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## DRAINAGE PLAN STATEMENTS

## ENGINEERS STATEMENT

The attached drainage plan and report was prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omission on my part in preparing this report.

Virgil A. Sanchez, P.E. \#37160
For and on Behalf of M\&S Civil Consultants, Inc


## DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

I, the developer have read and will comply with all the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.


## EL PASO COUNTY'S STATEMENT

Filed in accordance with the requirements of El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, and the Engineering Manual, as amended.

BY:
Jennifer Irvine, P.E.
County Engineer/ECM Administrator
DATE: $\qquad$

## APPROVED Engineering Department 04/01/2021 1:54:18 PM dsdnijkamp <br> EPC Planning \& Community Development Department
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## PRELIMINARY/FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR PAINT BRUSH HILLS FILING NO. 14

## PURPOSE

This document is intended to serve as the Preliminary and Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14. The purpose of this document is to identify and analyze the on and offsite drainage patterns and to ensure that post development runoff is routed through the site safely and in a manner that satisfies the requirements set forth by the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual. The proposed principal use for the site consists of infrastructure typically associated with single family residential developments. The majority of the site will consist of asphalt, curb, landscaping and an existing storm water quality facility (Pond C) located near the southwest boundary of the site. This document is also intended to show some of Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 onsite drainage (of approximately 6.72 acres,) will not adversely affect the capacity of the existing storm water quality facility (Pond D) in the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E (PDRPBH-13E) (Pre-Development Grading Plan)", prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, submitted on February 2018.

## GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 is located in the northeast quarter of Section 26, Township 12 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M. in El Paso County, Colorado. The parcel is bound to the north by existing single family residential Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 3 and to the south by existing single family residential Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 12. An existing utility corridor and single family residential subdivision Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 13E, is planned along the east boundary of the site. Along the west property line are two rural and undeveloped parcels. Generally, runoff produced by the site is directed south and southwest to an Extended Detention Basin (EDB) Pond C and subsequently to an existing swale tributary to the Falcon Drainage Basin. The site lies within the Falcon Drainage Basin.

The site consists of 88.631 acres which is presently undeveloped. Vegetation is sparse, consisting of native grasses with no trees onsite. The site has not experienced any overlot grading activities. Existing site terrain generally slopes from north to south at grade rates that vary between $1.0 \%$ and $4.0 \%$.

The site is currently platted and zoned "RS-20,000 \& RS-6000" for Residential Suburban. The proposed principal use for the site is single family residential. The majority of the lots shall consist of standard setbacks, landscaping and back and/or side lot swales typical for single family housing. An existing detention facility is located at the southwest boundary of the site and is to be upgraded upon development of the proposed Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 site.

## SOILS

Soils for this project have been delineated by the map in the appendix, as Pring Coarse Sandy Loam (71) and is characterized as Hydrologic Soil Type "B". Soils in the study area are shown as mapped by S.C.S. in the "Soils Survey of El Paso County Area." Vegetation is sparse, consisting of native grasses and weeds.

## HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria manual and where applicable the Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual. The Rational Method was used to estimate stormwater runoff anticipated from design storms with 5 -year and 100 -year recurrence intervals.

## HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Hydraulic calculations were estimated using the Manning's Formula and the methods described in the El Paso County Storm Drainage Design Criteria manual. The relevant data sheets are included in the appendix of this report.

## FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is within a designated F.E.M.A. floodplain as determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel No. 08041C0535 G, effective date December 7, 2018. A FIRM Panel is included in the Appendix.

## DRAINAGE CRITERIA

This drainage analysis has been prepared in accordance with the current El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual and where applicable the City of Colorado Springs DCM Volume 1 dated May 2014 effective January 2015. Hydrologic calculations were performed to determine runoff quantities for the 5 -year and 100 -year frequency storms for developed conditions using the Rational Method as required for basins having areas less than 130 acres (in accordance with Chapter 6 of the City of Colorado Springs DCM Volume 1). Full spectrum detention facilities have been designed in accordance with Section 3.2.1. of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs DCM Volume 1, dated May 2014, effective January 31, 2015 and Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Manuals dated January 2016.

## FOUR STEP PROCESS

Step1 Employ Runoff Reduction Practices - Approx. 3.68 acres of proposed land (pervious surface) within the project has been set aside for an EDB facility. Also roof drains will be directed to landscaped areas to minimize direct connection of impervious surfaces. The two lots at the northwest corner of the site will have roof drains directed to the front of the lot.
Step 2 Stabilize Drainageways - The site outfall at Design Point lis upstream of an existing Pond D. A low tailwater riprap basin will dissipated energy and velocities to allow seed grasses to take hold and avoid erosion. Existing Pond D ultimately will outfall to the Falcon Drainage Basin. The site outfall at Design Point 17 (existing Pond C) is upstream of the Falcon Drainage Basin. A riprap basin will dissipated energy and velocities to avoid erosion of existing grasses. This outfall is existing and the flows have been restricted to less than existing conditions. It is not anticipated to have negative effect on the downstream drainageway.
Step 3 Provide Water Quality Capture Volume - The existing Pond C will be retrofitted to a Full Spectrum Extended Drainage Basin and will provide WQCV.
Step4 Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMP's - There are no commercial or industrial components to this development, therefore no BMPs of this nature are required. The existing Pond C will be retrofitted to a Full Spectrum Extended Drainage Basin and will provide WQCV.

## EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 site consists of 88.631 acres and is situated in the Falcon Drainage Basin with Chico Creek as receiving waters. This site was studied as part of the "Master Development Drainage Plan for Falcon Hills Development (MDDP)" prepared by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, approved November 2002. More recently the site was studied in the "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills-Phase 2 (Filing No. 13,( FDRPBH-PH2-13))", prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, rev.June 2008.

Existing Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 site and offsite Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 3 is currently undeveloped and the terrain generally slopes from north to southwest at grade rates that vary between $1.0 \%$ and $4.0 \%$. Existing natural drainage swales route flows to an existing detention facility (Pond C) constructed as part of the "Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 12", see attached Historic Conditions Drainage Map from FDRPBH-PH2-13 and also refer to "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hill Filing Nos. 10, $11 \& 12$ (FDRPBH-10,11,12)" prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, rev. July 2003. See Historic Conditions Drainage Map in Drainage Maps section of this report. Offsite and onsite flows on Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 are described as follows;

## Historic Basin Descriptions

Basin OS-5, 46.1 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=35 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=79.0 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of offsite existing Paint Brush Hills Filing No.3. Filing No. 3 is a single family residential development with the average lot size of 3.5 acres. The percent impervious is approximately $11 \%$. The west half of Basin OS-5, runoff enters the site as sheet flow. The east half of Basin OS-5, runoff is concentrated and enters the site via a natural swale.

Basin H-1, 92.3 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=42.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=108.0 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of undeveloped Paint Brush Hills Filing No.14. The terrain generally slopes from north to southwest at grade rates that vary between $1.0 \%$ and $4.0 \%$. Existing natural drainage swales route flows to an existing detention facility (Pond C). Historic cumulative flows, from Basin OS-5 and Basin $\mathbf{H}-1$ are $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=68.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=169.0$ cfs. Runoff is released via an existing $48^{\prime \prime}$ RCP pipe to an existing swale.

Basin H-5,55.6 acres, ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=32.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=80.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ ), consists of undeveloped Paint Brush Hills Filing No.13E. The terrain generally slopes from north to southwest at grade rates that vary between $1.0 \%$ and $4.0 \%$. Existing natural drainage swales route flows to the south end of the basin. Approximately 6.0 acres of undeveloped Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 is located at the northeast corner of Basin H-5. Historic flows, from Basin $\mathbf{H}-5$ are $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=32.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=80.0 \mathrm{cfs}$. Runoff is released via a pair of existing 36 " RCP culverts located under existing Londonderry Drive and outfall to an existing swale.

## PROPOSED DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS

## General Concept Drainage Discussion

The following is a description of the offsite and onsite basins, offsite flows and the overall proposed drainage characteristics for the development of Paint Brush Hill Filing No. 14. These calculations have been provided to show that what is proposed will be adequate to convey flows when development occurs. Offsite Basin ***OS-5 has been divided into 3 sub-basins as they pertain to the onsite proposed drainage characteristics. The following Basin description, Design Points (DP) and Pipe Runs (PR) were determined using the Rational Method since each individual basin is less than 100 acres and the combined acreage at any DP are also less than 130 acres. See drainage map in appendix for proposed conditions. This method offers a conservative approach to sizing swales and storm drains. Development of this site will not adversely affect the surrounding development and is compliance with the M.D.D.P. approved for this site.

The * before a basin, design point and pipe run callout denotes previously studied in the "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E (FDRPBH-13E)", prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, submitted on September 2018. The ** before a basin callout denotes a revision to FDRPBH13E. The ${ }^{* * *}$ before a basin callout denotes previously studied in the "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills-Phase 2 (Filing No. 13,(FDRPBH-PH2-13))", prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, submitted on rev. June 2008, specifically Basin ${ }^{* * *}$ OS-5. The \# before a pipe run callout denotes, to be constructed or are existing with the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 13E Street and Storm

Sewer plans but the flows (slightly higher) have been adjusted by this report the Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14" prepared by MS Civil Consultants, dated December 2020.

## Detailed Drainage Discussion

## Basins Tributary to Detention Pond C

Basin OS5C, 29.0 acres, ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=25.5 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=57.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ ), consist of existing developed 3.5-acre properties and streets. Runoff produced by the offsite area, are routed via existing roadside swales to a larger natural swale which carries flows south towards the north boundary of the subject site.

Basin A, 3.82 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=10.7 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of a proposed single family residential lots and proposed 25 ' wide trail easement/Tract A. Developed flows within Basin A and offsite Basin OS5C are routed as surface runoff via an existing swale, in a 75 ' drainage easement, to $\mathbf{D P 3}$ ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=27.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=65.3$ cfs). Surface runoff at DP3 will be collected and conveyed via a 36" RCP FES and 36" RCP pipe (PR2) to DP4. The existing swale shall be natural, except for the lower portion where it will be graded to the 36 " RCP FES. This portion of the swale shall be maintained by the Paint Brush Hills Metropolitan District (see SC 150 Turf Reinforcement Mat in appendix). In the event of clogging, flows at DP3 will over top the embankment and shall be conveyed via curb and gutter to DP4.

Basin J, 3.9 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=10.4 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Surface runoff is routed via curb and gutter to DP4 which will be collected by a proposed 10 ' Type R sump inlet. The intercepted flow ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=10.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) will be routed west via an 18 " RCP pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 3}, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=10.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) to $\mathbf{P R 5}$ ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=31.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=75.9 \mathrm{cfs}$ ), a $48 "$ RCP. In the event of clogging, flows at DP4 will over top the high point and be routed via curb and gutter to DP10.

Basin K, 0.8 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.1 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=2.7 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Surface runoff is routed via curb and gutter to DP5 which will be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet. The intercepted flow ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.1 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=2.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) will be routed west via an 18 " RCP pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 4} 4, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.1 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=2.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) to $\operatorname{PR5}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=31.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=75.5 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, a 48 " RCP. In the event of clogging, flows at DP5 will over top the high point and be routed via curb and gutter to DP10.

Basin OS5B, 13.4 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=4.6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=25.8 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consist of existing developed 3.5-acre properties and streets. Runoff produced by the offsite area, will sheet flow into Basin D.

Basin D, 5.2 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.8 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=14.0 \mathrm{cfs}\right.$ ), consists of a proposed single family residential lots. Cumulative developed flows within Basin D and offsite Basin OS5B are routed via curb and gutter and side lot swales to DP6.

Basin E, 0.5 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=4.1 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of a proposed local residential street. Surface runoff from Basin E will combine with flows from Basin OS5B and Basin D and will be routed via curb and gutter to DP6 which will be collected by a proposed $15^{\prime}$ Type R sump inlet. The cumulative flow from DP6 and DP7 at DP8 is $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=10.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=44.4$. The 100 -year flow will be split between the two inlets. The intercepted flow at DP6 $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=9.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=22.2\right)$ will be routed west via a 24 " RCP pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 7},_{5}=9.2$ $\mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=22.2 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) to PR9. In the event of clogging, flows at DP6 will over top the high point in Country Manor Drive and be routed to DP12.

Basin F, 1.6 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=5.4 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Surface runoff is routed via curb and gutter to DP7 which will be
collected by a proposed 15 ' Type R sump inlet. The cumulative flow from DP6 and DP7 at DP8 is $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=10.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=44.4$. The 100 -year flow will be split between the inlets. The intercepted flow at DP7 $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=22.2\right.$ ) will be routed west via a $24 " \mathrm{RCP}$ pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 8}, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=22.2 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) to PR9. In the event of clogging, flows at DP7 will over top the high point in Country Manor Drive and be routed to DP12.

Basin G, 12.2 acres, ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=14.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=34.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ ), consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Surface runoff from Basin G is routed via curb and gutter to DP9 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=14.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=34.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) which a portion of the flow will be collected by proposed dual 15 ' Type R atgrade inlets. The intercepted flow ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=7.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.7$ cfs per side) will be routed south via (2) 24 " RCP pipes ( $\mathbf{P R 1 0}, \mathbf{P R 1 1}, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=7.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ per side) and will combine with PR9 in PR12 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=53.7 \mathrm{cfs}$, $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=142.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). In the event of clogging, flows at DP9 will be routed via curb and gutter to DP15.

Basin I, 12.7 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=14.5 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=36.2 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Surface runoff from Basin I is routed via curb and gutter to DP10 which a portion of the flows will be collected by proposed dual $15^{\prime}$ Type R at-grade inlets. The intercepted flow $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=7.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=14.0 \mathrm{cfs}\right.$ per side) will be routed west via a 18 " RCP pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 1 3}, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=7.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=14.0$ cfs) to PR14. Cumulative flows in the proposed 30 " RCP pipe (PR14, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=14.6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=27.9 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) will be routed south to an existing $30^{\prime \prime}$ RCP pipe $\mathbf{P R \# 3 8}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=14.6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=27.9 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. In the event of clogging, flows at DP10 will be routed via curb and gutter to DP11. PR\#38 is to be constructed as part of the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 13E infrastructure, which is to precede construction of the subject filing.

Basin L, 3.4 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.8 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=9.5 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Flowby from DP10 and surface runoff from Basin $\mathbf{L}$ will be routed via curb and gutter to DP11 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=17.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) which a portion of the flows will be collected by an existing 15' Type R at-grade inlet. The intercepted flow will be routed east via a 24 " RCP pipe (PR\#15, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=3.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.5 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) and then south to an existing 30" RCP pipe (PR\#16, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=17.4 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=39.7$ cfs). In the event of clogging, flows at DP11 will be routed via curb and gutter to DP15. Pipe's PR\#15 and PR\#16 are to be constructed as part of the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 13E infrastructure.

Basin *TT, 5.1 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=5.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.0 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Basin *TT is to be constructed with Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 13E, however surface runoff is to be captured and routed to Pond C. Surface runoff is routed via curb and gutter to $\mathbf{D P} * 37$ ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=5.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) which will be collected by an existing 15 ' Type R at-grade inlet. The intercepted flow will be routed west via an existing 24 " RCP pipe ( $\mathbf{P R \# 3 9}, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=5.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). The combined flows from PR\#16 and PR\#39 will be routed west to a existing 36" RCP pipe (PR\#17, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=22.8$ $\mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=51.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). In the event of clogging, flows at $\mathbf{D P} * 37$ will be routed via curb and gutter into the existing Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 12 subdivision. The combined flowby from DP*37 and flow from Basin * $\mathbf{U U}$ is $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.4 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=3.2 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$ and will be discussed in the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 13E report. Pipe Run PR\#16 and PR\#39 to be constructed as part of the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 13E infrastructure.

Basin H, 10.8 acres, ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=11.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=29.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ ), consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Surface runoff is routed via curb and gutter to DP12 which will be collected by proposed dual $15^{\prime}$ Type R at-grade inlets. The intercepted flow ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=6.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=12.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ per side) will be routed east and west via a (2) 18" RCP pipes (PR18-18.1, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=6.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=12.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) and then south to a proposed 30 " RCP pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 1 9},\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=11.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=24.8 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. The combined flows from PR17 and PR19 will be routed west to a proposed 42 " RCP pipe (PR20, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=34.4 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=75.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). The combined flows from PR12 and PR20 will be routed west to a proposed 54" RCP pipe (PR21, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=86.6$ $\mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=214.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). In the event of clogging, flows at DP12 will be routed via curb and gutter to DP15.

Basin M, 2.53 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=7.8 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Flowby from DP9, DP11, DP12 and surface runoff from Basin M will be routed via curb and gutter to DP13 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.1 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=21.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). See Basin C for discussion of intercepted flow.

Basin OS5A, 3.7 acres, ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.5 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=8.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ ), consist of existing developed 3.5-acre properties and streets. Runoff produced by the offsite area, will sheet flow onto Basin $\mathbf{C}$ which will be routed via side lot swales and curb and gutter to DP14.

Basin C, 11.8 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=9.2 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=28.6 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of proposed single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. Surface runoff is routed via curb and gutter to DP14 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=10.3 \mathrm{cfs}$, $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=34.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). The combined flows from DP13 and DP14 will be captured by proposed dual 20' Type R sump inlets at DP15 ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{5}=12.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=55.4 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. The intercepted flow will be routed south via a $30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{RCP}$ pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 2 2}, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=6.1 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=27.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ per side ) and then south to a proposed 36 " RCP pipe (PR23, ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=12.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=55.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). The combined flows from PR21 and PR23 will be routed south to a proposed 60 " RCP pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 2 4}, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=98.8 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=269.2 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) which will ultimately outfall into a proposed concrete lined forebay in Pond C.

Basin B, 8.31 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=5.6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=20.8 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of the backyards of proposed single family residential lots. Minimal improvements to the backyards will be implemented and shall have split rail fences only along the rear and side lots lines. Surface runoff will be collected by a 2' wide swale (see Table $10-4$ in appendix), within a $20^{\prime} / 30^{\prime}$ easement, to DP16 a CDOT type C inlet. The intercepted flow will be routed east via a 30 " RCP pipe ( $\mathbf{P R 2 5}, \mathrm{Q}_{5}=5.6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=20.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). The cumulative flows from PR24 and PR25 will combine and be routed south to a proposed 66" RCP pipe (PR26, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=103.6 \mathrm{cfs}$, $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=287.2 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) which will outfall into a proposed concrete lined forebay in Pond C.

Basin N, 8.94 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=6.2 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=23.0 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of backyards of proposed single family residential lots, backyards of existing residential lots from Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 12 and existing Pond C. The combined surface runoff and PR26 will be collected at DP17 (existing Pond C, $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=108.8 \mathrm{cfs}$, $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=306.5 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). The existing Pond C will require modifications in order to function as an Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin (EDB). These modifications will be addressed in the Street and Storm Sewer Construction drawings for Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14. The proposed Detention Pond C functions to provide full spectrum detention and water quality for runoff calculated onsite and offsite flows. The pond is designed to treat approx 137.6 acres, and provide $1.839 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ of WQCV storage, $4.673 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ of EURV and $11.583 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft}$ of 100 -year storage. The forebay, trickle channel micropool, outlet structure and pipe have been designed per the UDFCD manual using the MHFD Detention v4.03 workbook. The detention pond will be private and shall be maintained by the Paint Brush Hills Metropolitan District. Access shall be granted to the owner and El Paso County for maintenance of the private detention pond. A private maintenance agreement document shall accompany the submittal. In the event of clogging of the outlet structure, flows at DP17 will over top the emergency spillway and outfall onto an existing swale, as it previously was designed. Per the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 12 Construction Plans, an existing 20' x 20’ rip rap pad ( $\mathrm{D}_{50}=18^{\prime \prime}$ ) has been constructed and is in general conformance with the present release rate. The existing riprap pad will dissipate energy and prevent local scour at the outlet. The peak release rate from Pond C (\#PR27, Q5=22.6 cfs and Q100=92.8cfs ~an existing 48" RCP) outfalls into an existing swale. The flows exiting the site are less than the flows as stated in the MDDP of Q5=22 cfs and Q100 $=161 \mathrm{cfs}$. The proposed discharge from the subject site will not adversely affect the downstream infrastructure or affect water quality.

## Basin Tributary to Adjacent Property to the West

Basin B1, 0.92 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=0.6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=2.4 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of portions of two backyards of proposed single family residential lots which will have minimal to no impervious surfaces and an upstream natural swale.

Roof drains from the residential structures shall be routed to drain to Keynes Drive. Surface runoff will sheet flow west and shall follow historic drainage patterns (swale to remain natural) to the adjacent property. Flows will not adversely affect the downstream infrastructure. Basin B1 comprises only $1 \%$ of the development site and is less than one acre. Per ECM I.7.1.C.1.a., the County may exclude this acreage if applicable. The upstream off-site drainage area was not part of the FDRPBH-PH2-13 study and will be routed through the two backyards as a natural undisturbed swale.

## Basins Tributary to Adjacent Detention Pond D

As previously mentioned in the Purpose section of this report, approximately 5.99 acres of Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 runoff will be tributary to Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E (Pond D). The Basin description will show that the changes in drainage patterns will not adversely affect downstream infrastructure. Basin **OS1 was initially part of Basin **SS and Basin *OO in the "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing NO. 13E (FDRPBH-13E)". Due to site layout and grading Basin **OS1 was created and accounted for this drainage report. Other than the basins describe below, the information provided (areas, C values, times of concentration, intensity) by the FDRPBH-13E report was used to quantify the flows in the proposed drainage spreadsheets for Design Point ${ }^{* 34 A},\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=36 \mathrm{cfs}\right.$, $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=155 \mathrm{cfs}$ ).

Basin **SS, 3.01 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.8 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=8.4 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of a planned single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. The developed flows within the Basin **SS are routed via curb and gutter to a planned 6' Type R sump inlet at $\mathbf{D P} * * 34\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.8 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=8.4 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. Due to changes in the grading and drainage patterns the acreage and surface runoff has been reduced from the FDRPBH-13E report ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=14.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=29.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). The combined flows from $\mathbf{D P} * * 33$ and $\mathbf{D P} * * 34\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=6.9 \mathrm{cfs}\right.$, $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=19.4 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) will be routed east, as planned in the FDRPBH-13E report, via a planned 24 " RCP pipe and outlet into Basin OO (within an overhead electric utility easement). See the FDRPBH-13E report and construction plans, by Classic Engineers and Surveyors for additional details.

Basin **OS1, 4.44 acres, $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=4.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.7 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, consists of a planned single family residential lots and proposed local residential streets. The developed flows within the Basin **OS1 are routed via curb and gutter to a planned 10' Type R sump inlet at DP1 ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{5}=4.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=13.7 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. Due to changes in the grading and drainage patterns the acreage and surface runoff has been increased but has been offset by acreage taken away from Basins **SS and *OO. The flows from DP1 will be routed east via a proposed 18 " RCP pipe (PR1)and outlet into Basin OO and an existing swale(within an overhead electric utility easement, see Table 10-4 in appendix). Caution will be taken working under the power lines and no amount of fill is anticipated as PR1 and outfall are installed. A rip rap apron will be constructed to dissipate energy and prevent local scour at the outlet. In the event of clogging or total inlet failure, flows at DP1 will over top the curb and gutter and outfall into overhead electric utility easement. See Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 Street and Storm Sewer construction plans, provided by M\&S Civil Consultants for details. The proposed discharge from the subject site will not adversely affect the downstream infrastructure or affect water quality.

## DETENTION POND PROVISIONS AND MAINTENANCE

Detention Pond C, has combined upstream developed runoff of Q5 $=108.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q} 100=306.5 \mathrm{cfs}$. The existing Pond C will require modifications in order to function as an Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin (EDB). The proposed Detention Pond functions to provide detention and water quality for runoff calculated onsite. These modifications will be addressed in the Street and Storm Sewer Construction drawings for Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14. The pond is designed to treat approx 137.6 acres, and provide 1.839 ac-ft of WQCV storage, 4.673 ac-ft of EURV and 11.583 ac -ft of 100-year storage. The forebay, trickle channel micropool, outlet structure and pipe have been designed per the UDFCD manual using the MHFD Detention v4.03 workbook. The detention pond will be private and shall be maintained
by the Paint Brush Hills Metropolitan District. Access shall be granted to the owner and El Paso County for maintenance of the private detention pond. A private maintenance agreement document shall accompany the submittal. In the event of clogging of the outlet structure, flows at DP17 will over top the emergency spillway and outfall onto an existing swale, as it previously was designed. Per the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 12 Construction Plans, an existing 20' x 20' rip rap pad ( $\mathrm{D} 50=18$ ") has been constructed and is in general conformance with the present release rate. The existing riprap pad will dissipate energy and prevent local scour at the outlet. The peak release rate from Pond C (\#PR27, Q5=22.6 cfs and Q100 $=92.8 \mathrm{cfs} \sim$ an existing $48^{\prime \prime}$ RCP) outfalls into an existing swale. The flows exiting the site are less than the flows as stated in the MDDP of Q5=22 cfs and Q100=161 cfs. Flows will not adversely affect the downstream infrastructure.

## EROSION CONTROL

It is the policy of the El Paso County that we submit a grading and erosion control plan with the drainage report. Proposed erosion control blanket, silt fence, vehicle traffic control, and concrete washout area are proposed as erosion control measures.

## CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION

Private Drainage Facilities NON-Reimbursable:

| Item | Description | Quantity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 18"RCP | 187 | LF |
| 2. | 24"RCP | 90 | LF |
| 3. | 30"RCP | 429 | LF |
| 4. | 36"RCP | 304 | LF |
| 5. | 42"RCP | 270 | LF |
| 6. | 48 "RCP | 2423 | LF |
| 7. | 54"RCP | 183 | LF |
| 8. | 60 "RCP | 163 | LF |
| 10. | 66 "RCP | 114 | LF |
| 11. | 18"FES | 1 | EA |
| 12. | 36"FES | 1 | EA |
| 13. | 66"END TREATEMENT | 1 | EA |
|  | HEADWALL/W ING WALLS |  |  |
| 14. | 5' TYPE R SUMP INLET | 1 | EA |
| 15. | 10' TYPE R SUMP INLET | 2 | EA |
| 16. | 15' TYPE R SUMP INLET | 2 | EA |
| 17. | 15'TYPE R AT GRADE INLET | 6 | EA |
| 18. | 20'TYPE R AT GRADE INLET | 2 | EA |
| 19. | 3'x3' CDOT TYPE C | 1 | EA |
| 20. | TYPE I MH | 13 | EA |
| 21. | EDB Pond | 1 | EA |
| 22. | Pond Outlet MOD TYPE D | 1 | EA |
| 23. | RIPRAP OUTFALL TYPE L | 27 | CY |
| 24. | RIPRAP SPILLWAY TYPE M | 384 | CY |

24. RIPRAP SPILLWAY TYPE M 384 CY

Quantity
90 LF
429 LF
304 LF
2423 LF
183 LF
163 LF
114 LF
1 EA
1 EA
EA

1 EA

| $\$ 4000$ | $/ \mathrm{EA}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 4700$ | $/ \mathrm{EA}$ |
| $\$ 6000$ | $/ \mathrm{EA}$ |
| $\$ 6000$ | $/ \mathrm{EA}$ |
| $\$ 8000$ | $/ \mathrm{EA}$ |
| $\$ 4000$ | $/ \mathrm{EA}$ |
| $\$ 6000$ | $/ \mathrm{EA}$ |
| $\$ 20,000$ | $/ \mathrm{EA}$ |
| $\$ 15,000$ | /EA |
| $\$ 50$ | /CY |
| $\$ 65$ | /CY |

Cost \$7,480.00
\$4,500.00
\$27,885.00
\$22,800.00
\$22,950.00
\$363,450.00
\$36,600.00
\$40,750.00
\$39,900.00
$\$ 245.00$
$\$ 775.00$
\$15,000.00
\$4,000.00
\$9,400.00
\$12,000.00
\$36,000.00
\$16,000.00
$\$ 4,000.00$
\$78,000.00
\$20,000.00
\$15,000.00
\$1,350.00
\$24,960.00
Total \$ \$803,505.00

## DRAINAGE \& BRIDGE FEES

Drainage and Bridge Fees for the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 site are as follows:
Falcon Drainage

| Acres |  | Imperviousness |  | Basin Fee |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 88.631 | x | $36.8 \%$ | x | $\$ 30,807.00$ |  | $=$ | $\$ 1,004,807.52$ |
| 88.631 | x | $36.8 \%$ | x | $\$ 4,232.00$ | $=$ | $\$ 138,031.79$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 4 2 , 8 3 9 . 3 1}$ |

M \&S Civil Consultants, Inc. (M \&S) cannot and does not guarantee the construction cost will not vary from these opinions of probable costs. These opinions represent our best judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry and this development in particular. The above is only an estimate of the facility cost and drainage basin fee amounts in 2020.

## SUMMARY

Development of the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 site shall not adversely affect adjacent or downstream properties per this final drainage report. The proposed drainage facilities will adequately convey, detain and route runoff from tributary onsite and existing offsite flows to the Chico Creek receiving waters. Full Spectrum Detention and Water Quality Pond will be used to discharge developed flows into the Chico Creek receiving waters per the Urban Drainage criteria flow rates, which are at or less than the historic flow. Care will be taken to accommodate overland emergency flow routes on site and temporary drainage conditions. The development of the Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14 project shall not adversely affect adjacent or downstream property. The proposed discharge from the subject site will not adversely affect the downstream infrastructure or affect water quality.

## REFERENCES

1.) "El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual".
2.) "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual"
3.) SCS Soils Map for El Paso County.
4.) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Federal Emergency Management Agency, Effective date March 17, 1997.
5.) "Master Development Drainage Plan for Falcon Hills Development" prepared by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, approved November 2002.
6.) "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hill Filing Nos. 10, $11 \& 12 "$ prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, rev. July 2003.
7.) "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills-Phase 2 (Filing No. 13)", prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, submitted on rev. June 2008.
8.) "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E", prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, submitted on September 2018.

APPENDIX

VICINITY MAP


SOILS MAP



| MAP LEGEND |  |  | MAP INFORMATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area of Interest (AOI) $\square$ <br> Area of Interest (AOI) | $\square$ $\square$ | C C/D | The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000. |
| Soil Rating Polygons | $\square$ | D | Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. |
| A | $\square$ | Not rated or not available | Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil |
| A/D | Water Fe | ures | line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of |
| ] | $\sim$ | Streams and Canals | contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. |
| B/D | Transportation |  |  |
|  | + |  | Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map |
| C | $\sim$ | Interstate Highways | measurements. |
| C/D | $\sim$ | US Routes | Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service |
| D | $\approx$ | Major Roads | Web Soil Survey URL: <br> Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) |
| . Not rated or not available | $\sim$ | Local Roads | Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator |
| Soil Rating Lines | Background |  | projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts |
| $\cdots \quad A / D$ | 5 | Aerial Photography | Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. |
| B |  |  | This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 15, Oct 10, 2017 |
| $\cdots$ C/D |  |  | Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales |
| * D |  |  | 1:50,000 or larger. |
| * Not rated or not available |  |  | Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 22, 2016-Mar |
| Soil Rating Points |  |  | 9,2017 |
| $\square \quad A$ |  |  | The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were |
| $\square \quad \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ |  |  | imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor |
| - B |  |  | shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. |
| - B/D |  |  |  |

Natural Resources

## Hydrologic Soil Group

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 71 | Pring coarse sandy <br> loam, 3 to 8 percent <br> slopes | B | 87.6 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  |  |  |  |

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition


#### Abstract

FIRM PANEL




HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

## PAINTBRUSH HILLS FILING NO. 14 FINAL DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

|  |  |  | IMPER | US | TREET | LANDS | D/UN | OPED |  | DEN |  |  | ED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASIN | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { AREA } \\ \text { (Sq Ft) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL <br> AREA <br> (Acres) | AREA <br> (Acres) | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ | AREA <br> (Acres) | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ | AREA <br> (Acres) | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ |
| **RR | 182952 | 4.20 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 4.20 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 |
| **SS | 131167 | 3.01 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 3.01 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 |
| **OS1 | 193584 | 4.44 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 4.44 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 |
| *OO | 1268037 | 29.11 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 29.11 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.41 |
| *TT | 219978 | 5.05 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 5.05 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.45 |
| *UU | 55321 | 1.27 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 1.27 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.45 |
| ***OS-5 | 2008124 | 46.10 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 46.10 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.40 |
| OS5A | 159430 | 3.66 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 3.66 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.37 |
| OS5B | 585306 | 13.44 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 13.44 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.37 |
| OS5C | 1263404 | 29.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 29.00 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.40 |
| A | 166371 | 3.82 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 3.82 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.44 |
| B | 361915 | 8.31 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 8.31 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.44 |
| B1 | 40214 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.92 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.16 | 0.41 |
| C | 514010 | 11.80 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 11.80 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 0.48 |
| D | 226401 | 5.20 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 5.20 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.44 |
| E | 21364 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.90 | 0.96 |
| $F$ | 70330 | 1.61 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 1.61 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 |
| G | 531342 | 12.20 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 12.20 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.52 |
| H | 469586 | 10.78 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 10.78 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.52 |
| I | 554956 | 12.74 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 12.74 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.52 |
| $J$ | 169859 | 3.90 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 3.90 | 0.22 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.45 |
| $\boldsymbol{K}$ | 32632 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.75 | 0.36 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 0.54 |
| $L$ | 146850 | 3.37 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 3.37 | 0.36 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 0.54 |
| M | 110207 | 2.53 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 2.53 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.27 | 0.48 |
| $N$ | 389341 | 8.94 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 3.19 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 5.75 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.20 | 0.44 |
| * Values taken from "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E" (*FDRPBH-13E) prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, dated Sept 2018 <br> ** Revised from "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E" (**PDRPBH13E) prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, dated Sept 2018 *** "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills-Phase 2 (Filing 13)" (FDRPBH-PH2-13) prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, revised June 2008 <br> Calculated by: GT <br> Date: $3 / 12 / 2021$ Checked by: VAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PAINTBRUSH HILLS FILING NO. 14
FINAL DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
(Area Drainage Summary)

| From Area Rumoff Coeficicien Summary |  |  |  | OVERLAND |  |  |  | STREET / CHANNEL FLOW |  |  |  | Time of Travel |  | INTENSITY * |  | total flows |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| basin | AREA total (Acres) | ${ }_{\text {From }}^{\text {Coc }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{100}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | Length <br> (fi) | Height <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \\ (m i n)) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (f i) \end{gathered}$ | Slope <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Velocity } \\ (f p s) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}} \\ (\text { min }) \end{gathered}$ | total <br> (min) | снеск <br> (min) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{I}_{5} \\ (i n / h r) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \mathbf{I}_{100} \\ (i n / h r) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} Q_{5} \\ \text { (c.f.s.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{Q}_{100} \\ (\text { (c.f.s.) }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Proposed Area Drainage Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **RR | 4.20 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.0 | 17.0 |
| **SS | 3.01 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 170 | 3.4 | 16.5 | 800 | 3.9\% | 6.9 | 1.9 | 18.4 | 15.4 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 8.4 |
| **OS1 | 4.44 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 100 | 5 | 8.5 | 616 | 1.0\% | 2.0 | 5.1 | 13.6 | 14.0 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 13.7 |
| *OO | 29.11 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.0 | 51.0 |
| *TT | 5.05 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 180 | 3.6 | 17.0 | 150 | 1.5\% | 4.3 | 0.6 | 17.6 | 11.8 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 13.0 |
| *UU | 1.27 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 180 | 3.6 | 17.0 | 475 | 2.5\% | 5.5 | 1.4 | 18.4 | 13.6 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 3.2 |
| ***OS-5 | 46.10 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.0 | 32.0 |
| OS5A | 3.66 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 100 | 2 | 14.2 | 527 | 1.5\% | 1.8 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 13.5 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 8.4 |
| OSSB | 13.44 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 100 | 2 | 14.2 | 1684 | 1.5\% | 1.8 | 15.3 | 29.5 | 19.9 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 25.8 |
| OSSC | 29.00 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 100 | 2 | 11.5 | 2110 | 1.0\% | 2.0 | 17.6 | 29.1 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 25.5 | 57.0 |
| A | 3.82 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 100 | 4 | 10.3 | 373 | 3.2\% | 2.7 | 2.3 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 10.7 |
| B | 8.31 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 100 | 3 | 11.3 | 1063 | 3.2\% | 2.7 | 6.6 | 17.9 | 16.5 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 20.8 |
| B1 | 0.92 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.16 | 100 | 3 | 11.8 | 265 | 2.6\% | 3.2 | 1.4 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 3.9 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 2.4 |
| C | 11.80 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 100 | 3 | 10.6 | 2030 | 2.6\% | 3.2 | 10.6 | 21.1 | 21.8 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 9.2 | 28.6 |
| D | 5.20 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 100 | 4 | 10.3 | 593 | 2.0\% | 2.1 | 4.7 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 3.6 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 14.0 |
| E | 0.49 | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 10 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 471 | 2.0\% | 2.8 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 12.7 | 5.2 | 8.7 | 2.3 | 4.1 |
| $F$ | 1.61 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 60 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 362 | 2.0\% | 2.8 | 2.1 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 5.4 |
| G | 12.20 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 100 | 2 | 10.8 | 1381 | 2.8\% | 3.3 | 6.9 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 14.0 | 34.8 |
| H | 10.78 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 100 | 2 | 10.8 | 1543 | 2.1\% | 2.9 | 8.9 | 19.6 | 19.1 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 29.7 |
| I | 12.70 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 100 | 2 | 10.8 | 1309 | 2.1\% | 2.9 | 7.5 | 18.3 | 17.8 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 14.5 | 36.2 |
| $J$ | 3.90 | 0.22 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 100 | 2 | 12.6 | 799 | 1.9\% | 2.7 | 4.9 | 17.5 | 15.0 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 10.4 |
| $K$ | 0.75 | 0.36 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 72 | 1.4 | 9.1 | 277 | 1.6\% | 2.5 | 1.8 | 10.9 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 2.7 |
| $L$ | 3.37 | 0.36 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 75 | 1.5 | 9.2 | 1802 | 2.1\% | 2.9 | 10.4 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 9.5 |
| M | 2.53 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.27 | 100 | 2 | 11.9 | 318 | 2.1\% | 2.9 | 1.8 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 3.8 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 7.8 |
| $N$ | 8.94 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 100 | 2 | 12.9 | 902 | 3.2\% | 3.6 | 4.2 | 17.1 | 15.6 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 23.0 |
| *Values taken from "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E" (*FDRPBH13E) prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, dated Sept 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Revised from "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E" (**PDRPBH13E) prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, dated Sept 2018 <br> Date: 3/12/2021 <br> *** "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills-Phase 2 (Filing 13)" (FDRPBH-PH2-13) prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, revised June 2008 $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PAINTBRUSH HILLS FILING NO. 14

## FINAL DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

(Basin Routing Summary)

| From Area Rumof Coofficien S Summay |  |  |  | overland |  |  |  | PIPE / CHANNEL FLOW |  |  |  | Time of Travel ( $T_{t}$ ) | INTENSITY * |  | Total flows |  | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| design point | contributing basins | $\mathrm{CA}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CA}_{\text {too }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Length } \\ (f i t \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Height } \\ (f t) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \\ \text { (min) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \text { (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Slope } \\ & \left(\varphi_{0}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Velocity } \\ (f p s) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ (\text { min }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ToTAL } \\ \hline \text { (min) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \\ \text { (in } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Q}_{100}^{(c, f .)} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASIN ROUTING SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | **OS1 | ${ }^{1.33}$ | ${ }^{2.22}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{13.6}$ | 3.7 | ${ }^{6.2}$ | 4.9 | 13.7 | PROP 10' SUMP TYPE R INLET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REv **PDRPbHi3E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/18" RCP |
| **33 | **RR | ${ }^{1.26}$ | 2.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.9 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 17.0 | *IO'SUMP TYPE R INLET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *PDRPBHI3E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *W/24 ${ }^{\text {RCP }}$ |
| **34 | **SS | 0.90 | ${ }^{1.51}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.4 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 8.4 | *s'SUMP TYPE R INLET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *PDRPBHI3E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *W/24" RCP |
| *34A | INFLOW POND D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.0 | 155.0 | INFLOW PoND D |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | A | 0.76 | 1.68 |  |  |  |  | 358 | 1.7\% | 1.9 | ${ }^{3.1}$ | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 27.7 | 65.3 | PROP 36" RCP FES |
|  | OssC | 8.70 | 11.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9.47 | 13.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | J | 0.86 | 1.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 10.4 | PROP 10 SUMP TYPE R INLET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/18" RCP |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | K | 0.27 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.9 | 4.0 | ${ }^{6.7}$ | 1.1 | 2.7 | PROP s' SUMP TYPE R INLET $^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | w/18" RCP |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | OssB | ${ }^{1.48}$ | 4.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.9 | ${ }^{3.1}$ | 5.2 | 9.2 | 40.2 | PROP I'S'SUMP TYPE R INLET |
|  | D | 1.04 | 2.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/24" RCP |
|  | E | 0.44 | 0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.96 | 7.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F | 0.48 | ${ }^{0.81}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{11.0}$ | 4.0 | ${ }^{6.7}$ | 1.9 | 5.4 | PROP IS' SUMP TYPE R INLET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/24 ${ }^{\text {RCP }}$ |
| 8 | DP 6 \& 7 | 3.44 | 8.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.9 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 10.7 | 44.4 | PROP DUAL I'S SUMP TYPE R INLET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100-Year |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.2 | FLows Split @ DP8 |
| 9 | G | 4.27 | ${ }^{6.34}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.7 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 14.0 | 34.8 | PRop dual 15'AT-GRade type r inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/24" RCPS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FLows Split @ DP9 |
| 10 | I | 4.45 | 6.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.8 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 14.5 | 36.2 | Prop dual 15'AT-Grade type r inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/18" RCP \& $300^{\prime \prime}$ RCP |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FLOWS SPLIT @ DP10 |
| 11 | L | 1.21 | ${ }^{1.82}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 17.0 | EX. 15 'AT-GRADE TYPE R INLET |
|  | Flowby DP10 | 0.00 | 1.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/24" RCP |
|  |  | 1.21 | 3.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *37 | *TT | 1.77 | 2.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.8 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 13.0 | EX. 15'AT-GRADE TYPE R inlet |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/24" RCP |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FLows SPLIT @ DP12 |
| 12 | H | 3.77 | 5.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{19.1}$ | 3.2 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 29.7 | PRop dual 15'AT-GRADE TYPE R INLET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/18" RCP \& 30" RCP |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FLows SPLIT @ DPI2 |
| 13 | M | 0.68 | 1.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 21.3 | SEE dP15 For cummulative flow |
|  | FLOWBY DP9 | 0.00 | 1.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FLOWBY DP12 | 0.00 | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FLOWBY DP11 | 0.00 | 0.68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.68 | 4.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | C | 3.07 | 5.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{21.8}$ | ${ }^{3.0}$ | 5.0 | 10.3 | 34.8 | SEE dPIS For Cummulative flow |
|  | OS5A | 0.40 | 1.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.47 | 7.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | DP13 | 0.68 | 4.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.8 | ${ }^{3.0}$ | 5.0 | 12.3 | 55.4 | PROP DUAL 20 ' SUMP TYPE R INLET |
|  | DP14 | 3.47 | 7.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W/30"\& $366^{\text {R }}$ CP |
|  |  | 4.15 | 11.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FLows SPLIT @ DP15 |
| 16 | B | 1.66 | 3.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.5 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 20.8 | PROP CDOT TYPE CINLET |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | N | 1.78 | 3.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{22.3}$ | 2.9 | 4.9 | 108.8 | 306.5 | EX. Pond C |
|  | PR26 | 35.44 | 58.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 37.22 | 62.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Calculated by: GT |  |  |  |  |
| ** Revised from "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E" (**PDRPBHI3E) prepared Dy Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, dated Sept 2018 <br> *** "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills-Phase 2 (Filing 13)" (FDRPBH-PH2-13) prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, revised June 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cked by: |  |  |  |

## PAINTBRUSH HILLS FILING NO. 14 <br> FINAL DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

(Storm Sewer Routing Summary)

|  | Contributing Pipes/Design Points | Equivalent $\mathrm{CA}_{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Equivalent } \\ C_{100} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maximum } \\ T_{C} \end{gathered}$ | Intensity* |  | Flow |  | PIPE SIZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PIPE } \\ & \text { RUN } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $I_{5}$ | $I_{100}$ | $Q_{5}$ | $Q_{100}$ |  |
| *36 | PDRPBH-13E DP33 | 1.26 | 2.10 | 14.9 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 12.4 | *24" RCP |
| *37 | DP**34, DP*33 | 2.16 | 3.61 | 18.4 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 19.4 | *30" RCP |
| 1 | DP1 | 1.33 | 2.22 | 13.6 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 13.7 | 18 " RCP |
| 2 | DP3 | 9.47 | 13.28 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 27.7 | 65.3 | 36 " RCP |
| 3 | DP4 | 0.86 | 1.75 | 15.0 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 10.4 | 18" RCP |
| 4 | DP5 | 0.27 | 0.40 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 18" RCP |
| 5 | PR2, PR3, PR4 | 10.59 | 15.44 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 31.0 | 75.9 | 48" RCP |
| 7 | DP6 | 2.96 | 4.27 | 19.9 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 22.2 | 24 " RCP |
| 8 | DP7 | 0.48 | 4.27 | 19.9 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 22.2 | 24 " RCP |
| 9 | PR5, PR7, PR8 | 14.04 | 23.97 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 41.0 | 117.7 | 48" RCP |
| 10 | 1/2 DP9 CAPTURE | 2.17 | 2.52 | 17.7 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 13.9 | 24 " RCP |
| 11 | 1/2 DP9 CAPTURE | 2.17 | 2.52 | 17.7 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 13.9 | 24 " RCP |
| 12 | PR9, PR10, PR11 | 18.38 | 29.02 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 53.7 | 142.4 | 48" RCP |
| 13 | 1/2 DP 10 CAPTURE | 2.24 | 2.55 | 17.8 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 14.0 | 18" RCP |
| 14 | 1/2 DP 10 CAPTURE, PR13 | 4.48 | 5.10 | 17.8 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 14.6 | 27.9 | $30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{RCP}$ |
| \#38 | PR14 | 4.48 | 5.10 | 17.8 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 14.6 | 27.9 | *30" RCP |
| \#15 | DP 11 CAPTURE | 1.22 | 2.63 | 20.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 13.5 | *24" RCP |
| \#16 | \#PR38, \#PR15 | 5.70 | 7.73 | 20.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 17.4 | 39.7 | *30" RCP |
| \#39 | DP*37 | 1.77 | 2.27 | 11.8 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 13.0 | *24" RCP |
| \#17 | PR\#16, PR\#39 | 7.47 | 10.00 | 20.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 22.8 | 51.3 | *36" RCP |
| 18 | 1/2 DP12 | 1.89 | 2.34 | 19.1 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 12.4 | 18" RCP |
| 18.1 | 1/2 DP12 | 1.89 | 2.34 | 19.1 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 12.4 | 18" RCP |
| 19 | PR18, PR18.1 | 3.78 | 4.68 | 19.1 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 24.8 | 30 " RCP |
| 20 | PR\#17, PR19 | 11.25 | 14.68 | 20.4 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 34.4 | 75.3 | 42" RCP |
| 21 | PR12, PR20 | 29.63 | 43.70 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 86.6 | 214.4 | 54 " RCP |
| 22 | 1/2 DP15 | 2.08 | 5.58 | 21.8 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 27.7 | 30 " RCP |
| 23 | 1/2 DP15, PR22 | 4.15 | 11.16 | 21.8 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 12.3 | 55.4 | $36 " \mathrm{RCP}$ |
| 24 | PR21, PR23 | 33.78 | 54.86 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 98.8 | 269.2 | 60" RCP |
| 25 | B | 1.66 | 3.66 | 16.5 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 20.8 | 30 " RCP |
| 26 | PR24, PR25 | 35.44 | 58.52 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 103.6 | 287.2 | 66" RCP |
| \#27 | DP 17 | POND C OUTFALL RESTRICTED FLOW FROM MHFD SHT |  |  |  |  | 22.6 | 92.8 | EX 48" RCP |
| * Values taken from "Final Drainage Report for Paint Brush Hills Filing 13E" (*FDRPBH13E) <br> prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, dated September 2018 <br> \# Values adjusted from FDR PBH 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP - Design Point <br> EX - Existing Design Point <br> FB- Flow By from Design Point <br> INT- Intercepted Flow from Design Point |  |  |  |  | Checked by: VAS |  |  |  |  |

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS / EDB WQCV CALCULATIONS





## INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Project $=$
lnlet ID =
$\qquad$

| Deslan information (input) |  | MINOR | MANOR | inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Inlet | \|nlet Type $=$ | CDOT Type R Curb Opening |  |  |
| Local Depression (additional to contlnuous gutter depression 'a' from 'O-Allow') | $a_{\text {bcal }}=$ | 3.00 | 3.00 |  |
| Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening) | $\mathrm{No}=$ | 2.0 | 2 |  |
| Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression) | Ponding Depth $=$ | 5.6 | 7.9 |  |
| Grate Information |  | MINOR | MAJOR | 8) Overkle Depths |
| Length of a Unit Grate | $L_{0}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N/A | feat |
| Width of a Unit Grate | $\mathrm{W}_{0}=$ | N/A | $N^{\prime} A$ | feet |
| Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typlcal values 0.15-0.90) | $A_{\text {maio }}=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50-0.70) | $C_{C}(G)=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Grate Weir Coefficient (typlcal value 2.15-3.60) | $C_{w}(G)=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value $0.60-0.80$ ) | $C_{0}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N ${ }^{\prime}$ A |  |
| Curb Opening Information |  | MINOR | MANOR |  |
| Length of a Unit Curb Opening | $L_{0}(C)=$ | 5.00 | 500 | feet |
| Helght of Vertical Cutb Opening in Inches | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {ent }}=$ | 6.00 | c.00 | inches |
| Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {lromit }}=$ | 6.00 | 6.00 | Inches |
| Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5) | Theta $=$ | 63.40 | 6.40 | degrees |
| Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feel) | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 2.00 | 2.00 | feet |
| Clogging Factor fcr a Single Curb Opening (typlcal value 0.10 ) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |
| Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7) | $\mathrm{C}_{w}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 3.60 | 3.60 |  |
| Curb Opening Orfice Coefficient (typical value 0.60-0.70) | $C_{0}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 0.67 | 0.67 |  |
|  |  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| Total Inlet Interceptlon Capacity (assumes clogged condition) | $\mathbf{Q a m}^{\mathbf{m}}$ | 8.7 | 18.0 | cff |
| Inlet Capaclity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (\%Q PEAK) | $Q_{\text {peakrequrid }}=$ | 4.9 | 13.7 | cfs |




Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue colla)
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
Gutter Width
Street Transverse Siope
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or $0.083 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{tt}$ )
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {BACK }}$ | $=8.0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ft |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{BACK}}$ | $=0.020$ |
| $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{ACK}}=0.020$ |  |



| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cura }}=$ | 6.00 | inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {CROWN }}=$ | 17.0 | ft |
| W $=$ | 2.00 | ft |
| $S_{\text {x }}=$ | 0.020 | ftuft |
| $S_{\text {w }}=$ | 0.083 | fifft |
| $\mathrm{S}_{0}=$ | 0.000 | fift |
| Mstreet $=$ | 0.020 |  |

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor \& Major Storm
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Fiowline for Minor \& Major Storm
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no)


Maximum Capacity for $1 / 2$ Street based On Allowable Spread
Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2)
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2")
Gutter Depression ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}-\left(\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{*} 12\right)$ )
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{X}}$
Discharge within the Gutter Section $W\left(Q_{T}-Q_{x}\right)$
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns)
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
$V^{\star}$ d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowilne Depth

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=$ | 4.08 | 4.08 | inches |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 2.0 | 2.0 | inches |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ | 1.51 | 1.51 | inches |
| $\mathrm{d}=$ | 5.59 | 5.59 | inches |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 15.0 | 15.0 | ft |
| $E_{0}=$ | 0.350 | 0.350 |  |
| $Q_{x}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cts |
| $Q_{w}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cts |
| $Q_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $Q_{T}=$ | SUMP | SUMP | cfs |
| $V=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | fos |
| $V^{*} d^{\prime}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

Maximum Capaclty for $1 / 2$ Street based on Allowable Depth
Theoretical Water Spread
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W) Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7) Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{X} \text { TH }}$ Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance $T_{\text {crown }}$ ) Discharge within the Gutter Section $W\left(Q_{d}-Q_{x}\right)$
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns)
Total Discharge for Major \& Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major \& Minor ( $\mathrm{d} \geq 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) Storm
Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {TH }}=$ | 17.0 | 26.6 | ft |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {XTH }}=$ | 15.0 | 24.6 | At |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}=$ | 0.349 | 0.220 |  |
| $Q_{x \text { TH }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $Q_{x}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cts |
| $Q_{w}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| Q = | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $V=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | fps |
| $\mathrm{V}^{+} \mathrm{d}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ | SUMP | SUMP |  |
| $Q_{d}=$ | SUMP | SUMP | cfs |
| $\mathrm{d}=$ |  |  | inches |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {crown }}=$ |  |  | inches |

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion
Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet ' $Q$-Peak'


Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet ' $Q$-Peak'

## INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Project $=$ $\qquad$





Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )


Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
Gutter Width
Street Transverse Slope
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or $0.083 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ )
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor \& Major Storm
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor \& Major Storm
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no)

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}=$ | 17.0 | 17.0 | ft |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {MAX }}=$ | 5.6 | 7.9 | inches |
|  | $\square$ | $\checkmark$ | check = yes |

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2)
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2")
Gutter Depression ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{C}}$ - (W * $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ * 12))
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $T_{X}$ Discharge within the Gutter Section W $\left(Q_{T}-Q_{X}\right)$
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns)
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
V*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm | inches inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=$ | 4.08 | 4.08 |  |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ | 1.51 | 1.51 | inches |
| d $=$ | 5.59 | 5.59 | inches |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 15.0 | 15.0 | ft |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}=$ | 0.350 | 0.350 |  |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $Q_{T}=$ | SUMP | SUMP | cfs |
| $V=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | fps |
| $\mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~d}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

## Maximum Capacity for $1 / 2$ Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{XTH}}$ Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance $\mathrm{T}_{\text {CROWN }}$ ) Discharge within the Gutter Section W $\left(Q_{d}-Q_{x}\right)$
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns)
Total Discharge for Major \& Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major \& Minor ( $\mathrm{d} \geq 6$ ") Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {TH }}=$ | 17.0 | 26.6 | ft |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {XTH }}=$ | 15.0 | 24.6 | ft |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}=$ | 0.349 | 0.220 |  |
| $Q_{x \text { TH }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| Q = | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{V}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | fps |
| $\mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~d}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ | SUMP | SUMP |  |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ | SUMP | SUMP | cfs |
| d $=$ |  |  | inches |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {CROWN }}=$ |  |  | inches |

## MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet 'Q-Peak'
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet 'Q-Peak'

## INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Project $=$ $\qquad$

## Design Information (Input)

## Type of Inlet

Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from 'Q-Allow')
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)
Grate Information
Length of a Unit Grate
Width of a Unit Grate
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50-0.70)
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15-3.60)
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60-0.80)
Curb Opening Information
Length of a Unit Curb Opening
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60-0.70)

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition) Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>Q PEAK)





## INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION






Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )


Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
Gutter Width
Street Transverse Slope
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or $0.083 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ )
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor \& Major Storm
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor \& Major Storm
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no)

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {MAX }}=$ | 17.0 | 17.0 | ft |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {MAX }}=$ | 5.6 | 7.9 | inches |
|  | $\square$ | v | check $=$ yes |

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2)
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2")
Gutter Depression ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{C}}$ - (W * $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ * 12))
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $T_{X}$ Discharge within the Gutter Section W $\left(Q_{T}-Q_{X}\right)$
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns)
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
V*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm | inches inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=$ | 4.08 | 4.08 |  |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ | 1.51 | 1.51 | inches |
| d $=$ | 5.59 | 5.59 | inches |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 15.0 | 15.0 | ft |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}=$ | 0.350 | 0.350 |  |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $Q_{T}=$ | SUMP | SUMP | cfs |
| $V=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | fps |
| $\mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~d}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

## Maximum Capacity for $1 / 2$ Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{XTH}}$ Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance $\mathrm{T}_{\text {CROWN }}$ ) Discharge within the Gutter Section W $\left(Q_{d}-Q_{x}\right)$
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns)
Total Discharge for Major \& Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major \& Minor ( $\mathrm{d} \geq 6$ ") Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {TH }}=$ | 17.0 | 26.6 | ft |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {XTH }}=$ | 15.0 | 24.6 | ft |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}=$ | 0.349 | 0.220 |  |
| $Q_{x \text { TH }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| Q = | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{V}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | fps |
| $\mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~d}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ | SUMP | SUMP |  |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ | SUMP | SUMP | cfs |
| d $=$ |  |  | inches |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {CROWN }}=$ |  |  | inches |

## MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet 'Q-Peak'
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet 'Q-Peak'

## INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Project $=$ $\qquad$

## Design Information (Input)

## Type of Inlet

Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from 'Q-Allow')
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)
Grate Information
Length of a Unit Grate
Width of a Unit Grate
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50-0.70)
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15-3.60)
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60-0.80)
Curb Opening Information
Length of a Unit Curb Opening
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60-0.70)

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition) Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>Q PEAK)




Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )


Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
Gutter Width
Street Transverse Slope
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or $0.083 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ )
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor \& Major Storm
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor \& Major Storm
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no)


Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2)
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2")
Gutter Depression ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{C}}$ - (W * $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}$ * 12))
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $T_{X}$ Discharge within the Gutter Section W $\left(Q_{T}-Q_{X}\right)$
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns)
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
V*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=$ | 4.08 | 4.08 | inches |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ | 2.0 | 2.0 | inches |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ | 1.51 | 1.51 | inches |
| $\mathrm{d}=$ | 5.59 | 5.59 | inches |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 15.0 | 15.0 | ft |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}=$ | 0.350 | 0.350 |  |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{X}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $Q_{\text {T }}=$ | SUMP | SUMP | cfs |
| $V=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | fps |
| $\mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~d}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

## Maximum Capacity for $1 / 2$ Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W)
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{XTH}}$ Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance $\mathrm{T}_{\text {CROWN }}$ ) Discharge within the Gutter Section W $\left(Q_{d}-Q_{x}\right)$
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns)
Total Discharge for Major \& Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major \& Minor ( $\mathrm{d} \geq 6$ ") Storm Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied) Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

|  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {TH }}=$ | 17.0 | 26.6 | ft |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {XTH }}=$ | 15.0 | 24.6 | ft |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}=$ | 0.349 | 0.220 |  |
| $Q_{x \text { TH }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| Q = | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| $\mathrm{V}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | fps |
| $\mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~d}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ | SUMP | SUMP |  |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ | SUMP | SUMP | cfs |
| d $=$ |  |  | inches |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {CROWN }}=$ |  |  | inches |

## MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet 'Q-Peak'
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet 'Q-Peak'

## INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Project $=$ $\qquad$
Inlet ID = $\qquad$

## Design Information (Input)

Type of Inlet
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from 'Q-Allow')
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)

## Grate Information

Length of a Unit Grate
Width of a Unit Grate
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50-0.70)
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15-3.60)
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60-0.80)
Curb Opening Information
Length of a Unit Curb Opening
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60-0.70)

|  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inlet Type = | CDOT Ty | Opening |  |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\text {local }}=$ | 3.00 | 3.00 | inches |
| No = | 3.0 | 3 |  |
| Ponding Depth $=$ | 5.6 | 7.9 | inches |
|  | MINOR | MAJOR | $\checkmark$ Override Depths |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N/A | feet |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\text {o }}=$ | N/A | N/A | feet |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\text {ratio }}=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{0}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 5.00 | 5.00 | feet |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {vert }}=$ | 6.00 | 6.00 | inches |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {throat }}=$ | 6.00 | 6.00 | inches |
| Theta $=$ | 63.40 | 63.40 | degrees |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 2.00 | 2.00 | feet |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 3.60 | 3.60 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 0.67 | 0.67 |  |
|  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | 11.1 | 27.3 | cfs |
| $Q_{\text {PEAK REQUIRED }}=$ | 1.9 | 22.2 | cfs |





INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE


| Daslign information (lnput) |  | MINOR | MAJOR | inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Injet | Type $=$ | CDOT Type R Curb Opening |  |  |
| Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from 'Q-Allow') | $a_{\text {LOCAL }}=$ | 3.0 | 3.6 |  |
| Total Number of Unils in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening) | $\mathrm{No}=$ | 3 | 3 |  |
| Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening) | $\mathrm{L}_{0}=$ | 5.00 | 5.00 |  |
| Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W from Q-Allow) | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value $=0.5$ ) | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {-G }}=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typlcal min. value $=0.1$ ) | $\mathrm{Cr}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}=$ | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |
| Street Hydraslies: OK - $\mathrm{O}<$ maximum allowable from sheat '0-Allow' |  | MINOR | MA.JOR |  |
| Total Inlet Interception Capacity | Q $=$ | 7.00 | 13.72 | cfs |
| Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlat) | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ | 0.0 | 3.7 | cfa |
| Capture Percentage $=Q_{4} / Q_{0}=$ | C\% = | 100 | 79 | \% |




Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)
Maximurn Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
Side Slope Behind Curb (ieave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )

| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {BACK }}$ | $=8.0$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ft |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {BACK }}$ | $=0.020$ |
| $n_{\text {BACK }}$ | $=0.020$ |
|  | ftIt |

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
Gutter Width
Street Transverse Slope
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 !nehes over 24 inches or 0.083 ftft )
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020 )

| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {CURE }}=$ | 6.00 | inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {crown }}=$ | 17.0 | t |
| $w=$ | 2.00 | ft |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 0.020 | f/ft |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 0.083 | ft/t |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ | 0.016 | ft/f |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\text {STREEt }}=$ | 0.020 |  |

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor \& Major Storm
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor \& Major Storm
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no)


| Maximum Capaclty for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread |  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Depth without Guiter Depresslon (Eq. ST-2) | $y=$ | 4.08 | 4.08 | inches |
| Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (Lsually 2") | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 2.0 | 2.0 | inches |
| Gutter Depression ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}$ - (W * $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\text {* }} 12$ ) $)$ | $a=$ | 1.51 | 1.51 | inches |
| Water Depth at Gutter Fiowline | $\mathrm{d}=$ | 5.59 | 5.59 | inches |
| Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W) | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 15.0 | 15.0 | ft |
| Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7) | $\mathrm{E}_{0}=$ | 0.350 | 0.350 |  |
| Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{X}$ | $Q_{x}=$ | 7.1 | 7.1 | cts |
| Discharge within the Gutter Section W ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 3.8 | 3.8 | cfs |
| Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewelk, driveways, \& lawns) | $Q_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cis |
| Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread | $Q_{T}=$ | 11.0 | 11.0 | cfs |
| Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section | $V=$ | 5.0 | 5.0 | fps |
| V*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth | $V^{*} \mathrm{~d}=$ | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth |  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| Theoretical Water Spread | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {TH }}=$ | 17.0 | 26.6 | ft |
| Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W) | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {хтн }}=$ | 15.0 | 24.6 | ft |
| Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7) | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{O}}=$ | 0.349 | 0.220 |  |
| Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{X} \text { TH }}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {XTH }}=$ | 7.2 | 26.8 | cts |
| Actual Discharge outside the Gulter Section W, (limited by distance $T_{\text {crown }}$ ) | $Q_{x}=$ | 7.2 | 24.6 | cfs |
| Discharge within the Gutter Section $W\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{a}_{w}=$ | 3.9 | 7.5 | cfs |
| Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns) | $Q_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.0 | 1.3 | cfs |
| Total Discharge for Major \& Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor) | Q = | 11.0 | 33.4 | cfs |
| Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section | $V=$ | 5.0 | 6.6 | fos |
| V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth | $V^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d}=$ | 2.3 | 4.3 |  |
| Slope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major \& Minor ( $\mathrm{d} \geq 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) Storm | R | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) | $Q_{d}=$ | 11.0 | 33.3 | cfs |
| Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowilne (Safety Factor Applied) | $\mathrm{d}=$ | 5.60 | 7.90 | inches |
| Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied) | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {CROWN }}=$ | 0.01 | 2.30 | inches |
| MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Spread Criterion |  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| MAJOR STORM Allowable Canacity Is based on Depth Criterion | $Q_{\text {alow }}=$ | 11.0 | 33.3 | fs |

$\square$
INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE
Project:
Inlet ID:
PAINT BRUSH HILLS FILING NO. 14

| Dasign Information (lnput) |  | CDOT Type R Curb Opening |  | inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Inlet | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Type }= \\ \text { alocal }= \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from 'Q-Allow') |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening) | No = | 3 | 3 |  |
| Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening) | $L_{0}=$ | 5.00 | 5.00 |  |
| Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W from Q-Allow) | $\mathrm{W}_{0}=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Clogging Fastor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value $=0.5$ ) | $C_{\text {r }} \mathrm{G}=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value $=0.1$ ) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}=$ | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |
| Strest Hydraulies: OK - Q < maximum allowable from sheet 'O-Allow' |  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| Total Inlet Interception Capacity | $a=$ | 7.25 | 14.01 | cfa |
| Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (fiow bypasalng inlet) | $\mathrm{a}_{6}=$ | 0.0 | 4.1 | cfe |
| Capture Percentage $=\mathrm{Q}_{8} / \mathrm{Q}_{0}=$ | c\% = | 100 | 77 | \% |




| Gutter Goometry (Enter data in the blue cells) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 8.0 | ft |  |
| Side Stope Behind Curb (leave biank for no conveyance credit behind curb) | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.020 |  |  |
| Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {BACK }}=$ | 0.020 |  |  |
| Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {curg }}=$ | 6.00 | inches |  |
| Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {CROWN }}=$ | 17.0 | ft |  |
| Gutter Width | W = | 2.00 | $f$ |  |
| Street Transverse Slope | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 0.020 | ftft |  |
| Gutter Cross Slape (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or $0.083 \mathrm{ft/ft}$ ) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 0.083 | ftuft |  |
| Street Lorgitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition | $\mathrm{S}_{0}=$ | 0.014 | flutt |  |
| Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) | $n_{\text {STREET }}=$ | 0.020 |  |  |
|  |  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| Max. Allowable Spread for Minor \& Major Storm | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}=$ | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |
| Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor \& Major Storm | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {max }}=$ | 5.6 | 7.9 | inches |
| Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) |  | $\square$ | - | check = yes |
| Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread |  | Minor Storm Major Storm |  |  |
| Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2) | $\mathrm{y}=$ | 4.08 | 4.08 | inches |
| Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2") | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Gutter Depression ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}-\left(\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{*} 12\right)$ ) | $a=$ | 1.51 | 1.51 | Inches inches |
| Water Depth at Gutter Flowline | $d=$ | 5.59 | 5.59 | inches |
| Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W) | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7) | $E_{0}=$ | 0.350 | 0.350 | $\mathrm{ft}$ |
| Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 6.7 | 6.7 | cfs |
| Discharge within the Gutter Section W ( $Q_{T}-Q_{X}$ ) | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 3.6 | 3.6 | cis |
| Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns) | $Q_{\text {back }}=$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | cfs |
| Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread | $Q_{T}=$ | 10.3 | 10.3 | cfs |
| Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section | $\mathrm{V}=$ | 4.7 | 4.7 | fps |
| V"d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth | $v{ }^{*} d=$ | 22 | 2.2 |  |
| Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Stroet based on Allowable Depth |  | Minor Storm | Major Storm |  |
| Theoretical Water Spread | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {TH }}=$ | 17.0 | 26.6 |  |
| Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W (T - W) | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {XTH }}=$ | 15.0 | 24.6 | ft |
| Gutter Flow to Design Fow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7) | $E_{0}=$ | 0.349 | 0.220 |  |
| Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{x} \text { тн }}$ | $Q_{\text {XTH }}=$ | 6.7 | 25.0 | cfs |
| Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, (limited by distance $\mathrm{T}_{\text {crown }}$ ) | $Q_{x}=$ | 6.7 | 23.0 | cfs |
| Discharge within the Gutter Section W ( $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {d }}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{x}}$ ) | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 3.6 | 7.1 | cfs |
| Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, \& lawns) | $0_{\text {Back }}=$ | 0.0 | 1.2 | cts |
| Total Discharge for Major \& Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor) | $Q=$ | 10.3 | 31.3 | cts |
| Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section | $V=$ | 4.7 | 6.1 | fps |
| V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth | $V^{*} \mathrm{~d}=$ | 2.2 | 4.0 |  |
| Slope-Based Depth Saiety Reduction Factor for Major \& Minor ( $\mathrm{l} \geq 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) Storm | R | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied) | $Q_{d}=$ | 10.3 | 31.3 | cfs |
| Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied) | $d=$ | 5.60 | 7.90 | inches |
| Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safely Factor Applied) | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {CROWN }}=$ | 0.01 | 2.31 |  |
| MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Spread Criterion |  | Minor Storm Major Storm |  |  |
| MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion | $Q_{\text {allow }}=$ | 10.3 | 31.3 | cfs |
| Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet ' $Q$-Peak' Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow glven on sheet 'Q-Peak" |  |  |  |  |












Project $=$
inlet ID =


| Deslan Information (inpur) |  | MINOR | MAJOR | inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of inlet | Inleet Type $=$ | CDOT Type R Curb Opening |  |  |
| Local Depression (addillonat to continuous gutter depression 'a' from 'Q-Allow') | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {beam }}=$ | 3.00 | 3.00 |  |
| Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening) | No = | 4.0 | 4 |  |
| Water Depth at Flcwiline (outside of local depression) | Ponding Depth $=$ | 5.6 | 7.9 |  |
| Grate information |  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| Length of a Unit Grate | $\mathrm{L}_{0}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N'A | feet |
| Wiath of a Unlt Grate | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | N/A | N/A | feet |
| Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typlcal values 0.15-0.90) | $A_{\text {tatb }}=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50-0.70) | $\mathrm{G}_{7}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15-3.60) | $\mathrm{C}_{w}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60-0.80) | $\mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{G})=$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| Curb Opening Information |  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| Length of a Unit Curb Opening | $L_{0}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 5.00 | 5.00 | eet |
| Helght of Vertical Curb Opening in inches | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {verl }}=$ | 6.00 | 6.00 | inches |
| Height of Curb Orfice Throat in Inches | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {lirana }}=$ | 6.00 | 6.00 | inches |
| Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5) | Theta $=$ | 63.40 | 63.40 | degrees |
| SIde Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feel) | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ | 2.00 | 2.00 | feet |
| Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10) | $\mathrm{Cr}_{\text {r }}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |
| Curb Opering Weir Coefficient (typlcal value 2.3-3.7) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 3.60 | 3.60 |  |
| Curt Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value $0.60-0.70$ ) | $\mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathrm{C})=$ | 0.67 | 0.67 |  |
|  |  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| Total Inlat Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition) | $Q_{\text {a }}=$ | 15.0 | 36.7 | cfs |
| inlet Capacily IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>Q PEAK) | $Q_{\text {PEAK REQuIRED }}=$ | 6.2 | 27.7 | cfs |



## AREA INLET IN A TRAPEZOIDAL GRASS-LINED CHANNEL



Analysis of Trapezoidal Grass-Lined Channel Using SCS Method
NRCS Vegetal Retardance (A, B, C, D, or E)
Manning's $n$ (Leave cell D 16 blank to manually enter an $n$ value)
Channel Invert Slope
Bottom Width
Left Side Slope
Right Side Slope

| Check one of the following soil types: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soil Type: | Max. Velocity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {MAX }}\right)$ | Max Froude No. ( $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\text {MAX }}\right)$ |
| Sandy | 5.0 fps | 0.50 |
| Non-Sandy | 7.0 fps | 0.80 |

Max. Allowable Top Width of Channel for Minor \& Major Storm
Max. Allowable Water Depth in Channel for Minor \& Major Storm
A, B, C, D or


Maximum Channel Capacity Based On Allowable Top Width

## Max. Allowable Top Width

Water Depth
Flow Area
Wetted Perimeter
Hydraulic Radius
Manning's n based on NRCS Vegetal Retardance
Flow Velocity
Velocity-Depth Product
Hydraulic Depth
Froude Number
Max. Flow Based On Allowable Top Width
Maximum Channel Capacity Based On Allowable Water Depth
Max. Allowable Water Depth
Top Width
Flow Area
Wetted Perimeter
Hydraulic Radius
Manning's n based on NRCS Vegetal Retardance
Flow Velocity
Velocity-Depth Product
Hydraulic Depth
Froude Number
Max. Flow Based On Allowable Water Depth


Allowable Channel Capacity Based On Channel Geometry
MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion


Water Depth in Channel Based On Design Peak Flow
Design Peak Flow
Water Depth
Top Width
Flow Area
Wetted Perimeter
Hydraulic Radius
Manning's $n$ based on NRCS Vegetal Retardance
Warning 03 Flow Velocity
Velocity-Depth Product
Hydraulic Depth
Warning 04 Froude Number


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet ' $Q$-Peak'
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than flow given on sheet 'Q-Peak'

## AREA INLET IN A TRAPEZOIDAL GRASS-LINED CHANNEL

| PAINT BRUSH HILLS FILING NO. 14 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUMP INLET DP16 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Inlet Design Information (Input) |  |  |  |
| Type of Inlet $\quad$ Inlet Type $=$ | CDOT Type C | ressed) |  |
| Angle of Inclined Grate (must be <= 30 degrees) | $\theta=$ | 0.10 | degrees |
| Width of Grate | W = | 3.00 | feet |
| Length of Grate | $\mathrm{L}=$ | 3.00 | feet |
| Open Area Ratio | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {RATIO }}=$ | 0.70 |  |
| Height of Inclined Grate | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}=$ | 0.01 | feet |
| Clogging Factor | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ | 0.50 |  |
| Grate Discharge Coefficient | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ | 0.84 |  |
| Orifice Coefficient | $\mathrm{C}_{0}=$ | 0.56 |  |
| Weir Coefficient | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ | 1.79 |  |
|  | MINOR | MAJOR |  |
| Water Depth at Inlet (for depressed inlets, 1 foot is added for depression) $d=$ | 1.46 | 1.78 |  |
| Grate Capacity as a Weir |  |  |  |
| Submerged Side Weir Length $\quad \mathrm{X}=$ | 3.00 | 3.00 | feet |
| Inclined Side Weir Flow $\quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{ws}}=$ | 16.56 | 22.32 | cfs |
| Base Weir Flow $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{wb}}=$ | 23.71 | 31.95 | cfs |
| Interception without Clogging $\quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{wi}}=$ | 56.83 | 76.59 | cfs |
| Interception with Clogging $\quad \mathrm{Q}_{\text {wa }}=$ | 28.41 | 38.29 | cfs |
| Grate Capacity as an Orifice |  |  |  |
| Interception without Clogging $\quad Q_{\text {oi }}=$ | 48.78 | 53.87 | cfs |
| Interception with Clogging $\quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{oa}}=$ | 24.39 | 26.94 | cfs |
|  | 24.39 | 26.94 | cfs |
|  | 0.00 | 0.00 | cfs |
|  | 100 | 100 | \% |

Warning 03: Velocity exceeds USDCM Volume I recommendation. Warning 04: Froude No. exceeds USDCM Volume I recommendation.
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Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations
Fluid Flow Table of Contents | Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

> Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
> II, Calculation of Discharge, Q and average velocity, V
for pipes more than half full


Equation used for $n / n_{\text {full }} \mathbf{n} / n_{\text {full }}=1.25 \cdot(y / D-0.5)^{*} 0.5 \quad$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1\right)$


Partially FULL Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations

## Fluid Flow Table of Contents

Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe (\&e1/2 fullusing the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.


## PR2 Q100= 65.3 CFS



Figure CU-9-Inlet Control Nomograph-Example

DP3 $Q_{100}=65.3 \mathbf{c f s}$
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## Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations

Fluid Flow Table of Contents | Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.
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Equation used for $n / n_{\text {full }} n / n_{\text {fuil }}=1.25-(y / D-0.5)^{4} 0.5 \quad$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1\right)$


Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations Fluid Flow Table of Contents | Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.
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Equation used for $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}$ fall: $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}_{\text {full }}=\mathbf{1 . 2 5}-(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{D}-\mathbf{0 . 5})^{*} 0.5 \quad$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1\right)$


Partially FULL Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations

## Fluid Flow Table of Contents

Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe（\＆e1／2 fullusing the Manning equation．This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe，but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable，dependent upon the depth of flow．

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations－U．S．Units
II．Calculation of Discharge，$Q$ ，and average velocity，$V$
for pipes more than half full
Instructions：Enter values in blue boxes．Calculations in yellow
Inputs
Calculations

in
in
（must have $\mathrm{y} \geq \mathrm{D} / 2$ ）

Full Pipe Manning


Channel bottom

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Central Angle, } \mathbf{q}=0.00 \\
& \text { Cross-Sect. Area, } \mathbf{A}=3.14 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$


$\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

Calculations
$\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{n}_{\text {full }}=$
Partially Full Manning 0.013

ft
ft

$$
\text { Circ. Segment Height, } \mathbf{h}=0.000
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { radians } \\
\mathrm{ft}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$ roughness， $\mathbf{n}=$

| Wetted Perimeter， $\mathbf{P}$ | $=$6.3 <br> Hydraulic Radius， $\mathbf{R}$$=0.50$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Discharge， $\mathbf{Q}$ | $=22.68$ |
| Ave．Velocity， $\mathbf{V}$ | $=7.22$ |

ft
ft
cfs
$\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$

$$
\text { pipe } \% \text { full }\left[\left(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{full}}\right)^{*} 100 \%\right]=100.0 \%
$$



Partially Full Pipe Flow Parameters （More Than Half Full）

$$
\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{D} / 2
$$

$\mathrm{h}=2 \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{y}$
（hydraulic radius）

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}
$$

（Manning Equation）
$\mathrm{Q}=(1.49 / \mathrm{n})(\mathrm{A})\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 / 3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}^{1 / 2}\right)$

$$
V=Q / A \quad P
$$

$$
\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{r}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\pi r^{2}-\frac{r^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2} \\
& P=2 \pi r-r^{*} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

## PR7 \＆PR8 Q100＝22．2 CFS

## FLOWS SPLIT
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## Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations Fluid Flow Table of Contents | Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.


Equation used for $n / n_{\text {funll }}: n / n_{\text {fill }}=1.25-(y / D-0.5) * 0.5 \quad$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1\right)$


# Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations 

 Fluid Flow Table of Contents | Hydraulic and Preumatic Knowledge Fluid Power EquipmentThis engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$, and average velocity, $V$
for pipes more than half full

Inputs
Instructions: Enter values in biue boxes. Calculations in yellow

in
in
(must have $y \geq D / 2$ )


Pa tianly Fuli Pipe Flow Parameters (More Than Kalf Full)
(hydraulic radius)
$R=A / P$
(Manning Equation)
$Q=(1.49 / n)(A)\left(R^{2 / 3}\right)\left(S^{1 / 2}\right)$
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{P}$
$\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{r}}\right)$

Calculations

$A=\pi r^{2}-\frac{r^{2}(\theta \cdot \sin \theta)}{2}$
$P=2 \pi r-r * \theta$
Equation used for $n / n_{\text {full }} \pi / n$ full $=1.25 \cdot(y / D-0.5) *$. 5 (for $0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1$ )

PR10 \& PR11 Q100= 13.7 CFS FLOW SPLIT


Utimate-GDAT WTall Char
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
IJ. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$, and average velocity, $V$
for pipes more than half full

## Inputs

Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes, Calculations in yellow

## Calculations


in
(must have $y \geq$ D/2)
in
ft

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Pipe Diameter, } \mathrm{D}=4 \\
\text { Pipe Radius, } \mathrm{r}
\end{array}=2
$$

ft
ft



pipe \% full $\left[\left(A / A_{\text {fill }}\right)^{*} 100 \%\right]=92.8 \%$


Partially Full Pipe Flow Parmeters (More Than Haif Full)

$$
r=D / 2
$$

$h=2 r-y$
(hydraulic radius)
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}$
(Mamring Equation)
$Q=(1.49 / n)(A)\left(R^{2 / 3}\right)\left(S^{1 / 2}\right)$
$V=Q / A \quad P$
$\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{r-h}{r}\right)$
$A=\pi r^{2}-\frac{r^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2}$
$P=2 \pi r-r^{*} \theta$
Equation used for $n / n_{\text {full }} n / n_{\text {fall }}=1.25 \cdot(y / D-0.5) * 0.5 \quad$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1\right)$
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| :---: |
| Search |
|  |
| Main Catagorier |
| - Home <br> - Engineering Book Store <br> - Engineering Forum <br> , Excel App. Downloads <br> - Online Books \& Manuals <br> , Engineering News <br> , Engineering Videos <br> - Engineering Calculators <br> , Engineering Toolbox <br> - GD\&T Training <br> Geometric Dimensioning <br> Tolerancing <br> - DFM DFA Training <br> - Training Online <br> Engineering <br> - Advertising Center <br> translate <br> Print Webpage <br> - Copyripht Notice <br> Submit an Article <br> Become an Engineers Edge Contributor |
|  |  |
|  |  |

We use cookies to understand how you use our site and to improve your experience. This includes personalizing content and advertising. By continuing to use our site, you accept our use of cookies, To learn more, see our Privacy Policy link at bottom of webpage.
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

## Partally Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units

II. Calculation of Discharge, Q and averzge velocity, V
for pipes more than half full


Equation used for $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}_{\text {full }} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}$ fall $=1.25-(y / \mathrm{D}-0.5)^{*} \mathbf{0 . 5}$ (for $0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1$ )

PR13 Q100= 14.0 CFS

We use cookies to understand how you use our site and to improve your experience. This includes personalizing content
and advertising. By continuing to use our site. you accept our use of cookies, To learn more, see our Privacy Policy link at bottom of webpage.

## Got lt!



This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$ and average velocity, V
for pipes more than half full
Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes. Calculations in yellow

Induts

in
in
(must have $\mathrm{y} \geq \mathrm{D} / 2$ )


Partially Full Pipe Flow Farmmeters (More Than Hali Full)

## Calculations



Equation used for $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}_{\text {full }} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m}_{\text {fuil }}=1.25 \cdot(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{D} \mathbf{- 0 . 5}$ )*0.5 (for $0.5 \leq \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{D} \leq 1$ )

Membership
Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations
Fluid Flow Table of Contents | Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

## Partially Fuli Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units

II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$, and average velocity, V
for pipes more than half full

Instructlons: Enter values in blue boxes. Calculations in yellow

Inputs

(must have $\mathrm{y} \geq \mathrm{D} / 2$ )
Fuil Pipe Manning
roughness, $n_{f u l l}=0.013$

| Calculations <br> $n / \mathbf{n}_{\text {full }}=$ | 1.15 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Partially Full Manning |  |
| roughness, $\mathbf{n}=$ | 0.015 |

Partially Fuil Pipe Flow Parmeters (More Than Half Full)


Calculations

| Pipe Diameter, D | $=2.5$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Pipe Radius, r | $=1.25$ |

Circ. Segment Height, $\mathbf{h}=0.750$

| Central Angle, $q=$ | 2.32 | radlans |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Cross-Sect. Area, A | $=3.67$ | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |


| Wetted Perimeter, $\mathbf{P}$ | $=5.0$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Hydraulic Radius, $\mathbf{R}$ | $=0.74$ |
| Discharge, $\mathbf{Q}$ | $=29.94$ |
|  |  |

ft
ft
cfs
pipe $\%$ full $\left[\left(A / A_{\text {full }}\right]^{*} 100 \%\right]=74.8 \%$
$r=D / 2$
$h=2 r-y$
(hydraulic radius)
$R=A / P$
(Manning Equation)
$Q=(1.49 / n)(A)\left(R^{2 / 3}\right)\left(S^{1 / 2}\right)$
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{P}$
$\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{r-h}{\tau}\right)$
$A=\pi i^{2}-\frac{r^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2}$
$\mathbf{P}=2 \pi r-r^{*} \theta$

Equation used for $n / n_{\text {full }} ; n_{\text {foll }}=1.25-(y / D-0.5) * 0.5 \quad$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1\right)$
\#PR38 Q100= 27.9 CFS
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$ and average velocity, $V$
for pipes more than half full Inputs

Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes: Calculations in yellow

in
in
(must have $y \geq \mathrm{D} / 2$ )

Full Pipe Manning
roughness, $n_{\text {full }}=0.013$
Channel bottom


| Pipe Djameter, $\mathrm{D}=$ | 24 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Depth of flow, $\mathbf{y}=$ | 15 |
| (must have $\mathrm{y} \geq \mathrm{D} / 2$ ) |  |
| Full Pipe Manning roughness, $\mathrm{n}_{\text {full }}=$ | 0.013 |
| Channel bottom |  |
| slope, $5=$ | 0.01 |

4
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Caiculations - U.S. Units
II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$, and average velocity, $V$
for pipes more than half full

Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes. Calculations in yellow

Imputs


Calculations

pipe $\%$ full $\left[\left(A / A_{\text {full }}\right) * 100 \%\right]=92.1 \%$

Partially Full Pipe Flow Parameters (More That fillf Fuil)
$r=D / 2$
$h=2 r-y$
(hydraulic radius)
$R=A / P$
(Manning Equation)
$Q=[1.49 / n)(A)\left(R^{2 / 3}\right)\left(S^{1 / 2}\right)$
$V=Q / A \quad P$

$$
\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{r}}\right)
$$

$$
A=\pi r^{2}-\frac{r^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2}
$$

$$
\mathbf{P}=2 \pi \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r} * \theta
$$

## ENCINEERE <br> $\operatorname{ma} \square$

Solutions By Design


$r=D / 2$
$h=2 r-y$
(hydraulic radius)
$\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{A} / \mathbf{P}$
(Manning Equation)
$Q=(1,49 / n)(A)\left(R^{2 / 3}\right)\left(S^{1 / 2}\right)$
$V=Q / A \quad P$
$\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{r-h}{r}\right)$
$\mathrm{A}=\pi \mathrm{r}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{r}^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2}$
$\mathrm{P}=2 \pi \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r}^{*} \theta$
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$, and average velocity, $V$
for pipes more than hall full

Inputs
Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes. Calculations in yellow,
Calculations


Equation used for $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{nfalll}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{full}}=\mathbf{1 . 2 5} \cdot(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{D}-\mathbf{0 . 5})^{*} 0.5$ (for $0.5 \leq \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{D} \leq 1$ )
\#PR17 Q100= 51.3 CFS

We use cookies to understand how you use our site and to improve your experience. This includes personalizing content and advertising. By continuing to use our site, you accept our use of cookies, To learn more, see our Privacy Policy link at bottom of webpage.
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

> Partlally Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
> Il. Calculation of Discharge, Q and average velocity, V
for pipes more than half full




#### Abstract

W'e use cookjes to understand how you use our site and to improve your experience. This includes personalizing content and ad ertising. By continuing to use our site, you accept our use of cookies, To learn more, see our Privacy Policy link at bottom of webpage.
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.


Equation used for $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}_{\text {full }} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}_{\text {ful }}=1.25 \cdot(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{D}-\mathbf{0 . 5})^{*} \mathbf{0 . 5}$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1\right\}$
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$ and average velocity, $V$
for pipes more than half full

Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes. Calculations in yellow

Inputs



Partially Full Pipe Fiow Parminters (More Than Haif Full)

Calculations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \text { Pipe Diameter, } \mathrm{D}=3.5 \\
& \text { Pipe Radius, } 5=1.75 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \text { Circ. Segment Height, } \mathbf{h}=1.000 \\
& \text { Central Angle, } \mathbf{q}=2.26 \\
& \text { Cross-Sect. Area, } \mathbf{A}=1.35 \\
& \text { Wetted Perimeter, } \mathbf{P}=7.0 \\
& \text { Hydraulic Radjus, } \mathbf{R}=1.04 \\
& \text { Discharge, } \mathbf{Q}=75.85 \\
& \text { Ave. Velocity, } \mathbf{V}=10.32 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { pipe } \% \text { full }\left[\left(A / A_{\text {fill }}\right){ }^{*} 100 \%\right]=76.4 \% \\
& r=D / 2 \\
& h=2 r-y \\
& \text { (hydraulic radius) } \\
& \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P} \\
& \text { (Manning Equation) } \\
& Q=(1.49 / n)(A)\left(R^{2 / 3}\right)\left(S^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{P} \\
& \theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{r}}\right) \\
& A=\pi r^{2}-\frac{r^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2} \\
& \mathrm{P}=2 \pi \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r} * \boldsymbol{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Equation used for $n / n_{\text {full }}: n / n_{\text {ful }}=1.25-(y / D-0.5)^{*} 0.5 \quad($ for $0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1)$
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can aiso be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
1I. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$, and average velocity, $v$
for pipes more than half full
Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes. Calculations in yellow
Inputs

in
in
(must have $\mathrm{y} \geq \mathrm{D} / 2$ )


Partially Fual Pipe Flow Paramsters (More Than Raif Full)

Calculations

$h=2 r-y$
(hydraulic radius)
$R=A / P$
(Manning Equation)
$\mathrm{Q}=(1.49 / \mathrm{n})(\mathrm{A})\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 / 3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}^{1 / 2}\right)$
$V=Q / A \quad P$
$\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{t}}\right)$
$A=\pi r^{2}-\frac{r^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2}$

$$
\mathbf{P}=2 \pi \mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{*} \theta
$$
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.


|  |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Equation used for $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{nfill}: \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{fal}}=1.25 \cdot(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{D}-\mathbf{0 . 5})^{*} 0,5 \quad$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / \mathrm{D} \leq 1\right)$

PR22 Q100= 27.7 CFS


#### Abstract

We use cookjes to understand how you use our site and to improve your experience. This includes personalizing content and advertising. By continuing to use our site, you accept our use of cookies, To learn more, see our Privacy Policy link


 at bottom of webpage.Got It]
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This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe using the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.


Equation used for $n / n_{\text {full }} n / n_{\text {ful }}=1.25-(y / D-0.5) * 0.5 \quad$ (for $\left.0.5 \leq y / D \leq 1\right)$
PR23 Q100= 55.4 CFS


Partially FULL Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations

## Fluid Flow Table of Contents

Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe (\&e1/2 fullusing the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$, and average velocity, $V$
for pipes more than half full
Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes. Calculations in yellow
Inputs
Calculations

in
in

pipe $\%$ full $\left[\left(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{A}_{\text {full }}\right)^{*} 100 \%\right]=97.1 \%$

| Calculations <br> $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{n}_{\text {full }}=$ <br> Partially Full Manning <br> roughness, $\mathbf{n}=$ | 1.033333 |
| ---: | :---: |

$\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{D} / 2$


Partially Full Pipe Flow Parameters (More Than Half Full)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\pi r^{2}-\frac{r^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2} \\
& P=2 \pi r-r^{*} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

(hydraulic radius)

$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}
$$

(Manning Equation)
$\mathrm{Q}=(1.49 / \mathrm{n})(\mathrm{A})\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 / 3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}^{1 / 2}\right)$
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{P}$
$\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{r}}\right)$


## Geometric Boundaries IV <br> Based on ASME Y14.5-2018



Partially FULL Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations

## Fluid Flow Table of Contents

Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe (\&e1/2 fullusing the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.


## PR25 Q100= 20.8 CFS

## Geometric Boundaries IV <br> Based on ASME Y14.5-2018



Partially FULL Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations

## Fluid Flow Table of Contents

Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe (\&e1/2 fullusing the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.



Partially FULL Pipe Flow Calculator and Equations

## Fluid Flow Table of Contents

Hydraulic and Pneumatic Knowledge Fluid Power Equipment

This engineering calculator determines the Flow within a partially full pipe (\&e1/2 fullusing the Manning equation. This calculator can also be used for uniform flow in a pipe, but the Manning roughness coefficient needs to be considered to be variable, dependent upon the depth of flow.

Partially Full Pipe Flow Calculations - U.S. Units
II. Calculation of Discharge, $Q$, and average velocity, $V$
for pipes more than half full
Instructions: Enter values in blue boxes. Calculations in yellow
Inputs
Calculations

in
in
(must have $\mathrm{y} \geq \mathrm{D} / 2$ )

| (must have $\mathrm{y} \geq \mathrm{D} / 2$ ) |
| :---: |
| Full Pipe Manning <br> roughness, $\mathbf{n}_{\text {full }}$ <br> Channel bottom <br> slope, $\mathbf{S}$$=\square 0.013$ |
| Calculations <br> $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{n}_{\text {full }}=$ <br> Partially Full Manning <br> roughness, $\mathbf{n}=$ |

$\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$

| Wetted Perimeter, $\mathbf{P}$ | $=$\begin{tabular}{\|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular} ft |
| ---: | :--- |
| Hydraulic Radius, $\mathbf{R}$ | $=1.16$ |
| Discharge, $\mathbf{Q}$ | $=$96.77 <br> ft <br> ffs <br> ft <br> ft <br> Ave. Velocity, $\mathbf{V}$ |

$$
\text { pipe } \% \text { full }\left[\left(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{A}_{\text {full }}\right)^{*} 100 \%\right]=70.8 \%
$$



Partially Full Pipe Flow Parameters (More Than Half Full)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=D / 2 \\
& h=2 r-y
\end{aligned}
$$

(hydraulic radius)
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}$
(Manning Equation)
$\mathrm{Q}=(1.49 / \mathrm{n})(\mathrm{A})\left(\mathrm{R}^{2 / 3}\right)\left(\mathrm{S}^{1 / 2}\right)$
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{P}$
$\theta=2 \arccos \left(\frac{\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{r}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=\pi \mathrm{r}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{r}^{2}(\theta-\sin \theta)}{2} \\
& \mathrm{P}=2 \pi \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r}^{*} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

## \#PR27 Q100= 92.8 CFS

STORM 1, 2, 3, 4, 4A, 5, 7 \& 9 incl LATERALS INDEX MAP


| Line No. | Line ID | Line Type | Junct Type | J-Loss Coeff | n-val <br> Pipe | Flow <br> Rate <br> (cfs) | Invert Dn <br> (ft) | Invert Up <br> (ft) | Line Slope (\%) | HGL Dn <br> (ft) | HGL Up <br> (ft) | Minor Loss <br> (ft) | HGL Jnct <br> (ft) | Vel <br> Ave <br> (ft/s) | Line Length <br> (ft) | Rim-Hw <br> (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Storm 1 | Cir | MH | 0.75 | 0.013 | 287.20 | 7191.76 | 7192.37 | 0.50 | 7197.26 | 7198.15 | 1.70 | 7199.86 | 12.09 | 121.710 | 5.65 |
| 2 | Storm 1(2) | Cir | MH | 0.99 z | 0.013 | 269.20 | 7192.87 | 7202.30 | 5.95 | 7199.86 | 7206.83 | n/a | 7206.83 | 14.05 | 158.500 | 5.17 |
| 3 | Storm 1 | Cir | Generic | 0.50 | 0.013 | 55.40 | 7204.30 | 7204.67 | 2.57 | 7209.10 | 7209.20 | 0.48 | 7209.67 | 7.84 | 14.400 | 1.73 |
| 4 | Storm 1 | Cir | Generic | 1.00 | 0.013 | 27.70 | 7205.17 | 7206.51 | 3.79 | 7210.13 | 7210.29 | 0.50 | 7210.79 | 5.64 | 35.350 | 0.61 |
| 5 | Storm 2 | Cir | MH | 1.00 z | 0.013 | 214.40 | 7202.80 | 7205.94 | 1.72 | 7207.23 | 7210.06 | n/a | 7210.06 | 13.79 | 182.370 | 3.94 |
| 6 | Storm 2 | Cir | MH | 1.00 z | 0.013 | 75.30 | 7206.94 | 7213.72 | 2.51 | 7212.18 | 7216.43 | 1.38 | 7216.43 | 8.62 | 270.010 | 3.57 |
| 7 | Storm 2 | Cir | MH | 1.00 z | 0.013 | 51.30 | 7214.22 | 7218.47 | 2.77 | 7216.99 | 7220.80 | n/a | 7220.80 | 8.12 | 153.240 | 3.00 |
| 8 | Storm 2 | Cir | Generic | 1.00 | 0.013 | 13.00 | 7218.58 | 7219.65 | 3.74 | 7221.71 | 7221.81 | 0.27 | 7222.07 | 4.14 | 28.586 | 2.01 |
| 9 | Storm 3 | Cir | MH | 0.89 z | 0.013 | 142.40 | 7206.44 | 7208.80 | 1.58 | 7211.13 | 7212.33 | 2.04 | 7212.33 | 11.73 | 149.360 | 3.83 |
| 10 | Storm 3 | Cir | MH | 0.15 z | 0.013 | 117.70 | 7209.10 | 7217.15 | 1.85 | 7213.25 | 7220.42 | n/a | 7220.42 | 10.04 | 435.420 | 3.58 |
| 11 | Storm 3 | Cir | MH | 0.15 z | 0.013 | 117.70 | 7217.45 | 7225.32 | 1.81 | 7220.84 | 7228.59 | n/a | 7228.59 | 10.54 | 435.420 | 3.87 |
| 12 | Storm 3 | Cir | MH | 0.15 z | 0.013 | 117.70 | 7225.63 | 7240.60 | 4.41 | 7229.01 | 7243.87 | n/a | 7243.87 | 10.56 | 339.480 | 3.88 |
| 13 | Storm 3 | Cir | MH | 1.00 z | 0.013 | 117.70 | 7240.89 | 7246.33 | 1.60 | 7244.29 | 7249.60 | n/a | 7249.60 | 10.53 | 339.480 | 6.89 |
| 14 | Storm 3 | Cir | MH | 0.92 | 0.013 | 117.70 | 7246.63 | 7247.95 | 0.60 | 7250.16 | 7251.48 | 1.44 | 7252.92 | 10.02 | 219.470 | 2.34 |
| 15 | Storm 3 | Cir | MH | 0.88 | 0.013 | 75.90 | 7248.25 | 7251.41 | 0.63 | 7253.91 | 7255.20 | 0.52 | 7255.72 | 6.10 | 502.070 | 2.74 |
| 16 | Storm 4 | Cir | Generic | 1.00 | 0.013 | 2.70 | 7253.93 | 7254.75 | 5.60 | 7256.27 | 7256.28 | 0.04 | 7256.32 | 1.53 | 14.630 | 2.33 |
| 17 | Storm 3_Lat 1 | Cir | None | 0.89 | 0.013 | 13.70 | 7211.10 | 7211.21 | 2.51 | 7213.68 | 7213.76 | 0.83 | 7214.59 | 7.75 | 4.375 | 1.87 |
| 18 | Storm 3_Lat 1 | Cir | Generic | 1.00 | 0.013 | 13.70 | 7211.21 | 7211.41 | 2.50 | 7214.59 | 7214.73 | 0.93 | 7215.66 | 7.75 | 8.000 | 0.59 |
| 19 | Storm 3_Lat 2 | Cir | Generic | 1.00 | 0.013 | 13.70 | 7211.10 | 7211.91 | 2.50 | 7213.68 | 7214.24 | 0.93 | 7215.17 | 7.75 | 32.420 | 1.17 |
| 20 | Storm 3_Lat 3 | Cir | Generic | 1.00 | 0.013 | 22.20 | 7249.95 | 7250.33 | 1.26 | 7253.71 | 7254.00 | 0.78 | 7254.77 | 7.07 | 30.230 | 0.48 |
| 21 | Storm 3_Lat 4 | Cir | None | 0.75 | 0.013 | 22.20 | 7249.95 | 7250.01 | 1.36 | 7253.71 | 7253.75 | 0.58 | 7254.33 | 7.07 | 4.380 | 1.03 |
| 22 | Storm 3_Lat 4(2) | Cir | Generic | 1.00 | 0.013 | 22.20 | 7250.01 | 7250.11 | 1.25 | 7254.33 | 7254.41 | 0.78 | 7255.18 | 7.07 | 8.000 | 0.28 |
| 23 | Storm 4 | Cir | Generic | 1.00 | 0.013 | 10.40 | 7253.93 | 7254.27 | 0.97 | 7255.77 | 7256.12 | 0.54 | 7256.65 | 5.89 | 34.960 | 1.64 |
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## Storm Sewer Profile

## STORM 8 PIPE RUN INDEX MAP

MyReport

| Line No. | Line ID | Line Type | Junct <br> Type | J-Loss Coeff | n-val Pipe | Flow <br> Rate <br> (cfs) | Invert Dn <br> (ft) | Invert Up <br> (ft) | Line Slope | HGL Dn <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { HGL } \\ \text { Up } \end{gathered}$ <br> (ft) | Minor Loss <br> (ft) | HGL Jnct <br> (ft) | Vel <br> Ave <br> (ft/s) | Line Length <br> (ft) | Rim-Hw <br> (ft) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Storm 8 | Cir | Generic | 1.25 z | 0.013 | 13.70 | 7248.00 | 7249.01 | 1.70 | 7249.23 | 7250.38 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 7250.38 | 8.46 | 59.460 | 2.27 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Paint Brush Hills Filing 14
Number of lines: 1

Storm Sewer Profile


| Weighted Percent Imperviousness of PBH Filing 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contributing Basins | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (Acres) } \end{gathered}$ | $C_{5}$ | Impervious \% (I) | (Acres)*(I) |
| **OST | 4.44 | 0.30 | 40 | 177.60 |
| A | 0.52 | 0.18 | 16 | 8.32 |
| B | 8.31 | 0.20 | 20 | 166.17 |
| B1 | 0.92 | 0.16 | 13 | 12.00 |
| C | 11.50 | 0.26 | 32 | 368.00 |
| D | 5.20 | 0.20 | 20 | 104.00 |
| E | 0.49 | 0.90 | 100 | 49.00 |
| $F$ | 1.61 | 0.30 | 40 | 64.40 |
| G | 12.20 | 0.35 | 48 | 585.60 |
| H | 10.78 | 0.35 | 48 | 517.44 |
| I | 12.74 | 0.35 | 48 | 611.52 |
| $J$ | 7.19 | 0.22 | 25 | 179.75 |
| K | 0.75 | 0.36 | 50 | 37.50 |
| $L$ | 3.37 | 0.36 | 50 | 168.50 |
| M | 2.53 | 0.27 | 34 | 86.02 |
| $N$ | 6.08 | 0.20 | 20 | 121.62 |
| Totals | 88.63 |  |  | 3257.44 |
| Tmperviousness <br> of PBH 14 | 36.8 |  |  |  |


| Weighted Percent Imperviousness of WQ Pond C |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contributing Basins | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (Acres) } \end{gathered}$ | $C_{5}$ | Impervious \% (I) | (Acres)*(I) |
| OS5A | 3.66 | 0.11 | 5 | 18.30 |
| OS5B | 13.44 | 0.11 | 5 | 67.18 |
| OS5C | 29.00 | 0.30 | 40 | 1160.15 |
| A | 0.52 | 0.18 | 16 | 8.37 |
| B | 8.31 | 0.20 | 20 | 166.17 |
| C | 11.80 | 0.26 | 32 | 377.60 |
| D | 5.20 | 0.20 | 20 | 103.95 |
| E | 0.49 | 0.90 | 100 | 49.04 |
| $F$ | 1.61 | 0.30 | 40 | 64.58 |
| $\boldsymbol{G}$ | 12.20 | 0.35 | 48 | 585.50 |
| H | 10.78 | 0.35 | 48 | 517.45 |
| I | 12.74 | 0.35 | 48 | 611.52 |
| $J$ | 7.19 | 0.22 | 25 | 179.81 |
| K | 0.75 | 0.36 | 50 | 37.46 |
| $L$ | 3.37 | 0.36 | 50 | 168.56 |
| M | 2.53 | 0.27 | 34 | 86.02 |
| $N$ | 8.94 | 0.20 | 20 | 178.76 |
| *TT | 5.05 | 0.35 | 25 | 126.25 |
| Totals | 137.58 |  |  | 4506.69 |
| Tmperviousness of WQ Pond $C$ | 32.8 |  |  |  |

Project: Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14

## Basin ID: FSD Pond C



After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.
 2-yr Runoff Volume $(\mathrm{P} 1=1.19$ in $)=4.688$ 5 -yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in ) 5-y Runoff Volum (P1 1.75 in.)
$25-y r$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ $50-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2.25 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ $100-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{P} 1=2.52 \mathrm{in}$.) $=$ $500-\mathrm{yr}$ Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.14 in .) $=$ Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume $=$ Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume $=$ Approximate $10-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ Approximate $25-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ Approximate $50-\mathrm{yr}$ Detention Volume $=$ Approximate 100 -yr Detention Volume $=$


| Optional User Overrides |
| :--- |
|  acre-feet <br>  acre-feet |
| 1.19 |
| inches |


| Depth Increment $=$ |  | ft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stage - Storage Description | Stage <br> (ft) | Optional Override Stage (ft) | Length <br> (ft) | Width (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Optional Override Area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (acre) } \end{gathered}$ | Volume (ft ${ }^{3}$ ) | Volume (ac-ft) |
| Top of Micropool | -- | 0.00 | -- | -- | -- | 180 | 0.004 |  |  |
|  | -- | 0.91 | -- | -- | -- | 457 | 0.010 | 290 | 0.007 |
|  | -- | 1.91 | -- | -- | -- | 14,185 | 0.326 | 7,611 | 0.175 |
|  | -- | 2.91 | -- | -- | -- | 41,901 | 0.962 | 35,654 | 0.818 |
|  | -- | 3.91 | -- | -- | -- | 61,466 | 1.411 | 87,337 | 2.005 |
|  | -- | 4.91 | -- | -- | -- | 72,754 | 1.670 | 154,447 | 3.546 |
|  | -- | 5.91 | -- | -- | -- | 81,398 | 1.869 | 231,523 | 5.315 |
|  | -- | 6.91 | -- | -- | -- | 86,246 | 1.980 | 315,345 | 7.239 |
|  | -- | 7.91 | -- | -- | -- | 92,877 | 2.132 | 404,906 | 9.295 |
|  | -- | 8.91 | -- | -- | -- | 98,536 | 2.262 | 500,613 | 11.492 |
|  | -- | 9.91 | -- | -- | -- | 105,513 | 2.422 | 602,637 | 13.835 |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |  |

## DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN



|  | Row 1 (required) | Row 2 (optional) | Row 3 (optional) | Row 4 (optional) | Row 5 (optional) | Row 6 (optional) | Row 7 (optional) | Row 8 (optional) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) | 0.00 | 1.85 | 3.71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orifice Area (sq. inches) | 6.62 | 6.62 | 6.62 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Row 9 (optional) | Row 10 (optional) | Row 11 (optional) | Row 12 (optional) | Row 13 (optional) | Row 14 (optional) | Row 15 (optional) | Row 16 (optional) |
| Stage of Orifice Centroid ( ft ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectanqular) |  |  | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) <br> ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | Vertical Orifice Area $=$ Vertical Orifice Centroid $=$ | Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not Selected | Not Selected |  |  | Not Selected | Not Selected |  |
| Invert of Vertical Orifice $=$ | N/A | N/A |  |  | N/A | N/A | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice $=$ | N/A | N/A |  |  | N/A | N/A | t |
| Vertical Orifice Diameter $=$ | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zone 3 Restrictor | Not Selected | ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) inches |  | Zone 3 Restrictor | Not Selected | $\mathrm{t}^{2}$ |
| Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = Outlet Pipe Diameter = | 0.25 | N/A |  | Outlet Orifice Area = Outlet Orifice Centroid = | 12.57 | N/A |  |
|  | 48.00 | N/A |  |  | 2.00 | N/A | feet |
| Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert | 48.00 |  | inches Half-Central Angl | Restrictor Plate on Pipe = | 3.14 | N/A | radians |


| Spillway Invert Stage= | 8.97 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spillway Crest Length = | 96.00 | feet |
| Spillway End Slopes = | 8.33 | $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{V}$ |
| Freeboard above Max Water Surface $=$ | 1.00 | feet |


|  | Calculated Parameters for Spillway |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spillway Design Flow Depth= | 0.87 | feet |
| Stage at Top of Freeboard = | 10.84 | feet |
| Basin Area at Top of Freeboard = | 2.42 | acres |
| Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard $=$ | 13.83 | acre-ft |


| Routed Hydrograph Results | The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Storm Return Period $=$ | WQCV | EURV | 2 Year | 5 Year | 10 Year | 25 Year | 50 Year | 100 Year | 500 Year |
| One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = | N/A | N/A | 1.19 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.52 | 3.14 |
| CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = | 1.834 | 4.664 | 4.688 | 7.414 | 9.906 | 13.603 | 16.440 | 20.186 | 27.480 |
| Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) $=$ | N/A | N/A | 4.688 | 7.414 | 9.906 | 13.603 | 16.440 | 20.186 | 27.480 |
| CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 13.4 | 37.5 | 57.3 | 104.5 | 131.2 | 167.6 | 233.6 |
| OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) $=$ | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = | N/A | N/A | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 0.76 | 0.95 | 1.22 | 1.70 |
| Peak Inflow Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 56.0 | 90.7 | 117.3 | 170.5 | 205.3 | 248.0 | 333.2 |
| Peak Outflow Q (cfs) $=$ | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 22.6 | 42.3 | 66.5 | 78.0 | 92.8 | 226.2 |
| Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment $\mathrm{Q}=$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Structure Controlling Flow = | Plate | Plate | Plate | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Spillway |
| Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1.2 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Time to Drain 97\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 38 | 67 | 68 | 70 | 68 | 65 | 63 | 61 | 57 |
| Time to Drain 99\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 40 | 71 | 72 | 75 | 74 | 73 | 72 | 71 | 69 |
| Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) = | 3.79 | 5.56 | 5.43 | 6.14 | 6.50 | 7.29 | 7.95 | 8.96 | 9.53 |
| Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) $=$ | 1.36 | 1.80 | 1.77 | 1.89 | 1.93 | 2.04 | 2.14 | 2.27 | 2.36 |
| Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) = | 1.839 | 4.673 | 4.423 | 5.747 | 6.417 | 8.002 | 9.380 | 11.583 | 12.925 |

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN
MHFD-Detention, Version 4.00 (December 2019)




## Stormwater Detention and Infiltration Design Data Sheet

## Stormwater Facility Name: Pond C

Facility Location \& Jurisdiction: Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14, Londonderry Drive, El Paso County / El Paso County



Stormwater Detention and Infiltration Design Data Sheet


Paint Brush Hills Filing No. 14
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CALCULATIONS FSD POND C


Equation 12-20
Total Q
307.99

$$
Q=C_{B C W} L H^{1.5}
$$



Equation 12-21
$Q=\left(\frac{2}{5}\right) C_{B C W} Z H^{2.5}$

Where:
$Q=$ discharge (cfs)
$C_{B C W}=$ broad-crested weir coefficient (This ranges from 2.6 to 3.0 . A value of 3.0 is often used in practice.) See Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 22 for additional information.
$L=$ broad-crested weir length ( ft )
$H=$ head above weir crest (ft)


Figure 12-20. Sloping broad-crest weir


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

## POND C SPILLWAY RUNDOWN
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Figure 13-12c. Emergency Spillway Protection


Figure 13-12d. Riprap Types for Emergency Spillway Protection



Figure 1 - Micropool Surface Area (SA) Determination Chart
The tributary impervious area is the effective number of impervious acres that will be treated by the extended detention basin (EDB). It is calculated by multiplying the tributary area to be treated by the impervious fraction of that area.

$$
T I A=I \times A
$$

TIA = Tributary impervious area (acres)
$1 \quad=$ Imperviousness (fraction)
A = Tributary catchment area upstream (acres)
For EDBs with tributary impervious areas greater than 100 acres, the micropool surface area is 400 sf . The initial surcharge depth (ISD) is defined as the depth of the initial surcharge volume (ISV). The surface area determined using Figure 1 assumes an ISD of 4 inches. The initial surcharge volume is thus calculated by multiplying the micropool surface area by 4 inches.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ISV } \\ \text { ISV } & =\text { Initial surcharge volume (cf) }\end{array}$
$S A=$ Surface area (from Figure 1, sf)
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LOW FLOW TRICKLE CHANNEL
$\qquad$ Parne Brusit Hzus Ffling M
$\qquad$
Low TAzL wata Rop Rap Bhate PR Il

$$
Q_{100}=13.7 \mathrm{cts} \quad 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{RdP}
$$


fue $18^{\prime \prime}$ stormprpa Rimpar Btazn $15^{\prime} L \times 10^{\prime}$＇n Destoun $15^{\prime}<\times 30^{\circ} \omega$ Acture
Fwo 9－3G Repzap Erostom wrotectra（4Df：D）
It ID assumes 19.4

$$
Q / 10^{1.5}=13.7 / 1.5^{1.5}=7.46
$$

FROM FTG q－38 TYTEL REPRA？$D_{50}=9^{\prime \prime}$

$$
2 D_{50}=2 \times 9^{\text {M }}=B_{8^{\prime \prime}} \text { TH+LK }
$$



$$
\text { Quo }=92_{0} \text { Ecs } \quad 48^{\prime \prime} \text { Rup }
$$

Fhe 9－37 Lowtammazer Lappinh Pansin（UDELD）

शपLChw Actunt

ytp absumuer 0.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q / D^{1.5}=92.8 \mathrm{cs} / 4^{1.5}=1.4 \\
& \text { FRan Fre } 9-388^{\circ} \text { TYPE m Doe } \mathbb{L}^{\prime} \\
& 2 D_{50}=2 \times 12^{\prime \prime}=24^{\prime \prime} \text { TA动安. }
\end{aligned}
$$

PEn＂Panat Brusa hzus Feltonn $12 "$ Constructzon Pidans
 EAzbince fiq farifan Is in ConFuRmance．


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MPE steE on } \\ & \text { ROX HiHHI } \end{aligned}$ | D | 䍂 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $18^{\prime \prime}-24^{\prime \prime}$ | $i^{\prime \prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 4 | $15^{*}$ |
| $30^{\circ}-30^{*}$ | * ${ }^{\text {- }}$ " | 6 | 20 |
| $42^{\prime \prime}-48^{*}$ | $2^{*}-0^{*}$ | 7 | $24^{\circ}$ |
| $54^{*}-80^{\prime \prime}$ | $2^{*}-8^{* *}$ | 8 | 28 |
| 86* $-72^{\prime \prime}$ | $3^{*}-0^{\text {m }}$ | $9 *$ | $33^{\prime}$ |

- if outlet opre is a box culyert win a witev

報EATER THN W, THEN $=$ CULVERT WDTH

Figure 9-37. Low tailwater riprap basin

$$
H_{a}=\frac{\left(H+Y_{n}\right)}{2}
$$

Where the maximum value of $H_{a}$ shall not exceed $H$, and:
$D_{a}=$ parameter to use in place of D in Figure 9-38 when flow is supercritical ( ft )
$D_{c}=$ diameter of circular culvert ( ft )
$H_{a}=$ parameter to use in place of H in Figure $9-39$ when flow is supercritical ( ft )
$H=$ height of rectangular culvert ( ft )
$Y_{n}=$ normal depth of supercritical flow in the culvert ( ft )


Use $D_{a}$ instead of $D$ whenever flow is supercritical in the barrel.
** Use Type $L$ for a distance of 30 downstream

Figure 9-38. Riprap erosion protection at circular conduit outlet (valid for Q/D2.5 $\leq 6.0$ )
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## DP1 EXISTING SWALE Q100= 13.7 CFS

SEE TABLE 10-4 FOR MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE VELOTIES FOR EARTHEN CHANNELS WITH VARIED GRASS LININGS AND SLOPES

| The open channel flow calculator |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Select Channel Type: Triangle |  |  |  |
| Velocity(V)\&Discharge(Q) | Select unit system: Feet(ft) $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Channel slope: 012 | Water depth(y): 1.93 | ft | Bottom W(b) |
| ft/ft |  | ft | ft |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Flow velocity } 4.4509 \\ \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LeftSlope (Z1): 4 to $1(\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{V})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RightSlope }(\mathrm{Z} 2): 4 \\ & \text { to } 1(\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{V}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Flow discharge 66.317 | Input n value 0.035 or select $n$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |
| Calculate! | Status:Calculation finished |  | Reset |
| Wetted perimeter 15.92 <br> ft <br> ft | Flow area 14.9 ft^2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Top width(T) } 15.44 \\ & \mathrm{ft} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Specific energy } 2.24 \\ & \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | Froude number 0.8 |  | Flow status Subcritical flow |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Critical depth } 1.76 \\ & \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | Critical slope 0.0194 | ft/ft | Velocity head 0.31 <br> ft |
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## DP3 NATURAL CHANNEL Q $_{100}=65.3$ cfs

SEE SC150 TURF REINFORCEMENT MAT
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DP16 PROPOSED SWALE Q100= 20.8 CFS

## SEE TABLE 10-4 FOR MAXIMUM PERM.SSIBLE VELOTIES FOR EARTHEN CHANNELS WITH VARIED GRASS LININGS AND SLOPES



| Channel Slope | Lining | Permissible Mean <br> Channel Velocity* <br> (ft/sec) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DP3 | Kentucky bluegrass | 4 |
| DP3 | Grass-legume mixture | 3 |
| Greater than 10\% | Sodded grass | 5 |
| Bermudagrass | 4 |  |
| Reed canarygrass | 3 |  |
| Tall fescue | 3 |  |
|  | Kentucky bluegrass | 3 |

*For highly erodible soils, decrease permissible velocities by $25 \%$.
*Grass lined channels are dependent upon assurances of continuous growth and maintenance of grass.

## Material and Performance Specification Sheet

## SC150 Erosion Control Blanket

The extended-term double net erosion control blanket shall be a machine-produced mat of $70 \%$ agricultural straw and $30 \%$ coconut fiber with a functional longevity of up to 24 months. (NOTE: functional longevity may vary depending upon climatic conditions, soil, geographical location, and elevation). The blanket shall be of consistent thickness with the straw and coconut evenly distributed over the entire area of the mat. The blanket shall be covered on the top side with a heavyweight photodegradable polypropylene netting having ultraviolet additives to delay breakdown and an approximate $0.63 \times 0.63(1.59 \times 1.59 \mathrm{~cm})$ mesh, and on the bottom side with a lightweight photodegradable polypropylene netting with an approximate $0.50 \times 0.50$ in ( $1.27 \times 1.27 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) mesh. The blanket shall be sewn together on 1.50 inch $(3.81 \mathrm{~cm})$ centers with degradable thread.
The SC150 shall meet requirements established by the Erosion Control Technology Council (ECTC) Specification and the US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Standard Specifications for Construction of Roads and Brioges on Federal Highway Projects, FP-03 Section 713.17 as a type 3.B Extended-term Erosion Control Blanket.

The SC150 is also available with the DOT System ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, which consists of installation staple patterns clearly marked on the erosion control blanket with environmentally safe paint. The blanket shall be manufactured with a colored thread stitched along both outer edges (approximately 2-5 inches [512.5 cm ] from the edge) as an overlap guide for adjacent mats.

| Matrix |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $70 \%$ Straw Fiber | $0.35 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yd}^{2}\left(0.19 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | $30 \%$ Coconut Fiber | $0.15 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yd} \mathrm{d}^{2}\left(0.08 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| Nettings | Top - Healyweight photodegradable with UV additives | $3.0 \mathrm{lb} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\left(1.47 \mathrm{~kg} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | Bottom - Lightweight Photodegradable | $1.5 \mathrm{lb} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\left(0.73 \mathrm{~kg} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ |
| Thread | Degradable |  |

## SC150 is available in the following standard roll sizes:

| Width | $6.67 \mathrm{ft}(2.03 \mathrm{~m})$ | $16 \mathrm{ft}(4.87 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Length | $108 \mathrm{ft}(32.92 \mathrm{~m})$ | $108 \mathrm{ft}(32.92 \mathrm{~m})$ |
| Weight $\pm 10 \%$ | $44 \mathrm{lbs}(19.95 \mathrm{~kg})$ | $105.6 \mathrm{lbs}(47.9 \mathrm{~kg})$ |
| Area | $80.0 \mathrm{yd}^{2}\left(66.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ | $192 \mathrm{yd}^{2}\left(165.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ |

Index Value Properties:

| Property | Test Rhethod |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thickness | ASTM D6525 | 0.39 in $(9.91 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Resiliency | ECTC Guidelines | $75 \%$ |
| Water Absorbency | ASTM D1117 | $285 \%$ |
| Mass/Unit Area | ASTM 6475 | $11.44 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{yd} \mathrm{d}^{2}\left(388 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| Swell | ECTC Guidelines | $30 \%$ |
| Smolder Resistance | ECTC Guidelines | Yes |
| Stiffness | ASTM D1388 | 1.11 oz-in |
| Light Penetration | ECTC Guidelines | $8.7 \%$ |
| Tensile Strength -MD | ASTM D6818 | $146.6 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}(2.17 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| Elongation - MD | ASTM D6818 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Tensile Strength - TD | ASTM D6818 | $147.6 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}(2.19 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| Elongation - TD | ASTM D6818 | $25.2 \%$ |

Bench Scale Testing* (NTPEP):

| Test 閏ethod | Parameters | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECTC Method 2 Rainfall | 50 mm (2 in)/hr for 30 min | $\mathrm{SLR}^{* *}=5.47$ |
|  | 100 mm ( 4 in )/hr for 30 min | $S_{\text {LR }}{ }^{* *}=5.67$ |
|  | 150 mm ( 6 in )/ $/ \mathrm{hr}$ for 30 min | SLR** $=5.88$ |
| ECTC Method 3 Shear Resistance | Shear at 0.50 inch soil loss | $2.72 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| ECTC Method 4 Germination | Top Soil, Fescue, 21 day incubation | $538 \%$ improvement of biomass |
| *Bench Scale tests should not be used for design purposes |  |  |

## Performance Design Values:

| 聞aximum Permissible Shear Stress |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unvegetated Shear Stress | $2.00 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}(96 \mathrm{~Pa})$ |
| Unvegetated Velocity | $8.00 \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{s}(2.44 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ |


| Slope Design Data; C Factors |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Slope Gradients (S) |  |  |
| Slope Length (L) | $\leq 3: 1$ | $3: 1-2: 1$ | $\geq 2: 1$ |
| $\leq 20 \mathrm{ft}(6 \mathrm{~m})$ | 0.001 | 0.048 | 0.100 |
| $20-50 \mathrm{ft}$ | 0.051 | 0.079 | 0.145 |
| $\geq 50 \mathrm{ft}(15.2 \mathrm{~m})$ | 0.10 | 0.110 | 0.190 |


| Roughness Coefficients- Unveg. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Flow Depth | Manning's n |
| $\leq 0.50 \mathrm{ft}(0.15 \mathrm{~m})$ | 0.050 |
| $0.50-2.0 \mathrm{ft}$ | $0.050-0.018$ |
| $\geq 2.0 \mathrm{ft}(0.60 \mathrm{~m})$ | 0.018 |

Product Participant of:
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