

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

CONSTITUTION STORAGE DEVELOPMENT

El Paso County, Colorado

PREPARED FOR:

Johnson Development Associates, Inc. 100 Dunbar Street, Suite 400 Spartanburg, SC 29306

PREPARED BY:

Galloway & Company, Inc. 1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

DATE:

June 7, 2023

PCD Filing No.: PPR-2224

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

to the best o established plan of the o	d drainage plan and report were prepared under of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage repor by the County for drainage reports and said repor Irainage basin. I accept responsibility for any lial on my part in preparing this report.	t has been prepared according to the criteria ort is in conformity with the applicable maste	a er
	yrock, PE #38164 pehalf of Galloway & Company, Inc.	Date	
DEVELOPE	R'S CERTIFICATION		
l, The develo and plan.	oper, have read and will comply with all of the re	equirements specified in this drainage report	
Ву:		 Date	
Address:	Johnson Development Associates, Inc. 101 N. Pacific Coast Hwy, Suite 308 El Segundo, CA 90245		
EL PASO C	OUNTY CERTIFICATION		
	ordance with the requirements of the Drainage C ineering Criteria Manual and Land Development		
 Joshua Paln	ner, P.E.	 Date	
Interim Cour	nty Engineer		
Conditions:			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	Purpos	e	4				
II.	•	ıl Description					
III.							
IV.		5					
V.		tep Process					
	1.	Employ Runoff Reduction Practices	6				
	2.	Stabilize Channels	6				
	3.	Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)	6				
	4.	Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs	7				
VI.	Propo	osed Drainage Conditions	7				
VII.	Storm	n Sewer System	g				
VIII.	Propo	osed Water Quality Detention Ponds	e				
IX.	Propo	osed Channel Improvements	10				
Χ.	Mainter	nance	10				
XI.	Wetla	ands Mitigation	10				
XII.	Flood	lplain Statement	10				
XIII.	Drain	age Fees & Maintenance	10				
XIV.	Concl	lusion	11				
X\/	/ References						

Appendices:

- A. Exhibits and Figures
- B. Hydrologic Computations
- C. Hydraulic Computations
- D. Water Quality Computations
- E. Drainage Maps
- F. PCM Plans

I. Purpose

The purpose of this Final Drainage Report is to identify on and offsite drainage patterns, locate and identify tributary or downstream drainage features and facilities that impact the site, and to identify which types of drainage facilities will be needed and where they will be located. This report will remain in general compliance with the approved FDR prepared by Costin Engineering Company, dated February 2, 1983.

II. General Description

The project is a self-storage commercial development located in the Cimarron Hills area of El Paso County, Colorado. The site is located in a portion of Section 05, Township 14 South, Range 65 West of the 6th Principal Meridian, County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The subject property is bounded by Constitution Avenue to the north, Canada Drive to the east, Peterson Road to the west, and existing Northcrest Filing No. 3 residential development to the south. A Vicinity Map is included in **Appendix A**.

This final drainage report is the basis for the drainage facility design in conformance with the previously approved FDR for the site prepared by Costin Engineering Company, "Amendment Number 1, Final Drainage Study, Cimarron Northcrest Filing No. 3", Costin Engineering Company, February 1983 (FDR). The site consists of approximately 3.716 acres and includes 929 storage units.

The existing soil types within the proposed site as determined by the NRCS Web Soil Survey for El Paso County Area consist of Truckton Sandy Loam (hydrologic soil group A). See the soils map included in **Appendix A**.

III. Drainage Criteria

Hydrology calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014.

The drainage calculations were based on the criteria manual Figure 6-5 and IDF equations to determine the intensity and are listed in Table 1 below.

Table 1 - Precipitation Data

Return Period	One Hour Depth (in).	Intensity (in/hr)		
5-year	1.50	5.17		
100-year	2.52	8.68		

The rational method was used to calculate peak flows as the tributary areas are less than 100 acres. The rational method has been proven to be accurate for basins of this size and is based on the following formula:

Q = CIA

Where:

Q = Peak Discharge (cfs)

C = Runoff Coefficient

I = Runoff intensity (inches/hour)

A = Drainage area (acres)

The runoff coefficients are calculated based on land use, percent imperviousness, and design storm for each basin, as shown in the drainage criteria manual (Table 6-6). Composite percent impervious and C values were calculated using the residential, streets, roofs, and lawns coefficients found in Table 6-6 of the manual.

The 100-year event was used as the major storm event. The 5-year event was used as the minor event. The UD-Inlets v5.01 spreadsheet was utilized for the sizing of the proposed sump inlets.

The UD-Detention v4.04 spreadsheet was utilized for the design of the proposed on-site Full Spectrum Detention Pond.

IV. Existing Drainage Conditions

The site lies within the existing Sand Creek drainage basin (see Reference Map). Based on this report, existing topography, and proposed future developments, no off-site basins will impact the site. Stormwater from this site generally drains to the southeast and southwest and will be routed to a single (1) private full spectrum detention facility designated as FSD-1 which has been sized to accommodate the developed flows from this site. The rational method was used to analyze the individual basins within the site because their size permits it.

The property presently discharges via sheet flow along the southern property line onto the adjacent Eight Line Inc. property and Alvarado property. Portions of the site along the eastern and western property lines also drain to the adjacent right-of-ways.

While the **FDR** shows a total of 26 basins that were analyzed as part of the overall Northcrest Filing No. 3 development, for the purposes of this report, only one (1) of the Basins within the FDR will be used for analysis. This Basin, C-4 (6.3 AC, $Q_5 = 7.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 18.30$ cfs) is located at the northwest corner of the approved FDR study area and drains through properties to the south to Allyn Way.

The **FDR** also establishes that runoff from Basin C-4 will be conveyed via curb and gutter to an existing detention facility south of the site along Piros Drive. This existing detention facility will no longer be utilized for water quality or detention for the project site, but the existing street flow drainage pattern will be maintained. As a result, the proposed private FSD-1 pond will outlet at grade to the curb in Canada Drive. There is no storm sewer infrastructure existing in Canada Drive.

For a more in-depth analysis of existing tributary conditions as it pertains to this phase of development, an existing basin map has been prepared. The existing map can be found in **Appendix E** and basins are described below. The site has been divided into six (6) sub-basins to better show where runoff flows in the current conditions.

Basin EX-1 (0.05 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.1$ cfs): This basin encompasses a portion of the southwest of the site in the existing condition. This basin consists of un-developed land. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the south before outfalling onto the adjacent Eight Line Inc. property. **(DP 1)**.

Basin EX-2 (0.26 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.4$ cfs): This basin encompasses the southwest portion of the site in the existing condition. This basin consists of un-developed land. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the south before outfalling onto the adjacent Alvarado property. **(DP 2)**.

Basin EX-3 (0.39 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.7$ cfs): This basin encompasses the western portion of the site in the existing condition, as well as a portion of the Peterson Road right-of-way. This basin consists of un-developed land and a portion of existing sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the southwest before outfalling into Peterson Road. **(DP 3)**.

Basin EX-4 (0.03 AC, $Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.2$ cfs): This basin encompasses a portion of the northwest of the site in the existing condition. This basin consists mostly of existing sidewalk. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the north before outfalling into Constitution Avenue. **(DP 4)**.

Basin EX-5 (2.69 AC, $Q_5 = 0.4$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 4.8$ cfs): This basin encompasses the majority of the site in the existing condition, as well as a portion of Constitution Avenue right-of-way that is currently undeveloped. This basin consists of un-developed land, access drive, and a single-family home. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the south before outfalling onto the adjacent Eight Line Inc. property. **(DP 5)**.

Basin EX-6 (0.36 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.5$ cfs): This basin encompasses the eastern portion of the site in the existing condition, as well as a portion of Constitution Avenue right-of-way that is currently undeveloped. This basin consists of un-developed land. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the southeast before outfalling into Canada Drive. (**DP 6**).

V. Four Step Process

The Four Step Process is used to minimize the adverse impacts of urbanization and is a vital component of developing a balanced, sustainable project. Below identifies the approach to the four-step process:

1. Employ Runoff Reduction Practices

This step uses low impact development (LID) practices to reduce runoff at the source. Generally, rather than creating point discharges that are directly connected to impervious areas runoff is routed through pervious areas to promote infiltration. The Impervious Reduction Factor (IRF) method was used, and calculations can be found in **Appendix D**. For the majority of the site this is not practical, however portions of the site do drain through landscaped swales prior to entering the storm sewer system.

2. Stabilize Channels

This step implements stabilization to channels to accommodate developed flows while protecting infrastructure and controlling sediment loading from erosion in the drainageways. This project does not discharge to a channel. Flows are detained onsite to control release rates from the site down to existing rates and not adversely impact downstream facilities. The site is designed to release at or below the existing release rate for the site and will not negatively impact the downstream infrastructure.

3. Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)

This step utilizes formalized water quality capture volume to slow the release of runoff from the site. The EURV volume will release in 79 hours, while the WQCV will release in no less than 40 hours. An on-site Full Spectrum Detention Pond will provide water quality treatment for the majority of the

developed areas, prior to the runoff being released into existing curb flowlines at Canada Dr. Refer to WQCV Plan in **Appendix E.**

4. Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs

As this project is a commercial development, roof drains connecting directly to proposed water quality and detention facility, surface flows being routed to inlets that capture developed runoff and direct flows to proposed water quality and detention facility. Stockpile and concrete washout BMPs will be implemented onsite. At the Contractor's discretion, additional specialized BMPs which would be associated with an industrial or commercial site may be implemented.

VI. Proposed Drainage Conditions

The proposed development lies completely within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin and consists of eleven (11) sub-basins. Site runoff will be collected via sheet flows, roof drains, inlets & pipes and diverted to the one (1) proposed full spectrum detention pond (FSD-1). All necessary calculations can be found within the appendices of this report.

According to the **FDR**, the proposed project site lies within Basin C-4 (6.3 AC, $Q_5 = 7.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 18.30$ cfs) is located at the northwest corner of the approved FDR study area. The property presently discharges via sheet flow along the southern property line onto the adjacent Eight Line Inc. property.

The site will provide one (1) private Full Spectrum Detention Pond (FSD). Pond FSD-1 will discharge treated runoff at historic rates directly into the existing curb flowline at Canada Drive, as there is not adjacent storm sewer infrastructure.

As has been mentioned previously, the site is proposed to have a land use of commercial self-storage. The site will consist of 929 storage units along with associated parking, drive aisles, RV storage, detention pond, and landscaping areas.

Basin PR-1 (0.22 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.4$ cfs): Located at the southwestern corner of the site, Basin PR-1 contains the proposed landscaping improvements immediately adjacent to the existing residential development (Northcrest Filing No. 3). Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the existing southern boundary into the Alvarado property as it does in the existing condition (Basin EX-2) **(DP 1)**. Due to layout and grading limitations, runoff from this basin is not receiving water quality treatment per exclusions in ECM Section I.7.1.C.1.a, reference Section VIII of this report for additional information.

Basin PR-2A (0.05 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.1$ cfs): Located on the western boundary of the site, this basin consists of landscaping and sidewalk adjacent to the property line. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to existing curb and gutter in Peterson Rd. Flows will then be routed, via the existing curb & gutter at the southwestern corner of the project site (**DP 2A**). Due to layout and grading limitations, runoff from this basin is not receiving water quality treatment per exclusions in ECM Section I.7.1.C.1.a, reference Section VIII of this report for additional information.

Basin PR-2B (0.01 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.1$ cfs): Located on the northwestern corner of the site, this basin consists of sidewalk and landscaping. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to existing curb and gutter at Peterson Rd. Flows will then be routed, via existing curb & gutter at the northwestern corner of the project site (**DP 2B**). Due to layout and grading limitations, runoff from this basin is not receiving water quality treatment per exclusions in ECM Section I.7.1.C.1.a, reference Section VIII of this report for additional information.

Basin PR-3 (0.22 AC, $Q_5 = 0.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.7$ cfs): Located on the northern boundary of the site, this basin consists of sidewalk and landscaping, as well as offsite areas within the Constitution right-of-way being developed. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to existing curb and gutter in Constitution Ave. Flows will then be routed, via existing curb & gutter downstream to the northeastern corner of the project site (**DP 3**). Due to layout and grading limitations, runoff from this basin is not receiving water quality treatment per exclusions in ECM Section I.7.1.C.1.a, reference Section VIII of this report for additional information.

Basin PR-4 (0.25 AC, $Q_5 = 0.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.7$ cfs): Located on the eastern boundary of the site, this basin consists of driveway and landscaping. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow from the driveway to proposed curb and gutter at the driveway and Canada Dr. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter downstream to the existing curb & gutter at the southeastern corner of the project site **(DP 4)**. Due to layout and grading limitations, runoff from this basin is not receiving water quality treatment per exclusions in ECM Section I.7.1.C.1.a, reference Section VIII of this report for additional information.

Basin PR-5 (1.32 AC, $Q_5 = 3.9$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 9.0$ cfs): Located on the northcentral portion of the site, this basin consists entirely of the proposed two-story building. Flows will be captured by roof drains and routed, via pipe **(DP 5)**, to the proposed (private) full spectrum detention (FSD-1) located at the northeast corner of the site **(DP 10)**.

Basin PR-6 (0.92 AC, $Q_5 = 1.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 3.3$ cfs): Located on the central portion of the site, west and south of Basin PR-5. This basin consists of landscaping and driveway. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow from the driveway to the proposed curb and gutter to the proposed (private) 10' Colorado Springs D-10-R inlet **(DP 6A)** where flows will be routed, via pipe, to the proposed (private) full spectrum detention (FSD-1) located at the northeast corner of the site **(DP 10)**. Emergency overflows (events exceeding the 100-year design storm) will be routed downstream via proposed curb and gutter to Canada Drive.

Basin PR-7 (0.19 AC, $Q_5 = 0.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 1.3$ cfs): Located on the northcentral portion of the site east of Basin PR-5, this basin consists of landscaping, and RV storage. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the edge of the proposed RV storage area to a proposed (private) 6' Colorado Springs D-10-R inlet in sump condition (**DP 7**), where flows will be routed, via pipe, to the proposed (private) full spectrum detention (FSD-1) located at the northeast corner of the site (**DP 10**). Emergency overflows (events exceeding the 100-year design storm) will be routed downstream via proposed curb and gutter to Canada Drive.

Basin PR-8 (0.13 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.2$ cfs): Located on the northern portion of the site, this basin consists entirely of landscaped area and swale north of the building. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the proposed swale to the proposed (private) CDOT Type C inlet **(DP 8)** where flows will be routed, via pipe, to the proposed (private) full spectrum detention (FSD-1) located at the northeast corner of the site **(DP 10)**. Emergency overflows (events exceeding the 100-year design storm) will be routed downstream via proposed curb and gutter to Canada Drive.

Basin PR-9 (0.17 AC, $Q_5 = 0.5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.9$ cfs): Located in the eastern portion of the site, this basin consists of drive aisle and parking. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to a proposed (private) 6' Colorado Springs D-10-R inlet in on-grade conditions, located on the south side of the access drive adjacent to the eastern most parking stalls (**DP 9**) where flows will be routed, via pipe, to the proposed (private) full spectrum detention (FSD-1) located at the northeast corner of the site (**DP 10**). Emergency overflows (events exceeding the 100-year design storm) will be routed downstream via proposed curb and gutter to Canada Drive.

Basin PR-10 (0.31 AC, $Q_5 = 0.0$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.6$ cfs): Located at the northeastern corner of the site, Basin PR-8 contains the entirety of the proposed (private) full spectrum detention (FSD-1) and adjacent landscaped area. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow directly to the (private) full spectrum detention (FSD-1) (**DP 10**).

VII. Storm Sewer System

All development is anticipated to be urban and will include storm sewer & street inlets. Storm sewers collect storm water runoff and convey the water to the water quality facility prior to discharging. Storm sewer systems will be designed to the 100-year storm and checked with the 5-year storm. Inlets will be placed at sump areas and locations where street flow is larger than street capacity. UDFCD Inlet spreadsheet has been used to determine the size of all sump inlets. Emergency overflow conditions discussed above will only be activated in storm events exceeding the 100-year storm event.

There will be a proposed storm system within the site. The storm sewer system will discharge storm water into the proposed private full spectrum detention facility (FSD-1). The proposed system will consist of HDPE pipe, CDOT Type C inlets, Colorado Springs D-10-R inlets, Nyloplast Drain Basins, and storm sewer manholes. Inlet sizing and capacity calculations can be found in **Appendix D**, along with preliminary storm sewer sizing.

Additionally, there are two (2) proposed drainage swales that run along the north and west side of the proposed building, respectively within sub-basins PR-8 and PR-6. The swales were analyzed using the Bentley software FlowMaster to properly size a triangular channel to convey the 100-year flows from the basins to FSD-1, while providing 1.0-ft of freeboard. The sizing calculations can be found in **Appendix D**.

VIII. Proposed Water Quality Detention Ponds

One (1) Full Spectrum Detention Pond (FSD-1) will be provided for the proposed site. The proposed pond will be privately owned and maintained by Johnson Development Associates Inc., once established. This detention pond is proposed to be full spectrum and will provide water quality and detention. Flows will be routed into the pond with the proposed (private) storm sewer system and release onto proposed forebays into the pond. The WQCV release will be controlled by an orifice plate within the outlet structure The release rates for the WQCV and EURV will be 40-hours and 79-hours, respectively, and will pond to depths of 6500.98 and 6502.14. Flows exceeding the WQCV will be controlled by orifices and a modified Type C Outlet Structure and will be designed to release at or below the pre-development flow rate. A proposed outlet structure has been designed with this report. See **Appendix D** for calculations. Basins PR-5 through PR-10 drain to FSD-1, totaling 3.03 acres and 81% of the project site.

Note: The approved Northcrest Filing No. 3 FDR designed the area of the project site to drain to a detention facility south of the site via curb and gutter. While this existing drainage facility is no longer being utilized for water quality or detention, the existing drainage pattern using curb and gutter must be maintained as there is no existing storm sewer system in Canada Dr.

Per ECM Section I.7.1.C.1.a, 20% of the site may free release offsite, not to exceed 1 acre. Because the proposed private FSD-1 pond must outlet at grade to the curb and gutter, there are significant grading limitations to the site. Because of this, Basins PR-1, PR-2A, PR-2B, PR-3 and PR-4 free release off-site, totaling 0.75 acres and 19% of the site area. These basins also generally reflect the existing drainage patterns for the perimeter of the site. Since these basins are 19% of the site and do not exceed 1 acre, the project site complies with ECM Section I.7.1.C.1.a.

FSD-1: Located at the northeastern corner of the site, just west of existing Canada Dr. This pond will discharge to the existing western curb line within Canada Dr. The required volume WQCV and EURV are 0.074 Ac-Ft & 0.213 Ac-Ft, respectively. The total required detention basin volume is 0.416 Ac-Ft. See **Appendix D** for volume calculations.

IX. Proposed Channel Improvements

There are no proposed channel improvements as part of this report.

X. Maintenance

After completion of construction, the drainage facility (FSD-1) will be privately owned and maintained by Johnson Development Associates, Inc.

XI. Wetlands Mitigation

There are no existing wetlands within the project site.

XII. Floodplain Statement

No portion of the project site lies with the designated Flood Zone as defined by the FIRM Map number 08041C0752G effective December 7, 2018. A copy of the FIRM Panel is included in **Appendix A**.

XIII. Drainage Fees & Maintenance

Drainage fees do not apply for Site Development Plans and are therefore not applicable to this project.

Below is a cost estimate for the improvements proposed with this filing.

Item	Item Quantity Unit Unit Cost				Cost			
Storm Drain Improvements (Private)								
CDOT Type C Inlet (Private)	1	EA	\$	5,611.00	\$	5,611.00		
6' Type D-10 R Inlet (Private)	2	EA	\$	8,715.00	\$	17,430.00		
10' Type D-10 R Inlet (Private)	1	EA	\$	9,224.00	\$	9,224.00		
Storm Sewer Manhole, Slab Base	3	EA	\$	7,734.00	\$	23,202.00		
18" Storm Drain - RCP (Private)	355	LF	\$	76.00	\$	26,980.00		
18" Storm Drain - HDPE (Private)	475	LF	\$	60.00	\$	28,500.00		
18" FES	1	EA	\$	420.00	\$	420.00		
Subtotal					\$	111,367.00		
WQCV Detention Ponds (Private)								
Pond (FSD-1)	1	EA	\$	45,000.00	\$	45,000.00		
Subtotal					\$	45,000.00		
Total					\$	156,367.00		
Contingency				10%	\$	15,636.70		
Grand Total				•	\$	172,003.70		


XIV. Conclusion

The Constitution Storage commercial development lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Water quality for the site is provided in a single on-site, private, Full Spectrum Detention Pond; FSD-1. All drainage facilities within this report were sized according to the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manuals. The private full spectrum detention facility (FSD-1) will be maintained by Johnson Development Associates, Inc. The Constitution Storage development will not adversely impact any downstream facilities.

XV. References

- 1. El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, 1990.
- 2. Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 2, City of Colorado Springs, 2002.
- 3. El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual Update, 2015.
- 4. El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, 2020.
- 5. *Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, January 2016 (with current revisions).
- 6. Amendment Number 1, Final Drainage Study, Cimarron Northcrest Filing No. 3", Costin Engineering Company, February 1983.

APPENDIX A Exhibits and Figures



El Paso County Area, Colorado

97—Truckton sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2x0j2 Elevation: 5,300 to 6,850 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 19 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F

Frost-free period: 85 to 155 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Truckton and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Truckton

Setting

Landform: Interfluves, hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Re-worked alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

A - 0 to 4 inches: sandy loam

Bt1 - 4 to 12 inches: sandy loam

Bt2 - 12 to 19 inches: sandy loam

C - 19 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 9 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High

(2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 1 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.1 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.6

inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 6e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Blakeland

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Landform: Interfluves, hillslopes

Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest

Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Convex, linear

Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 7 percent Landform: Interfluves, low hills

Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, toeslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope

Down-slope shape: Concave, linear Across-slope shape: Concave, linear

Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021



MAP LEGEND

Special Line Features Streams and Canals Interstate Highways Aerial Photography Very Stony Spot Major Roads Local Roads **US Routes** Stony Spot Spoil Area Wet Spot Other Rails Water Features **Fransportation** Background 8 ŧ Soil Map Unit Polygons Area of Interest (AOI) Soil Map Unit Points Miscellaneous Water Soil Map Unit Lines Closed Depression Marsh or swamp Perennial Water Mine or Quarry Special Point Features Rock Outcrop **Gravelly Spot** Saline Spot Sandy Spot Borrow Pit Clay Spot Gravel Pit Lava Flow Area of Interest (AOI) Blowout Landfill Soils

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Version 19, Aug 31, 2021 Survey Area Data: Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Sep

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Severely Eroded Spot

Slide or Slip

Sinkhole

Sodic Spot

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
97	Truckton sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes	3.8	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		3.8	100.0%

APPENDIX B Hydrologic Computations

Exisitng Conditions

Subdivision: Project Name: 6855 Constitution Ave Storage Site

Location: CO, Colorado Springs Project No.: JDA000002

Calculated By: DDJ

Checked By: BS

Date: 7/8/22

			Paved Road	ds	Lawns				Desire Total		
Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	Basins Total Weighted % Imp.
EX-1	0.05	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.05	2.0	90	0.00	0.00	2.0
EX-2	0.26	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.26	2.0	90	0.00	0.00	2.0
EX-3	0.39	100	0.02	4.2	2	0.38	1.9	90	0.00	0.00	6.1
EX-4	0.03	100	0.02	77.0	2	0.01	0.5	90	0.00	0.00	77.5
EX-5	2.69	100	0.16	6.0	2	2.50	1.9	90	0.03	1.00	8.9
EX-6	0.36	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.36	2.0	90	0.00	0.00	2.0



STA	NDARI) FORM	SF-2
TIME	OF COI	NCENTE	RATION

Existing Conditions

Location: CO, Colorado Springs	Subdivision:	
	Location:	CO, Colorado Springs

 Project Name:
 6855 Constitution Ave Storage Site

 Project No.:
 JDA000002

 Calculated By:
 DDJ

 Checked By:
 BS

Date: 7/8/22

		SUB-BA	ASIN			INIT	IAL/OVERI	LAND	TRAVEL TIME								
		DAT	A			(T _i)			(T _t)					FINAL			
BASIN	D.A.	Hydrologic	Impervious	C ₁₀₀	C₅	L	S	T _i	L	S	Cv	VEL.	T _t	COMP. T _c	TOTAL	Urbanized T _c	T _c
ID	(AC)	Soils Group	(%)			(FT)	(%)	(MIN)	(FT)	(%)		(FPS)	(MIN)	(MIN)	LENGTH (FT)	(MIN)	(MIN)
EX-1	0.05	Α	2.0	0.22	0.00	61	27.0	5.2	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	5.2	61.0	10.3	5.2
EX-2	0.26	Α	2.0	0.22	0.00	100	3.0	14.0	130	7.3	15.0	4.1	0.5	14.5	230.0	11.3	11.3
EX-3	0.39	Α	6.1	0.25	0.03	210	3.0	19.7	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	19.7	210.0	11.2	11.2
EX-4	0.03	Α	77.5	0.63	0.53	16.5	2.0	3.4	16	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.1	3.5	32.5	10.2	5.0
EX-5	2.69	Α	8.9	0.27	0.05	300	2.0	26.4	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	26.4	300.0	11.7	11.7
EX-6	0.36	A	2.0	0.22	0.00	200	5.0	16.7	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	16.7	200.0	11.1	11.1

NOTES:

 $T_i = (0.395*(1.1 - C_5)*(L)^0.5)/((S)^0.33), \ S \ in \ ft/ft$

 T_t =L/60V (Velocity From Fig. 501) Velocity V=Cv*S^0.5, S in ft/ft

Tc Check = 10+L/180

For Urbanized basins a minimum T_c of 5.0 minutes is required.

For non-urbanized basins a minimum $T_{\rm c}$ of 10.0 minutes is required

STANDARD FORM SF-3					
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN					

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)
Exisitng Conditions

		Project Name:	6855 Constitution Ave Storage Site
Subdivision:		Project No.	JDA000002
Location:	CO, Colorado Springs	Calculated By:	DDJ
Design Storm:	2-Year	Checked By:	BS
		Date:	7/8/22

					DIRECT RI	UNOFF				TOTAL	RUNOFF		STF	REET		PIPE		TR	AVEL TI	ME	
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	REMARKS
	1	EX-1	0.05	0.00	5.2	0.00	4.06	0.0													Free-release offsite to Eight Line Inc. property
	2	EX-2	0.26	0.00	11.3	0.00	3.15	0.0													Free-release offsite to Alvarado property
	3	EX-3	0.39	0.03	11.2	0.01	3.16	0.0													Free-release offsite to Peterson Road
	4	EX-4	0.03	0.53	5.0	0.02	4.12	0.1													Free-release offsite to Constitution Avenue
	5	EX-5	2.69	0.05	11.7	0.13	3.11	0.4													Free-release offsite to Eight Line Inc. property
	6	EX-6	0.36	0.00	11.1	0.00	3.17	0.0													Free-release offsite to Canada Drive



STANDARD FORM SF-3 STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN (RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE) Existing Conditions

		Project Name:	6855 Constitution Ave Storage Site
Subdivision:		Project No.:	JDA000002
Location:	CO, Colorado Springs	Calculated By:	DDJ
Design Storm:	100-Year	Checked By:	BS
		Date:	7/8/22

	DIRECT RUNOFF				TOTAL	RUNOFF		STI	REET		PIPE		TR	AVEL TI	IME						
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	REMARKS
	1	EX-1	0.05	0.22	5.2	0.01	8.56	0.1													Free-release offsite to Eight Line Inc. property
	2	EX-2	0.26	0.22	11.3	0.06	6.63	0.4													Free-release offsite to Alvarado property
	3	EX-3	0.39	0.25	11.2	0.10	6.65	0.7													Free-release offsite to Peterson Road
	4	EX-4	0.03	0.63	5.0	0.02	8.68	0.2													Free-release offsite to Constitution Avenue
	5	EX-5	2.69	0.27	11.7	0.73	6.54	4.8													Free-release offsite to Eight Line Inc. property
	6	EX-6	0.36	0.22	11.1	0.08	6.67	0.5													Free-release offsite to Canada Drive

rı	חר	MP	\cap	CI.	TE.	0/	IN/	ID	F P	W		ш	C i	~ 1	П	\sim 1	П	ΙΛ.	ТΙ	\cap	N	C
u	УΙ	VIP	U	эг	IE.	70	IIV	ľ	ш	W	ı	U	Э,	LP	15	ч.	V)	LA	ш	U	IN	P

Proposed Conditions

 Subdivision:
 Project Name:
 6855 Constitution Ave Storage Site

Location: CO, Colorado Springs Project No.: JDA000002

Calculated By: DDJ
Checked By: BS

Date: 6/7/23

			Paved Road	ds		Lawns			Roofs		Basins Total
Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% lmp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	Weighted % Imp.
PR-1	0.22	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.22	2.0	90	0.00	0.00	2.0
PR-2A	0.05	100	0.01	13.2	2	0.04	1.7	90	0.00	0.00	14.9
PR-2B	0.01	100	0.009	77.2	2	0.003	0.5	90	0.00	0.00	77.7
PR-3	0.22	100	0.07	30.8	2	0.15	1.4	90	0.00	0.00	32.2
PR-4	0.25	100	0.09	35.9	2	0.16	1.3	90	0.00	0.00	37.2
PR-5	1.32	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.00	0.0	90	1.32	90.00	90.0
PR-6	0.92	100	0.62	67.9	2	0.29	0.6	90	0.00	0.00	68.5
PR-7	0.19	100	0.17	91.7	2	0.02	0.2	90	0.00	0.00	91.9
PR-8	0.13	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.13	2.0	90	0.00	0.00	2.0
PR-9	0.17	100	0.13	77.8	2	0.04	0.4	90	0.00	0.00	78.2
PR-10	0.31	100	0.00	0.0	2	0.31	2.0	90	0.00	0.00	2.0



STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Proposed Condtions

Subdivision:	Project Name:	6855 Constitution Ave Storage Site
Location: CO, Colorado Springs	Project No.:	JDA000002
	Calculated By:	DDJ
	Checked By:	BS
	Date:	6/7/23

		SUB-BA	SIN			INIT	IAL/OVER	LAND		TR	AVEL TIM	E					
		DAT	A				(T _i)				(T _t)			(URBANIZED BAS	SINS)	FINAL
BASIN	D.A.	Hydrologic	Impervious	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	L	S	T _i	L	S	Cv	VEL.	T _t	COMP. T _c	TOTAL	Urbanized T _c	T _c
ID	(AC)	Soils Group	(%)			(FT)	(%)	(MIN)	(FT)	(%)		(FPS)	(MIN)	(MIN)	LENGTH (FT)	(MIN)	(MIN)
PR-1	0.22	Α	2.0	0.22	0.00	100	10.0	9.4	0	3.0	20.0	3.5	0.0	9.4	100.0	10.6	9.4
PR-2A	0.05	Α	14.9	0.30	0.10	70	5.0	9.0	0	2.0	20.0	2.8	0.0	9.0	70.0	10.4	9.0
PR-2B	0.01	Α	77.7	0.63	0.53												5.0
PR-3	0.22	Α	32.2	0.38	0.20												5.0
PR-4	0.25	Α	37.2	0.40	0.23	93	6.0	8.5	0	3.0	20.0	3.5	0.0	8.5	93.0	10.5	8.5
PR-5	1.32	Α	90.0	0.79	0.71												5.0
PR-6	0.92	Α	68.5	0.55	0.44	57	2.0	7.2	370	0.5	20.0	1.4	4.4	11.6	427.0	12.4	11.6
PR-7	0.19	Α	91.9	0.81	0.74	42	2.0	3.4	47	0.5	20.0	1.4	0.6	3.9	89.0	10.5	5.0
PR-8	0.13	Α	2.0	0.22	0.00	25	2.0	8.0	390	2.5	20.0	3.2	2.1	10.0	415.0	12.3	10.0
PR-9	0.17	Α	78.2	0.64	0.54	96	4.0	6.3	59	4.0	20.0	4.0	0.2	6.6	155.0	10.9	6.6
PR-10	0.31	Α	2.0	0.22	0.00												5.0

NOTES:

 $T_i = (0.395*(1.1 - C_5)*(L)^0.5)/((S)^0.33)$, S in ft/ft

T_t=L/60V (Velocity From Fig. 501)

Velocity V=Cv*S^0.5, S in ft/ft

Tc Check = 10+L/180

For Urbanized basins a minimum $T_{\rm c}$ of 5.0 minutes is required.

For non-urbanized basins a minimum T_c of 10.0 minutes is required



STANDARD FORM SF-3
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Ave Storage Site
_

					DIRECT RI	UNOFF				TOTAL	RUNOFF		STI	REET		PIPE		TR.	AVEL TI	IME	
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	l (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	REMARKS
	1	PR-1	0.22	0.00	9.4	0.00	3.37	0.0													Free Release to Alvarado property
	2A	PR-2A	0.05	0.10	9.0	0.00	3.43	0.0													Free Release to Peterson Road
	2B	PR-2B	0.01	0.53	5.0	0.01	4.12	0.0													Free Release to Peterson Road
	3	PR-3	0.22	0.20	5.0	0.04	4.12	0.2													Free Release to Constitution Avenue
	4	PR-4	0.25	0.23	8.5	0.06	3.49	0.2													Free Release to Canada Drive
	5	PR-5	1.32	0.71	5.0	0.94	4.12	3.9													Roof drains to DP-6B
	6A	PR-6	0.92	0.44	11.6	0.40	3.12	1.2													D-10R inlet to DP-6B
	6B								11.6	1.34	3.12	4.2									Max flow at DP-6B to DP-7
	7	PR-7	0.19	0.74	5.0	0.14	4.12	0.6	11.6	1.48	3.12	4.6									D-10R inlet & Maximum flow at DP-7 to DP-8 Area inlet &
	8	PR-8	0.13	0.00	10.0	0.00	3.29	0.0	11.6	1.48	3.12	4.6									Flows from PR-5, PR-6, PR-7, PR-8 into FSD
	9	PR-9	0.17	0.54	6.6	0.09	3.79	0.3													Max flow at DP-9 into FSD
	10	PR-10	0.31	0.00	5.0	0.00	4.12	0.0	11.6	1.57	3.12	4.9									Maximum flow into FSD
													_		_						



STANDARD FORM SF-3 STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN (RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision:	
Location:	CO, Colorado Springs
ocian Storm:	100-Vear

 Project Name:
 6855 Constitution Ave Storage Site

 Project No.:
 JDA000002

 Calculated By:
 DDJ

 Checked By:
 BS

 Date:
 6/7/23


				DIF	RECT RUI	NOFF				TOTAL	RUNOFF		ST	REET		PIPE		TR	AVEL TI	IME	
STREET	Design Point	Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	ı (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	REMARKS
	1	PR-1	0.22	0.22	9.4	0.05	7.10	0.4													Free Release to Alvarado property
	2A	PR-2A	0.05	0.30	9.0	0.01	7.21	0.1													Free Release to Peterson Road
	2B	PR-2B	0.01	0.63	5.0	0.01	8.68	0.1													Free Release to Peterson Road
	3	PR-3	0.22	0.38	5.0	0.08	8.68	0.7													Free Release to Constitution Avenue
	4	PR-4	0.25	0.40	8.5	0.10	7.35	0.7													Free Release to Canada Drive
	5	PR-5	1.32	0.79	5.0	1.04	8.68	9.0													Roof drains to DP-6B
	6A	PR-6	0.92	0.55	11.6	0.50	6.56	3.3													D-10R inlet to DP-6B
	6B								11.6	1.54	6.56	10.1									Max flow at DP-6B to DP-7
	7	PR-7	0.19	0.81	5.0	0.15	8.68	1.3	11.6	1.69	6.56	11.1									D-10R inlet & Maximum flow at DP-7 to DP-8
	8	PR-8	0.13	0.22	10.0	0.03	6.92	0.2	11.6	1.72	6.56	11.3									Area inlet & Flows from PR-5, PR-6, PR-7, PR-8 into FSD
	9	PR-9	0.17	0.64	6.6	0.11	7.99	0.9													Max flow at DP-9 into FSD
	10	PR-10	0.31	0.22	5.0	0.07	8.68	0.6	11.6	1.90	6.56	12.5									Maximum flow into FSD

APPENDIX C Hydraulic Computations

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: 6855 Constitution Ave Self Storage
Inlet ID: INLET DP-6A




Gutter Geometry: Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb 5.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) ft/ft $S_{BACK} =$ 0.020 $n_{BACK} =$ 0.013 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line 6.00 H_{CURB} : inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} = 120.0 Gutter Width W = 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.005 ft/ft Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) S_W 0.083 ft/ft Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition S_0 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 25.0 40.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm linches $d_{MAX} =$ 6.0 8.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread Minor Storm Major Storm Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2) inches 1.62 2.59 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2") d_C = inches 2.0 2.0 Gutter Depression (d_C - (W * S_x * 12)) 1.86 inches Water Depth at Gutter Flowline d = 3.48 4.45 inches Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W) Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7) $T_X =$ 23.0 38.0 ft $E_0 =$ 0.359 0.201 Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x Q_X = 0.0 0.0 cfs Discharge within the Gutter Section W (Q_T - Q_X) Q_W = cfs 0.0 0.0 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns) Orack = 0.0 cfs 0.0 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread Q_T = cfs SUMP SUMP Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section 0.0 0.0 fps V*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth V*d = 0.0 0.0 Canacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth Maxi Theo Theo Gutte Theo Actua Disch Disch Total

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth		Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Theoretical Water Spread	$T_{TH} =$	63.9	94.7	ft
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)	$T_{XTH} =$	61.9	92.7	ft
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)	E _o =	0.112	0.069	1
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_{XTH}	$Q_{XTH} =$	0.0	0.0	cfs
Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance T _{CROWN})	$Q_X =$	0.0	0.0	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W (Q _d - Q _X)	$Q_W =$	0.0	0.0	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	$Q_{BACK} =$	0.0	0.0	cfs
Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)	Q =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section	V =	0.0	0.0	fps
V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth	V*d =	0.0	0.0	
Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor (d \geq 6") Storm	R =	SUMP	SUMP	1
Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)	$Q_d =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)	d =			inches
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)	$d_{CROWN} =$			inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$Q_{allow} = [$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
	Minor Storm	Major Storm	

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)




Design Information (Input) Colorado Springs D-10-R		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet Colorado Springs D-10-R	Type =	Colorado Sp	rings D-10-R	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	4.00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	3.5	4.5	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	$W_o =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_f(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_o(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_o(C) = $	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	$H_{throat} =$	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	$W_p =$	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_w(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_o(C) = $	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	□ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.12	0.21	T _{ft}
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.33	0.42	7
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.74	0.83	7
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a = [1.5	3.5	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	1.3	3.3	cfs

MHFD-Inlet_v5.01.xlsm, INLET DP-6A 6/2/2023, 1:02 PM

(Minor & Major Storm) ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)


Project: 6855 Constitution Ave Self Storage
Inlet ID: INLET DP-9



Gutter Geometry:				
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} =$	5.0	ft	
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = $	0.020	ft/ft	
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	n _{BACK} =	0.013]	
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	H _{CURB} = [6.00	linches	
			4	
			1	
	-		4 -	
			4 7 1	
			11/11	
ranning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	II _{STREET} = [0.016	J	
	_	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
	$T_{MAX} =$	5.0	9.0	_
·	$d_{MAX} = [$	6.0	8.0	inches
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)	-			
Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread		Minor Storm	Major Storm	
	y = [1.20	2.16	inches
/ertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2")	d _c =	2.0	2.0	inches
	a = 1		1.51	inches
	d = 1			inches
	-			
				⊣``
5	- I-			_
				— · · ·
•				
				⊣ ^{ips}
ra Product: Flow velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth	vu = [1.2	2.2	_
		Minor Storm	 	
and the same of th	-			
. ,				ft
	E ₀ = [0.318	0.216	
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T _{X TH}	$Q_{XTH} =$	19.1	56.3	cfs
Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance T _{CROWN})	$Q_X = $	19.1	56.3	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W (Q _d - Q _X)	$Q_W = $	8.9	15.5	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	Q _{BACK} =	0.0	3.4	cfs
	Q =	28.0	75.2	cfs
Fotal Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)	٠ ـ			T _{6ma}
	V =	10.7	13.3	IIDS
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section	V = V*d =			- Ips
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section /*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth	V*d =	5.3	8.9	ips
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section ℓ^*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor (d \geq 6") Storm	V*d = R =	5.3 0.58	8.9 0.47	
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section ℓ^* d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor (d \geq 6") Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)	V*d = R = Q d =	5.3 0.58 16.1	8.9 0.47 35.0	cfs
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor (d ≥ 6") Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)	V*d = R =	5.3 0.58	8.9 0.47	
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section #*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor (d ≥ 6") Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)	V*d = R = Q _d = d = d	5.3 0.58 16.1 5.10 0.00	8.9 0.47 35.0 6.41 0.00	cfs inches inches
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown Tensown 27.0 ft			cfs inches inches	

MHFD-Inlet_v5.01.xlsm, INLET DP-9 12/20/2022, 2:30 PM

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)



Daring Information (Inner)		MINOD	MAJOR	
Design Information (Input) Colorado Springs D-10-R	T	MINOR	MAJOR rings D-10-R	
Type of Inlet	Type =			
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	4.0	4.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =		1	- _{ft}
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L ₀ =	6.00	6.00	→ '
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	-
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'	- r	MINOR	MAJOR	¬ .
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = $	0.5	0.9	cfs
Water Spread Width	T =	1.8	2.2	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	d =	1.8	2.0	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T _{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} =$	0.0	0.0	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = $	1.000	1.011	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = $	0.0	0.0	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = $	0.5	0.9	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} =$	0.0	0.0	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_W = $	0.13	0.17	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_W = [$	4.0	5.2	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	d _{LOCAL} =	5.8	6.0	inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	L = [N/A	N/A	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	E _{o-GRATE} =	N/A	N/A	7 1
Under No-Clogging Condition		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V _o = [N/A	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R _f =	N/A	N/A	∃''
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R _x =	N/A	N/A	-
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = $	N/A	N/A	cfs
Under Clogging Condition	- Ψ L	MINOR	MAJOR	⊣ ""
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateCoef =	N/A	N/A	¬
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateClog =	N/A	N/A	⊣
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	L _e =	N/A	N/A	⊣ _{ft}
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V ₀ =	N/A	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R _f =	N/A	N/A	⊣ ^{''ps}
Interception Rate of Florida Flow	R _x =	N/A	N/A	-
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = \begin{bmatrix} x_x - y_y \\ y_z - y_y \end{bmatrix}$	N/A	N/A	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = Q_0 - Q_a (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)		N/A N/A	N/A	cfs
	Q _b =	MINOR	MAJOR	CIS
Curb or Slotted Inlet Opening Analysis (Calculated)	c _[٦۵/۵
Equivalent Slope S _e (based on grate carry-over)	S _e =	0.250	0.250	ft/ft ft
Required Length L _T to Have 100% Interception	$L_T = \lfloor$	2.81	3.80	⊐ π
Under No-Clogging Condition		MINOR	MAJOR	¬。
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L, L _T)	L =	2.81	3.80	_ ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = [$	0.5	0.9	cfs
<u>Under Clogging Condition</u>	r	MINOR	MAJOR	ا ا
Clogging Coefficient	CurbCoef =	1.00	1.00	⊣
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	CurbClog =	0.08	0.08	<u> </u>
Effective (Unclogged) Length	L _e =	5.40	5.40	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	Q _a =	0.5	0.9	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = Q _{b/GRATE1} -Q _a	Q _b =	0.0	0.0	cfs
<u>Summary</u>		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	0.5	0.9	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = [$	0.0	0.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	100	%
	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	


MHFD-Inlet_v5.01.xlsm, INLET DP-9 12/20/2022, 2:30 PM

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: 6855 Constitution Ave Self Storage
Inlet ID: INLET DP-7



Gutter Geometry: Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb 0.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)


Warning 01

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) ft/ft $S_{BACK} =$ 0.000 $n_{BACK} =$ 0.035 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} = 6.00 inches T_{CROWN} = Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown 36.0 Gutter Width 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) 0.083 ft/ft Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) S_{0} 0.000 ft/ft n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm T_{MAX} = 5.0 10.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm linches 6.0 6.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP

Warning 01: Manning's n-value does not meet the USDCM recommended design range.

12/20/2022, 4:06 PM MHFD-Inlet v5.01.xlsm, INLET DP-7


INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)




Design Information (Input) Colorado Springs D-10-R		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Type of Inlet	Type =	Colorado Spr		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	4.00	4.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	6	6	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	2.7	3.9	inches
Grate Information	· ·-····3	MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depth
Length of a Unit Grate	L, (G) =	N/A	N/A	Ifeet
Width of a Unit Grate	W ₀ =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	-1'000
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		N/A	N/A	_
	$C_f(G) =$			
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	_
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_o(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_o(C) =$	1.00	1.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	8.00	8.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	81.00	81.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W ₀ =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	—
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	-
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7) Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _w (C) =	0.67	0.67	\dashv
	C ₀ (C) =			
Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef =	N/A	N/A	4
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on Modified HEC22 Method)		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Interception without Clogging	Q _{wi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{wa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as a Orifice (based on Modified HEC22 Method)		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Interception without Clogging	Q _{oi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{oa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow	Qoa - L	MINOR	MAJOR	
	ο Γ			٦
Interception without Clogging	Q _{mi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{ma} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef =	1.00	1.00	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog =	0.08	0.08	
Curb Opening as a Weir (based on Modified HEC22 Method)	-	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Interception without Clogging	Q _{wi} =	1.2	6.1	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{wa} =	1.1	5.6	cfs
Curb Opening as an Orifice (based on Modified HEC22 Method)		MINOR	MAJOR	
	ο - Γ			□cfs
Interception without Clogging	Q _{oi} =	10.3 9.5	12.4	- crs cfs
Interception with Clogging	$Q_{oa} = $		11.3	us
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow	_	MINOR	MAJOR	- -
Interception without Clogging	Q _{mi} =	3.3	8.1	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q _{ma} =	3.0	7.4	cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _{Curb} =	1.1	5.6	cfs
Resultant Street Conditions		MINOR	MAJOR	•
Total Inlet Length	L = [6.00	6.00	feet
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)		5.0	10.0	⊣ft
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown	d _{CROWN} =	0.0	0.0	inches
resolution Deput de Succe Grown	GCROWN -	0.0	5.0	
Low Hoad Porformance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOD	MAJOR	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	٦,
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.06	0.16	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.32	0.46	_
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.83	0.96	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	7
	· · · · · · · ·		-7	_
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes cloqued condition)	0 _a =	1.1	5.6	cfs
production interception capacity (assumes clogged condition)		0.6	1.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =			

Chapter 8 Inlets

Figure 8-10. Inlet Capacity Chart Sump Conditions, Area (Type C) Inlet





Notes:

^{1.} The standard inlet parameters must apply to use these charts.

12" @ 0 E% C

	12" @ 0.5	% Capa	ity	
Project Description				
Friction Method	Manning Formula			
Solve For	Full Flow Capacity			
Input Data				
Roughness Coefficient		0.013		
Channel Slope		0.00500	ft/ft	
Normal Depth		1.00	ft	
Diameter		1.00	ft	
Discharge		2.52	ft³/s	
Results				
Discharge		2.52	ft³/s	
Normal Depth		1.00	ft	
Flow Area		0.79	ft²	
Wetted Perimeter		3.14	ft	
Hydraulic Radius		0.25	ft	
Top Width		0.00	ft	
Critical Depth		0.68	ft	
Percent Full		100.0	%	
Critical Slope		0.00770	ft/ft	
Velocity		3.21	ft/s	
Velocity Head		0.16	ft	
Specific Energy		1.16	ft	
Froude Number		0.00		
Maximum Discharge		2.71	ft³/s	
Discharge Full		2.52	ft³/s	
Slope Full		0.00500	ft/ft	
Flow Type	SubCritical			
GVF Input Data				
Downstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Length		0.00	ft	
Number Of Steps		0		
GVF Output Data				
Upstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Profile Description				
Profile Headloss		0.00	ft	
Average End Depth Over Rise		0.00	%	
Worden Ella Dopui Over Mise		3.50	,·	

12" @ 0.5% Capacity

GVF Output Data

Normal Depth Over Rise	100.00	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Depth	1.00	ft
Critical Depth	0.68	ft
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.00770	ft/ft

	18" @ 0.5	% Capa	ity	
Project Description				
Friction Method	Manning Formula			
Solve For	Full Flow Capacity			
Input Data				
Roughness Coefficient		0.013		
Channel Slope		0.00500	ft/ft	
Normal Depth		1.50	ft	
Diameter		1.50	ft	
Discharge		7.43	ft³/s	
Results				
Discharge		7.43	ft³/s	
Normal Depth		1.50	ft	
Flow Area		1.77	ft²	
Wetted Perimeter		4.71	ft	
Hydraulic Radius		0.38	ft	
Top Width		0.00	ft	
Critical Depth		1.06	ft	
Percent Full		100.0	%	
Critical Slope		0.00703	ft/ft	
Velocity		4.20	ft/s	
Velocity Head		0.27	ft	
Specific Energy		1.77	ft	
Froude Number		0.00		
Maximum Discharge		7.99	ft³/s	
Discharge Full		7.43	ft³/s	
Slope Full		0.00500	ft/ft	
Flow Type	SubCritical			
GVF Input Data				
Downstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Length		0.00	ft	
Number Of Steps		0		
GVF Output Data				
Upstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Profile Description				
Profile Headloss		0.00	ft	

0.00 %

Average End Depth Over Rise

18" @ 0.5% Capacity

GVF Output Data

Normal Depth Over Rise	100.00	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Depth	1.50	ft
Critical Depth	1.06	ft
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.00703	ft/ft

	24" @ 0.5	% Capa	city	
Project Description				
Friction Method	Manning Formula			
Solve For	Full Flow Capacity			
Input Data				
Roughness Coefficient		0.013		
Channel Slope		0.00500	ft/ft	
Normal Depth		2.00	ft	
Diameter		2.00	ft	
Discharge		16.00	ft³/s	
Results				
Discharge		16.00	ft³/s	
Normal Depth		2.00	ft	
Flow Area		3.14	ft²	
Wetted Perimeter		6.28	ft	
Hydraulic Radius		0.50	ft	
Top Width		0.00	ft	
Critical Depth		1.44	ft	
Percent Full		100.0	%	
Critical Slope		0.00662	ft/ft	
Velocity		5.09	ft/s	
Velocity Head		0.40	ft	
Specific Energy		2.40	ft	
Froude Number		0.00		
Maximum Discharge		17.21	ft³/s	
Discharge Full		16.00	ft³/s	
Slope Full		0.00500	ft/ft	
Flow Type	SubCritical			
GVF Input Data				
Downstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Length		0.00	ft	
Number Of Steps		0		
GVF Output Data				
Upstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Profile Description				
Profile Headloss		0.00	ft	
		0.00		

0.00 %

Average End Depth Over Rise

24" @ 0.5% Capacity

GVF Output Data

Normal Depth Over Rise	100.00	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Depth	2.00	ft
Critical Depth	1.44	ft
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.00662	ft/ft

Curb Chase Capacity

		_			
Pro	-	1100	Orli	ntı	nn
		1 /5:5	N I II	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	C 21 I
				~	~

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient 0.013
Channel Slope 0.02000 ft/ft
Bottom Width 2.00 ft
Discharge 0.87 ft 3 /s

Results

Normal Depth 0.12 ft Flow Area 0.24 ft² Wetted Perimeter ft 2.24 Hydraulic Radius 0.11 ft Top Width 2.00 ft Critical Depth ft 0.18 Critical Slope 0.00544 ft/ft Velocity 3.64 ft/s Velocity Head 0.21 ft Specific Energy 0.32 ft Froude Number 1.85 Flow Type Supercritical

Note: 100-year Release Rate from Private FSD-1 Pond

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth 0.00 ft
Length 0.00 ft
Number Of Steps 0

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth 0.00 ft **Profile Description** 0.00 ft Profile Headloss **Downstream Velocity** Infinity ft/s **Upstream Velocity** Infinity ft/s 0.12 Normal Depth ft Critical Depth 0.18 ft Channel Slope 0.02000 ft/ft 0.00544 Critical Slope ft/ft

	Basin P	R-6 Swa	le	
Project Description				
Friction Method	Manning Formula			
Solve For	Normal Depth			
Input Data				
Roughness Coefficient		0.035		
Channel Slope		0.04000	ft/ft	
Left Side Slope		3.00	ft/ft (H:V)	
Right Side Slope		3.00	ft/ft (H:V)	
Discharge		1.00	ft³/s	
Results				
Normal Depth		0.36	ft	
Flow Area		0.38	ft²	
Wetted Perimeter		2.26	ft	Note: Element of the
Hydraulic Radius		0.17	ft	Note: Flow reduced from
Top Width		2.15	ft	PR-6 basin flow since
Critical Depth		0.37	ft	swale only captures sma
Critical Slope		0.03362	ft/ft	portion of landscaped flows
Velocity		2.60	ft/s	nows
Velocity Head		0.11	ft	
Specific Energy		0.46	ft	
Froude Number		1.08		
Flow Type	Supercritical			
GVF Input Data				
Downstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Length		0.00	ft	
Number Of Steps		0		
GVF Output Data				
Upstream Depth		0.00	ft	
Profile Description				
Profile Headloss		0.00	ft	
Downstream Velocity		Infinity	ft/s	
Upstream Velocity		Infinity	ft/s	
Normal Depth		0.36	ft	
Critical Depth		0.37	ft	
Channel Slope		0.04000	ft/ft	
Critical Slope		0.03362	ft/ft	

Basin PR-8 Swale

Project Description

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.035	
Channel Slope	0.02000	ft/ft
Left Side Slope	3.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Right Side Slope	3.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Discharge	0.20	ft³/s

Results

Normal Depth	0.22	ft
Flow Area	0.15	ft²
Wetted Perimeter	1.41	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.11	ft
Top Width	1.34	ft
Critical Depth	0.19	ft
Critical Slope	0.04167	ft/ft
Velocity	1.34	ft/s
Velocity Head		_
velocity i lead	0.03	ft
Specific Energy	0.03 0.25	ft ft
·		

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Depth	0.22	ft
Critical Depth	0.19	ft
Channel Slope	0.02000	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.04167	ft/ft

APPENDIX D Pond Computations

Site-Level Low Impact Development (LID) Design Effective Impervious Calculator LID Credit by Impervious Reduction Factor (IRF) Method UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016) User Input Calculated cells Designer: Company: Galloway & Co. June 2, 2023 0.60 ***Design Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth WQCV Event inches Date: ***Minor Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth 5-Year Event 1.50 inches Project: 6855 Constitution Ave Self Storage ***Major Storm: 1-Hour Rain Depth 100-Year Event 2.52 inches Location: CUHP Optional User Defined Storm (CUHP) NOAA 1 Hour Rainfall Depth and Frequency for User Defined Storm Max Intensity for Optional User Defined Storm SITE INFORMATION (USER-INPUT) Sub-basin Identifier PR-5 PR-6 PR-7 PR-8 PR-9 PR-10 Receiving Pervious Area Soil Type Sandy Loan Sandy Loa andy Loar Sandy Loan Sandy Loar Sandy Loai Total Area (ac., Sum of DCIA, UIA, RPA, & SPA) 1.320 0.920 0.190 0.130 0.310 0.620 0.170 0.000 Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA, acres) 1.320 0.000 0.130 0.000 Unconnected Impervious Area (UIA, acres) 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Receiving Pervious Area (RPA, acres) 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Separate Pervious Area (SPA, acres) 0.000 0.300 0.020 0.130 0.040 0.310 RPA Treatment Type: Conveyance (C). С Volume (V), or Permeable Pavement (PP) CALCULATED RESULTS (OUTPUT) Total Calculated Area (ac, check against input) 1.320 0.920 0.190 0.130 0.170 0.310 Directly Connected Impervious Area (DCIA, %) 100.0% 67.4% 89.5% 0.0% 76.5% 0.0% 0.0% Unconnected Impervious Area (UIA, %) 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Receiving Pervious Area (RPA, %) 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Separate Pervious Area (SPA, %) 0.0% 32.6% 10.5% 100.0% 23.5% 100.0% A_R (RPA / UIA) 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 I. Check 1.000 1.000 1.000 f / I for WQCV Event 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 0.5 f / I for 5-Year Event 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 f / I for 100-Year Event: 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 f / I for Optional User Defined Storm CUHP: IRF for WQCV Event: 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 IRF for 5-Year Event 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 IRF for 100-Year Event 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 IRF for Optional User Defined Storm CUHP: 0.0% Effective Imperviousness for WQCV Event: 100.0% 67.4% 89.5% 0.0% 76.5% 0.0% 100.0% 67.4% 89.5% 76.5% 0.0% Effective Imperviousness for 5-Year Event: 0.0% Effective Imperviousness for 100-Year Event 100.0% 67.4% 76.5% 89.5% 0.0% 0.0% Effective Imperviousness for Optional User Defined Storm CUHP LID / EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUSNESS CREDITS WQCV Event CREDIT: Reduce Detention By: 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% N/A 0.0% N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A This line only for 10-Year Event N/A 100-Year Event CREDIT**: Reduce Detention By: N/A 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% N/A 0.2% N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Total Site Imperviousness 73.7% Total Site Effective Imperviousness for WQCV Event: 73.7% * Use Green-Ampt average infiltration rate values from Table 3-3.

JDA02_IRF Calcs.x/sm, IRF

** Flood control detention volume credits based on empirical equations from Storage Chapter of USDCM.

*** Method assumes that 1-hour rainfall depth is equivalent to 1-hour intensity for calculation purposed

73.7%

Total Site Effective Imperviousness for 5-Year Event:


Total Site Effective Imperviousness for 100-Year Event:

Total Site Effective Imperviousness for Optional User Defined Storm CUHP

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Project: 6855 Constitution Ave Self Storage



Watershed Information

Selected BMP Type =	EDB						
Watershed Area =	3.03	acres					
Watershed Length =	520	ft					
Watershed Length to Centroid =	225	ft					
Watershed Slope =	0.020	ft/ft					
Watershed Imperviousness =	73.70%	percent					
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent					
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent					
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent					
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours					
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = User Input							

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

are embedded colorado orban riyarograpii i roccadie.							
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.074	acre-feet					
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.287	acre-feet					
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.197	acre-feet					
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.256	acre-feet					
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.304	acre-feet					
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.362	acre-feet					
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	0.419	acre-feet					
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	0.487	acre-feet					
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3 in.) =	0.601	acre-feet					
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.188	acre-feet					
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.245	acre-feet					
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.293	acre-feet					
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.350	acre-feet					
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.384	acre-feet					
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.416	acre-feet					

Optional User Overrides

optional opti	O TCI II GC.
	acre-feet
	acre-feet
1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
3.00	inches

Define Zones and Basin Geometry


verine Zones and Basin Geometry		
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.074	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.213	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.129	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.416	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel $(H_{TC}) =$	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S _{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	user	

Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$	user	ft ²
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width $(W_{ISV}) =$	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft ²
Volume of Basin Floor (V _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft ³
Depth of Main Basin (H _{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W _{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin $(A_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft ²
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MAIN}) =	user	ft ³
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-fee
·		

		1							
Depth Increment =	0.20	ft Optional				Optional			
Stage - Storage	Stage	Override	Length	Width	Area	Override	Area	Volume	Volume
Description Top of Missessel	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft 2)	Area (ft 2)	(acre)	(ft 3)	(ac-ft)
Top of Micropool		0.00	-			35	0.001	22	0.001
6499.6		0.20				194	0.004	23	0.001
		0.40				468	0.011	89	0.002
6500		0.60				759	0.017	212	0.005
		0.80				1,395	0.032	427	0.010
		1.00				2,555	0.059	822	0.019
		1.20				3,917	0.090	1,469	0.034
		1.40				5,190	0.119	2,380	0.055
6501		1.60				6,173	0.142	3,516	0.081
		1.80				7,042	0.162	4,838	0.111
		2.00				7,777	0.179	6,319	0.145
		2.20				8,401	0.193	7,937	0.182
		2.40				8,872	0.204	9,665	0.222
6502		2.60				9,019	0.207	11,454	0.263
		2.80				9,121	0.209	13,268	0.305
		3.00				9,210	0.211	15,101	0.347
		3.20			-	9,249	0.212	16,947	0.389
		3.40				9,288	0.213	18,800	0.432
6503		3.60				9,327	0.214	20,662	0.474
		3.80				9,366	0.215	22,531	0.517
		4.00				9,423	0.216	24,410	0.560
		4.20				9,459	0.217	26,298	0.604
		4.40				9,496	0.218	28,193	0.647
6504		4.60				9,532	0.219	30,096	0.691
		4.80				9,568	0.220	32,006	0.735
		5.00				9,604	0.220	33,923	0.779
		5.20				9,641	0.221	35,848	0.823
		5.40				9,677	0.221	37,780	0.867
6505									
6505		5.60 5.80				9,713 9,750	0.223	39,719	0.912
							0.224	41,665	0.956
		6.00				9,786		43,619	1.001
		6.20				9,822	0.225	45,579	1.046
croc		6.40				9,859	0.226	47,547	1.092
6506									
				-					
	-								
				-					
									-
				-					
									_
									_

6/2/2023, 1:27 PM

SFB-1_MHFD-Detention_v4-05.xksm, Basin




SFB-1_MHFD-Detention_v4-05.xlsm, Basin 6/2/2023, 1:27 PM

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Project: 6855 Constitution Ave Self Storage



	Estimated	Estimated	
	Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	1.56	0.074	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	2.72	0.213	Orifice Plate
Zone 3 (100-year)	3.33	0.129	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
•	Total (all zones)	0.416	

<u>User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)</u>

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = N/A ft (distance below the filtration media surface)
Underdrain Orifice Diameter = N/A inches

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Centroid of Lowest Orifice = 0.00 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) | WQ Original WQ Orig

Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = 2.72 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = N/A inches

Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = N/A sq. inches

 BMP)
 Calculated Parameters for Plate

 WQ Orifice Area per Row =
 N/A
 ft²

 Elliptical Half-Width =
 N/A
 feet

 Elliptical Slot Centroid =
 N/A
 feet

 Elliptical Slot Area =
 N/A
 ft²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	0.91	1.58	2.45				
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	0.44	0.60	0.79	0.44				

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sg. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches

	Calculated Farameters for Vertical Office					
	Not Selected	Not Selected				
Vertical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft ²			
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet			

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pine w/ Flow Restriction Plate

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or	Calculated Paramet	ers for Overflow W	eir			
	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected		Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	ı
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	3.25	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) $$ Height of Grate Upper Edge, H_t =	3.25	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	2.92	N/A	feet Overflow Weir Slope Length =	2.92	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	22.25	N/A	ı
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	2.92	N/A	feet Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =	5.93	N/A	ft ²
Overflow Grate Type =	Type C Grate	N/A	Overflow Grate Onen Area w/ Dehris =	2 97	N/A	ft-2

<u>User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangul</u>ar Orifice)

c. input: outlet i pe in i lon nestretti i ute	(On calar Ormice) it	counctor riate/ or r	teetangalar onneej	Carcalacca i araniecere	rior outleer ipe m	THO TO THE COUNTY OF THE	<u> </u>
	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected			Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	ı
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	0.00	N/A	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)	Outlet Orifice Area =	0.27	N/A	ft ²
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	18.00	N/A	inches	Outlet Orifice Centroid =	0.18	N/A	feet
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	3.75		inches Half-Central Angle of	of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	0.95	N/A	radia


User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Debris Clogging % =

Spillway Invert Stage=	3.40	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length =	20.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	0.00	H:V
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet

	Calculated Parame	ters for Spillway
Spillway Design Flow Depth=	0.29	feet
Stage at Top of Freeboard =	4.69	feet
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =	0.22	acres
Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard =	0.71	acre-ft

Davids della desagna de Davidea	Th	.:	1D b d	-l CC l l		:- 4b - T61 1 b	.d b - b - b - /C.	- h h h	1.5)
Routed Hydrograph Results	The user can over	iae the aerauit cui	ap nyarographs and	a runoit volumes by	entering new valu	es in the Inflow Hy	drographs table (Co	numns vv through A	
Design Storm Return Period =	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.00
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.074	0.287	0.197	0.256	0.304	0.362	0.419	0.487	0.601
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A	N/A	0.197	0.256	0.304	0.362	0.419	0.487	0.601
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.6	1.2	2.0	3.1
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A							
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	N/A	N/A	0.01	0.016	0.022	0.20	0.40	0.65	1.04
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A	N/A	3.6	4.6	5.3	6.6	7.7	9.3	11.5
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.034	0.087	0.066	0.078	0.088	0.097	0.141	0.869	3.577
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.6	1.3	0.2	0.1	0.4	1.1
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.1	0.3
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	73	62	70	76	82	87	85	83
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	40	79	67	76	82	89	95	94	93
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	1.56	2.72	2.22	2.51	2.73	3.01	3.27	3.37	3.48
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.14	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.075	0.288	0.186	0.244	0.290	0.347	0.402	0.423	0.449



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

1	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
T T										
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]		25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]		500 Year [cfs]
5.00 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.15
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.85	1.05	0.70	0.86	0.85	1.11
	0:20:00 0:25:00	0.00	0.00	1.75	2.26	2.64	1.65	1.91	2.07	2.53
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	3.37 3.61	4.45 4.62	5.32 5.35	3.34 6.57	3.82 7.72	4.09 8.69	5.07 10.80
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	3.03	3.81	4.39	6.62	7.72	9.30	11.49
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	2.51	3.10	3.56	5.84	6.83	8.13	10.06
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	1.96	2.48	2.88	4.76	5.55	6.87	8.51
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.61	2.11	2.40	3.96	4.60	5.61	6.96
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.35	1.75	2.02	3.18	3.68	4.61	5.70
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.12	1.45	1.70	2.60	2.99	3.89	4.81
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.95	1.21	1.44	2.14	2.45	3.30	4.09
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.76	1.08	1.31	1.65	1.88	2.41	2.96
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.98	1.27	1.37	1.56	1.86	2.27
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.89	1.16	1.14	1.29	1.40	1.70
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.83	1.02	1.00	1.13	1.11	1.34
	1:30:00 1:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.79	0.92	0.86	0.97	0.94	1.13
}	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.55 0.54	0.77 0.68	0.86 0.81	0.77 0.71	0.87 0.80	0.83 0.75	0.99
ŀ	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.61	0.81	0.71	0.80	0.75	0.90
ŀ	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.57	0.76	0.65	0.76	0.70	0.81
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.54	0.72	0.63	0.71	0.67	0.80
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.50	0.65	0.63	0.71	0.67	0.80
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.34	0.43	0.42	0.47	0.45	0.54
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.22	0.28	0.28	0.31	0.30	0.35
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.14	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.23
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.14
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	2:40:00 2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00 3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
}	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
}	4:25:00 4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00 4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00 5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:00 5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
[5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Discreption	Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)					
Compary: Date: Dat			(Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3			
Date: June 3, 2023 Project: Users (See Constitution Seed Storange) 1. Bases (Datage Victoria A) Effective Improvisionness of Intitutary Area, I. 8) Tilludary Annah Improvisionness Ratio (a L ₁ Victor) C) Contributing Waterbrook Area 1. Billudary Annah Improvisionness Ratio (a L ₁ Victor) C) Contributing Waterbrook Area 1. Design Victoria C) Previous (PVICOR) C) Design Victoria C) Design Victoria C) Previous (PVICOR) C) Design Victoria C) Previous (PVICOR) C) Design Victoria C) Previous (PVICOR) C) Design Victoria C) Design Victoria C) Previous (PVICOR) C) Design Victoria C) Design Victori						
### Description Best Stronge Contraction		- · · · · ·				
A PRocedure programma of Tritudary Areas, I, B) Tritudary Areas Improvious sees Seaton (E. I.) 100) C) Curelitading Walesheld Area C) Countricating Walesheld Area C) For Walesheld Coulder of the Country Country C) For Walesheld Coulder of the Country C) For Walesheld Country C) For						
A) Pittichardy Annah Reprintmental Cartificating Value II, (# 100) C) Contributing Watershield Area (C) Port Value Annah Cartificating Annah Reprintmental Area (D) Port Value Annah Cartificating Cartificating Annah Cartificating Cartific	Location:					
A) Processing All Services (1997) And (1997) C) Contributing Waterships Areas Report (1997) C) Contributing Waterships Areas (1997) C) Contributing Waterships Areas (1997) C) Contributing Waterships Areas (1997) C) Provided Annual Areas (1997) C) Design Control (1997) C)						
B) Tributary Annih Imperviousness Ratio = 1,7100 C) Contributing Watershook Aries D) For Watershook Outside of the Deriver Region, Depth of Average Runtil Princeting Stem C) Design Connect	1. Basin Storage \	Volume				
C) Certibibling Walenshed Area 1) For Watermack Outstate of the Deliver Riggion, Depth of Average Round Florotring Born 1) Design Concept (Select CLRV) where and oberging for flood corects) (Select CLRV) where which is the Deliver Riggion (Where Clastry Coption Values (WOCV) Design Values (Only of a offered Clastry Coption Values (WOCV) Design Values (I) Processing of Miscards consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Miscards consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Miscards consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Miscards consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Miscards consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Miscards consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Miscards consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Miscards consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Miscards Clastry (Walers (CLRV) Design Values (Charles Riggion) (I) Processing Clastry (Walers (CLRV) Design Values (Charles Riggion) (I) Processing of Walers of Consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing of Walers of Consisting of Year As Shift (I) Processing (Walers of Consisting Only of Shift (Walers) (I) Processing (Walers) (I) Process	A) Effective Imp	perviousness of Tributary Area, I _a	I _a = 73.7 %			
D) For Yokenhade Cutacle of the Deriver Region, Depth of Average Read Frontaining Stem D Design Content (Victor) (Select FLINY when also designing for finds control) (Select FLINY when also designing for finds control (Victor) (F.) Design Victors (VICTOR) (F.) Of 991 **1-19 **19 **70 **70 **10 **19 **10 **10 **10 **10 **10 **1	B) Tributary Are	ea's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I _a / 100)	i = 0.737			
D) For Yokenhade Cutation of the Deriver Region, Depth of Average Read Frontaining Bland D) Design Control (Statist FLINY when also designing for find central) (Statist FLINY when also designing for find central) (Statist FLINY when also designing for find central) (P) Design Volume (OVCV) State of an All-one Draft Trac. (Yocasa (1-0) 69 11*1-11-91*7-37*3 (1) 12**476-9.) (G) For Yokenshade Outside Outside Office Brand (NOVCV) (Control (1-0) 69 11*1-11-91*7-37*3 (1) 12**476-9.) (G) For Yokenshade Outside	C) Contributing	u Watershed Area	Area = 3,030 ac			
Fund Producing Storm D. Design Comode (Selecti EURV) when also designing for flood control) P) Design Volume (WOCO) Blass on 4-0-not Drain Time (Pursuary - (1.0**) (1.05 11**) 1.19** (1.07**) 1.72** Area is (Post of Water Coality Capture Water Coality Capture Volume (WOCO) Being Volume (Post Occurs (Chrosopoul Coality of the Design Volume (WOCO) Being Volume (WOCO) (D) For Water Coality (Woco) Being Volume (WOCO) Being Volume (WOCO) (D) For Water Coality (Wo						
(Seed EURY vision also designing for flood contral) (P) Design Volume (VOCV) Based on 46 hors Chain Time (Vocasin 4 (3) * (1091 * 1" + 1.19 * 1" + (179 * 1") (12 * 4 res)) (P) Forest What in South Could be seed on the Desire Region, What Could's Could are Could for the Derive Region, What Could's Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could) Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could's Could be seed on the Service Region) (P) Desire What Could's Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could's Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could's Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could's Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could's Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could's Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could's Could's Could be seed on the Service Region (Note Could's Could's a officer of Could's and the Service of Could'			u ₆ III			
F) Design Voture (MDCV) Space of 46-Not Dain Tree (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 11 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 1 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 12 2 Ana 1 (Co. 1 (1) 11 1 1 1 19 1 - 19 1 (1) 19 1	E) Design Cond	cept				
F) Design Volume (WOCV) Based on 40-hor Drain Time (Vicesae ** (1.0 ** (0.81 **) ** 1.19 **) ** 6.78 ** (1) ** 12 ** Area) (3) For Valentheds Quisted of the Deriver Region Water Coulding Capitate Volume (WoCV) Design Volume (Note) Coulding Capitate Volume (WoCV) Design Volume (Note) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different WOCV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different EURV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different EURV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (Oh) if a different EURV Design Volume (WOCV) Design Volume (WOCV) (Oh) if a different EURV D	(Select EUR	V when also designing for flood control)				
O'resum = (1.0" (0.61 *)* 2.1.5" *1.0" *1.			O Excess of Sam Nation Follows (ESTAT)			
S) For Watershools Outside of the Deriver Region, Water Clastify Capture Volume (MCCV) Design Volume (Persporting — EV PiCassan 2014) 14) User Input of Whater Quality Capture Volume (MCCV) Design Volume (Outs) at a offerent WCCV Design Volume (Active Market WCCV) 15) NCCS Hydridings Clast Groups of Telestany Watershot and 16 16) Personalizing of Watershot Consisting of Type A Sola 17) Personalizing of Watershot Consisting of Type A Sola 18) Personalizing of Watershot Consisting of Type A Sola 19) Personalizing of Watershot Consisting of Type A Sola 19) Personalizing of Watershot Consisting of Type CD Sola 20) Extra Victorial Consisting of Type CD Sola 21) Extra Victorial Consisting of Type CD Sola 22) Easis Shape Length to Within Ratio (A basin Sharimum Side Stopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or fatter preferred) 23) Easis Stape Length to Within Ratio (A basin Sanimum Side Stopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or fatter preferred) 24) Islot A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow Acations: North Forebay A) Mainimum Forebay Volume (Nore — Nore — Nore Pack Discharge (P) = 15 inch maximum) D) Forebay Desharge (P) = 15 inch maximum) D) Forebay Desharge (P) Indicational 100-year Peak Discharge 1) Forebay Discharge Design (P) Desharge Pipe Stor (minimum 8-inches) Cateralized D, = 1130 Cateralized D, = 1150 Flow too small for berm w/ pipe (P) Discharge Pipe Stor (minimum 8-inches)			V _{DESIGN} = 0.074 ac-ft			
West Custor Color (Victor Custor (WCCV) Design Volume (Victor) (Victor (Victor) And Custor (Victor) (Victor) And officers (WCCV) Design Volume (VCCV) (Victor) And officers (WCCV) Design Volume (Victor) And officers (WCCV) (Victor) Design (WCCV) (Victor) Design (WCCV) (Victor) And officers (WCCV) (Victor) Design (W			I			
(Only if a offerent WCVD begin Volume is desired) () NROS Hydrodop Sod Croups of Tributary Volume () Percentage of Wishershed consisting of Type A Solis () Percentage of Wishershed consisting of Type CD Solis () Percentage of Wishershed consisting of Type CD Solis () Excess Urean Rurelf Volume (EURV) Design Volume For HSD & EURV = 1.50 * ** EURV ** FSD-1 USES VERTICAL WALLS FSD-1 USES VERTICAL WALLS FSD-1 USES VERTICAL WALLS EURV ** FSD-1 USES VERTICAL WALLS EURV ** EURV ** FSD-1 USES VERTICAL WALLS EURV ** EUR	Water Quali	ity Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume	V _{DESIGN OTHER} =ac-ft			
1) Percentage of Watershed consisting of Type A Sola 1) Percentage of Watershed consisting of Type B Sola 1) Percentage of Watershed consisting of Type B Sola 1) Percentage of Watershed consisting of Type A Sola 1) Disconting of Watershed consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Percentage of Watershed Consisting of Type C Sola 1) Disconting Discontage Design Flow (Q ₂ = 0.12 * Q ₁₀₀) 1) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge 1) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge 1) Discharge Design Flow (Q ₂ = 0.12 * Q ₁₀₀) 1) Disconting Discharge Design Flow (Q ₃ = 0.12 * Q ₁₀₀) 1) Disconting Discharge Design Flow (Q ₄ = 0.12 * Q ₁₀₀) 1) Discharge Percentage Percentage 1) Discharge Percentage Consisting Percenta			V _{DESIGN USER} = ac-ft			
a) Percentage of Waterhald consisting of Type B Solas a) Percentage of Waterhald consisting of Type C Solas b) Excess Utban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume For HSG A: EURV ₂ = 18 ° 1						
### All Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locators: Secretary A Minimum Forebay Volume Corporation						
For HSG A: EURY, = 1.03 1 ²⁶ For HSG CD: EURY _{common} = 1.20 1 ²⁶ For HSG CD: EURY _{common} = 1.20 1 ²⁶ (X) User Input of Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURY) Design Volumes (Only if a different EURY Design Volume is desired) 2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio (A basin length to width ratio of all least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.) 3. Basin Side Slopes A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred) 4. Inlet A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated infow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{raw} =2% of the WGCV) B) Actual Forebay Volume (O ₂ =18 inch maximum) D) Forebay Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge ii) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge iii) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge iiii) Undetained 100-year Pe						
For HSG B. EURV, a 1.36 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 For Process OTHER PROPRIES OF THE PROPR						
For HSG CID: EURVop = 120 - 1/16 K) User input of Excess Unbar Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume (Only if a different EURV Design Volume is desired) 2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio (A basin Height in width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.) 3. Basin Side Slopes A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred) 4. Inlet A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (Vraws =2% of the WGCV) B) Actual Forebay Volume (Vraws =2% of the WGCV) B) Actual Forebay Volume (C) Forebay Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge ii) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge ii) Porebay Discharge Design Flow (Qr = 0.02* Orgo) E) Forebay Discharge Design Flow too small for barm w/ pipe Wall with K-Nctch Weir Flow too small for barm w/ pipe Wall with K-Nctch Weir Calculated Dp. = in			EURV _{DESIGN} = ac-f t			
(Chey if a different EURV Design Volume is desired) 2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio (A basin length to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.) 3. Basin Side Slopes A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Hortzordal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred) 4. Inlet A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V ₁₋₈₀ = 28/26 of the WOCV) B) Actual Forebay Volume C) Forebay Depth ($C_F = 18$ inch maximum) D) Forebay Discharge i) Indetained 100-year Peak Discharge ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow too small for bern w/ pipe (Part Will Ppe Wall with Pete Own and with V-botch Weir (Part Will Ppe Wall with V-botch Weir (Part Wall Ppe Stze (minimum 8-inches)						
2. Basin Staple: Levil a Value And Control of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.) 3. Basin Side Slopes (Horizzontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred) 4. Inlet A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{riant} = 2½ of the WOCV) B) Actual Forebay Volume C) Forebay Depth (O _p = 18 inch maximum) D) Forebay Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow (O _p = 0.02 ° O _{tot}) E) Forebay Discharge Design Flow too small for berm w/ pipe Calculated D _p = in Flow too small for berm w/ pipe Calculated D _p = in Flow too small for berm w/ pipe			EURV _{DESIGN USER} = ac-f t			
A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred) 4. Inlet A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{FMM} = 2% of the WQCV) B) Actual Forebay Volume C) Forebay Discharge (i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge (ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow (Q _F = 0.02 ° Q ₁₀₀) E) Forebay Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches) A) Inlet TOO STEEP (< 3)			L:W= 2.0 :1 FSD-1 USES VERTICAL WALLS			
A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred) 4. Inlet A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{FMM} = 2% of the WQCV) B) Actual Forebay Volume C) Forebay Discharge (i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge (ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow (Q _F = 0.02 ° Q ₁₀₀) E) Forebay Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches) A) Inlet TOO STEEP (< 3)	2 Basin Sida Slan					
(Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred) 4. Inlet A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{PAN} = 2/2 of the WQCV) B) Actual Forebay Volume C) Forebay Depth (D _F = 18 inch maximum) D) Forebay Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow (Q _F = 0.02 * Q ₁₀₀) E) Forebay Discharge Design Flow too small for berm w/ pipe Flow too small for berm w/ pipe Flow too small for berm w/ pipe Calculated D _F = in included twice, one for each forebay design; designated South Forebay Scheet 1 has been included twice, one for each forebay design; designated South Forebay and North Forebay) NORTH FOREBAY V _{FIN} = 0.001 ac-ft V _F = 0.005 ac-ft D _F = 18.0 in Choose One One One One One One One One One One One						
4. Inlet A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{PAMN} =			Z = 0.01 ft / ft TOO STEEP (< 3)			
A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{FMN} =						
A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations: 5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{FMN} =	4. Inlet					
5. Forebay A) Minimum Forebay Volume $(V_{\text{FMIN}} = 2\% \text{ of the WQCV})$ B) Actual Forebay Volume $(O_F = 18 \text{ inch maximum})$ D) Forebay Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow $(Q_F = 0.02 \text{ ° Q}_{100})$ E) Forebay Discharge Design $Choose One \bigcirc Berm With Pipe \bigcirc Wall with V-Notch Weir$ F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches) NORTH FOREBAY			Totobay and North Totobay)			
A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{FMIN} =	inflow location	ons:				
A) Minimum Forebay Volume (V _{FMIN} =	5. Forebay		NORTH FOREBAY			
(V _{FMM} =	•	and an Malana				
C) Forebay Depth $(D_F = 18 \text{ inch maximum})$ D) Forebay Discharge i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow $(Q_F = 0.02 * Q_{100})$ E) Forebay Discharge Design $Choose One \bigcirc Berm With Pipe \bigcirc Wall with Rect. Notch \bigcirc Wall with V-Notch Weir$ F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)	,	•	ν _{FMIN} = 0.001 ас-π			
$(D_F = 18 \text{inch maximum})$ $D_F = 18.0 \text{in}$	B) Actual Foreb	bay Volume	V _F = 0.005 ac-ft			
$(D_F = 18 \text{inch maximum})$ $D_F = 18.0 \text{in}$	C) Forebay Dep	oth				
i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge Q100 = 11.30 cfs QF = 0.23 cfs Choose One Berm With Pipe Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)			D _F = 18.0 in			
ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow $(Q_F = 0.02 * Q_{100})$ E) Forebay Discharge Design $Choose One \\ $	D) Forebay Disc	charge				
(Q _F = 0.02 * Q ₁₀₀) E) Forebay Discharge Design Choose One Berm With Pipe Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)	i) Undetaine	ed 100-year Peak Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 11.30 cfs			
Flow too small for berm w/ pipe Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir Calculated D _p = in			Q _F = 0.23 cfs			
F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches) Flow too small for berm w/ pipe Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir	E) Forebay Disc	charge Design	F Chasse Ore			
F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches) Calculated D _P =in	, , , ,		Berm With Pipe Flow too small for berm w/ pipe			
			Wall with V-Notch Weir			
G) Rectangular Notch Width Calculated W _N = 4.0 in	F) Discharge Pi	ipe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D _P =in			
	G) Rectangular	Notch Width	Calculated W _N = 4.0 in			

UD-BMP_v3.07-Forebay N.xlsm, EDB 6/2/2023, 2:45 PM

	Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)							
	UD-BMP	(Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3						
Designer:	DDJ							
Company:	Galloway							
Date:	June 2, 2023							
Project:	6855 Constitution Self Storage							
Location:								
1. Basin Storage \	/olume							
A) Effective Imp	perviousness of Tributary Area, I _a	l _a = 73.7 %						
B) Tributary Are	ea's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I _a / 100)	i = 0.737						
C) Contributing	Watershed Area	Area = 3.030 ac						
	neds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average lucing Storm	d ₆ = in						
Rulloll Flou	uong Storm	Choose One						
E) Design Cond	cept V when also designing for flood control)	Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)						
(00,001,2011	v when also designing for need contact)	Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)						
	me (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time	V _{DESIGN} = 0.074 ac-ft						
(V _{DESIGN} = (1	1.0 * (0.91 * i ³ - 1.19 * i ² + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)							
	neds Outside of the Denver Region,	V _{DESIGN OTHER} = ac-ft						
	ty Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume $_{R} = (d_{6}^{*}(V_{DESIGN}/0.43))$							
		V _{DESIGN LISER} = ac-ft						
	of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume fferent WQCV Design Volume is desired)	V _{DESIGN USER} = ac-ft						
I) NRCS Hydro	logic Soil Groups of Tributary Watershed							
i) Percenta	nge of Watershed consisting of Type A Soils	HSG _A =						
	age of Watershed consisting of Type B Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type C/D Soils	$HSG_B = $						
		TIOC CID						
	an Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume : EURV _A = 1.68 * i ^{1.28}	EURV _{DESIGN} = ac-f t						
For HSG B	: EURV _B = 1.36 * i ^{1.08}	- GLOOM						
For HSG C	/D: EURV _{C/D} = 1.20 * j ^{1.08}							
	f Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume	EURV _{DESIGN USER} = ac-f t						
(Offig if a dif	fferent EURV Design Volume is desired)							
2. Basin Shape: Le	ength to Width Ratio	L:W= 2.0 :1 FSD-1 USES VERTICAL WALLS						
	to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)							
		/						
Basin Side Slop	es							
	num Side Slopes	Z = 0.01 ft / ft TOO STEEP (< 3)						
(Horizontal	distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)							
4. Inlet		Forebays (Sheet 1 has been included twice, one for each forebay design; designated South Forebay and North Forebay)						
	eans of providing energy dissipation at concentrated							
inflow location	ons:							
5 Forebri		OCUTU FORERAY.						
5. Forebay		SOUTH FOREBAY						
A) Minimum Fo (V _{FMIN}		V _{FMIN} = 0.001 ac-ft						
B) Actual Foreb	pay Volume	V _F = 0.001 ac-ft						
C) Forebay Dep		D - 400						
(D _F	=18inch maximum)	D _F = 18.0 in						
D) Forebay Disc	charge							
i) Undetaine	ed 100-year Peak Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 1.30 cfs						
ii) Forebay	Discharge Design Flow	Q _F = 0.03 cfs						
(Q _F = 0.0)		100						
E) Forebay Disc	charge Design	F. G						
,, 5.00	- 5	Choose One Berm With Pipe Flow too small for berm w/ pipe						
		Wall with Rect. Notch						
		○ Wall with V-Notch Weir						
F) Discharge Pi	pe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D _P = in						
G) Rectangular		Calculated W _N = 3.7 in						
G) Neciangular	HOLOR HIGH	Salestated Tin III						

UD-BMP_v3.07-Forebay S.xlsm, EDB 6/2/2023, 2:47 PM

	Design Procedure Form: I	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)
Designer:	DDJ	Sheet 2 of 3
Company:	Galloway	
Date:	June 2, 2023	
Project:	6855 Constitution Self Storage	
Location:	-	
6. Trickle Channel		Choose One © Concrete
A) Type of Trick	de Channel	
A) Type of Thick	de Chaillei	◯ Soft Bottom
F) Slope of Trick	kle Channel	S = 0.0050 ft / ft
7. Micropool and C	Outlet Structure	
A) Depth of Mic	ropool (2.5-feet minimum)	D _M = ft
B) Surface Area	a of Micropool (10 ft ² minimum)	A _M = 35 sq ft
C) Outlet Type		
0) 04.00 1,500		Choose One
		Orifice Plate Other (Describe):
		Other (bescribe):
D) Smallest Din	nension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing	
(Úse UD-Detent		D _{orifice} = 0.63 inches
E) Total Outlet A	меа	A _{ct} = 0.93 square inches
Initial Surcharge	Volume	
A) Depth of Initi	al Surcharge Volume	D _{IS} = 4 in
	commended depth is 4 inches)	-13
B) Minimum Initi:	al Surcharge Volume	V _{IS} = cu ft
	ume of 0.3% of the WQCV)	-13
C) Initial Surcha	rge Provided Above Micropool	V _s = 11.7 cu ft
9. Trash Rack		
	0 0 4 4 400 Fe/ 1005D	A
A) Water Qualit	y Screen Open Area: A _t = A _{ot} * 38.5*(e ^{-0.095D})	A _t = 34 square inches
	en (If specifying an alternative to the materials recommended	S.S. Well Screen with 60% Open Area
	ndicate "other" and enter the ratio of the total open are to the for the material specified.)	
	211 212	
	Other (Y/N): N	
C) Ratio of Total	Open Area to Total Area (only for type 'Other')	User Ratio =
D) Total Water 0	Quality Screen Area (based on screen type)	A _{total} =sq. in.
	ign Volume (EURV or WQCV) lesign concept chosen under 1E)	H= 1.56 feet
F) Height of Wat	ter Quality Screen (H _{TR})	H _{TR} = 46.72 inches
	er Quality Screen Opening (W _{coening}) inches is recommended)	W _{opening} = 12.0 inches VALUE LESS THAN RECOMMENDED MIN. WIDTH. WIDTH HAS BEEN SET TO 12 INCHES.

UD-BMP_v3.07-Forebay S.xlsm, EDB 6/2/2023, 2:47 PM

	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)	
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	DDJ Galloway June 2, 2023 6855 Constitution Self Storage		Sheet 3 of 3
B) Slope of O	ankment mbankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping: verflow Embankment I distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Ze = 16.67 ft / ft Choose One	
12. Access A) Describe S Notes:	rediment Removal Procedures		

UD-BMP_v3.07-Forebay S.xlsm, EDB 6/2/2023, 2:47 PM

Stormwater Detention and Infiltration Design Data Sheet

Workhook Protected

Worksheet Protected

Stormwater Facility Name: Private FSD Pond - Constitution Storage

Facility Location & Jurisdiction: 6855 Constitution Ave; Colorado Springs, CO 80915 Sand Creek Basin - El Paso County

User Input: Watershed Characteristics

0.020	ft/ft
520	ft
3.03	acres
73.7%	percent
100.0%	percent
0.0%	percent
0.0%	percent
	520 3.03 73.7% 100.0% 0.0%

Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths (use dropdown):

User Input

WQCV Treatment Method = Extended Detention


User Defined User Defined User Defined User Defined Stage [ft] Area [ft^2] Stage [ft] Discharge [cfs] 0.00 35 0.00 0.00 2,555 0.02 1.00 1.00 5,663 1.52 1.52 0.03 2.00 0.06 7,777 2.00 3.00 9,210 3.00 0.10 3.25 9,263 3.25 0.62 3.40 9,295 3.40 3.58 4.00 9,423 4.00 3.58 5.00 9,604 5.00 3.58 6.00 9,713 6.00 3.58

After completing and printing this worksheet to a pdf, go to: https://maperture.digitaldataservices.com/gvh/?viewer=cswdif create a new stormwater facility, and attach the pdf of this worksheet to that record.


Routed Hydrograph Results

	Koutea Hyaro	graph Results					_
Design Storm Return Period =	WQCV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	50 Year	100 Year]
One-Hour Rainfall Depth =	0.60	0.99	1.27	1.53	2.29	2.67	in
Calculated Runoff Volume =	0.074	0.165	0.218	0.273	0.443	0.540	acre-ft
OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume =							acre-ft
Inflow Hydrograph Volume =	0.073	0.164	0.218	0.272	0.443	0.540	acre-ft
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume =	47.5	66.0	72.9	79.0	87.4	85.1	hours
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume =	51.9	72.4	80.2	87.2	97.8	96.4	hours
Maximum Ponding Depth =	1.44	2.02	2.30	2.57	3.23	3.36	ft
Maximum Ponded Area =	0.12	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.21	acres
Maximum Volume Stored =	0.068	0.155	0.206	0.260	0.396	0.422	acre-ft

Stormwater Detention and Infiltration Design Data Sheet




APPENDIX E Drainage Maps



6162 S. Willow Drive, Suite 320 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 303.770.8884 GallowayUS.com




COPYRIGHT THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.



6855 CONSTITUTION AVE. STC COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE MAP FOR JOHNSON DEVELOPMEN⁻

Project No:	JDA02.20		
Drawn By:	BAS		
Checked By:	BAS		
Date:	07.08.2022		
EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP			

DR-1




6162 S. Willow Drive, Suite 320 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 303.770.8884 GallowayUS.com



COPYRIGHT

THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.



6855 CONSTITUTION AVE. STC COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE MAP FOR JOHNSON DEVELOPMEN

JDA02.20 06.07.2023

PROPOSED DRAINAGE

DR-2

APPENDIX F PCM Plans

CONSTITUTION STORAGE

LOT 1, EIGHT LINE SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO

PERMANENT CONTROL MEASURE PLAN

PROJECT CONTACTS

PROPERTY OWNER JASPERCO, LLC. 5532 SADDLE ROCK TRAIL

5532 SADDLE ROCK TRAIL
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80918
ATTN: TONY COLON
EMAIL: TONYC@COLONFAM.COM

APPLICANT

JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. 100 DUNBAR STREET, SUITE 400 SPARTANBURG, SC 29306 TELE: (864) 529–1297 ATTN: BRIAN KEARNEY

EMAIL: BKEARNEY@JOHNSONDEVELOPMENT.NET

CIVIL ENGINEER

GALLOWAY & CO., INC.

1155 KELLY JOHNSON BLVD., SUITE 305
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80920
TELE: (719) 900-7220
ATTN: BRADY SHYROCK, P.E.

EMAIL: BRADYSHYROCK@GALLOWAYUS.COM

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GEOTECHNICAL, INC 555 E. PIKES PEAK AVE, SUITE 107 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 TELE: (303) 634-1999 ATTN: KENNETH L. MEYERS, PE

TRAFFIC ENGINEER

GALLOWAY & CO., INC. 5500 GREENWOOD PLAZA BLVD, SUITE 200

GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111
TELE: (303) 770-8884
ATTN: BRIAN HORAN, P.E.
EMAIL: BRIANHORAN@GALLOWAYUS.COM

SURVETUR

GALLOWAY & CO., INC.
1155 KELLY JOHNSON BLVD., SUITE 305
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80920
TELE: (719) 337-1262
ATTN: BRIAN DENNIS

EMAIL: BRIANDENNIS@GALLOWAYUS.COM

STANDARD PCM NOTES

- 1. NO CLEARING, GRADING, EXCAVATION, FILLING, OR OTHER LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES SHALL BE PERMITTED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE SITE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL (GEC) PLAN. REFERENCE THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL (DCM) VOLUME 2, CHAPTER 7 FOR MORE INFORMATION.
- ANY LAND DISTURBANCE BY ANY OWNER, DEVELOPER, BUILDER, CONTRACTOR, OR OTHER PERSON SHALL COMPLY WITH THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN THE CITY DCM, AND THE APPROVED GEC PLAN.
- 3. THIS PERMANENT BMP PLAN WILL BE SUBJECT TO RE-REVIEW AND RE-ACCEPTANCE BY THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS IF WORK ON THE PERMANENT BMP DOES NOT COMMENCE WITHIN 12 MONTHS OF PLAN APPROVAL, OR SHOULD ANY OF THE FOLLOWING OCCUR: A CHANGE IN OWNERSHIP, A CHANGE IN THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, OR CHANGES TO THE DESIGN OF THE
- 4. CONTACT CITY GEC INSPECTIONS, 719–385–5918, AND THE CITY ENGINEERING INSPECTIONS, 719–385–5977, AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 5. ACCEPTANCE OF THIS PLAN DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL TO GRADE OR CAUSE ANY DISTURBANCE WITHIN ANY UTILITY EASEMENT OR RIGHT-OF-WAY. APPROVALS TO WORK WITHIN UTILITY EASEMENTS MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY. IT IS NOT PERMISSIBLE FOR ANY PERSON TO MODIFY THE GRADE OF THE EARTH ON ANY UTILITY EASEMENT OF RIGHT-OF-WAY WITHOUT THE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN APPROVAL. THE PLAN SHALL NOT INCREASE OR DIVERT WATER TOWARDS UTILITY FACILITIES. ANY CHANGES TO EXISTING UTILITY FACILITIES TO ACCOMMODATE THE PLAN MUST BE APPROVED BY THE AFFECTED UTILITY OWNER PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTING THE PLAN. THE APPLICANT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COST TO RELOCATE OR PROTECT EXISTING UTILITIES OR TO PROVIDE INTERIM ACCESS
- 6. A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER (PE) CERTIFICATION THAT THE BMP HAS BEEN INSTALLED AND CONSTRUCTED IN GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH THESE PLANS WILL BE REQUIRED ONCE THE BMP IS FULLY CONSTRUCTED. AN AS—CONSTRUCTED SURVEY MUST BE COMPLETED TO VERIFY FACILITY VOLUMES AND ELEVATIONS. THE AS—BUILT DRAWINGS MUST BE SUBMITTED ALONG WITH THE PE CERTIFICATION. A PE CERTIFICATION REQUIRES PERIODIC ON—SITE OBSERVATIONS BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD OR A PERSON UNDER THEIR RESPONSIBLE CHARGE. COORDINATION WITH THE ENGINEER OF RECORD TO ENSURE THAT THE NECESSARY ON—SITE OBSERVATIONS ARE COMPLETED IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPLICANT.
- 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD CONTACT THE ENGINEER OF RECORD AND GEC INSPECTOR IMMEDIATELY SHOULD CONSTRUCTION OF THE BMP VARY IN ANY WAY FROM THE PLANS.
- 8. RETAINING WALLS WILL BE DESIGNED FOR ADDITIONAL LOADING SUCH AS FOOTINGS BELOW EURV, ETC.

WATER & WASTEWATER CHEROKEE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT 6250 PALMER PARK BLVD.

UTILITY CONTACTS

COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80915
TELE: (719) 597-5080
ATTN: KEVIN BROWN
EMAIL: KBROWN@CHEROKEEMETROPOLITAN.ORG

ELECTRIC MOUNTAIN VIEW ELECTRIC 11140 E WOODMEN RD FALCON, CO 80831

FALCON, CO 80831
TELE: (719) 495-2283
CATHY HANSEN-LEE
EMAIL: CATHY.H@MVEA.COOP

NATURAL GAS

COLORADO SPRINGS UTILITIES (CSU)
7710 DURANT DRIVE, P.O. BOX 1103, MAIL CODE 2150
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80947-2150
TELE: (719) 668-5573
AARON CASSIO
EMAIL: ACASSIO@CSU.ORG

FIRE


CIMARRON HILLS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT 1835 TUSKEGEE PL COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80915 TELE: (719) 591-0960 EMAIL: JMCLEOD@CIMARRON



SCALE: 1"=400'

	PROJECT DATA
PARCEL NUMBER	
BMP CALCULATIONS	FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT CONSTITUTION STORAGE DEVELOPMENT
GRADING, EROSION & STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN	GRADING & EROSION CONTROL PLANS IN PROGRESS
FUNCTIONAL MAINTENANCE OF THE PCM STRUCTURES WILL BE COMPLETED BY:	JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES, INC.
AESTHETIC MAINTENANCE OF THE PCM WILL BE COMPLETED BY:	JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES, INC.
100-YEAR WATER SURFACE ELEVATION	6502.79
EURV WATER SURFACE ELEVATION	6502.14
WQCV WATER SURFACE ELEVATION	6500.98
SOIL DATA	SOIL DATA FOR CONSTITUTION STORAGE WAS OBTAINED FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE (NRCS) WEB SOIL SURVEY. SOILS WITHIN THE SITE ARE PREDOMINATELY TRUCKTON SANDY LOAI SOIL CLASSIFICATION A. GEOTECH PER KUMAR & ASSOCIATES, INC., REPORT AND AMMENDUM #21-2-272
VEGETATION	SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN IN PROGRESS
FEMA FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP	ACCORDING TO THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA) FLOOD INSURANCE RAT MAP (FIRM NUMBER 08041C0752G), EFFECTIVE DATE DECEMBER 7, 2018, THE PROJECT SITE LIE OUTSIDE OF THE 100—YEAR AND 500—YEAR FLOODPLAINS. THE PROJECT SITE IS LOCATED IN ZONE X DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN.

			POND COST ESTIMATE						
ITEM		ITEM JOB TOTAL UNIT		UNIT PRICE	TOTAL				
1	SOIL RIP RAP TRICKLE CHANNEL	158	LF	\$5.00	\$ 790.00				
2	FOREBAY W/ T-BAFFLE	1	EA	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00				
3	MICROPOOL	1	EA	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00				
4	POND ACCESS ROAD (GRAVEL)	117	CY	\$15.00	\$ 1,758.00				
	SUBTOTAL =				\$9,048.00				
	CONTINGENCY (10%)				\$904.80				
	GRAND TOTAL =				\$9,952.80				



SHEET INDEX				
SHEET DESCRIPTION	SHEET TITLE	SHEET NUMBER		
PCM0.0	COVER SHEET	1		
PCM1.0	OVERALL PCM PLAN	2		
PCM1.1	POND PLAN	3		
PCM1.2	FOREBAY DETAILS	4		
PCM1.3	MICROPOOL DETAILS	5		

RETAINING WALL DETAILS

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

LOT 1, EIGHT LINE SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO.

<u>ASIS OF BEARINGS</u>

COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NORTH AMERICAN DATUM 1983. THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST BEARS N89'20'41"E, MONUMENTED BY THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 5, BEING A 3-1/4" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "PLS 4842 1985" IN RANGE BOX, AND BY THE NORTH QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 5, BEING A 3-1/4" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "PLS 30829 2003", AS SHOWN HEREON.

BENCHMAI

COLORADO SPRINGS UTILITIES FACILITIES INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (FIMS)
BENCHMARK SR07 BEING A 2" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "CSU FIMS CONTROL SR07" AT THE
SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE CONCRETE BASE FOR AN ELECTRIC VAULT ON THE WEST SIDE OF
PETERSON ROAD, ABOUT 360' SOUTH OF THE CENTER LINE OF LEOTI DRIVE.

FIMS DATUM ELEVATION = 6534.61

DESCRIPTION OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

ALL DATES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. CONSTRUCTION IS ANTICIPATED TO COMMENCE IN NOVEMBER OF 2021 AND BE COMPLETED BY MARCH OF 2022. FINAL STABILIZATION IS EXPECTED TO BE COMPLETED BY JULY OF 2022.

RECEIVING WATERS

INDIAN HILLS VILLAGE IS LOCATED WITHIN THE MESA DRAINAGE BASIN AS DESCRIBED IN THE "MASTER PLAN FOR MESA DRAINAGE BASIN," PREPARED BY GILBERT, MEYER & SAMS, INC. DATED AUGUST 10.1989.

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

THIS PERMANENT CONTROL MEASURE (PCM) PLAN WAS PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION, WAS DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL (MAY 2014), AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY LIABILITY CAUSED BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS ON MY PART IN PREPARATION OF THIS PERMANENT BMP PLAN.

DATE: _____ DATE: _____

PRINTED NAME: ______

DEVELOPER'S/OWNER'S STATEMENT

DBA: JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES, INC.

NAME OF DEVELOPER/OWNER:

FOR THE CITY ENGINEER

JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT THE PCM FOR CONSTITUTION STORAGE SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED ACCORDING TO THE DESIGN PRESENTED IN THIS PLAN. I UNDERSTAND THAT EL PASO COUNTY DOES NOT AND WILL NOT ASSUME LIABILITY FOR THE DRAINAGE FACILITIES DESIGNED AND/OR CERTIFIED BY MY ENGINEER AND THAT ARE SUBMITTED TO EL PASO COUNTY; AND CANNOT, ON BEHALF OF JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES, GUARANTEE THAT THE FINAL DRAINAGE DESIGN REVIEW WILL ABSOLVE JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES AND/OR THEIR SUCCESSORS AND/OR ASSIGNS OF FUTURE LIABILITY FOR IMPROPER DESIGN. DEVELOPER/OWNER SIGNATURE:

CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS STATEMENT:

NOTES:
THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS APPROVES THESE PLANS BASED UPON THE NON-JURISDICTIONAL STATUS OF THE FACILITY.
IT IS THE DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FOLLOW UP WITH THE STATE DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION. IF UPON STATE REVIEW THE CLASSIFICATION CHANGES TO JURISDICTIONAL, ADDITIONAL CITY

FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 7.7.1503 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, 2001, AS AMENDED.

CAUTION - NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR

REVIEW AND APPROVAL WILL BE NECESSARY.

1. ALL UTILITY LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE BASED ON MAPS PROVIDED BY THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY AND FIELD SURFACE EVIDENCE AT THE TIME OF SURVEY AND IS TO BE CONSIDERED AN APPROXIMATE LOCATION ONLY. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FIELD VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES, PUBLIC OR PRIVATE, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIESTO THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.


2. WHERE A PROPOSED UTILITY CROSSES AN EXISTING UTILITY, IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FIELD VERIFY THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LOCATION OF SUCH EXISTING UTILITY, EITHER THROUGH POTHOLING OR ALTERNATIVE METHOD. REPORT INFORMATION TO THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

Galloway

1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 3 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 719.900.7220 GallowayUS.com

COPYRIGHT

THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.



T CONTROL MEASURE PLA RAGE MENT ASSOCIATES

55 CONSTITUTION AVENU

Date Issue / Description Init.

 Project No:
 JDA02


 Drawn By:
 ASA/MRK

 Checked By:
 RGD

 Date:
 JUNE 2023

COVER SHEET

PCM0.0



1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 719.900.7220 GallowayUS.com

PROPOSED BUILDING OUTLINE PROPOSED CROSS PAN PROPOSED SIDEWALK PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY CONCRETE PROPOSED DETECTABLE WARNING SURFACE PROPOSED GRAVEL MAINTENANCE ACCESS PROPOSED RETAINING WALL EXISTING SIDEWALK PROPOSED STORM PIPE <12"

PROPOSED CURB & GUTTER PROPOSED STORM DRAIN STRUCTURES

EXISTING FENCE

EXISTING STORM PIPE

PROPERTY LINE

PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR


EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR

PROPOSED OPAQUE LANDSCAPE FENCE

EXISTING STORM DRAIN STRUCTURES

COPYRIGHT

THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.



E PERMANENT CONTRI TUTION STORAGE


Date Issue / Description

Project No:	JDA02
Drawn By:	ASA/MRK
Checked By:	RGD
Date:	JUNE 2023

OVERALL PCM PLAN

Call before you dig.


Sheet 2 of 6



1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 719.900.7220 GallowayUS.com

COPYRIGHT

THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.



E PERMANENT CONTRIBUTION STORAGE

PRIVATE CONSTITU

Date Issue / Description

PROPOSED STORM DRAIN STRUCTURES

EXISTING STORM DRAIN STRUCTURES

NOTE: SEE APPROVED DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE PROPOSED LANDSCAPING PLANS FOR LANDSCAPING WITHIN THE PERMANENT BMP AREA.

<u>KEY MAP</u>

• • • • •

PROPOSED EASEMENT

PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR

PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR

EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR

PROPOSED SPLIT RAIL FENCE

PROPOSED BUILDING OUTLINE

PROPOSED RETAINING WALL

EXISTING SIDEWALK

PROPOSED STORM PIPE

EXISTING STORM PIPE

EXISTING CURB & GUTTER PROPOSED CURB & GUTTER

PROPOSED STORM PIPE <12"

EXISTING FENCE

PROPOSED CROSS PAN

PROPOSED SIDEWALK

PROPOSED OPAQUE LANDSCAPE FENCE

PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY CONCRETE PROPOSED DETECTABLE WARNING SURFACE

PROPOSED GRAVEL MAINTENANCE ACCESS

NOTE: CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL EXISTING SURVEY MONUMENTATION. CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE LICENSED SURVEYOR REPLACE ANY DAMAGED OR DISTURBED MONUMENTATION AT THEIR

NOTE: CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR AS-BUILT DRAWINGS, TESTS, REPORTS, AND/OR ANY OTHER CERTIFICATES OF INFORMATION AS REQUIRED FOR ACCEPTANCE OF WORK FROM CITY, UTILITY DISTRICTS OR ANY OTHER GOVERNING AGENCY.

- 1. ADD 6500 TO ALL SPOT ELEVATIONS. 2. REFER TO CONSTITUTION STORAGE PRIVATE IMPROVEMENT PLANS FOR ALL STORM PIPE,
- INLET, MANHOLE AND APPURTENANCE INFORMATION. 3. ALL STORM PIPE SHALL BE RCP CLASS 3 MINIMUM OR HDPE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY

STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. TRENCH BEDDING SHALL BE IN

- ACCORDANCE WITH CITY SPECIFICATIONS. 4. ALL STORMWATER FACILITIES SHOWN ON THESE PLANS ARE ASSUMED PRIVATE UNLESS
- OTHERWISE NOTED. 5. WALLS EXCEEDING 4' (MEASURED FROM BOTTOM OF FOOTING TO TOP OF WALL) MAY
- REQUIRE A BUILDING PERMIT. 6. EXISTING VEGETATION CONSISTS OF NATURAL GRASSES AND SHRUBS.
- SOILS WITHIN THE SITE ARE TRUCKTON SANDY LOAM. SEE SHEET B1.2 FOR POND DETAILS.
- 9. BOTTOM OF POND TO HAVE A MINIMUM SLOPE OF 3% TO THE TRICKLE CHANNEL AND

BASIS OF BEARINGS

BASIS OF BEARING: ALL BEARINGS ARE GRID BEARINGS OF THE COLORADO STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NORTH AMERICAN DATUM 1983. THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST BEARS N89'20'41"E, MONUMENTED BY THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 5, BEING A 3-1/4" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "PLS 4842 1985" IN RANGE BOX, AND BY THE NORTH QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 5, BEING A 3-1/4" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "PLS 30829 2003", AS SHOWN HEREON.

<u>BENCHMARK</u>

COLORADO SPRINGS UTILITIES FACILITIES INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (FIMS) BENCHMARK SR07 BEING A 2" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "CSU FIMS CONTROL SR07" AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE CONCRETE BASE FOR AN ELECTRIC VAULT ON THE WEST SIDE OF PETERSON ROAD, ABOUT 360' SOUTH OF THE CENTER LINE OF LEOTI DRIVE.

FIMS DATUM ELEVATION = 6534.61

CAUTION — NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR

1. ALL UTILITY LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE BASED ON MAPS PROVIDED BY THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY AND FIELD SURFACE EVIDENCE AT THE TIME OF SURVEY AND IS TO BE CONSIDERED AN APPROXIMATE LOCATION ONLY. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FIELD VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES, PUBLIC OR PRIVATE, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIESTO THE Know what's below.


Call before you dig. 2. WHERE A PROPOSED UTILITY CROSSES AN EXISTING UTILITY, IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FIELD VERIFY THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LOCATION OF SUCH EXISTING UTILITY, EITHER THROUGH POTHOLING OR ALTERNATIVE METHOD. REPORT INFORMATION TO THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

POND PLAN


ASA/MRK


JUNE 2023


RGD





NORTH FOREBAY GRADING PLAN SCALE 1" = 2'














1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 719.900.7220 GallowayUS.com

COPYRIGHT
THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE
AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY
NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED
WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY.
COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE
ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.



PRIVATE PERMANENT CONTROL MEASURE PLAN
CONSTITUTION STORAGE
JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES

Date | Ssue / Description | Initial Constitution | Supplies | Su


 Project No:
 JDA02


 Drawn By:
 ASA/MRK


 Checked By:
 RGD


 Date:
 JUNE 2023


FOREBAY DETAILS












Galloway

1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 719.900.7220 GallowayUS.com

COPYRIGHT
THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE
AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY
NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED
WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY.
COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE

ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.



PRIVATE PERMANENT CONTROL MEASURE CONSTITUTION STORAGE


#	Date	Issue / Description	Init
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			


JOHNSON DEVELOPMENT AS

Project No:	JDA02
Drawn By:	ASA/MRK
Checked By:	RGD
Date:	JUNE 2023

MICROPOOL DETAILS

PCM1.3





SLOPE TOP OF WALL 1/2" TO DRAIN BACK ∕ ONTO UPPER GRADE. PROVIDE ¾" CHAMFER ALONG EDGES OF WALL. CDOT CLAS 1 STRUCTURAL BACKFILL WITH 1½" GALVANIZED HEAVY WALL PIPE RAIL WITH VERTICAL POSTS AT 6'-0" O.C. LESS THAN 20% PASSING THE NO. 200 SIEVE AND 1" SLIP JOINTS AT 24' O.C. MAX. GRIND, PUTTY, FILL, PRIME AND PAINT --(MIN. 1'-0" FROM BACK OF WALL) ISOLATE RAIL AND WELD PLATE WITH PRIMER AND TWO COATS GLOSS BLACK ENAMEL FROM SURROUNDING SOILS WITH MIRAFI-140 FILTER FABRIC ¾"X6"X6" PLATE — BACKFILL WITH ONSITE SOIL MINUS ANY CLAY. - MATERIAL NEEDS TO BE APPROVED BY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR TO USE. (4) ½"X6" HA STUDS -#4 @ 12" O.C.E.W. — - #4 @ 12" O.C. HORIZONTAL — #8 @ 12" O.C. VERTICAL MIN. 2" CLEAR MIN. 2" CLEAR (TYP) CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE WITH CLIENT ON WALL FINISH 4" SLOTTED PVC DRAIN PIPE WITH FILTER FABRIC SOCK, AND 1" DIA. WEEP HOLE PIPES THROUGH WALL AT 5'-0" O.C. CONSTRUCTION JOINT WITH ROUGHENED SURFACE TOP AND BOTTOM - #8 @ 12" O.C. VERTICAL | EXTEND VERTICAL BAR INTO KEY AS OCCURS IN STEM - #4 @ 12" O.C. HORIZONTAL 3'-0" 7**'**-0"

1 CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE RETAINING WALL - TYPICAL SECTION

<u> CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE RETAINING WALL — TYPICAL SECTION</u>

SCALE: 1"=2"

GENERAL STRUCTURAL NOTES

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

GOVERNING CODE: THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT IS GOVERNED BY THE "NORTH CAROLINA BUILDING CODE", 2015 EDITION, HEREAFTER REFERRED TO AS THE NCBC, AS ADOPTED BY CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS DIVISION UNDERSTOOD TO BE THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION (AHJ).

TEMPORARY SHORING, BRACING: THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE STRENGTH AND STABILITY OF THE STRUCTURE DURING CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL PROVIDE TEMPORARY SHORING, BRACING AND OTHER ELEMENTS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN STABILITY UNTIL THE STRUCTURE IS COMPLETE. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO BE FAMILIAR WITH THE WORK REQUIRED IN THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS AND THE REQUIREMENTS FOR EXECUTING IT PROPERLY.

SITE VERIFICATION: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS AT THE SITE, CONFLICTS BETWEEN THE DRAWINGS AND ACTUAL SITE CONDITIONS SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER BEFORE

ADJACENT UTILITIES: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE LOCATION OF ALL ADJACENT UNDERGROUND UTILITIES PRIOR TO EARTHWORK, FOUNDATIONS, SHORING, AND EXCAVATION. ANY UTILITY INFORMATION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS AND DETAILS IS APPROXIMATE AND NOT NECESSARILY COMPLETE.

SPECIAL INSPECTIONS AND TESTING

INSPECTIONS: SPECIAL INSPECTIONS AND TESTING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STATEMENT OF SPECIAL INSPECTIONS PER RBC SECTION 109.7 AND IBC SECTIONS 1704, 1705, AS APPLICABLE.

SPECIAL INSPECTORS: SPECIAL INSPECTORS SHALL BE EMPLOYED BY THE OWNER. SPECIAL INSPECTORS SHALL BE QUALIFIED PERSONS WHO ARE REGISTERED WITH AN APPROVED AGENCY.

INSPECTION SUBMITTALS: SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORTS SHALL BE PROVIDED ON A WEEKLY BASIS. FINAL SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORTS WILL BE REQUIRED BY EACH SPECIAL INSPECTION FIRM PER IBC 1704.1.2. SUBMIT COPIES OF ALL INSPECTION AND TESTING REPORTS TO THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER AND THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION FOR REVIEW AS SOON AS THEY ARE

	SCHEDULE OF SPECIAL INSPECTI	ONS	
	DESCRIPTION OF SPECIAL INSPECTION	PERIODIC	CONTINUOUS
SOILS	FOOTING SOIL BEARING SURFACES	Х	
	EXCAVATION DEPTH AND BEARING LAYER	Χ	
	COMPACTED FILL MATERIAL; PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	Χ	
	SUBGRADE PREPARATION PRIOR TO BACKFILL	Χ	
	BACKFILLING OPERATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS INCLUDING LIFT THICKNESS, DENSITY TESTING, MOISTURE CONTENT, MATERIAL PROPERTIES		X
CONCRETE	SIZE & PLACEMENT OF ALL REINFORCING STEEL	Х	
CONSTRUCTION	PLACEMENT CLEARANCES AROUND REINFORCING STEEL AT EMBEDDED CONDUIT	Х	
	SHAPE, LOCATION & DIMENSIONS OF MEMBERS FORMED	Х	
	USE OF THE REQUIRED DESIGN CONCRETE MIX	Х	
	MAINTENANCE OF SPECIFIED CURING TEMPERATURE AND TECHNIQUES	Х	
	VERIFICATION OF IN-SITU CONCRETE STRENGTH PRIOR TO REMOVAL OF SHORES AND FORMS FROM BEAMS AND STRUCTURAL SLABS	Х	
	PLACING & SIZE OF CAST-IN-PLACE ANCHOR BOLTS AND EMBEDDED FABRICATIONS PRIOR TO THE POUR	Х	
	PLACING OF CONCRETE AROUND CAST-IN-PLACE BOLTS AND EMBEDS		Х
	SAMPLING OF FRESH CONCRETE		Х
	DETERMINATIONS OF SLUMP, AIR CONTENT, AND TEMPERATURE		Х
	GROUTING OPERATION OF REBAR DOWELS		Х

SOILS AND FOUNDATIONS

FOUNDATIONS FOR ALL STRUCTURES SHALL BE DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEOTECHNICAL

REPORT PREPARED FOR THIS SITE.

8. INFORMATION IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT SUPERSEDES ANY CONFLICTING INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE CDS. GEOTECHNICAL REPORT DATE PREPARED BY:

REPORT KUMAR & ASSOCIATES, INC. FEBRUARY 3, 2022 REPORT - 21-2-272 ADDENDUM - 21-1-272 | MARCH 22, 2022 ADDENDUM - 21-2-272 A FEBRUARY 16, 2023 DESIGN CRITERIA NET ALLOWABLE SOIL BEARING PRESSURE D+L MINIMUM EMBEDMENT (BELOW EXT. GRADE OR 30 INCHES SLAB SUBGRADE) EQUIVALENT FLUID PRESSURE, ACTIVE

REFERENCE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT AND ADDENDUMS AND REPORT FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. SUBGRADE PREPARATION AND COMPACTION FOR ALL ITEMS ARE TO BE PREPARED IN SAME MANNER AS 2022 REPORT 21-2-272.

OVEREXCAVATION AND REPLACEMENT OF ALL EXISTING FILL WILL BE REQUIRED WHERE PRESENT BELOW THE BEARING ELEVATION. ADDITIONALLY, OVEREXCAVATION AND REPLACEMENT OF THE CLAY SOILS WILL BE REQUIRED WHERE ENCOUNTERED WITHIN 3 FEET OF BEARING ELEVATION. REFERENCE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT AND ADDENDUMS AND REPORT FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

THE CONTRACTOR MUST FULLY REVIEW THIS REPORT PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

EQUIVALENT FLUID PRESSURE, PASSIVE 180 PSF NOTE: PER GOETECHNICAL ADDENDUM 21-2-272.A IT IS REQUIRED THAT CARE TO BE TAKEN NOT TO OVERCOMPACT THE BACKFILL.

CAST-IN-PLACE CONCRETE

- REFERENCE STANDARDS:
- ACI 301-10 "SPECIFICATIONS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE" 2. ACI 305.1-10 "SPECIFICATIONS FOR HOT WEATHER CONCRETING"
- 3. ACI 306.1-10 "SPECIFICATION FOR COLD WEATHER CONCRETING" 4. ACI 318-14 "BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE"
- 5. ACI 117-10 "SPECIFICATION FOR TOLERANCES FOR CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION AND MATERIALS"

PROVIDE ALL SUBMITTALS REQUIRED BY ACI 301 SECTION 4.1.2. SUBMIT MIX DESIGNS FOR EACH MIX IN THE TABLE BELOW. SUBSTANTIATING STRENGTH RESULTS FROM PAST TESTS SHALL NOT BE OLDER THAN 12 MONTHS PER ACI 318 SECTION 5.3.

CONCRETE MIX DESIGN REQUIREMENTS							
	STRENGTH F'C (PSI)	TEST AGE	AGGREGATE, MAX. (IN.)	EXPOSURE CLASS	W/CM RATIO	AIR CONTENT (+/-1.5%)	SLUMP, MAX. (IN.)
PREAD FOOTING	4500	28	1	F2/S0/W0/C1	0.45	6.0	4
OUNDATION WALLS	3500	28	1	F1/S0/W0/C1	0.55	4.5	4

ATERIALS	REINFORCING BARS ASTM	A615 GRADE 60 DEFORMED BARS
LACING: CONFORM	TO ACI 301 SECTION 3.3.2 "PLACEMENT." PLACING TOLER	ANCES SHALL CONFORM TO ACI 117.
ONCRETE COVER:	CONCRETE CAST AGAINST EARTH	3"
CONFORM TO THE FOLLOWING COVER REQUIREMENTS	CONCRETE EXPOSED TO EARTH OR WEATHER	2"
	TIES IN COLUMNS AND BEAMS	1-1/2"
	BARS IN SLABS	3/4"
NLESS NOTED	BARS IN WALLS	3/4"
OTHERWISE IN THE DRAWINGS:	EXTERIOR BARS IN TILT-UP PANELS	1"

STANDARD DUTY ASPHALT CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR AS-BUILT DRAWINGS, TESTS, REPORTS AND/OR ANY OTHER CERTIFICATES OR INFORMATION AS REQUIRED FOR ACCEPTANCE OF WORK FROM CITY, UTILITY DISTRICTS OR ANY OTHER GOVERNING AGENCY.

SURVEYOR TO OBTAIN AUTOCAD FILE FROM ENGINEER AND VERIFY ALL HORIZONTAL CONTROL DIMENSIONING PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION STAKING. SURVEYOR MUST VERIFY ALL BENCHMARK, BASIS OF BEARING AND DATUM INFORMATION TO ENSURE IMPROVEMENTS WILL BE AT THE SAME HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE DESIGN CONSTRUCTION DRAWNGS. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION STAKING ANY DISCREPANCY MUST BE REPORTED TO OWNER AND ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONTINUATION OF ANY FURTHER STAKING OR CONSTRUCTION

STANDARD DUTY CONCRETE

PROPERTY BOUNDARY LINE

----- RIGHT OF WAY BOUNDARY LINE

ROAD CENTERLINE

- - - EXISTING EASEMENT LINE

---- PROPOSED EASEMENT LINE

--> · · · - PROPOSED SWALE FLOWLINE

PROPOSED RETAINING WALL

HEAVY DUTY CONCRETE

ADJACENT PROPERTY BOUNDARY LINE

BASIS OF BEARINGS

PAVING LEGEND

BASIS OF BEARING: ALL BEARINGS ARE GRID BEARINGS OF THE COLORADO STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, CENTRAL ZONE, NORTH AMERICAN DATUM 1983. THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 5, TOWNSHIP 14 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST BEARS N89'20'41"E, MONUMENTED BY THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 5, BEING A 3-1/4" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "PLS 4842 1985" IN RANGE BOX, AND BY THE NORTH QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 5, BEING A 3-1/4" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "PLS 30829 2003", AS SHOWN HEREON.


COLORADO SPRINGS UTILITIES FACILITIES INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (FIMS) BENCHMARK SR07 BEING A 2" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "CSU FIMS CONTROL SR07" AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE CONCRETE BASE FOR AN ELECTRIC VAULT ON THE WEST SIDE OF PETERSON ROAD, ABOUT 360' SOUTH OF THE CENTER LINE OF LEOTI DRIVE.

FIMS DATUM ELEVATION = 6534.61

CAUTION - NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR

ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

1. ALL UTILITY LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE BASED ON MAPS PROVIDED BY THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY AND FIELD SURFACE EVIDENCE AT THE TIME OF SURVEY AND IS TO BE CONSIDERED AN APPROXIMATE LOCATION ONLY. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FIELD VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL UTILITIES, PUBLIC OR PRIVATE, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT,




Call before you dig. 2. WHERE A PROPOSED UTILITY CROSSES AN EXISTING UTILITY, IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FIELD VERIFY THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL LOCATION OF SUCH EXISTING UTILITY, EITHER THROUGH POTHOLING OR ALTERNATIVE METHOD. REPORT INFORMATION TO THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 719.900.7220 GallowayUS.com

COPYRIGHT

THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.



DE JOHNSON

PERMANENT C

PRIVATE CONSTITU

Date Issue / Description

Project No:	JDA02
Drawn By:	ASA/MRK
Checked By:	RGD
Date:	JUNE 2023

RETAINING WALL DETAILS

Sheet 6 of 6