

DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in Section **ECM section 2.5.2 Pedestrian Facilities** of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested for the Jane Kirkman / Texas Jack T Intersection accessible curb ramp layout.

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:

ECM Section 2.5.2.C.3 Accessible Curb Ramps at "T" intersections. All "T" intersections shall have a minimum of four curb ramps as shown in Figure 2-26 (Exhibit A)

State the reason for the requested deviation:

Lot access/driveways for lots 1-3 & 7 -8 would be compromised if curb ramps are installed for N/S crossings of Manor House Way at the School House Drive or Abby House Lane intersections.

North/South crossings of Manor House Way are proposed less than 100' to the east along Dines Boulevard & 100' to the west just north of Tract B to serve the proposed SW within Tract B.

Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):

See Exhibit A for a sketch of the proposed accessible ramp layout of for Manor House Way.

To accommodate accessible access from north to south, ramps and a crosswalk will be placed across Manor House Way at three locations:

the Dines Boulevard intersection

100 feet west of the School House Drive intersection & adjacent to the proposed walk within Tract B.

60' west of the Abby House Lane intersection

Please provide exhibit at end of this form showing intersections in question.

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

(At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

Provide justification:

North-south accessible ramp/crosswalks are available approximately 100 feet west and east of the intersection of the Manor House Way and School House Drive, as shown in the attached Exhibit A. North/south ramps are also provided approximately 60' west of the Abby House Lane & Manor House Way intersection.

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is **not based exclusively on financial considerations**. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with **all of the following criteria**:

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.

This request is not based on financial considerations. The proposed ramp/crosswalk layout provides adequate accessible pedestrian access.

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

Add statement that ramps are still being provided, allowing for safe crossing of the roads.

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.

Maintenance of the El Paso County roadways will not be impacted.

Add statement that maintenance will not be impacted as ramps are still being installed, just offset from standard location.

The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

Aesthetic appearance of the subdivision is not compromised by granting this deviation.

The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

Yes, the deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards and is a balance of the various ECM standards for roadway geometry and pedestrian access.

The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.

Yes, the deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, this project is proposing Water Quality facilities as required by the criteria.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. A deviation from Section _____ of the ECM is hereby granted based on the justification provided.

Γ

⌋

L

⌋

Denied by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. A deviation from Section _____ of the ECM is hereby denied.

Γ

⌋

L

⌋

ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.