

V1_Drainage Letter_COMMENTS.pdf Markup Summary

dsdparsons (2)



Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: dsdparsons
Date: 8/19/2026 2:48:06 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:


Please remove the proposed lot line- this is not creating a new lot, it is modification of the no-build areas.



Subject: Planner
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: dsdparsons
Date: 8/19/2026 2:48:52 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

please clearly mark and label proposed /revised no-build area

Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater (3)



Subject: SW - Textbox
Page Label: 3
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 8/24/2026 4:45:39 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Please add a paragraph addressing WQ treatment/exclusions. In the PCM App Form, you selected the Large Lot exclusion. So please calc and state the proposed total lot imperviousness to show that it is <10% to meet that exclusion.

I don't think that exclusion applies for this site though because it says it's for lots with >2.5ac per dwelling. And this lot will be 2.75ac with two dwellings.

But I think your reasoning for no WQ treatment required could just be that the subdivision and ponds were approved prior to 2008 MS4 requirements for WQ treatment. And since this post-2008 proposed development is <1ac, WQ treatment is not required.



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 8/24/2026 4:41:05 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

This section of the swale is not shown with the flow path perpendicular to the contours. Detail A to the right shows a 2ft deep swale, so please show those proposed contours here.



Subject: SW - Highlight
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 8/24/2026 4:20:57 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

5

v site nlan for the pronos

Design Point #A1.2

[illegible]

There is no design Point A1.2 in hydrologic calculations in Appendix B or on the Developed Drainage Map. The developed flows for Basin A1.2 are Q5=1.1 cfs and Q100=4.5 cfs, and the developed flows at Design Point 2 are Q5=4.2 cfs and Q100=17.1 cfs Please revise narrative.

7.0	7.0
5.3	5.3
8.4	8.4
5.3	5.3
8.4	8.4

8.4 8.4

8.4	8.4
5.3	5.3
8.4	8.4


8.4 8.4

Please clarify how the time of concentration was calculated at Design Points 1 and 2. The methodology is unclear based on the information provided.

SPR (°C)	DCS VELOCITY (°C/s)	T ₁ ¹⁰⁰ (°C)	TOTAL T ₁ ¹⁰⁰ (°C)	TOTAL T ₁ ¹⁰⁰ (°C)	INTENSITY ¹⁰⁰ S-VR (%/HR)	100 HR S-VR (%/HR)	Q ₁₀₀ ¹⁰⁰ (°C/s)	PEAK T ₁ ¹⁰⁰ (°C)
200	0.501	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.00	0.501	1.00	
200	1.002	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.00	0.501	1.00	
200	0.501	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.00	0.501	1.00	
200	0.501	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.00	0.501	1.00	

Please clarify how the time of concentration was calculated at Design Points 1 and 2. The methodology is unclear based on the information provided.

11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530	531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640	641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650	651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660	661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670	671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680	681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700	701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710	711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720	721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730	731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740	741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750	751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760	761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770	771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780	781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790	791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800	801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810	811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820	821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830	831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840	841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850	851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860	861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870	871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880	881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890	891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900	901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910	911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920	921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930	931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940	941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950	951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960	961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970	971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980	981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990	991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000	1001	1002	1003	1004	1005	1006	1007	1008	1009	1010	1011	1012	1013	1014	1015	1016	1017	1018	1019	1020	1021	1022	1023	1024	1025	1026	1027	1028	1029	1030	1031	1032	1033	1034	1035	1036	1037	1038	1039	1040	1041	1042	1043	1044	1045	1046	1047	1048	1049	1050	1051	1052	1053	1054	1055	1056	1057	1058	1059	1060	1061	1062	1063	1064	1065	1066	1067	1068	1069	1070	1071	1072	1073	1074	1075	1076	1077	1078	1079	1080	1081	1082	1083	1084	1085	1086	1087	1088	1089	1090	1091	1092	1093	1094	1095	1096	1097	1098	1099	1100	1101	1102	1103	1104	1105	1106	1107	1108	1109	1110	1111	1112	1113	1114	1115	1116	1117	1118	1119	1120	1121	1122	1123	1124	1125	1126	1127	1128	1129	1130	1131	1132	1133	1134	1135	1136	1137	1138	1139	1140	1141	1142	1143	1144	1145	1146	1147	1148	1149	1150	1151	1152	1153	1154	1155	1156	1157	1158	1159	1160	1161	1162	1163	1164	1165	1166	1167	1168	1169	1170	1171	1172	1173	1174	1175	1176	1177	1178	1179	1180	1181	1182	1183	1184	1185	1186	1187	1188	1189	1190	1191	1192	1193	1194	1195	1196	1197	1198	1199	1200	1201	1202	1203	1204	1205	1206	1207	1208	1209	1210	1211	1212	1213	1214	1215	1216	1217	1218	1219	1220	1221	1222	1223	1224	1225	1226	1227	1228	1229	1230	1231	1232	1233	1234	1235	1236	1237	1238	1239	1240	1241	1242	1243	1244	1245	1246	1247	1248	1249	1250	1251	1252	1253	1254	1255	1256	1257	1258	1259	1260	1261	1262	1263	1264	1265	1266	1267	1268	1269	1270	1271	1272	1273	1274	1275	1276	1277	1278	1279	1280	1281	1282	1283	1284	1285	1286	1287	1288	1289	1290	1291	1292	1293	1294	1295	1296	1297	1298	1299	1300	1301	1302	1303	1304	1305	1306	1307	1308	1309	1310	1311	1312	1313	1314	1315	1316	1317	1318	1319	1320	1321	1322	1323	1324	1325	1326	1327	1328	1329	1330	1331	1332	1333	1334	1335	1336	1337	1338	1339	1340	1341	1342	1343	1344	1345	1346	1347	1348	1349	1350	1351	1352	1353	1354	1355	1356	1357	1358	1359	1360	1361	1362	1363	1364	1365	1366	1367	1368	1369	1370	1371	1372	1373	1374	1375	1376	1377	1378	1379	1380	1381	1382	1383	1384	1385	1386	1387	1388	1389	1390	1391	1392	1393	1394	1395	1396	1397	1398	1399	1400	1401	1402	1403	1404	1405	1406	1407	1408	1409	1410	1411	1412	1413	1414	1415	1416	1417	1418	1419	1420	1421	1422	1423	1424	1425	1426	1427	1428	1429	1430	1431	1432	1433	1434	1435	1436	1437	1438	1439	1440	1441	1442	1443	1444	1445	1446	1447	1448	1449	1450	1451	1452	1453	1454	1455	1456	1457	1458	1459	1460	1461	1462	1463	1464	1465	1466	1467	1468	1469	1470	1471	1472	1473	1474	1475	1476	1477	1478	1479	1480	1481	1482	1483	1484	1485	1486	1487	1488	1489	1490	1491	1492	1493	1494	1495	1496	1497	1498	1499	1500	1501	1502	15
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	----




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 10
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/21/2026 4:22:59 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Flow is supercritical, please revise design. Grass lined swale with ECB lining is insufficient.

Hydraulic Radius: 0.2257 ft
Average Velocity: 5.1942 ft/s
Top Width: 3.7231 ft
Froude Number: 1.8976
Critical Depth: 0.6013 ft
Critical Velocity: 3.1114 ft/s
Critical Slope: 0.0204 ft/ft


Subject: Highlight
Page Label: 11
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/21/2026 4:06:08 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Froude Number: 1.8976




Subject: Callout
Page Label: 11
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/21/2026 4:22:49 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Flow is supercritical, please revise design. Grass lined swale with ECB lining is insufficient.



Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/21/2026 4:30:07 PM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Please show paths chosen for the computation of the time of concentration.




Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/24/2026 10:07:08 AM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Please show extents of Basin OA1.1 on the proposed drainage plan.



Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/25/2026 9:11:57 AM
Status:
Color: 
Layer:
Space:

Please remove the portion of the paved parking area currently shown within the proposed 20-foot drainage easement.




Subject: Polygon
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/21/2026 4:32:01 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Please provide an analysis of the culvert to demonstrate that the proposed 18-inch culvert has adequate capacity.

Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/24/2026 1:49:58 PM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Please provide an analysis of the culvert to demonstrate that the proposed 18-inch culvert has adequate capacity.



Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] D1.1
Author: Laura Besler
Date: 8/25/2026 9:13:29 AM
Status:
Color: ■
Layer:
Space:

Please clearly show the existing ditch along Redstone Ridge Rd on the propose drainage plan.

Evaluate whether protection measures are needed to prevent erosion or damage from the concentrated flows associated with the proposed channel outfall into the ditch within the public right-of-way. The analysis shall demonstrate that there are no adverse impacts to the downstream.

DRAINAGE LETTER REPORT

for


**LOT 23, RED ROCK RESERVE
4619 REDSTONE RIDGE ROAD
MONUMENT, CO 80132**

Prepared for:

**Hughes Family Revocable Living Trust
4619 Redstone Ridge Road
Monument, CO 80132**

May 7, 2026

Prepared by:



**19 E. Willamette Avenue
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719)-477-9429
www.jpsengr.com**

**JPS Project No. 022603
PCD File No.: VR26[5](#)**

DRAINAGE STATEMENT

Engineer's Statement:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for liability caused by negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

John P. Schwab, P.E. #29891

Developer's Statement:

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

By:

Printed Name: Hughes Family Revocable Living Trust
4619 Redstone Ridge Road, Monument, CO 80132

Date


El Paso County's Statement

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, and Engineering Criteria Manual as amended.

Joshua J. Palmer, P.E.
County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Date

Conditions:



19 E. Willamette Avenue
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719)-477-9429
www.jpsengr.com

RED ROCK RESERVE – LOT 23 DRAINAGE LETTER REPORT

This Drainage Letter Report has been prepared in support of the proposed Easement Vacation for a portion of the existing rural residential lot at 4619 Redstone Ridge Road in the Palmer Lake area of northern El Paso County, Colorado. The subject property is a 2.75-acre lot platted as Lot 23, Red Rock Reserve Subdivision. The property is developed with an existing residence on the north side of the lot. The Owner (Hughes Family Revocable Living Trust) is currently proposing to vacate a portion of the platted drainage easement along the south side of the property to allow for construction of a second residence in the southeast corner of the lot.

JPS Engineering prepared the “Final Drainage Report for Red Rock Reserve Subdivision” dated March 27, 2006 (approved April 21, 2006). Lot 23 lies within Drainage Basin A1 as depicted on the approved subdivision drainage plan, and surface drainage from this lot flows southeasterly to the existing roadside ditch along the southwest side of Redstone Ridge Road. Basin A1 receives off-site drainage from Basin OA1 south of the property, and the combined flows ultimately drain to Detention Pond A at the east boundary of the subdivision. A wide “no-build drainage easement” was originally platted along the south side of Lot 23 based on the existing drainage swale flowing across the property.

The approved FDR identified developed flows of $Q_5 = 7.6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 16.4$ cfs for Basin A1. For site-specific drainage analysis, the off-site area contributing to Lot 23 has been delineated as Basin OA1.1 on the enclosed “Lot 23 Off-site Drainage Plan.” The on-site areas within Lot 23 have been delineated as Basin A1.1 (southwest side of lot) and Basin A1.2 (northeast portion of lot). As detailed in the hydrologic calculations in Appendix B, drainage from Basin OA1.1 and A1.1 combines at Design Point #1, with peak flows calculated $Q_5 = 3.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 13.2$ cfs. Developed flows at Design Point #A1.2 are calculated $Q_5 = 1.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 4.5$ cfs.

JPS Engineering has reviewed the preliminary site plan for the proposed second residence, and we have provided input on the site layout and drainage scheme for the proposed residence. Based on our recommendations, the site plan includes proposed drainage swales to ensure positive drainage along the north and west sides of the new residence, along with proposed grass-lined drainage channels to safely convey the upstream drainage around the south and east sides of the new residence. Hydraulic calculations for the proposed drainage channels are enclosed in Appendix C. Based on the relatively steep slopes across this lot, erosion control blanket lining has been specified for the proposed grass-lined drainage channels.

There is no design Point A1.2 in hydrologic calculations in Appendix B or on the Developed Drainage Map. The developed flows for Basin A1.2 are $Q_5=1.1$ cfs and $Q_{100}=4.5$ cfs, and the developed flows at Design Point 2 are $Q_5=4.2$ cfs and $Q_{100}=17.1$ cfs Please revise narrative.

Based on the proposed site plan for this lot as depicted on the enclosed "Sheet D1.1: Lot 23 Developed Drainage Plan," we recommend vacation of the existing drainage easement encompassing most of the south side of the property, and dedication of new easements following the proposed drainage channels along the south and east sides of the new second residence.

We recommend that builders and property owners adhere to the following general guidelines for proper site grading and drainage:

- Provide positive drainage away from structures and account for potential cross-lot drainage impacts within each lot.
- Implement and maintain proper control measures for erosion and sediment control to provide protection of downstream properties and facilities.

In summary, we recommend a vacation and revision to the platted drainage easements along the south side of Lot 23 to allow for construction of the proposed second residence as depicted on the attached "Lot 23 Developed Drainage Plan."

Sincerely,
JPS Engineering, Inc.

John P. Schwab, P.E.

Please add a paragraph addressing WQ treatment/exclusions. In the PCM App Form, you selected the Large Lot exclusion. So please calc and state the proposed total lot imperviousness to show that it is <10% to meet that exclusion.

I don't think that exclusion applies for this site though because it says it's for lots with >2.5ac per dwelling. And this lot will be 2.75ac with two dwellings.

But I think your reasoning for no WQ treatment required could just be that the subdivision and ponds were approved prior to 2008 MS4 requirements for WQ treatment. And since this post-2008 proposed development is <1ac, WQ treatment is not required.

APPENDIX A

EXCERPTS FROM PREVIOUS DRAINAGE REPORT

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
RED ROCK RESERVE SUBDIVISION**


Prepared for:

**Red Rock Reserve Development Company
17430 Lamplight Drive
Monument, CO 80132**

VERSION: 4.8
DATE: 4/20/06


October 5, 2005
Revised November 14, 2005
Revised December 30, 2005
Revised March 27, 2006

Prepared by:



**19 East Willamette Avenue
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719)-477-9429
(719)-471-0766 FAX**

JPS Project No. 070401



RECEIVED

APR 20 2006

EPC DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

**RED ROCK RESERVE
FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

A. Background

- Red Rock Reserve is a proposed low-density residential subdivision of a 67.9-acre parcel located south of Palmer Lake in northwestern El Paso County.
- The development plan consists of 23 residential lots with 2-1/2-acre minimum lot sizes.

B. General Drainage Concept

- Developed drainage within the site will be conveyed through paved streets with roadside ditches and culverts, as well as grass and riprap-lined channels through open space areas following historic drainage patterns through the site.
- Developed runoff from Red Rock Reserve will flow in a northeasterly direction, ultimately feeding into the main channel of Monument Creek. Impacts of developed flows from the proposed subdivision will be mitigated by upgrading two existing stock ponds at the easterly boundary of the project to serve as stormwater detention ponds.


C. Drainage Impacts

- The proposed detention ponds will release historic flows at the property boundary, ensuring no increase in developed flow to downstream properties.
- Drainage facilities within public road rights-of-way will be designed and constructed to El Paso County standards, and dedicated to the County for maintenance.
- Drainage facilities such as swales running through private lots will be owned and maintained by the private lot owners or homeowners association. The proposed stormwater detention ponds will be privately owned and maintained by the HOA.

DRAINAGE STATEMENT

Engineer's Statement:


The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the City/County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

 4/19/06

John P. Schwab, P.E. #29891

Developer's Statement:

I, the developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

By:  4/12/06

Printed Name: William F. Herebic, II


Date

Title: VP / Sec. / Treas.

Red Rock Reserve Development Company
17430 Lamplight Drive
Monument, CO 80132

El Paso County's Statement

Filed in accordance with Section 51.1 of the El Paso Land Development Code, as amended.

 4-21-06

John A. McCarty, P.E., Director / County Engineer

Date

Conditions:

I. GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

A. Background

Red Rock Reserve Subdivision is a proposed residential development located in the Forest View Acres area of northwestern El Paso County, Colorado. The Red Rock Reserve parcel (El Paso County Assessor's Number 71000-00-371) is located at the west end of Red Rock Ranch Road, south of the Town of Palmer Lake, as shown in Figure A1 (Appendix A). Red Rock Reserve Subdivision will consist of 23 low-density residential lots (2.5-acre minimum size) on a 67.9-acre parcel. The proposed lots will be provided with central water service from the existing Forest View Acres Water District (FVAWD). Wastewater service for the proposed lots will be provided by individual septic systems.

B. Scope

This report will provide a summary of site drainage issues impacting the proposed development. The report will analyze impacts from upstream drainage patterns, site-specific developed drainage patterns, and impacts on downstream facilities. This report is based on the guidelines and criteria presented in the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual. This report is intended to fulfill the County requirements for a "Final Drainage Report (FDR)" submitted with the final plat for the project.

C. Site Location and Description

The Red Rock Reserve parcel is located in Section 8, Township 11 South, Range 67 West of the 6th Principal Meridian. The site lies $\frac{3}{4}$ -miles south of the Town of Palmer Lake and $\frac{1}{4}$ -mile east of Pike National Forest. State Highway 105 (SH105) is located approximately 1-mile northeast of the parcel. The site is currently a vacant forest and meadow tract, with the exception of existing utility facilities serving the Forest View Acres Water District. The parcel is currently zoned RR-3 (rural residential, 5-acre lots). The proposed development will consist of re-zoning the parcel to RR-2 (rural residential, 2.5-acre lots).

Access to Red Rock Reserve will be provided by extension of Red Rock Ranch Road west from its current termination near Spruce Road. Subdivision improvements will include paving of new public roadways through the site, as well as grading, drainage, and utility service improvements for the proposed residential lots. Internal roads will be constructed to El Paso County "rural residential" standards, with 28-foot asphalt pavement widths and 2-foot gravel shoulders.

The parcel is bordered by vacant forest and meadow areas to the north and northwest. The existing Forest View Estates Subdivision (within Town of Palmer Lake limits) borders this parcel to the east and northeast. The existing Red Rock Ranch Subdivision (Zoned RR1- $\frac{1}{2}$ -acre minimum lots) borders this parcel to the south. Elevations within the site range from approximately 7,200 feet to 7,430 feet above mean sea level.

Drainage patterns in this area generally flow northeasterly towards the major drainage channel of Monument Creek. The parcel includes hilly terrain rising to the national forest property at the westerly boundary of the site, which has steeper grades ranging from 3:1 to 2:1. Average grades across the site range from 2 to 10 percent. Existing vegetation consists of heavily forested areas with evergreen trees and scrub oak, and small meadow areas with prairie and upland grasses.

D. General Soil Conditions

According to the Soil Survey of El Paso County prepared by the Soil Conservation Service, on-site soils are comprised primarily of Type 93, "Tomah-Crowfoot" loamy sands (see Figure A2 and Appendix B). These soils are classified as hydrologic soils group "B," with moderately rapid permeability, medium surface runoff characteristics, and a moderate hazard of erosion. A small area at the easterly site boundary is comprised of Type 65, "Perry Park" gravelly sandy loam. These soils are classified as hydrologic soils group "B," with moderate permeability, medium surface runoff characteristics, and slight to moderate hazard of erosion.

Soils in the off-site drainage basins west of this site are classified as Type 38, "Jarre-Tecolote" complex and Type 77, "Rock outcrop-Coldcreek-Tolman" complex. The "Jarre-Tecolote" complex soils are classified as hydrologic soils group "B," with moderate permeability, medium to rapid surface runoff characteristics, and moderate to high hazard of erosion. The "Rock outcrop" complex soils are classified as hydrologic soils group "B/D," with moderate permeability, medium surface runoff characteristics, and moderate hazard of erosion.

E. References

City of Colorado Springs & El Paso County "Drainage Criteria Manual," revised October 12, 1994.

CDOT, "CDOT Drainage Design Manual," July, 1995.

El Paso County "Subdivision Criteria Manual," June, 1981.

FEMA, Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Number 08041C0260-F, March 17, 1997.

JPS Engineering, Inc., "Preliminary Drainage Report for Red Rock Reserve Subdivision," March 22, 2005.

Leigh Whitehead & Associates, Inc., "Preliminary Drainage Report and Plan for Raspberry Ridge Subdivision," March, 2001 (preliminary report; not approved by El Paso County).

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, "Soil Survey of El Paso County Area, Colorado," June, 1981.

II. DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS

A. Major Basin Description

The proposed development lies within the Raspberry Mountain Drainage Basin (FOM 05600) as classified by El Paso County. Drainage from this basin generally flows northeasterly towards the main channel of Monument Creek.

B. Floodplain Impacts

The northeast corner of the project site is located approximately ½ mile from the 100-year floodplain limits for Monument Creek delineated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The floodplain limits in the vicinity of the site are shown in Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Number 08041C0260-F, dated March 17, 1997 (see Figure A3).

C. Sub-Basin Description

The existing drainage basins lying in and around the proposed development are depicted in Figures EX1 and EX2 (Appendix A). Four off-site basins contribute surface runoff from the areas on the south and west sides of this parcel. The existing on-site topography has five identifiable drainage basins conveying overland surface drainage towards the north and east boundaries of the site. The natural drainage patterns will be impacted through development by site grading and concentration of runoff along subdivision street ditches. Developed runoff will generally continue to follow historic paths.

III. DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

A. Development Criteria Reference

No drainage basin planning study has been completed for the "Raspberry Mountain Drainage Basin." In March, 2001, Leigh-Whitehead prepared a "Preliminary Drainage Report" for a previous "Raspberry Ridge Subdivision" proposal, comprising a larger area of approximately 108 acres which included adjacent property north of this site. The previous 2001 Preliminary Plan submittal was withdrawn and never approved by El Paso County. Calculations in this report are generally consistent with the previous unapproved report. Minor differences in calculations can be attributed to differences in composite runoff coefficient calculations. The "Preliminary Drainage Report" by JPS Engineering was approved by El Paso County in August, 2005.

B. Hydrologic Criteria

Based on the minimal impact of off-site drainage on this parcel, and the relatively small size of the tributary drainage basins impacting the site, rational method hydrology was utilized for the project drainage analysis. In accordance with El Paso County drainage criteria, hydrologic calculations were based on the following assumptions:

- Design storm (minor) 5-year
- Design storm (major) 100-year
- Storm Distribution SCS Type IIA (eastern Colorado)
- 100-year, 24-hour rainfall 4.4 inches per hour (NOAA isopluvial map)
- 5-year, 24-hour rainfall 2.6 inches per hour (NOAA isopluvial map)
- Hydrologic soil type B

	<u>C5</u>	<u>C100</u>
• Runoff Coefficients – undeveloped areas:		
Forest	0.10	0.15
Meadow	0.25	0.35
• Runoff Coefficients - developed:		
Existing 0.5-acre residential lots	0.6	0.7
Proposed 2.5-acre residential lots	0.28	0.35

The majority of off-site and on-site areas are comprised of heavily wooded areas and small meadow areas. Composite runoff coefficients were generally calculated assuming 70 percent forest and 30 percent meadow areas. The proposed “C-values” for developed 2.5-acre lots were calculated based on the impervious area assumptions in Appendix B.

Hydrologic calculations are enclosed in Appendix B, and peak design flows are identified on the historic and developed drainage plans (Figures EX1, EX2, and D1, Appendix A). Runoff coefficients (“C”-values) were selected from Table 5-1 in the Drainage Criteria Manual. Rainfall intensities for each basin were derived from the El Paso County intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curve based upon the basin time of concentration. Times of concentration were calculated utilizing the “Airport equation, with maximum overland flow lengths of 1,000 feet for undeveloped areas and 300 feet for developed areas. The “SCS upland equation” was used for channelized flow calculations.

IV. DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN

A. General Concept

Development of the proposed subdivision will require site grading and paving work, resulting in additional impervious areas across the site. The general concept for management of developed storm runoff is to provide positive drainage from the home sites to ditches along the internal roads within the subdivision, conveying runoff flows through the site. The street system has been designed to convey runoff by roadside ditches to cross culverts at low points in the road, consistent with existing drainage channels crossing the parcel. Natural drainage swales running across the property will be maintained, following historic drainage patterns. 20-foot drainage easements will be platted along the existing drainage swales to ensure that home sites keep an adequate setback from these channels. The majority of developed drainage from the site will be routed through two existing stock ponds near the easterly boundary of the site. These ponds will be upgraded to serve as stormwater detention ponds, releasing historic flows at the site boundary.

B. Specific Details

1. Existing Drainage Conditions

Historic drainage conditions are depicted in Figures EX1 and EX2. There are no existing drainage facilities serving the site, with the exception of two existing stock ponds at the easterly site boundary. While the existing ponds are not currently configured as stormwater detention ponds, the ponds likely provide some level of detention storage in their existing condition.

Basin A consists of the area along the southerly site boundary, which is impacted by developed runoff from the existing Red Rock Ranch Subdivision to the south (Basins OA1 and OA2). Runoff from Basins OA1 and OA2 combines with Basin A at Design Point #1, with historic peak flows of $Q_5 = 32.3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 73.4$ cfs.

Basin B consists of the large drainage area extending from the west to the east property boundary following an existing well-defined drainage channel. This basin is impacted by off-site runoff from the national forest area west of the site (Basins OB1 and OB2). Runoff from Basins OB1, OB2, and OB3 combines with Basin B at Design Point #2, with historic peak flows of $Q_5 = 26.3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 65.6$ cfs.

The middle of the site comprises Basin C, which sheet flows towards the easterly property boundary at Design Point #3, with historic peak flows of $Q_5 = 5.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 13.0$ cfs. Basin D consists of a small drainage area near the northerly site boundary, which flows to an existing swale at Design Point #4. Runoff from Basin OD1 combines with Basin D at Design Point #4, with historic peak flows of $Q_5 = 1.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 2.6$ cfs. Basin E is another small drainage area near the northerly site boundary, which sheet flows to Design Point #5, with historic peak flows of $Q_5 = 1.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 3.0$ cfs.

Historic drainage from this site flows easterly, ultimately reaching existing downstream channels feeding into Monument Creek on the southwest side of SH105. The existing channels in the downstream Forest View Estates Subdivision are generally in stable condition, and the impacts of developed flows from this site will be mitigated by on-site detention within the Red Rock Reserve Subdivision.

2. Developed Drainage Conditions

The developed drainage basins and projected flows are shown in the Developed Drainage and Erosion Control Plan (Sheet D1, Appendix A). The developed site has been divided into five on-site drainage basins and design points, as shown on the enclosed Drainage Plan.

Runoff from Basins OA1 will combine with Sub-Basin A1 at Design Point #A1, where a proposed culvert will convey these flows across Redstone Ridge Road. These flows will

continue flowing in a riprap channel to the proposed Culvert A3 crossing Red Rock Ranch Drive. Runoff from Basins OA1 and A1 combines with flows from Sub-basin A3 at Design Point #A3, where a proposed 36" RCP culvert will convey these flows across Red Rock Ranch Drive into Channel A4, and then into the proposed Detention Pond A. Within Sub-Basin A3, an existing eroded area along the frontage of Lot 17 (previously eroded from upstream irrigation discharges), will be filled and stabilized.

Runoff from Basin OA2 combines with Sub-Basin A2 at Design Point #A2, where a proposed culvert will convey the on-site developed flows across Red Rock Ranch Drive into Channel A2, which carries these flows into the detention pond. Flows downstream of Culvert A2 will be historic flows, so there will be no developed flow impact to areas southeast of this culvert.

Developed flows from Basins OA1-OA2 and A1-A4 combine at Design Point #1, with developed peak flows of $Q_5 = 38.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 84.5$ cfs. The proposed Detention Pond A mitigates the impacts of these developed flows prior to discharge at the easterly site boundary.

Runoff from Basins OD1 and D will be conveyed across Red Rock Ranch Drive through an 18" culvert (Culvert D1), combining with flows in Sub-Basin B1. Runoff from Basins OB1 and OB2 will combine with flows from Sub-basins OD1, D, C1, and B1 at Design Point #B1, where a proposed 42" RCP culvert crosses Red Rock Ranch Drive, flowing into Channel B2, which conveys these flows to Detention Pond B. Developed flows from Basins OB1-OB3, OD1, D1, C1, B1, and B2 combine at Design Point #2, with developed peak flows of $Q_5 = 44.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 101.9$ cfs.

Sub-basin C2 will continue to sheet flow towards the easterly property boundary at Design Point #3, with developed peak flows of $Q_5 = 5.5$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 11.8$ cfs. Based on the diversion of flows from Basin C1 to Detention Pond B, the developed flows at Design Point #3 will be lower than historic.

Basins OD1 and D will be diverted to drain to the southerly ditch of Red Rock Ranch Drive, combining with flows in Basin B1. As such, developed flow impacts at Design Point #4 will be eliminated. Basin E will continue to sheet flow to Design Point #5, with developed peak flows of $Q_5 = 1.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 2.7$ cfs.

The proposed extension of Red Rock Ranch Drive westerly into the Red Rock Reserve subdivision will require construction of a new culvert crossing west of the Spruce Road intersection. This culvert will convey off-site drainage from Basin OA3 northeasterly across Red Rock Ranch Drive, following historic drainage patterns. The proposed Culvert OA3 will consist of a double 36-inch RCP culvert. A riprap apron will be installed at the downstream end of this culvert to dissipate flows where the culvert drains to the existing grass-lined swale downstream.

C. Comparison of Developed to Historic Discharges

Based on the hydrologic calculations in Appendix C, the total developed flow from the site will exceed historic flow from the site. As previously discussed, the increase in developed flows will be mitigated through on-site detention ponds. The comparison of developed to historic discharges at key design points is summarized as follows:

Design Point	Historic Flow			Developed Flow			Comparison of Developed to Historic Flow (Q ₅ %/Q ₁₀₀ %)
	Area (ac)	Q ₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)	Area (ac)	Q ₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)	
1	43.6	32.3	73.4	44.7	38.9	84.5	120% / 115% (increase)
2	72.6	26.3	65.6	86.5	44.7	101.9	170% / 155% (increase)
3	13.8	6.6	16.2	5.5	5.5	11.8	83% / 73% (decrease)
4	2.4	1.1	2.6				(decrease)
5	3.0	1.2	3.0	0.9	1.2	2.7	100% / 90% (decrease)

D. Detention Ponds

The total developed storm runoff downstream of the proposed subdivision will be maintained at historic levels by routing flows through two proposed detention ponds to be created by upgrading existing stock ponds near the easterly site boundary. The proposed detention facilities have been sized to attenuate peak flows through the ponds, based on the difference between outflow and inflow hydrographs for both minor and major storm events. Pond outlet structures have been designed with multiple orifice openings to detain both minor and major storm events. The proposed Detention Pond A has been designed to “over-detain” to account for release of developed flows from Design Point 3.

The ponds were sized utilizing the “Intelisolve Hydraflow” software package (see routing analysis for each pond in Appendix D1 and D2), resulting in the following pond sizes:


Pond	Inflow (cfs)	Outflow (cfs)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Structure
A	84.5	56.5	0.3	36-inch RCP w/ multiple orifices
B	101.9	65.4	1.3	30-inch RCP w/ multiple orifices

15-foot wide gravel maintenance access roads will be provided for all stormwater detention facilities. The proposed detention ponds will be privately owned and maintained by the subdivision HOA. In accordance with El Paso County drainage criteria, each pond has been designed to capture the water quality capture volume (WQCV), and each pond outlet structure has been designed with a perforated riser pipe to slowly release developed flows.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE

**DEVELOPED DRAINAGE
& EROSION CONTROL PLAN**

RED ROCK RESERVE SUBDIVISION



HORIZ. SCALE: 1"=100'	DRAWN: MJP
VERT. SCALE: N/A	DESIGNED: JPS
SURVEYED: LWA	CHECKED: JPS
CREATED: 7/12/04	LAST MODIFIED: 3/14/06
PROJECT NO: 070401	MODIFIED BY: MJP
SHEET:	

APPENDIX B

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

(Source: UDFCD 2001)

Land Use or Surface Characteristics	Percent Impervious	Runoff Coefficients											
		2-year		5-year		10-year		25-year		50-year		100-year	
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas													
Historic Flow Analysis-- Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets													
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_t) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For non-urban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_t) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

$$t_c = t_i + t_t \quad (\text{Eq. 6-7})$$

Where:

t_c = time of concentration (min)

t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

t_t = travel time in the ditch, channel, gutter, storm sewer, etc. (min)

3.2.1 Overland (Initial) Flow Time

The overland flow time, t_i , may be calculated using Equation 6-8.

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}} \quad (\text{Eq. 6-8})$$

Where:

t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

C_5 = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (see Table 6-6)

L = length of overland flow (300 ft maximum for non-urban land uses, 100 ft maximum for urban land uses)

S = average basin slope (ft/ft)

Note that in some urban watersheds, the overland flow time may be very small because flows quickly concentrate and channelize.

3.2.2 Travel Time

For catchments with overland and channelized flow, the time of concentration needs to be considered in combination with the travel time, t_t , which is calculated using the hydraulic properties of the swale, ditch, or channel. For preliminary work, the overland travel time, t_t , can be estimated with the help of Figure 6-25 or Equation 6-9 (Guo 1999).

$$V = C_v S_w^{0.5} \quad (\text{Eq. 6-9})$$

Where:

V = velocity (ft/s)

C_v = conveyance coefficient (from Table 6-7)

S_w = watercourse slope (ft/ft)

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, C_v

Type of Land Surface	C_v
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Riprap (not buried)*	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

* For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

The travel time is calculated by dividing the flow distance (in feet) by the velocity calculated using Equation 6-9 and converting units to minutes.

The time of concentration (t_c) is then the sum of the overland flow time (t_i) and the travel time (t_t) per Equation 6-7.

3.2.3 First Design Point Time of Concentration in Urban Catchments

Using this procedure, the time of concentration at the first design point (typically the first inlet in the system) in an urbanized catchment should not exceed the time of concentration calculated using Equation 6-10. The first design point is defined as the point where runoff first enters the storm sewer system.

$$t_c = \frac{L}{180} + 10 \quad (\text{Eq. 6-10})$$

Where:

t_c = maximum time of concentration at the first design point in an urban watershed (min)

L = waterway length (ft)


Equation 6-10 was developed using the rainfall-runoff data collected in the Denver region and, in essence, represents regional “calibration” of the Rational Method. Normally, Equation 6-10 will result in a lesser time of concentration at the first design point and will govern in an urbanized watershed. For subsequent design points, the time of concentration is calculated by accumulating the travel times in downstream drainageway reaches.

3.2.4 Minimum Time of Concentration

If the calculations result in a t_c of less than 10 minutes for undeveloped conditions, it is recommended that a minimum value of 10 minutes be used. The minimum t_c for urbanized areas is 5 minutes.

3.2.5 Post-Development Time of Concentration

As Equation 6-8 indicates, the time of concentration is a function of the 5-year runoff coefficient for a drainage basin. Typically, higher levels of imperviousness (higher 5-year runoff coefficients) correspond to shorter times of concentration, and lower levels of imperviousness correspond to longer times of

Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency**IDF Equations**

$$I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$$

$$I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$$

$$I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$$

$$I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$$

$$I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$$

$$I_2 = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$$

Note: Values calculated by equations may not precisely duplicate values read from figure.

RED ROCK RESERVE SUBDIVISION												
COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS - TYPICAL RURAL RESIDENTIAL AREA												
DEVELOPED CONDITIONS												
5-YEAR C VALUES												
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	SOIL TYPE	AREA (%)	SUB-AREA 1 DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	C	AREA (%)	SUB-AREA 2 DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	C	AREA (%)	SUB-AREA 3 DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	C	WEIGHTED C VALUE
2.5-ACRE LOTS	2.50	B	11.00	BLDG/DRIVEWAY	0.9	89.00	LAWN/MEADOW	0.08				0.170
100-YEAR C VALUES												
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	SOIL TYPE	AREA (%)	SUB-AREA 1 DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	C	AREA (%)	SUB-AREA 2 DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	C	AREA (%)	SUB-AREA 3 DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	C	WEIGHTED C VALUE
2.5-ACRE LOTS	2.50	B	11.00	BLDG/DRIVEWAY	0.96	89.00	LAWN/MEADOW	0.35				0.417

LOT 23, RED ROCK RESERVE SUBDIVISION
RATIONAL METHOD

DEVELOPED FLOWS

BASIN	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	C		Overland Flow			Channel flow					TOTAL T _c ⁽⁴⁾ (MIN)	TOTAL T _c ⁽⁴⁾ (MIN)	INTENSITY ⁽⁶⁾		PEAK FLOW	
			5-YEAR	100-YEAR	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE (FT/FT)	T _{co} ⁽¹⁾ (MIN)	CHANNEL LENGTH (FT)	CONVEYANCE COEFFICIENT C	SLOPE (FT/FT)	SCS ⁽²⁾ VELOCITY (FT/S)	T _t ⁽³⁾ (MIN)			5-YR (IN/HR)	100-YR (IN/HR)	Q5 ⁽⁶⁾ (CFS)	Q100 ⁽⁶⁾ (CFS)
OA1.1		2.1	0.170	0.417	100	0.200	6.3	245	15	0.135	5.51	0.7	7.0	7.0	4.66	7.82	1.63	6.72
A1.1		2.2	0.170	0.417	40	0.200	4.0	570	15	0.223	7.08	1.3	5.3	5.3	5.08	8.53	1.93	7.96
OA1.1,A1.1	1	4.3	0.170	0.417									8.4	8.4	4.40	7.38	3.21	13.24
A1.2	A1.2	1.3	0.170	0.417	40	0.200	4.0	435	15	0.14	5.61	1.3	5.3	5.3	5.09	8.55	1.08	4.46
OA1.1,A1.1,A1.2	2	5.6	0.170	0.417									8.4	8.4	4.40	7.38	4.15	17.09

1) OVERLAND FLOW T_{co} = (0.395*(1.1-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT)*(OVERLAND FLOW LENGTH^(0.5)/(SLOPE^(0.333)))

2) SCS VELOCITY = C * ((SLOPE(FT/FT)^{0.5}))

C = 2.5 FOR HEAVY MEADOW

C = 5 FOR TILLAGE/FIELD

C = 7 FOR SHORT PASTURE AND LAWNS

C = 10 FOR NEARLY BARE GROUND

C = 15 FOR GRASSED WATERWAY

C = 20 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES

3) MANNING'S CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME = L/V (WHEN CHANNEL VELOCITY IS KNOWN)

4) T_c = T_{co} + T_t

*** IF TOTAL TIME OF CONCENTRATION IS LESS THAN 5 MINUTES, THEN 5 MINUTES IS USED

5) INTENSITY BASED ON I-D-F EQUATIONS IN CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL

$$I_5 = -1.5 * \ln(T_c) + 7.583$$

$$I_{100} = -2.52 * \ln(T_c) + 12.735$$

6) Q = C_iA

Please clarify how the time of concentration was calculated at Design Points 1 and 2. The methodology is unclear based on the information provided.

I am getting slightly different numbers than you for your intensity and peak flow calculations. Please verify that these values are correct.

APPENDIX C

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

**LOT 23, RED ROCK RESERVE SUBDIVISION
CHANNEL CALCULATIONS**

Please see comments regarding channel lining in the hydraulic analysis reports on the following pages.

CHANNELS - DEVELOPED FLOWS

CHANNEL	DESIGN POINT	SLOPE (FT/FT)	BOTTOM WIDTH (B, FT)	SIDE SLOPE (Z)	CHANNEL DEPTH (FT)	FRICTION FACTOR (n)	Q100 FLOW (CFS)	Q100 DEPTH (FT)	Q100 VELOCITY (FT/S)	TOP WIDTH (FT)	CHANNEL LINING
CHANNEL A1.1	1	0.120	0	4:1	2.0	0.030	13.2	0.6	7.9	5.2	GRASS / ECB
CHANNEL A1.2	A1.2	0.080	0	4:1	2.0	0.030	4.5	0.5	5.2	3.7	GRASS / ECB

- 1) Channel flow calculations based on Manning's Equation
- 2) n = 0.03 for grass-lined non-irrigated channels (minimum)
- 3) Vmax = 5.0 fps for 100-year flows w/ grass-lined channels
- 4) Vmax = 8.0 fps for 100-year flows w/ Erosion Control Blankets / Turf Reinforcement Mats (Eronet SC150 or equal)

Hydraulic Analysis Report

Project Data

Project Title: Project - Lot 23, Red Rock Reserve
Designer: JPS
Project Date: Friday, April 24, 2026
Project Units: U.S. Customary Units
Notes:

Channel Analysis: Channel Analysis - A1.1

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Triangular
Side Slope 1 (Z1): 4.0000 ft/ft
Side Slope 2 (Z2): 4.0000 ft/ft
Longitudinal Slope: 0.1200 ft/ft
Manning's n: 0.0300
Flow: 13.2000 cfs

Result Parameters

Depth: 0.6457 ft
Area of Flow: 1.6679 ft²
Wetted Perimeter: 5.3249 ft
Hydraulic Radius: 0.3132 ft
Average Velocity: 7.9140 ft/s > 5 fps.....Use ECB Lining
Top Width: 5.1659 ft
Froude Number: 2.4545
Critical Depth: 0.9248 ft
Critical Velocity: 3.8586 ft/s
Critical Slope: 0.0177 ft/ft
Critical Top Width: 7.40 ft
Calculated Max Shear Stress: 4.8353 lb/ft²
Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 2.3455 lb/ft²

Flow is supercritical, please revise design. Grass lined swale with ECB lining is insufficient.

Channel Analysis: Channel Analysis - A1.2

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Triangular
Side Slope 1 (Z1): 4.0000 ft/ft
Side Slope 2 (Z2): 4.0000 ft/ft
Longitudinal Slope: 0.0800 ft/ft
Manning's n: 0.0300
Flow: 4.5000 cfs

Result Parameters

Depth: 0.4654 ft
Area of Flow: 0.8663 ft²
Wetted Perimeter: 3.8377 ft
Hydraulic Radius: 0.2257 ft
Average Velocity: 5.1942 ft/s > 5 fps.....Use ECB Lining
Top Width: 3.7231 ft
Froude Number: 1.8976
Critical Depth: 0.6013 ft
Critical Velocity: 3.1114 ft/s
Critical Slope: 0.0204 ft/ft
Critical Top Width: 4.81 ft
Calculated Max Shear Stress: 2.3232 lb/ft²
Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 1.1269 lb/ft²

Flow is supercritical, please revise design. Grass lined swale with ECB lining is insufficient.

APPENDIX D

FIGURES

