59 0.96 0.90 0.96 0.08 0.35 0.22 0.46 0.30 0.5 0.32 0.59 0.25 C12.1 Α 1.68 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.18 0.90 0.96 0.05 0.08 0.35 0.53 0.22 0.46 0.92 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.27 0.49 27.4% C13.1 Α 0.57 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.57 0.90 0.96 0.48 0.08 0.35 -0.48 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 1.59 1 47 184 2% C14.1 Α 2.82 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.85 0.90 0.96 0.38 0.08 0.35 1.59 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.44 0.62 43.6% C15 А 11.15 0.45 0.59 1.88 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 9.28 0.34 0.59 48.4% D1.1 45.0% Α 1.70 0.45 0.59 0.82 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.23 0.08 0.35 0.65 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.37 0.55 D1.2 А 1.20 0.45 0.59 0.44 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.29 0.08 0.35 0.46 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.42 0.59 48.4% D1.3 D2.1 2.57 0.45 0.59 1.26 0.90 0.00 0.96 0.72 0.35 0.59 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.59 0.00 0.49 0.64 59.9% А 0.96 0.90 0.08 0.22 0.32 2.40 0.55 1.85 0.00 Α 0.45 0.59 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.16 0.41 14.9% 0.90 Е Α 2.20 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 2.20 0.32 0.59 45.0% F Α 6.34 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 6.34 0.32 0.59 45.0% G Α 12.61 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 12.61 0.32 0.59 45.0% 0.32 OS-1 А 11.11 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 1.61 0.59 9.50 0.32 0.58 44.3% OS-2.1 0.45 0.59 0.96 0.90 0.96 0.08 0.35 0.22 0.46 0.30 0.5 0.32 0.59 6.38 0.00 0.90 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 4.98 0.00 0.43 0.60 53.2% OS-2.2 26.52 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 2.98 0.90 0.96 1.03 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.30 0.5 22.51 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.39 0.57 49.1% 0.35 0.5 0.32 OS-2.3 29.84 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 3.25 0.90 0.96 1.12 0.08 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.30 25.46 0.59 0.57 48.8% 0.00 0.00 0.39 OS-2.4 0.59 0.96 0.46 0.5 54.4% 6.30 0.45 0.00 0.90 0.96 1.04 0.90 0.51 0.08 0.35 0.05 0.22 0.00 0.30 4.71 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.45 0.61 0.35 0.22 0.30 0.32 OS-2.5 OS-3.1 3.12 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 1.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.00 0.46 0.00 0.5 2.12 0.59 0.00 0.49 0.65 59.2% Α 2.14 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.90 0.96 0.00 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 2 14 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.22 0.46 25.0% OS-3.2 А 29.88 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 2.29 0.90 0.96 0.87 0.08 0.35 0.01 0.22 0.46 26.70 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.29 0.51 32.9% OS-3.3 А 4.16 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.96 1.01 0.90 0.96 0.25 0.08 0.35 0.02 0.22 0.46 2.88 0.30 0.5 0.00 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.43 0.61 47.7% OS-3.4 А 1.1 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.00 1.14 0.08 0.35 0.00 0.22 0.46 0.00 0.5 0.00 0.00 100.0% 0.90 0.96 0.90 0.96 0.30 0.32 0.59 0.90 0.96 6.47 0.00 0.90 0.14 0.06 0.35 0.00 0.46 0.00 27.3% OS-4 Α 0.45 0.59 0.96 0.90 0.96 0.08 0.22 6.28 0.30 0.5 0.32 0.59 0.00 0.24 0.48 OS-5 13.44 0.45 0.59 0.00 0.90 0.00 0.96 0.00 0.35 0.00 0.00 0.5 0.00 0.59 13.44 0.32 0.59 45.0% 0.96 0.90 0.08 0.22 0.46 0.30 0.32 268.3 42.1 21.8 50.7 57.7 46.7% TOTAL 18.2 16.4 61.4 Labeled as OS-1.1 on

RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS AND IMPERVIOUSNESS

Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3

El Paso County, Colorado

-PROPOSED CONDITIONS

Missing from Drainage Plan Labeled as OS-1.1 c

APPENDIX E

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Move this sheet to in front of Pond spreadsheets
Include copies of pages from previous reports where information was taken from.

TIME OF CONCENTRATION Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 - EXISTING CONDITIONS El Paso County, Colorado

DATE: <u>6/1/2021</u> CALCULATED BY: <u>AMC/ARP</u> PROJECT: <u>21000656</u> DESIGN STORM: 5<u>Year</u>

			INITI	AL/OVERL TIME (ti)	AND.			TRAVEL TIME (tt)			(URE	tc CHECI BANIZED B	(ASINS)	FINAL tc
TRIBUTARY	AREA	C5	LENGTH	SLOPE	ti	LENGTH	SLOPE	Convevance	VEL	tt	COMP.	TOTAL	(L/180)+10	
BASINS	Ac	-	Ft	%	Min.	Ft.	%	Coefficient	fps	Min.	tc	LENGTH	Min.	Min.
	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
A	3.70	0.32	202	1.00	20.02	910	1.00	15	1.50	10.11	30.13	1112	16.18	16.18
В	39.23	0.32	1256	1.00	49.91	979	1.00	15	1.50	10.88	60.79	2235	22.42	22.42
С	55.82	0.32	1104	2.00	37.23	571	1.00	15	1.50	6.34	43.57	1675	19.31	19.31
D	7.87	0.32	254	2.00	17.86	492	2.00	15	2.12	3.87	21.72	746	14.14	14.14
E	2.20	0.32	108	1.00	14.64	842	1.00	15	1.50	9.36	23.99	950	15.28	15.28
F	6.34	0.32	0	0.00	0.00	1097	1.00	15	1.50	12.19	12.19	1097	16.09	12.19
G	12.61	0.32	340	3.00	18.07	0	0.00	15	0.00	0.00	18.07	340	11.89	11.89
OS-1	11.11	0.32	80	2.00	10.06	2300	2.00	20	2.83	13.55	23.61	2380	23.22	23.22
OS-2.1	6.38	0.30	100	2.00	11.49	608	2.00	20	2.83	3.58	15.07	708	13.93	13.93
OS-2.2	26.52	0.39	200	2.00	14.41	2300	2.00	20	2.83	13.55	27.96	2500	23.89	23.89
OS-2.3	29.84	0.39	200	2.00	14.46	2300	2.00	20	2.83	13.55	28.02	2500	23.89	23.89
OS-2.4	6.30	0.45	50	2.00	6.65	2180	2.00	20	2.83	12.85	19.50	2230	22.39	19.50
OS-2.5	3.12	0.30	100	2.00	11.49	1525	1.20	20	2.19	11.60	23.09	1625	19.03	19.03
OS-3.1	2.14	0.22	100	2.00	12.64	0	2.00	20	2.83	0.00	12.64	100	10.56	10.56
OS-3.2	29.88	0.29	300	2.00	20.11	2200	2.00	20	2.83	12.96	33.07	2500	23.89	23.89
OS-3.3	4.16	0.43	50	2.00	6.85	980	2.00	20	2.83	5.77	12.62	1030	15.72	12.62
OS-3.4	1.14	0.90	20	2.00	1.28	1190	0.60	20	1.55	12.80	14.09	1210	16.72	14.09
OS-4	6.47	0.24	350	2.00	23.10	790	1.00	20	2.00	6.58	29.68	1140	16.33	16.33
OS-5	13.44	0.32	185	8.20	9.57	103	1.90	20	2.76	0.62	10.19	288	11.60	10.19

5-YEAR RUNOFF CALCULATIONS Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 - EXISTING CONDITIONS El Paso County, Colorado

DATE:	6/1/2021	
CALCUL	ATED BY:	AMC/ARP

					FLOW T	O INLETS				Minimum	Maximum	Under				INLETS					Carry-Over
Sub-Basin	Design	Area	С	СхА	Tc	Intensity	Qd = CIA	Qco	Qt	Street Slope	Street/Paseo	Capacity?	Inlet	Туре	Condition	Slope at	Inlet	R	Intercepted	Carry-Over	to Sub-basin/
	Point	(acres)			(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(%)	Capacity (cfs)					Inlet (%)	Capacity (cfs)		(cfs)	(cfs)	Design Point (DP)
Α	POND 1	3.70	0.32	1.18	16.18	3.41	4.03	0.00	4.03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
В	POND 1	39.23	0.32	12.55	22.42	2.92	36.64	0.00	3.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
С	POND 2	55.82	0.32	17.86	19.31	3.14	56.13	0.00	56.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D	POND WU	7.87	0.32	2.52	14.14	3.61	9.09	0.00	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E	POND 1	2.20	0.32	0.70			1.80	0.00	1.80	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F	POND 2	6.34	0.32	2.03			5.30	0.00	5.30	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
G	POND WU	12.61	0.32	4.04			6.80	0.00	6.80	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-1	OFFSITE	11.11	0.32	3.52			16.47	0.00	16.47	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.1	POND 1	6.38	0.30	1.91			10.70	0.00	10.70	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.2	POND 1	26.52	0.39	10.36			36.10	0.00	36.10	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.3	POND 1	29.84	0.39	11.57			37.50	0.00	37.50	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.4	POND 1	6.30	0.45	2.80			8.40	0.00	8.40	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.5	POND 1	3.12	0.30	0.94			7.80	0.00	7.80	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.1	POND 2	2.14	0.22	0.47	10.56	4.05	1.91	0.00	1.91	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.2	POND 2	29.88	0.29	8.72			29.20	0.00	29.20	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.3	POND 2	4.16	0.43	1.77	12.62	3.78	6.70	0.00	6.70	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.4	POND 2	1.14	0.90	1.03			3.40	0.00	3.40	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-4	POND WU	6.47	0.24	1.56	16.33	3.39	5.28	0.00	5.28	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-5	POND WU	13.44	0.32	4.30	10.19	4.10	17.64	0.00	17.64	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			- <u>1</u>																		

Notes:

*DATA IN RED REPRESENTS AND OVERRIDE WITH VALUES PER PREVIOUS DRAINAGE REPORTS

Update based on changes to C-values.

PROJECT: <u>21000656</u> DESIGN STORM: 5<u>-Year</u>

100-YEAR RUNOFF CALCULATIONS Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 - EXISTING CONDITIONS El Paso County, Colorado

DATE: 6/1/2021 CALCULATED BY: <u>RDL</u>

					FLOW T	O INLETS				Minimum	Maximum	Under				INLETS					Carry-Over
Sub-Basin	Design	Area	С	СхА	Тс	Intensity	Qd = CIA	Qco	Qt	Street Slope	Street/Paseo	Capacity?	Inlet	Туре	Condition	Slope at	Inlet	R	Intercepted	Carry-Over	to Sub-basin/
	Point	(acres)			(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(%)	Capacity (cfs)					Inlet (%)	Capacity (cfs)		(cfs)	(cfs)	Design Point (DP)
Α	POND 1	3.70	0.59	2.18	16.18	5.72	12.49	0.00	12.49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
В	POND 1	39.23	0.59	23.15	22.42	4.90	113.37	0.00	113.37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
С	POND 2	55.82	0.59	32.93	19.31	5.27	173.72	0.00	173.72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D	POND WU	7.87	0.59	4.64	14.14	6.06	28.13	0.00	28.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E	POND 1	2.20	0.59	1.30			4.20	0.00	4.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F	POND 2	6.34	0.59	3.74			12.50	0.00	12.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
G	POND WU	12.61	0.59	7.44			16.00	0.00	16.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-1	OFFSITE	11.11	0.58	6.41			28.01	0.00	28.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.1	POND 1	6.38	0.50	3.19			21.70	0.00	21.70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.2	POND 1	26.52	0.57	15.10			72.20	0.00	72.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.3	POND 1	29.84	0.57	16.93			74.20	0.00	74.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.4	EX DP13	6.30	0.61	3.85			17.20	0.00	17.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.5	EX DP10	3.12	0.50	1.56			13.60	0.00	13.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.1	C.11	2.14	0.46	0.98	10.56	6.80	6.69	0.00	6.69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.2	EX DP10	29.88	0.51	15.32			58.50	0.00	58.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.3	C.15	4.16	0.61	2.54	12.62	6.35	16.14	0.00	16.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.4	C.15	1.14	0.96	1.09			6.00	0.00	6.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-4	D.1	6.47	0.48	3.07	16.33	5.70	17.51	0.00	17.51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-5	D.4	13.44	0.59	7.93	10.19	6.89	54.60	0.00	54.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Notes:

*DATA IN RED REPRESENTS AND OVERRIDE WITH VALUES PER PREVIOUS DRAINAGE REPORTS

PROJECT: 21000656 DESIGN STORM: 100<u>-Year</u>

EXISTING CO	NDITIONS DRA	INAGE S	SUB-B	ASIN	SUMM	ARY
Basin	Design Point	Area (acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Q ₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
A	POND 1	3.70	0.32	0.59	4.03	12.49
В	POND 1	39.23	0.32	0.59	36.64	113.37
С	POND 2	55.82	0.32	0.59	56.13	173.72
D	POND WU	7.87	0.32	0.59	9.09	28.13
E	POND 1	2.20	0.32	0.59	1.80	4.20
F	POND 2	6.34	0.32	0.59	5.30	12.50
G	POND WU	12.61	0.32	0.59	6.80	16.00
OS-1	OFFSITE	11.11	0.32	0.58	16.47	28.01
OS-2.1	POND 1	6.38	0.30	0.50	10.70	21.70
OS-2.2	POND 1	26.52	0.39	0.57	36.10	72.20
OS-2.3	POND 1	29.84	0.39	0.57	37.50	74.20
OS-2.4	POND 1	6.30	0.45	0.61	8.40	17.20
OS-2.5	POND 1	3.12	0.30	0.50	7.80	13.60
OS-3.1	POND 2	2.14	0.22	0.46	1.91	6.69
OS-3.2	POND 2	29.88	0.29	0.51	29.20	58.50
OS-3.3	POND 2	4.16	0.43	0.61	6.70	16.14
OS-3.4	POND 2	1.14	0.90	0.96	3.40	6.00
OS-4	POND WU	6.47	0.24	0.48	5.28	17.51
OS-5	POND WU	13.44	0.32	0.59	17.64	54.60

EXIS	TING CONDITI	ONS DE	sign f Aina (Point Je Ba a	SIN SUN	IMARY
Basin	Design Point	Area (acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Q ₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
А	OFF-SITE	3.70	0.32	0.59	4.03	12.49
В	POND 1	39.23	0.32	0.59	36.64	113.37
С	POND 2	55.82	0.32	0.59	56.13	173.72
D	POND WU	7.87	0.32	0.59	9.09	28.13
E	POND 1	2.20	0.32	0.59	1.80	4.20
F	POND 2	6.34	0.32	0.59	5.30	12.50
G	POND WU	12.61	0.32	0.59	6.80	16.00
OS-1	OFF-SITE	11.11	0.32	0.58	16.47	28.01
OS-2	POND 1	72.16	0.38	0.56	100.50	198.90
OS-3	POND 2	37.32	0.32	0.53	41.20	87.33
OS-4	POND WU	6.47	0.24	0.48	5.28	17.51
OS-5	POND WU	13.44	0.32	0.59	17.64	54.60

TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 -PROPOSED CONDITIONS El Paso County, Colorado

DATE: <u>6/1/2021</u> CALCULATED BY: <u>AMC/ARP</u> PROJECT: 21000656 DESIGN STORM: 5 Year

			INITI	AL/OVERL TIME (ti)	AND		,	TRAVEL TIME (tt)			(URB	tc CHEC	K BASINS)	FINAL tc
TRIBUTARY	AREA	C5	LENGTH	SLOPE	ti	LENGTH	SLOPE	Convevance	VEL	tt	COMP.	TOTAL	(L/180)+10	
BASINS	Ac		Ft	%	Min.	Ft.	%	Coefficient	fps	Min.	tc	LENGTH	Min.	Min.
	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
A	3.70	0.13	180	2.00	18.69	900	1.00	20	2.00	7.50	26.19	1080	16.00	16.00
B1.1	2.41	0.56	0	0.00	0.00	907	1.30	20	2.28	6.63	6.63	907	15.04	6.63
B2.1	1.48	0.51	0	0.00	0.00	417	1.50	20	2.45	2.84	2.84	417	12.32	5.00
B2.2	1.35	0.52	0	0.00	0.00	380	1.40	20	2.37	2.68	2.68	380	12.11	5.00
B2.3	2.13	0.53	0	0.00	0.00	431	1.50	20	2.45	2.93	2.93	431	12.39	5.00
B3.1	3.32	0.51	222	1.10	15.39	610	1.10	20	2.10	4.85	20.24	832	14.62	14.62
B4 1	2.14	0.52	220	1.00	16.01	470	1.10	20	2.10	3.00	10.03	601	13.84	13.84
B4.1	0.83	0.64	0	0.00	0.00	463	1.00	20	2.00	3.86	3.86	463	12.57	5.04
B5.1	3 24	0.53	223	0.00	20.93	806	1.10	20	2.00	6.40	27.33	1029	15.72	15.72
B6.1	1.43	0.49	134	0.40	17.14	518	1.30	20	2.28	3.79	20.92	652	13.62	13.62
B6.2	0.57	0.58	0	0.00	0.00	300	2.50	20	3.16	1.58	1.58	300	11.67	5.00
B7.1	1.81	0.51	0	0.00	0.00	590	0.80	20	1.79	5.50	5.50	590	13.28	5.50
B7.2	1.41	0.53	0	0.00	0.00	533	0.80	20	1.79	4.97	4.97	533	12.96	5.00
B8	6.10	0.27	300	2.00	20.77	180	1.00	20	2.00	1.50	22.27	480	12.67	12.67
B9	8.00	0.22	265	4.00	16.44	860	1.00	20	2.00	7.17	23.61	1125	16.25	16.25
C1.1	1.64	0.53	532	1.50	20.68	492	1.00	20	2.00	4.10	24.78	1024	15.69	15.69
01.2	1.25	0.30	169	1.00	18.71	557	1.00	20	2.00	4.64	23.35	726	14.03	14.03
02.1	1.68	0.42	126	1.80	11.34	500	1.00	20	2.00	4.17	15.51	626	13.48	13.48
C2.2	1.34	0.39	0	2.00	0.00	410	1.00	20	2.45	2.19	2.19	410	12.20	5.00
C3.2	4.04	0.55	000	2.00	0.00	785	1.00	20	2.00	6.54	6.54	785	14.36	6 54
C4.1	1.63	0.57	0	2.00	0.00	658	1.00	20	2.00	5.48	5 48	658	13.66	5 48
C5.1	1.42	0.57	0	2.00	0.00	583	1.00	20	2.00	4.86	4.86	583	13.24	5.00
C6.1	2.74	0.53	90	2.00	7.80	423	1.25	20	2.24	3.15	10.96	513	12.85	10.96
C7.1	0.82	0.47	120	1.00	12.48	235	1.00	20	2.00	1.96	14.44	355	11.97	11.97
C7.2	2.42	0.56	0	2.00	0.00	610	1.00	20	2.00	5.08	5.08	610	13.39	5.08
C8.1	2.09	0.46	122	1.00	12.70	642	1.30	20	2.28	4.69	17.39	764	14.24	14.24
C9.1	3.30	0.53	45	2.00	5.53	672	1.30	20	2.28	4.91	10.44	717	13.98	10.44
C10.1	1.85	0.55	0	2.00	0.00	574	1.00	20	2.00	4.78	4.78	574	13.19	5.00
C11.1	7.80	0.24	520	2.50	26.28	765	2.25	20	3.00	4.25	30.53	1285	17.14	17.14
C12.1	4.00	0.25	250	2.00	28.74	250	1.10	20	2.10	1.99	30.73	800	14.44	14.44
C12.1	0.57	1.59	200	2.00	2.23	550	1.00	20	2.00	0.92	236	560	12.00	5.00
C14 1	2.82	0.44	220	2.00	14 11	430	1.00	20	2.00	3.58	17.69	650	13.11	13.61
C15	11 15	0.34	500	2.00	24.35	1500	1.00	20	2.00	12.50	36.85	2000	21 11	21 11
D1.1	1.70	0.37	75	2.00	9.08	150	3.50	20	3.74	0.67	9.75	225	11.25	9.75
D1.2	1.20	0.42	168	2.00	12.72	220	1.20	20	2.19	1.67	14.40	388	12.16	12.16
D1.3	2.57	0.49	230	2.00	13.26	360	2.00	20	2.83	2.12	15.38	590	13.28	13.28
D2.1	2.40	0.16	670	1.60	37.42	0	2.00	20	2.83	0.00	37.42	670	13.72	13.72
E	2.20	0.32	90	8.30	6.65	1080	1.00	20	2.00	9.00	15.65	1170	16.50	15.65
F	6.34	0.32	125	4.90	9.32	630	1.60	20	2.53	4.15	13.47	755	14.19	13.47
G	12.61	0.32	300	3.55	16.06	285	1.80	20	2.68	1.77	17.83	585	13.25	13.25
05-1	11.11	0.32	80	2.00	10.06	2300	2.00	20	2.83	13.55	23.61	2380	23.22	23.22
05-2.1	0.30	0.43	200	2.00	9.00	008 2200	2.00	20	2.83	3.00 12.55	13.10	708 2500	13.93	13.18
03-2.2	20.52	0.39	200	2.00	14.41	2300	2.00	20 20	∠.ŏ3 2.¤2	13.55	21.90	2500	23.89	23.89 23.80
OS-2.0	6.30	0.05	50	2.00	6.65	2180	2.00	20	2.00	12.85	19.50	2230	22.09	19.50
OS-2.5	3.12	0.49	100	2.00	8,73	1525	1.20	20	2.19	11.60	20.33	1625	19.03	19.03
OS-3.1	2.14	0.22	100	2.00	12.64	0	2.00	20	2.83	0.00	12.64	100	10.56	10.56
OS-3.2	29.88	0.29	300	2.00	20.11	2200	2.00	20	2.83	12.96	33.07	2500	23.89	23.89
OS-3.3	4.16	0.43	50	2.00	6.85	980	2.00	20	2.83	5.77	12.62	1030	15.72	12.62
OS-3.4	1.14	0.90	20	2.00	1.28	1190	0.60	20	1.55	12.80	14.09	1210	16.72	14.09
OS-4	6.47	0.24	350	2.00	23.10	790	1.00	20	2.00	6.58	29.68	1140	16.33	16.33
OS-5	13.44	0.32	185	8.20	9.57	103	1.90	20	2.76	0.62	10.19	288	11.60	10.19
			1			I				1	I	I		

5-YEAR RUNOFF CALCULATIONS Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 El Paso County, Colorado

DATE:	6/1/2021	
CALCUL	ATED BY:	AMC/ARP

					FLOW T	O INLETS				Minimum	Maximum	Under				INLETS					Carry-Over
Sub-Basin	Design Point	Area (acres)	С	СхА	Tc (min)	Intensity (in/hr)	Qd = CIA (cfs)	Qco (cfs)	Qt (cfs)	Street Slope	Street/Paseo Capacity (cfs)	Capacity?	Inlet	Туре	Condition	Slope at Inlet (%)	Inlet Capacity (cfs)	R	Intercepted (cfs)	Carry-Over (cfs)	to Sub-basin/ Design Point (DP)
Α	A.1	3.70	0.45	1.67	16.00	3.42	5.70	0.00	5.70	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
B1.1	B.1	2.41	0.56	1.35	6.63	4.75	6.39	0.00	6.39	1.00	16.40	ОК	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-
	B.1						6.39	0.00	6.39	-	-	-	INL-1	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	6.39	0.00	POND 1
B2.1	B.2	1.48	0.51	0.76	5.00	5.17	3.93	0.00	3.93	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.2						3.93	0.00	3.93	-	-	-	INL-2	10' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	13.58	1.00	3.93	0.00	B.3
B2.2	B.3	1.35	0.52	0.70	5.00	5.17	3.61	0.00	3.61	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.3						3.61	0.00	3.61	-	-	-	INL-3	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	3.61	0.00	B2.3
B2.3	B.4	2.13	0.53	1.13	5.00	5.17	5.81	0.00	5.81	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	<u>B.4</u>		0.54	4.00	11.00	0.50	5.81	0.00	5.81	-	-	-	INL-4	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	5.81	0.00	B3.1
B3.1	B.5	3.32	0.51	1.69	14.62	3.56	6.02	0.00	6.02	1.00	8.20	OK	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
- B2 2	B .3	2.14	0.52	1 1 2	5.00	5 17	0.02 5 79	0.00	5.70	- 1.00	- 8.20	-	IINL-3	IDITPER	SUMP	0.00%	10.07	1.00	0.02	0.00	D3.2
B3.2	B.0	2.14	0.52	1.12	5.00	5.17	5.79	0.00	5.79	1.00	0.20	UK -	INI -6	- 15' TYPE R	- SLIMP	- 0.00%	18.57	- 1 00	5 79	- 0.00	- B4 1
B4.1	B.7	3.01	0.50	1.51	13.84	3.64	5.51	0.00	5.51	1.00	8.20	OK _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.7	0.01	0.00	1.01	10.01	0.01	5.51	0.00	5.51	-	-	-	INL-7	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	5.51	0.00	B4.2
B4.2	B.8	0.83	0.64	0.53	5.00	5.17	2.74	0.00	2.74	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.8						2.74	0.00	2.74	-	-	-	INL-8	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	2.74	0.00	POND 1
B5.1	B.9	3.24	0.53	1.71	15.72	3.45	5.89	0.00	5.89	1.00	16.40	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.9						5.89	0.00	5.89	-	-	-	INL-9	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	5.89	0.00	POND 1
B6.1	B.10	1.43	0.49	0.71	13.62	3.67	2.59	0.00	2.59	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.10						2.59	0.00	2.59	-	-	-	INL-10	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	2.59	0.00	B6.2
B6.2	B.11	0.57	0.58	0.33	5.00	5.17	1.70	0.00	1.70	1.00	8.20	ОК	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
- D7.4	B.11	1.01	0.51	0.02	5 50	E 02	1.70	0.00	1.70	-	-	-	INL-11	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	1.70	0.00	B5.1
B7.1	B.12	1.01	0.51	0.92	5.50	5.03	4.64	0.00	4.04	1.00	0.20	UK	- INIL 12	- 10' TVDE P	- SLIMD	-	-	-	-	-	- B7 2
- B7 2	B 13	1 4 1	0.53	0.75	5.00	5 17	3.86	0.00	3.86	-	-	-	IINL-12			0.00 /0	13.30	1.00	4.04	0.00	D1.2
-	B.13	1.71	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.17	3.86	0.00	3.86		_	-	INI -13	10' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	13.58	1.00	3.86	0.00	B6 2
B8	B.13	6.10	0.27	1.62	12.67	3.77	6.10	0.00	6.10	1	8.20	ОК	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	B.14		-				6.10	0.00	6.10	-	-	-	INL-13	20' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	23.56	1.00	6.10	0.00	-
B9	POND 1	8.00	0.22	1.73	16.25	3.40	5.88	0.00	5.88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C1.1	C.1	1.64	0.53	0.87	15.69	3.45	3.01	0.00	3.01	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C1.2	C.1	1.25	0.30	0.38	14.03	3.62	1.37	0.00	1.37	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.1						4.39	0.00	4.39	-	-	-	INL-C5	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	3.60	0.82	3.60	0.79	C.3
C2.1	C.2	1.68	0.42	0.71	13.48	3.68	2.60	0.00	2.60	1.00	8.20	OK		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C2.2	C.2	1.34	0.59	0.79	5.00	5.17	4.06	0.00	4.06	1.00	8.20	ОК				-	-	-	-	-	-
-	<u>C.2</u>	4.04	0.22	1 2 2	17.60	2.07	0.00	0.00	6.66	-	-	-	INL-C10	20 TYPE R	UN-GRADE	4.15%	4.10	0.62	4.10	2.56	C.5
C3.1	<u> </u>	4.04	0.55	0.03	6.54	3.27	4.33	0.00	4.33	1.00	8.20			-		-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.3	1.00	0.55	0.35	0.04	4.77	8.77	0.00	9.55	-	-	-	INI -C6	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4 15%	7 70	0.81	7 70	1 85	C.5
C4.1	C.4	1.63	0.57	0.93	5.48	5.03	4.68	0.00	4.68	1.00	8.20	ОК		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.4		0.01	0.00	0110	0.00	4.68	1.07	5.75	-	-	-	INL-C8	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	4.70	0.82	4.70	1.05	C.5
C5.1	C.5	1.42	0.57	0.80	5.00	5.17	4.16	0.00	4.16	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.5						4.16	5.46	9.62	-	-	-	INL-C11	25' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	28.55	1.00	9.62	0.00	POND 2
C6.1	C.6	2.74	0.53	1.44	10.96	3.99	5.77	0.00	5.77	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.6						5.77	0.00	5.77	-	-	-	INL-C7	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	4.70	0.81	4.70	1.07	C.4
C7.1	C.7	0.82	0.47	0.38	11.97	3.86	1.48	0.00	1.48	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C7.2	C.7	2.42	0.56	1.35	5.08	5.14	6.96	0.00	6.96	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.7	0.00	0.40	0.07	14.04	2.00	8.45	0.00	8.45	-	-	-	INL-C3	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	6.90	0.82	6.90	1.55	C.10
68.1	0.8	2.09	0.46	0.97	14.24	3.60	3.48	0.00	3.48	1.00	8.20	UK	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
-	<u>C.8</u>	3 20	0.52	1 74	10.44	4.06	3.48	0.00	3.48	-	- 8.20	-	INL-UT	20 TYPER	UN-GRADE	4.15%	2.80	0.80	2.80	0.68	0.9
03.1	<u>C9</u>	3.30	0.55	1.74	10.44	4.00	7.06	- 0.68	7.00	1.00	0.20	UK	- INL-C2	- 20' TVPE R		4 15%	6 30	-	6.30	- 1 44	- C 10
C10 1	C 10	1.85	0.55	1 02	5.00	5 17	5.27	0.00	5.27	1 00	8 20	0ĸ	-		-	-	0.50	-	-	-	-
-	C.10	1.00	0.00	1.02	0.00	0.17	5.27	2.98	8.25	-	-	-	INI -C4	20' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	23.56	1.00	8 25	0.00	POND 2
							v. _ 1	2.30								0.0070	_0.00		0.20	0.00	

Inlet design not needed until

PROJECT: <u>21000656</u> DESIGN STORM: 5<u>-Year</u>

5-YEAR RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 -PROPOSED CONDITIONS El Paso County, Colorado

DATE:	6/1/2021	
CALCUL	ATED BY:	AMC/ARP

					FLOW T	O INLETS				Minimum	Maximum	Under				INLETS					Carry-Over
Sub-Basin	Design	Area	С	CxA	Tc	Intensity	Qd = CIA	Qco	Qt	Street Slope	Street/Paseo	Capacity?	Inlet	Туре	Condition	Slope at	Inlet	R	Intercepted	Carry-Over	to Sub-basin/
	Point	(acres)			(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(%)	Capacity (cfs)					Inlet (%)	Capacity (cfs)		(cfs)	(cfs)	Design Point (DP)
C11.1	C.11	7.80	0.24	1.84	17.14	3.32	6.13	0.00	6.13	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C11.2	C.11	4.00	0.25	0.99	14.44	3.58	3.53	0.00	3.53	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	C.11						9.66	0.00	9.66	-	-	-	INL-C9	2x20' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	43.53	1.00	9.66	0.00	C.13
C12.1	C.12	1.68	0.27	0.45	12.00	3.86	1.74	0.00	1.74	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.12						1.74	0.00	1.74	-	-	-	INL-C12	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	1.74	0.00	C.13
C13.1	C.13, C.14	0.57	0.45	0.26	5.00	5.17	1.33	0.00	1.33	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	C.13						0.66	0.00	0.66	-	-	-	INL-C13	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	0.66	0.00	C.2
	C.14						0.66	0.00	0.66	-	-	-	INL-C14	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	0.66	0.00	C.2
C14.1	C.15, C.16	2.82	0.45	1.27	13.61	3.67	4.65	0.00	4.65	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	C.15						2.33	0.00	2.33	-	-	-	INL-C13	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	2.33	0.00	C.8
	C.16						2.33	0.00	2.33	-	-	-	INL-C14	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	2.33	0.00	C.8
C15	POND 2	11.15	0.45	5.02	21.11	3.01	15.10	0.00	15.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D1.1	D.1	1.70	0.37	0.63	9.75	4.17	2.62	0.00	2.62	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	D.1						2.62	0.00	2.62	-	-	-	INL-D1	10' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	1.60	0.61	1.60	1.02	D.3
D1.2	D.3	1.20	0.42	0.50	12.16	3.84	1.92	0.00	1.92	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	D.2						1.92	0.00	1.92	-	-	-	INL-D3	10' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	1.20	0.63	1.20	0.72	D.3
D1.3	D.3	2.57	0.49	1.26	13.28	3.70	4.68	1.74	4.68	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	D.3						4.68	1.74	6.42	-	-	-	INL-D4	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	6.42	0.00	POND WU
D2.1	POND WU	2.40	0.16	0.40	13.72	3.65	1.45	0.00	4.68	1.00	8.20	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E	E.1	2.20	0.32	0.70			1.80	0.00	1.80	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F	F.1	6.34	0.32	2.03			5.30	0.00	5.30	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
G	G.1	12.61	0.32	4.04			6.80	0.00	6.80	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-1	A.1	11.11	0.32	3.52			14.80	0.00	14.80	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.1	EX DP1	6.38	0.43	2.75			10.70	0.00	10.70	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.2	EX DP1, DP2 DP3	26.52	0.39	10.36			36.10	0.00	36.10	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
05-2.3	FX DP4 DP5	29.84	0.39	11 57			37 50	0.00	37 50	-	-	OK OK	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	_
05-2.4	EX DP13	6.30	0.00	2.80			840	0.00	8 40	-	-	OK OK	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	_
05-2.5	EX DP10	3.12	0.40	1 54			7.80	0.00	7 80	· .	_	OK OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
OS-3.1	C 11	2 14	0.40	0.47	10.56	4 05	1.91	0.00	1.91	-	_	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
05-3.2	EX DP10	29.88	0.29	8.72	10.00	1.00	29.20	0.00	29.20		_	OK	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_
OS-3.3	C.15	4.16	0.43	1.77	12 62	3 78	6.70	0.00	6,70	-		0K	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.4	C.15	1.14	0.90	1.03	12.02	0.70	3.40	0.00	3,40	-	-	0K	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0S-4	D.1	6.47	0.24	1.56	16.33	3.39	5.28	0.00	5.28	-	_	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-5	POND WU	13.44	0.32	4.30	10.19	4.10	17.64	0.00	17.64	-	-	OK OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			0.02					0.00													

Notes: *DATA IN RED REPRESENTS AND OVERRIDE WITH VALUES PER PREVIOUS DRAINAGE REPORT(

PROJECT: <u>21000656</u> DESIGN STORM: 5<u>-Year</u>

100-YEAR RUNOFF CALCULATIONS Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 El Paso County, Colorado

DATE: 6/1/2021 CALCULATED BY: <u>RDL</u>

					FLOW T	O INLETS				Minimum	Maximum	Under				INLETS					Carry-Over
Sub-Basin	Design	Area	С	СхА	Tc	Intensity	Qd = CIA	Qco	Qt	Street Slope	Street/Paseo	Capacity?	Inlet	Туре	Condition	Slope at	Inlet	R	Intercepted	Carry-Over	to Sub-basin/
	Point	(acres)			(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(%)	Capacity (cfs)					Inlet (%)	Capacity (cfs)		(cfs)	(cfs)	Design Point (DP)
Α	A.1	3.70	0.38	1.42	16.00	5.75	8.13	0.00	8.13	1.00	102.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B1.1	B.1	2.41	0.68	1.64	6.63	7.97	13.04	0.00	13.04	1.00	102.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	B.1						13.04	0.00	13.04	-	-	-	INL-1	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	13.04	0.00	POND 1
B2.1	B.2	1.48	0.64	0.95	5.00	8.68	8.25	0.00	8.25	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.2						8.25	0.00	8.25	-	-	-	INL-2	10' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	13.58	1.00	8.25	0.00	B.3
B2.2	B.3	1.35	0.64	0.87	5.00	8.68	7.56	0.00	7.56	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.3						7.56	0.00	7.56	-	-	-	INL-3	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	7.56	0.00	B2.3
B2.3	B.4	2.13	0.65	1.39	5.00	8.68	12.10	0.00	12.10	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.4						12.10	0.00	12.10	-	-	-	INL-4	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	12.10	0.00	B3.1
B3.1	B.5	3.32	0.64	2.12	14.62	5.97	12.68	0.00	12.68	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.5						12.68	0.00	12.68	-	-	-	INL-5	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	12.68	0.00	B3.2
B3.2	B.6	2.14	0.65	1.39	5.00	8.68	12.08	0.00	12.08	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.6						12.08	0.00	12.08	-	-	-	INL-6	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	12.08	0.00	B4.1
B4.1	B.7	3.01	0.63	1.91	13.84	6.11	11.65	0.00	11.65	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.7						11.65	0.00	11.65	-	-	-	INL-7	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	11.65	0.00	B4.2
B4.2	B.8	0.83	0.75	0.62	5.00	8.68	5.37	0.00	5.37	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.8						5.37	0.00	5.37	-	-	-	INL-8	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	5.37	0.00	POND 1
B5.1	B.9	3.24	0.65	2.12	15.72	5.79	12.25	0.00	12.25	1.00	102.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.9	4.40	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.45	12.25	0.00	12.25	-	-	-	INL-9	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	12.25	0.00	POND 1
B6.1	B.10	1.43	0.63	0.90	13.62	6.15	5.51	0.00	5.51	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.10	0.57	0.00	0.40	5.00	0.00	5.51	0.00	5.51	-	-	-	INL-10	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	5.51	0.00	B6.2
B6.2	B.11	0.57	0.69	0.40	5.00	8.68	3.43	0.00	3.43	1.00	51.00	OK	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	B.11	1.04	0.04	1.10	5 50	0.44	3.43	0.00	3.43	-	-	-	INL-11	5 TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	3.43	0.00	B2.1
B7.1	B.12	1.81	0.64	1.10	5.50	8.44	9.76	0.00	9.76	1.00	51.00	UK	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	- D7 0
- P7 2	D.12	1 / 1	0.66	0.02	5.00	0.60	9.70	0.00	9.70	-	-	-	IINL-12	IUITPER	SUIVIP	0.00%	13.30	1.00	9.70	0.00	D1.Z
B7.2	B.13	1.41	0.00	0.92	5.00	0.00	8.02	0.00	0.02 9.02	-	-	-	- INIL 13	- 10' TVDE P	- SLIMD	-	- 13.58	1 00	- 8.02	-	- B6 2
- 88	B.13	6 10	0.40	3.00	12.67	6.34	19.02	0.00	10.02		_	-	INL-15		001011	0.0070	10.00	1.00	0.02	0.00	D0.2
	B 14	0.10	0.43	5.00	12.07	0.04	19.03	0.00	19.03	-	-	-	- INI -13	20' TYPE R	SLIMP	0.00%	23.56	1 00	19.03	0.00	-
B9	POND 1	8.00	0.48	3.87	16.25	5 71	22 10	0.00	22 10		_	_	-	20111111	001111	0.0070	23.30	1.00	19.00	0.00	_
C1.1	C 1	1.64	0.40	1.08	15.69	5.80	6.25	0.00	6 25	1 00	51.00	0K	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	-
C1.2	C.1	1.01	0.52	0.64	14.03	6.08	3.92	0.00	3.92	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.1		0.02	0.01		0.00	10.17	0.00	10.17	-	-	-	INI -C5	20' TYPF R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	8 30	0.82	8 30	1.87	C 3
C2.1	C.2	1.68	0.59	0.99	13.48	6.18	6.10	0.00	6.10	1.00	51.00	ОК		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C2.2	C.2	1.34	0.70	0.94	5.00	8.68	8.18	0.00	8.18	1.00	51.00	OK		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
-	C.2	-					14.28	0.00	14.28	-	-	-	INL-C10	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	8.80	0.62	8.80	5.48	C.5
C3.1	C.3	4.04	0.52	2.10	17.69	5.49	11.56	1.87	13.43	1.00	51.00	OK		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C3.2	C.3	1.58	0.70	1.11	6.54	8.00	8.90	0.00	8.90	1.00	51.00	OK		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.3						20.46	1.87	22.34	-	-	-	INL-C6	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	18.10	0.81	18.10	4.24	C.5
C4.1	C.4	1.63	0.69	1.13	5.48	8.45	9.53	2.30	11.83	1.00	51.00	OK		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.4						9.53	2.30	11.83	-	-	-	INL-C8	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	9.60	0.81	9.60	2.23	C.5
C5.1	C.5	1.42	0.69	0.98	5.00	8.68	8.51	11.95	20.47	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.5						8.51	11.95	20.47	-	-	-	INL-C11	25' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	28.55	1.00	20.47	0.00	POND 2
C6.1	C.6	2.74	0.66	1.81	10.96	6.70	12.10	0.00	12.10	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.6						12.10	0.00	12.10	-	-	-	INL-C7	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	9.80	0.81	9.80	2.30	C.4
C7.1	C.7	0.82	0.62	0.51	11.97	6.48	3.31	0.00	3.31	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C7.2	C.7	2.42	0.68	1.65	5.08	8.64	14.29	0.00	14.29	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.7						17.60	0.00	17.60	-	-	-	INL-C3	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	14.30	0.81	14.30	3.30	C.10
C8.1	C.8	2.09	0.61	1.28	14.24	6.04	7.73	0.00	7.73	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.8						7.73	0.00	7.73	-	-		INL-C1	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	6.30	0.81	6.30	1.43	C.9
C9.1	C.9	3.30	0.66	2.19	10.44	6.82	14.94	1.43	16.38	1.00	51.00	ОК	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
-	C.9						14.94	1.43	16.38	-	-	-	INL-C2	20' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	13.3	0.81	13.30	3.08	C.10
C10.1	C.10	1.85	0.68	1.25	5.00	8.68	10.85	6.38	17.23	1.00	51.00	ОК	-	-	-	-	· · ·	-	-	-	-
-	C.10						10.85	6.38	17.23	-	-	-	INL-C4	20' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	23.56	1.00	17.23	0.00	POND 2

PROJECT: 21000656 DESIGN STORM: 100<u>-Year</u>

100-YEAR RUNOFF CALCULATIONS Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 El Paso County, Colorado

DATE: 6/1/2021 CALCULATED BY: <u>RDL</u>

					FLOW T	O INLETS				Minimum	Maximum	Under	Under INLETS C			Carry-Over					
Sub-Basin	Design	Area	С	СхА	Tc	Intensity	Qd = CIA	Qco	Qt	Street Slope	Street/Paseo	Capacity?	Inlet	Type	Condition	Slope at	Inlet	R	Intercepted	Carry-Over	to Sub-basin/
	Point	(acres)			(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(%)	Capacity (cfs)					Inlet (%)	Capacity (cfs)		(cfs)	(cfs)	Design Point (DP)
C11.1	C.11	7.80	0.47	3.66	17.14	5.57	20.43	0.00	20.43	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C11.2	C.11	4.00	0.48	1.91	14.44	6.01	11.47	0.00	11.47	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.11						31.90	0.00	31.90	-	-	-	INL-C12	2x20' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	43.53	1.00	31.90	0.00	C.13
C12.1	C.12	1.68	0.49	0.83	12.00	6.47	5.37	0.00	5.37	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	C.12						5.37	0.00	5.37	-	-	-	INL-C12	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	5.37	0.00	C.13
C13.1	C.13, C.14	0.57	1.47	0.84	5.00	8.68	7.29	0.00	7.29	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	C.13						3.65	0.00	3.65	-	-	-	INL-C13	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	3.65	0.00	C.2
	C.14						3.65	0.00	3.65				INL-C14	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	3.65	0.00	C.2
C14.1	C.15, C.16	2.82	0.62	1.74	13.61	6.16	10.69	0.00	10.69	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	C.15						5.35	0.00	5.35	-	-	-	INL-C13	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	5.35	0.00	C.8
	C.16						5.35	0.00	5.35				INL-C14	5' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	8.59	1.00	5.35	0.00	C.8
C15	POND 2	11.15	0.59	6.58	21.11	5.05	33.23	0.00	33.23	-	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D1.1	D.1	1.70	0.55	0.93	9.75	7.00	6.53	0.00	6.53	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	D.1						6.53	0.00	6.53	-	-	-	INL-D1	10' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	4.00	0.61	4.00	2.53	D.3
D1.2	D.3	1.20	0.59	0.70	12.16	6.44	4.54	0.00	4.54	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	D.2						4.54	0.00	4.54	-	-	-	INL-D3	10' TYPE R	ON-GRADE	4.15%	2.8	0.62	2.80	1.74	D.3
D1.3	D.3	2.57	0.64	1.64	13.28	6.22	10.20	4.27	14.47	1.00	51.00	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	D.3						10.20	4.27	14.47	-	-	-	INL-D4	15' TYPE R	SUMP	0.00%	18.57	1.00	14.47	0.00	POND WU
D2.1	POND WU	2.40	0.41	0.97	13.72	6.14	5.97	0.00	5.97	-	-	OK	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	F 4	0.00	0.50	1.00			1.00	0.00	4.00												
E	E.1	2.20	0.59	1.30			4.20	0.00	4.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F	F.1	6.34	0.59	3.74			12.50	0.00	12.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
G	G.1	12.61	0.59	7.44			16.00	0.00	16.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
05-1		11.11	0.58	0.41			32.37	0.00	32.37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
05-2.1		0.38	0.60	3.83			21.70	0.00	21.70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.2		26.52	0.57	15.10			72.20	0.00	72.20	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	EX DP4							0.00				-									
OS-2.3	DP5	29.84	0.57	16.93			74.20	0.00	74.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.4	EX DP13	6.30	0.61	3.85			17.20	0.00	17.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-2.5	EX DP10	3.12	0.65	2.02			13.60	0.00	13.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.1	C.11	2.14	0.46	0.98	10.56	6.80	6.69	0.00	6.69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.2	EX DP10	29.88	0.51	15.32			58.50	0.00	58.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.3	C.15	4.16	0.61	2.54	12.62	6.35	16.14	0.00	16.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-3.4	C.15	1.14	0.96	1.09		'	6.00	0.00	6.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-4	D.1	6.47	0.48	3.07	16.33	5.70	17.51	0.00	17.51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OS-5	D.4	13.44	0.59	7.93	10.19	6.89	54.60	0.00	54.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
																			•		

Notes:

*DATA IN RED REPRESENTS AND OVERRIDE WITH VALUES PER PREVIOUS DRAINAGE REPORTS

PROJECT: 21000656 DESIGN STORM: 100<u>-Year</u>

DEV	ELOPED CON	DITIONS SUMM	DRA ARY	INAG	E SUB-I	BASIN
Basin	Design Point	Area (acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Q ₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
А	A.1	3.70	0.13	0.38	5.70	8.13
B1.1	B.1	2.41	0.56	0.68	6.39	13.04
B2.1	B.2	1.48	0.51	0.64	3.93	8.25
B2.2	B.3	1.35	0.52	0.64	3.61	7.56
B2.3	B.4	2.13	0.53	0.65	5.81	12.10
B3.1	B.5	3.32	0.51	0.64	6.02	12.68
B3.2	B.6	2.14	0.52	0.65	5.79	12.08
B4.1	B.7	3.01	0.50	0.63	5.51	11.65
B4.2	B.8	0.83	0.64	0.75	2.74	5.37
B5.1	B.9	3.24	0.53	0.65	5.89	12.25
B6.1	B.10	1.43	0.49	0.63	2.59	5.51
B6.2	B.11	0.57	0.58	0.69	1.70	3.43
B7.1	B.12	1.81	0.51	0.64	4.64	9.76
B7.2	B.13	1.41	0.53	0.66	3.86	8.02
B8	B.14	6.10	0.27	0.49	6.10	19.03
B9	POND 1	8.00	0.22	0.48	5.88	22.10
C1.1	C.1	1.64	0.53	0.66	3.01	6.25
C1.2	C.1	1.25	0.30	0.52	1.37	3.92
C2.1	C.2	1.68	0.42	0.59	2.60	6.10
C2.2	C.2	1.34	0.59	0.70	4.06	8.18
C3.1	<u>C.3</u>	4.04	0.33	0.52	4.33	11.56
C3.2	C.3	1.58	0.59	0.70	4.43	8.90
C4.1	0.4	1.63	0.57	0.69	4.68	9.53
C5.1	0.5	1.42	0.57	0.69	4.16	8.51
	C.6	2.74	0.53	0.60	5.// 1.40	12.10
07.1	C.7	0.02	0.47	0.62	1.40 6.06	3.31
C8 1	C.8	2.42	0.30	0.00	3.48	7 73
C9 1	C.9	3.30	0.53	0.66	7.06	14 94
C10 1	C 10	1.85	0.55	0.68	5.27	10.85
C11.1	C.11	7.80	0.24	0.47	6.13	20.43
C11.2	C.11	4.00	0.25	0.48	3.53	11.47
C12.1	C.12	1.68	0.27	0.49	1.74	5.37
C13.1	C.13, C.14	0.57	1.59	1.47	1.33	7.29
C14.1	C.15, C.16	2.82	0.44	0.62	4.65	10.69
C15	POND 2	11.15	0.34	0.59	15.10	33.23
D1.1	D.1	1.70	0.37	0.55	2.62	6.53
D1.2	D.3	1.20	0.42	0.59	1.92	4.54
D1.3	D.3	2.57	0.49	0.64	4.68	10.20
D2.1	POND WU	2.40	0.16	0.41	1.45	5.97
E	E.1	2.20	0.32	0.59	1.80	4.20
F	F.1	6.34	0.32	0.59	5.30	12.50
G	G.1	12.61	0.32	0.59	6.80	16.00
08-1	A.1	11.11	0.32	0.58	14.80	32.37
05-2.1		0.38	0.43	0.60	10.70	21.70
OS-2.2	DP3	26.52	0.39	0.57	36.10	72.20
OS-2.3	EX DP4, DP5	29.84	0.39	0.57	37.50	74.20
OS-2.4	EX DP13	6.30	0.45	0.61	8.40	17.20
OS-2.5	EX DP10	3.12	0.49	0.65	7.80	13.60
05-3.1		2.14	0.22	0.46	1.91	6.69
08-3.2		29.88	0.29	0.51	29.20	58.50
08-3.3	0.15	4.10	0.43	0.01	0.70	10.14 6.00
03-3.4		6.47	0.90	0.90	5.40	17 51
OS-5	POND WU	13.44	0.32	0.59	17.64	54.60

DEVEI	DESIGN POINT DEVELOPED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE BASIN SUMMARY						
Basin	Design Point	Area (acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Q ₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)	
А	OFF-SITE	3.70	0.13	0.38	5.70	8.13	
В	POND 1	39.23	0.42	0.59	70.45	162.84	
С	POND 2	55.82	0.34	0.60	91.15	214.68	
D	POND WU	7.87	0.35	0.54	10.66	27.24	
E	E.1	2.20	0.32	0.59	1.80	4.20	
F	F.1	6.34	0.32	0.59	5.30	12.50	
G	G.1	12.61	0.32	0.59	6.80	16.00	
OS-1	OFF-SITE	11.11	0.32	0.58	14.80	32.37	
OS-2	POND 1	72.16	0.40	0.58	100.50	198.90	
OS-3	POND 2	37.32	0.32	0.53	41.20	87.33	
OS-4	POND WU	6.47	0.24	0.48	5.28	17.51	
OS-5	POND WU	13.44	0.32	0.59	17.64	54.60	

	DEVELOPED CONDITIONS - SUMMARY OF FILING NO. 3 MDDP COMPARED TO 2010 FDR								
FIL NO. 3 MDDP/PDR			<u>2010 FDR</u>				DIFFE	RENCE	ULTIMATE DESIGN POINT
	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)		Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)		Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	
BASIN A + OS-1	20.5	40.5	BASIN A	20.5	40.5		0.0	0.0	OFF-SITE
BASIN B + OS-2 + E	172.8	365.9	BASIN B + OS-1 + OS-2 + E	159.8	316.8		13.0	49.1	POND 1
BASIN C + OS-3 + F	137.6	314.5	BASIN C + OS-3 + F	118.2	235.1		19.4	79.4	POND 2
BASIN D + OS-4 + OS-5	33.6	99.4	BASIN D	66.3	124.0		-32.7	-24.6	POND WU
TOTAL	364.5	820.3		364.8	716.4		-0.3	103.9	

How do these compare to proposed flows?

R

POND 1 TRIBUTARY AREA AND IMPERVIOUSNESS

Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 El Paso County, Colorado 6/1/2021

Basin No	Total Area	Effective Imperviousness
	(AC)	(%)
B1.1	2.41	73.4%
B2.1	1.48	70.0%
B2.2	1.35	70.2%
B2.3	2.13	71.1%
B3.1	3.32	69.6%
B3.2	2.14	70.7%
B4.1	3.01	69.1%
B4.2	0.83	79.8%
B5.1	3.24	70.9%
B6.1	1.43	68.4%
B6.2	0.57	74.8%
B7.1	1.81	69.6%
B7.2	1.41	71.2%
B8	6.10	28.9%
B9	8.00	25.4%
E	2.20	45.0%
Onsite Subtotal	41.43	54.5%
OS-2.1	6.38	53.2%
OS-2.2	26.52	49.1%
OS-2.3	29.84	48.8%
OS-2.4	6.30	54.4%
OS-2.5	3.12	59.2%
Offsite Subtotal	72.16	50.2%
TOTAL	113.59	51.8%

POND 2 TRIBUTARY AREA AND IMPERVIOUSNESS

Falcon Highlands Filing No. 3 El Paso County, Colorado 6/1/2021

Basin No	Total Area	Effective
04.4	(AC)	(%)
C1.1	1.64	/1.4%
C1.2	1.25	27.2%
C2.1	1.68	50.5%
C2.2	1.34	74.8%
C3.1	4.04	37.7%
C3.2	1.58	75.8%
C4.1	1.63	72.8%
C5.1	1.42	71.2%
C6.1	2.74	68.0%
C7.1	0.82	56.6%
C7.2	2.42	71.3%
C8.1	2.09	59.3%
C9.1	3.30	64.7%
C10.1	1.85	71.3%
C11.1	7.80	23.7%
C11.2	4.00	24.9%
C12.1	1.68	27.4%
C13.1	0.57	184.2%
C14.1	2.82	43.6%
C15	11.15	48.4%
F	6.34	45.0%
Onsite Subtotal	62.16	49.6%
OS-3.1	2.14	25.0%
OS-3.2	29.88	32.9%
OS-3.3	4.16	47.7%
OS-3.4	1.14	100.0%
Offsite Subtotal	37.32	36.2%
TOTAL	99.48	44.6%

Depth Increment = 1.00

ft

Optional

Project: FALCON HIGHLANDS FILING NO. 3 Basin ID: DETENTION POND 1 (BASIN B)

Optional User Override

1.19 inches
 1.15
 inches

 1.50
 inches

 1.75
 inches

 2.00
 inches
 2.25 inches 2.52 inches inches

T	 <u> </u>
VILLEN DATE WAST	-

Same a Store i mos -Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Watershed Information

Selected BMP Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	113.59	acres
Watershed Length =	3,500	ft
Watershed Length to Centroid =	800	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.010	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	51.80%	percen
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percen
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percen
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percen
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	1.999	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	6.852	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	5.133	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	6.809	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	8.153	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	10.225	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	12.247	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	14.804	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.14 in.) =	20.345	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	4.413	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	5.804	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	7.071	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	8.631	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	9.615	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	10.790	acre-feet

Define	Zones	and	Basin	Geometry	

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	1.999	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	4.853	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	3.938	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	10.790	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	261	ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	0.50	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	6.00	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC}) =	0.50	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (STC) =	0.004	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (Smain) =	4	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	5	

ł	523	Initial Surcharge Area (A _{ISV}) =
ł	22.9	Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$
ł	22.9	Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =
t	3.26	Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$
ł	850.9	Length of Basin Floor $(L_{FLOOR}) =$
t	185.9	Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =
ł	158,148	Area of Basin Floor $(A_{FLOOR}) =$
ł	182,300	Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =
ł	1.74	Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$
ł	864.8	Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$
ł	199.8	Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =
ł	172,773	Area of Main Basin $(A_{MAIN}) =$
ſ	287,807	Volume of Main Basin (VMAIN) =

ft 2 ft ft 2 ft ³

Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) = **10.804** acre-feet

Total Basin Volume with 1' of Freeboard = 14.938 acre-feet

August 2010 Final Drainage Report Pond 1 Designed for a total of 17 ac-ft

	Stage - Storage	Stage	Override	Length	Width	Area	Override	Area	Volume	Volume
	Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft ²)	Area (ft ²)	(acre)	(ft 3)	(ac-ft)
	Top of Micropool	0.00	6811.00	22.9	22.9	523		0.012		
	ISV	0.50	6812.00	22.9	22.9	523		0.012	261	0.006
		4.00	6912 50	22.0	22.0	500		0.042	500	0.040
		1.00	0012.30	22.9	22.9	523		0.012	523	0.012
		2.00	6813.00	276.9	72.9	20,172		0.463	8,753	0.201
		3.00	6814.00	530.9	122.9	65,221		1.497	49,333	1.133
	Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.48	6815.00	652.8	146.9	95,867		2.201	87,760	2.015
		4.00	6815.48	784.9	172.9	135,670		3.115	147,662	3.390
	Floor	4.26	6816.00	850.9	185.9	158,148		3.631	185,821	4.266
	Zone 2 (EURV)	<mark>4.96</mark>	6816.26	856.5	191.5	163,985		3.765	298,564	6.854
		5.00	6816.96	856.8	191.8	164,320		3.772	305,130	7.005
	Zone 3 (100-year)	5.98	6817.00	864.7	199.6	172,603		3.962	470,212	10.795
		6.00	6817 98	864.8	199.8	172,773		3.966	473,666	10.874
	1-FT FREEBOARD	7.00	6919.00	872.8	207.8	181.354		4,163	650.718	14,938
	-	8.00	6910.00	880.8	215.8	190.063		4,363	836.416	19.201
r Overrides		9.00	0013.00	888.8	223.8	198 899		4 566	1 030 886	23 666
Jacre-feet		10.00		896.8	231.8	207 864		4 772	1 234 257	28.335
acro foot		11.00		004.9	220.9	216.057		4 091	1 446 657	22 211
inchos		12.00		012.9	233.0	210,007		F 102	1,000	20 207
inches inches		12.00		020.0	247.0	220,170		5.152	1,000,214	43.500
inches		13.00		920.8	255.6	235,527		5.407	1,099,055	43.590
inches		14.00		928.8	203.0	245,003		5.625	2,139,310	49.112
inches		15.00		936.8	271.8	254,608		5.845	2,389,105	54.846
inches		16.00		944.8	279.8	264,341		6.068	2,648,569	60.803
inches		17.00		952.8	287.8	274,202		6.295	2,917,829	66.984
inches		18.00		960.8	295.8	284,190		6.524	3,197,015	73.393
		19.00		968.8	303.8	294,307		6.756	3,486,253	80.033
		20.00		976.8	311.8	304,552		6.992	3,785,672	86.907
		21.00		984.8	319.8	314,925		7.230	4,095,400	94.017
		22.00		992.8	327.8	325,426		7.471	4,415,564	101.367
		23.00		1,000.8	335.8	336,054		7.715	4,746,294	108.960
		24.00		1,008.8	343.8	346,811		7.962	5,087,716	116.798
		25.00		1,016.8	351.8	357,696		8.212	5,439,959	124.884
		26.00		1,024.8	359.8	368,709		8.464	5,803,151	133.222
		27.00		1,032.8	367.8	379,850		8.720	6,177.419	141.814
		28.00		1.040.8	375.8	391,118		8.979	6,562,892	150.663
		29.00		1 048 8	383.8	402 515		9 240	6 959 698	159 773
		30.00		1,056.8	391.8	414 040		9 505	7 367 965	169 145
		50.00		1,050.0	351.0	11,010		5.505	7,507,505	105.145
									-	
									+	
					L	L				
									<u> </u>	
									<u> </u>	
									├ ────	
									<u> </u>	
									\square	_
	L			1	1	1		1	1	1

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)





Project: FALCON HIGHLANDS FILING NO. 3 Basin ID: DETENTION POND 2 (BASIN C)

Optional User Overrides acre-feet acre-feet 1.19 inches
 1.10
 inches

 1.50
 inches

 1.75
 inches

 2.00
 inches
 2.25 inches 2.52 inches inches

T	(
vision and week		1
Turtent		-
		- TRIPERS

SHOTER OF 3041 1 m013 -Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Watershed Information

Selected BMP Type =	EDB							
Watershed Area =	99.48	acres						
Watershed Length =	2,300	ft						
Watershed Length to Centroid =	1,500	ft						
Watershed Slope =	0.010	ft/ft						
Watershed Imperviousness =	44.60%	percent						
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent						
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent						
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent						
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours						
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = User Input								

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	1.591	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	4.955	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	3.752	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	5.018	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	6.025	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	7.765	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	9.460	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	11.654	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.14 in.) =	16.389	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	3.170	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	4.186	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	5.135	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	6.328	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	7.109	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	8.128	acre-feet

Define	Zones	and	Basin	Geometry
Denne	201100	ana	Dubin	ocometry.

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	1.591	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	3.364	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	3.173	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	8.128	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	208	ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	0.50	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	6.00	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC}) =	0.50	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (STC) =	0.004	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (Smain) =	4	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	2.5	
		-

Initial Surcharge Area (A _{ISV}) =	416	ft ²
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	20.4	ft
Surcharge Volume Width $(W_{ISV}) =$	20.4	ft
Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$	1.66	ft
Length of Basin Floor $(L_{FLOOR}) =$	442.0	ft
Width of Basin Floor $(W_{FLOOR}) =$	186.4	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A _{FLOOR}) =	82,391	ft 2
Volume of Basin Floor $(V_{FLOOR}) =$	49,058	ft ³
Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$	3.34	ft
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	468.8	ft
Width of Main Basin $(W_{MAIN}) =$	213.1	ft
Area of Main Basin (A _{MAIN}) =	99,896	ft 2
Volume of Main Basin (V) =	303 040	д 3

 Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =
 303,949
 ft ³

 Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =
 8.113
 acre-feet
 Total Basin Volume with 1' of Freeboard = 10.479 acre-feet

August 2010 Final Drainage Report Pond 1 Designed for a total of 9.43 ac-ft

Depth Increment =	1.00	ft							
Change Changes	Channe	Optional	Laught	146.466	Area 2	Optional	A	Volumo	Maluma
Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft ²)	Area (ft ²)	(acre)	(ft ³)	(ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	0.00	6811.00	20.4	20.4	416		0.010		
ISV	0.50	6811.50	20.4	20.4	416		0.010	208	0.005
	1.00	6812.00	20.4	20.4	416		0.010	416	0.010
	2.00	6813.00	274.4	120.4	33,034		0.758	12,907	0.296
Floor	2.66	6813.66	442.0	186.4	82,391		1.891	49,781	1.143
Zone 1 (WQCV)	2.90	6813.90	444.0	188.3	83,601		1.919	69,699	1.600
	3.00	6814.00	444.8	189.1	84,107		1.931	78,085	1.793
	4.00	6815.00	452.8	197.1	89,242		2.049	164,749	3.782
Zone 2 (EURV)	4.5/	6815.57	457.3	201./	92,226		2.11/	216,465	4.969
	5.00	6816.00	460.8	205.1	94,505		2.1/0	256,612	5.891
Zone 3 (100-year)	6.01	6817.00	468.8	213.1	99.950		2.200	354 801	8 145
1-FT FREEBOARD	7.00	6818.50	476.8	221.1	105,415		2.420	456,446	10.479
	8.00	6819.50	484.8	229.1	111,062		2.550	564,674	12.963
	9.00		492.8	237.1	116,837		2.682	678,612	15.579
	10.00		500.8	245.1	122,740		2.818	798,390	18.329
	11.00		508.8	253.1	128,770		2.956	924,134	21.215
	12.00		516.8	261.1	134,929		3.098	1,055,973	24.242
	13.00		524.8	269.1	141,216		3.242	1,194,035	27.411
	14.00		532.8	2//.1	14/,631		3.389	1,338,448	30.727
	15.00		540.8	285.1	154,174		3.539	1,489,340	34.191
	17.00		556.8	295.1	167 644	-	3.849	1.811.072	37.60b 41.577
	18.00		564.8	309.1	174.571		4,008	1,982.169	45,504
	19.00		572.8	317.1	181,626		4.170	2,160,257	49.593
	20.00		580.8	325.1	188,808		4.334	2,345,463	53.844
	21.00		588.8	333.1	196,119		4.502	2,537,916	58.263
	22.00		596.8	341.1	203,558		4.673	2,737,744	62.850
	23.00		604.8	349.1	211,125		4.847	2,945,075	67.610
	24.00		612.8	357.1	218,820		5.023	3,160,037	72.544
	25.00		620.8	365.1	226,643		5.203	3,382,758	77.657
	20.00		626.8	3/3.1	234,594		5.386	3,013,366	82.951
	27.00		644.8	301.1	242,073		5.3/1	4 008 754	00.429
	20.00		652.8	397.1	259,000		5.951	4 353 790	99.949
	30.00		660.8	405.1	267,677		6.145	4,617,225	105,997
F									
								+	
								+	
						-			
								-	
1				1	1	1		1	L

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.04 (February 2021)





APPENDIX F

DRAINAGE MAPS



Basin	Design Point	Area (acres)	C5	C100	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)				
A	OFF-SITE	3.70	0.09	0.36	1.13	7.62				
В	POND 1	39.20	0.11	0.44	4.51	30.29				
С	POND 2	55.82	0.09	0.36	15.79	106.00				
D	POND WU	7.87	0.09	0.36	2.56	17.17				
E	POND 1	2.20	0.09	0.36	1.80	4.20				
F	POND 2	6.34	0.09	0.36	5.30	12.50				
G	POND WU	12.61	0.09	0.36	6.80	16.00				
OS-1.1	OFF-SITE	9.41	0.12	0.38	14.82	32.31				
OS-1.2	OFF-SITE	6.38	0.30	0.50	10.70	21.70				
OS-2.1	POND 1	26.52	0.39	0.57	36.10	72.20				
OS-2.2	POND 1	29.84	0.39	0.57	37.50	74.20				
OS-2.3	POND 1	6.30	0.45	0.61	8.40	17.20				
OS-2.4	POND 1	3.12	0.30	0.50	7.80	13.60				
OS-3.1	POND 2	1.60	0.22	0.46	1.42	5.00				
OS-3.2	POND 2	29.88	0.29	0.51	29.20	58.50				
OS-3.3	POND 2	4.16	0.43	0.61	6.70	16.14				
OS-3.4	POND 2	1.14	0.90	0.96	3.40	6.00				
OS-4	POND WU	6.47	0.24	0.48	5.28	17.51				
OS-5	POND WU	13.97	0.09	0.36	4.73	31.73				



81

Existing map should no

nave any proposed

ems shown.

boundary/property line is. Please

label all adjacent property owners

Missing Design Points - Please add

Indicate/show where offsite flows nter the site & where flows exit the

Include and label all existing storm facilities (channels, culverts, sotrm

LEGEND

PROPERTY BOUNDARY EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY PROPOSED LOT LINE EXISTING LOT LINE — — — — EXISTING SECTION LINE PROPOSED EASEMENT EXISTING EASEMENT PROPOSED CONTOURS EXISTING CONTOURS

BASIN ID

BASIN

ΎΧ

DESIGN POINT ID

MAJOR BASIN BOUNDARY MINOR BASIN BOUNDARY

Should match plan



ов 21000656

EX-01

HEET NO. 1





EX-03



		DRA		ASIN SL	IMMAR	Y			Q I		
	Basin	Design Point	Area (acres)	C5	C100	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)				
	C1.1	C.1	1.64	0.53	0.66	3.01	6.25	Kno	w what's be Call befor	e low. e you d	lig.
V 🥂 //?	C1.2	C.1	1.25	0.30	0.52	1.37	3.92	THE	LOCATIONS	OF EXIST	TING ARE
	C2.1	C.2	1.68	0.42	0.59	2.60	6.10	SHOW ON INDEP	N IN AN APPI LY AND HAVE ENDENTLY VE	ROXIMATE E NOT BE RIFIED B	E WAY EEN Y THE
	C2.2	C.2	1.34 4.04	0.59	0.70	4.00 4.33	8.18 11.56	OWNEH THE CO THE	R OR ITS RE NTRACTOR SH EXACT LOCA	PRESENT	TERMIN
	C3.2	C.3	1.58	0.59	0.70	4.43	8.89	COMMEN BE FUL	ISTING UILLI ICING WORK, LLY RESPON	IES BEFU AND AGF SIBLE FO	RE REES T R ANY
	C4.1	C.4	1.63	0.57	0.69	4.68	9.53	AND AL OCCASI FAILURI	L DAMAGES	WHICH MI CONTRA Y LOCA	GHI D ACTOR' TE ANI
	C5.1	C.5	1.42	0.57	0.69	4.16	8.51		RESERVE AN I NDERGROUND	(AND AL UTILITIE	_L _S
	C6.1	C.6	2.74	0.53	0.66	5.77	12.10		NOTIC	E: SAFETY	IS THE
PUND WU	C7.1	C.7	0.82	0.47	0.62	1.48	3.31	CONTRA NOR EXF	ACTOR; NEITH THE ENGINEE	LITE SHALL SSUME A	OWNER BE
$-\langle$			2.42	0.50	0.61	6.90 2.48	14.29 7 73	RESPO THE WO IN TH	ONSIBILITY FO ORK, OF PERS IF WORK, OF	OR SAFET SONS EN ANY NE	'Y OF GAGED ARBY
/	C9.1	C.0	∠.0 3 3.30	0.40	0.66	7.06	14.94	STRUC	TURES, OR C PERSON	OF ANY (NS.	THER
	C10.1	C.10	1.85	0.55	0.68	5.27	10.85	COPYR REPR WIT	IGHT © 2021 CODUCTION SH	ATWELL HALL BE	LLC NO
	C11.1	C.11	7.80	0.24	0.47	6.13	20.43	C	ONSENT OF A	ATWELL L	f i ein LC
)S-5\\	C11.2	C.11	4.00	0.25	0.48	3.53	11.47			Eog	
	C13.1	C.13, C.14	0.57	1.59	1.47	1.33	7.29			oup.)
5.4 55 6//	C14.1	C.15, C.16	2.82	0.44	0.62	4.65	10.69			l-gr	- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	C15	POND 2	11.15	0.34	0.59	15.10	33.23				8027 00
		C 11	וט.21 ס 1 <u>4</u>	0.32	0.59	6.80 1 Ω1	16.00			rv.at Fvar	, co 62.11
	OS-3.2	FX DP10	2.1 4 29.88	0.22	0.40	29.20	58.50		5		V00D,
	OS-5	POND WU	13.44	0.32	0.59	17.64	54.60				AKEW
				V	V.C.					0.42	
G 12.6 6.8	~								250		
16.0								HOMES	IVE STE. 2 (INGS, CO	-5192	RS
				LEGEN	D			ALLENGER	LORER DR RADO SPR 80920	(719) 598-	JIM BYE
	/			PROPER EXISTING PROPOS	TY BOUN G RIGHT- ED LOT	NDARY -OF-WAY LINE		Ĕ	8605 EXP COLO		
the second secon		BAS AREA O		EXISTING PROPOS EXISTING PROPOS EXISTING BASIN II DESIGN MAJOR MINOR E	G LOT LI G SECTIC ED EASE G EASEM ED CONT G CONTO CONTO D POINT IE BASIN BO	NE IN LINE IMENT ENT TOURS URS URS			FALCON HIGHLANDS FILING NO. 3 EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO	DRAINAGE MAP	UEVELOPED CONDITIONS
	1							DATE	 SUBMITTAL T 00/(0 JURISE	DICTIO – JC
		100 I	0	100)	200 I					
	ľ		(IN 1 inch	FEET) 100 ft							
	J		1 111011	= 100 10	•				REVISIO	ONS	
	T										
	I										
									^ \ A \	~·· [וחר
										. 1	

юв 21000656

EX-04

HEET NO. 1



Q100 (cfs)	Клоч		low	
6.53 4.54 10.20 5.97 16.14 17.51	THE UNDI SHOWN ONL INDEPE OWNER THE COT THE EXI COMMEN: BE FULL AND ALL OCCAST	LOCATIONS ERGROUND U IN AN APPF Y AND HAVE NTRACTOR SH EXACT LOCA STING UTILITII CING WORK, LY RESPONS AMAGES	OF EXIST TILITIES ROXIMATE NOT BE RIFIED B PRESENT HALL DET TION OF ES BEFO AND AGF SIBLE FO WHICH MI CONTO	IIG. ING ARE E WAY EN Y THE ATIVE. TERMINE ALL RE RE SEES TO R ANY GHT BE GTOP'S
54.60	CONSTRI SOLE CONSTRI SOLE CONTRA NOR EXP RESPC THE WCI STRUC	TO EXACTL' TO EXACTL' RESERVE ANY DERGROUND NOTICE JCTION SITE RESPONSIBIL <u>CTOR</u> ; NEITH THE ENGINEE ECTED TO AS NSIBILITY FO RK, OF PERS E WORK, OF O TURES, OR O	CUNIRA AND AL UTILITIE C: SAFETY E: SAFETY FR THE R SHALL SSUME A R SAFET SONS EN ANY NEA F ANY C	IS THE IS THE IS THE THE OWNER . BE NY Y OF GAGED ARBY)THER
	COPYRIA REPRA WITH CC	PERSON PERSON DUCTION SH DUCT THE PR DNSENT OF A	ATWELL ALL BE IOR WRIT TWELL LI	LLC NO MADE ITEN LC
		ATWELL	6.850.4200 www.atwell-group.co	LAKEWOOD, CO 80228 303.462.1100
			86	
	CHALLENGER HOMES	8605 EXPLORER DRIVE STE. 250 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80920	(719) 598–5192	JIM BYERS
	CHALLENGER HOMES	LCON HIGHLANDS FILING NO. 3 EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO	DRAINAGE MAP	
	CLIENT CLIENT	E F F		
	A 1st :	SUBMITTAL T 00/C	O JURISE 00/20XX	DICTION - JOE
		REVISIO	DNS	
	DR. P.M. F	AMC C	CH. F	RDL

DRAINAGE BASIN SUMMARY										
Design Point	Area (acres)	C5	C100	Q5 (cfs)						

	Basin	Design Point	Area (acres)	C5	C100	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
	D1.1	D.1	1.70	0.37	0.55	2.62	6.53
	D1.2	D.3	1.20	0.42	0.59	1.92	4.54
	D1.3	D.4	2.57	0.49	0.64	4.68	10.20
	D2.1	POND WU	2.4	0.16	0.41	1.45	5.97
(DS-3.3	C.15	4.16	0.43	0.61	6.70	16.14
	OS-4	D.1	6.47	0.24	0.48	5.28	17.51
	OS-5	POND WU	13.44	0.32	0.59	17.64	54.60

LEGEND

----- EXISTING SECTION LINE

----- EXISTING EASEMENT

EXISTING CONTOURS

BASIN

ΥΧ

PROPERTY BOUNDARY EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY

PROPOSED LOT LINE

EXISTING LOT LINE

PROPOSED EASEMENT

PROPOSED CONTOURS

DESIGN POINT ID

MINOR BASIN BOUNDARY

BASIN ID

MAJOR BASIN BOUNDARY

(IN FEET) 1 inch = 50 ft.



юв 21000656

EX-05

SHEET NO. 1

Master Development Drainage Plan (MDDP)_V1 comment.pdf Markup Summary 12-14-2021

CDurham (87)			
SKP-21-004	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 1 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 8:31:49 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:		SKP-21-004
States of the state of the s	Subject: Highlight Page Label: 2 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 8:50:04 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:		Section 51.1 of the El Paso County Land Development Code as amended.
Vera di Antantanta i da antanta di antanta di antanta Catal appane harara di antanta di antanta Antanta di Antanta di Antanta di Antanta di Antanta Antanta di Antanta di Antant	Subject: Callout Page Label: 2 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 9:04:52 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:		change to " requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 & 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code, as amended.
Address free the detail procise property on management theory of the property of the second	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 4 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:21:07 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:		Address how this sketch plan is proposing an increased density & lot count from previously approved report.
<i>Drainage Rep</i> This new Ma and FDR for The entire sit	Subject: Line Page Label: 4 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:19:41 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:		
Hop No. 2.4 J. Fund Decomposition of the development of Filing in Doning Plan speed called Sepandra 2003 was observed to the speed of the speed of the speed error and the speed of the speed of the speed of the speed of the speed No. 2 and 4 spee	Subject: Callout Page Label: 4 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:20:30 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:		Application states 114.9 acres.

the east and ely 127.8 aci units includi	Subject: Highlight Page Label: 4 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:22:02 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	127.8
A set of the set of th	Subject: Callout Page Label: 4 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:23:35 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include acreage of open space area
Appropriation of "Marine experiment back on the second	Subject: Highlight Page Label: 5 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:24:26 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	The ALTA survey conducted by Atwell, LLC
re are n and Nora Filing Filing i with gional V- 2	Subject: Callout Page Label: 5 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:24:37 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Not a full sentence
A for the blo of a field during even the observation of the during of th	Subject: Callout Page Label: 5 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:29:39 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Appendix only has pond voluming sizing information. Details of pond design will be included with the Final Drainage Report for the site. Please revise statement accordingly.
 Poppen ULIAM/ Results the my flowlade. If Parc Camp of Sockales. If Parc Camp percent and the Camp software percent and the Camp software percent and the Camp software the Camp software and the Camp software camp software and the Camp software software and the Camp	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 6 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:35:28 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include discussion of recommendations made in approved DBPS's (Sand Creek & Falcon) for the site.

An anomaly and any one of the state of the s	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 6 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 2:38:08 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include copies of the drainage calculations and maps referenced from these reports in the appendix.
Aggrega Barada and an	Subject: Highlight Page Label: 7 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 3:02:24 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Previous studies show the delineation between the two basins.
In the set when it is the later, then the set of the s	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 7 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 3:02:58 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Needs to be shown on drainage maps in appendix.
An upper within the Takima Bankin, Thurkin, Ba. Hand Yong. Thurking and the second se	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 7 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 3:04:21 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Sub-basins are not warranted at this level. You may choose to leave them in, but they will not be reviewed until next level of drainage report.
which can be far of counts that if the 2.5 M and a state of the 2.5 M and a state of the 2.5 M and a state of the 2.5 M and the	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 7 Author: CDurham Date: 12/9/2021 3:19:58 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Areas for OS-2 sub-basins do not match area from OS-2. If all of OS-2 does not contribute to development area, remove this basin from the discussion.
Spring and into a put ostation OS-2.2? OS-2.1 ar Runoff fre	Subject: Callout Page Label: 7 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:39:18 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	OS-2.2?

utinuary estimation for the 1. HEALTER AND A CONTACT AND	Subject: Callout Page Label: 7 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:41:09 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Basin does not appear to be near Bridal Veil Way, missing boundary lines on map.
• 13.6 cfs) is an off-site sub-basin within the rad is an off-site basin that was included in cf flows due south in Filing No. 2 and is No. 2 which is conserved the team year of the south result of the s	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 8 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:42:00 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Missing basin on drainage map. Please include
	Subject: Callout Page Label: 9 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:45:46 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Is pond existing? If not, then remove from discussion.
release rate of the pond. T in Existing pond? If pla Basin F (6,34 ac, Q := 5. Detention Pond 2. The ru inline inlet will capture th	Subject: Callout Page Label: 10 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:46:29 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Existing pond?
Image busines have been definemed rates by the second description of the second description of the second description of the second description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second description of the description of the second description of the second descript	Subject: Callout Page Label: 11 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:51:36 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Historic flow not utilized for any of basins in proposed conditions. Please remove reference.
	Subject: Highlight Page Label: 11 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:51:45 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Pervious factors for historic flow analysis have been determined to be 2% by the MHFD

In the Part and a start in the start and start 1.144 of a first start and start in the start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and start and start and start and start 1.144 of a first start and st	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 12 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:55:03 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Sub-basins are not warranted at this level. You may choose to leave them in, but they will not be reviewed until next level of drainage report.
rend for Brands To state A dense A den	Subject: Callout Page Label: 13 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 8:59:04 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Not able to verify any of the structures information as was not included in the appendix.
	Subject: Callout Page Label: 17 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:04:05 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Not able to verify any of the structures information as was not included in the appendix.
nd 1 was odeling. structure its to the	Subject: Callout Page Label: 19 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:12:55 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	2?
Sprop Denning Criteria manun Sorin Draing Criteria Manual, will not be sprography difficuted as h Sector Create and Factors Resin DBPB Sector Create and Factors Resin DBPB Sector Resin and Sector Resin DBPB Sector Resin and Sector Resin DBPB Sector Resin and Sector Resin BBPB Sector Resin and Sector Resin Resin and Sector Resin Resin and Sector Residence	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 20 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:15:45 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Need to include discussion on Sand Creek and Falcon Basin DBPS recommendations for the area and how they are being addressed.
ual, with no requested variances. Downstre as historic drainage patterns and allowable rel include analysis of Pond WU, showing pond still on changes discussed in report.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 20 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:16:21 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include analysis of Pond WU, showing pond still functions adequately based on changes discussed in report.

clease rates are planned Include discussion section & analysis of channels exiting site, to show they are adequate to handle flows.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 20 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:44:45 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include discussion section & analysis of channels exiting site, to show they are adequate to handle flows.
9) URS Section for Regional Detenti 10) Sand Creek DBPS 11) Falcon DBPS	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 21 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:19:10 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	10) Sand Creek DBPS 11) Falcon DBPS
Missing Basins OS-2 & OS-3, as described in report.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 55 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:20:36 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Missing Basins OS-2 & OS-3, as described in report.
Note: Stab basises are not predeted at this stage. They may be left in but will not be reviewed until later submissions.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 55 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:21:27 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Note: Sub basins are not needed at this stage. They may be left in but will not be reviewed until later submissions.
	Subject: Cloud+ Page Label: 55 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:22:51 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Should be using Historical flow coefficients (0.09 & 0.36). Basin uses are all known.
Place this sheet in front of char proposed spreadsheet calculations.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 56 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:26:27 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Place this sheet in front of other proposed spreadsheet calculations.

ADJUNESS - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - PROPOSED CONDITIONS 	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 56 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:24:34 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	-PROPOSED CONDITIONS
Note: Sub basins are not needed at the stage. They needed until their submessions.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 56 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:26:09 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Note: Sub basins are not needed at this stage. They may be left in but will not be reviewed until later submissions.
Association from Connection fr	Subject: Callout Page Label: 56 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:27:34 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Missing from Drainage Plan
	Subject: Cloud+ Page Label: 56 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:27:18 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	For open space areas, assume 10% impervious area for C values.
	Subject: Callout Page Label: 56 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:27:54 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Labeled as OS-1.1 on plan.
APPENDIAE HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS More this sheet to it hom of Pand generalities	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 57 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:28:25 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Move this sheet to in front of Pond spreadsheets

Include copies of pages from previous reports taken from.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 58 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:29:02 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include copies of pages from previous reports where information was taken from.
A service of the serv	Subject: Callout Page Label: 59 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:29:31 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Update based on changes to C-values.
Area (acres) Cs C100 Cs Cs <thcs< th=""> <thcs< th=""> Cs</thcs<></thcs<>	Subject: Line Page Label: 62 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:30:09 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	
DESIGN POINT NS DRAINAGE BASIN SU Area C ₅ C ₁₀₀ Q ₅ (cfs	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 62 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:30:17 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	DESIGN POINT
TON No. 3 PROPOSED CONDITIONS Ido RAVEL THE LORG 01 URBANEED	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 63 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:24:56 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	-PROPOSED CONDITIONS
Note: Sub basins are not needed at this stage. They may basit to bat will not be submissions.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 63 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:30:30 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Note: Sub basins are not needed at this stage. They may be left in but will not be reviewed until later submissions.

TIONS D. 3 UPROPOSED CONDITIONS to 3	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 64 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:25:03 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	-PROPOSED CONDITIONS
No.1 21 Yes No.00 100 No.4 20 Yes 100 100 No.4 20 Yes 20 Yes 100	Subject: Callout Page Label: 64 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:31:33 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Inlet design not needed until preliminary plan/PUD stage
IONS 1.3 -PROPOSED CONDITIONS 0	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 65 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:25:10 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	-PROPOSED CONDITIONS
TIONS 2.3 -PROPOSED CONDITIONS 0	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 66 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:25:15 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	-PROPOSED CONDITIONS
TIONS). 3 PPROPOSED CONDITIONS 0	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 67 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:25:21 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	-PROPOSED CONDITIONS
Area acres) Cs C _{teo} Qs (cfs) C 370 0.13 0.38 5.70 5	Subject: Line Page Label: 69 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:32:04 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	
DESIGN POINT VS DKAINAGE BASIN SUI rea cs C ₁₀₀ Q ₆ (cfs)	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 69 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:32:14 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	DESIGN POINT
--	--	--
12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	Subject: Callout Page Label: 70 Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:33:02 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	How do these compare to proposed flows?
Dense - Proposed rem stratification environment of the Processing Difference - 13,80 AC	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:34:05 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Delete - Proposed items should not be shown on this map.
Differs Proposed from the out of the theorem of the most of the mo	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:34:22 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Delete - Proposed items should not be shown on this map.
Update and	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:34:31 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Update label and area to match spreadsheet & narrative
	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:35:16 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Area does not match spreadsheet & narrative

Annua Lind A Borg Ind a proposition of the Annual View of the Annual Annua	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:35:29 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Remove label - Basin not in spreadsheet or narrative
	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:36:08 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Basin boundaries?
Show limits of Basin OB-2, if entirety of basin contributes to project site	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:36:25 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Show limits of Basin OS-2, if entirety of basin contributes to project site
Missing Basins OS-2.5	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:36:34 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Missing Basins OS-2.5
	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:36:57 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Existing map should not have any proposed items shown.
X DESION POINT ID UNLOR BASIN BOURDARY UNIOR BASIN BOURDARY UNIOR BASIN BOURDARY UNIOR BASIN BOURDARY UNIOR BASIN BOURDARY UNIOR BASIN BOURDARY	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:37:10 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Should match plan view

Head to tell where bootdory you only in each Proceed means were diarriguous disc	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:37:20 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Hard to tell where boundary/property line is. Please make more distinguishable.
lebel all adjacent propeny owners	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:37:34 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	label all adjacent property owners
Masing Design Points - Please add to plan	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:37:43 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Missing Design Points - Please add to plan
Show FEMA Rocopian	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:37:51 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Show FEMA floodplain
inductivities where office from even the site & where from out the site	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:37:59 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Indicate/show where offsite flows enter the site & where flows exit the site
Show offsate topography	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:38:07 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Show offsite topography

Include and label all existing storm facilities (channels, culvets, sorm servers, ctc)	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:38:17 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include and label all existing storm facilities (channels, culverts, sotrm sewers, etc)
Need to show basin boundary batasen Pation & Sand Creek Basins	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP-EX CONDITIONS Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:38:26 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Need to show basin boundary between Falcon & Sand Creek Basins
	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:38:46 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Missing in hydrology spreadsheets
Child Basin CG-1 Decemin Representation GS-11 9-4123	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:39:01 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Only Basin OS-1 shown in spreadsheet
Here are low green the weather and the second the second s	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:39:47 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Where and how does this basin get treated?
	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:40:33 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Basin boundaries?

DESCH PONT D MACR BASN BOU MNOR BASN BOU MNOR BASN BOU Dould meth plan	Subject: Callout Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:40:52 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Should match plan view
Drow FEMA Roodysen	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:41:39 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Show FEMA floodplain
05-3 POND 2 37/2 05-4 POND WU 6.4 05-8 POND WU 13/2 Includes and label of execting streme facilities (rhemosis, culvents, solem seelers, etc.) Sole Sole	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:41:41 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include and label all existing storm facilities (channels, culverts, sotrm sewers, etc)
Matting Design Points - Peake add to plan. Please label righ and low points.	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:41:53 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Missing Design Points - Please add to plan. Please label high and low points.
Ehow offalls topography	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:42:06 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Show offsite topography
Indicateshow when offaite flows enter the safe & where flows exit the site	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:42:17 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Indicate/show where offsite flows enter the site & where flows exit the site

Herd to show basin boundary activent Facon & Sand Crock Basine	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:42:25 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Need to show basin boundary between Falcon & Sand Creek Basins
37.32 0.52 0.53 41.30 E7.7 6.47 0.24 0.46 5.58 17.7 13.44 0.32 0.59 17.64 5.51 Model of the share boundary beams 0.50 17.64 5.51 Model of the share boundary beams 0.50 17.64 5.51	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:42:34 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Need to show basin boundary between Falcon & Sand Creek Basins
The shared and the behavior of a base of the base of t	Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] BASIN B-DC DRAINAGE MAP Author: CDurham Date: 12/13/2021 9:43:28 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	This sheet and the following 2 have not been reviewed at this time, as sub-basins are not warranted at this stage.