# **DRAINAGE LETTER**

for

# ACADEMY MARTIAL ARTS LOTS 9-10, VILLAGE CENTER AT WOODMOOR FILING NO. 4

**Prepared for:** 

Hammers Construction, Inc. 1411 Woolsey Heights Colorado Springs, CO 80915

August 26, 2022

**Prepared by:** 



19 E. Willamette Ave. Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719)-477-9429 www.jpsengr.com

JPS Project No. 062202

# ACADEMY MARTIAL ARTS LOTS 9-10, VILLAGE CENTER AT WOODMOOR FILING NO. 4 <u>DRAINAGE REPORT STATEMENTS</u>

## **Engineer's Statement:**

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the City/County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan for the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for liability caused by negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report:

John P. Schwab Colorado P.E. No. 29891

# I. INTRODUCTION

Hammers Construction is planning to construct a new building for Academy Martial Arts on a vacant commercial site addressed as 980-992 Gold Canyon Road in Monument, Colorado. The 1.8-acre site is platted as Lots 9 and 10, Village Center at Woodmoor Filing No. 4 (El Paso County Assessor's No. 71133-01-065 and 71133-01-080). The property is located at the northeast corner of Gold Canyon Road and Morning Canyon Road. The property is zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and planned for commercial center use.

Gold Canyon Road is an existing paved public street along the south boundary of this vacant site, and Morning Canyon Road is an existing paved public street adjoining thew west boundary of the site. Highway 105 is an existing paved public arterial street along the north boundary of the property. The east boundary of the site adjoins Tract B, Village Center at Woodmoor Filing No. 3A, which is an open space tract owned by the Town of Monument.

The site development will consist of a proposed 9,000 square-foot, single-story retail building with associated parking and site improvements. Access to the site will be provided by a private driveway connection to Gold Canyon Road along the south boundary of the property.

This report is intended to meet the requirements of a site-specific "Letter Type" drainage report in accordance with Town of Monument drainage criteria.

# II. EXISTING / PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

Drainage planning for this site was previously studied in several drainage reports on file for the "Village Center at Woodmoor" Subdivision, including the "Preliminary Drainage Report, Village Center at Woodmoor" dated June 3, 2004 by JPS Engineering and the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Village Center Filing No. 4" dated March, 2010 by JR Engineering.

The previous drainage reports identify developed flows from this site draining into an existing stormwater detention pond located immediately downstream of this property at the southeast corner of Gold Canyon Road and Morning Canyon Road. The existing detention facilities were designed to accept developed drainage from this site.

The on-site drainage area has been delineated as Basin T (1.8 acres) as depicted on the attached Drainage Plan (Sheet D1). Basin T generally flows southwesterly across the site, with developed peak flows calculated as  $Q_5 = 5.2$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 10.5$  cfs. The site is impacted by a small off-site drainage area (Basin OT1) along the southeast boundary of the property, which sheet flows northwesterly into Basin T. Developed peak flows from Basin OT1 are calculated as  $Q_5 = 0.1$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 0.7$  cfs.

Drainage from Basin OT1 and T will generally sheet flow westerly to the curb and gutter and crosspans within the parking area surrounding the new building, draining to private storm inlets at selected low points. A private storm sewer system will convey the developed flows southwesterly across the site. Inlets T1.1 and T1.2 (Private Type 13 Inlets) will intercept surface drainage from the driveway along the east side of the building, and Storm Sewer T1.1-T1.2 Drg-Ltr-AMA-0822

(Private 12" HDPE) will convey this flow southwesterly to Inlet T1.4 in the southwest parking lot. Inlet T1.3 (Private Type 16) will intercept surface drainage from the north parking area, and Storm Sewer T1.3 (Private 12" HDPE) will convey this flow south around the west side of the building to Inlet T1.4. Private Inlet T1.4 (5' Type R) will intercept surface drainage from the south parking lot, and Storm Sewer T1.4 (Private 18" HDPE) will discharge the combined flows into the existing stormwater detention pond on the south side of Gold Canyon Road.

In the event of clogging, overflows from the on-site private Storm Inlets T1.1-T1.4 will flow southwesterly across the parking lot towards the southwest corner of the site, draining to the existing downstream public storm inlets and detention pond.

Developed flows from Basins OT1 and T combined at Design Point #1, with developed peak flows calculated as  $Q_5 = 5.3$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 11.3$  cfs. The 2010 "Preliminary Drainage Report for Village Center Filing No. 4" by JR Engineering identified developed peak flows of  $Q_5 = 7.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 13.7$  cfs for Basin T, so the calculated flows in this report are consistent with the previously approved subdivision drainage report.

Developed flows from Basins OT1 and T discharge into the existing downstream detention pond with anticipated flows in full conformance with the previously approved drainage reports for this subdivision.

# III. GENERAL DRAINAGE RECOMMENDATIONS

The developed drainage plan for the site is to provide and maintain positive drainage away from proposed structures and conform to the established drainage patterns for the overall retail center. JPS Engineering recommends that positive drainage be established and maintained away from all structures within the site, in conformance with applicable building codes and geotechnical engineering recommendations.

In general, we recommend a minimum of 6 inches clearance from the top of concrete foundation walls to adjacent finished site grades. Positive drainage slopes should be maintained away from all structures, with a minimum recommended slope of 5 percent for the first 10 feet away from buildings in landscaped areas, a minimum recommended slope of 2 percent for the first 10 feet away from buildings in paved areas, and a minimum slope of 1 percent for paved areas beyond buildings.

Proper erosion control measures should be implemented and maintained in conjunction with any improvements or disturbance to the site, and vegetated buffer strips should be maintained where feasible along the downstream perimeter of the property to minimize off-site transport of sediment.

# IV. DRAINAGE PLANNING – FOUR STEP PROCESS

Town of Monument Drainage Criteria require drainage planning to include a Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainageways, and implementing long-term source controls. The Four Step Process has been implemented as follows in the planning of this project: Drg-Ltr-AMA-0822 2 Step 1: Employ Runoff Reduction Practices

• Detention Basin: Developed drainage from this lot will be routed through the existing Detention Basin immediately south of this property, which has a vegetated pond bottom to encourage stormwater infiltration prior to discharge to the downstream drainage system.

Step 2: Implement BMPs that Provide a Water Quality Capture Volume with Slow Release

• The existing Extended Detention Basin (EDB) mitigates developed drainage and water quality impacts from this lot.

Step 3: Stabilize Drainageways

• There are no major drainageways adjacent to this site. Impacts on downstream drainageways will be minimized by routing developed flows through the existing Detention Pond.

Step 4: Implement Site Specific and Other Source Control BMPs

- No outside storage or industrial uses are proposed for this site.
- The Property Owner will need to maintain proper stormwater management procedures, including good housekeeping practices and spill containment procedures.

# V. DRAINAGE BASIN FEES

This parcel is located within the Teachout Creek Drainage Basin. No public drainage facilities are required for the proposed site development. The site consists of previously platted lots, so no drainage or bridge fees are applicable at this time.

# VI. SUMMARY

The proposed drainage patterns associated with the Academy Martial Arts Building project will remain consistent with the overall drainage plan for the Village Center at Woodmoor Subdivision. Stormwater detention and water quality treatment for this site will be provided in the existing downstream Detention Pond immediately south of this property. Establishment and maintenance of positive drainage and proper erosion control practices will ensure that the proposed site development has no significant adverse drainage impact on adjacent properties or downstream facilities.

APPENDIX A

**DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS & EXHIBITS** 

ACADEMY MARTIAL ARTS - LOTS 9-10, VILLAGE CENTER AT WOODMOOR FILING NO. 4 COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS

DEVELOPED CONI	DITIONS										
5-YEAR C VALUES											
	TOTAL		SUB-AREA 1		ARFA	SUB-AREA 2 DEVELOPMENT/			SUB-AREA 3 DEVELOPMENT/		WEIGHTED
BASIN	(AC)	(AC)	COVER	U	(AC)	COVER	U	(AC)	COVER	U	C VALUE
OT1	0.30	0.30	MEADOW	0.08							0.080
Т	1.80	1.20	BUILDINGS/IMPERVIOUS	0.9	09.0	LANDSCAPED	0.08				0.627
OT1,T	2.10										0.549
100-YEAR C VALUI	ES										
	TOTAL		SUB-ARFA 1			SUB-ARFA 2			SUB-ARFA 3		
	AREA		DEVELOPMENT/		AREA	DEVELOPMENT/		_	DEVELOPMENT/		WEIGHTED
BASIN	(AC)	(AC)	COVER	C	(AC)	COVER	C	(AC)	COVER	c	C VALUE
0T1	0.30	0.30	MEADOW	0.35							0.350
Т	1.80	1.20	BUILDINGS/IMPERVIOUS	0.96	09.0	LANDSCAPED	0.35				0.757
OT1,T	2.10										0.699

G
z
≂
<b></b>
₹
Ξ.
<u></u>
2
ш
S
Δ.
~

ACADEMY MARTIAL ARTS - LOTS 9-10, VILLAGE CENTER AT WOODMOOR FILING NO. 4 RATIONAL METHOD

# DEVELOPED FLOWS

					Ó	verland Flov	~		Cha	nnel flow								
				~				CHANNEL	CONVEYANCE		SCS <sup>(2)</sup>		TOTAL	TOTAL	INTENS	TY <sup>(5)</sup>	PEAK FL	wo
BASIN	DESIGN	AREA (AC)	5-YEAR	100-YEAR	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE (FT/FT)	Tco <sup>(1)</sup> (MIN)	LENGTH (FT)	COEFFICIENT C	SLOPE (FT/FT)	VELOCITY (FT/S)	⊤t <sup>(3)</sup> (MIN)	Tc <sup>(4)</sup> (MIN)	Tc <sup>(4)</sup> (MIN)	5-YR (IN/HR)	100-YR (IN/HR)	Q5 <sup>(6)</sup> (CFS)	Q100 <sup>(6)</sup> (CFS)
011	0T1	0.30	0.080	0.350	100	0.06	10.3					0.0	10.3	10.3	4.09	6.86	0.10	0.72
L	F	1.80	0.627	0.757	100	0.05	5.0	295	20	0.011	2.10	2.3	7.3	7.3	4.60	7.72	5.19	10.52
OT1,T	1	2.10	0.549	0.699									7.3	7.3	4.60	7.72	5.30	11.33

1) OVERLAND FLOW Tco = (0.395\*(1.1-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT)\*(OVERLAND FLOW LENGTH\*(0.5)/(SLOPE^(0.333)) 2) SCS VELOCITY = C \* ((SLOPE(FT)FT)\*0.5) C = 2.5 FOR TILLAGE/TIELD C = 5 FOR TILLAGE/TIELD C = 7 FOR SHORT PASTURE AND LAWNS C = 10 FOR NEARLY BARE GROUND C = 15 FOR GRASSED WATERWAY C = 20 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES

3) MANNING'S CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME = L/V (WHEN CHANNEL VELOCITY IS KNOWN)
4) Tc = Tco + Tt
\*\*\* IF TOTAL TIME OF CONCENTRATION IS LESS THAN 5 MINUTES, THEN 5 MINUTES IS USED
5) INTENSITY BASED ON I-D-F EQUATIONS IN CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL
I<sub>5</sub> = -1.5 \* In(Tc) + 7.583
I<sub>100</sub> = -2.52 \* In(Tc) + 12.735
6) Q = CIA

# ACADEMY MARTIAL ARTS STORM INLET SIZING SUMMARY

	BASIN	FLOW		INLET FLC	W				
		Q5 FLOW	Q100 FLOW	INLET FLOW %	Q5 FLOW	Q100 FLOW	INLET CONDITION /	INLET	INLET CAPACITY
INLET	DP	(CFS)	(CFS)	OF BASIN	(CFS)	(CFS)	TYPE	SIZE	(CFS)
T1.1	1	5.3	11.3	20	1.1	2.3	SUMP TYPE 13	SGL	4.4
T1.2	1	5.3	11.3	20	1.1	2.3	SUMP TYPE 13	SGL	4.4
T1.3	1	5.3	11.3	20	1.1	2.3	SUMP TYPE 16	SGL	6.5
T1.4	1	5.3	11.3	40	2.1	4.5	SUMP TYPE R	5'	6.5



#### INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT/Denver	13 Valley Grate	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	2.00	2.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	8.7	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>o</sub> (G) =	3.00	3.00	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> =	1.73	1.73	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	0.43	0.43	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	0.50	0.50	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	3.30	3.30	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	0.60	0.60	1
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	•
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	N/A	N/A	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	N/A	N/A	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	N/A	N/A	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C <sub>f</sub> (C) =	N/A	N/A	1
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	N/A	N/A	
	-			-
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)	_	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Grate</sub> =	0.523	0.749	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	0.94	1.00	
		MINOR	MAIOR	
	o -[	MINUR	WAJUK	1.4.
Total inlet interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Qa -	2.6	4.4	CIS
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	1.1	2.3	cfs



#### INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Denver No. 16	6 Combination	]
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	2.00	2.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	1
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	8.7	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>o</sub> (G) =	3.00	3.00	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> =	1.73	1.73	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	0.31	0.31	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	0.50	0.50	1
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	3.60	3.60	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	0.60	0.60	1
Curb Opening Information	-	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	3.00	3.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.50	6.50	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	5.25	5.25	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	0.00	0.00	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C <sub>f</sub> (C) =	0.10	0.10	1
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.70	3.70	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.66	0.66	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Grate</sub> =	0.523	0.749	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.56	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.94	1.00	1
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	1.00	1.00	1
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	0.94	1.00	
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q</b> <sub>a</sub> =	3.9	6.5	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	1.1	2.3	cfs



#### INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.6	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	•
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C <sub>f</sub> (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	1
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
	-			•
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	1.
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.33	0.38	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	0.77	0.84	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q</b> <sub>a</sub> =	5.4	6.5	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	2.1	4.5	cfs

# ACADEMY MARTIAL ARTS STORM SEWER SIZING SUMMARY

	PIPE FLOW			PIPE CAPA	ACITY	
PIPE	INLETS	Q5 FLOW (CFS)	Q100 FLOW (CFS)	PIPE SIZE (IN)	MIN. PIPE SLOPE	FULL PIPE CAPACITY (CFS)
T1.1	T1.1	1.1	2.3	12	1.0%	3.6
T1.2	T1.1,T1.2	2.1	4.5	12	1.6%	4.5
T1.3	T1.3	1.1	2.3	12	1.0%	3.6
T1.4	T1.1-T1.4	5.3	11.3	18	1.2%	11.5

# ASSUMPTIONS:

1. STORM SEWER PIPE TO BE RCP OR HDPE

# **Hydraulic Analysis Report**

## **Project Data**

Project Title:Project - AMADesigner:JPSProject Date:Tuesday, August 2, 2022Project Units:U.S. Customary UnitsNotes:Votes:

#### Channel Analysis: SD-T1.1,T1.3

Notes:

## **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.0000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0130 Depth: 1.0000 ft

## **Result Parameters**

Flow: 3.5628 cfs Area of Flow: 0.7854 ft<sup>2</sup> Wetted Perimeter: 3.1416 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.2500 ft Average Velocity: 4.5363 ft/s Top Width: 0.0000 ft Froude Number: 0.0000 Critical Depth: 0.8057 ft Critical Velocity: 5.2542 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0103 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.79 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.6240 lb/ft<sup>2</sup> Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.1560 lb/ft<sup>2</sup>

## **Channel Analysis: SD-T1.2**

Notes:

## **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.0000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0160 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0130 Depth: 1.0000 ft

## **Result Parameters**

Flow: 4.5066 cfs Area of Flow: 0.7854 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 3.1416 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.2500 ft Average Velocity: 5.7380 ft/s Top Width: 0.0000 ft Froude Number: 0.0000 Critical Depth: 0.8867 ft Critical Velocity: 6.1204 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0143 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.63 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.9984 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.2496 lb/ft^2

## **Channel Analysis: SD-T1.4**

Notes:

## **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.5000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0120 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0130 Depth: 1.5000 ft

## **Result Parameters**

Flow: 11.5069 cfs Area of Flow: 1.7671 ft<sup>2</sup> Wetted Perimeter: 4.7124 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.3750 ft Average Velocity: 6.5116 ft/s Top Width: 0.0000 ft Froude Number: 0.0000 Critical Depth: 1.2935 ft Critical Velocity: 7.1015 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0111 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 1.03 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 1.1232 lb/ft<sup>2</sup> Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.2808 lb/ft<sup>2</sup>





SH	VILLACE CENTER	H-SCALE	1"=60'	No. REVISION	BY DAT.	1.1		PREPARED FOR	
IEE" B N		V-SCALF		1 REVISED PER SUBMITTAL COMMENTS	GLY 01/20,	/10			AND A STARSE
T 10 <i>.</i>				2 REVISED PER SUBMITTAL COMMENTS		/10	LD ENCINEEDING	MAG II MONUMENT. LLC	
1 29		DATE	08/13/08						APPROPRIATE REVIEWING AGENCIES,
949	DRAINAGE MAP	DESIGNED BY	GLY				A Westrian Company	721 EMERSON ROAD, SUITE 100	JR ENGINEERING APPROVES THEIR
OF 9.0		DRAWN BY	- CLY				7200 S. Alton Way, Suite C100. • Centennial, CO 801/2	SI, LOUIS, MO 63141	DESIGNATED BY WRITTEN
4								ALIN: LEE WIELANSKY	AUTHORIZATION.
							3U3-14U-3333 • Fax 3U3-121-9UB	(211) ETE OEDD	
1							www.jrengineering.com		

