

SPRINGS				1	1	
OLYMPIC CITY USA	Site Address:	NA		Direction from Nearest Street	The second secon	er Mountain Ave., East
Tax Schedule Number(s):	6200000612			Intersection:	of Powers Blvd.	
TYPE OF PLAN(S) - Check	all that apply. No	te: MJ=Major Amendment	t; MN=Minor Amendm	ent; MM=Minor Mo	dification	
2020 Land Use Map A	mendment		Property E	Boundary Adjustr	nent	
Administrative Relief			PUD Conc	ept Plan	(New (N	U C MN C MM
Amendment to Plat R	estriction		⋉ PUD Deve	lopment Plan	New (N	U C MN C MM
Annexation			PUD Zone	-3		
Building Permit to Un	platted Land		I-cont.	ne Change		
Building Permit Prior			Subdivision	5 (5)	relim C Preli	m & Final (Final
CMRS No.	C1 C2	€3	Second .		esign (Proc	
	C New C M.	(*) (5)	Use Varia			CMN CMM
Conditional Use			☐ Vacation o		(1404) (141)	((2014) 17007
lead to the second seco		(IAHA (IAHAI	be and			
Coordinated Sign Plan			☐ Waiver of			
Development Agreen			Zone Cha	nge; Proposed Z	one:	
Development Plan				_		
Historic Preservation					○ New ○ M.	
Landscape Plan			terminal control of the control of t		C New C M.	CMN CMM
Master Plan	(New (M.	CMN CMM	FBZ Interi	m Use Plan		
Nonuse Variance			FBZ Mino	r Improvement Pl	lan	
Preservation Easemen	nt Adjustment		FBZ Warra	ant		
agrees that he or she is res landscaping, paving, lightin Signature of Property Owne				Cally	nd approved on	the final plan (including) 5/s/2e) Date
Signature of Developer		Date				
-	API	PLICANT CONTACT IN	FORMATION (plea	se print or type).	
Property Owner: Jovenchi	ILLC		C	Ontact Name: Dea	n Venezia	
E-Mail: dean@vintagedev.o	om			Phone:	(719) 5	28-5000
Developer: Same				ontact Name:		
E-Mail:				Phone:		
Consultant/Main Contact n	ame: John Mayr	ard			Phone:	(719) 471-0073
Address: 619 N. Cascade S	5t 200			City: Colora	ado Springs	
State: CO Zip Code	: 80903 E-N	lail: jmaynard@nescolor	rado.com			
PLANNER AUTHORIZA	TION: (CITY US	EONLY)				
		Project Blurb 🔲 E-mai	l to Admin. Initia	al Review Level: [AR CPC	DRB HP
Payment \$		Assigned to:			Da	te:
Receipt No.:		City File No: AF	R PUD 20-005	38		



DEVELOPMENT PLAN, CONDITIONAL USE AND USE VARIANCE SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST: This checklist is intended to assist in preparing a complete plan that will address all City development standards, requirements, and review criteria. The following information must be included with the plan submittal. If justified, the City may exempt any requirement. The Land Use Review Division may require additional information in accordance with City Code section 7.5.202.B.

<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Planner</u>
X General Development Application Form	
 1 copy of a Project Statement containing the following information: Description: Describe the project and/or land uses proposed; Justification: Justify the approval of the project and address the review criteria listed at the end of Issues: Explain how the issues identified during the pre-application process have been addressed 	
1 copy of a Development Plan showing all "Plan Contents" below	
Mineral Estate Owner Notification Certification Affidavit (Public Hearing Items ONLY)	
All plans, documents, and reports uploaded to Dropbox folder (Planner to send folder invite link through em	nail)
REPORTS & STUDIES: (to be determined at the pre-application or LDTC meetings) The reports and/or studies in appropriate qualified professional.	nust be prepared by the
NA 2 copies of a Geologic Hazard Study (EDRD & LUR)	
2 copies of a Drainage Study (WRE)	
NA 2 copies of a Traffic Impact Analysis (EDRD)	
Submittal of the <u>Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) Request Form</u> to Colorado Springs Utilities (CSU) Email completed form and map to waterplanning@csu.org or fax to 719-668-5651prior to applicatio	n submittal.
Submittal of the <u>Wastewater Facilities Master Report</u> to Colorado Springs Utilities (CSU) Email completed form and map to www.asterplansubmit@csu.org prior to application submittal.	
<u>PLAN CONTENTS</u> : All plans should be neat, clear, legible and drawn to a standard Engineer's scale. Inaccurate, i drawn plans may be rejected. Plans must not exceed 24 in. x 36 in. and should be folded no larger than 9 in. x 14 i hand corner exposed. Each Plan Sheet should show the following information:	
🔀 Development Plan name	
TBD City File Number	
Sheet number (i.e. 1 of X, 2 of X, etc.)	
▼ North arrow	
Scale, both written and graphic	
Space for City stamp in the bottom right corner	
Provide the following information on the Cover Sheet :	
▼ Vicinity Map	
▼ Sheet Index Map (for multiple sheets)	
Project name and description	
▼ Owner, Developer, and Applicant name	
▼ Date of preparation	
▼ Total development plan area in acres or square feet	
▼ Legal description	
	and the second s

PLAN CONTENTS: continued from previous page **Planner Applicant** NA Site address, if known Tax Schedule Number Name of master plan and City File Number (if applicable) Name of concept plan and City File Number (if applicable) FEMA floodplain statement including community map number and date. Indicate whether the site is or is not located within a designated floodplain. NA Proposed land uses and buildings with respective footprint and gross square footage and/or acreage NA Notes describing any existing or proposed easements permitting the use of property by others Parking information: Indicate the City Code formula used for the total number of parking spaces, the number of compact spaces, and the number of handicapped spaces both required and provided. Zone district and any applicable conditions of record with City Ordinance number NA Notes describing additional standards for specific uses (if applicable) NA Notes describing any approved variances which apply to the property, including City file number and approval date Notes describing the project's inclusion within a special district, improvement incorporation and/or its subjectivity to a development agreement (if applicable) Notes describing the use, ownership and maintenance of common areas, tracts, no-build and/or preservation areas and easements (if applicable) Geologic Hazard Study disclosure statement (if applicable): "This property is subject to the findings summary and conclusions ___, which identified the following specific geologic hazard on of a Geologic Hazard Report prepared by_____ dated_ ___ . A copy of said report has been placed within file #_ the property: of the City of Colorado Springs Planning and Development Team. Contact or within the subdivision file the Planning and Development Team, 30 South Nevada Avenue, Suite 105, Colorado Springs, CO, if you would like to review said report." If within an airport overlay, the following note must be added: "An avigation easement effecting the subject property and development is therein established by the "Subdivision Plat Name" subdivision plat. This easement is subject to the terms and conditions as specified in the instrument recorded under reception no. 217069667 of the records of El Paso County, Colorado." Approximate schedule of development Public Facilities - A note shall be placed on the site plan making reference to the public facilities requirements for the installation and construction and/or contributions. PUD Projects: indicated the City ordinance number and approved land use types, maximum building heights and the intensity or density of development. Residential Projects: indicate the potential housing types and the number of lots and/or units, maximum density range, minimum lot area and width, minimum front, side and rear setbacks, maximum building height, and maximum lot coverage. Indicate the average lot size for DFOZ overlay and small lot PUD projects. Non-residential Projects: Indicate the potential land use types and approximate site area and building use, floor area, minimum lot area and width, minimum front, side and rear setbacks, building height and percent of lot coverage. Indicate the total percent of site covered with both structures and impervious surfaces. The following categories explain the graphic components required. The information may be shown on multiple sheets.

1 (200 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (40 (
LAND USE:	
NA City boundaries (when the development plan area is adjacent to a city boundary)	
▼ Property boundaries and dimensions	
Existing and proposed lots and tract lines, with dimensions	
Existing and proposed land uses within the property boundaries. Include area, dimensions, and densities (if applicable).	
💢 Existing and proposed zone district boundaries	
🕱 Existing and proposed public or private open space and common areas. Provide sizes and dimensions.	

PLAN CONTENTS: continued from previous page.

App	olicant Control of the Control of th	<u>Planner</u>
NA	Existing streams and other natural features as shown in the approved Land Suitability Analysis. Show preservation easements and/or protection areas.	
NA	Existing historic sites and resources	
X	Existing and proposed topography at two-foot maximum contour intervals	<u>Ц</u>
X	Show existing and proposed easements, indicating dimensions, use and maintenance information	
X	Location and dimensions of building and landscape setbacks and buffers	
X		
[¥	Show the locations of any water quality features OFF Site	
S	REETS & ALLEYS:	
X	Existing and proposed streets, intersections, street names, classifications with the exact location and widths of right-of-ways and pavement types, curb types and other street improvements	
X	Identify all streets as "public" or "private"	
>	Show and label all access points to the property from adjacent streets and alleys	
X	Show and label all speed line of sight visibility areas at all street intersections	
×	- Identify maintenance responsibilities	
NA	Show all existing and proposed acceleration and deceleration lanes, including dimensions, length and width	
Ē	Show any existing or proposed encroachments into the public right-of-way that require a Revocable Permit	
<u> </u>	Provide typical cross-sections for all proposed streets and alleys	
2	IDEWALKS & TRAILS: Show all existing and proposed locations, dimensions and surface materials of all sidewalks, trail and bicycle pathways. Note the condition of these facilities.	
5	Show pedestrian ramps at all pedestrian crossings, at all intersections with reference made to City standard type	
NA	Show any and all sidewalks connecting building entries to exterior and public sidewalks	
2	Show and label existing and proposed public improvement easements for sidewalks and pedestrian ramps outside of dedicated right-of-way areas	
	For detached sidewalks, show the distance from the back of curb to the edge of sidewalk	
NA	If applicable, show the size and location and provide a detail of bicycle storage/parking racks	
	NTERNAL TRAFFIC CIRCULATION, DRIVEWAYS, AISLES, & FIRE LANES:	
	Provide location, grade, dimensions and pavement material for all access travel-ways including driveways, drive aisles, fire lanes, curb cuts, and intersections. Call out City standard details if within City right-of-way or easements.	
NA	Show and label any access easements, existing or proposed	
NA	Show the location and dimensions of all loading and maneuvering areas and stacking lanes. Provide pavement type, as well.	
	Identify location and type of any curbs and/or sides of roadways and extents thereof to be marked as fire lane(s)	
 [For residential projects, indicate the minimum length of driveways from garage door to property line and to back of sidewalk	
NA	Show any noise mitigation methods (i.e. sound barrier walls, etc.), if applicable	
<u>F</u>	PARKING LOTS, AREAS, & SPACES:	
NA	Location and dimensions of parking lots/areas and drive aisles. Indicate pavement material.	
NA	ALocation and number of all regular, compact, and handicapped spaces and access aisles.	
NA	Provide a typical or detail with dimensions of typical regular and compact parking spaces types	

PLAN CONTENTS: continued from previous pages	an mane (************************************
Applicant -	Planner
NA Provide a detail with dimensions of typical handicap parking spaces, side aisles, ramp design and location, and signage	
NA Indicate the type of curbs and provide details for the perimeter enclosure for parking areas and landscape islands	
ADA SITE ACCESSIBILITY:	
Provide ADA accessible route from public right-of-way with clear identification of the corridor	
(Note: 60% of all public entrances must meet the ADA Standards 200.4.1)	
Provide ADA accessible parking stalls (location and quantity with adjacent aisles and signage. Include clear identification of ADA route from stalls to designated ADA building entry	
Provide ADA accessible ramps along all ADA accessible corridors	
Provide ADA Design Professional Standards notes on plan, per below:	
The parties responsible for this plan have familiarized themselves with all current accessibility criteria and specifications and the proposed plan reflects all site elements required by the applicable ADA design standards and guidelines as published by the United States Department of Justice. Approval of this plan by the City of Colorado Springs does not assure compliance with the ADA or any other Federal or State accessibility laws or any regulations or guidelines enacted or promulgated under or with respect to such laws. Sole responsibility for compliance with Federal and State accessibility laws lies with the property owner.	ı
BUILDINGS & STRUCTURES:	
Indicate the use for all buildings	
NA Show the exact location, dimensions, footprint, size and height of buildings	ᆜ
NA Show the exact distance to the closest property line(s)	
Location and type for all freestanding and low-profile signs	
🔀 Location, type, materials, size and height with detailed exhibits for all fences, retaining walls and sound barrier walls	
NA -Location, type, materials, size and height with detailed exhibit for all trash enclosures	
BUILDING ELEVATION DRAWINGS:	
Show all sides of the building, indicating height, scale, design, materials, and colors. Note: The purpose for reviewing building elevations during development plan review is to ensure compatibility between the proposed structures and the surrounding properties. It is understood that the proposed building design will evolve and may not be finalized until time of building permit. It that time, the City will review the elevations for substantial compliance. Major changes may be considered administratively.	$ng \sqcap$
SITE LIGHTING:	
Location of all site exterior light fixtures including freestanding and those attached to a building if known (if attached to a building, show also on the elevation drawings)	
NA Indicate the type of light (e.g. metal halide)	
Ensure all lighting is full cut-off and provide a detail of each light with mounting or pole height details, wattage and lumens of each fixture	f _
NA Show the type and location of existing and proposed street-lights, if this information is available	
A photometric plan may be required for certain uses that are adjacent to other less intensive uses, uses that are often A photometric plan may be required for certain uses that are adjacent to other less intensive uses, uses that are often A photometric plan may be required on a case by case bas and the planner will notify the applicant as early in the process as possible	is,
If no exterior lights are proposed, then a note shall be added to specifically state that no site lighting will be provided for the project	пе 🔲
PHASING PLAN:	
Phase area boundaries and sequence	
Provide phase timing and sequencing information for the construction of the project and the construction and installation of public utilities, facilities, and site improvements for each phase area.	П
Show barriers at edges of each phase to prevent vehicles from entering and parking on unpaved areas (if applicable)	

ADDITIONAL PLAN COMPONENTS:

Applicant	<u>Planne</u>
Preliminary Grading Plan	
Preliminary Utility and Public Facility Plan	
➤ Preliminary or Final Landscape Plan	
NA Coordinated Sign Plan (CSP)	
NA Hillside or Streamside Compliance Plan	
NA Land Suitability Analysis	

DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA:

The City will review the development plan using the following criteria. A development plan shall be approved when the plan complies with all of the requirements of the zone district in which it is located, is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code and is compatible with the land uses surrounding the site.

- 1. Will the project design be harmonious with the surrounding land uses and neighborhood?
- 2. Will the proposed land uses be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood? Will the proposed development overburden the capacities of existing streets, utilities, parks, schools and other public facilities?
- 3. Will the structures be located to minimize the impact of their use and bulk on adjacent properties?
- 4. Will landscaping, berms, fences and/or walls be provided to buffer the site from undesirable views, noise, lighting or other off-site negative influences and to buffer adjacent properties from the negative influences that may be created by the proposed development?
- 5. Will vehicular access from the project to the streets outside the project be combined, limited, located, designed and controlled to channel traffic to and from such areas conveniently and safely and in such a manner which minimizes traffic friction, noise and pollution and promotes free traffic flow without excessive interruption?
- 6. Will all the streets and drives provide logical, safe and convenient vehicular access to the facilities within the project?
- 7. Will streets and drives within the project area be connected to streets outside the project area in such a way that discourages their use by through traffic?
- 8. Will adequately sized parking areas be located throughout the project to provide safe and convenient access to specific facilities?
- 9. Will safe and convenient provision for the access and movement of handicapped persons and parking of vehicles for the handicapped be accommodated in the project design?
- 10. Will the design of streets, drives and parking areas within the project result in a minimum of area devoted to asphalt?
- 11. Will pedestrian walkways be functionally separated from vehicular traffic and landscaped to accomplish this? Will pedestrian walkways be designed and located in combination with other easements that are not used by motor vehicles?
- 12. Does the design encourage the preservation of significant natural features such as healthy vegetation, drainage channels, steep slopes and rock outcroppings? Are these significant natural features incorporated into the project design?

CONDITIONAL USE REVIEW CRITERIA

The Planning Commission may approve and/or modify a conditional use application in whole or in part, with or without conditions, only if all three (3) of the following findings are made:

- A. Surrounding Neighborhood: That the value and qualities of the neighborhood surrounding the conditional use are not substantially injured.
- B. Intent of Zoning Code: That the conditional use is consistent with the intent and purpose of this Zoning Code to promote public health, safety and general welfare.
- C. Comprehensive Plan: That the conditional use is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan of the City.

USE VARIANCE REVIEW CRITERIA

The following criteria must be met in order for a Use Variance to be granted:

- 1. That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applicable to the property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply generally to the property or class of uses in the same zone so that a denial of the petition would result in undue property loss; and
- 2. That such variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a property right of the petitioner; and also,
- 3. That such variance will not be detrimental to the public welfare or convenience nor injurious to the property or improvements of other owners of property.

Project Statement

Kettle Creek North Development Plan


July 2020

Request

- 1. PUD Development Plan for 61.72Acres
- 2. Final Plat for Phase One consisting of 76 lots on approximately 21.5 acres

Location

Kettle Creek North is located within the northern portion of the Briargate Master Plan. It is bounded on the south by the Northfork subdivision, currently under development. The north boundary is the Kettle Creek Open Space currently under review for acquisition by the city TOPS program. The western boundary is Powers Boulevard and a detention pond that is being jointly constructed by the Northfork development and the applicant.



Site access will be from Thunder Mountain Avenue. Intersection locations on Thunder Mountain have been established by the North Fork development and will be utilized by this project. No other access is available to this site due to topographic, environmental, and access restriction (Powers Boulevard) constraints.

Justification

The Kettle Creek North Development Plan is consistent with The PUD zoning and Concept Plan approved for this site. The following review criteria have been met as described below.

- 1. Will the project design be harmonious with the surrounding land uses and neighborhood? Yes. Proposed land use and lot sizes are similar to those found to the south. Access has been jointly designed with the adjacent North Fork subdivision.
- 2. Will the proposed land uses be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood? Will the proposed development overburden the capacities of existing streets, utilities, parks, schools and other public facilities? Yes. Proposed land use and lot sizes are similar to those found to the south. Review of public facilities serving this subdivision were approved with the zoning.
- 3. Will the structures be located to minimize the impact of their use and bulk on adjacent properties? **Yes. This is a single family subdivision with setbacks and height similar to adjacent existing and developing homes in the North Fork Subdivision.**
- 4. Will landscaping, berms, fences and/or walls be provided to buffer the site from undesirable views, noise, lighting or other off-site negative influences and to buffer adjacent properties from the negative influences that may be created by the proposed development? *This criterion does not apply to this subdivision.*
- 5. Will vehicular access from the project to the streets outside the project be combined, limited, located, designed and controlled to channel traffic to and from such areas conveniently and safely and in such a manner which minimizes traffic friction, noise and pollution and promotes free traffic flow without excessive interruption? *Yes. Nine points of access to the adjacent collector street (Thunder Mountain Avenue) are provided.*
- 6. Will all the streets and drives provide logical, safe and convenient vehicular access to the facilities within the project? *Yes. Street design promotes connectivity.*
- 7. Will streets and drives within the project area be connected to streets outside the project area in such a way that discourages their use by through traffic? **Yes. No streets provide access to areas outside of this subdivision which is bounded on the north by open space.**

- 8. Will adequately sized parking areas be located throughout the project to provide safe and convenient access to specific facilities? *Yes. Each home will have a minimum two car garage.*
- 9. Will safe and convenient provision for the access and movement of handicapped persons and parking of vehicles for the handicapped be accommodated in the project design? **Yes. Home buyers with a handicap will have home access designed to fit their specific needs.**
- 10. Will the design of streets, drives and parking areas within the project result in a minimum of area devoted to asphalt? **Yes**
- 11. Will pedestrian walkways be functionally separated from vehicular traffic and landscaped to accomplish this? Will pedestrian walkways be designed and located in combination with other easements that are not used by motor vehicles? *All streets are public and will have sidewalks per city Code.*
- 12. Does the design encourage the preservation of significant natural features such as healthy vegetation, drainage channels, steep slopes and rock outcroppings? Are these significant natural features incorporated into the project design? **Yes. Significant natural features have been excluded from the subdivision. Adjacent natural areas will be preserved by potential TOPS acquisition.**



Fee Estimate: \$9,557.00

Number of Plans: TBD

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING SUMMARY

SPRINGS		Area: Nor	rth Date: <u>5/2/20</u>	
OLYMPIC CITY USA	Pre-Appli	Pre-Application No.: N20-81		
Applicant(s) Present: John Maynard	Lot Size: 6	51.71 acres		
Site Location: North of Thunder Mountain A	TSN: 6200	0000698		
Project Description: Single-family residentia	al detached	Zone: A		
APPLICATION(S) REQUIRED: No appl 2020 Land Use Map Amendment Administrative Relief Amendment to Plat Restriction Annexation Building Permit to Unplatted Land CMRS No. Concept Plan MJ MN MM Conditional Use MJ MN MM Visit the Land Use Review Division website at www. MJ = Major Amendment, MN = Minor Amendment, NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATION:	lication to the Planning Department Development Agreement (F Development Plan	t required PUD Zone)	on of Plat on of Public Right-of-Way of Replat Change Cklists	
Neighborhood Association/Contact: N/A			☐ Neighborhood Meeting	
PUBLIC NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS: Note: Applicant will be required to pay for postage at time of poster pick-up.		Internal Review Stage Poster 500 ft.	☐ Public Hearing Stage ☐ No Public Notice Required ☐ Custom distance:	
ADDITIONAL STUDIES/MATERIALS TO BE			a Danasat	
Geo-Hazard Report Contact: Patrick Morris, 719-385-5075	Traffic Impact Analysis Contact: Zaker Alazzeh, 719-385-	∑ Drainag -5468 Contact: A	е керогт nna Bergmark, 719-385-5613	
Hydraulic Grade Line Elevation Drawings	 ✓ Wastewater Master Facility Re ✓ Mineral Estate Owner Notification 	eport	itability Analysis	
LDTC MEETING: ☐ Yes ⊠ No	Date:	Time:		
COMMENTS: (This is a preliminary listing of issues and - Property is currently in the process of beir 35 max building height, 4 dwelling units petime of the writing of this summary, the zon considered "at risk" until initial entitlements - The Kettle Creek North concept plan indic by the geotechnical setback. A note will need reports will be required with the building per period of the concept plan indicates and the period of the development plans and the period of the development plans are the period of the development plans and the period of the development plans are the period of the development plans are the period of the development plans and the period of the development plans are the period of the development plans are the period of the peri	ing rezoned from A (Agriculture) to For acre maximum density). See CPC For acre maximum density). See CPC For acre maximum density). See CPC For acre has not yet been heard by a have been decided on. It is the requirement for site-specified to be added to the development ermit and will need to be reviewed the development plan's submittal in the stating that a land suitability analysis only active for six years. If the ment plan to ensure it's continued continued continued to the continued to	PUD (Planned Unit Deve PUZ 19-00090 & CPC PUI y City Council. The subn ic geologic hazard repo also stating this. These by CGS. a addition to a drainage lysis was included with the final phase of lots hasn ompliance may be requi	lopment: Single-family detached, P 19-00091 for reference. At the nittal of the development plan is rts for lots which are encumbered site specific geologic hazard report. Please contact Anna he concept plan. 't been platted within the six year ired	
not a complete list of submittal requirements. Refer to the the appropriate application checklists for further information	e Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances and tion and details.		an Nimwegen, AICP Senior Planner and Use Review	
This form and the information contained herein is va-	lid for 6 months.	Planning &	Community Development	

Planning & Community Development

Colorado Springs, CO 80901-1575 hvannimwegen@springsgov.com

Phone: (719) 385-5365

Fax: (719) 385-5167

30 S. Nevada Avenue, Suite 105

P.O. Box 1575, MC 155

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Project Notification Information

Date: July 29, 2020

Planner: Hannah Van Nimwegen

Planner email: Hannah.VanNimwegen@coloradosprings.gov

Planner phone number: (719) 385-5365 Applicant Email: <u>imaynard@nescolorado.com</u>

Applicant Name: John Maynard

TSN: 6200000698

Site Address (to be used on postcard): North of Thunder Mountain Ave east of Powers Blvd

DD	CT. I	(attle	Craak	North	DD 8	FD

Pre-application Notice	\boxtimes	Standard Notification
Pre-application Neighborhood Meeting		Standard with Neighborhood Meeting Notice
Notice		
No notice		Poster only

ĺ	D	П	D		IC	N	\cap	TI	_	E	
ı	1	u	D	ш		IN	u		u	ᆮ	ı

150 feet		1,000 feet	Modified (attach modified buffer)	
----------	--	------------	-----------------------------------	--

PROJECT BLURB

Provide a project blurb for each application type, adjust language as needed. Note code sections where applicable for variances.

PUD Development Plan

Request by Jovenchi I, LLC, with representation by N.E.S. Inc., for approval of the Kettle Creek North development plan illustrating 259 single-family residential lots. The site is zoned PUD (Planned Unit Development: 35' maximum building height, detached single-family residential, 4 du/ac maximum), is 61.71 acres in size, and is generally located south and east of the Powers Boulevard and Highway 83 intersection.

Final Plat

Request by Jovenchi I, LLC, with representation by N.E.S. Inc., for approval of the Kettle Creek North Filing No. 1 subdivision plat illustrating 73 residential lots. The site is zoned PUD (Planned Unit Development: 35' maximum building height, detached single-family residential, 4 du/ac maximum), is 61.71 acres in size, and is generally located south and east of the Powers Boulevard and Highway 83 intersection.

POSTCARD

Include 3-5 highlighted points to best describe the project.

- The submitted development plan illustrates 259 detached residential lots on the entirety of Parcel A (61.71 acres). The development plan illustrates the site layout, grading plan, utility plan, and landscape plan.
- The submitted final plat is for the first phase of development on the far west side of Parcel A. The Kettle Creek North Filing No. 1 plat illustrates 76 residential lots.

[Type text]

POSTER

Fill out applicable information below:

What type of project is proposed? (large bold letters on poster, approx. 35 characters): 259 Single-Family Detached Residential Lots

Planning and Development Distribution Form

Concept Plan, Conditional Use, Development Plan, PUD, PUP, Use Variance, and Major Amendments

<u>Directions:</u> Planners select <u>at least one</u> check box under each section to determine the application distribution.

Planner Intake Date: 7/29/20 Admin Receive Date: 7/30/20

1. Project Name: Kettle Creek North

2. Date buckslip comments are due (21 calendar days after submittal): 8/20/20

3. HOA: (Note HOA number or write N/A)

(Add emails for HOA to mailing list if no email contact info)

4. STANDARD DISTRIBUTION:

Include all standard distribution recipients (either check here or individually check boxes below)

ID# Division Name Email/Distribution Notes

		7
	None	
85	☐ Utilities Development Services	Buckslips@csu.org
9	☐ Fire Prevention	Steven.Smith@coloradosprings.gov
24	☐ DR&S	SAPPLEGATE@coloradosprings.gov
21	☐ Karla Conner, CSPD (MC 1565)	CONNERKA@coloradosprings.gov
17	☐ Cory Sharp, Land Surveyor (MC	Cory.Sharp@coloradosprings.gov
	155)	
19	☐ Century Link	Patti.Moore@CenturyLink.com
		Bea.Romero@centurylink.com
77	CSU Customer Contract	Buckslips@csu.org
	Administration	
11	CSPD	bjones2@springsgov.com
13	Parks & Recreation	bihaley@springsgov.com
		Constance.Perry@coloradosprings.gov
		Emily.Duncan@coloradosprings.gov
23	Enumerations	addressing@pprbd.org
29	☐ Flood Plain	Keith@pprbd.org
98	USPS	Elaine.f.kelly@usps.gov
45	Zaker Alazzeh, Traffic – School	SAPPLEGATE@coloradosprings.gov
	Safety	
65	Zaker Alazzeh, Traffic Eng (MC	SAPPLEGATE@coloradosprings.gov
	460)	
		Corey.Rivera@coloradosprings.gov
48	Street Division	Cole.Platt@coloradosprings.gov
60	☐ Transit	Roger.Austin@coloradosprings.gov
		Trogota radiii Coloradoopiii go.gov

25	County Health Department	catherinemcgarvy@elpasoco.com
88	Parking Enterprise	Scott.Lee@coloraodosprings.gov
		<u> </u>
		rdavis@cscono.org
3	CONO	
92	Forestry	jcooper@coloradosprings.gov
		dale_stewart@cable.comcast.com
		Jason_Jacobsen@comcast.com
30	☐ Comcast	DENNIS_LONGWELL@comcast.com
		WSTMWR_MDSubmissions@comcast.com
56	Diag COC	PlanCOS@coloradosprings.gov
30	☐ PlanCOS	Tiancos e colorados prings.gov
5 I A	NDSCAPE PLAN:	
<u>). LA</u> D#	Division Name	Email/Distribution Notes
	None	Emany Distribution Notes
<mark>35</mark>	Preliminary LS	Daniel.Gould@coloradosprings.gov
	<u> </u>	Checklist, professional qualifications, alternative
		compliance request
82	☐ Final LS	Daniel.Gould@coloradosprings.gov
		Checklist, professional qualifications, alternative
		compliance request
	HOOL DISTRICT.	
<u>o. SC</u> D#	HOOL DISTRICT: Division Name	Email/Distribution Notes
<u>σ</u>		
	II INONE	
36	None School District # 2	mwilsev@hsd2.org
36 68	School District # 2	mwilsey@hsd2.org neald@wsd3.k12.co.us
36 68 37		mwilsey@hsd2.org neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org
68	School District # 2 School District # 3	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us
68 37	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org
68 37 38	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11 School District # 12	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org cooper@cmsd12.org
68 37 38 39	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11 School District # 12 School District # 20	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org cooper@cmsd12.org tom.gregory@asd20.org
68 37 38 39 69 41	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11 School District # 12 School District # 20 School District # 22 School District # 49	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org cooper@cmsd12.org tom.gregory@asd20.org terryebert@ellicottschools.org mandrews@d49.org
68 37 38 39 69 41	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11 School District # 12 School District # 20 School District # 22 School District # 49 LITARY INSTALLATION (if within	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org cooper@cmsd12.org tom.gregory@asd20.org terryebert@ellicottschools.org mandrews@d49.org a 2 mile buffer):
68 37 38 39 69 41	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11 School District # 12 School District # 20 School District # 22 School District # 49 LITARY INSTALLATION (if within Division Name	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org cooper@cmsd12.org tom.gregory@asd20.org terryebert@ellicottschools.org mandrews@d49.org
68 37 38 39 69 41	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11 School District # 12 School District # 20 School District # 22 School District # 49 LITARY INSTALLATION (if within	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org cooper@cmsd12.org tom.gregory@asd20.org terryebert@ellicottschools.org mandrews@d49.org a 2 mile buffer):
68 37 38 39 69 41 7. MII	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11 School District # 12 School District # 20 School District # 22 School District # 49 LITARY INSTALLATION (if within Division Name None	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org cooper@cmsd12.org tom.gregory@asd20.org terryebert@ellicottschools.org mandrews@d49.org a 2 mile buffer): Email/Distribution Notes
68 37 38 39 69 41	School District # 2 School District # 3 School District # 11 School District # 12 School District # 20 School District # 22 School District # 49 LITARY INSTALLATION (if within Division Name	neald@wsd3.k12.co.us johnstp@d11.org cooper@cmsd12.org tom.gregory@asd20.org terryebert@ellicottschools.org mandrews@d49.org a 2 mile buffer):

46	NORAD	Michael.kozak.2@us.af.mil Michael.Shafer.4@us.af.mil joseph.ems@us.af.mil 21CES.CENB.BaseDevelopment@us.af.mil
26	USAFA	corine.weiss@us.af.mil craig.johnson.35.ctr@us.af.mil steven.westbay.ctr@us.af.mil elizabeth.dukes.3.ctr@us.af.mil 21CES.CENB.BaseDevelopment@us.af.mil
75	☐ Peterson	Michael.Shafer.4@us.af.mil Joseph.Elms@us.af.mil 21CES.CENB.BaseDevelopment@us.af.mil

8. OPTIONAL DISTRIBUTION (Depending on Location of Site): ID# Division Name Email/Distribution Notes

ID#	Division Name	Email/Distribution Notes
	None	
59	StratusIQ – AKA Falcon	<u>ilandis@stratusiq.com</u>
	Broadband	tking@stratusiq.com
		cotrin@stratusiq.com
		BLR & Flying Horse (ONLY)
54	☐ Budget/Finance – Fiscal Impact	budget@coloradosprings.gov
	Analysis	For Major MP Amendments
<mark>27</mark>	CDOT (adjacent to CDOT	Valerie.sword@state.co.us
	ROW)	
34	Colorado Geological Survey	cgs_lur@mines.edu
33	SECWCD, Garrett Markus	garrett@secwcd.com
18	Streamside Area Overlay	Tasha.Brackin@coloradosprings.gov
15	Hillside Overlay	Kerri.Schott@coloradosprings.gov
42	☐ Historic Preservation Area	Daniel.Sexton@coloradosprings.gov
	Overlay	
44	☐ Development Review Enterprise	Kurt.Schmitt@coloradosprings.gov Coordinated Sign
		Plans to Kurt if Submitted
20	Airport	kandrews@springsgov.com
<mark>63</mark>	El Paso County Dev. Services	Nina.Ruiz@elpasoco.com
	Division	Review of Plans within ½ mile of a County/City Border
43	Wescott Fire District (adjacent	admin@wescottfire.org
	only)	
5		Metro District Email
71	☐ Falcon Fire Protection District	tharwig@falconfirepd.org
72	Black Forest Fire Protection	chief@bffire.org
	District	

81	☐ Broadmoor Fire Protection	<u>chief@broadmoorfire.com</u>
	District	noalsperran@gmail.com
80	CSURA – Urban Renewal	Jwalker@springsgov.com;
65	☐ Kate Brady, Bike Planning,	Kate.Brady@coloradosprings.gov
	Traffic	
9	☐ Fire Droventian Jacoica Mitchell	Jessica.Mitchell@coloradosprings.gov If DP, CP is
	Fire Prevention, Jessica Mitchell	accompanying an Annexation
		Steve.Posey@coloradosprings.gov
31	☐ Housing and Community	Review of plans for all affordable housing proposals
	Development, Steve Posey	AND new proposals that would displace existing low
		income residents.
53	UCCS Review – North Nevada	mwood@uccs.edu
	Overlay zone	
49	Chelsea Gaylord, Economic	Chelsea.Gaylord@coloradosprings.gov
	Development	QOZ
	☐ Mike Kilabrew – ADA –	Michael.Kilabrew@coloradosprings.gov
	Downtown Area	

9. LAND USE REVIEW:

Hard Copy Full sized plans

rial a copy i all sizea plans		
		Traffic Report, Drainage Report, Geo-Hazard Report