OCTIBER 2024

Prepared for:

DTV Aeroplaza, LLC 1776 N. Scottdale Road Scottsdale, AZ 85252 (480) 313-2724

Prepared by:



CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.

212 N. Wahsatch Avenue, Suite 305 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 955-5485

Project #10-035

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR LOT 1 AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 3 DRAINAGE REPORT STATEMENTS

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

This report and plan for the drainage design of Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 3 was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report and plan has been prepared in accordance with the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual and is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Virgil A. Sanchez, P.E. Colorado #37160 For and on Behalf of M & S Civil Consultants, Inc. Date

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

DTV LLC, hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 3 shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer and that are submitted to the City of Colorado Springs pursuant to Section 7.4.701 of the City Code; and cannot, on behalf of Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 3, guarantee that final drainage design review will absolve DTV LLC, and/or their successors and/or assigns of future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

BY: _____

DATE: _____

Printed: Title: Address:

Developer DTV LLC 1776 N. Scottdale Road Scottsdale, AZ 85252

CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS

Filed in accordance with Section 7.4.701 of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs, 2023, as amended,

BY:

CONDITIONS:

DATE: _____

For the SWENT Manager

2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE	5
GENERAL LOCATION, LOCATION MAP AND DESCRIPTION	5
PREVIOUS DRAINAGE REPORTS	6
FLOODPLAIN DISCUSSION AND FIRM	6
SOILS DISCUSSION	6
HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS	7
HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS	7
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	7
FOUR STEP PROCESS	8
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	10
EROSION CONTROL	13
DRAINAGE, BRIDGE AND POND FEES	13
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS	13
CONCLUSIONS	14
REFERENCES	15

APPENDIX

- A. VICINITY MAP
- B. SOILS MAP
- C. FEMA FLOOD MAP
- D. HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS
- E. HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

F. MAPS

G. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Purpose

The following is the Preliminary Drainage Report for Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 3. The purpose of this report is to estimate peak runoff associated with the existing and proposed development and recommend drainage solutions to safely route stormwater to adequate downstream facilities.

General Location, Location Map and Description

Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 3, (aka Milton Proby Starbucks) is located in Section 1, of Township 15 South, Range 66 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, City of Colorado Springs, El Paso County, Colorado. The development is bound to the north by existing Milton E. Proby Parkway, to the east by unplatted and undeveloped land and existing Powers Boulevard, to the south by unplatted and undeveloped land, and to the west by Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 2 (an undeveloped parcel of land). Refer to the figure (Figure 1) below for a vicinity map.



Figure 1. - Vicinity Map of Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 3

Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 3 is 1.18 acres in size, and is currently zoned for Planned Development. The site is currently undeveloped. The subject site has an existing public utility easement of variable width and a 50' gas easement that runs across the northern portion of the lot. Existing vegetation is sparce and consists primarily of native grasses. Existing site terrain generally slopes from northeast to southwest, with slopes varying between 0.7% to 33%. There are no apparent wetlands within the boundary of this project. The subject property is located within the Windmill Gulch Drainage Basin. Per the Colorado Springs Streamside Overlay Map, no portion of the site lies within a streamside overlay area. The subject site is greater than 500' from the existing Windmill Gulch channel.

Previous Drainage Reports

Below is a brief synopsis of the recommendations and/or key assumptions for the site from the available historic drainage reports/studies. The findings from these reports and utilized for planning of site grading and stormwater infrastructure development. Experts and maps from the previous studies can be found in the appendix of this report.

<u>Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1, by</u> Classis Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, dated July 2022, approved June 03, 2023.

- The subject site has a planned developed flow of Q=4.8 cfs and Q100=9.0 cfs into the private FSD pond
- The private FSD pond has been sized to treat 14.39 acres of developed area (treating 1.17 acres from the subject site)
- The private FSD pond outfalls into the Windmill Gulch drainage channel at less than historic rates

<u>Drainage Letter for Super Star Car Wash – Powers and Milton</u>, by Bowman, dated January 2024, approved January 26, 2024.

- Located west of the subject site
- States that the Master Developer has allocated 0.148 acres for planned infiltration area, and the developed lot requires 0.017 acres of PIA. (0.131 acres left for future development)
- Planned flows to the private FSD pond for the car wash are Q5=2.78 cfs and Q100=5.5 cfs which is less than what assumed when the private FSD pond was designed (Q5=4.9 cfs, Q100=9.0 cfs)

Floodplain Discussion and FIRM

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel No. 08041C0763G, dated December 7, 2018, the subject site is **NOT** located within the 100-year floodplain. The property lies within Zone X, area of minimal flood hazard. An annotated FIRM Panel is included in the Appendix with an outline of the project site.

Soils Discussion

Per the Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey, the property contains "Blakeland loamy sand" soils (Map Unit 8). They possess a Hydrologic Soil Group Type of "A". Type "A" soils are described to having a high infiltration rate when thoroughly wet and a high rate of water transmission. A copy of the onsite soils is provided in the appendix. If a geotechnical study has been performed, it may be referenced or appended, and should provide the measured groundwater elevation with bore logs provided in an Appendix.

Hydrologic Calculations

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria manual (Vol.1). The Rational Method was used to estimate storm water runoff anticipated from design storms with minor (5-year) and major (100-year) recurrence intervals. Drainage basins were delineated (see drainage map in Appendix) in order to determine areas. C' coefficients were assigned in accordance with Table 6-6 Volume 1 of the Drainage Criteria Manual. Overland flow and channelized flow paths were analyzed for each sub-basin in order to determine times of concentration. A minimum of 5 minutes was utilized for urban areas. IDF equations presented in Chapter 6 of the DCM were utilized to calculate flow rates.

Hydraulic Calculations

Hydraulic calculations were estimated using the methods described in the City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria Manual (DCM) along with the Mile High Flood District (MHFD). Manning's Equation was used for estimation of required pipe sizes. The final drainage report, once produced, will utilize StormCAD to calculate the Hydraulic Grade line (HGL) calculations for the systems using the standard head loss method and K coefficients from Table 9-4 in Chapter 9, Volume 1, of the DCM. The starting conditions for the future HGL analysis for the minor and major storm event will be based upon the 5-year and 100year water surface elevations of the offsite private shared FSD Pond that the proposed system will outfall to. The elevations for these two storm events were determined to be 5923.79' and 5925.45' respectively (as determined by the Final Drainage Report for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, Dated July, 2022, Approved June 01, 2023 (FDR ASFI). The WSE elevations were determined by adding the maximum ponding depth for the two storm events of 4.79' and 6.45' to the bottom of pond elevations (5919.00') as provided within their MHFD Detention_V4.06 design worksheet.

Existing Drainage Conditions

This site is currently undeveloped and generally slopes from the northeast to southwest. In the existing condition, runoff from the site sheet flows to the southwest and continues offsite and is eventually intercepted by the existing offsite temporary sediment basin located to the southwest of the site. Flows are ultimately conveyed to the Windmill Gulch Drainage Basin. The following paragraphs detail the existing drainage patterns. Refer to the appendix for hydrologic and hydraulic calculations and the existing conditions drainage map. DP shall be the abbreviation for Design Point. Basins with an asterisk are basins from the FDR-ASFI and can be found in the appendix of this report.

Basin OS1, 0.21 acres, is located north of the subject site and consists of portions of the Milton Proby Right of Way. The undeveloped land is covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation. Runoff produced within the basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.6 cfs) is conveyed as sheet flow to southwest to **Basin A and Basin OS2**.

Basin OS2, 0.50 acres, is located along the northeastern boundary of the site and consists of undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation. Runoff produced within the basin (Q5=0.2 cfs, Q100=1.7 cfs) is conveyed southwest to **Basin A**.

Basin A, 1.14 acres, the subject site, consists of undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.4 cfs, Q100=3.1 cfs) continues southwest to **DP2**.

Basin OS5, 0.01 acres, located just southwest of the site, consists of undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation. Runoff from **Basin A, OS1 and OS2** combine with the flows produced within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs) and continues southwest to **DP2**. The combined runoff at **DP2** reaches peak flow rates of Q5=0.6 cfs, Q100=4.5 cfs.

Basin *EX-C, 3.28 acres is located to the east of the subject site and consists of a portion of existing Powers Boulevard and off-site tributary area (refer to the Developed Conditions Map in the **FDR-ASFI**). Per the **FDR-ASFI**, runoff produced from within this basin (Q5=4.3 cfs, Q100=11.3 cfs) is conveyed southwest to **Basin OS3**.

Basin OS3, 2.22 acres is located east of the subject site and consists of undeveloped covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation. Runoff produced from **Basin EX-C** combines with the flows produced within this basin (Q5=0.7 cfs, Q100=5.4 cfs) and continues south to **DP1** (Q5=4.9 cfs, Q100=16.1 cfs).

Basin *OS4, 1.69 acres, is located south of the subject site and consists of the undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation (refer to the Developed Conditions Map in the **FDR-ASFI**). Per the **FDR-ASFI**, runoff produced from within this basin is (Q5=2.9 cfs, Q100=7.9 cfs).

Basin B, 0.04 acres, the subject site, consists of undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs) continues southwest to **Basin OS6**.

Basin OS6, 0.00 acres, is located west of the subject site and consists of the undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation. Runoff produced from within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs) is conveyed south to Lot 1, Airport Spectrum Filing No. 2 to **DP3** (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs). Runoff from this basin is conveyed to the planned private FSD pond.

Four Step Process

Step 1 Employ Runoff Reduction Practices. – The bottom of the private offsite planned FSD pond by Classic Consulting (which is to be completed prior to the start of construction for this site) is being utilized as receiving pervious area. The City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Runoff Reduction criteria will be met with the submittal of this Final Drainage Report, this includes compliance with the Green Infrastructure Manual and Policy Clarification on Green Infrastructure. The corresponding calculations in the Runoff Reduction spreadsheet (UD-BMP v 3.07) and a Runoff Reduction Exhibit meet the minimum requirement of a 10% reduction in the WQCV. The WQCV reduction percentage achieved for Lot 1 was 27%. The Green Infrastructure Map and Runoff Reduction Calculations can be found in the Appendix.

Step 2 Implement PCMs that provide a water quality capture volume with slow release. –A planned privately owned and maintained FSD pond was designed by Classic Consulting and has been sized to collect and treat the developed runoff from this site. The private planned FSD pond will function to slow the release of runoff from the developed lot below historic rates into Windmill Gulch. Refer to the table below for treatment methods for the subject site.

Basin	Associated	Area	Treatment	Ownership and
	Disturbance	Percent	Method	Maintenance
Α	0.04	2.54	FSD Pond	Private
В	0.47	30.05	FSD Pond	Private
С	0.03	2.23	FSD Pond	Private
D	0.01	0.94	FSD Pond	Private
D1	0.01	0.47	FSD Pond	Private
D2	0.00	0.29	FSD Pond	Private
D3	0.02	1.57	FSD Pond	Private
D4	0.00	0.07	FSD Pond	Private
D5	0.02	1.15	FSD Pond	Private
E	0.09	5.84	FSD Pond	Private
F	0.14	8.77	FSD Pond	Private
G	0.03	1.84	FSD Pond	Private
Н	0.07	4.69	FSD Pond	Private
I	0.08	5.21	FSD Pond	Private
OS3	0.22	4.52	FSD Pond	Private
OS4	0.23	13.91	FSD Pond	Private
OS5	0.01	14.77	FSD Pond	Private
OS6	0.00	0.83	FSD Pond	Private
Total	1.56	100%		

The planned private FSD pond will provide water quality capture volume for approximately 1.56 acres of the disturbed area for Lot (including offsite grading done both east and south of the site), which will be released over 40 hours per the **FDR-ASFI**. Per the **FDR-ASFI**, the 100-year release rate for the FSD pond will be less that for equal to the pre-developed conditions runoff. A 15' wide spillway and rundown with type M riprap and topsoil cover provide and emergency overflow. Refer to the **FSD ASFI** for pond sizing and calculations.

Per M&S evaluation, a total of 1.56 acres are to be disturbed with the development of Lot 1. Approximately 1.56 acres (100%) of the total disturbed area to be conveyed to the planned private FSD pond for water quality treatment. It is important to note that the FSD ASFI planned for all of Lot 1, along with Basin EX-C, undeveloped Basin OS3, and Basin OS4 to be conveyed to the shared private FSD pond.

The pond was sized by the **FDR-ASFI** to account for planned infiltration area. The total PIA in the planned offsite FSD pond is planned to be 0.148 acres. The adjacent development to the west plans to utilize 0.017 acres of the allotted 0.148 acres, leaving 0.131 acres of PIA remaining for future development. The development of the

subject site is to utilize 0.013 acres of the remaining 0.131 acres. This leaves 0.118 acres of PIA for future development.

Step 3 Stabilize streams. - All new and re-development project are required to construction or participate in the funding of channel stabilization measures. The runoff from the site will be directed to a private FSD Pond which releases runoff below historic rates to the existing Windmill Gulch Channel. Drainage fees paid at the time of platting go towards channel stabilization within the drainage basin. The drainage fees for this site have been previous paid at the time of the initial platting. Therefore, no drainageways are affected by the proposed development.

Step 4 Implement site specific and other source control CMs. – The proposed development will implement a Stormwater Management Plan including property housekeeping practices and spill containment procedures. Material storage (such as backfill stockpiles or landscape materials), designated fueling areas and trash enclosures during construction are to be located away from drainage facilities. Source control measures (CMs) such as covering storage/handling areas and implementing containment measures should be utilized to prevent containments from entering the City's storm sewer systems.

Proposed Drainage Conditions

This development of the site shall construct a Starbuck's building (approximately 2,421 square feet), a drive thru, sidewalks, landscaped areas, drive aisles, and associated parking. Generally, runoff produced from the building will be collected via roof drains, runoff produced from the landscaped areas will be directed to area inlets, and runoff produced from the asphalt drive aisles, concrete drive thru, parking areas, and sidewalks will be conveyed to inlets which will convey the runoff via a proposed storm sewer system to a planned private FSD pond located southwest of the site for treatment.

The following paragraphs detail the proposed drainage patterns. Refer to the appendix for hydrologic and hydraulic calculations and the proposed conditions drainage map. **DP** shall be the abbreviation for **Design Point**. **PR** shall be the abbreviation for **Pipe Run**. HDPE refers to ADS N-12 dual wall HDPE pipe or approved equivalent. PP refers to HP storm polypropylene pipe or approved equivalent. Basins with an asterisk are basins from the **FDR-ASFI** and can be found in the appendix of this report.

Basin C, 0.04 acres, consists of proposed landscaping and sidewalk located north of the proposed building. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs) is conveyed to a proposed private nyloplast drain basin with 12" dome greate at **DP1**, (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). The collected runoff shall continue south via a proposed private 8" PP storm drain, **PR1** (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs).

Basin D1, 0.01 acres, consists primarily of proposed building canopy. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs) shall be collected by four (4) proposed private 6" HDPE roof drains, PR R1, PR R3, PR R5, and PR R7 all with flows of Q5=0.0 cfs and Q100=0.0 cfs. Flows from PR1 combine with the flows from PR R1 at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R2 (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). Flows from PR R2 and PR R3 combine at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R4 (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). Flows from PR R4 and PR R5 combine at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R4 (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). Flows from PR R4 and PR R5 combine at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R6, (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). Flows from PR R6 and PR R7 combine at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R8, (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). Flows from PR R6 and PR R7 combine at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R8, (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). Flows from PR R6 and PR R7 combine at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R8, (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). Flows from PR R6 and PR R7 combine at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R8, (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). Flows from PR R6 and PR R7 combine at a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, PR R8, (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs).

Basin D4, 0.00 acres, consists primarily of proposed building rooftop. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs) shall be collected and piped via a proposed 6" HDPE roof drain, **PR R9** (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs). The combined runoff from **PR R8 and PR R9** continue downstream to a proposed private 8" HDPE roof drain, **PR R10** (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs).

Basin D, 0.01 acres, consists primarily of proposed building rooftop. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs) shall be collected and piped via a proposed 8" HDPE roof drain, **PR R11** (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs).

Basin D2, 0.00 acres, consists primarily of proposed building canopy located on the back of the building. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs) shall be collected and piped via a proposed 6" HDPE roof drain, **PR R12** (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs). The combined runoff from **PR R11 and PR R12** continue downstream to a proposed private 8" HDPE storm drain, **PR R13** (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs).

Basin D3, 0.02 acres, consists primarily of proposed building rooftop. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs) shall be collected and piped via a proposed 6" HDPE roof drain, PR R14 (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs). The combined runoff from PR R13 and PR R14 continue downstream to a proposed private 8" HDPE storm drain, PR R15 (Q5=0.2 cfs, Q100=0.3 cfs).

Basin D5, 0.02 acres, consists primarily of proposed building rooftop. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs) shall be collected and piped via a proposed 6" HDPE roof drain, PR R16 (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs). The combined runoff from PR R15 and PR R16 continue downstream to a proposed private 8" PP storm drain, PR R17 (Q5=0.2 cfs, Q100=0.4 cfs).

Basin F, 0.14 acres, consists primarily of proposed concrete drive thru and landscaping are located on the east side of the development. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.6 cfs, Q100=1.1 cfs) is conveyed south within the concrete drive aisle to a proposed private 2' wide curb cut at DP3 (Q5=0.6 cfs, Q100=1.1 cfs). Refer to the appendix for curb cut calculations. The flows from DP3 are conveyed to the proposed private nyloplast drain basin located within Basin E

Basin E, 0.09 acres, consists primarily of proposed landscaping area and sidewalk located south of the proposed building. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.3 cfs) is conveyed to a proposed private nyloplast drain basin with a 12" dome grate at DP2 (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.3 cfs). The flows from DP2 are collected and combine with the flows from PR R17 and the runoff from Basin F and are piped downstream via a proposed private 12" PP storm drain, PR2 (Q5=0.9 cfs, Q100=1.8 cfs).

Basin G, 0.03 acres, consists primarily of proposed landscaped area located in the southern portion of the lot. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs) is conveyed to a proposed private nyloplast drain basin with a 12" dome grate at DP4 (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs). The flows from DP4 are collected and combine with the flows from PR2 and PR R10 at a proposed private 12" PP storm drain, PR3 (Q5=1.1 cfs, Q100=2.2 cfs).

Basin OS1, 0.21 acres, consists primarily of undeveloped offsite area located north of the subject site. In the FDR ASFI a swale, was proposed to collect the offsite runoff and convey it to the east to an existing private 18" PP storm pipe. However, the swale was never built and the runoff instead flows onsite. The runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.6 cfs) flows southwest to **Basin A**.

Basin OS2, 0.23 acres, consists primarily of undeveloped offsite land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation, located along the northeastern boundary of the site. Runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.6 cfs) flows southwest to **Basin A**.

Basin A, 0.13 acres, consists primarily of landscaped area located in the northern portion of the site. The combined runoff from **Basins OS1 and OS2** and the runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.4 cfs) is conveyed south to **Basin B**.

Basin I, 0.08 acres, consists primarily of landscaped area located along the west side of the development. The runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.3 cfs) sheet flows to **Basin B**.

Basin B, 0.47 acres, consists primarily of proposed asphalt drive aisles, parking areas, and sidewalks located on the west side of the site. The combined runoff from **Basins OS1**, **OS2**, **A**, **I** and the runoff produced within this basin (Q5=2.1 cfs, Q100=3.8 cfs) are conveyed to **DP5** via a proposed 2' concrete ribbon gutter and paved drive aisle. The total runoff at **DP5**, a proposed private 5' CDOT Type R inlet (Inlet 1), reaches peak flow rates of Q5=2.0 cfs, Q100=4.8 cfs. The runoff from **DP5** is collected and piped via a proposed private 18" PP storm drain, **PR4** (Q5=2.0 cfs, Q100=4.8 cfs). The flows from **PR3 and PR4** combine at a proposed private 18" PP storm drain, **PR5** (Q5=2.9 cfs, Q100=6.7 cfs). The flows from **PR5** continue downstream to a planned private 24" RCP by others, **PREX1** (Q5=2.9 cfs, Q100=6.7 cfs) to the private planned FSD pond.

Basin *EX-C, as mentioned above in the existing condition, is 3.28 acres, and consists of a portion of existing Powers Boulevard and off-site tributary area. Runoff produced from within this basin (Q5=4.3 cfs, Q100=11.3 cfs) is conveyed southwest to **Basin OS3** (refer to the **FDR-AS1** report).

Basin H, 0.07 acres, consists of landscaped area located along the east side of the subject site. The runoff produced within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs) sheet flows to the east, away from the development, to a proposed grass lined swale (Swale-1) located within **Basin OS3.** Calculations for Swale-1 can be found in the appendix of this report.

Basin OS3, 2.49 acres, consists of undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation located east of the site. Runoff from this basin (Q5=0.8 cfs, Q100=6.0 cfs) combines with the runoff from **Basins EX-C and H** and is conveyed south to **DP6**. The total combined runoff at **DP6** reaches peak flow rates of Q5=5.0 cfs and Q100=16.9 cfs. In the **FDR ASF1**, the planned flows to **DP6** were Q5=5.1 cfs and Q100=17.7 cfs. In the proposed condition by M&S, the flows to **DP6** are Q5=5.1 cfs and Q100=16.9 cfs.

Basin J, 0.07 acres, consists of proposed landscaped area located along the southern boundary of the subject site. Runoff from this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs) is conveyed away from the site to **Basin OS4**.

Basin OS5, 0.01 acres, consists primarily of undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation, located just southwest of the subject site. Runoff from this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs) is conveyed south to **Basin OS4**.

Basin *OS4, 1.69 acres, discussed in the **FDR-ASFI** report, consists primarily of undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation and the planned private FSD pond located to the southwest of the development. Runoff from this basin (Q5=2.9 cfs, Q100=7.9 cfs) and the flows from **Basin J**

combine at **DP7**. Per the **FDR ASF1**, the planned flows for **Basin OS4** were Q5=2.9 cfs and Q100=7.9 cfs. In the proposed condition (calculated by M&S), the total flows to **Basin OS4** are Q5=2.9 cfs and Q100=8.1 cfs. The increase in flow in the major storm event is negligible.

Basin OS6, 0.0 acres, is located west of the subject site and consists of the undeveloped land covered primarily in sparse, native grasses and vegetation. Runoff produced from within this basin (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs) is conveyed south to Lot 1, Airport Spectrum Filing No. 2 to **DP8** (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.0 cfs). Runoff from this basin is conveyed to the planned private FSD pond.

Erosion Control

A stormwater management plan (SWMP) is required for compliance with the State of Colorado Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activities. The erosion control plan is submitted in conjunction with the final grading plan. Proposed straw bale check dams, silt fence, inlet protection, vehicle traffic control, erosion control protection matting and reseeding are proposed as erosion control measures.

Drainage, Bridge, and Pond Fees

This site has been previous platted and therefore no fees are due.

Comparative Analysis

In the existing condition, flow leaves the site via sheet flow at the southern boundary at peak flows of Q5=0.6 cfs and Q100= 4.5 cfs (DP2). In the proposed condition, flow leaves the site via sheet flow at the southern boundary at peak flows of Q5=0.0 cfs and Q100=0.2 cfs (Basin J).

In the existing condition, no flow leaves the site and is conveyed to the east before being routed to the planned private FSD Pond. In the proposed condition, flow is conveyed to the east and is routed via a proposed grass lined swale to the planned private FSD pond at peak flow rates of Q5=0.0 cfs and Q100=0.2 cfs.

In the existing condition, no flow is routed to the planned private FSD pond via the planned storm sewer system. In the proposed condition, flow is conveyed to the planned storm sewer system at peak flow rates of Q5=2.9 cfs and Q100=6.7 cfs.

The anticipated flows to the shared private FSD pond via a planned private 24" RCP pipe from the **FDR-ASFI** for Lot 1 were 4.8 cfs for the minor storm even (Q5) and 9.0 cfs for the major storm event (Q100). Per this report, proposed flows from Lot 1 to the shared private FSD pond via a proposed private 24" RCP pipe are of Q5=2.9 cfs and Q100=6.7 cfs respectively. Additionally, the anticipated offsite flows to the planned private FSD pond (Basins EX-C, B and C) from the **FSD ASFI** were 8.0 cfs for the minor storm event (Q5) and 25.6 cfs for the major storm event when directly added. Per this report, proposed offsite flows to the planned private FSD pond (Basin EX-C (known as Basin EX-C in the FSD ASFI), Basin OS3 (known as Basin B in the FSD ASFI) and Basin OS4 (known as Basin C in the FSD ASFI) are calculated at flow rates of 7.9 cfs for the minor storm even (Q5) and 25.0 cfs for the major storm event (Q100).

The **FDR-ASFI** calculated the imperviousness for Lot 1, using an area of 1.17 acres, to be 93.8%, a planned calculated flow of 4.8 cfs for the minor storm even (Q5), and a planned calculated flow of 9.0 cfs for the major storm event (Q100). Based upon M&S evaluation, an area of 1.18 acres will be routed to the private FSD pond from Lot 1 with an imperviousness of 61.3%, a flow of 2.9 cfs for the minor storm even (Q5), and 7.1 cfs for the major storm event (Q100). A comparison table has been provided in the attachments which illustrates this (A*I=A*I, 1.18*61.3=72.49 vs 1.17*93.8=106.69).

Conclusions

The proposed drainage facilities associated with the Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 3 will adequately convey and detain runoff to the existing FSD Pond located southwest of the site via an existing private 24" RCP pipe (by others). Per the attached analysis runoff directed to the proposed planned FSD pond and the PIA amount utilized from the development is in compliance with the **FDR-ASFI**. The planned FSD pond does not release developed discharge to downstream properties in excess of the historic condition that would result in a negative effect to said property or water quality. The site runoff and recommended drainage plan have been evaluated and designed in accordance with the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1, (Revised January 2021) and Volume 2 (Revised December 2020). As always, care shall be taken to accommodate overland emergency flow routes on site and both during and after construction.

This report and its findings are in general conformance with the <u>Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport</u> <u>Spectrum Filing No. 1,</u> by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, Inc, dated July 2022, approved June 03, 2023.

References

- 1.) "Design Criteria Manual, Volume 1", Revised January 2021, City of Colorado Springs.
- 2.) "Design Criteria Manual, Volume 2", Revised December 2020, City of Colorado Springs.
- 3.) Web Soils Survey", United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service, <u>http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</u>
- 4.) FEMA Flood Map Service Center", Federal Emergency Management Agency https://msc.fema.gov/portal
- 5.) "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol. 1, Revised August 2018, Mile High Flood District.
- 6.) "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol. 2, Revised September 2017, Mile High Flood District
- 7.) "Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1" by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, Dated July 2002, approved June 03, 2023.
- 8.) "Drainage Letter for Super Star Car Wash Powers and Milton" by Bowman, dated January 2024, approved January 26, 2024.

APPENDIX

VICINITY MAP



SOILS MAP



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	A	12.2	100.0%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		12.2	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

USDA

Tie-break Rule: Higher

FEMA FLOOD MAP

NOTES TO USERS

his map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does ot necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage ources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for ossible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (FEs) and/or floodways how been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Insure Subject (FS) proto that accomparises the FIRM Leava which me Flood Insurance Subject (FS) proto that accomparises the FIRM. Leava elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance raining purposes only and should not be used as the sele source of flood elevation (Instead). Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIRM Elevation with the FIRM for purpose of construction and/or flood and instruments.

Coast Base Flood Elevations shown on the map apply or µ marked of 0.07 America heartens between the rel relation (1900), under own i fills should be the had coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Silkwett Elevations able in the Flood Insurance Suby report for this justicition. The Evations shown in the Summary of Silkwetter Elevations table should be used for construction and/or the FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Rood Insurance Program. Roodway withts and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control** structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NADB3, GR580 sphorod. production of PHNA for adjacent precisionary may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not differ the accuracy of this FIRM.

Plood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAV058), These flood elevations must be compared to situature and conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey at the following Medicence

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springa Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United Status Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This may reflect one detailed and update the transmission of DMM to the subtractions and floodplain defineations than those shown on the previous FRM for the subtractions and floodplain defineations than those shown on the previous FRM for the subtraction. There been also be conform to there are stream channel or different stream channels result. The Flood Profiles and Poodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative yielduic data) may may index data may the prevent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicative in the FIS report. As a result, the prefile baselines may device significantly from the new base may channel representation and may apprecision of the flood free to the stream channel representation and may apprecision of the flood free to the stream channel representation and may apprecision of the flood free to the stream channel representation and may apprecision.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexitions or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was publicated, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a using of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is conted.

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1477-338-2827 for information on available products associated with the FEMO Insurance Study Report, and/or explained to the map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-356-9820 and its website at http://www.msc.tem.gov/.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema nov/busineset.fr/no.





This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ities and the Colora

Additional Flood Hazard available from local o Water Conservation Bo





HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT LOT 1-AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 3 (Existing Conditions - Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

			STREET	S / ASPHA (0.90-0.96	LT DRIVES)	UNL	DEVELOPED (0.08-0.35)	AREAS	DE	EVELOPED 2 (0.12-0.39	AREAS))	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT		
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Sq. Ft.)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	
A	49489.2	1.14	0.00	0.90	0.96	1.14	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.35	
В	1919.8	0.04	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.35	
OS1	9070.8	0.21	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.21	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.35	
OS2	21783.4	0.50	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.35	
OS3	96735.0	2.22	0.00	0.90	0.96	2.22	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.35	
* <i>OS4</i>	73620.6	1.69	0.52	0.04	0.96	1.17	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.07	0.54	
OS5	566.0	0.01	0.00	0.9	0.96	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.35	
OS6	211.9	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00 0.08 0.35			0.00	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.35	
*REFER TO THE FIN	AL DRAINAGE REP	ORT FOR AIRPORT	SPECTRUM FI	LING NO. 1 (OF	FSITE), DATED JUL	Y 2022, BY CLAS	SIC CONSULTIN	G ENGINEERS & SU	URVEYORS			-		

Calculated by: SPM

Date: 9/27/2024

Checked by: VAS

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT LOT 1-AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 3 (Existing Conditions Anag Dugingge Summary)

(Existing Conditions - Area Drainage Summary)

From	Area Runoff Coe	fficient Summary			OVER	LAND		ST	TREET / CH	ANNEL FLO)W	Time of T	ravel (T _t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _c	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	CHECK	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)	From DCM	A Table 6-6		(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
A	1.14	0.08	0.35	0.08	30	3.0	4.7	300	6.0%	2.4	2.0	6.8	11.8	4.7	7.9	0.4	3.1
В	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.08	60	2.5	8.9					8.9	10.3	4.3	7.2	0.0	0.1
OS1	0.21	0.08	0.35	0.08	20	2.0	3.9	75	6.7%	2.6	0.5	5.0	10.5	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.6
OS2	0.50	0.08	0.35	0.08	80	4.0	9.7	140	12.9%	3.6	0.7	10.3	11.2	4.1	6.8	0.2	1.2
OS3	2.22	0.08	0.35	0.08	65	3.0	9.0	245	11.4%	3.4	1.2	10.2	11.7	4.1	6.9	0.7	5.4
*0S4	1.69	0.07	0.54	0.07	10	1.0	2.8	360	4.0%	2.0	3.0	5.8	12.1	5.0	8.3	0.6	7.6
OS5	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.08	10	3.5	1.8	30	6.7%	2.6	0.2	5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0
OS6	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.08	20	0.7	5.4					5.4	10.1	5.0	8.5	0.0	0.0
* <i>EX-C</i>	3.28	0.35	0.55	0.35	90	3.0	8.5	490	3.1%	1.7	4.7	13.2	13.2	3.7	6.2	4.3	11.3

*REFER TO THE FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 1 (OFFSITE), DATED JULY 2022, BY CLASSIC CONSULTING ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS

Calculated by: SPM Date: 9/27/2024 Checked by: VAS

	PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT LOT 1-AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 3 (Existing Conditions - Basin Routing Summary)																
	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary OVERLAND PIPE / CHANNEL FLOW (T _t) INTENSITY * TOTAL FLOWS																
DESIGN POINT CONTRIBUTING BASINS CA5 CA100 C5 Length Height T _C Length Slope Velocity T _t TOTAL I5 I100 Q5 Q100 COMMENTS																	
	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $																
1	OS3, EX-C	1.33	2.59									13.2	3.7	6.2	4.9	16.1	SOUTH TO TSB
					Basin E	X-C Te Use	ed										
2	A, OS1, OS2, OS5	0.15	0.65									10.3	4.1	6.8	0.6	4.5	SOUTH TO TSB
					Basin (OS2 Tc Use	d										
3 B, OS6 0.00 0.02 8.9 4.3 7.2 0.0 0.1 TO OFFSITE LOT																	
					Basin (OS6 Tc Use	d										

Calculated by: <u>SPM</u> Date: <u>9/27/2027</u>

Checked by: VAS

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT LOT 1-AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 3 (Proposed Conditions - Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

			STREET	S / ASPHA (0.90-0.96	LT DRIVES		ROOFS (0.73-0.81))	DEVEL UND (0.1	OPED LAN EVELOPEI 12-0.39)/(0.0	DSCAPING/ D AREAS 8-0.35)	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT		
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Sq. Ft.)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	
A	5512.7	0.13	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.13	0.12	0.39	0.12	0.39	
В	20430.3	0.47	0.45	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.02	0.12	0.39	0.87	0.93	
С	1518.6906	0.03	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.02	0.12	0.39	0.42	0.61	
D	636.6	0.01	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.01	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.73	0.81	
D1	318.6	0.01	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.01	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.73	0.81	
D2	199.6	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.73	0.81	
D3	1064.7	0.02	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.02	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.73	0.81	
D4	50.5	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.73	0.81	
D5	781.5	0.02	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.02	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.73	0.81	
Ε	3969.5	0.09	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.09	0.12	0.39	0.14	0.41	
F	5963.63	0.14	0.12	0.90	0.96	0.01	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.88	0.94	
G	1250.6	0.03	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.03	0.12	0.39	0.12	0.39	
Н	3185.8	0.07	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.07	0.12	0.39	0.12	0.39	
Ι	3539.8	0.08	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.12	0.39	
J	3070.6	0.07	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.07	0.12	0.39	0.12	0.39	
OS1	9070.8	0.21	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.21	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35	
OS2	9892.6	0.23	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.23	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35	
OS3	108541.5	2.49	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	2.49	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35	
*0S4	73620.6	1.69	0.52	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	1.17	0.08	0.35	0.33	0.54	
OS5	566.0	0.01	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35	
OS6	211.9	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35	
*EX-C	143033.1	3.28	1.08	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.73	0.81	2.20	0.08	0.35	0.35	0.55	
*REFER TO THE FI	NAL DRAINAGE RE	PORT FOR AIRPO	RT SPECTRUM	FILING NO. 1 (OFFSITE), DATED .	TULY 2022, BY	CLASSIC CONSU	LTING ENGINEER.	S & SURVEYO	RS				

Calculated by: SPM Date: 9/27/2024

Checked by: VAS

(Proposed Conditions - Area Drainage Summary)

From	Area Runoff Coej	ficient Summary			OVER	LAND		ST	REET / CH	ANNEL FLO	W	Time of T	Travel (T_t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	СНЕСК	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)	From DCM	Table 6-6		(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
A	0.13	0.12	0.39	0.12	20	1.0	4.7	25	24.0%	4.9	0.1	5.0	10.3	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.4
В	0.47	0.87	0.93	0.87	60	3.0	1.9	95	15.8%	7.9	0.2	5.0	10.9	5.2	8.7	2.1	3.8
С	0.03	0.42	0.61	0.42	15	0.3	3.8	25	2.4%	1.5	0.3	5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
D	0.01	0.73	0.81	0.73	30	0.5	3.1					5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.1
D1	0.01	0.73	0.81	0.73	15	0.5	1.7					5.0	10.1	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.1
D2	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.73	13	0.5	1.5					5.0	10.1	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0
D3	0.02	0.73	0.81	0.73	30	0.5	3.1					5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
D4	0.00	0.73	0.81	0.73	13	0.5	1.5					5.0	10.1	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0
D5	0.02	0.73	0.81	0.73	30	0.5	3.1					5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.1
Ε	0.09	0.14	0.41	0.14	20	0.5	5.7	45	2.7%	1.6	0.5	6.2	10.4	4.9	8.2	0.1	0.3
F	0.14	0.88	0.94	0.88	10	0.2	1.0	175	0.6%	0.8	3.9	5.0	11.0	5.2	8.7	0.6	1.1
G	0.03	0.12	0.39	0.12	20	1.0	4.7	20	2.5%	3.2	0.1	5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.1
Н	0.07	0.12	0.39	0.12	10	2.5	1.9					5.0	10.1	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.2
Ι	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.12	15	0.3	5.8					5.8	10.1	4.9	8.3	0.0	0.3
J	0.07	0.12	0.39	0.12	10	2.5	1.9	30	23.3%	4.8	0.1	5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.2
OS1	0.21	0.08	0.35	0.08	20	2.0	3.9	75	6.7%	2.6	0.5	5.0	10.5	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.6
OS2	0.23	0.08	0.35	0.08	50	2.0	8.2	120	5.8%	2.4	0.8	9.1	10.9	4.3	7.2	0.1	0.6
OS3	2.49	0.08	0.35	0.08	65	3.0	9.0	245	11.4%	3.4	1.2	10.2	11.7	4.1	6.9	0.8	6.0
* <i>OS4</i>	1.69	0.33	0.54	0.33	10	1.0	2.1	360	4.0%	2.0	3.0	5.1	12.1	5.2	8.7	2.9	7.9
OS5	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.08	10	3.0	1.9	15	26.7%	5.2	0.0	5.0	10.1	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0
OS6	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.08	15	4.0	2.4					5.0	10.1	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0
*EX-C	3.28	0.35	0.55	0.35	90	3.0	8.6	490	3.1%	1.8	4.6	13.2	13.2	3.7	6.2	4.3	11.3
*REFER TO THE	REFER TO THE FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 1 (OFFSITE), DATED JULY 2022, BY CLASSIC CONSULTING ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS																

Calculated by: SPM Date: 9/27/2024 Checked by: VAS

	PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT																
				F I	LLI	17111	ANI	DNA			NLF	ΟΛΙ					
			LC	$\mathbf{D}T$	l-AII	RPO.	RT S	PECI	TRU	M FI	LIN	IG NO.	. 3				
	(Proposed Conditions - Basin Routing Summary)																
	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary			Ê	OVE	ERLAND		PIP	E / CHA	NNEL FLO	W	(T_t)	INTE	VSITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA ₅	CA100	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀	COMMENTS
			1		(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	
1	С	0.01	0.02									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	AREA INLET
					Basin	C Tc Used											
2	2 E 0.01 0.04 6.2 4.9 8.2 0.1 0.3 AREA INLET																
	Basin E Tc Used 5.0 5.2 8.7 0.6 1.1 2: WIDE CURB CUT																
3	3 F 0.12 0.13 5.0 5.2 8.7 0.6 1.1 2' WIDE CURB CUT																
					Basin	F Tc Used											
4	G	0.00	0.01									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.1	AREA INLET
5	A P L OS1 OS2	0.47	0.67		Basın	G Tc Used						0.1	4.2	7.2	2.0	18	SUCDOT TYPE D DI ET
5	A, B, I, US1, US2	0.47	0.07									9.1	4.5	1.2	2.0	4.0	5°CDOT TYPE KINLET
					Basin (OS2 Tc Use	d										
6	H, OS3, EX-C	1.36	2.71									13.2	3.7	6.2	5.0	16.9	TO FSD POND
					Basin F	X-C To Use	ad a										
7	J. OS4, OS5	0.57	0.94		Dasin E	A-C 10 030						5.1	5.2	8.7	2.9	8.1	TO FSD POND
	-, ,																
					Basin (OS4 Tc Use	d										
8	OS6	0.00	0.00									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0	TO OFFSITE LOT TO BE TREATED BY
					Basin (OS6 Tc Use	đ										FSD POND
					Bashi (-				<u> </u>	1 . 11	

Calculated by: <u>SPM</u> Date: <u>9/6/2024</u> Checked by: <u>VAS</u>

	PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT											
	LOT 1-AL	RPORT	SPECTR	IM FILI	NG NG	7 3						
	(Duanaged Car	ditiona			lina Cu		.)					
	(Proposea Con	attions -	Storm Se	wer Koui	ang Su	mmary	<u> </u>					
					Inten	isity*	Fl	ow	PIPE SIZE (PVT)			
PIPE RUN	Contributing Pipes/Design Points/Basins	Equivalent CA 5	Equivalent CA ₁₀₀	Maximum T _C	I_5	I 100	Q 5	Q 100				
1	DP1	0.01	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	8" HDPE			
R1	PORTION OF D1	0.00	0.00	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0	6" HDPE			
R2	PR R1, PR R2	0.02	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	8" HDPE			
<i>R3</i>	PORTION OF D1	0.00	0.00	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0	6" HDPE			
<i>R4</i>	PR R2, PR R3	0.02	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	8" HDPE			
<i>R5</i>	PORTION OF D1	0.00	0.00	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0	6" HDPE			
<i>R6</i>	PR R4, PR R5	0.02	0.03	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	8" HDPE			
R 7	PORTION OF D1	0.00	0.00	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0	6" HDPE			
<u>R8</u>	PR R6, PR R7	0.02	0.03	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	8" HDPE			
<i>R9</i>	BASIN D4	0.00	0.00	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0	6" HDPE			
R10	PR R8, PR R9	0.02	0.03	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	8" HDPE			
R11	BASIN D	0.01	0.01	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.1	8" HDPE			
R12	BASIN D2	0.00	0.00	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0	6" HDPE			
R13	PR R11, PR R12	0.01	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.1	8" HDPE			
R14	BASIN D3	0.02	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE			
R15	PR R13, PR R14	0.03	0.04	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.2	0.3	8" HDPE			
R16	BASIN D5	0.01	0.01	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.1	6" HDPE			
R 17	PR R15, PR R16	0.04	0.05	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.2	0.4	8" HDPE			
2	DP2, DP3, PR R17	0.18	0.22	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.9	1.9	12" PP			
3	PR1, PR R10, DP4	0.20	0.26	5.0	5.2	8.7	1.1	2.2	12" PP			
4	DP5	0.47	0.67	9.1	4.3	7.2	2.0	4.8	18" PP			
5	PR2, PR3	0.67	0.93	9.1	4.3	7.2	2.9	6.7	18" PP			
EX1	PR7, PR8	0.67	0.93	9.1	4.3	7.2	2.9	6.7	24" PP			

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes. DP - Design Point F EX - Existing Design Point IN

FB- Flow By from Design Point INT- Intercepted Flow from Design Point

Calculated by: <u>SPM</u> Date: <u>9/6/2024</u> Checked by: <u>VAS</u>

	M&S - Total Weig	hted Percent	t Imperviousness	
Contributing Basins	Area (Acres)	<i>C</i> ₅	Impervious (I)	(Acres)*(1)
A	0.13	0.12	7	0.89
В	0.47	0.87	98	46.10
С	0.03	0.42	62	2.15
D	0.01	0.73	90	1.32
D1	0.01	0.73	90	0.66
D2	0.00	0.73	90	0.41
D3	0.02	0.73	90	2.20
D4	0.00	0.73	90	0.10
D5	0.02	0.73	90	1.61
E	0.09	0.14	19	1.73
F	0.14	0.88	99	13.54
G	0.03	0.12	7	0.20
Н	0.07	0.12	7	0.51
Ι	0.08	0.12	7	0.57
J	0.07	0.12	7	0.49
Totals	1.18			72.49
Total Imperviousness	61.32			

Classic	c Consulting- Total	l Weighted F	Percent Imperviou	sness							
Contributing Basins	Area (Acres)	<i>C</i> ₅	Impervious (I)	(Acres)*(I)							
A3	1.17	0.79	94	109.69							
Totals	1.17			109.69							
Total Imperviousness	<i>93.8</i>										
*TAKEN FROM THE FI FILING NO. 1 (OFFSIT	*TAKEN FROM THE FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT AMENDMENT FOR AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 1 (OFFSITE) DATED JULY 2022, BY CLASSING ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS										

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

INLET MANAGEMENT Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	<u>INLET 1 (DP5)</u>
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows	
Minor Q _{Known} (cfs)	2.0
Major Q _{Known} (cfs)	4.8

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream Inlets must be organized from upstream (lef

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q _b (cfs)	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)	
Percent Impervious	
NRCS Soil Type	

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)	
Overland Length (ft)	
Channel Slope (ft/ft)	
Channel Length (ft)	

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)	
One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)	

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T _r (years)	
One-Hour Precipitation, P ₁ (inches)	

CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	2.0	
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	4.8	
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	N/A	
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q _b (cfs)	N/A	


INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)





Design Information (Input)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	4.2	6.0	inches
Grate Information		MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_{o}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_{f}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_{o}(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$L_{o}(C) =$	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_{f}(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_w(C) =$	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$C_{o}(C) =$	0.67	0.67	
Levelles d Deuferman es De duction (Colordated)				
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	7.
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	π
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.2/	0.42	π
	RF _{Grate} =	IN/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	N/A	N/A	
		MINOR	MAIOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	0, =	3.0	5.9	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>O Peak)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	2.0	4.8	cfs

Nyloplast 12" Dome Grate Inlet Capacity Chart



Design Point 1 = Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs Required Ponding Depth = 0.07 ft Safety Factor = 2xQ100 = Q100=0.4Depth = 0.13 ft



3130 Verona Avenue • Buford, GA 30518 (866) 888-8479 / (770) 932-2443 • Fax: (770) 932-2490 © Nyloplast Inlet Capacity Charts June 2012 Nyloplast 12" Dome Grate Inlet Capacity Chart



Design Point 2 = Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.3 cfs Required Ponding Depth = 0.07 ft Safety Factor = 2xQ100 = Q100=0.6Depth = 0.17 ft



3130 Verona Avenue • Buford, GA 30518 (866) 888-8479 / (770) 932-2443 • Fax: (770) 932-2490 © Nyloplast Inlet Capacity Charts June 2012 Nyloplast 12" Dome Grate Inlet Capacity Chart



Design Point 4 = Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs Required Ponding Depth = 0.05 ft Safety Factor = 2xQ100 = Q100=0.2Depth = 0.08 ft



3130 Verona Avenue • Buford, GA 30518 (866) 888-8479 / (770) 932-2443 • Fax: (770) 932-2490 © Nyloplast Inlet Capacity Charts June 2012

Manning Formula Uniform Pipe Flow at Given Slope and Depth

Printable Title						
Printable Subtitle						
			Results			
			Flow depth, y	0.6000	ft ·	~
			Flow area, a	0.4920	ft^2	~
			Pipe area, a0	0.7854	ft^2	~
Inputs			Relative area, a/a0	62.6470	%	~
Pipe diameter do	10	in w	Wetted perimeter, P _w	1.7721	ft ·	✓
			Hydraulic radius, R _h	0.2776	ft ·	✓
<u>Manning roughness, n</u>	.013		Top width, T	0.9798	ft ·	✓
Pressure slope (possibly <u>?</u> equal to pipe slope), S ₀	.01	rise/run ∽	Velocity, v	4.8645	ft/see	∽
Relative flow depth v/do	60		Velocity head, h _v	0.3678	ft H2	0 🗸
	00	70 ♥	Froude number, F	1.21		
			Average shear stress (tractive force), tau	0.1733	psf	~
			Flow, Q (See notes)	2.3934	cfs	~
			Full flow, Q0	3.5625	cfs	~
			Ratio to full flow, Q/Q0	67.1840	%	~



PR2: Q100= 1.8 cfs PR3: Q100= 2.2 cfs

Notes:

This is the flow and depth inside an *infinitely long* pipe.

Getting the flow into the pipe may require significantly higher headwater depth. Add at least 1.5 times the velocity head to get the headwater depth or <u>see my 2-minute tutorial</u> for standard culvert headwater calculations using HY-8.

Manning Formula Uniform Pipe Flow at Given Slope and Depth

Printable Title						
Printable Subtitle						
			Results			
			Flow depth, y	0.9000	ft	~
			Flow area, a	1.1071	ft^2	~
			Pipe area, a0	1.7672	[ft^2	~
Inputs	Relative area, a/a0	62.6470	%	~		
Pipe diameter do	10	in the	Wetted perimeter, P _w	2.6582	ft	~
	18		Hydraulic radius, R _h	0.4165	ft	~
<u>Manning roughness, n</u>	.013		Top width, T	1.4697	ft	~
Pressure slope (possibly $\underline{?}$ equal to pipe slope), S ₀	.01	rise/run 🗸	Velocity, v	6.3743	ft/se	c 🗸
Relative flow depth_v/do	60		Velocity head, h _v	0.6315	ft H2	20 ~
	00	70 •	Froude number, F	1.29		
			Average shear stress (tractive force), tau	0.2600	psf	~
			Flow, Q (See notes)	7.0566	cfs	~
			Full flow, Q0	10.5033	cfs	~
			Ratio to full flow, Q/Q0	67.1840	%	~



PR4: Q100= 4.8 cfs PR5: Q100= 6.7 cfs

Notes:

This is the flow and depth inside an *infinitely long* pipe.

Getting the flow into the pipe may require significantly higher headwater depth. Add at least 1.5 times the velocity head to get the headwater depth or <u>see my 2-minute tutorial</u> for standard culvert headwater calculations using HY-8.

Project Description		
Friction Method	Manning	
	Formula	
Solve For	Normal Depth	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.030	
Channel Slope	0.050 ft/ft	
Constructed Depth	12.0 in	
Constructed Top Width	20.00 ft	
Discharge	16.90 cfs	
Results		
Normal Depth	5.0 in	
Flow Area	3.6 ft ²	
Wetted Perimeter	12.9 ft	
Hydraulic Radius	3.3 in	
Top Width	12.91 ft	
Critical Depth	6.3 in	
Critical Slope	0.019 ft/ft	
Velocity	4.71 ft/s	
Velocity Head	0.34 ft	
Specific Energy	0.76 ft	
Froude Number	1.574	
Flow Type	Supercritical	
GVF Input Data		
Downstream Depth	0.0 in	
Length	0.0 ft	
Number Of Steps	0	
GVF Output Data		
Upstream Depth	0.0 in	
Profile Description	N/A	
Profile Headloss	0.00 ft	
Downstream Velocity	Infinity ft/s	
Upstream Velocity	Infinity ft/s	
Normal Depth	5.0 in	
Critical Depth	6.3 in	
Channel Slope	0.050 ft/ft	
Critical Slope	0.019 ft/ft	

Worksheet for Parabolic Swale - 1

			Desig	yn Procedu	re Form: F	Runoff Red	luction					
				UD-BMP (Ve	ersion 3.07, Ma	rch 2018)						Sheet 1 of 1
Designer:	Stephanie Me	adows										
Company:	M&S Civil Co	nsultants Inc.									-	
Date:	September 30), 2024									-	
Project:	Lot 1-Airport	Spectrum Filir	ng No. 3 (Milto	n Proby Starbu	ucks)						_	
Location:	Colorado Spr	ings, CO									-	
											-	
SITE INFORMATION (Us	er Input in Bl	ue Cells)		_								
	WQCV F	Rainfall Depth	0.60	inches								
Depth of Average R	unoff Producin	ig Storm, d ₆ =	0.43	inches (for W	atersheds Ou	utside of the D	Denver Region	n, Figure 3-1	in USDCM Vo	ol. 3)		
				-								
Area Type	UIA:RPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA	SPA					
Area IE	0 1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
Downstream Design Point I	0 1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
Downstream BMP Type	EDB	EDB	EDB	EDB	EDB	EDB	EDB					
DCIA (fť)								-	+		├────┤ │
UIA (ft ²	28,806											├────┤ │
RPA (ft ²) 366	024	2 966		1 250	6.460						├────┤ │
SPA (ft	100%	931	3,000	100%	1,250	100%	20,730					<u>├────┤</u> │
пос А (%) Цес Р ///	00%	0%	00%	0%	00%	0%	0%		+	+		<u>├</u> ┤ │
пос В (%) нес с/р /%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		1	1	1	┼───┤│
Average Slope of RPA (ff/ff	0.005	070	070	070	070	070	070					
LIIA:RPA Interface Width (ft	2 50											
	, 2.00											11
CALCULATED RUNOFF	RESULTS											
Area ID	0 1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
UIA:RPA Area (ft ²	29,372											
L / W Ratio	16.00											
UIA / Area	0.9807											
Runoff (in) 0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
Runoff (ft ³) 879	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Runoff Reduction (ft ³) 321	47	193	30	63	323	1287					
CALCULATED WQCV R	ESULTS							1				
Area IE	0 1	2	3	4	5	6	7					
WQCV (ft ³) 1200	0	0	0	0	0	0					
WQCV Reduction (ft ³) 321	0	0	0	0	0	0					
WQCV Reduction (%) 27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%					
Untreated WQCV (ft ³) 879	0	0	0	0	0	0					
			oulto from o	ll eelumne w	th the come	Downotroom	Design Dei	at ID)				
Downstream Docion Point IC			Suits from a		F F	e	Design POI	(וט ו				I
		2	0	4	0	0	0		+	+		<u>├</u> ┤ │
	28.806	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	1	1	┼───┤│
	566	0	0	0	0	0	0					
SPA (II	0	931	3,866	595	1.250	6,469	25,736		1	1	1	
Total Area (ff ²	29.372	931	3.866	595	1.250	6,469	25.736		1	1	1	
Total Impervious Area (ft ²	28,806	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	
WQCV (ft ³	1,200	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	1	1	
WQCV Reduction (ft ³) 321	0	0	0	0	0	0			1		
WQCV Reduction (%) 27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		1	1	1	
Untreated WQCV (ft ³) 879	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	1	1	
										•		· · · · · ·
CALCULATED SITE RES	SULTS (sums	results from	all columns	in workshee	t)							
Total Area (ft ²) 68,219]										
Total Impervious Area (ft ²	28,806											
WQCV (ft ³) 1,200											
WQCV Reduction (ft ³) 321											
WQCV Reduction (%)) 27%											
Untreated WQCV (ft ³) 879	J										

DRAINAGE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE MAPS



LOT 1- AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 3 CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, STATE OF COLORADO **GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE MAP**

SEPTEMBER 2024

NOTES:

- 1. LOT 1 AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 2, WHICH PROVIDES ACCESS TO THE SUBJECT SITE, SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED CONCURRENTLY OR PRIOR TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF LOT 1 AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO.
- 2. THE CONVERSION OF THE OFFSITE TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASIN TO A FULL SPECTRUM DETENTION POND TO SERVE THE SUBJECT SITE PRIOR TO THE DEVELOPMENT AND AFTER CONSTRUCTION OF SITE AND SHALL BE COMPLETED BY LOT 1, AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 1.

UIA 28,806 SQ FT-0.661 AC RPA 566 SQ FT-0.013 AC

SPA 931 SQ FT-0.021 AC

SPA 3,866 SQ FT-0.089 AC

SPA 595 SQ FT-0.013 AC

SPA 1,250 SQ FT-0.029 AC

SPA 6,469 SQ FT-0.148 AC

SPA 25,736 SQ FT-0.591 AC



H.P.

L.P.

HIGH POINT

LOW POINT

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CALCULATIONS								
CONTRIBUTING BASINS	IMPERVIOUS AREA TOTAL (ACRE)	20% IF IMP. AREA (ACRE)	10% OF 20% OF IMP. ARES (ACRE)=MIN. RECEIVING PERVIOUS AREA (RPA) REQ.	TOTAL WQ EVENT WETTED AREA (ACRE)	REMAINING WQ EVENT WETTED AREA (ACRE)			
EX-A, EX-C,A1, A2, A3, A4, B, C	7.4	1.48	0.148	0.148	0			
A2 (BOWMAN)	0.859	0.172	0.017	0.148	0.131			
A3 (M&S)	0.661	0.132	0.013	0.148	0.118			

COMMERCIAL				
TOTAL DISTURBED SITE AREA, (AC)	1.56			
TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA, (AC)	0.66			
TOTAL SITE PERCENT IMPERVIOUS	42.2%			
UNCONNECTED IMPERVIOUS AREA (IN BLUE), AC	0.66			
PIA (IN GREEN), AC	.013			
WQCV, CF	1200			
STORMWATER VOLUME REDUCTION, CF	321			
STORMWATER VOLUME REDUCTION AS % OF WQCV	27%			

212 N. WAHSATCH AVE., STE 305		LOT 1 – AIR	RPORT SP	ECTRUM FILIN	NG NO. 3
	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485	GREE	IN INFRAS	TRUCTRE MA	Ρ
		PROJECT NO. 10-035	SCALE:	DATE: 09/03/202	4
		DESIGNED BY: SPM Drawn by: SPM	1"=30'	SHEET 1 OF 1	CIM
IC.		CHECKED BY: VAS	N/A	SHEET FOR F	GIIVI





L BASIN DESIGNATION -	<u>EGEND</u>
	Z C5
ACRES -	C100
(4)	PIPE RUN REFERENCE LABEL
6	SURFACE DESIGN POINT
	INLET
	EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW
H.P.	HIGH POINT
L.P.	LOW POINT
	PROP BASIN BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY FROM MDDP/FDR
(6920)	EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR (5')
(6920)	EXISTING NOMINAL CONTOUR (1')
	PROPERTY BOUNDARY
	EXISTING STORM SEWER PIPE
G G	EXISTING GAS LINE
SS	EXISTING SANITARY LINE
W	EXISTING WATER LINE
—— — E — — E ——	UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL

лим и	٩RY					
REA RES)	Q ₅	Q 100				
14	0.4	3.1				
04	0.0	0.1				
.21	0.1	0.6				
50	0.2	1.7				
22	0.7	5.4				
69	2.9	7.9				
.01	0.0	0.0				
00	0.0	0.0				
28	4.3	11.3				

*ORIGINAL BASINS FROM THE FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT AMENDMENT FOR AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 1 (OFFSITE), DATED JULY 2022, BY CLASSIC CONSULTING ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS IN THE APPENDIX OF THIS REPORT

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY

00	BASIN(S)/ DESIGN PT(S)	STRUCTURE
6.1	OS3, EX-C	TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASIN
.5	A, OS1, OS2, OS5	TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASIN
.1	B, OS6	TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASIN



EDM

	212 N. WAHSATCH AVE., STE 305 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485	LOI 1 -
5, INC.		PROJECT NO. 1 DESIGNED BY: DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY:

.0T 1 – AIR	PORT SP	ECTRUM	FILING	NO.	3
EXI	STING DR	AINAGE	MAP		
ROJECT NO. 10-035	SCALE:	DATE: 09/	03/2024		
	HORIZONTAL:				

SHEET 1 OF 1

1"=40'

VERTICAL:

N/A

SPM

SPM

VAS





			LEGEND
		BADIN DEDIGINATION	$\overline{}$
			C5
		ACRES	.35
			PIPE RUN REFERENCE
		4	LABEL
N	OTES:	$\boxed{6}$	SURFACE DESIGN POINT
	•		PROPOSED INLET
OSI IOLI	ED PRIVATE 5' DIA TYPE II	Ø	PROPOSED STORM SEWER MANHOLE
OSI	ED PRIVATE NYLOPLAST		EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW
1 B	ASIN	-	PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION ARROW
OSI ET	ED PRIVATE 5' CDOT TYPE (INLET 1)	H.P.	HIGH POINT
OSI	ED 2' CONCRETE CHASE	L.P.	LOW POINT
ING	; PUBLIC 18" RCP		BASIN BOUNDARY FROM MDDP/FDR
NE) PRIVATE 24" RCP BY		PROP BASIN BOUNDARY
RS		- — -(6920)— — —	EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR (5')
NED) PRIVATE 30" RCP BY	- — -(6920)— — —	EXISTING NOMINAL CONTOUR (1')
RS		<u> </u>	PROPOSED INDEX CONTOUR (5')
NED Y () PRIVATE 5' DIA TYPE II NTHERS	<u> </u>	PROPOSED NOMINAL CONTOUR (1')
		<u> </u>	PLANNED INDEX CONTOUR (BY OTHERS) (5')
N⊏∟ RS) PRIVATE FOU PUIND DI	<u> </u>	PLANNED NOMINAL CONTOUR (BY OTHERS) (1')
OSI	ED GRASS LINED SWALE		PROPERTY BOUNDARY
LE-	-1)		EXISTING STORM SEWER PIPE
	ED CURB CUT WITH RIP		PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE
۲n	JIECHONS	G G	EXISTING GAS LINE
		SS	EXISTING SANITARY LINE
	STORM SEWER SUMARY] w	EXISTING WATER LINE
		—— — E — — E ——	UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL

PIPE RUN	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	PIPE SIZE (PVT)
1	0.1	0.2	PROP 8" HDPE
R1	0.0	0.0	PROP 6" HDPE
R2	0.1	0.2	PROP 8" HDPE
R3	0.0	0.0	PROP 6" HDPE
R4	0.1	0.2	PROP 8" HDPE
R5	0.0	0.0	PROP 6" HDPE
R6	0.1	0.2	PROP 8" HDPE
R7	0.0	0.0	PROP 6" HDPE
R8	0.1	0.2	PROP 8" HDPE
R9	0.0	0.0	PROP 6" HDPE
R10	0.1	0.2	PROP 8" HDPE
R11	0.1	0.1	PROP 8" HDPE
R12	0.0	0.0	PROP 6" HDPE
R13	0.1	0.1	PROP 8" HDPE
R14	0.1	0.2	PROP 6" HDPE
R15	0.2	0.3	PROP 8" HDPE
R16	0.1	0.1	PROP 6" HDPE
R17	0.2	0.4	PROP 8" HDPE
2	0.9	1.8	PROP 12" PP
3	1.1	2.2	PROP 12" PP
4	2.0	4.8	PROP 18" PP
5	2.9	6.7	PROP 18" PP
EX1	2.9	6.7	PLANNED 24" RCP
HDPE=ADS N	-12 DU	AL WAL	L HDPE PIPE

	-	,		•		00					-		
⊃P=	=AD	S	ΗP	S	TOF	RM	(PC	DLYF	PRC	PYL	EN	E)	PIPE

	DESIGN POINT SUN	IMARY								
2 ₁₀₀	BASIN(S)/ DESIGN PT(S)	STRUCTURE (PVT	-)							
0.2	С	NYLOPLAST AREA INL	ЕT			1 "	= 40	,		
0.3	E	NYLOPLAST AREA INL	NYLOPLAST AREA INLET				10			
1.1	F	2' WIDE CURB CUT	2' WIDE CURB CUT							
0.1	G	NYLOPLAST AREA INL	ΕT							
4.8	A, B, I, OS1, OS2	5' CDOT TYPE R INLE	ĒT							
6.9	H, OS3, EX-C	FSD POND			0	20	40		80	
8.1	J, OS4, OS5	FSD POND				Sco	ale in Fé	۲مد		
0.0	OS6	TO OFFSITE LOT				500				
	- 212 n. wahsatch ave., ste 305	LOT 1 – AIR	PORT	SPE	ECTR	UM	FILIN	IG	NO.	3
 ✓ ✓ 	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485	PRO	PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP							
)	PROJECT NO. 10-035	SCALE	: TAL ·	DATE:	09/2	27/202	4		
NC	•	DESIGNED BY: SPM DRAWN BY: SPM CHECKED BY: VAS	1"=40 VERTICA	, , L:	SHE	ET 1	OF 1		PDM	

N/A

BACKGROUND INFORMATION



FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT AMENDMENT FOR AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 1 (OFF-SITE)

July 2022

Prepared for: BERT A GETZ TRUST 6730 N. SCOTTSDALE ROAD, SUITE 250 PARADISE VALLEY, AZ 85253 (480) 991-0500

Prepared by: CLASSIC CONSULTING ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS 619 N. CASCADE AVENUE SUITE 200 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80903 (719) 785-0790

Job no. 2429.10



619 N. Cascade Ave, Suite 200 | Colorado Springs, CO 80903 | (719) 785-0790

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT AMENDMENT FOR AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 1 (OFF-SITE)

Engineer's Statement

This report and plan for the drainage design of <u>Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 (Off-Site) FDR Amendment</u> was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said report and plan has been prepared in accordance with the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual and is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others. I accept responsibility for any liability conserved by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

SIGNATURE (Affix Seal):



Developer's Statement

Bert A. Getz Trust hereby certifies that the dramase acilities for <u>Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 (Off-Site)</u> FDR Amendment shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer and that are submitted to the City of Colorado Springs pursuant to section 7.7.906 of the City Code; and cannot, on behalf of <u>Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 (Off-Site) FDR</u> <u>Amendment</u>, guarantee that final drainage design review will absolve <u>Bert A. Getz Trust</u> and/or their successors and/or assigns of future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

3

City of Colorado Springs Statement:

Filed in accordance and Section 7.7.906 of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs, 2001, as amended.

Hao Vo

06/01/2023

For City Engineer Conditions: Date



Design Point 1 (Q₅ = **4.8 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **9.0 cfs)** is the runoff from Basin A3, 1.17 acres of planned commercial development directly south of Milton Proby Pkwy. A future storm system will intercept the entirety of this runoff and route to the proposed pipe stub (Private Pipe 1, 24" RCP). A separate drainage report (by others) will detail the site-specific Private storm system for this basin and development within.

Design Point 2 (Q₅ = **4.9 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **9.0 cfs)** is the runoff from Basin A2, 1.18 acres of planned commercial development directly south of Milton Proby Pkwy. A future storm system will intercept the entirety of this runoff and route to the proposed pipe stub (Private Pipe 2, 24" RCP). A separate drainage report (by others) will detail the site-specific Private storm system for this basin and development within. Pipe 3 (Private 30" RCP, Q₅ = 9.7 cfs, Q₁₀₀ = 18.0 cfs) conveys the combined runoff from Pipes 1 & 2 to the south directly into the proposed permanent full spectrum detention and water quality facility (Design Point 6). A concrete impact structure will be installed at the entry point of this 30" RCP into the detention facility.

Design Point 3 (Qs = 15.0 cfs, Q100 = 27.6 cfs) is the runoff from Basin EX-A and Basin A1. Basin EX-A (Qs = 6.9 cfs, Q100 = 12.4 cfs) is 1.51 acres of existing Powers Blvd. and Milton Proby Parkway that drains into the Airport Spectrum development (Basin A1). Basin A1 (Qs = 8.1 cfs, Q100 = 15.2 cfs) is 2.02 acres of Lot 1 Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 (Kum & Go). This runoff is collected in a private onsite storm system within Basin A1 (Kum & Go Development) and connects to the existing storm stub out of the existing 15' CDOT Type R At-Grade inlet at this DP-3 location. See previously approved final drainage report for additional discussion of runoff to this location. Pipe 4 (Existing 24" RCP, Qs = 15.0 cfs, Q100 = 27.6 cfs) conveys the runoff south to a proposed manhole combining with Pipe 5 prior to draining directly into the permanent pond. Per the previously approved report, this runoff drained into the temporary detention/water quality facility located just south of Design Point 3. The developer proposes to remove this temporary facility and associated storm infrastructure (inlet pipe, outlet pipe, riprap rundown and spillway) and install a permanent facility at Design Point 6.

Design Point 4 (Q₅ = **3.8 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **6.8 cfs)** is the runoff from Basin A4, 0.82 acres of existing Spectrum Air Way (Public R.O.W.) that drains south from the Milton Proby Pkwy. connection point and off the edge of the existing pavement. A proposed Type C grated inlet will be placed south of the roadway to intercept the entirety of this runoff. A proposed 18" RCP (Private) will convey the collected runoff to the junction manhole with Pipe 4. Proposed Private Pipe 6 (30" RCP, Q₅ = 18.8 cfs, Q₁₀₀ = 34.4 cfs) will convey the combined runoff from this manhole to the south directly into the permanent detention facility (Design Point 6). A concrete impact structure will be installed at the entry point of this 42" RCP into the detention facility.

With the extension of Spectrum Air Way (public 70' ROW), at grade inlets shall be installed to intercept this DP-4 runoff and continue to drain to the proposed permanent pond. Alternatively, the runoff may continue to a future detention/water quality basin as desired by future development.

Design Point 5 ($Q_5 = 5.1 cfs$, $Q_{100} = 17.7 cfs$ **)** contains the runoff from Basin EX-C and Basin B. Basin EX-C ($Q_5 = 4.3 cfs$, $Q_{100} = 11.3 cfs$) is 3.28 acres of existing Powers Blvd. and off-site tributary area that drains into the existing roadside ditch and to Basin B. Basin B ($Q_5 = 0.9 cfs$, $Q_{100} = 6.5 cfs$) is 2.72 acres of undeveloped land adjacent to Powers Blvd. that is to be dedicated to the City of Colorado Springs for Powers Blvd. Right-of-way. This area currently drains directly onto the Airport Spectrum developable area and into the proposed permanent detention/water quality facility. Future Developed runoff from Basin B is not anticipated to drain into the proposed facility (<u>only the undeveloped runoff</u>). The combined runoff from existing Powers Blvd. (Basin EX-C) and Basin B, drains to the east and into Basin C to Design Point 6.

Design Point 6 (Q⁵ = **27.7 cfs, Q**¹⁰⁰ = **60.6 cfs)** is the total developed runoff into the proposed Permanent Full Spectrum Detention and Water Quality Facility. This consists of runoff from Pipes 3 & 6, Design Point 5, and Basin C. Basin C (Q⁵ = 2.9 cfs, Q¹⁰⁰ = 7.9 cfs) is 1.69 acres of the detention pond and surrounding tributary area. The area of Basin C, outside of the proposed pond, was calculated as undeveloped. Development of this area, or any other tributary area not specified with this report, will require separate detention and water quality or modifications and new calculations of the proposed facility. This facility could serve as the Green Infrastructure (PIA) requirements for the upstream tributary area.

This permanent facility is a PRIVATE, Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin per the City of Colorado Springs and Mile High Flood District (MHFD formally Urban Drainage/UDFCD). This pond replaces the temporary pond approved and installed with the Airport Spectrum Subdivision Filing No. 1 Final Drainage Report. The facility sizing spreadsheet is located in the appendix of this report. A total of 14.39 acres of developed Airport Spectrum tributary area, undeveloped adjacent land, and surrounding arterial roadways is to drain to this facility, with a composite impervious value of 51.6%. The composite impervious value was determined using the Site-Level Low Impact Development (LID) Design Effective Impervious Calculator (IRF Form) located in the Appendix of this report. Per the UD-BMP spreadsheet, an Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) of 0.864 acrefeet is required; this volume is provided under the top of outlet box opening (within the orifice plate of the

outlet box, elevation 5924.25, 0.879 acre-feet EURV provided). See Pond Volume Calculations in Appendix of this report. The proposed EDB will include concrete impact structures & forebays at all incoming pipe

locations (Pipes 3 & 6). A 7.0' wide concrete trickle channel at 0.50% minimum grade will drain to the 2.5' deep micropool in front of the 4' x 4' outlet box and 18" outlet pipe (Pipe 7). Sizing has been completed for each proposed forebay per the MHFD sizing spreadsheets located in the Appendix of this report Amendment.

Per the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Vol. 1, Chapter 6, Table 6-2, 1-hour rainfall depths were used in the UD-Detention workbook and outlet drain time calculations. These values are: 2-year = $1.19^{"}$, 5-year = $1.50^{"}$, 10-year = $1.75^{"}$, 25-year = $2.00^{"}$, 50-year = $2.25^{"}$, and 100-year = $2.52^{"}$. The bottom of the detention basin (lowest orifice hole) is at an elevation of 5919.00 with the EURV provided at the elevation 5924.23. A 4' wide outlet box (4' deep opening) is proposed with a top of box at elevation 5924.25. For a Full Spectrum facility, the outlet box orifice hole within the front plate is to drain the EURV is less than 72 hours. Per the latest MHFD-Detention version 4.05 spreadsheet from Mile High Flood District (Urban Drainage) a total of (3) orifice holes are to be installed in the front of the orifice plat of the outlet box with a lower orifice hole of $1^{"} \times 1^{"}$, a middle orifice of $2^{"} \times 1.5^{"}$, and an upper orifice of $2^{"} \times 2.5^{"}$. This orifice hole sizing and overall pond outlet design meet all the required drain times for various storm events on the MH-Detention workbook located in the Appendix of this report. A 2.5' deep concrete bottom micropool is to be installed within the wing walls of the outlet structure, with a surface area of 235 square feet (min. required is 10 square feet). An initial surcharge depth of 4" will be provided within the micropool structure. A removable trash screen of $12^{"}$ in width will be placed in front of the orifice plate to help prevent the orifice holes from clogging.

A proposed Private 18" RCP outlet (Pipe 7) will convey the detained release ($Q_5 = 0.4 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 9.2 \text{ cfs}$, 100-yr water surface elevation of 5925.45, into the adjacent Windmill Gulch drainage channel and just outside of the effective 100-yr FEMA floodplain. A 4' wide x 7' long riprap pad (D50 = 6", Depth = 1.0' min.) will be installed at the exit point of this 18" pipe (sizing calculation included in the Appendix). A 15' length riprap (Type M, D50 = 12", Depth = 2.0' min.) emergency overflow spillway located at elevation 5926.00 will pass the entire 100-year storm event (62.0 cfs) into the downstream Windmill Gulch at a flood depth of less than 1.0' in case of complete outlet pipe failure. The proposed 12' wide top of berm elevation is at 5929.00, allowing for over 1.0' of freeboard of the emergency spillway flow elevation. A 11' wide maintenance access road at 15% maximum grade will be installed to the bottom of the facility and to each concrete structure. The emergency overflow path for this private facility is to overtop the spillway to the west and drain over the future Spectrum Air Way roadway extension and directly into exiting Windmill Gulch.

This facility adequately treats all 14.39 acres of existing, proposed, and future tributary development for storm water quality and detains the release to below historic rates. Per the Cod of Colorado Regulations 4.2.5.1 a Jurisdictional Size Dam height is measured, either from the invert of the outlet pipe at the longitudinal centerline of the embankment (spillway elevation = 5926.00 & 18" invert directly below is 5917.88, 8.12') or the spillway elevation compared to the existing ground at the centerline (spillway elevation = 5926.00 & existing ground 5921.98, 4.02'). A dam height of 10' or below is not considered a 'Jurisdictional' facility with the State of Colorado. Therefore, this is a non-jurisdictional size dam and additional documentation and coordination with the State Engineer, beyond the typical non-jurisdictional form, is not required for the proposed facility. Maintenance of this Private detention facility will be by the Business Owners Association.

Design Point 7 (Q₅ = **1.0 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **13.3 cfs)** is the runoff from the proposed development and tributary areas that drains directly into the existing Windmill Gulch channel to the west of the site. Specifically, this runoff is from Pipe 7 (Pond outfall pipe) and from Basin F (Q₅ = 0.8 cfs, Q₁₀₀ = 5.7 cfs), 1.87 acres of undeveloped land and adjacent slope area that drains directly west into the Windmill Gulch corridor. This runoff amount is less than in the Existing Conditions due to the installation of the permanent full spectrum detention facility and limited release rate. All developed runoff is treated via the detention/water quality facility and thus the proposed development does not hinder runoff within and downstream of Windmill Gulch and the Fountain Creek tributaries. All construction and proposed grading are outside of the effective FEMA 100-year floodplain limits; therefore, no additional permitting is required.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.) Map Number 08041C 0763G effective date, December 7, 2018 (See Appendix).

DRAINAGE CRITERIA

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, revised January 2021. Stormwater quality analysis and Extended Detention Basin (EDB) design for the proposed Pond are per the Mile High Flood District (previously the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District) Manual and MHFD-Detention v4.05 & UD-BMP v3.05 spreadsheets. The Rational Method was used to estimate stormwater runoff to the proposed storm system and detention facility. The UDFCD UD-Inlet workbook was used to verify the one proposed Type C inlet with this report. Hydraulic Grade Lines (HGLs) for minor and major storm events are provided for the proposed storm sewer system using UD-Sewer (See Appendix of this report). MHFD-Detention v4.05 spreadsheet was used to size the orifice plate holes and outlet box and pipe with acceptable drain

times for all storm events. Erosion protection at the exit of the pond outfall pipe was sized using the MH-Culvert and is included in the Appendix.

Green Infrastructure (GI) requirements are not required with this Final Drainage Report as the purpose is to replace a temporary full spectrum detention facility with a permanent one. Upstream tributary development to this facility is required to provide GI requirements per City criteria.

STORMWATER QUALITY

The City of Colorado Springs requires the Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls. The Four Step Process pertains to management of smaller, frequently occurring storm events, as opposed to larger storms for which drainage and flood control infrastructure are sized. Implementation of these four steps to achieve stormwater permit requirements is required. The site adheres to this Four Step Process as follows:

- All developed runoff from the tributary area will be collected in the future and proposed private storm system and routed to the proposed private full spectrum detention and water quality facility. Portions of the surrounding and internal sidewalks will be directed onto pervious landscape areas prior to be collected by the various area drains and grated inlets. Draining onto landscape areas provides the following: 1) Minimize directly impervious areas. 2) Provides initial pollutant and sediment removal before entering the storm system.
- 2. The downstream pond provides Full Spectrum Detention and Stormwater Quality Treatment. The facility will address all required Water Quality Capture Volume and Slow Release Requirements. Total Disturbed Area (including off-site basins) = 14.92 acres with 14.39 acres (96.4%) to the proposed Pond. Only 0.53 acres (3.6%) of disturbed area (open space area only) drains directly to downstream facilities.
- 3. The ultimate recipient of the drainage flows from the site is Windmill Gulch to the west via the downstream facility's pipe outfall. The downstream corridor is very well established with vegetation, and an approved D.B.P.S. stating minimum improvements are required within Windmill Gulch. All new and re-development projects are required to construct or participate in the funding of channel stabilization measures. Drainage Basin Fees paid, at the time of platting, go towards channel stabilization within the drainage basin. See Section A-A in appendix and on Drainage Map that shows

a stabilized natural downstream area from the proposed facility. Existing Windmill Gulch is 400' downstream of the Pond pipe outlet and has adequate stabilization.

4. A site-specific stormwater quality and erosion control plan and narrative will be submitted and approved by City Engineering prior to any disturbance within the project area. Details such as site-specific source Construction Control Measures (CCMs) as well as any permanent CCMs, will be detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters. Such CCMs include temporary sediment basins, inlet protection, silt fence, vehicle tracking control, and concrete washout areas. Post construction source control will be comprised of user spill containment protocols used at all of their facilities. All new and re-development that includes outdoor storage or the potential for the introduction of contaminants to the City's MS4 shall be required to implement site specific and/or source control measures to protect receiving waters.

CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION (Private Storm Sewer)

Private Drainage Facilities Non-reimbursable

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	CO	ST
1.	CDOT Type C Inlet	1 EACH	\$4,500/EA	\$	4,500.00
2.	Type II Storm Manhole	2 EACH	\$5,300/EA	\$	10,600.00
3.	18" RCP Storm Drain	243 LF	\$55/LF	\$	13,365.00
4.	24" RCP Storm Drain	103 LF	\$70/LF	\$	7,210.00
5.	30" RCP Storm Drain	62 LF	\$95/LF	\$	5,890.00
SUB-T	OTAL			\$	41,565.00
10% E	NGINEERING			\$	4,156.50
5% CO	NTINGENCIES			<u>\$</u>	2,078.25
ΤΟΤΑΙ	_			<u>\$</u>	47,799.75

Private Drainage Facilities Non-reimbursable (FULL SPECTRUM POND)

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COS	ST
1.	30" Impact Structure	2 EACH	\$35,000/EA	\$	70,000.00
2.	Trickle Channel	130 LF	\$79/LF	\$	10,270.00
3.	Riprap Spillway	120 CY	\$47.85/CY	\$	5,742.00
4.	Outlet Box (4' x 4')	1 EACH	\$35,000/EA	\$	35,000.00
SUB-TC	DTAL			\$ 2	121,012.00
10% EN	IGINEERING			\$	12,101.20
5% COI	NTINGENCIES			<u>\$</u>	6,050.60
TOTAL				<u>\$</u> 2	<u>139,163.80</u>

JOB NAME: *Airport Spectrum Subdivision Filing No. 1 - PERMANENT POND*

JOB NUMBER: 2429.10 DATE: 07/01/22

CALCULATED BY: MAL

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY (PROPOSED CONDITIONS)

		IMPERVIOUS AREA / STREETS			LOTS/LANDS	LOTS/LANDSCAPE/UNDEV. AREAS (NOT PAVEMENT)			HTED	WEIGHTED CA	
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	C(5)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(5)	C(100)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(5)	CA(100)
EX-A	1.51	1.47	0.90	0.96	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.88	0.94	1.33	1.43
EX-C	3.28	1.08	0.90	0.96	2.20	0.08	0.35	0.35	0.55	1.15	1.81
A1	2.02	1.72	0.90	0.96	0.30	0.08	0.35	0.78	0.87	1.57	1.76
A2	1.18	1.03	0.90	0.96	0.15	0.08	0.35	0.80	0.88	0.94	1.04
A3	1.17	1.02	0.90	0.96	0.15	0.08	0.35	0.79	0.88	0.93	1.03
A4	0.82	0.82	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96	0.74	0.79
В	2.72	0.00	0.90	0.96	2.72	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35	0.22	0.95
C	1.69	0.52	0.90	0.96	1.17	0.08	0.35	0.33	0.54	0.56	0.91
F	1.87	0.00	0.90	0.96	1.87	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35	0.15	0.65

JOB NAME<u>Airport Spectrum Subdivision Filing No. 1 - PERMANENT POND</u> JOB NUME 2429.10 DATE: 7/1/2022 CALCULATMAL

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY (PROPOSED CONDITIONS)

	WEIG	HTED		OVER	LAND		STRE	et / Ch	IANNEL	FLOW	Tc	INTE	NSITY	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Height <i>(ft)</i>	Tc (<i>min</i>)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)
EX-A	1.33	1.43	0.90	10	1	0.5	750	2.5%	5.5	2.3	5.0	5.17	8.68	6.9	12.4
EX-C	1.15	1.81	0.08	150	10	12.1	390	3.0%	6.1	1.1	13.1	3.72	6.25	4.3	11.3
A1	1.57	1.76	0.90	10	1	0.5	300	5.0%	7.8	0.6	5.0	5.17	8.68	8.1	15.2
A2	0.94	1.04	0.90	10	1	0.5	260	4.0%	7.0	0.6	5.0	5.17	8.68	4.9	9.0
A3	0.93	1.03	0.90	10	1	0.5	260	4.0%	7.0	0.6	5.0	5.17	8.68	4.8	9.0
A4	0.74	0.79	0.90	10	1	0.5	300	5.0%	7.8	0.6	5.0	5.17	8.68	3.8	6.8
В	0.22	0.95	0.08	80	4	9.7	290	4.1%	7.1	0.7	10.4	4.08	6.84	0.9	6.5
С	0.56	0.91	0.90	10	1	0.5	340	4.0%	7.0	0.8	5.0	5.17	8.68	2.9	7.9
F	0.15	0.65	0.08	50	18	4.0	70	3.0%	6.1	0.2	5.0	5.17	8.68	0.8	5.7

JOB NAME:	Airport Spectrum Subdivision Filing No. 1 - PERMANENT POND
JOB NUMBER:	2429.10
DATE:	07/01/22
CALCULATED BY:	MAL

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY - PROPOSED CONDITIONS

					Inten	sity	Flow		
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	l(5)	l(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
1	BASIN A3	0.93	1.03	5.0	5.17	8.68	4.8	9.0	FUTURE STORM
2	BASIN A2	0.94	1.04	5.0	5.17	8.68	4.9	9.0	FUTURE STORM
3	BASIN EX-A + BASIN A1	2.90	3.18	5.0	5.17	8.68	15.0	27.6	EX. 15' AT-GRADE
4	BASIN A4	0.74	0.79	5.0	5.17	8.68	3.8	6.8	TYPE C INLET
5	BASIN EX-C + BASIN B	1.37	2.76	13.1	3.72	6.25	5.1	17.2	SURFACE
6	BASIN C + DP-5 + PIPE 3 + PIPE 6	7.43	9.71	13.1	3.72	6.25	27.7	60.6	PROP. POND
7	POND OUTFALL + BASIN F	0.26	2.12	13.1	3.72	6.25	1.0	13.3	TO EX. CHANNEL

JOB NAME:Airport Spectrum Subdivision Filing No. 1 - PERMANENT PONDJOB NUMBER:2429.10

DATE: 07/01/22

CALCULATED BY: MAL

* PIPES ARE LISTED AT MAXIMUM SIZE REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE Q100 FLOWS AT MINIMUM GRADE. REFER TO INDIVIDUAL PIPE SHEETS FOR HYDRAULIC INFORMATION.

					Intensity		FI	ow	
Pipe Run	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	l(5)	l(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Pipe Size*
1	DP-1	0.93	1.03	5.0	5.17	8.68	4.8	9.0	24" RCP
2	DP-2	0.94	1.04	5.0	5.17	8.68	4.9	9.0	24" RCP
3	PIPE 1 + PIPE 2	1.87	2.07	5.0	5.17	8.68	9.7	18.0	30" RCP
4	DP-3	2.90	3.18	5.0	5.17	8.68	15.0	27.6	EX. 24" RCP
5	DP-4	0.74	0.79	5.0	5.17	8.68	3.8	6.8	18" RCP
6	PIPE 4 + PIPE 5	3.64	3.97	5.0	5.17	8.68	18.8	34.4	30" RCP
7	POND OUTFALL	0.11	1.47	13.1	3.72	6.25	0.4	9.2	18" RCP

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ PIPE ROUTING SUMMARY



System Input Summary

100-YR HGLS PIPES 1, 2, & 3

Rainfall Parameters

Rainfall Return Period: 100 **Rainfall Calculation Method:** Formula

One Hour Depth (in): Rainfall Constant "A": 28.5 Rainfall Constant "B": 10 Rainfall Constant "C": 0.786

Rational Method Constraints

Minimum Urban Runoff Coeff.: 0.20 Maximum Rural Overland Len. (ft): 500 Maximum Urban Overland Len. (ft): 300 Used UDFCD Tc. Maximum: Yes

Sizer Constraints

Minimum Sewer Size (in): 18.00 Maximum Depth to Rise Ratio: 0.90 Maximum Flow Velocity (fps): 18.0 Minimum Flow Velocity (fps): 2.0

Backwater Calculations:

Tailwater Elevation (ft): 0.00

		Give	en Flow			Sub Basin	n Informat	ion		
Element Name	Ground Elevation (ft)	Total Known Flow (cfs)	Local Contribution (cfs)	Drainage Area (Ac.)	Runoff Coefficient	5yr Coefficient	Overland Length (ft)	Overland Slope (%)	Gutter Length (ft)	Gutter Velocity (fps)
OUTFALL 1	5920.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PIPE 3	5932.03	18.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PIPE 2	5940.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PIPE 1	5939.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Manhole Input Summary:

Manhole Output Summary:

		Loca	l Contrib	oution			Total De	sign Flow		
Element Name	Overland Time (min)	Gutter Time (min)	Basin Tc (min)	Intensity (in/hr)	Local Contrib (cfs)	Coeff. Area	Intensity (in/hr)	Manhole Tc (min)	Peak Flow (cfs)	Comment
OUTFALL 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
PIPE 3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.00	Surface Water Present (Downstream)
PIPE 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.00	
PIPE 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.00	

Sewer Input Summary:

		El	evation		Loss (Coefficie	ents	Given Dimensions			
Element Name	Sewer Length (ft)	Downstream Invert (ft)	Slope (%)	Upstream Invert (ft)	Mannings n	Bend Loss	Lateral Loss	Cross Section	Rise (ft or in)	Span (ft or in)	
PIPE 3	26.79	5921.25	1.0	5921.52	0.013	0.03	1.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in	
PIPE 2	39.65	5922.02	8.0	5925.19	0.013	0.38	0.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	
PIPE 1	63.17	5922.02	8.0	5927.07	0.013	0.38	0.44	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	

Sewer Flow Summary:

	Full Flow Capacity Critical Flow			cal Flow		No	rmal Flow				
Element Name	Flow (cfs)	Velocity (fps)	Depth (in)	Velocity (fps)	Depth (in)	Velocity (fps)	Froude Number	Flow Condition	Flow (cfs)	Surcharged Length (ft)	Comment
PIPE 3	41.29	8.41	17.24	6.17	13.85	8.12	1.52	Supercritical	18.00	0.00	
PIPE 2	64.14	20.42	12.85	5.26	6.07	14.40	4.23	Supercritical	9.00	0.00	
PIPE 1	64.13	20.41	12.85	5.26	6.07	14.40	4.23	Supercritical	9.00	0.00	

- A Froude number of 0 indicates that pressured flow occurs (adverse slope or undersized pipe).
- If the sewer is not pressurized, full flow represents the maximum gravity flow in the sewer.
- If the sewer is pressurized, full flow represents the pressurized flow conditions.

Sewer Sizing Summary:

			Exis	ting	Calcı	lated				
Element Name	Peak Flow (cfs)	Cross Section	Rise	Span	Rise	Span	Rise	Span	Area (ft^2)	Comment
PIPE 3	18.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	30.00 in	30.00 in	4.91	
PIPE 2	9.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	18.00 in	18.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	3.14	
PIPE 1	9.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	18.00 in	18.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	3.14	

- Calculated diameter was determined by sewer hydraulic capacity rounded up to the nearest commercially available size.
- Sewer sizes should not decrease downstream.
- All hydraulics where calculated using the 'Used' parameters.

Grade Line Summary:

Tailwater Elevation (ft): 0.00

	Invert	Elev.	Downstre L	eam Manhole osses	HG	L	EGL			
Element Name	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Bend Loss (ft)	Lateral Loss (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Friction Loss (ft)	Upstream (ft)	
PIPE 3	5921.25	5921.52	0.00	0.00	5922.40	5922.96	5923.43	0.12	5923.55	
PIPE 2	5922.02	5925.19	0.05	0.00	5923.01	5926.26	5925.75	0.94	5926.69	
PIPE 1	5922.02	5927.07	0.05	0.15	5923.16	5928.14	5925.75	2.82	5928.57	

- Six inches for pipes less than 60 inches.
- Eight inches for all larger sizes.

System Input Summary

5-YR HGLS PIPES 1, 2, & 3

Rainfall Parameters

Rainfall Return Period: 5 **Rainfall Calculation Method:** Formula

One Hour Depth (in): Rainfall Constant "A": 28.5 Rainfall Constant "B": 10 Rainfall Constant "C": 0.786

Rational Method Constraints

Minimum Urban Runoff Coeff.: 0.20 Maximum Rural Overland Len. (ft): 500 Maximum Urban Overland Len. (ft): 300 Used UDFCD Tc. Maximum: Yes

Sizer Constraints

Minimum Sewer Size (in): 18.00 Maximum Depth to Rise Ratio: 0.90 Maximum Flow Velocity (fps): 18.0 Minimum Flow Velocity (fps): 2.0

Backwater Calculations:

Tailwater Elevation (ft): 0.00

Manhole Input Summary:

		Give	en Flow	Sub Basin Information									
Element Name	Ground Elevation (ft)	Total Known Flow (cfs)	Local Contribution (cfs)	Drainage Area (Ac.)	Runoff Coefficient	5yr Coefficient	Overland Length (ft)	Overland Slope (%)	Gutter Length (ft)	Gutter Velocity (fps)			
OUTFALL 1	5920.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
PIPE 3	5932.03	9.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
PIPE 2	5940.00	4.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
PIPE 1	5939.00	4.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

Manhole Output Summary:

Local Contribution	Total Design Flow	

Element Name	Overland Time (min)	Gutter Time (min)	Basin Tc (min)	Intensity (in/hr)	Local Contrib (cfs)	Coeff. Area	Intensity (in/hr)	Manhole Tc (min)	Peak Flow (cfs)	Comment
OUTFALL 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
PIPE 3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.70	Surface Water Present (Downstream)
PIPE 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	
PIPE 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	

Sewer Input Summary:

		El	evation		Loss (Coefficie	ents	Given Dimensions			
Element Name	Sewer Length (ft)	Downstream Invert (ft)	Slope (%)	Upstream Invert (ft)	Mannings n	Bend Loss	Lateral Loss	Cross Section	Rise (ft or in)	Span (ft or in)	
PIPE 3	26.79	5921.25	1.0	5921.52	0.013	0.03	1.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in	
PIPE 2	39.65	5922.02	8.0	5925.19	0.013	0.38	0.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	
PIPE 1	63.17	5922.02	8.0	5927.07	0.013	0.38	0.44	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	

Sewer Flow Summary:

	Full Flo	ow Capacity	Criti	cal Flow	Normal Flow								
Element Name	Flow (cfs)	Velocity (fps)	Depth (in)	Velocity (fps)	Depth (in)	Velocity (fps)	Froude Number	Flow Condition	Flow (cfs)	Surcharged Length (ft)	Comment		

PIPE 3	41.29	8.41	12.48	5.02	9.89	6.87	1.56	Supercritical	9.70	0.00	
PIPE 2	64.14	20.42	9.35	4.32	4.49	12.06	4.17	Supercritical	4.90	0.00	
PIPE 1	64.13	20.41	9.25	4.30	4.44	11.99	4.16	Supercritical	4.80	0.00	

- A Froude number of 0 indicates that pressured flow occurs (adverse slope or undersized pipe).
- If the sewer is not pressurized, full flow represents the maximum gravity flow in the sewer.
- If the sewer is pressurized, full flow represents the pressurized flow conditions.

Sewer Sizing Summary:

			Existing		Calculated					
Element Name	Peak Flow (cfs)	Cross Section	Rise	Span	Rise	Span	Rise	Span	Area (ft^2)	Comment
PIPE 3	9.70	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in	18.00 in	18.00 in	30.00 in	30.00 in	4.91	
PIPE 2	4.90	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	18.00 in	18.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	3.14	
PIPE 1	4.80	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	18.00 in	18.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	3.14	

- Calculated diameter was determined by sewer hydraulic capacity rounded up to the nearest commercially available size.
- Sewer sizes should not decrease downstream.
- All hydraulics where calculated using the 'Used' parameters.

Grade Line Summary:

Tailwater Elevation (ft): 0.00

	Invert	Elev.	Downstre L	eam Manhole osses	HG	L	EGL		
Element Name	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Bend Loss (ft)	Lateral Loss (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Friction Loss (ft)	Upstream (ft)
PIPE 3	5921.25	5921.52	0.00	0.00	5922.07	5922.56	5922.81	0.14	5922.95
PIPE 2	5922.02	5925.19	0.01	0.00	5922.57	5925.97	5924.65	1.61	5926.26
PIPE 1	5922.02	5927.07	0.01	0.04	5922.62	5927.84	5924.62	3.51	5928.13

- Bend and Lateral losses only apply when there is an outgoing sewer. The system outfall, sewer #0, is not considered a sewer.
- Bend loss = Bend K * $V_{fi} ^ 2/(2*g)$
- Lateral loss = $V_{fo} \wedge 2/(2*g)$ Junction Loss K * $V_{fi} \wedge 2/(2*g)$.
- Friction loss is always Upstream EGL Downstream EGL.

Excavation Estimate:

The trench side slope is 1.0 ft/ft The minimum trench width is 2.00 ft

					Downstream			Upstream				
Element Name	Length (ft)	Wall (in)	Bedding (in)	Bottom Width (ft)	Top Width (ft)	Trench Depth (ft)	Cover (ft)	Top Width (ft)	Trench Depth (ft)	Cover (ft)	Volume (cu. yd)	Comment
PIPE 3	26.79	3.50	6.00	6.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.52	11.30	7.72	56.50	Sewer Too Shallow




DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

Project:	Airport Spe	ctrum Sub.	Fil. 1											
Basin ID:	PERMANEN	T POND												
ZONE 3	2 ONE 1		<u> </u>											
		T												
E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E		R.					1							
ZONE	1 AND 2	ORIFIC	AR CE		Depth Increment =	0.25	ft				Ontional		r	
POOL Example Zone	e Configurati	on (Retenti	ion Pond)		Stage - Storage	Stage	Override	Length	Width	Area	Override	Area	Volume	Volume
-					Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft ²)	Area (ft ²)	(acre)	(ft 3)	(ac-ft)
Watershed Information		-	0 elevati	on (top	Top of Micropool		0.00				235	0.005		
Selected BMP Type =	EDB	_	of microp	= 1000			0.33	-			235	0.005	78	0.002
Watershed Area =	14.39	acres	5919.0				1.00				2,214	0.051	898	0.021
Watershed Length =	792	ft					2.00				7,132	0.164	5,571	0.128
Watershed Length to Centroid =	520	ft					3.00				9,348	0.215	13,811	0.317
Watershed Slope =	0.043	ft/ft					4.00				10,950	0.251	23,960	0.550
Watersned Imperviousness =	51.60%	percent					5.00				12,745	0.293	35,807	0.822
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent					9.00	_		-	21 672	0.309	104 132	2 301
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent					10.00				24,340	0.559	127,138	2.919
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours												
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	-												
After providing required inputs above in	cludina 1-hour	rainfall												
depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate run	off hydrograph	ns using												
the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro	ograph Proced	ure.	Optional Use	er Overrides						-				
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.253	acre-feet		acre-feet										
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.864	acre-feet		acre-feet				-						
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.618	acre-feet	1.19	inches										
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.820	acre-feet	1.50	inches			<u> </u>						<u> </u>	
10-yr Runott Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.982	acre-feet	1.75	inches										
25-yr KUNOTT VOIUME (P1 = 2 in.) =	1.231	acro-feet	2.00	inchos										
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 lfl.) =	1.4/4	acre-feet	2.25	inches									<u> </u>	
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.1 in) -	2.405	acre-feet	3.10	inches				-						
Approximate 2-vr Detention Volume =	0.556	acre-feet	5.10	4									t	
Approximate 5-vr Detention Volume =	0.732	acre-feet												
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.891	acre-feet								-				
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.088	acre-feet												
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.213	acre-feet												
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.361	acre-feet												
		_												
Define Zones and Basin Geometry		-												
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.253	acre-feet												
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.611	acre-feet												
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.498	acre-feet								-				
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.361	acre-feet												
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft ³												
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft												
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	user	ft												
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft o.o												
Slope of Trickle Channel (S _{TC}) =	user	π/π												
Slopes of Main Basin Sloes (S _{main}) =	user	n:v						-						
busin eeliger to wider teato (t(j/w) =	usci													
Initial Surcharge Area (Arsy) =	user	ft ²												
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft												
Surcharge Volume Width (WISV) =	user	ft												
Depth of Basin Floor (H _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft												
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft												
Width of Basin Floor (W _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft												
Area of Basin Floor $(A_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft ²											L	
Volume of Basin Floor (V _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft ³												
Depth of Main Basin (H _{MAIN}) =	user	ft												
Length of Main Basin (L _{MAIN}) =	user	rt e												
width of Main Basin (W _{MAIN}) =	user	π • 2					-						+	
Volume of Main Basin (V) =	User	нц Ф.3						-						
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V) -	liser	acre-feet						-						
concard to an adam volume (v _{total}) =	4361													
													<u> </u>	
								-						
													<u> </u>	
								-						
													<u> </u>	
													<u> </u>	
													<u> </u>	
								-						
								- 1					1	1

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022) Project: Airport Spectrum Sub. Fil. 1 Basin ID: PERMANENT POND Estimated Estimated ZONE Stage (ft) Volume (ac-ft) Outlet Type VOLUME EURV Zone 1 (WOCV 2.69 0.253 Orifice Plate Zone 2 (EURV) 0.611 Orifice Plate 100-YEAF 5.15 ZONE 1 AND 2 Zone 3 (100-year) 6.63 0.498 Weir&Pipe (Circular PERMA Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond) Total (all zones 1.361 User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP) Calculated Parameters for Underdrain Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth : ft (distance below the filtration media surface) Underdrain Orifice Area ft² Underdrain Orifice Diameter = Underdrain Orifice Centroid = inches feet User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP) Calculated Parameters for Plate ft² Centroid of Lowest Orifice = 0.00 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) WO Orifice Area per Row N/A Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = 5.25 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Elliptical Half-Width : N/A feet Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = 20.60 inches Elliptical Slot Centroid N/A feet Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = N/A sq. inches Elliptical Slot Area = ft² N/A User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest) Row 1 (required) Row 2 (optional) Row 3 (optional) Row 4 (optional) Row 5 (optional) Row 6 (optional) Row 7 (optional) Row 8 (optional) Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft 0.00 1.75 3.50 Orifice Area (sg. inches 1.00 3.00 5.00 Row 9 (optional) Row 10 (optional) Row 11 (optional) Row 12 (optional) Row 13 (optional) Row 14 (optional) Row 15 (optional) Row 16 (optional) Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) Orifice Area (sg. inches) User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular) Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected fft² Invert of Vertical Orifice N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Vertical Orifice Area N/A N/A Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice = Vertical Orifice Centroid = N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) N/A N/A feet Vertical Orifice Diameter = N/A N/A inches User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe) Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir Zone 3 Weir Not Selected Zone 3 Weir Not Selected Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = 5.25 N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Height of Grate Upper Edge, H_t : 6.25 N/A feet Overflow Weir Slope Length 4.12 N/A Overflow Weir Front Edge Length : 4.00 N/A feet feet Overflow Weir Grate Slope = 4.00 N/A H:V Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area : 6.50 N/A N/A Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = 4.00 N/A feet Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris : 11.48 ft² Type C Grate 5.74 Overflow Grate Type = N/A Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris = N/A ff² 50% Debris Clogging % = N/A User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice) Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate Zone 3 Circular Zone 3 Circular Not Selected Not Selected Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe 0.25 N/A Outlet Orifice Area 1.77 N/A ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Circular Orifice Diameter : 18.00 N/A inches Outlet Orifice Centroid 0.75 N/A feet Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe = N/A N/A radians User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal) Calculated Parameters for Spillway Spillway Invert Stage= 7.00 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Spillway Design Flow Depth= 0.75 feet Spillway Crest Length = 15.00 feet Stage at Top of Freeboard = 8.75 feet Spillway End Slopes = H:V Basin Area at Top of Freeboard 0.48 6.67 acres Freeboard above Max Water Surface = 1.00 feet Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard = 2.27 acre-ft Routed Hydrograph Results ns W thro hs tal Design Storm Return Period WOC\ FUR\ 2 Year 5 Yea 10 Yea 25 Yea 50 Yea 100 Yea 500 Year One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) N/A N/A 1.19 1.50 1.75 2.00 2.52 3.10 2.25 CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) 0.618 0.982 1.474 0.253 0.864 0.820 1.231 1.782 2.405 Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = N/A N/A 0.618 0.820 0.982 1.231 1.474 1.782 2.405 CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) N/A N/A 0.2 03 0.4 37 7.2 11.7 20.0 OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) N/A N/A Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, g (cfs/acre) : 0.01 0.03 0.26 0.50 0.81 1.39 N/A N/A 0.02 Peak Inflow Q (cfs) 24.5 37.8 51.1 N/A N/A 11.6 15.4 18.2 30.0 0.4 Peak Outflow Q (cfs) 0.2 0.5 0.4 0.5 4.4 18.4 2.1 9.2 Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q N/A N/A N/A 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.9 Structure Controlling Flow Plate Plate Plate Plate Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Spillway Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) N/A N/A N/A N/A 0.0 0.1 0.3 0.8 1.5 Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) 44 64 64 61 66 74 65 74 60 63 55 70 Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) 47 71 66 70 73 72 Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) 2.70 5.15 4.09 4.79 5.30 5.72 6.03 6.45 7.02 Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) 0.20 0.30 0.26 0.28 0.31 0 33 0.34 0.36 0.39 Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) 0.255 0.866 0 573 0 759 0.912 1.045 1.149 1.293 1.508

5-YEAR POND ELEVATION: 5919.0+4.79= 5924.79' 100-YEAR POND ELEVATION: 5919+6.45= 5925.45'



BASIN RUNOFF (RATIONAL)						
BASIN	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	ACREAGE			
EX-A	6.9	12.4	1.51			
EX-C	4.3	11.3	3.28			
A1	8.1	15.2	2.02			
A2	4.9	9.0	1.18			
A3	4.8	9.0	1.17			
A4	3.8	6.8	0.82			
В	0.9	6.5	2.72			
С	2.9	7.9	1.69			
F	0.8	5.7	1.87			

PIPE RUN SUMMARY							
PIPE	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	PIPE SIZE				
1	4.8	9.0	24" RCP				
2	4.9	9.0	24" RCP				
3	9.7	18.0	30" RCP				
4	15.0	27.6	EX. 24" RCP				
5	3.8	6.8	18" RCP				
6	18.8	34.4	30" RCP				
7	0.4	9.2	18" RCP				

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY (RATIONAL METHOD)							
DESIGN POINT	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	FEATURE				
1	4.8	9.0	FUTURE STORM				
2	4.9	9.0	FUTURE STORM				
3	15.0	27.6	EX. AT-GRADE INLET				
4	3.8	6.8	TYPE C INLET				
5	5.1	17.2	SURFACE				
6	27.7	60.6	PERMANENT POND				
7	1.0	13.3	TO EX. CHANNEL				

DRAINAGE LETTER FOR SUPER STAR CAR WASH – POWERS AND MILTON

COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO

Prepared for:

Super Star Car Wash 960 Behrend Drive, Suite 1 Phoenix, AZ 85027

Contact: Tim Varley Phone: (801) 651-1748

Prepared by:



1526 Cole Blvd, Suite 100 Lakewood, Colorado 80401

Contact: Thomas Pannell, PE – Team Lead Phone: (303) 801-2900

> JN: 020441-01-008 January 2024

Signature Page Super Star Car Wash – Powers and Milton

Engineer's Certification Statement

This report and plan for the drainage design of Super Star Car Wash - Powers & Milton was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said report and plan has been prepared in accordance with the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual and is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.



Thomas Pannell, PE - Team Lead Registed Professional Engineer State of Colorado No. 53615 For and on behalf of Bowman Consulting Group, Ltd.

Developer's Statement

Super Star Car Wash hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for Super Star Car Wash – Powers and Milton shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that the City of Colorado Springs does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer and that are submitted to the City of Colorado Springs pursuant to section 7.7.906 of the City Code; and cannot, on behalf of Super Star Car Wash - Powers and Milton, guarantee that final drainage design review will absolve Super Star Car Wash and/or their successors and/or assigns of future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

Superstar	Car Wash
Name of Developer	

Authorized Signature

John Lueken

Printed Name

Title

960 W Behrend Dr Ste 2 Phoeniz, AZ 85027

Hao Vo

Address

City of Colorado Springs Statement:

Filed in accordence with Section 7.7.906 of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs, 2001, as amended.

12/13/2023

Date

hal

1/26/2024

For City Engineer Conditions

Date

According to the FEMA FIRM for El Paso County, Colorado and Incorporated Areas, Panel 763 of 1275, Map No. 08041C0763G, dated 12/07/2018, the is located in Zone X (area of minimal flood hazard). For more information, please see the FEMA FIRMette in Appendix G. Per the Classic report, the site takes up the majority of basin A2. The drainage map developed by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors for the overall development can be found in Appendix E.

Flows from this site will be conveyed via a proposed storm drain system to the southwest of the site to an existing private full spectrum extended detention and water quality pond that is sized for the entire subdivision. The total area analyzed by Classic Consulting is 16.26ac, with 14.39ac tributing to the pond, of which 1.21ac is analyzed in this letter. A total of 3.77ac of impervious landcover producing 17.8cfs, and 33.2cfs for the 5-yr and 100-yr storm events are routed to the pond. The Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 states that Basin A2, 1.18-acres, was designed to have a 5-year flow rate of 4.9cfs and a 100-year flow rate of 9.0cfs. The proposed site will combine sub-basin flows for a 5-year flow rate of 2.78cfs and a 100-year flow rate of 5.5cfs, both well below the designed capacity for Basin A2. No on-site detention will be required because the off-site proposed private full spectrum extended detention and water quality pond will have capacity for this development.

As demonstrated in this report and appendices, the proposed development complies with the assumptions provided in the original analysis by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors in the "Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 (Off-Site)", dated July 2022, Approved on June 1st, 2023.

Construction on the downstream infrastructure and pond mentioned in the "Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 (Off-Site)", dated July 2022, Approved on June 1st, 2023, will commence prior to the construction of Super Star Car Wash – Milton & Powers.

3.2. Sub-Basin Descriptions

Existing Drainage Basins Information for existing basin is from FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT AMENDMENT FOR AIRPORT SPECTRUM FILING NO. 1 (OFF-SITE). See Appendix E.

Basin A2 (1.18 Ac., C5=0.94, C100=1.04)

"(Q5 = 4.9cfs, Q100 = 9.0cfs) is the runoff from Basin A2, 1.18 acres of planned commercial development directly south of Milton Proby Pkwy. A future storm system will intercept the entirety of this runoff and route to the proposed pipe stub (Private Pipe 2, 24" RCP). A separate drainage report (by others) will detail the site-specific Private storm system for this basin and development within. Pipe 3 (Private 30" RCP, Q5 = 9.7cfs, Q100 = 18.0cfs) conveys the combined runoff from Pipes 1 & 2 to the south directly into the proposed private full spectrum detention and

Basin A3 is located on the east side of the site and composed of down-sloping landscaping to tie into existing grades. No impervious area is proposed in this area for neighboring property to develop. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow over grasses east and then south to the proposed private full spectrum detention and water quality pond, by others. The 5-year and 100-year storm events for this basin are 0.001cfs and 0.03cfs, respectively, and this basin is not included in any Design Point. The proposed conditions in this letter are in conformance with what the master drainage report has assumed for the A3 Basin.

TABLE 1. BASIN COMPARISON								
	BASIN	AREA						
	ID	(Ac.)	ID	(Ac.)				
			B1	0.3				
			B2	0.22				
		1.18	B3	0.07				
	A2		B4	0.06				
			B5	0.15				
			B6	0.2				
			UD1	0.18				
TOTAL	1.18 1.18							

4. Compliance with Previous Report

This report, and the associated proposed conditions, are in compliance with "Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1", prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, dated July 2022, approved June 1st, 2023. This is demonstrated by analyzing the A2 basin in the previous report and the proposed new sub-basins. In the Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1, it was assumed that Basin A2 would consist of 1.03ac of impervious landcover and 0.15ac of pervious landcover. The same report assumed that basin would produce 4.9cfs and 9.0cfs for the 5-yr and 100-yr storm events, respectively. The proposed Super Star Car Wash plans 0.93ac of impervious landcover and 0.25ac of pervious landcover, as well as 2.78cfs and 5.50cfs for the 5-yr and 100-yr storm events, respectively. As shown in Appendix H, the Runoff Reduction Exhibit and PIA Master Developer Allocation, the Master Developer has allocated 0.148 acres for planned infiltration area (PIA) where, the proposed site requires 0.017 acres of PIA, see Table 2 for tabulated information. With both, lower impervious landcover and resulting lower runoff rates, the proposed Super Star Car Wash is in compliance with the Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1.

	TABLE 2. GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CALCULATIONS								
РСМ	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	IMPERVIOUS AREA TOTAL (ACRE)	20% OF IMP. AREA (ACRE)	10% OF 20% OF IMP. AREA (ACRE) = MIN. RECEIVING PERVIOUS AREA (RPA) REQ.	TOTAL WQ EVENT WETTED AREA (ACRE)	REMAINING WQ EVENT WETTED AREA (ACRE)			
POND	EX-A, EX-C, A1, A2, A3, A4, B, C	7.4	1.48	0.148	0.148	0			
POND	A2 (Bowman)	0.859	0.172	0.017	0.148	0.131			

5. Drainage Design Criteria

5.1. Development Criteria Reference

As demonstrated in this report and appendices, the proposed development complies with the assumptions provided in the original analysis by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, and with the latest editions of the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual, (COCS DCM) and the Mile High Flood District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (MHFD USDCM).

5.2. Hydrologic Criteria

Site calculations were performed to determine the proposed runoff quantities for the 5-yr, and 100yr 24-hour storm events for the developed conditions using the Rational Method as required by the City of Colorado Springs for basins containing less than 100 acres. According to the Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors report, basin A2 was designed to have a 5-year flow rate of 4.90cfs and a 100-year flow rate of 9.00cfs. The proposed site will have an undetained 5-year flow rate of 2.78cfs and a 100-year flow rate of 5.50cfs. In addition, the proposed private full spectrum detention and water quality pond has been designed to have post-development flow rates at or below pre-development levels up to and including the 100-year storm event.

Runoff from basins B1 to B6 and UD1 are conveyed via proposed private storm drains to the existing above-ground detention system. Off-site existing private full spectrum detention and water quality treatment will be provided.

5.3. Stormwater Quality Four Step Process

The City of Colorado Springs requires all proposed development projects to follow their Four Step Process to minimize adverse impacts of urbanization. The Site was examined using the Four Step Process and a summary of the process for the Site is below:

Step 1 – Employ Runoff Reduction Practices

In step 1 the applicant is asked to identify areas of the Site that can be used to reduce runoff and implement GI practices such as permeable pavement, green roofs, grass buffers, grass swales, and

bioretention. This is accomplished by providing landscape areas adjacent to the building, driveways, and parking areas, and utilizing approximately 6980 square feet of the bottom of the proposed private full spectrum and water quality pond (by Classic Consulting) as RPA area. This pond is to be completed prior to start of construction for this project. Furthermore, all site runoff, 100% (1.18ac), is directed to the existing private full spectrum detention and water quality pond where 91% runoff reduction is achieved. This plan includes 0.006 acres (0.79%) of disturbed area to not be captured with proposed inlets, however this runoff is routed to a downstream inlet and eventually is treated in the proposed private full spectrum detention and water quality pond. See Appendix I for the Runoff Reduction Spreadsheet.

Step 2 – Treat and Slowly Release the WQCV

In step 2, the applicant is asked to treat the remaining runoff through capture and slow release of the WQCV. This is being accomplished through the proposed Extended Detention Basin by Classic Consulting, where runoff will flow in the full spectrum pond while sediment settles, and then runoff is slowly released through the outlet structure at or below historic rates. 100% (1.47 acres) of the disturbed area will be treated through the full spectrum extended detention basin facility, by Classic Consulting, achieving 91% WQCV reduction. As shown in Appendix H, the Runoff Reduction Exhibit and PIA Master Developer Allocation, all basins with the exception of Basin F are routed to the EDB, by Classic Consulting. Emergency spillway for the EDB is described as 15' wide emergency spillway & rundown with type 'M' riprap and topsoil cover.

Design	Associated	Treatment Method	Ownership/Maintenance
Point	Disturbance Area (Ac)		
1	0.303	75% Infiltration	SSCW
2	0.857	Extended Detention Basin A	Bert A. Getz
3	0.15	Utility exclusion	N/A
4	0.006	Not Treated	N/A
Total	1.32		

Step 3 – Stabilize Drainageways

Per the OWA Report, this will be accomplished through a combination of seeding and mulching and riprap around the outfall and spillway to stabilize the land and prevent erosion. All new and re-development projects are required to construct or participate in the funding of channel stabilization measures. Drainage basin fees paid, at the time of platting, go towards channel stabilization within the drainage basin. According to the drainage report, "Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1", prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, dated July 2022, approved June 1st, 2023, channel stabilization criteria has been met.

Step 4 – Implement Source Controls

A combination of source control CCM's will be utilized including landscape maintenance, snow and ice management, and street sweeping and cleaning. All effluent from the car wash operations is captured within a trench drain that runs the length of the tunnel building. This effluent drains to the sanitary sewer connections to the building and is then treated through a combination of water



POND

POND

PCM



TABLE 2. GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE CALCULATIONS									
CONTRIBUTING BASINS	IMPERVIOUS AREA TOTAL (ACRE)	20% OF IMP. AREA (ACRE)	10% OF 20% OF IMP. AREA (ACRE) = MIN. RECEIVING PERVIOUS AREA (RPA) REQ.	TOTAL WQ EVENT WETTED AREA (ACRE)	REMAINING WQ EVENT WETTED AREA (ACRE)				
EX-A, EX-C, A1, A2, A3, A4, B, C	7.4	1.48	0.148	0.148	0				
A2 (Bowman)	0.859	0.172	0.017	0.148	0.131				

CALCULATED SITE RESULTS (sums results from all columns in worksheet)

Total Area (ft ²)	64,063
Total Impervious Area (ft ²)	37,422
WQCV (ft ³)	1,559
WQCV Reduction (ft ³)	1,416
WQCV Reduction (%)	91%
Untreated WQCV (ft ³)	143



1. THIS SITE IS NOT WITHIN THE STREAMSIDE OVERLAY ZONE

GRAPHIC SCALE

(IN FEET) 1 inch = 30 ft.



EXHIBIT

December 7, 2023

City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Enterprise 30 S. Nevada Avenue, Suite 400 Colorado Springs, CO 80903

ATTN: Erin Powers, P.E. – Compliance Program Manager

RE: Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 – Green Infrastructure Planned Infiltration Area Master Developer Allocation

Dear Erin,

In conjunction with the development of the Airport Spectrum Development located southwest of the intersection of Milton E. Proby Parkway and Powers Boulevard, a Private Permanent Control Measurement (PCM) is proposed as approved on plans dated 6/8/23 (STM-Rev23-0895). This facility was defined in the report also approved titled, "Final Drainage Report Amendment for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 1 (Off-site)", dated July 2022 (STM-Rev22-0894). Both documents were prepared by Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC.

As all of the developable area tributary to the approved PCM is owned by the Master Developer Globe Corporation, the use of the PIA/RPA (Planned Infiltration Area/Receiving Pervious Area) in the pond bottom is allocated by them as well. The following is a summary of the proposed allocation.

•	Total pervious pond bottom area (WQCV inundation area	without	trickle channels,
	impervious improvements.	0.148_	AC
	(Based on Approved Pond Report and WQCV Depth)		
•	PIA area required for Airport Spectrum Filing No. 2.	0.017	AC

• Remaining PIA area for future development. <u>0.131</u>____AC

As the developable tributary area is relatively small for the PCM (only one additional easterly adjacent lot), use of the pond PIA/RPA is also assumed for that future lot and will be documented in that preliminary and/or final drainage report.

This executed letter will be included in the "Drainage Letter for Super Star Carwash – Powers and Milton".

Globe Corporation acknowledges and allocates the described PIA/RPA area within the PCM to Super Star Car Wash.

Sincerely,

Globe Corporation