

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT

FALCON MEADOWS AT BENT GRASS

El Paso County, Colorado

PREPARED FOR: Challenger Homes 8605 Explorer Dr., Suite 250 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

PREPARED BY: Galloway & Company, Inc. 1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

DATE: August 5, 2020

Engineering Review

10/12/2020 10:01:27 AM dsdrice JeffRice@elpasoco.com (719) 520-7877 EPC Planning & Community Development Department

See comment letter also



ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Charlene Durham, P.E. #36727 For and on behalf of Galloway & Company, Inc. Date

DEVELOPER'S CERTIFICATION

I, The developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

By:			
		Date	
Address:	Challenger Homes 8605 Explorer Dr., Suite 250 Colorado Springs, CO 80920	include all owners	

DEVELOPER'S CERTIFICATION

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Jennifer Irvine, P.E. County Engineer/ECM Administrator Date

Conditions:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Purpose	1
II.	General Description	1
III.	Previous Reports	1
IV.	Drainage Criteria	2
V.	Existing Drainage Conditions	2
VI.	Four Step Process	4
	1. Employ Runoff Reduction Practices	5
	2. Implement BMPs That Provide a Water Quality Capture Volume with Slow F	≀elease5
	3. Stabilize Drainageways	5
	4. Implement Site Specific and Other Source Control BMPs	5
VII.	Proposed Drainage Conditions	5
VIII.	. Storm Sewer System	8
IX.	Proposed Water Quality Detention Ponds	8
Х.	Proposed Channel Improvements	9
XI.	Maintenance	9
XII.	Wetlands Mitigation	9
XIII.	. Floodplain Statement	9
XIV.	/. Drainage Fees & Maintenance	9
XV.	Conclusion	9
XVI.	. References	

Appendices:

- A. Exhibits and Figures
- B. Hydrologic Computations
- C. Hydraulic Computations
- D. Drainage Maps

I. Purpose

The purpose of this Preliminary Drainage Report is to identify on and offsite drainage patterns, locate and identify tributary or downstream drainage features and facilities that impact the site, and to identify which types of drainage facilities will be needed and where they will be located. This report will remain in general compliance with the previously approved MDDP for the site prepared by Galloway & Company.

II. General Description

The project is a single-family residential development located in the Falcon area of El Paso County, Colorado. The site is located in the Northwest ¼ and Southwest ¼ of Section 1, Township 13S, Range 65W, of the Sixth Principal Meridian, County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The subject property is bounded by Bent Grass Meadows Filing No.2 to the east, Latigo Business Center Filing No. 1 to the south, The Meadows Filings No. 1 & 2 to the west, and The Meadows Filing No. 3 to the north. A Vicinity Map is included in Appendix A.

This preliminary drainage report was the basis for the drainage facility design contained within the previously approved MDDP for the site prepared by Galloway & Company. The site consists of approximately 66.6 acres and includes 26¢ dwelling units.

The existing soil types within the proposed site as determined by the NRCS Web Soil Survey for El Paso County Area consist of Columbine gravelly sandy loam, Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls, and Blakeland loamy sand. All soils are defined as having a hydrologic soil group of A. See the soils map included in Appendix A.

III. Previous Reports

provide revised MDDP

The proposed site has been included in multiple drainage studies in the past. The following is a composite list of the existing reports pertaining to this site analysis.

- 1. Falcon Drainage Basin Planning Study, by Matrix Design Group, September 2015.
- 2. *Master Development Drainage Plan Bent Grass Residential Subdivision*, by Galloway & Company, May 2019.
- 3. *Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Plan Bent Grass Subdivision*, by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, December 2006.
- 4. *Final Drainage Report for Bent Grass Residential (Filing No. 1)*, by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC, August 2014.
- 5. *Final Drainage Report Addendum for Bent Grass Residential (Filing No. 1)*, by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC, August 2015.
- 6. *Master Development Drainage Plan for The Ranch*, by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC, November 2018.
- 7. Falcon Highlands Master Development Drainage Plan & Preliminary Drainage Report & Final Drainage Report for Filing 1, by URS, January 2005.
- Final Drainage Report and Erosion Control Plan Latigo Business Center Filing No. 1 A Resubdivision of a Portion of Latigo Business and Research Center Filing No. 1, by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, November 2004.
- 9. *Final Drainage Letter Report for Lot 1, Latigo Business Center Filing No. 1*, by Colorado Design Concepts, April 2005.

- 10. *Final Drainage and Erosion Control for The Meadows Filing Three Subdivision,* by LADD Engineering, July 2000.
- 11. Final Drainage Report for Bent Grass Residential (Filing No. 2), by Galloway & Company, May 2020.

IV. Drainage Criteria

Hydrology calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014.

The drainage calculations were based on the criteria manual Figure 6-5 and IDF equations to determine the intensity and are listed in Table 1 below.

Table 1 - Precipitation Data	
------------------------------	--

Return Period	One Hour Depth (in).	Intensity (in/hr)						
5-year	1.50	5.17						
100-year	2.52	8.68						

The rational method was used to calculate peak flows as the tributary areas are less than 100 acres. The rational method has been proven to be accurate for basins of this size and is based on the following formula:

Q = CIA

Where:

Q = Peak Discharge (cfs) C = Runoff Coefficient I = Runoff intensity (inches/hour) A = Drainage area (acres)

The runoff coefficients are calculated based on land use, percent imperviousness, and design storm for each basin, as shown in the drainage criteria manual (Table 6-6). Composite percent impervious and C values were calculated using the residential, streets, roofs, and lawns coefficients found in Table 6-6 of the manual.

The 100-year event was used as the major storm event. The 5-year event was used as the minor event.

The UD-Detention v3.07 spreadsheet was utilized for the design of the proposed on-site water quality ponds, Pond (North) and Pond (South).

V. Existing Drainage Conditions

The site is contained fully within one major drainage basin; the West Falcon Tributary. The site does border the Middle Falcon Tributary along the eastern edge of the property. The site generally drains from

Delete –

north to south with an average slope of 2% outside of the channel. The rational method was used to analyze the individual basins within the site because their size permits it.

In addition to the DBPS, The Ranch MDDP to the north and west of the site has revisited their existing conditions as well as existing conditions from the site directly to the north of them. Several detention ponds have been created within the Paint Brush Hills Subdivision which revise the offsite flow entering the site within the major drainageway. This is taken into account with The Ranch MDDP. While The Ranch is still in design stage, they are proposing detention ponds within their site to release at historic rates. This will revise the flow rates in their designed section of the RWT204 channel rates that are lower than those identified within the DBPS. A HEC-HMS model will be prepared with subsequent submittals updating the proposed flow rates within the channel.

Per the DBPS the site lies within the basins, WT200, WT210, and WT220. These basins connect to channel reaches RWT202, RWT204, and RWT210. Both the RWT204 and RWT210 sections of channel currently exist and appear as a drainageway when visiting the site. Reach RWT202 appears to be a shallow overland flow through the project site. It is nearly unrecognizable through the site from a visual standpoint. revise based on filing 2 construction

A historic basin map has been prepared for this site to analyze the existing basins as well as the offsite basins contributing to the site. The historic map is included in Appendix D and basins are described below.

Basin EX-1 (1.19 AC, $Q_5 = 0.4$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.6$ cfs): is associated with the northeastern portion of the proposed site east of the existing channel. The basin is currently undeveloped. Runoff from the basin generally flows to the southwest, into Basin EX-2 at **DP 4**.

Basin EX-2 (1.56 AC, $Q_5 = 0.5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.9$ cfs): is along the eastern boundary portion of the proposed site and is south of Basin EX-1, east of the existing channel. The basin is currently undeveloped and receives flows from Basins OS-4 & OS-5. Runoff from the basin generally flows to the southeast into Basin EX-3 at **DP 5** combined with flows from **DP 1, 2, & 4**.

Basin EX-3 (0.62 AC, $Q_5 = 0.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.4$ cfs): is along the eastern boundary of the proposed site south of Basin EX-2 and east of the existing channel. The basin currently contains an existing WQCV pond created as part of Bent Grass Residential Filing No. 2. This basin receives flows from **DP 5** and **DP 3**.

Basin EX-4 (12.49 AC, $Q_5 = 3.7$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 6.2$ cfs): is located along the northern boundary, just south of the swale built with Bent Grass Meadows Drive and west of the existing channel. The basin is currently undeveloped. Runoff from the basin generally flows to the south onto Bent Grass Meadows Drive at **DP 6**. From there, it flows via curb & gutter to the east into an existing sump inlet, ultimately discharging into the existing WQCV pond located in Basin EX-3.

Basin EX-5 (5.15 AC, $Q_5 = 1.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 2.6$ cfs): is west of Basin EX-4 and north of Bent Grass Meadows Drive. The basin is currently undeveloped. Runoff from the basin generally flows to the south onto Bent Grass Meadows Drive at **DP 7**. From there, it flows via curb & gutter to the east into an existing sump inlet, ultimately discharging into the existing WQCV pond located in Basin EX-3.

Basin EX-6 (9.53 AC, $Q_5 = 2.7$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 4.5$ cfs): is along the west boundary of the site. The basin is currently undeveloped and receives off-site flows from Basins OS-2 & OS-3. Runoff from the basin generally flows to the south into the existing drainage ditch entering an existing inlet at DP 11 and flowing under Bent Grass Meadows Drive and discharging into an existing drainage swale in Basin EX-8.

Basin EX-7 (9.16 AC, $Q_5 = 2.8$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 4.7$ cfs): is north & west of Bent Grass Meadows Drive, between Basins EX-5 & EX-6. The basin is currently undeveloped. Runoff from the basin generally flows to the southeast into Bent Grass Meadows Drive at **DP 8**. From there, it flows via curb & gutter to the south into an existing sump inlet, ultimately discharging into the existing sediment pond located in Basin EX-8.

Basin EX-8 (21.3 AC, $Q_5 = 6.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 11.0$ cfs): is a portion of the site south and east of Bent Grass Meadows Drive, north of the south property line and west of Bent Grass Filing No. 2. The basin is currently undeveloped and contains two drainage ditches, a sediment pond, and a portion of the creek associated with Basin WT200 from the Falcon DBPS. Runoff from the basin generally flows to the southeast into the existing channel.

Basin OS-1 (32.28 AC, Q5 = 15.1 cfs, Q100 = 65.1 cfs) is associated with The Meadows Filing No. 3 lots 14, 15, 16, and 17. Runoff from this basin sheet flows to the northern property line of the site and then flow, via an existing drainage ditch, into the existing channel associated with Basin WT200 from the Falcon DBPS.

Basin OS-2 (20.08 AC, Q5 = 9.3 cfs, Q100 = 43.4 cfs) is associated with The Meadows Filing No. 1 lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Runoff from this basin sheet flows from the northwest to the southeast, crossing the west property line of the site at **DP 9**. The runoff will continue to sheet flow through Basin EX-6 to the south until entering the existing drainage swale on the southern boundary of Basin EX-6 at **DP 11**.

Basin OS-3 (10.62 AC, Q5 = 5.3 cfs, Q100 = 24.3 cfs) is associated with The Meadows Filing No. 1 lot 11 and The Meadows Filing No. 2 Lots 1 & 2. Runoff from this basin sheet flows from the northwest to the southeast, crossing the west property line of the site at **DP 10**. The runoff will continue to sheet flow through Basin EX-6 to the south until entering the existing drainage ditch on the southern boundary of Basin EX-6 at **DP 11**.

Basin OS-4 (4.46 AC, Q5 = 5.6 cfs, Q100 = 14.0 cfs) is associated with The Bent Grass Residential Filing No. 2, lots 152-160, lots 163-168, Tract D, and portions of Thedford Court & Willmore Drive. Runoff from this basin flows via curb & gutter south on Thedford Court then continues flowing west along the northern curb & gutter along Willmore Drive before discharging into southeast corner of Basin EX-2 at **DP 1**.

Basin OS-5 (0.46 AC, Q5 = 1.1 cfs, Q100 = 2.3 cfs): is associated with The Bent Grass Residential Filing No. 2, lots 161 & 162 along with a portion of Silky Thread Road. Runoff from this basin generally flows to the west via curb & gutter along Silky Thread Road before discharging into the northeast corner of Basin EX-2 at **DP 2**.

Basin OS-6 (1.17 AC, Q5 = 2.0 cfs, Q100 = 4.3 cfs): is associated with The Bent Grass Residential Filing No. 2, the northern halves of Lots 170-178 and a portion of the southern side of Willmore Drive. Runoff from this basin generally flows to the west via curb & gutter along Willmore Drive before discharging into the northeast corner of Basin EX-3 at **DP 3**.

VI. Four Step Process

The Four Step Process is used to minimize the adverse impacts of urbanization and is a vital component of developing a balanced, sustainable project. Below identifies the approach to the four-step process:

Call out the specific LID BMPs and locations, Bent Grass Subdivision Filing No. 2 PDR

is provided in the MDDP?

1. Employ Runoff Reduction Practices

The proposed development uses Low Impact Development (LID) practices to reduce runoff at the source. Rather than creating point discharges that are directly connected to impervious areas, runoff is routed through pervious areas to promote infiltration. Grass buffers and swales are used where practical. Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)

2. Implement BMPs That Provide a Water Quality Capture Volume with Slow Release

This step utilizes formalized water quality capture volume to slow, the release of runoff from the site. The EURV volume will release in 72 hours, while the WQCV will release in no less than 40 hours. Onsite water quality control volume detention ponds will provide water quality treatment prior to the runoff being released into the channel. for all developed

3. Stabilize Drainageways

This step implements stabilization to channels to accommodate developed flows while protecting infrastructure and controlling sediment loading from erosion in the drainageways. Erosion protection in the form of riprap pads at all outfall points to the channel to prevent scouring of the channel from point discharges. A HEC-RAS model will be created and used to evaluate the stability of the existing and proposed channels.

Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs

areas?

4. (Implement Site Specific and Other Source Control BMPs)

Source control BMPs for homeowners include the use of garages as the primary area where pollutants can be stored. The single-family detached homes provide garages which can act as storage areas. The proposed development does not include outdoor storage or the potential for introduction of contaminants to the Counties' MS4, thus no targeted source control BMPs are necessary. The biggest source control BMP is public education which can be found on the City of Colorado Springs website and discuss topics such as: pet waste, car washing, lawn care, fall leaves, and snow melt and deicer.

VII. Proposed Drainage Conditions

There has been very minor changes to the overall Falcon Area Basin delineation with the proposed condition. A small portion of the site that previously went to the Middle Tributary has been revised to come into the site and a small portion of the site that was previously within the West Tributary has been designed to drain into the Middle Tributary. This will be discussed with the individual basins. All necessary calculations can be found within the appendices of the report.

According to the DBPS, there are two channels that run through the site. As was discussed within the Existing Conditions portion of the report both the RWT202 and RWT204 run through the site. In the Bent Grass Filing No. 2 report & CD's, the RWT202 channel was rerouted to run along the north boundary & combine with the existing RWT204 channel. The proposed development will drain to the RWT204 channel, which becomes RWT210 south of Bent Grass Meadows Drive.

The site will provide two WQCV Detention Ponds, North Pond & South Pond, to provide water quality treatment prior to discharging the runoff directly into the West Tributary channel RWT204 RWT210.

is this applicable to this filing?

As has been mentioned previously the site is proposed to be single family residential. The site has been designed to provide a large lot buffer between the existing large lots to the north and west of the site and the proposed site. Beyond this buffer, the majority of the site is smaller, approximately 1/8 acre lots.

Basin OS-1 (32.28 AC, Q5 = 15.1 cfs, Q100 = 65.1 cfs) is associated with The Meadows Filing No. 3 lots 14, 15, 16, and 17. Runoff from this basin sheet flows to the northern property line of the site and then flows, via an existing drainage swale, into the existing channel reach RWT204 from the Falcon DBPS at **DP 21**.

Basin OS-4 (4.46 AC, Q5 = 5.6 cfs, Q100 = 14.0 cfs) is associated with The Bent Grass Residential Filing No. 2, lots 152-160, lots 163-168, Tract D, and portions of Thedford Court & Willmore Drive. Runoff from this basin flows via curb & gutter south on Thedford Court then continues flowing west along the northern curb & gutter along Willmore Drive before discharging into Basin A-1 at **DP 1**.

Basin OS-5 (0.46 AC, Q5 = 1.1 cfs, Q100 = 2.3 cfs): is associated with The Bent Grass Residential Filing No. 2, lots 161 & 162 along with a portion of Silky Thread Road. Runoff from this basin generally flows to the west via curb & gutter along Silky Thread Road before discharging into Basin A-1 at **DP 2**.

Basin OS-6 (1.17 AC, Q5 = 2.0 cfs, Q100 = 4.3 cfs): is associated with The Bent Grass Residential Filing No. 2, the northern halves of Lots 170-178 and a portion of the southern side of Willmore Drive. Runoff from this basin generally flows to the west via curb & gutter along Willmore Drive before discharging into Basin A-1 at **DP 3**.

Basin A-1 (2.57 AC, Q5 = 3.9 cfs, Q100 = 6.5 cfs): a basin that includes residential lots & east half of Lemon Grass Road. It encompasses single-family residential lots. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 4**. Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet and will be piped into the existing Bent Grass Filing No. 2 WQCV pond located in Basin A-2 at **DP 6**.

Basin A-2 (2.29 AC, Q5 = 4.7 cfs, Q100 = 7.9 cfs): a basin that includes the west half of Lemon Grass Road and encompasses single-family residential lots. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 5**. Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will be piped into the existing Bent Grass Filing No. 2 WQCV pond located in the south end of the basin.

Basin B-1 (4.35 AC, Q5 = 1.2 cfs, Q100 = 2.0 cfs): a basin that is in the center of the site and encompasses the existing improved channel RWT204. Flows will sheet flow into the existing channel where they will then be conveyed to **DP 22**.

Basin C-1 (9.34 AC, Q5 = 12.3 cfs, Q100 = 20.7 cfs): a basin that includes Sophia Lane, the west half of Sarin Trail, north portion of Kittrick Place, east portion of Daelyn Drive, and encompasses single-family residential lots. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 15.** Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will be piped to the proposed north WQCV pond at **DP 13**.

Basin C-2 (3.30 AC, Q5 = 7.4 cfs, Q100 = 12.4 cfs): It encompasses single-family residential lots including the east half of Sarin Trail. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 12**. Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will be piped to the proposed north WQCV pond at **DP 13**.

Basin C-3 (1.17 AC, Q5 = 2.9 cfs, Q100 = 4.8 cfs): It encompasses the east half of Kittrick Place between Sarin Trail & Daelyn Drive, as well as single-family residential lots. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 8.** Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will then be piped and ultimately outfall in the proposed north WQCV pond at **DP 13**.

Basin C-4 (2.10 AC, Q5 = 4.1 cfs, Q100 = 6.9 cfs): It encompasses the west half of Kittrick Place between Sarin Trail & Daelyn Drive, as well as single-family residential lots. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 7**. Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will then be piped and ultimately outfall in the proposed north WQCV pond at **DP 13**.

Basin C-5 (0.45 AC, Q5 = 0.2 cfs, Q100 = 0.3 cfs): It encompasses the proposed north WQCV pond area. The stormwater within the proposed north WQCV pond will be released at a controlled rate, via an outlet structure with orifice holes, into the existing channel RWT204.

- 15?

Basin OS-2 (20.08 AC, Q5 = 9.3 cfs, Q100 = 43.4 cfs): is associated with The Meadows Filing No. 1 lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Runoff from this basin sheet flows from the northwest to the southeast, crossing the west property line of the site at **DP 9**. Flows will then be conveyed via a proposed drainage swale to the south where it will enter Basin D-3 and tie-into the existing drainage swale along the southern boundary of Basin D-3. It will then continue flowing east before entering an existing area inlet at **DP 11** where it will be piped, ultimately outfalling into the proposed south WQCV pond at **DP 19**.

Basin OS-3 (10.62 AC, Q5 = 5.3 cfs, Q100 = 24.3 cfs): is associated with The Meadows Filing No. 1 lot 11 and The Meadows Filing No. 2 Lots 1 & 2. Runoff from this basin sheet flows from the northwest to the southeast, crossing the west property line of the site into Basin D-3 at DP 10. Flows will then be conveyed via an existing drainage swale to the east where it will enter an existing area inlet at DP 11 where it will be piped, ultimately outfalling into the proposed south WQCV pond at DP 19.

Basin D-1 (8.24 AC, Q5 = 10.6 cfs, Q100 = 17.9 cfs): a basin along the west property line of the site. It encompasses single-family residential lots, Isabel Place, & west half of Daelyn Drive. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 16.** Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will then be piped and ultimately outfall in the proposed south WQCV pond at **DP 19**.

Basin D-2 (9.33 AC, Q5 = 13.9 cfs, Q100 = 23.4 cfs): a basin east of Basin D-1. It encompasses singlefamily residential lots, Isabel Place, Raylan Loop, Jolie Court, as well as the east half of Daelyn Drive. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 14.** Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will then be piped and ultimately outfall in the proposed south WQCV pond at **DP 19**.

Basin D-3 (0.93 AC, Q5 = 1.0 cfs, Q100 = 1.7 cfs): a basin that is in the southwest corner of the site, south of Basin D-1. It encompasses the backs of several proposed residential lots as well as an existing drainage ditch and proposed Swale D. Runoff will flow from basin OS-2 and OS-3 into Swale D, and convey flows to the existing drainage ditch which will convey flows to an existing area inlet at DP 11. From there, flows will be piped and ultimately outfall at the south WQCV pond at **DP 19**.

Basin D-4 (3.64 AC, Q5 = 7.0 cfs, Q100 = 11.7 cfs): a basin that is east of Bent Grass Meadows Drive. It encompasses single-family residential lots, Rowena Way, & portions of Linley Way, Jayla Trail, and Henzlee Place. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 17.** Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will then be piped and ultimately outfall in the proposed south WQCV pond at **DP 19**.

Basin D-5 (2.31 AC, Q5 = 3.4 cfs, Q100 = 5.7 cfs): a basin that is west of the existing channel & south of Bent Grass Meadows Drive. It encompasses single-family residential lots, portions of Henzlee Place & Nico Way. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to a proposed sidewalk chase that will then discharge flows into a proposed drainage ditch. The drainage ditch will then convey flows, ultimately discharging into the proposed south WQCV pond at DP 20.

Basin D-6 (4.01 AC, Q5 = 8.8 cfs, Q100 = 14.8 cfs): a basin that is south of Basin D-5 & east of Basin D-4. It encompasses single-family residential lots & half of Linley Way, Jayla Trail, Henzlee Place, & Nico Way. Runoff will flow from each lot onto the proposed public R.O.W. where proposed mountable curb and gutter will convey flows to **DP 18.** Flows will then enter a proposed CDOT Type 'R' inlet where it will then be piped and ultimately outfall in the proposed south WQCV pond at **DP 19**.

Basin D-7 (7.06 AC, Q5 = 3.2 cfs, Q100 = 5.5 cfs): a basin that is in the south end of the site, east of Bent Grass Meadows Drive & west of the existing channel. It encompasses the back half of several single-family residential lots as well as proposed south WQCV pond, an existing sediment basin, and an existing drainage ditch. Runoff will flow, via sheet flow, until it enters the existing drainage ditch and is conveyed to the proposed south WQCV pond or will directly flow into the proposed south WQCV pond.

Basin B-2 (1.17 AC, Q5 = 0.7 cfs, Q100 = 1.2 cfs): a basin that is in the south area of the site and encompasses the existing channel RWT210. Flows will sheet flow into the existing channel where they will then be conveyed to **DP 23** exiting the site.

VIII. Storm Sewer System

All development is anticipated to be urban and will include storm sewer & street inlets. Storm sewers collect storm water runoff and convey the water to water quality facilities prior to discharging. Final drainage report will include details concerning inlet location, street capacity, storm sewer sizing, and location.

IX. Proposed Water Quality Detention Ponds

Two Water Quality Capture Volume Detention Ponds will be provided for the proposed site. One will be provided for the area north of Bent Grass Meadows Drive and the other will be provided for the area to the south. Both ponds are private. These detention ponds will only provide water quality. The EURV and 100-year volumes will be conveyed via the emergency overflow weir, which will be lined. The water quality volume release will be controlled with an orifice plate that will release in 40 hours. Outlet structures, forebays, trickle charnels, etc. will be designed with the final drainage report during final plat. The required WQCV volume of the North & South pond are 0.313 acre-feet & 1.157 acre-feet, relatively. The north water quality pond will release into RWT204 and the south will release into RWT210. Initial sizing of the ponds have been provided in Appendix C.

-, to be maintained by the metro district (?)

The GEC plan shows grading in the channel. The complete channel design, including downstream, needs to be provided if this is the case.

X. Proposed Channel Improvements

The channel design is anticipated to have a series of Grouted Sloping Boulder Drops within it.

Riprap protection will be provided at the individual outfalls from the site into the channel to prevent scouring from the point discharges if velocity constraints are not met.

Improvements to the existing channel are outlined in the Master Development Drainage Plan for Bent Grass Residential Subdivision (MDDP). As part of this development, improvements outlined in the MDDP for the existing channel will be implemented.

XI. Maintenance

The proposed channels are to be public facilities. After completion of construction and upon the Board of County Commissioners acceptance the channels will be owned and maintained by El Paso County along with all drainage facilities within the public Right-of-Way.

XII. Wetlands Mitigation

No wetlands are located on site.

XIII. Floodplain Statement

A portion of the project site lies within Zone AE Special Flood Hazard Area as defined by the FIRM Map number 08041C0553G effective December 7, 2018. A copy of the FIRM Panel is included in Appendix A.

The portion of channel that has a floodplain designation is only the RWT210 and RWT204 portions of the channel. It is unknown why the western channel, RWT202 is unmapped since it is the larger contributor regarding flow rates. Discussions have occurred with PPRBD and a no rise certificate will be required for the existing channel. Models have been obtained from FEMA which show that the FEMA discharges are higher than the DBPS. Therefore, the culvert crossing at Bent Grass Meadows Parkway has been sized per the FEMA flows and not the DBPS. The no rise certification will be provided under a separate report.

XIV. Drainage Fees & Maintenance

Falcon Basin is part of the El Paso County drainage basin fee program all applicable fees well be presented in the final drainage report.

A presentation of accurate, complete, and current estimate of cost for proposed facilities will be presented with the final drainage report.

XV. Conclusion

The Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass residential subdivision lies within the West Tributary of the Falcon Area Watershed. Recommendations are made within this report to establish and stabilize multiple drainageways through the project site. Water quality for the site is provided in two on-site WQCV ponds, North Pond & South Pond. All drainage facilities within this report were sized according to the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manuals. All of the channel corridors will be publicly owned and maintained and shall be the responsibility of El Paso County. A Final Drainage Report will be submitted along with the final plat and construction drawings.

are proposed to be

Galloway & Company, Inc.

Bent Grass Metro District will maintain the swales and WQCV ponds.

__ Clarify conflict with PUD/SP plan.

XVI. References

- 1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual, October 1991.
- 2. Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 2, City of Colorado Springs, November 2002.
- 3. *Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, January 2016 (with current revisions).
- 4. Falcon Drainage Basin Planning Study, by Matrix Design Group, September 2015.
- 5. *Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Plan Bent Grass Subdivision*, by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, December 2006.
- 6. *Final Drainage Report for Bent Grass Residential (Filing No. 1)*, by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC, August 2014.
- 7. *Final Drainage Report Addendum for Bent Grass Residential (Filing No. 1)*, by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC, August 2015.
- 8. *Master Development Drainage Plan for The Ranch*, by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC, November 2018.
- 9. Falcon Highlands Master Development Drainage Plan & Preliminary Drainage Report & Final Drainage Report for Filing 1, by URS, January 2005.
- Final Drainage Report and Erosion Control Plan Latigo Business Center Filing No. 1 A Resubdivision of a Portion of Latigo Business and Research Center Filing No. 1, by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, November 2004.
- 11. *Final Drainage Report for Bent Grass Residential (Filing No. 2),* by Galloway & Company, May 2020.

APPENDIX A

Exhibits and Figures



Conservation Service

4/2/2019 Page 1 of 4



Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	А	214.3	16.0%
9	Blakeland-Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls	А	465.8	34.7%
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	662.6	49.3%
Totals for Area of Intere	est		1,342.6	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher





FALCON MEADOWS AT BENT GRASS

BENT GRASS MEADOWS DRIVE SCALE: 1"=2,000'

VICINITY MAP

Project No:	CLH000017.20
Drawn By:	TJE
Checked By:	CMD
Date:	06/19/2020



1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 719.900.7220 • GallowayUS.com

Date:

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Siliwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whele-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be duitsed in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0° North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillvater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillvater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control** structures. Refer to section 2.4 'Flood Protection Measures' of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey verbiste at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.ncaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by EI Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Occanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-338-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include prevously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Verteal Datum Flooding Source Offset (ft) REFER TO SECTION 33 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOO INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

> Additional Flood Hazard information and resources ar available from local communities and the Colorad Water Conservation Board.



.

	SPECIAL FLOO INUNDATION F	LEGEND DD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
The 1% annu that has a 1% Hazard Area	al chance flood (10 6 chance of being e is the area subject	0-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood qualed or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of
Special Flood Elevation is th	Hazard include Zon ne water-surface eler	es A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood vation of the 1% annual chance flood.
ZONE A ZONE AE	No Base Flood Elev Base Flood Elevation	vations determined.
ZONE AN	Flood depths of Elevations determi	1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood ined.
LUNE AO	riood depths of 1 depths determine determined.	to a reed (usually sneed now on sloping terrain); average d. For areas of altuvial fain flooding, velocities also
ZONE AR	Special Flood Hazz flood by a flood c AR indicates that provide protection	ard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone the former flood control system is being restored to from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.
ZONE A99	Area to be protect	cted from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood n under construction: no Base Flood Elevations
ZONE V	determined. Coastal flood zon	e with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood
ZONE VE	Elevations determi Coastal flood zo	ined. ne with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood
	Elevations determine FLOODWAY AR	REAS IN ZONE AE
The floodway	is the channel of a	stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be
substantial in	creases in flood heig	hts.
	OTHER FLOOD	AREAS
ZONE X	Areas of 0.2% and average depths o souare mile; and a	sual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with f less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 reas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.
	OTHER AREAS	
ZONE X	Areas determined	to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.
	Areas in which floo	to nazards are undetermined, but possible.
	CUASTAL BARF	KIEK KESUUKUES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS
CBRS among	OTHERWISE P	ROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)
دەتە areas a	Flood	iplain boundary -
		iway boundary D Boundary
•••••	•••• CBRS	and OPA boundary
	Boun Flood	dary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.
~~ 513 (FL 987	Base	Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet* Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone:
* Referenced	to the North Americ	tion in feet*
	- A Cross	section line
(23)		sect line
97° 07' 30	.00* Geog	raphic coordinates referenced to the North American
4275000m	N 1000	m or 1963 (NAU 83) meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks,
800000	zone	13 foot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate
	syste	m, central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), ert Conformal Conic Brojection
DX5510	Benci X this F	h mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM panel)
DX5510	X Benci X this F	h mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM panel) Mile
DX5510) Benci X this F River	n mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mile MAP REPOSITORIES Map Repositores (st on Map Index
DX5510) × Benci this F 6 River Refer to EFFF1	Make mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mile MAP REPOSITORIES Map Repositories list on Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYMADE COO INSURFARCE RATE MAP
DX5510	X Benci X this F River Refer to EFFI	Mile mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Make RepositorRIES Map RepositorRIES Subg RepositorRIES COLUMNITY AND E COLUMNITY AND E MARCH 17, 1997
DX5510 M1.5 DECEME Special FI	X Benci X this F River Refer to EFFECTIVE D BER 7, 2018 - to up cood Hazard Areas, 1	Mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mare Mark PeroSitTORIES Mark Repositorine is to n Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWORE COO INSURANCE RATE MAP MARKCH 17, 1997 MARKCH 17, 1997 MARKCH 17, 1997
DX5510 M1.5 DECEME Special FI	A Benci Kiver Refer tr EFFECTIVE [] EFFECTIVE [] BER 7, 2018 - to upp ood Hazard Areas, [] Incorporate ; Incorporate ; Incorporate ;	MAP REPOSITORIES MAP REPOSITORIES MAP REPOSITORIES MAP REPOSITORIES MAP REPOSITORIES ETIVE DATE OF COUNTYWARE COO INSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 17, 1997 MARCH 17, 1997 MARCH 18, 307 AUGU AND AND AND AND Date comport limits, to change Base Flood Elivations and base comport limits, to change Base Flood Elivations and to mentionally studed Latitude manine refer to the 4 formation
DX5510 M1.5 DECEME Special FI For communa Map History T	Benci Benci Bis F River Refer to EFF EFFECTIVE D EFFECTIVE D EFFECTIVE D BER 7, 2018 - to upport provide a start incorporate s ty map revision histo able located in the F	Mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mare Repositories Isa on Map Index. ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWORE COO INSURANCE TATE MARE MARE IN THE INFORMATION OF THE MARE MARCH 17, 1997 MARE IN THIS PANEL Late corporate limits, to charge Base Flood Elevations and operviously issued Letters of Map Revision. Toreviously insued Letters of Map Revision.
DX5510 M1.5 DECEME Special FI For communit Map History T To determine agent or call t	Benci His F Refer to EFFI EFFECTIVE [EFFECTIVE [EFFECTIVE [EFFECTIVE [BER 7, 2013 - to upo ord Hazard Areas, to noorporate s the occurrent of the occurrent the occurrent of the occurrent of the occurrent the occurrent of the occu	Mile mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mare BEPOSITORIES Mar Repositories list on Mar Index. ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYINDE COO INSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 17, 1997 XATE(6) OF REVISION(8) TO THIS PANEL as coporate limits or of Marg Marking to the Community revision of the Common Section of the Community Road Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Is available in this commandic your insurance susance Program at 1-800-638-6620.
DX5510 M1.5 DECEM Special FI For communal Map History T To determine agent or call th	Benci His F River Refer to EFFECTIVE E EFFECTIVE E EFFECTIVE E EFFECTIVE E Incorporate s	In Mark (see optimisation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) IRM pane) MBE MAP REPOSITORIES bage Repositories list on Map Index ECTIVE DOTE OF COUNTYMER SOOT INSURANCE RATE MAP MARKIN 17, 1997 MELTO DE RUSSION(S) TOT THE AMEL Index of Map Revision DEGIDE OF COUNTY MARK IN THE AMEL Mark (See A Stranger County Index County Index Stranger County Index County Index County Index Stranger County Index County Index County Index Stranger County Index County Index County Index Mark (See A Stranger County Index County Index Stranger County Index Co
DX5510 M1.5 DECEME Special FI For communal Map History T To determine agent or call t	Bencing and a second seco	IN THE CONTROLOGY OF THE SECTION OF
DX5510 M1.6 Special FI For community Map History T To determine agent or call t	Bench B	Mile mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mile MAP REPOSITORIES Solar Provide The Section of Comparison
DX5510 M1.6 Special FI Kap History T To determine agent or call H	Benci Benci Benci River Refer to EFFECTIVE D EFFECTIVE	Mile mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mile MAR PREPOSITORIES Solar Provide the State
DX5510 M1.6 DECEM Special FI For community Map History T To determine agent or call t	Bench B	Mark (see exploration in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mile MAP REPOSITORIES Subp Repositories list on Map Index. ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWAPE (SOO DISURANCE RATE MAP MARK 117, 1997 DATESIO FOR EVENDON(S) TO THIS PANEL Counter and Prima Load and and and and the optimation and to condente map formation. Base Riode Elevations and to condente map formation, badd readed and read names, and to condente map formation. In the PANEL tate composition list in a data data and read names, and to condente map formation. In the community map in the community, contact your insurance mark the second second second second second second second MAP SCALE 1* = 500' 500 1000 PANEL 05533G
DX5510 M1.6 DECEME Special FI For community Map History T To determine appent or call the 1	Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer to rever Refer to rever	Mark (see exploringes in Nodes to Users section of IRM pane) Mile MAR PEPOSITORIES Subp Repositores list on Map Index. ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWARE COO INSURANCE RATE MAP MARKIN 17, 1997 MICE INFORMATION INTO THIS PANEL INFO OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL INFO OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL INFO OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL INFO OF REVISION (S) TO
DX5510 M1.5 DECEME Special FI For community Map History T To determine agent or call H	Bench B	Inskri (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRP panel) MRE MARE PREPOSITORIES Solar Reproductions in Map Index. EXTERNATION OF THE SAMEL COD INSURANCE RATE MAP MARE IN THE SAME INTERNATION STATE INTERNATION INTERNATION OF THE SAME INTERNA
DX5510 M1.5 DECEME Special PI For community Map History 1 To determine agent or call 1 1	Benci Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr EFFI EFFECTIVE C BEF7.7614.16 up up Benci EFFECTIVE C BEF7.7614.16 up up Benci	In work (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) MRE MAP REPOSITORIES Map Repositories list on Map Index South Explorations list on Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWORE MARCH 17, 1997 March 180, 1997 March 1997 Ma
DX510 M1.5 DECEME Special PI For community Map History 1 To determine ogént or call 1 1	Benci Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer te EFFECTIVE C EFFECTIVE C BEFFECTIVE C	Mark (see exploration in Notes to Users section of IRR panel) Mee MAP Repositories Subpropositories Subpropositories Isa on Map Index. ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWORE COUNSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 71, 1997
DX5510 M1.5 DECEM Special FI For community Map History To determine agent or call H	Benci Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr EFFI E	n mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRP panel) MRE MAP REPOSITORIES Map Repositories list on Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWORE COO INSURANCE RATE MAP MARKH 71, 1997
DX5510 M1.6 DECEM Special FI For community Map History To determine agent or call the agent or call th	Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr EFFFI EF	h mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRP panel) Mile MAR PEPOSITORIES Mar Repositories list on Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWDE COO INSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 71, 1997 MARCH 71, 1997
DX5510 M1.5 DECEM Special FI For community Map History Map History 1 To determine agent or call ti	Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr EFFF FI EFFF FI EFFF FI EFFF FI FI EFFF EFFF FI EFFF FI EFFF FI EFFF EFFF FI EFFF FI EFFF FI EFFF FI EFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFF EFFFFFF EFFFFFF EFFFFFF EFFFFFFFFFF	ht mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRP panel) Mile MAR PEPOSITORIES Map Repositories list on Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWDE COO INSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 17, 1997 MARCH 17, 1997 MARCH 17, 1997 MARCH 11, 199
DX5510 M1.6 DECEME Special FI For communal Map History T To determine agent or call t	Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever	h mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mile Mage Repositories is at an Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWDE COO INSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 17, 1997 MARCH 1
DX5510 DECEME Special Fi For communit Map History T T o determine agent or call t	Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever	h mark (see experimention in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mic Mark Prepositorine Isat on Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWDE COO NSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 17, 1997 MARCH 1
DX5510 M1.6 DECEME Special FI Map History T To determine agent or call t	Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever	h mark (see each regionation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mic Mark Prepositorine is at an Map Index ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWDE COO NSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 17, 1997 MARCH 1
DX5510 M1.6 DECEME Special FI Map History T To determine agent or call t	Benci Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever Rever FI September FI September Sept	ht mark (see eechenparison in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mic Mark (See experimentation in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mic Mark (See experimentation in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimentation in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimentation in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimentation in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimentation in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimentation in Notes to Users section of Mic Mic Mark (See experimentation in Notes to Users and Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic
DX5510 M1.6 DECEME Special FI Map Hetory T to determine agent or call t	Benci Benci Benci Benci Rever Refer tr Benci Rever Rever Refer tr PEFFFF FI September FI September PEFFF FI September Sep	ht mark (see experimention in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mic Mark (See experimention in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mic Mark (See experimention in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimention in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimention in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimention in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimention in Notes to Users section of Mic Mark (See experimention in Notes to Users section of Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic Mic
DX5510 M1.6 DECEME Special FI Map Heatory T D determine agent or call t	Benci Benci Benci Benci Rever Rever Rever Rever Rever re Set 7: 2019 - to up opprovide the set of the rever reve	An unix (see each requirements in Notes to Users section of IRM pane) Mic Mark (See Part Count Yung) Mark (See Part See Part See Part See Mark (See Part See Part See Part See Mark (See Part See Part See Part See Part See Mark (See Part See
DX5510	Benci, the F B	And the constraints of the section o
DX5510	Benci, the F B	And the second s
DX5510	Benci, the F B	And the object of the second o
DX5510	Benci, the F Benci, the F Rever Rever	And the object of the second s
DX5510	Benci, the F Benci, the F Rever Rever	And the second s
DX5510	Benci, the F B	And the second s
DX5510 M1.6 DECEME Special FI For communities Append or call the agent or call the special FI	Benci, the F Benci, the F Rever Rever	hinkels (see explanation in Notes to Users section of IRP panel) Mic Mage Repositories is at an Map Index. ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYMEDE Mage Repositories is at an Map Index. ECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYMEDE Mage County Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage Mage

FALCON DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY SELECTED PLAN REPORT **FINAL - SEPTEMBER 2015**

Prepared for:



El Paso County Public Services Department 3275 Akers Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80922

Prepared By:



Matrix Design Group 2435 Research Parkway, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

Matrix Project No. 10.122.003







ramold

гол

APPENDIX B

Hydrologic Computations

Existing Computations

Subdivision: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass Location: CO, Colorado Springs

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
		Pa	ved/Gravel Ro	oads	La	wns/Undevelo	oped		Roofs		Res	Residential - 1/8 Acre			Residential - 1/4 Acre			Residential - 1/3 Acre			sidential - 1/2	Acre	Re	esidential - 1 A	cre	Basins Total
Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	Weighted % Imp.
EX-1	1.19	100	0.00	0.0	2	1.19	2.0	2	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
EX-2	1.56	100	0.00	0.0	2	1.56	2.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
EX-3	0.62	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.62	2.0	1	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
EX-4	12.49	100	0.00	0.0	2	12.49	2.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
EX-5	5.15	100	0.00	0.0	2	5.15	2.0	10	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
EX-6	9.53	100	0.00	0.0	2	9.53	2.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
EX-7	9.16	100	0.00	0.0	2	9.16	2.0	18	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
EX-8	21.30	100	0.00	0.0	2	21.30	2.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
OS-1	32.28	100	2.15	6.7	2	29.25	1.8	53	0.88	1.4	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	9.9
OS-2	20.08	80	0.90	3.6	2	18.62	1.9	90	0.56	2.5	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	8.0
OS-3	10.62	80	0.48	3.6	2	9.84	1.9	19	0.30	0.5	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	6.0
OS-4	4.46	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.00	0.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	2.28	33.2	40	1.46	13.1	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.72	3.2	49.5
OS-5	0.46	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.00	0.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.46	65.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	65.0
OS-6	1.17	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.00	0.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	1.17	65.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	65.0

Lot Type Identification:										
Lot Size (SF)	Lot Size (Acre)									
0 - 8,167	1/8 Acre									
8,168 - 12,704	1/4 Acre									
12,705 - 18,149	1/3 Acre									
18,150 - 32,670	1/2 Acre									
32,671 - 43,560	1 Acre									

NOTES:

% Impervious values are taken directly from Table 6-6 in the Colorado Springs DCM Vol. 1. CH. 6 (Referencing UDFCD 2001)

Project Name:	Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass
Project No.:	CLH000017
Calculated By:	TJE
Checked By:	CMD
Date:	6/19/20

COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS: EXISTING

Subdivision: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass Location: CO, Colorado Springs

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
		Pav	/ed/Gravel R	oads	La	wns/Undeve	loped		Roofs		Res	idential - 1/8	Acre	Res	idential - 1/4	4 Acre	Res	idential - 1/3	Acre	Res	sidential - 1/2	Acre	Re	esidential - 1	Acre		Composite
Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Composite C ₅	Composite C ₁₀₀
EX-1	1.19	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	1.19	0.43	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
EX-2	1.56	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	1.56	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
EX-3	0.62	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.62	0.22	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
EX-4	12.49	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	12.49	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
EX-5	5.15	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	5.15	1.85	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
EX-6	9.53	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	9.53	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
EX-7	9.16	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	9.16	3.30	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
EX-8	21.30	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	21.30	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
OS-1	32.28	0.90	0.96	2.15	0.09	0.36	29.25	10.53	0.81	0.88	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.43	0.41
OS-2	20.08	0.90	0.96	0.90	0.09	0.36	18.62	0.73	0.81	0.56	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.14	0.40
OS-3	10.62	0.90	0.96	0.48	0.09	0.36	9.84	3.54	0.81	0.30	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.22	0.40
OS-4	4.46	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	2.28	0.30	0.50	1.46	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.72	0.36	0.54
OS-5	0.46	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.46	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.45	0.59
OS-6	1.17	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	1.17	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.45	0.59

Lot Type Identification:										
Lot Size (SF)	Lot Size (Acre)									
0 - 8,167	= 1/8 Acre</th									
8,168 - 12,704	1/4 Acre									
12,705 - 18,149	1/3 Acre									
18,150 - 32,670	1/2 Acre									
32,671 - 43,560	1 Acre									

NOTES: *C* values are taken directly from Table 6-6 in the Colorado Springs DCM Vol. 1. CH. 6 (Referencing UDFCD 2001) Coeffficients use HSG A&B soils - Refer to "Appendix A: Exhibits and Figures" for soil map

Project Name:
Project No.:Falcon Meadows at Bent GrassClusted By:CLH000017Checked By:CMDDate:6/19/20

STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION: EXISTING

Subdivision: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass

Location: CO, Colorado Springs

Project Name:	Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass
Project No.:	CLH000017
Calculated By:	TJE
Checked By:	CMD
D ((/10/20

													Date:	6/19/20			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		SUB-BA	ASIN			INITIA	L/OVER	LAND		TR	RAVEL T	IME			Te CHECK		
		DAT	ГA				(T _i)				(T_t)			(UR	RBANIZED BA	SINS)	FINAL
BASIN	D.A.	Hydrologic	Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	L	S	Ti	L	S	Cv	VEL.	Tt	COMP. T _c	TOTAL	Urbanized T _c	T _c
ID	(AC)	Soils Group	(%)			(FT)	(%)	(MIN)	(FT)	(%)		(FPS)	(MIN)	(MIN)	LENGTH(FT)	(MIN)	(MIN)
EX-1	1.19	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	2.7	810.0	690	2.7	15	2.5	4.7	814.7	990.0	15.5	15.:
EX-2	1.56	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	200	2.7	18.8	100	2.7	15	2.5	0.7	19.5	300.0	11.7	11.
EX-3	0.62	А	2.0	0.09	0.36	100	5.0	500.0	30	2.7	15	2.5	0.2	500.2	130.0	10.7	10.
EX-4	12.49	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	100	2.7	13.3	1180	2.7	15	2.5	8.0	21.3	1280.0	17.1	17.
EX-5	5.15	А	2.0	0.09	0.36	100	2.7	270.0	1000	2.7	15	2.5	6.8	276.8	1100.0	16.1	16.
EX-6	9.53	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	100	2.7	13.3	1700	2.7	15	2.5	11.5	24.8	1800.0	20.0	20.
EX-7	9.16	А	2.0	0.09	0.36	90	2.7	243.0	1020	2.7	15	2.5	6.9	249.9	1110.0	16.2	16.
EX-8	21.30	А	2.0	0.09	0.36	100	2.7	13.3	996	2.7	15	2.5	6.7	20.0	1095.5	16.1	16.
OS-1	32.28	А	9.9	0.43	0.41	62	25.0	1547.5	65	1.0	15	1.5	0.7	1548.2	126.9	10.7	10.
OS-2	20.08	А	8.0	0.14	0.40	215	2.0	20.5	1821	2.5	20	3.2	9.6	30.1	2036.4	21.3	21.
OS-3	10.62	А	6.0	0.22	0.40	279	2.0	557.5	1147	2.0	20	2.8	6.8	564.3	1425.6	17.9	17.
OS-4	4.46	A	49.5	0.36	0.54	175	2.0	14.2	438	1.0	15	1.5	4.9	19.1	612.1	13.4	13.4
OS-5	0.46	A	65.0	0.45	0.59	110	2.0	9.9	779	2.0	20	2.8	4.6	14.5	888.4	14.9	14.
OS-6	1.17	А	65.0	0.45	0.59	109	2.0	9.9	872	2.0	20	2.8	5.1	15.0	981.9	15.5	15.

NOTES:

 $T_i = (0.395^*(1.1 - C_5)^*(L)^{0.5})/((S)^{0.33})$, S in ft/ft

 $T_t = L/60V$ (Velocity From Fig. 501)

Velocity V=Cv*S^0.5, S in ft/ft

Tc Check = 10 + L/180

For Urbanized basins a minimum T_c of 5.0 minutes is required.

For non-urbanized basins a minimum T_c of 10.0 minutes is required

STANDARD FORM SF-3 STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN: EXISTING

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass Location: CO, Colorado Springs

Design Storm: 5-Year

 Project Name:
 Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass

 Project No.:
 CLH000017

 Calculated By:
 TJE

 Checked By:
 CMD

 Date:
 6/19/20

				DIRE	CT RUI	NOFF			1	OTAL	RUNOF	F	STR	EET		PIPE		TRAV	/EL T	IME	
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	REMARKS
	1	OS-4	4.46	0.36	13.4	1.61	3.69	5.6					1.0	5.6				438	2.0	3.6	Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
			0.46	0.45	14.5	0.01	0.07												2.0	4.6	
	2	08-5	0.46	0.45	14.5	0.21	3.57	1.1					2	1.1				779	2.8	4.6	Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	3	OS-6	1.17	0.45	15.0	0.53	3.52	2.0					2	2.0				872	2.8	5.1	Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	4	EX-1	1.19	0.09	15.5	0.11	3.47	0.4													
	5	EX-2	1.56	0.09	11.7	0.14	3.90	0.5	15.5	2.60	3.47	9.0									Total flows to DP 5 discharging into existing WOCV Pond
		EX-3	0.62	0.09	10.7	0.06	4.02	0.2													Existing WQCV Pond.
	6	EX-4	12.49	0.09	17.1	1.12	3.32	3.7													
	7	EX-5	5.15	0.09	16.1	0.46	3.41	1.6	17.1	1.58	3.32	5.2									Total flow from DP 6 & EX-5 flowing onto Bent Grass Meadows Drive.
																					ŭ
	8	EX-7	9.16	0.09	16.2	0.82	3.41	2.8													Flows from DP 8 go off-site into Bent Grass Meadows Drive.
	9	OS-2	20.08	0.14	21.3	2.81	2.99	9.3													Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	10	OS-3	10.62	0.22	17.9	2.34	3.25	5.3													Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	11	EX-6	9.53	0.09	20.0	0.86	3.09	2.7	21.3	6.01	2.99	17.3									Total flows entering existing inlet at DP 11.
		EX-8	21.30	0.09	16.1	1.92	3.42	6.6													Existing flows from basin discharge into creek.
	12	OS-1	32.28	0.43	10.7	13.88	4.03	15.1													Existing off-site flows into creek via existing swale.

STANDARD FORM SF-3 STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN: EXISTING

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision:	Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass
Location:	CO, Colorado Springs

Design Storm: 100-Year

Project Name:	Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass
Project No.:	CLH000017
Calculated By:	TJE
Checked By:	CMD
Date:	6/19/20

				DIRE	ECT RU	NOFF				TOTAL	RUNOF	Ŧ	STE	REET		PIPE		TRA	VEL T	IME	
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	REMARKS
	1	OS-4	4.46	0.36	13.4	1.61	6.19	14.0					1.0	14.0				438	2.0	3.6	Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	2	OS-5	0.46	0.45	14.5	0.21	6.00	2.3					2	2.3				779	2.8	4.6	Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	3	OS-6	1.17	0.45	15.0	0.53	5.91	4.3					2	4.3				872	2.8	5.1	Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	4	EX-1	1.19	0.09	15.5	0.11	5.83	0.6													
	5	EX-2	1.56	0.09	11.7	0.14	6.54	0.9	15.5	2.60	5.83	15.2									Total flows to DP 5 discharging into existing WQCV Pond.
		EX-3	0.62	0.09	10.7	0.06	6.76	0.4													Existing WQCV Pond.
	6	EX-4	12.49	0.09	17.1	1.12	5.58	6.2													
	7	EX-5	5.15	0.09	16.1	0.46	5.73	2.6	17.1	1.58	5.58	8.8									Total flow from DP 6 & EX-5 flowing onto Bent Grass Meadows Drive.
	8	EX-7	9.16	0.09	16.2	0.82	5.72	4.7													Flows from DP 8 go off-site into Bent Grass Meadows Drive.
	9	OS-2	20.08	0.14	21.3	2.81	5.03	43.4													Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	10	OS-3	10.62	0.22	17.9	2.34	5.46	24.3													Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	11	EX-6	9.53	0.09	20.0	0.86	5.19	4.5	21.3	6.01	5.03	72.2									Total flows entering existing inlet at DP 11.
		EX-8	21.30	0.09	16.1	1.92	5.73	11.0													Existing flows from basin discharge into creek.
	12	OS-1	32.28	0.43	10.7	13.88	6.76	65.1													Existing off-site flows into creek via existing swale.

Proposed Computations

Subdivision: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass Location: CO, Colorado Springs

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
		Pav	ved/Gravel R	oads	La	wns/Undevelo	oped		Roofs		Res	idential - 1/8	Acre	Res	idential - 1/4	Acre	Re	sidential - 1/3 A	Acre	Res	idential - 1/2	Acre	Re	esidential - 1 A	cre	Basins Total
Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	Weighted % Imp.
A-1	2.57	100	0.52	20.2	2	0.20	0.2	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.79	20.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.31	3.6	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.75	5.8	49.8
A-2	2.28	100	0.40	17.5	2	0.46	0.4	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	1.43	40.8	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	58.7
B-1	4.35	100	0.00	0.0	2	4.35	2.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
B-2	4.16	100	0.00	0.0	2	4.41	2.1	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.1
C-1	9.34	100	1.96	21.0	2	2.49	0.5	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	2.02	14.1	40	1.12	4.8	30	0.52	1.7	25	0.00	0.0	20	1.23	2.6	44.7
C-2	3.30	100	0.67	20.3	2	0.12	0.1	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	2.26	44.5	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.25	2.3	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	67.2
C-3	1.17	100	0.31	26.5	2	0.04	0.1	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.82	45.6	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	72.2
C-4	2.10	100	0.36	17.1	2	0.23	0.2	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	1.51	46.7	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	64.0
C-5	0.45	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.45	2.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
D-1	8.24	100	1.40	17.0	2	0.44	0.1	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	1.77	14.0	40	2.36	11.5	30	1.36	5.0	25	1.10	3.3	20	0.91	2.2	53.1
D-2	9.33	100	2.03	21.8	2	2.33	0.5	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	4.97	34.6	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	56.9
D-3	2.03	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.28	0.3	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.36	11.5	40	0.17	3.3	30	0.12	1.8	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	16.9
D-4	3.64	100	1.03	28.3	2	0.63	0.3	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	1.98	35.4	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	64.0
D-5	2.94	100	0.36	12.2	2	0.66	0.4	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	1.29	28.5	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	41.1
D-6	4.01	100	0.91	22.7	2	0.09	0.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	3.01	48.8	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	71.5
D-7	6.97	100	0.00	0.0	2	6.31	1.8	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.75	7.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	8.8
OS-1	32.28	100	2.15	6.7	2	29.25	1.8	90	0.88	2.5	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	11.0
OS-2	20.07	80	0.90	3.6	2	18.62	1.9	90	0.56	2.5	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	8.0
OS-3	10.61	80	0.48	3.6	2	9.84	1.9	90	0.30	2.5	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	8.0
OS-4	4.46	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.00	0.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	2.28	33.2	40	1.46	13.1	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.72	3.2	49.5
OS-5	0.46	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.00	0.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	0.46	65.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	65.0
OS-6	1.17	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.00	0.0	90	0.00	0.0	65.0	1.17	65.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	65.0

Lot Type Id	entification:
Lot Size (SF)	Lot Size (Acre)
0 - 8,167	1/8 Acre
8,168 - 12,704	1/4 Acre
12,705 - 18,149	1/3 Acre
18,150 - 32,670	1/2 Acre
32,671 - 43,560	1 Acre

NOTES: % Impervious values are taken directly from Table 6-6 in the Colorado Springs DCM Vol. 1. CH. 6 (Referencing UDFCD 2001)

Project Name:	Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass
Project No.:	CLH000017
Calculated By:	TJE
Checked By:	CMD
Date:	6/19/20

The lots called out as one acre need to be revised per PUD/SP comments.

Subdivision: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass

Location: CO, Colorado Springs

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
		Pav	/ed/Gravel R	oads	La	wns/Undevelo	oped		Roofs		Resi	dential - 1/8	Acre	Resi	dential - 1/4	Acre	Resi	dential - 1/3	Acre	Resi	idential - 1/2	Acre	Res	sidential - 1 A	Acre		Composite
Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	Area (ac)	Composite C ₅	Composite C ₁₀₀
A-1	2.57	0.90	0.96	0.52	0.09	0.36	0.20	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.79	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.31	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.75	0.42	0.59
A-2	2.28	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.09	0.36	0.46	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	1.43	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.46	0.61
B-1	4.35	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	4.35	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
B-2	4.16	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	4.41	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.10	0.38
C-1	9.34	0.90	0.96	1.96	0.09	0.36	2.49	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	2.02	0.30	0.50	1.12	0.25	0.47	0.52	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	1.23	0.39	0.57
C-2	3.30	0.90	0.96	0.67	0.09	0.36	0.12	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	2.26	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.25	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.51	0.65
C-3	1.17	0.90	0.96	0.31	0.09	0.36	0.04	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.82	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.56	0.68
C-4	2.10	0.90	0.96	0.36	0.09	0.36	0.23	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	1.51	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.49	0.63
C-5	0.45	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.45	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
D-1	8.24	0.90	0.96	1.40	0.09	0.36	0.44	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	1.77	0.30	0.50	2.36	0.25	0.47	1.36	0.22	0.46	1.10	0.20	0.44	0.91	0.43	0.64
D-2	9.33	0.90	0.96	2.03	0.09	0.36	2.33	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	4.97	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.46	0.61
D-3	2.03	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.28	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.36	0.30	0.50	0.17	0.25	0.47	0.12	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.13	0.22
D-4	3.64	0.90	0.96	1.03	0.09	0.36	0.63	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	1.98	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.52	0.65
D-5	2.94	0.90	0.96	0.36	0.09	0.36	0.66	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	1.29	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.33	0.46
D-6	4.01	0.90	0.96	0.91	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	3.01	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.54	0.67
D-7	6.97	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	6.31	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.75	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.13	0.39
OS-1	32.28	0.90	0.96	2.15	0.09	0.36	29.25	0.73	0.81	0.88	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.16	0.41
OS-2	20.07	0.90	0.96	0.90	0.09	0.36	18.62	0.73	0.81	0.56	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.14	0.40
OS-3	10.61	0.90	0.96	0.48	0.09	0.36	9.84	0.73	0.81	0.30	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.14	0.40
OS-4	4.46	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	2.28	0.30	0.50	1.46	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.72	0.36	0.54
OS-5	0.46	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.46	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.45	0.59
OS-6	1.17	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	1.17	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.45	0.59

Lot Type Identification: Lot Size (SF) Lot Size (Acre) 0 - 8,167 </= 1/8 Acre 8,168 - 12,704 1/4 Acre 12,705 - 18,149 1/3 Acre 18,150 - 32,670 32,671 - 43,560 1/2 Acre 1 Acre

NOTES: C values are taken directly from Table 6-6 in the Colorado Springs DCM Vol. 1. CH. 6 (Referencing UDFCD 2001) Coeffficients use HSG A&B soils - Refer to "Appendix A: Exhibits and Figures" for soil map

Project Name:	Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass
Project No.:	CLH000017
Calculated By:	TJE
Checked By:	CMD
Date:	6/19/20

STANDARD FORM SF-2: PROPOSED TIME OF CONCENTRATION

10

L

(FT)

675

662

1911

988

1221

733

421

407

65

1821

1147

438

779

872

916

715

2100

1400

1500

910

430

190

11

S

(%)

1.0

2.5

2.0

1.0

2.0

2.0

2.0

1.0

2.2

2.3

2.0

1.2

0.9

1.0

15

20

20

15

20

20

20

15

15

15

15

20

20

20

1.5

3.2

2.8

1.5

2.8

2.8

2.8

1.5

2.2

2.3

2.1

2.2

1.9

2.0

0.7

9.6

6.8

4.9

4.6

5.1

5.4

7.9

15.7

10.3

11.8

6.9

3.8

1.6

Subdivision: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass

SUB-BASIN DATA

Hydrologic Impervious

(%)

49.8

58.7

2.0

2.1

44.7

67.2

72.2

64.0

2.0

53.1

56.9

16.9

64.0

41.1

71.5

8.8

11.0

8.0

8.0

49.5

65.0

65.0

5

C₅

0.42

0.46

0.09

0.10

0.39

0.51

0.56

0.49

0.09

0.43

0.46

0.13

0.52

0.33

0.54

0.13

0.16

0.14

0.14

0.36

0.45

0.45

6

C₁₀₀

0.59

0.61

0.36

0.38

0.57

0.65

0.68

0.63

0.36

0.64

0.61

0.22

0.65

0.46

0.67

0.39

0.41

0.40

0.40

0.54

0.59

0.59

7

L

(FT)

246

47

158

79

208

75

144

199

62

215

279

175

110

109

39

160

100

100

100

100

85

15

8

INITIAL/OVERLAND

 (T_i)

S

(%)

6.0

6.0

6.5

1.2

6.0

6.0

6.0

6.0

25.0

2.0

2.0

2.0

2.0

2.0

2.0

20.0

2.4

2.3

2.0

2.0

0.2

2.0

Ti

(MIN)

10.7

4.4

12.5

15.3

10.3

5.1

6.5

8.7

5.0

14.3

15.5

18.6

8.8

11.7

5.1

8.3

12.9

13.3

14.0

10.8

18.7

3.7

Location: CO, Colorado Springs

3

Soils Group

Α

А

Α

А

Α

А

А

Α

Α

Α

А

Α

А

А

А

А

А

А

А

Α

Α

Α

		Project	Name:	Falcon Me	adows at Ben	t Grass	
		Proj	ect No.:	CLH00001	7		
		Calcula	ted By:	TJE			
		Checl	ked By:	CMD			
			Date:	6/19/20			
	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
TR	RAVEL TI	ME			Te CHECK		
	(T.)			(UR	RANIZED RA	SINS)	FINAL
	(-1)			(UN	DANIZED DA	511(5)	FIIMAL
	Cv	VEL.	T _t	COMP. T _c	TOTAL	Urbanized T _c	T _c
)	Cv	VEL. (FPS)	T _t (MIN)	COMP. T _c (MIN)	TOTAL LENGTH(FT)	Urbanized T _c (MIN)	T _c (MIN)
) 2.3	Cv 20	VEL. (FPS) 3.1	T _t (MIN) 3.7	COMP. T _c (MIN) 14.4	TOTAL LENGTH(FT) 920.2	Urbanized T _e (MIN) 15.1	ThyAL T _c (MIN) 14.4
5) 2.3 2.3	Cv 20 20	VEL. (FPS) 3.1 3.1	T _t (MIN) 3.7 3.6	COMP. T _c (MIN) 14.4 8.0	TOTAL LENGTH(FT) 920.2 708.8	Urbanized T _c (MIN) 15.1 13.9	Tc (MIN) 14.4 8.0
5) 2.3 2.3 1.7	Cv 20 20 15	VEL. (FPS) 3.1 3.1 2.0	T _t (MIN) 3.7 3.6 16.3	COMP. T _c (MIN) 14.4 8.0 28.7	TOTAL LENGTH(FT) 920.2 708.8 2068.9	Urbanized T _e (MIN) 15.1 13.9 21.5	Tc (MIN) 14.4 8.0 21.5
 2.3 2.3 1.7 1.6 	Cv 20 20 15 15	VEL. (FPS) 3.1 3.1 2.0 1.9	T _t (MIN) 3.7 3.6 16.3 8.7	COMP. T _c (MIN) 14.4 8.0 28.7 24.0	TOTAL LENGTH(FT) 920.2 708.8 2068.9 1067.5	Urbanized T _c (MIN) 15.1 13.9 21.5 15.9	Tc (MIN) 14.4 8.0 21.5 15.9
 2.3 2.3 1.7 1.6 2.9 	Cv 20 20 15 15 20	VEL. (FPS) 3.1 3.1 2.0 1.9 3.4	T _t (MIN) 3.7 3.6 16.3 8.7 6.0	COMP. T _c (MIN) 14.4 8.0 28.7 24.0 16.3	TOTAL LENGTH(FT) 920.2 708.8 2068.9 1067.5 1428.7	Urbanized T _c (MIN) 15.1 13.9 21.5 15.9 17.9	Tc (MIN) 14.4 8.0 21.5 15.9 16.3
 2.3 2.3 1.7 1.6 2.9 3.5 	Cv 20 20 15 15 20 20	VEL. (FPS) 3.1 3.1 2.0 1.9 3.4 3.7	T _t (MIN) 3.7 3.6 16.3 8.7 6.0 3.3	COMP. T _c (MIN) 14.4 8.0 28.7 24.0 16.3 8.4	JANIZED BA TOTAL LENGTH(FT) 920.2 708.8 2068.9 1067.5 1428.7 807.9	Urbanized T _c (MIN) 15.1 13.9 21.5 15.9 17.9 14.5	Tc (MIN) 14.4 8.0 21.5 15.9 16.3 8.4
 2.3 2.3 1.7 1.6 2.9 3.5 2.5 	Cv 20 20 15 15 20 20	VEL. (FPS) 3.1 3.1 2.0 1.9 3.4 3.7 3.2	T _t (MIN) 3.7 3.6 16.3 8.7 6.0 3.3 2.2	COMP. T _c (MIN) 14.4 8.0 28.7 24.0 16.3 8.4 8.8	JANIZED BA TOTAL LENGTH(FT) 920.2 708.8 2068.9 1067.5 1428.7 807.9 565.5	Urbanized T _c (MIN) 15.1 13.9 21.5 15.9 17.9 14.5 13.1	Tc (MIN) 14.4 8.0 21.5 15.9 16.3 8.4 8.8

5.7

23.9

22.3

23.5

13.4

16.8

10.5

16.2

28.6

23.6

25.7

17.7

17.7

5.2

126.9

2036.4

1425.6

612.1

888.4

981.9

955.1

875.1

2200.0

1500.0

1600.0

1010.0

1010.0

205.0

10.7

21.3

17.9

13.4

14.9

15.5

15.3

14.9

22.2

18.3

18.9

15.6

15.6

11.1

5.7

21.3

17.9

13.4

13.4

15.5

10.5

14.9

22.2

18.3

18.9

15.6

15.6

5.2

NOTES:

1

BASIN

ID

A-1

A-2

B-1

B-2

C-1

C-2

C-3

C-4

C-5

D-1

D-2

D-3

D-4

D-5

D-6

D-7

OS-1

OS-2

OS-3

OS-4

OS-5

OS-6

2

D.A.

(AC)

2.57

2.28

4.35

1.17

9.34

3.30

1.17

2.10

0.45

8.24

9.33

2.03

3.64

2.94

4.01

6.97

32.28

20.07

10.61

4.46

0.46

1.17

 $T_i = (0.395*(1.1 - C_5)*(L)^0.5)/((S)^0.33)$, S in ft/ft $T_t = L/60V$ (Velocity From Fig. 501) Velocity V=Cv*S^0.5, S in ft/ft $T_{c} Check = 10 + L/180$ For Urbanized basins a minimum T_c of 5.0 minutes is required. For non-urbanized basins a minimum T_c of 10.0 minutes is required

A lot of these seem high. Show the Tc lines on the drainage plans.

STANDARD FORM SF-3: PROPOSED

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

TOTAL RUNOFF

Т

 Subdivision:
 Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass

 Location:
 CO, Colorado Springs

DIRECT RUNOFF

Т

Design Storm: 5-Year

Project No.: CLH000017 Calculated By: TJE Checked By: CMD Date: 6/19/20		Project Name: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass													
Calculated By: TJE Checked By: CMD Date: 6/19/20 STREET PIPE TRAVEL TIME	Project No.: CLH000017														
Checked By: CMD Date: 6/19/20 STREET PIPE TRAVEL TIME		Cal	Calculated By:												
Date: 6/19/20 STREET PIPE TRAVEL TIME	Checked By: CMD														
STREET PIPE TRAVEL TIME			Date:	6/19/20											
		STREET	REET PIPE	TRAVEL TIN	IE										
tes (12)		fs)	fs) cfs)	hes)											

STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs	Design Flow (cf	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inche	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	REMARKS
	1	OS-4	4.46	0.36	15.6	1.61	3.46	5.6					1.2	5.6				910	2.2	6.9	Flows from Basin B-1 of Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	2	OS-5	0.46	0.45	15.6	0.21	3.46	1.1					0.9	1.1				430	1.9	3.8	Flows from Basin B-2 of Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR
	3	OS-6	1.17	0.45	5.2	0.53	5.10	2.0					1	2.0				190	2.0	1.6	Flows from Basin B-3 of Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	4	A-1	2.57	0.42	14.4	1.08	3.58	3.9	15.6	3.43	3.46	11.9	2.33	3.9				675	3.1	3.7	Flows from Basins OS-4, OS-5, OS-6, & A-1 into proposed inlet.
	5 6	A-2	2.28	0.46	8.0	1.05	4.46	4.7	15.6	4.48	3.46	15.5	2.33	4.7				662	3.1	3.6	Flow into proposed inlet. Total flow of Basins OS-4, OS-5, OS-6, A-1 & A-2 into existing WQCV Pond.
	7	C-4	2.10	0.49	10.8	1.03	4.01	4.1					2.5	4.1				407	3.2	2.1	Flow into proposed inlet.
	8	C-3	1.17	0.56	8.8	0.66	4.33	2.9	10.8	1.69	4.01	6.8	2.5	2.9				421	3.2	2.2	Flow into proposed inlet. Total flow from Basins C-3 & C-4.
	12	C-2	3.30	0.51	8.4	1.68	4.39	7.4	10.8	3.37	4.01	13.5	3.5	7.375				733	3.7	3.3	Flow into proposed inlet. Total flow from Basins C-2, C-3, & C-4.
	15 13	C-1	9.34	0.39	16.3	3.64	3.39	12.3	16.3	7.01	3.39	23.8	2.85	12.34				1221	3.4	6.0	Flow into proposed inlet. Total flow from Basins C-1 thru C-4 into proposed north WQCV pond.
		C-5	0.45	0.09	5.7	0.04	4.97	0.2													North Pond
	10	OS-3	10.61	0.14	18.9	1.49	3.18	5.3													Offsite flow into Basin D-3. Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR
	11	D-3	2.03	0.13	13.4	0.26	3.69	1.0	18.9	1.75	3.18	5.6									Flows conveyed via existing ditch into proposed end section. Total flow from Basins OS-3 & D-3 via storm sewer into DP 16
	14	D-2	9.33	0.46	17.9	4.29	3.25	13.9					2	13.9				1147	2.8	6.8	Flow into proposed inlet. Piped to DP 16.
	9	OS-2	20.07	0.14	18.3	2.81	3.22	9.3													Overland flow into Basin D-1. Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR
	16	D-1	8.24	0.43	21.3	3.54	2.99	10.6	21.3	6.35	2.99	19.0	2.5	10.6				1821	3.2	9.6	Combined flows from OS-2 & D-1 into proposed inlet.
									21.3	12.39	2.99	37.0									Total flow from Basins OS-2, OS-3, & D-1 thru D-3.
	17	D-4	3.64	0.52	13.4	1.89	3.69	7.0					2	7.0				779	2.8	4.6	Flow into proposed inlet.
	18	D-6	4.01	0.54	10.5	2.17	4.06	8.8					2	8.8				916	2.8	5.4	Flow into proposed inlet.
	19	D-7	6.97	0.13	14.9	0.91	3.53	3.2	21.3	16.45	2.99	49.2									Includes South Pond Total flow from Basin D-6, & DP 7 & 17 into proposed south WQCV Pond.
	20	D-5	2.94	0.33	15.5	0.97	3.48	3.4													
	21	OS-1	32.28	0.16	22.2	5.16	2.93	15.1							I			l			Flows obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR. Q=15.1 CFS
	22	B-1	4.35	0.09	21.5	0.39	2.98	1.2	22.2	5.55	2.93	41.3									Flows under Bent Grass Meadows Drive. Inlcudes WT200 & OS-1
	23	B-2	1.17	0.10	15.9	0.12	3.43	0.4	22.2	5.67	2.93	42.0									Flows incl. everything that ends up into existing channel.

STANDARD FORM SF-3: PROPOSED

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass Location: CO, Colorado Springs

Design Storm: 100-Year

Project Valle: Pation Mead Project No.: CLH000017 Calculated By: TJE Checked By: CMD Date: 6/19/20

			DIRECT RUNOFF TOTAL RUNOFF STREET PIPE TRAVEL TIM		ME																
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	REMARKS
	1	OS-4	4.46	0.36	15.6	1.61	5.81	14.0					1.2	14.0				910	2.2	6.9	Flows from Basin B-1 of Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
	2	OS-5	0.46	0.45	15.6	0.21	5.81	2.3					0.9	2.3				430	1.9	3.8	Flows from Basin B-2 of Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR
	3	OS-6	1.17	0.45	5.2	0.53	8.56	4.3					1	4.3				190	2.0	1.6	Flows from Basin B-3 of Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR.
		A-1	2 57	0.42	14.4	1.08	6.01	6.5					2 33	6.5				675	31	3.7	
	4	A 2	2.07	0.46	8.0	1.00	7.48	7.0	15.6	3.43	5.81	19.9	2.33	7.0				662	3.1	3.6	Flows from Basins OS-4, OS-5, OS-6, & A-1 into proposed inlet.
	6	A-2	2.20	0.40	8.0	1.05	/.40	1.9	15.6	4.48	5.81	26.0	2.33	7.9				002	5.1	5.0	Total flow of Basins OS-4, OS-5, OS-6, A-1 & A-2 into existing WQCV Pond.
	_																				
	7	C-4	2.10	0.49	10.8	1.03	6.74	6.9					2.5	6.9				407	3.2	2.1	Flow into proposed inlet.
	8	C-3	1.17	0.56	8.8	0.66	7.27	4.8	10.8	1.69	6.74	11.4	2.5	4.8				421	3.2	2.2	Flow into proposed inlet. Total flow from Basins C-3 & C-4.
	12	C-2	3.30	0.51	8.4	1.68	7.37	12.4	10.8	3.37	6.74	22.7	3.5	12.38				733	3.7	3.265	Flow into proposed inlet. Total flow from Basins C-2, C-3, & C-4.
	15 13	C-1	9.34	0.39	16.3	3.64	5.69	20.7	16.3	7.01	5.69	39.9	2.85	20.71				1221	3.4	6.0	Flow into proposed inlet. Total flow from Basins C-1 thru C-4 into proposed north WOCV pond.
		C-5	0.45	0.09	5.7	0.04	8.35	0.3			,										North Pond
	10	OS-3	10.61	0.14	18.9	1.49	5.33	24.3													Offsite flow into Basin D-3. Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR
	11	D-3	2.03	0.13	13.4	0.26	6.19	1.6	18.0	1.75	5.22	0.2									Flows conveyed via existing ditch into proposed end section.
	14	D-2	9.33	0.46	17.9	4.29	5.46	23.4	10.9	1.75	5.55	7.5	2	23.42				1147	2.8	6.8	Flow into proposed inlet. Piped to DP 16.
	9	OS-2	20.07	0.14	18.3	2.81	5.41	43.4													Overland flow into Basin D-1. Flow obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR
	16	D-1	8.24	0.43	21.3	3.54	5.03	17.8	21.2	()5	5.02	21.0	2.5	17.8				1821	3.2	9.6	
	16								21.3	6.35	5.03	31.9									Combined flows from OS-2 & D-1 into proposed inlet.
									21.3	12.39	5.03	62.3									Total flow from Basins OS-2, OS-3, & D-1 thru D-3.
	17	D-4	3.64	0.52	13.4	1.89	6.19	11.7					2	11.7				779	2.8	4.6	Flow into proposed inlet.
	18	D-6	4.01	0.54	10.5	2.17	6.82	14.8					2	14.8				916.2	2.8	5.4	Flow into proposed inlet.
		D-7	6.97	0.13	14.9	0.91	5.93	5.4													Includes South Pond
	19 20	D-5	2.94	0.33	15.5	0.97	5.84	5.7	21.3	16.45	5.03	82.7									Total flow from Basin D-6, & DP 7 & 17 into proposed south WQCV Pond.
	21	OS-1	32.28	0.16	22.2	5.16	4.92	65.1													Flows obtained from Bent Grass Filing No. 2 FDR. Q=65.1 CFS
		B-1	4.35	0.09	21.5	0.39	5.00	2.0													- · ·
	22	- · B_2	1.17	0.10	15.9	0.12	5.76	0.7	22.2	5.55	4.92	257.1				<u> </u>					Flows under Bent Grass Meadows Drive. Inlcudes WT200 & OS-1
	23	D-7	1.17	0.10	13.9	0.12	5.70	0.7	22.2	5.67	4.92	258.3									Flows incl. everything that ends up into existing channel.

APPENDIX C

Hydraulic Computations

Detention Pond Tributary Areas

Subdivision:Falcon Meadows at Bent GrassLocation:CO, Colorado Springs

Project Name:	Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass
Project No.:	CLH000017
Calculated By:	TJE
Checked By:	CMD
Date:	6/19/20

Pond (North)

Basin	Area	% Imp
C-1	9.34	44.7
C-2	3.3	67.2
C-3	1.17	72.2
C-4	2.1	64
C-5	0.45	2
Total	16.36	52.5

Pond (South)

Basin	Area	% Imp
D-1	8.24	53.1
D-2	9.33	56.9
D-3	2.03	16.9
D-4	3.64	64
D-5	2.94	41.1
D-6	4.01	71.5
D-7	6.97	8.8
OS-2	20.07	8
OS-3	10.61	8
Total	67.84	28.7

missing?

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER														
UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)														
Project: Bent Grass West PUD Basin ID: Pond (North)														
2008 5 UNI 2														
1997 Would Low														
ZONE	1 AND 2	100-YEA	NR E		Depth ncrement =	pth hcrement = User ft								
POOL Example Zone	Configuration	on (Retenti	on Pond)		Stage - Storage	Stage	Optional Override	Length	Width	Area	Optional Override	Area	Volume	Volume
Required Volume Calculation	Top of Micropool	(ft) 	Stage (ft) 0.00	(ft) 	(ft) 	(ft^2) 	Area (ft^2) 0	(acre) 0.000	(ft^3)	(ac-ft)				
Selected BMP Type =	Selected BMP Type = EDB								-					
Watershed Area = Watershed Length =	16.36	acres ft				-			-					
Watershed Slope =	0.030	ft/ft												
Watershed Imperviousness = Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	58.00%	percent percent				-								
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent				-								
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D = Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	percent												
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	-				-			-					
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) = Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.313	acre-feet	Optional Use 1-hr Precipita	r Override ation										
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.782	acre-feet	1.19	inches										
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) = 10-vr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	1.024	acre-feet	1.50	inches		-			-					
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	1.536	acre-feet	2.00	inches										
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) = 100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	2.253	acre-feet acre-feet	2.25	inches										
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.68 in.) =	3.680	acre-feet	3.68	inches										
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume = Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.738	acre-feet				-								
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.173	acre-feet				-				-				
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume = Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.422	acre-feet				-								
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.746	acre-feet							-					
Stage-Storage Calculation		-			-									
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.313	acre-feet				-		-	-	-				
Select Zone 2 Storage Volume (Optional) =		acre-feet	Total detent	ion volume		-			-					
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.313	acre-feet	volume.	Too-year		-		-	-	-				
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3				-			-					
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	user	ft ft				-		-	-					
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC}) =	user	ft												
Slope of Trickle Channel (S _{TC}) = Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S _{main}) =	user	ft/ft H·V				-			-					
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	user					-				-				
Initial Surcharge Area (A _{ISV}) =	user	ff^2				-			-					
Surcharge Volume Length (L _{ISV}) =	user	ft				-								
Surcharge Volume Width (W _{ISV}) = Depth of Basin Floor (H _{R.ODR}) =	user	ft ft				-		-	-	-				
Length of Basin Floor (L _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft				-								
Width of Basin Floor (W _{FLOOR}) = Area of Basin Floor (A _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft ff^2				-		-	-	-				
Volume of Basin Floor (V _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3				-			-					
Length of Main Basin (H _{MAIN}) =	user	ft ft				-		-	-	-				
Width of Main Basin (W _{MAIN}) =	user	ft				-			-					
Area of Main Basin (A _{MAIN}) = Volume of Main Basin (V	user	ft^2 ft^3				-								
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V _{total}) =	user	acre-feet				-								
						-		-	-	-				
						-		-	-	-				
						-		-	-	-				
						-			-	-				
						-			-	-				
										-				
						-			-	-				
						-			-	-				
										-				
										-				
						-			-	-				
						-		-	-	-				
								-		-				
						-		-	-	-				
						-			-	-				
						-			-	-				
										-				
										-				

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

missing?

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER															
UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)															
Project: Bent Grass West PUD Basin ID: Pond (South)															
ZONE 3	70083 J 70082 J 70081 J														
			\geq	Must enter an equal number of stage and area values!											
PERMANENT ORIFICES	ORIFIC ORIFIC	EAR DE		Depth ncrement =	User	ft Optional				Optional					
POOL Example Zone Configur	ation (Retent	ion Pond)		Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)		
Required Volume Calculation	Top of Micropool	-	0.00	-	-	-	0	0.000							
Watershed Area = 67.32	acres			· ·	-		-	-							
Watershed Length = 3,588	ft						-								
Watershed Imperviousness = 50.00%	percent				-		-		-						
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A = 100.0%	percent				-										
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B = 0.0% Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D = 0.0%	percent				-		-		-						
Desired WQCV Drain Time = 40.0	hours				-			-	-						
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) = 1.157	acre-feet	Optional Use	er Override		-			-	-						
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) = 3.881	acre-feet	1-hr Precipit	ation		-										
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) = 2.648 5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) = 3.481	acre-reet	1.19	inches		-		-		-						
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) = 4.286	acre-feet	1.75	inches												
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.10.) = 5.341 50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) = 6.665	acre-feet	2.00	inches												
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) = 8.228	acre-feet	2.52	inches												
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume = 2.496	acre-feet	3.08			-										
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume = 3.285	acre-feet														
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume = 4.009 Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume = 4.905	acre-feet acre-feet				-				-						
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume = 5.474	acre-feet														
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume = 6.169		-				-									
Stage-Storage Calculation	_				-			-							
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) = 1.157 Select Zone 2 Storage Volume (Optional) =	acre-feet	Total detent	tion volume		-			-							
Select Zone 3 Storage Volume (Optional) =	acre-feet	is less than	100-year												
Total Detention Basin Volume = 1.157 Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) = user	acre-feet	volume.													
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) = user	ft				-			-	-						
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) = user	ft				-			-	-						
Slope of Trickle Channel (S _{TC}) = user	ft/ft				-			-							
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S _{main}) = user	H:V				-			-							
Basin Lengurio-Widen Kaub (KL/W) = user					-		-	-	-						
Initial Surcharge Area (A _{ISV}) = user	ft^2				-		-	-	-						
Surcharge Volume Width (W _{ISV}) = user	ft				-			-							
Depth of Basin Floor (H _{FLOOR}) = user	ft				-		-	-							
Width of Basin Floor (W _{R.ODR}) = user	ft				-			-							
Area of Basin Floor (A _{FLOOR}) = user	ft^2				-		-	-							
Depth of Main Basin (H _{MAIN}) = user	ft				-			-							
Length of Main Basin (L _{MAIN}) = user	ft				-		-	-							
Area of Main Basin (A _{MAIN}) = user	π ft^2				-			-	-						
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MAIN}) = user	ft^3				-			-							
Saloanado rota Sabili volume (v _{total} / - User	acre-teet				-		-	-	-						
					-		-	-	-						
									-						
					-		-	-	-						
									-						
					-			-	-						
					-		-	-	-						
									-						
							-		-						
					-		-	-	-						
					-		-	-	-						
									-						
					-			-	-						
					-			-	-						
					-		-	-	-						
					-		-	-	-						
									-						
					-		-	-	-						
					-		-	-	-						

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

APPENDIX D

Drainage Maps

