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## Traffic Impact Studies

## Traffic Engineer's Statement

The attached traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


6-16-23
Brian Horan P.E. \#53042
Date

## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

| $\frac{13 n}{\text { Brian Duncan, Development Manager }}$ | D/20/23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Self-Storage Division |  |
| Johnson Development Associates, Inc. |  |
| 101 N. Pacific Coast Hwy, Suite 308 El Segundo, CA 90245 |  |



Traffic Impact Study (Memorandum):

Constitution Storage PCD No. P-225, PPR-2224

## Gafioway



## INTRODUCTION

This memorandum provides the results of a traffic analysis performed in support of an approximately 3.72-acre lot located in El Paso County, Colorado. Generally, the site is located south of Constitution Avenue, east of Peterson Road, and west of Canada Drive. The site is further identified as El Paso County parcel number 5405218002 and is currently vacant. The site location is shown on Figure 1.


Figure 1 - Site Location

The Applicant, Johnson Development Associates, proposes to develop the site with a 109,033 square foot self-storage (mini warehouse) use with 944 storage units. A full-sized copy of the site plan is provided as Attachment I. The following memorandum has been prepared for the County of El Paso as requested. The purpose is to determine the traffic forecasted by the proposed project and potential impacts to the surrounding roadways. A narrative for the study area for adjacent roadways, intersection and accesses is provided in the existing conditions section below.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

As shown on the site plan provided as Attachment 1, the site is proposed to be accessed via one full movement access along Canada Drive. Peterson Road and Constitution Avenue provide regional access to the property. No roadway improvements were identified in the area.

Constitution Avenue is constructed as a four-lane roadway divided by a raised median and auxiliary turn lanes provided at intersections. It is classified as an arterial by El Paso County and provides east-west connectivity throughout the region with a posted speed limit of 45 mph in the vicinity of the subject site. The intersection of Constitution Avenue/Peterson Road operates under signalized control and the intersection of Constitution Avenue/Canada Drive operates under unsignalized control.

Peterson Road is constructed as a four-lane roadway divided by a two way left turn (TWLT) lane. It is classified as a minor arterial by El Paso County and provides north-south connectivity throughout the region with a posted speed limit of 35 mph in the vicinity of the subject site. The intersection of Peterson Road/Constitution Avenue operates under signalized control.

Canada Drive is constructed as an undivided two-lane roadway. It is classified as a local roadway by El Paso County and primarily provides north-south access to a number of residential units in the region with a posted speed limit of 25 mph in the vicinity of the subject site. The intersection of Canada Drive/Constitution Avenue operates under unsignalized control. ADTs and peak hour traffic along this roadway are consistent with the roadway section and operates with additional capacity available.

The Major Transportation Corridor Plan (MTCP) was reviewed to determine if any roadway improvements were anticipated in the immediate study area. No such improvements were identified. Additionally, at the time of this writing, no improvements from area development were identified that would impact the proposed development.

Streetlight was used to generate turning movement counts at the intersection of Peterson Rd \& Constitution Ave. Streetlight is a program that collects locations records from smartphones as well as connected cars and trucks and can use this data to produce turning movement counts. The Streetlight turning movements were then balanced with ADT counts on the MS2 website, taken in 2021. Figure 2 shows the existing volumes at the intersection of Peterson Rd \& Constitution Ave.


## TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation forecasts for the site were based on rates/equations published in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition and industry standard methodologies. The trip generation of the proposed development are provided in Table 1 below. The use is expected to generate 11 AM peak hour, 16 PM peak hour, and 170 average daily trips upon completion.

The site trips would be all oriented to Constitution Avenue. As required by the County, an assessment of 20 year projections for this area would suggest minimal increase. Limited development or redevelopment options exist in the area that would increase traffic at the proposed entrances. It is anticipated that short and long range forecasts at the entrance would remain relatively consistent with existing conditions. A site trip figure has been provided as Figure 3.

As mentioned above, the Applicant is proposing one full movement access to the site via Canada Drive. Currently, Constitution Avenue has auxiliary lanes in both the east and westbound directions at the Canada Drive intersection. Based on the trip generation contained herein, the proposed development would not significantly impact the surrounding corridor.

## ANALYSIS

As shown in Attachment I, the project proposes a full movement access along Canada Drive. As shown in Table 1, the site would generate very few peak hour trips. Assuming some distribution of trips to the entrance, the access and surrounding intersections would experience fewer than 10 peak hour turning movements at any location.

The signal of Peterson Rd \& Constitution Ave was modeled in Synchro using the volumes from Figure 2. The Synchro reports have been attached to this memo. As reported by Synchro no existing capacity or queueing issues were identified at the signalized intersection. The proposed trip generation would have no impact on the results of the capacity and queueing analysis.

## SAFETY

As requested by the County, accident data in the area was pulled as provided by the State. As shown in Figure 4 below, no accidents were reported in this area for the last 10 years. Due to the extremely low generation of trips the proposed use represents it is not anticipated to have an impact on safety to this area.


Figure 4 - Police Accident Data Map 2016-2022

Table 1
JDA - Constitution Storage
Site Trip Generation

|  | Land Use Code | Amount | Units | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | Average Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Proposed ${ }^{(1)}$ Mini-Warehouse | 151 | 944 | UNITS | 6 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 170 |

Note(s):
(1) Trip generation based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition


## CONCLUSIONS

1. The subject site is a standalone project in the County of El Paso, Colorado.
2. The proposed project is forecasted to generate 11 new AM trips, 16 new PM trips, and 170 new daily trips on average.
3. Auxiliary lanes current exists in both the east and westbound directions on Constitution Avenue at Canada Drive.
4. Based on the trip generation contained herein, the proposed mini warehouse use development would not significantly impact the surrounding roadways. Short and long range forecasts for the access locations would remain generally consistent with existing conditions.
5. No improvements are required or recommended above and beyond what is required on site for the construction of the use.
6. No safety concerns are anticipated with the approval of the access locations as shown.
7. Road Impact Fees will be due by the Applicant at the last land use approval consistent with the use and Impact Fee schedule.

We trust that the information contained herein satisfy the request of the County of El Paso, Colorado. If you have any questions or need further information, please contact Brian Horan at BrianHoran@GallowayUS.com or 303-770-8884.

## Attachment I <br> Site Plan <br> Synchro Reports



## Existing AM

Peterson Rd \& Constitution Ave

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 116 | 438 | 99 | 179 | 826 | 177 | 142 | 251 | 77 | 91 | 588 | 249 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.74 | 0.58 |
| Control Delay | 16.0 | 29.6 | 2.2 | 15.7 | 33.6 | 4.6 | 33.4 | 36.9 | 0.9 | 25.8 | 49.3 | 29.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 16.0 | 29.6 | 2.2 | 15.7 | 33.6 | 4.6 | 33.4 | 36.9 | 0.9 | 25.8 | 49.3 | 29.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 41 | 130 | 0 | 66 | 273 | 0 | 74 | 82 | 0 | 46 | 224 | 103 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 80 | 175 | 17 | 118 | 342 | 46 | 113 | 115 | 2 | 77 | 271 | 179 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 691 |  |  | 661 |  |  | 589 |  |  | 420 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  |  | 250 |  |  | 230 |  | 50 | 160 |  | 50 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 404 | 1253 | 648 | 576 | 1312 | 698 | 277 | 897 | 502 | 503 | 958 | 500 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.61 | 0.50 |

[^0]
## Existing AM

Peterson Rd \＆Constitution Ave

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \％ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 107 | 403 | 91 | 165 | 760 | 163 | 131 | 231 | 71 | 84 | 541 | 229 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 107 | 403 | 91 | 165 | 760 | 163 | 131 | 231 | 71 | 84 | 541 | 229 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 116 | 438 | 0 | 179 | 826 | 0 | 142 | 251 | 0 | 91 | 588 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 441 | 1630 |  | 624 | 1689 |  | 235 | 795 |  | 344 | 710 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 116 | 438 | 0 | 179 | 826 | 0 | 142 | 251 | 0 | 91 | 588 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 3.7 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.7 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 441 | 1630 |  | 624 | 1689 |  | 235 | 795 |  | 344 | 710 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.26 | 0.27 |  | 0.29 | 0.49 |  | 0.60 | 0.32 |  | 0.26 | 0.83 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 441 | 1630 |  | 624 | 1689 |  | 294 | 814 |  | 521 | 962 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 14.2 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 35.5 | 38.9 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 46.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 1.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 8.8 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 15.7 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 35.6 | 50.6 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | C |  | B | C |  | D | D |  | D | D |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 554 | A |  | 1005 | A |  | 393 | A |  | 679 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 19.5 |  |  | 21.0 |  |  | 38.7 |  |  | 48.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 11.1 | 31.4 | 18.0 | 59.5 | 14.0 | 28.5 | 16.0 | 61.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），$s$ | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 18.5 | 27.5 | 13.5 | 42.5 | 13.5 | 32.5 | 11.5 | 44.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 6.8 | 9.1 | 7.7 | 11.1 | 9.5 | 21.0 | 5.7 | 21.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 30.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

## Existing PM

Peterson Rd \& Constitution Ave

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 254 | 1127 | 180 | 87 | 882 | 170 | 236 | 860 | 121 | 153 | 308 | 162 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 0.55 | 0.87 | 0.22 | 0.71 | 0.36 | 0.31 |
| Control Delay | 35.5 | 33.8 | 4.5 | 23.8 | 39.9 | 4.8 | 29.8 | 52.2 | 5.1 | 44.7 | 38.8 | 5.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 35.5 | 33.8 | 4.5 | 23.8 | 39.9 | 4.8 | 29.8 | 52.2 | 5.1 | 44.7 | 38.8 | 5.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 118 | 384 | 4 | 34 | 316 | 0 | 122 | 330 | 0 | 75 | 104 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#242 | 470 | 47 | 62 | 394 | 44 | 186 | 412 | 36 | \#152 | 146 | 44 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 691 |  |  | 661 |  |  | 589 |  |  | 420 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  |  | 250 |  |  | 230 |  | 50 | 160 |  | 50 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 350 | 1483 | 763 | 198 | 1188 | 649 | 441 | 1017 | 552 | 219 | 880 | 526 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 0.54 | 0.85 | 0.22 | 0.70 | 0.35 | 0.31 |

Intersection Summary
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

## Existing PM

Peterson Rd \＆Constitution Ave

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 | 7 | \％ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 234 | 1037 | 166 | 80 | 811 | 156 | 217 | 791 | 111 | 141 | 283 | 149 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 234 | 1037 | 166 | 80 | 811 | 156 | 217 | 791 | 111 | 141 | 283 | 149 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 254 | 1127 | 0 | 87 | 882 | 0 | 236 | 860 | 0 | 153 | 308 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 401 | 1586 |  | 251 | 1290 |  | 438 | 955 |  | 227 | 834 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 254 | 1127 | 0 | 87 | 882 | 0 | 236 | 860 | 0 | 153 | 308 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 9.6 | 30.9 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 9.6 | 30.9 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 401 | 1586 |  | 251 | 1290 |  | 438 | 955 |  | 227 | 834 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.63 | 0.71 |  | 0.35 | 0.68 |  | 0.54 | 0.90 |  | 0.68 | 0.37 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 401 | 1586 |  | 251 | 1290 |  | 464 | 1022 |  | 242 | 880 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 21.5 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 33.8 | 38.5 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 7.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 4.5 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 28.9 | 29.7 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 52.7 | 0.0 | 40.5 | 38.8 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | C | C |  | C | D |  | C | D |  | D | D |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1381 | A |  | 969 | A |  | 1096 | A |  | 461 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 29.5 |  |  | 34.6 |  |  | 47.8 |  |  | 39.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 14.2 | 36.8 | 11.0 | 58.1 | 18.3 | 32.7 | 21.0 | 48.1 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 10.7 | 34.5 | 6.5 | 50.3 | 15.5 | 29.7 | 16.5 | 40.3 |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 9.7 | 30.0 | 5.6 | 32.9 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 11.6 | 27.2 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 4.6 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 37.1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


[^0]:    intersection Summary

