

Is it supposed to be Prelim or Final? EDARP says Final. Revise as needed.

**PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT  
FOR  
SCHMIDT PARCEL **EARLY GRADING** AN**

Updated

**Prepared For:**

**SR Land, LLC  
20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903  
(719) 491-3024**

**May 2022  
Project No. 25188.13**

**Prepared By:**

**JR Engineering, LLC  
5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235  
Colorado Springs, CO 80919  
719-593-2593**

**PCD Filing No.:  
SP-XX-XX**

**CDR-22-007**

Updated

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR  
SCHMIDT PARCEL

May 2022

**ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:**

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by El Paso County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

---

Mike Bramlett, Colorado P.E. 38861  
For and On Behalf of JR Engineering, LLC

**DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:**

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name: SR Land, LLC

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: 20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

**El Paso County:**

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2 and Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

---

Jennifer Irvine, P.E.  
County Engineer/ ECM Administrator

---

Date

Conditions:

Revise to:

Updated

Joshua Palmer, P.E.  
Interim County Engineer/ ECM Administrator



JR ENGINEERING



## Table of Contents

Purpose .....	1
General Site Description .....	1
General Location .....	1
Description of Property .....	1
Floodplain statement .....	1
Existing Drainage Conditions .....	2
Major Basin Descriptions .....	2
Existing Sub-basin Drainage .....	2
Proposed Drainage Conditions.....	4
Proposed Sub-basin Drainage .....	4
Drainage Design Criteria .....	5
Development Criteria Reference .....	6
Hydrologic Criteria .....	6
Hydraulic Criteria .....	6
Drainage Facility Design .....	6
Four Step Process to Minimize Adverse Impacts of Urbanization .....	6
Water Quality .....	7
Erosion Control Plan .....	8
Operation & Maintenance .....	8
Drainage and Bridge Fees .....	8
Summary .....	8
References.....	9

### APPENDIX

- Appendix A – Vicinity Map, Soil Descriptions, FEMA Floodplain Map
- Appendix B – Hydrologic Calculations
- Appendix C – Hydraulic Calculations
- Appendix D – Reference Material
- Appendix E – Drainage Maps

## PURPOSE

---

This document is the Preliminary Drainage Report for the Schmidt Parcel. The purpose of this report is to identify on-site and off-site drainage patterns, areas tributary to the site, and to safely route storm water to adequate outfall facilities.

## GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

---

### GENERAL LOCATION

The Schmidt Parcel (hereby referred to as the “site”) is a proposed development with a total area of approximately 98 acres.

97 per letter of intent Updated

The site is located in the southwest quarter of Section 32, Township 12 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian in the County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The site is located between Black Forest Road and Vollmer Road. The site is bounded by the Trails at Forest Meadows Fillings 3 and 4 to the south, by Silver Pond subdivision and Holiday Hills Filing No.1 to the north, by Black Forest Road to the West and by Vollmer Road to the East. The parcel is planned to be platted after approval of the Preliminary Plan. Refer to the vicinity map in Appendix A for additional information.

### DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The site is currently being designed to partly fill in the large pit in the middle of the site. Eventually the parcel will be platted as single-family residential lots and associated development. The site is comprised of variable sloping grasslands that generally slope(s) downward to the west at 2 to 25% towards the Cottonwood Creek tributary basin.

Per a NRCS web soil survey, the site is made up of Type A and B soils. Type A soils have a high infiltration rate when thoroughly wet, while Type B soils have a moderate infiltration when thoroughly wet. Refer to the soil survey map in Appendix A for additional information.

Cottonwood Creek is within the western portion of the site. However there is no proposed disturbance within the creek.

There are no known irrigation facilities located on the project site.

### FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

Based on the FEMA Firm Maps Number 08041C0529G revised December 7, 2018, the vast majority of the development is located within Zone X, or areas area outside the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (or 500-year) flood. A portion of the site is within Zone AE directly adjacent to Cottonwood Creek. The area of disturbance



for site grading is located outside of the delineated floodway within Zone X. The FEMA map containing the site has been presented in Appendix A.

## EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

### MAJOR BASIN DESCRIPTIONS

The site lies within the Sand Creek and Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basins. Approximately 16 acres on the site's eastern property line is in the Sand Creek Drainage Basin, while the remainder of the site lies within the Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin.

Cottonwood Creek transverse the site on the west side of the property running north to south. The reach that runs through the site was studied in the "Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study" (Cottonwood DBPS) completed by Matrix Design Group in July 2019. According to the Cottonwood Creek DBPS reach RUC160 runs through the site, and has been identified as being in stable condition.

specify that this is the City's DBPS.

Addressed

The Sand Creek Basin was studied in the "Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study" (Sand DBPS) completed by Stantec in January 2021. The Sand Creek DBPS assumed the Schmidt Parcel property to have an "Open Space" use for the majority of the site, which is consistent with the proposed development at this time. However, the Cottonwood Creek DBPS assumed a 2.5 Acre Rural Residential Land use for the majority of the site. The site generally drains from northeast to southwest consisting of slopes that range from 2 to 25 %. Currently, the site is undeveloped and a large pit exists in the middle.

### EXISTING SUB-BASINS

The existing condition of our offsite basins. Values for Basins OSI4 and OSB4 came from "Basin descriptions for Basin OSI4 an OSB4" 1 Final Drainage Report, Inc. revised May 5<sup>th</sup> 1996.

Area and flows are provided in Basin descriptions for Basin OSI4 an OSB4

Addressed

Include what the flows and areas are for these 2 basins.

Include total flows at all design points.

**Basin EX1** ( $Q_5 = 2.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 20.4$  cfs) is 15.6 acres of undeveloped land at the eastern portion of the site. Runoff from this basin drains to Vollmer Road right of way at DP1. Flows from Basin OS2 is routed through Basin EX1, and exists the site at DP1.1. Flow continues southwest along Vollmer Road right of way and follows existing drainage patterns.

Is there an existing ditch which conveys these flows?

**Basin EX2** ( $Q_5 = 3.5$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 2.9$  cfs) is 2.9 acres of undeveloped land. Runoff from this basin overland flows south where it meets an existing berm along the southern boundary. Flow is directed into the existing swale at DP1.1. Flow enters the basin at DP10 from basin OS1 and is routed through basin EX2 to DP4.1. From DP2.1 continue to flow to DP4.1 where runoff remains in the pit.

Addressed: There is no swale at DP1.1



**Basin EX3** ( $Q_5 = 0.4$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 3.0$  cfs) is 2.50 acres of undeveloped land adjacent to the northern property line. Runoff from this basin flows north down slope of the existing berm and is routed along the base of the berm to DP3. Off-site runoff enters the basin along the northern property line from Basin OSI4. Flows are routed together at DP3.3 and then flow west and enter Cottonwood Creek.

**Basin EX4** ( $Q_5 = 6.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 49.4$  cfs) is 33.1 acres of undeveloped land that mainly consists of an existing pit that is approximately 31 acres in area and 15 feet deep. Runoff from this basin flows south to DP4. Flow enters the basin at DP 2.1 and is routed to DP4.1. Runoff then remains in the pit and either evaporates or infiltrates over time.

Addressed Currently, there is no outlet for the pit and

**Basin EX5** ( $Q_5 = 1.9$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 14.3$  cfs) is 8.0 acres of undeveloped land that drains to the west, directly into Cottonwood Creek DP5. Flows from DP5 and DP6 combine at DP6.1 Flow leaves the site at DP6.1 and continues to flow in Cottonwood Creek to the southwest.

Addressed continues

delete

Addressed

**Basin EX6** ( $Q_5 = 0.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 6.1$  cfs) is 3.4 acres of undeveloped land that drains to the east, directly into Cottonwood Creek at DP6. Flows from DP5 and DP6 combine at DP6.1 Flow leaves the site at DP6.1 and continues to flow in Cottonwood Creek to the southwest.

Addressed continues

**Basin EX7** ( $Q_5 = 0.8$ ,  $Q_{100} = 5.6$  cfs) is 2.9 acres of undeveloped land. Off-site flows enter the site at DPB4. Flows from OSB4 are routed through the berm and flow leaves the site and enters the adjacent property.

Addressed

How are flows conveyed to adjacent property, swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

**Basin EX8** ( $Q_5 = 1.2$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 9.1$  cfs) is 6.40 acres of undeveloped land. Flow exists the site at DP8 and continues to flow onto the adjacent property known as the Trails at Forest Meadows at DP4.

Addressed

How are flows conveyed to adjacent property, swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

Addressed

continues

**Basin EX9** ( $Q_5 = 0.9$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 6.7$  cfs) is 2.4 acres of undeveloped land that flows down slope of the existing berm. Runoff from this basin leaves the site and enters the subdivision to the south at DP9.

Addressed

Addressed

How are flows conveyed to adjacent property, swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

Move offsite basins to the beginning of this section

**Basin EX10** ( $Q_5 = 0.2$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 1.6$  cfs) is 0.61 acres of dirt roadway. Runoff from this basin flows south and enters the site across the northern property line at DP10. Flow from this basin is routed through Basins EX2 and EX4 to DP4.1 where flow remains in the existing pit until it evaporates or infiltrates.

**Basin OS2** ( $Q_5 = 0.1$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 0.6$  cfs) is 0.22 acres of dirt roadway. Runoff from this basin flows south and enters the site across the northern property line at DP11. Flow from this basin is routed through Basin EX1 to DP1.1 where flow enters Vollmer Road right of way.

**Basin OSI4** ( $Q_5 = 19.0$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 44.2$  cfs) is 27.16 acres of an existing developed subdivision known as Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing 1. Values for this basin were taken from "Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing No.1 Final Drainage Report", by M.V.E Inc. revised May 5<sup>th</sup> 1996. Runoff from



this basin flows south and enters the site across the northern property line at DPI4. Flow from this basin is routed through Basin EX3 to DP3.1 where flow enters Cottonwood Creek.

**Basin OSB4** ( $Q_5 = 39.1$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 89.8$  cfs) is 52.02 acres of an existing developed subdivision known as Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing 1. Values for this basin were taken from “Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing No.1 Final Drainage Report”, by M.V.E Inc. revised May 5<sup>th</sup> 1996. Runoff from this basin flows south and enters the site across the northern property line at DPB4. Flow from this basin is routed through Basin EX7 to DP7.1 where flow enters the adjacent property.

## PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

### PROPOSED SUB-BASIN DRAINAGE

The proposed basin (and sub-basin) delineation is shown on the drainage basin map within Appendix D and is described as follows.

**Basin A** ( $Q_5=1.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100}=113.2$  cfs) is 11.7 acres of native and stabilized vegetation. Runoff from this basin drains south east and enters purposed swale B1-B1. Flow for Basin A enters Basin B at design point 1. Flow from DP1 is routed through Basins B and F where flow is ultimately routed to the proposed sediment basin at DP6.1.

Include total flows at all design points.

Addressed

B1-B1

Addressed

**Basin B** ( $Q_5=3.4$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 25.3$  cfs) is 22.0 acres of native and stabilized vegetation. Runoff from this basin drains south west and enters purposed swale B-B. Flow for Basin B enters Basin F at design point 2. Flow is routed through Basin F to the purposed sediment basin at DP6.1.

Addressed

**Basin C** ( $Q_5=0.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100}=5.7$  cfs) is 4.0 acres of undeveloped land with native vegetation from this basin drains south east to DP3, where flow enters Vollmer Road right of way.

State how flow is conveyed in ROW. Flows less than existing?

**Basin D** ( $Q_5=0.6$  cfs,  $Q_{100}=4.7$  cfs) is 2.6 acres of native and stabilized vegetation. Runoff from this basin drains south to DP4. Flow for Basin D enters the adjacent site to the south known as Trails at Forest Meadows Filings 3. Runoff from the site was accounted for in “Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 3 Final Drainage Report” (Trails No. 3 FDR) completed by M&S Civil Consultants in August 2015. In the Trails No. 3 FDR flows from the Schmidt parcel were accounted for in Basins OS2 and OS3. The basins total 1.56 acres and send a total flow of  $Q_5=1.0$  cfs and  $Q_{100}= 3.6$  cfs. Proposed condition flows remain reasonable consistent with accounted for flows from 3 FDR. There are no expected negative downstream impacts expected from Basin D.

Addressed

How are flows conveyed to adjacent property, swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

**Basin E** ( $Q_5=0.2$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 1.8$  cfs) is 1.6 acres of stabilized earthen channel known as Swale B2-B2. Runoff from this basin drains west to DP5. Off-site flow enters the basin at DPI4 from the neighboring site the north known as Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing No. 1. Flows from Basin E and OSI4 combine and enter Cottonwood Creek at DP5.1.



**Basin F** ( $Q_5=5.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 42.6$  cfs) is 36.6 acres of native and stabilized vegetation. Runoff from this basin drains to DP6. Flow enters the basin at DP2.1 from Basins A and B. Flow combines in the proposed sediment basin at DP6.1

Updated

exits

continues

Discuss the grading that is being proposed for this basin and why.

**Basin G** ( $Q_5=0.9$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 6.9$  cfs) is 4.3 acres of undeveloped land that drains to the south at DP7. Flow exists the site at DP7 and continues to flow onto the adjacent property to the south known as the Trails at Forest Meadows Filing 4. This basin was studied in “Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 4 Final Drainage Report” (Trails No. 4 FDR) completed by M&S Civil Consultants. This basin drains into basin OS5. Basin OS5 from the Trails No. 4 FDR had an area of 4.3 acres,  $Q_5= 0.9$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}= 9.0$  cfs. Developed runoff remains relatively consistent with expected from No. 4 FDR. There are no expected negative downstream impacts expected from this basin.

Addressed

How are flows conveyed to adjacent property, swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

**Basin H** ( $Q_5=2.5$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 18.2$  cfs) is 10.2 acres of undeveloped land that drains directly into Cottonwood Creek at DP8. Flows from DP8 and DP9 combine at DP9.1 where flow leaves the site and continues to flow in Cottonwood Creek to the southwest.

continues

Updated

Include that DP9.1 also includes emergency spillway flows from sed basin.

**Basin I** ( $Q_5=0.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 6.1$  cfs) is 3.4 acres of undeveloped land that drains into Cottonwood Creek at DP9. Flows from DP8 and DP9 combine at DP9.1 where flow leaves the site and continues to flow in Cottonwood Creek to the southwest.

continues

Updated

Updated

**Basin J** ( $Q_5=0.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100}= 5.6$  cfs) is 2.9 of undeveloped land that drains into the site. Flows enter the site at DPB4. Flows from OSB4 are routed through the site and flow leaves the site and enters the adjacent property.

How are flows conveyed to adjacent property, swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

**Basin OSI4** ( $Q_5 = 19.0$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 44.2$  cfs) is 27.16 acres of an existing developed subdivision known as Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing 1. Values for this basin were taken from “Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing No.1 Final Drainage Report”, by M.V.E Inc. revised May 5<sup>th</sup> 1996. Runoff from this basin flows south and enters the site across the northern property line at DP14. Flow from this basin is routed through Basin EX3 to DP3.1 where flow enters Cottonwood Creek.

Update to reflect Proposed Conditions

**Basin OSB4** ( $Q_5 = 39.1$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 89.8$  cfs) is of an existing developed subdivision known as Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing 1. Values for this basin were taken from “Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing No.1 Final Drainage Report”, by M.V.E Inc. revised May 5<sup>th</sup> 1996. Runoff from this basin flows south and enters the site across the northern property line at DPB4. Flow from this basin is routed through Basin EX7 to DP7.1 where flow enters the adjacent property.

Discuss that these proposed conditions reflect the existing conditions (ie: no significant change in flows since the offsite flows were already concentrated towards Cottonwood Creek in the existing condition).

## DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA





## DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA REFERENCE

Storm drainage analysis and design criteria for this project were taken from the “*City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual*” Volumes 1 and 2 (EPCDCM), dated October 12, 1994, the “*Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*” Volumes 1 to 3 (USDCM) and Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the “*Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual*” (CSDCM), dated May 2014, as adopted by El Paso County.

## HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA

All hydrologic data was obtained from the “*El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual*” Volumes 1 and 2, and the “*Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*” Volumes 1, 2, and 3. Onsite drainage improvements were designed based on the 5 year (minor) storm event and the 100-year (major) storm event. Runoff was calculated using the Rational Method, and rainfall intensities for the 5-year and the 100-year storm return frequencies were obtained from Table 6-2 of the CSDCM. One hour point rainfall data for the storm events is identified in the chart below. Runoff coefficients were determined based on proposed land use and from data in Table 6-6 from the CSDCM. Time of concentrations were developed using equations from CSDCM. All runoff calculations and applicable charts and graphs are included in the Appendices.

Table 3 - 1-hr Point Rainfall Data

Storm	Rainfall (in.)
5-year	1.50
100-year	2.52

## HYDRAULIC CRITERIA

The Rational Method and USDCM’s SF-2 and SF-3 forms were used to determine the runoff from the minor and major storms on the site, and the UDFCD MHFD-Detention v4.05 spreadsheet was utilized for evaluating the proposed sediment basin. Hydraflow way used to model swale capacity calculations as shown in Appendix C.

Include discussion on swales and if they meet county criteria. Temporary or permanent?

Updated

## DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN

### FOUR STEP PROCESS T

In accordance with the E implemented the four step process includes reducing stabilizing drainage ways, ar

Include discussion on channel and what, if any, are changes to released flows. Note that flows being released into channel are still undeveloped and should be not changing where/how they are releasing. Should be no changes to WS elevations within channel based on proposed site grading. Does flow from pond give an overall increase into flows into pond?

### ATION

2, this site has. The four step Addressed



Updated

May 2022

Step 1 – Reducing Runoff Volumes: The Schmidt Parcel development project does not consist of any proposed hardscape or roofs and therefore all runoffs associated with this development are routed via overland flow or through grass lined swales.

Step 2 – Stabilize Drainageways: The majority of the site lies within the Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin, while the eastern most portion on the property is within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Cottonwood Creek transverses the western portion of the site. Basin and bridge fees will be due at time of platting. There are no proposed improvements with the 100-year flood plain. According to “Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study” (Cottonwood DBPS) completed by Matrix Design Group in July 2019, the creek reach that transverses the site is known as RUC160. This reach has been categorized as having no known or future expected erosion issues according to the Cottonwood DBPS Figure 4-7. Proposed outfalls will be analyzed in the final design stage for stability. Applicable excerpts from Cottonwood DBPS can be found in Appendix D.

Step 3 – Treat the WQCV: The site's water quality will be provided by a permanent sediment basin. The runoff from this site will be routed to the proposed sediment basin via overland flow and grassed lined swales. The proposed sediment basin has been designed to promote settlement of suspended solids. The outlet structure has been designed to detain the water quality capture volume (WQCV) for 72 hours. All flows released from the ponds will be reduced to less than historic rates.

Step 4 – Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs: There are no commercial or industrial components to this development; therefore no BMPs of this nature are required. BMPs will be utilized to minimize off-site contaminants and to protect the downstream receiving waters. The site is not a high-risk site per Figure I-1 in ECM Appendix I, therefore specialized BMPs do not need to be considered. Site specific temporary source control BMPs that will be implemented include, but are not limited to, silt fencing placed around downstream areas of disturbance, construction vehicle tracking pads at the entrances, designated vehicle fueling areas, covered storage areas, spill containment and control, etc. The permanent erosion control BMPs include permanent vegetation, permanent swale, and sediment basin.

## WATER QUALITY

The site's water quality will be provided by a permanent sediment basin. The proposed sediment basin was designed per Urban Drainage and Flood Control District guidelines. For this preliminary drainage report the design points are discussed in the Proposed Drainage Conditions section of this report. The corresponding design points and basin are shown within the Proposed Drainage Map within Appendix E. For additional information on the proposed sediment basin and outlet characteristics see the MHFD sheets within Appendix C.

Include discussion on how flows are being released and where they go.

Updated





### **EROSION CONTROL PLAN**

We respectfully request that the Erosion Control Plan and Cost Estimate be submitted in conjunction with the grading and erosion control plan and construction assurances posted prior to obtaining a grading permit.

### **OPERATION & MAINTENANCE**

In order to ensure the function and effectiveness of the stormwater infrastructure, maintenance activities such as inspection, routine maintenance, restorative maintenance, rehabilitation and repair, are required. The property owner shall be responsible for the inspection, maintenance, rehabilitation and repair of stormwater and erosion control facilities located on the property unless another party accepts such responsibility in writing and responsibility is properly assigned through legal documentation. We respectfully request that the Operation & Maintenance Manual be submitted in conjunction with the construction documents, prior to obtaining a grading permit.

### **DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES**

The site lies within the Cottonwood Creek and Sand Creek Drainage Basins. Anticipated drainage and bridge fees will be provided at time of final drainage report and will be due at time of platting.

### **SUMMARY**

---

The proposed Schmidt Parcel drainage improvements were designed to meet or exceed the El Paso County Drainage Criteria. The proposed development will not adversely affect the offsite drainage ways or surrounding development. This report is in conformance and meets the latest El Paso County Storm Drainage Criteria requirements.

## REFERENCES


---

1. "El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol I & II".
  2. El Paso County ECM, 2019
  3. El Paso County DCM Vol. 1 Update, 2015
  4. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (Volumes 1, 2, and 3), Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, June 2001.
  5. Final Drainage Report For Silver Ponds Subdivision Filing No. 1, M.V.E. Inc., February 2, 1995, Revised May 5, 1996.
  6. Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study, Stantec, January 2021
  7. Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study, Matrix Design Group, July 2019
  8. Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 3 Final Drainage Report M&S Civil Consultants Inc., August 2015
  9. Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 4 Final Drainage Report M&S Civil Consultants Inc., April 2016
- 



**Appendix A**  
**Vicinity Map, Soil Descriptions, FEMA Floodplain Map**





2000 1000 0 2000



ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 2000'

VICINITY MAP  
SCHMIDT PARCEL  
JOB NO. 25188.13  
03/31/2022  
SHEET 1 OF 1





**J-R ENGINEERING**

A Westrian Company

Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593  
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • [www.jrengineering.com](http://www.jrengineering.com)

# Hydrologic Soil Group—El Paso County Area, Colorado



Natural Resources  
Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey  
National Cooperative Soil Survey

4/21/2022  
Page 1 of 4

## MAP LEGEND

### Area of Interest (AOI)









 Area of Interest (AOI)

### Soils

#### Soil Rating Polygons





 A  
 A/D  
 B  
 B/D  
 C  
 C/D  
 D  
 Not rated or not available

#### Soil Rating Lines


 A  
 A/D  
 B  
 B/D  
 C  
 C/D  
 D  
 Not rated or not available

#### Soil Rating Points






 A  
 A/D  
 B  
 B/D

 C  
 C/D  
 D  
 Not rated or not available

### Water Features

 Streams and Canals

### Transportation

 Rails  
 Interstate Highways  
 US Routes  
 Major Roads  
 Local Roads

### Background

 Aerial Photography

## MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
 Web Soil Survey URL:  
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado  
 Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Sep 23, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



## Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	A	22.3	19.5%
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	64.2	56.2%
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	B	12.1	10.6%
85	Stapleton-Bernal sandy loams, 3 to 20 percent slopes	B	15.6	13.6%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>114.1</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## Rating Options

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Higher



## NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations (BFEs)** and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

**Coastal Base Flood Elevations** shown on this map apply only to landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the **North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88)**. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same **vertical datum**. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services  
NOAA, NINGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/>.

**Base Map** information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2008.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date **stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations** than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

**Corporate limits** shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.


Contact **FEMA Map Service Center (MSC)** via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at <http://www.msc.fema.gov/>.

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at <http://www.fema.gov/business/nfp>.

### El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table

Flooding Source	Vertical Datum Offset (ft)
REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION	


### Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (COWB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



NOTE: MAP AREA SHOWN ON THIS PANEL IS LOCATED WITHIN TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST, AND TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST.

## LEGEND

**SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD**

The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.

- ZONE A** No Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE AE** Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE AH** Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE AO** Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.
- ZONE AR** Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.
- ZONE A99** Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE V** Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE VE** Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevations determined.

### FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE

The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

### OTHER FLOOD AREAS

**ZONE X** Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.

### OTHER AREAS

**ZONE X** Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.  
**ZONE D** Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

### COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

### OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)

CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.

- Floodplain boundary
- Floodway boundary
- Zone D Boundary
- CBRS and OPA boundary
- Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities
- Base Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet\*
- Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet\*


\* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)

- Cross section line
- Transect line
- Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)
- 1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 13
- 5000-foot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate system, central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), Lambert Conformal Conic Projection
- Bench mark (See explanation in Notes to Users section of this FIRM panel)
- M1.5 River Mile

**MAP REPOSITORIES**  
Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index  
**EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP**  
MARCH 17, 1997  
**EFFECTIVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL**  
DECEMBER 7, 2018 - to update corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and Special Flood Hazard Areas, to update map format, to add roads and road names, and to incorporate previously issued Letters of Map Revision.

For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community Map History Table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.



PANEL 0529G

## FIRM

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

EL PASO COUNTY,  
COLORADO  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

PANEL 529 OF 1300

(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

### CONTAINS:

COMMUNITY	NUMBER	PANEL	SUFFIX
COLORADO SPRINGS, CITY OF	080060	0529	G
EL PASO COUNTY	080059	0529	G

Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders: the Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community.



MAP NUMBER  
08041C0529G

MAP REVISED  
DECEMBER 7, 2018

Federal Emergency Management Agency



## **Appendix B**

### **Hydrologic Calculations**

## COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS -EXISTING CONDITIONS

Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_

Location: Colorado Springs

Project Name: Schmidt Parcel

Project No.: 25188.13

Calculated By: APL

Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 4/26/22

C-values for Pasture/Meadow  
assume a 0% impervious. C-Values  
for 2% are 0.09 & 0.36 per Table 6-6

Updated

Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	PASTURE/MEADOW (2% Imp.)				Basins Total Weighted C		Basins Total Weighted % Imp.
		C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	
EX1	15.60	0.08	0.35	15.60	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX2	22.90	0.08	0.35	22.90	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX3	2.50	0.08	0.35	2.50	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX4	33.10	0.08	0.35	33.10	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX5	8.00	0.08	0.35	8.00	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX6	3.40	0.08	0.35	3.40	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX7	2.90	0.08	0.35	2.90	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX8	6.40	0.08	0.35	6.40	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
EX9	2.40	0.08	0.35	2.40	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
OS1	0.61	0.08	0.35	0.61	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
OS2	0.22	0.08	0.35	0.22	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>98.03</b>							<b>2.0%</b>

# STANDARD FORM SF-2 - EXISTING CONDITIONS TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_  
Location: Colorado Springs

Project Name: Schmidt Parcel  
Project No.: 25188.13  
Calculated By: APL  
Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 5/3/22

The computed Tc value needs to be use for existing conditions if it is higher than the Tc urbanized value as the areas are not yet urbanized.

Updated

SUB-BASIN						INITIAL/OVERLAND			TRAVEL TIME					t <sub>c</sub> CHECK			FINAL
DATA						(T <sub>i</sub> )			(T <sub>t</sub> )					(URBANIZED BASINS)			
BASIN ID	D.A. (ac)	Hydrologic Soils Group	Impervious (%)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	L (ft)	S <sub>o</sub> (%)	t <sub>i</sub> (min)	L <sub>t</sub> (ft)	S <sub>t</sub> (%)	K	VEL. (ft/s)	t <sub>t</sub> (min)	COMP. t <sub>c</sub> (min)	TOTAL LENGTH (ft)	Urbanized t <sub>c</sub> (min)	
EX1	15.60	A	2%	0.08	0.35	300.0	2.5%	23.4	872	2.5%	5.0	0.8	18.4	41.8	1172.3	35.6	35.6
EX2	22.90	A	2%	0.08	0.35	300.0	2.3%	24.2	1412	1.9%	5.0	0.7	34.1	58.3	1712.0	44.1	44.1
EX3	2.50	A	2%	0.08	0.35	38.0	18.1%	4.4	1278	1.4%	5.0	0.6	36.2	40.6	1315.5	45.2	40.6
EX4	33.10	A	2%	0.08	0.35	300.0	5.4%	18.3	945	2.2%	10.0	1.5	10.6	28.9	1244.7	37.0	28.9
EX5	8.00	B	2%	0.08	0.35	227.0	11.0%	12.6	1054	2.1%	15.0	2.2	8.1	20.7	1281.0	38.7	20.7
EX6	3.40	B	2%	0.08	0.35	202.0	10.4%	12.1	1054	2.1%	15.0	2.2	8.1	20.2	1256.0	38.7	20.2
EX7	2.90	B	2%	0.08	0.35	175.0	2.6%	17.8	0	0.0%	5.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	175.0	25.7	17.8
EX8	6.40	A	2%	0.08	0.35	300.0	2.0%	25.6	453	2.0%	5.0	0.7	10.7	36.3	753.0	31.4	31.4
EX9	2.40	A	2%	0.08	0.35	53	9.0%	6.5	0	0.0%	5.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	53.0	25.7	6.5
OS1	0.61	A	2%	0.08	0.35	30.1	1.8%	8.3	0	0.0%	10.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	30.1	25.7	8.3
OS2	0.22	A	2%	0.08	0.35	34.7	1.8%	8.9	0	0.0%	10.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	34.7	25.7	8.9

## NOTES:

$$t_c = t_i + t_t$$

Where:

t<sub>c</sub> = computed time of concentration (minutes)

t<sub>i</sub> = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

t<sub>t</sub> = channelized flow time (minutes).

$$t_t = \frac{L_t}{60K\sqrt{S_o}} = \frac{L_t}{60V_t}$$

Where:

t<sub>t</sub> = channelized flow time (travel time, min)

L<sub>t</sub> = waterway length (ft)

S<sub>o</sub> = waterway slope (ft/ft)

V<sub>t</sub> = travel time velocity (ft/sec) = K√S<sub>o</sub>

K = NRCS conveyance factor (see Table 6-2).

Equation 6-2

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_s)\sqrt{L_i}}{S_o^{0.33}}$$

Where:

t<sub>i</sub> = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

C<sub>s</sub> = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (from Table 6-4)

L<sub>i</sub> = length of overland flow (ft)

S<sub>o</sub> = average slope along the overland flow path (ft/ft).

Equation 6-4

$$t_c = (26 - 17i) + \frac{L_t}{60(14i + 9)\sqrt{S_t}}$$

Where:

t<sub>c</sub> = minimum time of concentration for first design point when less than t<sub>c</sub> from Equation 6-1.

L<sub>t</sub> = length of channelized flow path (ft)

i = imperviousness (expressed as a decimal)

S<sub>t</sub> = slope of the channelized flow path (ft/ft).

Equation 6-3

Table 6-2. NRCS Conveyance factors, K

Type of Land Surface	Conveyance Factor, K
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	

Per note below, non-urban areas need to use a minimum Tc value of 10 minutes

Updated

**STANDARD FORM SF-3 - EXISTING CONDITIONS**  
**STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN**  
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_  
Location: Colorado Springs \_\_\_\_\_  
Design Storm: 5-Year \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Schmidt Parcel \_\_\_\_\_  
Project No.: 25188.13 \_\_\_\_\_  
Calculated By: APL \_\_\_\_\_  
Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 5/3/22 \_\_\_\_\_

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET			PIPE				TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS
		Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	$t_c$ (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$t_c$ (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$Q_{street}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	$Q_{pipe}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	$t_r$ (min)	
	1	EX1	15.60	0.08	35.6	1.25	2.23	2.8															Runoff overland flows across existing field to DP1 where flow enters Vollmer ROW
	1.1								35.6	1.27	2.23	2.8											Flow for Basin EX1 and OS2 combine at DP 1.1 and enters Vollmer ROW
	2	EX2	22.90	0.08	44.1	1.83	1.90	3.5															Runoff from Basin EX2, overland flows across existing field to DP 2 where flow continues into Basin EX4
	2.1								44.1	1.88	1.90	3.6											Flows from Basin EX2 and OS1 combine at DP2.1 and enters Basin EX4
	3	EX3	2.50	0.08	40.6	0.20	2.03	0.4															Runoff from Basin EX3 overland flows down berm to DP3. <b>DP 14 Updated</b>
	3.1								40.6	8.35	2.03	16.9											Flows from Basins EX3 and OS14 combine at DP3.1 and enters Cottonwood Creek
	4	EX4	33.10	0.08	28.9	2.65	2.54	6.7															Runoff from basin EX4 overland flows across steep side slopes into the existing pit, flow continues to travel south and remains in the pit at DP4
	4.1								44.1	4.53	1.90	8.6											Flow for Basin EX4 and design point DP2.1 combine at DP 4.1
	5	EX5	8.00	0.08	20.7	0.64	3.04	1.9															Runoff from Basin EX5 overland flows down the Cottonwood Creek embankment slopes & continues to flow along the thalweg axis of the creek
	6	EX6	3.40	0.08	20.2	0.27	3.08	0.8															Runoff from Basin EX6 overland flows down the Cottonwood Creek embankment slopes & continues to flow along the thalweg axis of the creek
	6.1								20.7	0.91	3.04	2.8											Flow from basin EX5 and EX6 combine at DP6.1 and continues to flow in Cottonwood Creek to the south
	7	EX7	2.90	0.08	17.8	0.23	3.27	0.8															Runoff from Basin EX7, overland flows south to DP7. <b>DP B4 Updated</b>
	7.1								28.7	16.88	2.55	43.0											Flows from Basins EX7 and OSB4 combine at DP7.1 and flow continues on to neighboring property
	8	EX8	6.40	0.08	31.4	0.51	2.41	1.2															Runoff from Basin EX8, overland flows south to DP8 where flow leaves the site and enters the subdivision to the south
	9	EX9	2.40	0.08	6.5	0.19	4.77	0.9															Runoff from Basin EX9, overland flows south and enters the adjacent property to the south
	10	OS1	0.61	0.08	8.3	0.05	4.40	0.2															Runoff from Basin OS1, overland flows south and enters the site at DP10
	11	OS2	0.22	0.08	8.9	0.02	4.30	0.1															Runoff from Basin OS2, overland flows south and enters the site at DP11
	B4	OSB4	52.02	0.32	28.7	16.65	2.35	39.1															Off-site basin OSB4 Values from Silver Pond FDR (Basins OS1- B4 & DP8)
	I4	OSI4	27.16	0.30	29.2	8.15	2.33	19.0															Off-site Basin OSI4 Values from Silver Pond FDR (Basins I4 & DP21)

Notes:  
Street and Pipe C\*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value.

**STANDARD FORM SF-3 - EXISTING CONDITIONS**  
**STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN**  
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_  
Location: Colorado Springs \_\_\_\_\_  
Design Storm: 100-Year \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Schmidt Parcel \_\_\_\_\_  
Project No.: 25188.13 \_\_\_\_\_  
Calculated By: APL \_\_\_\_\_  
Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 5/3/22 \_\_\_\_\_

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET			PIPE				TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS
		Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	$t_c$ (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$t_c$ (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$Q_{street}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	$Q_{pipe}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	$t_r$ (min)	
	1	EX1	15.60	0.35	35.6	5.46	3.73	20.4															Runoff overland flows across existing field to DP1 where flow enters Vollmer ROW
	1.1								35.6	5.54	3.73	20.7											Flow for Basin EX1 and OS2 combine at DP 1.1 and enters Vollmer ROW
	2	EX2	22.90	0.35	44.1	8.02	3.20	25.6															Runoff from Basin EX2, overland flows across existing field to DP 2 where flow continues into Basin EX4
	2.1								44.1	8.23	3.20	26.3											Flows from Basin EX2 and OS1 combine at DP2.1 and enters Basin EX4
	3	EX3	2.50	0.35	40.6	0.88	3.40	3.0															Runoff from Basin EX3 overland flows down berm and flows along bottom of berm to DP3.
	3.1								40.6	11.74	3.40	39.9											Flows from Basins EX3 and OS14 combine at DP3.1 and enters Cottonwood Creek
	4	EX4	33.10	0.35	28.9	11.59	4.26	49.4															Runoff from basin EX4 overland flows across steep side slopes into the existing pit, flow continues to travel south and remains in the pit at DP4
	4.1								44.1	19.82	3.20	63.3											Flow for Basin EX4 and design point DP2.1 combine at DP 4.1
	5	EX5	8.00	0.35	20.7	2.80	5.10	14.3															Runoff from Basin EX5 overland flows down the Cottonwood Creek embankment slopes & continues to flow along the thalweg axis of the creek
	6	EX6	3.40	0.35	20.2	1.19	5.16	6.1															Runoff from Basin EX6 overland flows down the Cottonwood Creek embankment slopes & continues to flow along the thalweg axis of the creek
	6.1								20.7	3.99	5.10	20.4											Flow from basin EX5 and EX6 combine at DP6.1 and continues to flow in Cottonwood Creek to the Southwest
	7	EX7	2.90	0.35	17.8	1.02	5.48	5.6															Runoff from Basin EX7, overland flows southwest to the adjacent property at DP7
	7.1								28.7	22.87	4.28	97.8											Flows from Basins EX7 and OSB4 combine at DP7.1 and flow continues on to neighboring property
	8	EX8	6.40	0.35	31.4	2.24	4.05	9.1															Runoff from Basin EX8, overland flows south to DP8 where flow leaves the site and enters the subdivision to the south
	9	EX9	2.40	0.35	6.5	0.84	8.02	6.7															Runoff from Basin EX9, overland flows south and enters the adjacent property to the south
	10	OS1	0.61	0.35	8.3	0.21	7.40	1.6															Runoff from Basin OS1, overland flows south and enters the site at DP10
	11	OS2	0.22	0.35	8.9	0.08	7.22	0.6															Runoff from Basin OS2, overland flows south and enters the site at DP11
	B4	OS14	52.02	0.42	28.7	21.85	4.11	89.8															Off-site basin OSB4 Values from Silver Pond FDR (Bains OS1- B4 & DP8)
	I4	OSB4	27.16	0.40	29.2	10.86	4.07	44.2															Off-site Basin OS14 Values from Silver Pond FDR (Bains I4 & DP21)

Notes:  
Street and Pipe C\*A values are determined by Q/I using the catchment's intensity value.

# COMPOSITE SCHMIDT PARCEL C-VALUES CALCULATIONS -PROPOSED CONDITIONS

Updated

Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_

Location: Colorado Springs

C-values for Pasture/Meadow  
assume a 0% impervious. C-Values  
for 2% are 0.09 & 0.36 per Table 6-6

Project Name: Schmidt Parcel

Project No.: 25188.13

Calculated By: APL

Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 4/26/22

Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	Gravel (80% Imp.)				PASTURE/MEADOW (2% Imp.)				Basins Total Weighted C		Basins Total Weighted % Imp.
		C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	
A	11.70	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	11.70	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
B	22.00	0.59	0.70	0.45	1.6%	0.08	0.35	21.55	2.0%	0.09	0.36	3.6%
C	4.00	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	4.00	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
D	2.60	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	2.60	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
E	1.60	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	1.60	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
F	36.60	0.59	0.70	0.36	0.8%	0.08	0.35	36.24	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.8%
G	4.30	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	4.30	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
H	10.20	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	10.20	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
I	3.40	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	3.40	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
J	2.90	0.59	0.70	0.00	0.0%	0.08	0.35	2.90	2.0%	0.08	0.35	2.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>99.30</b>											<b>2.6%</b>

Updated

The computed  $T_c$  value needs to be use for existing conditions if it is higher than the  $T_c$  urbanized value as the areas are not yet urbanized.

## STANDARD FORM SF-2 - PROPOSED CONDITIONS TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_  
Location: Colorado Springs

Project Name: Schmidt Parcel  
Project No.: 25188.13  
Calculated By: APL  
Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 5/9/22

SUB-BASIN						INITIAL/OVERLAND			TRAVEL TIME					t <sub>c</sub> CHECK			FINAL
DATA						(T <sub>i</sub> )			(T <sub>t</sub> )					(URBANIZED BASINS)			
BASIN ID	D.A. (ac)	Hydrologic Soils Group	Impervious (%)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	L (ft)	S <sub>o</sub> (%)	t <sub>i</sub> (min)	L <sub>t</sub> (ft)	S <sub>t</sub> (%)	K	VEL. (ft/s)	t <sub>t</sub> (min)	COMP. t <sub>c</sub> (min)	TOTAL LENGTH (ft)	Urbanized t <sub>c</sub> (min)	
A	11.70	A	2.0%	0.08	0.35	300.0	2.8%	22.8	1324	1.8%	7.0	0.9	23.6	46.4	1623.7	43.5	43.5
B	22.00	A	3.6%	0.09	0.36	300.0	2.3%	24.0	1402	1.8%	7.0	0.9	24.9	48.9	1701.7	43.7	43.7
C	4.00	A	2.0%	0.08	0.35	300.0	2.1%	25.1	423	2.1%	5.0	0.7	9.8	34.9	722.7	30.9	30.9
D	2.60	A	2.0%	0.08	0.35	231.8	2.6%	20.5	0	0.0%	5.0	0.0	0.0	20.5	231.8	25.7	20.5
E	1.60	A	2.0%	0.08	0.35	35.0	2.9%	7.7	1372	0.8%	7.0	0.6	36.5	44.2	1406.5	53.2	44.2
F	36.60	A	2.8%	0.08	0.35	300.0	2.8%	22.5	1554	2.7%	5.0	0.8	31.6	54.2	1853.8	42.4	42.4
G	4.30	B	2.0%	0.08	0.35	300.0	2.0%	25.4	0	0.0%	5.0	0.0	0.0	25.4	300.0	25.7	25.4
H	10.20	B	2.0%	0.08	0.35	227.0	11.0%	12.6	1054	2.1%	15.0	2.2	8.1	20.7	1281.0	38.8	20.7
I	3.40	B	2.0%	0.08	0.35	202	10.4%	12.1	1054	2.1%	15.0	2.2	8.1	20.2	1256.0	38.7	20.2
J	2.90	B	2.0%	0.08	0.35	175	2.6%	17.8	0	0.0%	5.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	175.0	25.7	17.8

### NOTES:

$$t_c = t_i + t_t$$

Where:

$t_c$  = computed time of concentration (minutes)

$t_i$  = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

$t_t$  = channelized flow time (minutes).

$$t_t = \frac{L_t}{60K\sqrt{S_o}} = \frac{L_t}{60V_t}$$

Where:

$t_t$  = channelized flow time (travel time, min)

$L_t$  = waterway length (ft)

$S_o$  = waterway slope (ft/ft)

$V_t$  = travel time velocity (ft/sec) =  $K\sqrt{S_o}$

$K$  = NRCS conveyance factor (see Table 6-2).

$$\text{Equation 6-2} \quad t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_s)\sqrt{L_i}}{S_o^{0.33}}$$

Where:

$t_i$  = overland (initial) flow time (minutes)

$C_s$  = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (from Table 6-4)

$L_i$  = length of overland flow (ft)

$S_o$  = average slope along the overland flow path (ft/ft).

$$\text{Equation 6-4} \quad t_c = (26 - 17i) + \frac{L_t}{60(14i + 9)\sqrt{S_t}}$$

Where:

$t_c$  = minimum time of concentration for first design point when less than  $t_c$  from Equation 6-1.

$L_t$  = length of channelized flow path (ft)

$i$  = imperviousness (expressed as a decimal)

$S_t$  = slope of the channelized flow path (ft/ft).

Equation 6-3

Table 6-2. NRCS Conveyance factors, K

Type of Land Surface	Conveyance Factor, K
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

Equation 6-5

Use a minimum  $t_c$  value of 5 minutes for urbanized areas and a minimum  $t_c$  value of 10 minutes for areas that are not considered urban. Use minimum values even when calculations result in a lesser time of concentration.



# STANDARD FORM SF-3 - PROPOSED CONDITIONS

## STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Location: Colorado Springs \_\_\_\_\_  
 Design Storm: 5-Year \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Schimdt Parcel \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project No.: 25188.13 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Calculated By: APL \_\_\_\_\_  
 Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date: 5/9/22 \_\_\_\_\_

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET			PIPE				TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS
		Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	$t_c$ (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$t_c$ (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$Q_{street}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	$Q_{pipe}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	$t_r$ (min)	
	1	A	11.70	0.08	43.5	0.94	1.92	1.8															Runoff overland flows to proposed swale and contuies into Basin B at DP1
	2	B	22.00	0.08	43.7	1.76	1.92	3.4															Runoff from Basin B, overland flows to proposed swale and contuies into Basin F at DP2
	2.1								43.7	2.70	1.92	5.2											Flows Form Basin A and B combine at DP2.1 and enters Basin F
	3	C	4.00	0.08	30.9	0.32	2.44	0.8															Runoff overland flows across exisitng field to DP3 where flow enters Vollmer ROW
	4	D	2.60	0.08	20.5	0.21	3.05	0.6															Runoff form basin D overland flows south and enters the adjacent property to the south
	5	E	1.60	0.08	44.2	0.13	1.90	0.2															Runoff from Basin E is collected in the proposed swale and routed west to Cottonwood Creek
	5.1								44.2	8.28	1.90	15.7											Flows from Basins E and OSI4 combine at DP5.1 and contunie into Cottonwood Creek
	6	F	36.60	0.08	42.4	2.93	1.96	5.7															Runoff form basin F overland flows across steep side slopes into the pit, flow contuies to travel south and remains in the pit at DP6
	6.1								43.7	5.62	1.92	10.8											Flow from Basin F and DP2.1 combine at DP6.1 and remain in the pit at DP6.1
	7	G	4.30	0.08	25.4	0.34	2.73	0.9															Runoff from Basin G, overland flows southwest to the adjacent property at DP7
	8	H	10.20	0.08	20.7	0.82	3.04	2.5															Runoff from Basin H overland flows down the Cottonwood Creek embankment slopes & contuies to flow along the thalweg axis of the creek
	9	I	3.40	0.08	20.2	0.27	3.08	0.8															Runoff from Basin I overland flows down the Cottonwood Creek embankment slopes & contuies to flow along the thalweg axis of the creek
	9.1								20.7	1.09	3.04	3.3											Flow from Basins H and I combine at DP9.1 and contunie to flow in Cottonwood creek offsite
	10	J	2.90	0.08	17.8	0.23	3.26	0.8															Runoff from Basin J, overland flows south and enters the site at DP10
	10.1								28.7	16.88	2.55	43.0											Flows from Basins J and OSB4 combine at DP10.1 and enters the adjacent propoerty
	B4	OSB4	52.02	0.32	28.7	16.65	2.35	39.1															Off-site basin OSB4 Values from Sliver Pond FDR (Bains OS1- B4 & DP8)
	I4	OSI4	27.16	0.30	29.2	8.15	2.33	19.0															Off-site Basin OSI4 Values from Sliver Pond FDR (Bains I4 & DP21)

Notes:  
 Street and Pipe C\*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value.

**STANDARD FORM SF-3 - PROPOSED CONDITIONS**  
**STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN**  
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: \_\_\_\_\_  
Location: Colorado Springs \_\_\_\_\_  
Design Storm: 100-Year \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: Schimdt Parcel \_\_\_\_\_  
Project No.: 25188.13 \_\_\_\_\_  
Calculated By: APL \_\_\_\_\_  
Checked By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 5/9/22 \_\_\_\_\_

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET			PIPE				TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS
		Basin ID	Area (ac)	Runoff Coeff.	$t_c$ (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$t_c$ (min)	C*A (ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	$Q_{street}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	$Q_{pipe}$ (cfs)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	$t_r$ (min)	
	1	A	11.70	0.35	43.5	4.10	3.23	13.2															Runoff overland flows to proposed swale and contuies into Basin B at DP1
	2	B	22.00	0.36	43.7	7.86	3.22	25.3															Runoff from Basin B, overland flows to proposed swale and contuies into Basin F at DP2
	2.1								43.7	11.96	3.22	38.5											Flows Form Basin A and B combine at DP2.1 and enters Basin F
	3	C	4.00	0.35	30.9	1.40	4.09	5.7															Runoff overland flows across exisitng field to DP3 where flow enters Vollmer ROW
	4	D	2.60	0.35	20.5	0.91	5.12	4.7															Runoff form basin D overland flows south and enters the adjacent property to the south
	5	E	1.60	0.35	44.2	0.56	3.19	1.8															Runoff from Basin E is collected in the proposed swale and routed west to Cottonwood Creek
	5.1								44.2	11.42	3.19	36.4											Flows from Basins E and OSI4 combine at DP5.1 and contunie into Cottonwood Creek
	6	F	36.60	0.35	42.4	12.93	3.29	42.6															Runoff form basin F overland flows across steep side slopes into the pit, flow contuies to travel south and remains in the pit at DP6
	6.1								43.7	24.89	3.22	80.0											Flow from Basin F and DP2.1 combine at DP6.1 and remain in the pit at DP6.1
	7	G	4.30	0.35	25.4	1.51	4.59	6.9															Runoff from Basin G, overland flows southwest to the adjacent property at DP7
	8	H	10.20	0.35	20.7	3.57	5.10	18.2															Runoff from Basin H overland flows down the Cottonwood Creek enbankment slopes & contuies to flow along the thalweg axis of the creek
	9	I	3.40	0.35	20.2	1.19	5.16	6.1															Runoff from Basin I overland flows down the Cottonwood Creek enbankment slopes & contuies to flow along the thalweg axis of the creek
	9.1								20.7	4.76	5.10	24.3											Flow from Basins H and I combine at DP9.1 and contunie to flow in Cottonwood creek offsite
	10	J	2.90	0.35	17.8	1.02	5.47	5.6															Runoff from Basin J, overland flows south and enters the site at DP10
	10.1								28.7	22.87	4.28	97.8											Flows from Basins J and OSB4 combine at DP10.1 and enters the adjacent proporety
	B4	OSI4	52.02	0.42	28.7	21.85	4.11	89.8															Off-site basin OSB4 Values from Sliver Pond FDR (Bains OS1- B4 & DP8)
	I4	OSB4	27.16	0.40	29.2	10.86	4.07	44.2															Off-site Basin OSI4 Values from Sliver Pond FDR (Bains I4 & DP21)


Notes:  
Street and Pipe C\*A values are determined by Q/i using the catchment's intensity value.

## **Appendix C**

### **Hydraulic Calculations**

*MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)*

**Basin ID:** Custom Sediment Basin



	EDB	
Selected BMP Type =		
Watershed Area =	70.20	acres
Watershed Length =	3,434	ft
Watershed length to Centroid =	1,103	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.014	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	2.90%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Target WQCV Drain Time =	72.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = User Input		


Designed per MHFD Sediment basin fact sheet with a drain time of 72 hours

- ### Define Zones and Basin Geometry

	001		001	001	001			
	002		002	002	002			
	003		003	003	003			
	004		004	004	004			
	005		005	005	005			
	006		006	006	006			
	007		007	007	007			
	008		008	008	008			
	009		009	009	009			
	010		010	010	010			
	011		011	011	011			
	012		012	012	012			
	013		013	013	013			
	014		014	014	014			
	015		015	015	015			
	016		016	016	016			
	017		017	017	017			
	018		018	018	018			
	019		019	019	019			
	020		020	020	020			
	021		021	021	021			
	022		022	022	022			
	023		023	023	023			
	024		024	024	024			
	025		025	025	025			
	026		026	026	026			
	027		027	027	027			
	028		028	028	028			
	029		029	029	029			
	030		030	030	030			
	031		031	031	031			
	032		032	032	032			
	033		033	033	033			
	034		034	034	034			
	035		035	035	035			
	036		036	036	036			
	037		037	037	037			
	038		038	038	038			
	039		039	039	039			
	040		040	040	040			
	041		041	041	041			
	042		042	042	042			
	043		043	043	043			
	044		044	044	044			
	045		045	045	045			
	046		046	046	046			
	047		047	047	047			
	048		048	048	048			
	049		049	049	049			
	050		050	050	050			
	051		051	051	051			
	052		052	052	052			
	053		053	053	053			
	054		054	054	054			
	055		055	055	055			
	056		056	056	056			
	057		057	057	057			
	058		058	058	058			
	059		059	059	059			
	060		060	060	060			
	061		061	061	061			
	062		062	062	062			
	063		063	063	063			
	064		064	064	064			
	065		065	065	065			
	066		066	066	066			
	067		067	067	067			
	068		068	068	068			
	069		069	069	069			
	070		070	070	070			
	071		071	071	071			
	072		072	072	072			
	073		073	073	073			
	074		074	074	074			
	075		075	075	075			
	076		076	076	076			
	077		077	077	077			
	078		078	078	078			
	079		079	079	079			
	080		080	080	080			
	081		081	081	081			
	082		082	082	082			
	083		083	083	083			
	084		084	084	084			
	085		085	085	085			
	086		086	086	086			
	087		087	087	087			
	088		088	088	088			
	089		089	089	089			
	090		090	090	090			
	091		091	091	091			
	092		092	092	092			
	093		093	093	093			
	094		094	094	094			
	095		095	095	095			
	096		096	096	096			
	097		097	097	097			
	098		098	098	098			
	099		099	099	099			
	100		100	100	100			

# DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)




# DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Project: **SCHMIDT PARCEL**

Basin ID: **Custom Sediment Basin**



Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

	Estimated Stage (ft)	Estimated Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	4.99	5.800	Orifice Plate
Zone 2			
Zone 3			
Total (all zones)		5.800	

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =  ft (distance below the filtration media surface)  
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =  inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain  
Underdrain Orifice Area =  ft<sup>2</sup>  
Underdrain Orifice Centroid =  feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Centroid of Lowest Orifice =  ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =  ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =  inches  
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =  sq. inches (use rectangular openings)

Calculated Parameters for Plate  
WQ Orifice Area per Row =  ft<sup>2</sup>  
Elliptical Half-Width =  feet  
Elliptical Slot Centroid =  feet  
Elliptical Slot Area =  ft<sup>2</sup>

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.25	1.55	1.85	2.15	2.45		
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07	6.07		

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

Invert of Vertical Orifice =   ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =   ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
Vertical Orifice Diameter =   inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orif  
Vertical Orifice Area =   ft<sup>2</sup>  
Vertical Orifice Centroid =   feet

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe)

Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =   ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =   feet  
Overflow Weir Crest Slope =   H:V

Calculated Parameters for Overflow W  
Height of Grate Upper Edge, H<sub>1</sub> =   feet  
Overflow Weir Slope Length =   feet  
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =    
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =   sq. ft  
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =   sq. ft

Pipe is connected as shown in Sediment Basin Detail SC-7, Unable to have UD add pipe with sediment basin design, see hydraflow express for pipe sizing

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

If there's no pipe, what are the orifice holes working with to release flows?

Outlet Pipe Invert Depth =   ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
Outlet Pipe Diameter =   inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Pl  
Outlet Orifice Area =   ft<sup>2</sup>  
Outlet Orifice Centroid =   feet  
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =   degrees

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage =  ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
Spillway Crest Length =  feet  
Spillway End Slopes =  H:V  
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =  feet

Calculated Parameters for Spillway  
Spillway Design Flow Depth =  feet  
Stage at Top of Freeboard =  feet  
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =  acres  
Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard =  acre-ft

## Routed Hydrograph Results

The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through A)

	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year
Design Storm Return Period =	N/A							
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	N/A							
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	5.800	6.00						
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	N/A							
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A							
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	N/A							
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	N/A							
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	N/A							
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	2.2							
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate							
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	63							
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	72							
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	4.99							
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	2.22							
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	5.807							

# Channel Report

Swale C-C added

Include report for  
Swale C-C

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Thursday, Apr 28 2022

## EAST SWALE B-B

(B-1)

Updated

### Trapezoidal


Bottom Width (ft) = 6.00  
Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 4.00  
Total Depth (ft) = 2.25  
Invert Elev (ft) = 1.00  
Slope (%) = 1.80  
N-Value = 0.035

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.93  
Q (cfs) = 38.50  
Area (sqft) = 9.04  
Velocity (ft/s) = 4.26  
Wetted Perim (ft) = 13.67  
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.89  
Top Width (ft) = 13.44  
EGL (ft) = 1.21

### Calculations

Compute by: Known Q  
Known Q (cfs) = 38.50



# Channel Report

NORTH SWALE B-B

(B-2)

Updated

Trapezoidal


Bottom Width (ft) = 6.00  
Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 4.00  
Total Depth (ft) = 2.25  
Invert Elev (ft) = 1.00  
Slope (%) = 0.50  
N-Value = 0.035

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q  
Known Q (cfs) = 36.40

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 1.25  
Q (cfs) = 36.40  
Area (sqft) = 13.75  
Velocity (ft/s) = 2.65  
Wetted Perim (ft) = 16.31  
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.86  
Top Width (ft) = 16.00  
EGL (ft) = 1.36





## **Appendix D**

### **Reference Material**

RECEIVED

MAY 10 1996

Planning Dept.

**SILVER PONDS SUBDIVISION FILING NO. 1**

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT**

February 2, 1995  
Revised May 5, 1996  
Project No. 60572

**PREPARED FOR:**

The Campbell Corporation  
4975 Austin Bluffs Parkway  
Colorado Springs, CO 80918

**PREPARED BY:**

M.V.E., Inc.  
1911 Lelaray St.  
Colorado Springs, CO 80909

Table 3.1 - Developed Condition Hydrologic Data  
5-year and 100-Year

Design Point	Included Basins	Cumulative Drainage Area (Ac)	5-yr Discharge (cfs)	100-yr Discharge (cfs)
1	OSA1	18.14	13.1	30.4
2	OSA2	8.72	7.0	16.3
3	OSA1 thru A3	29.05	20.5	47.7
4	OSA1 thru A4	31.04	24.3	53.6
5	OSB1	39.26	29.8	69.3
6	OSB1 thru B2	44.66	25.9	60.3
7	OSB1 thru B3	50.03	35.7	83.1
8	OSB1 thru B4	52.02	39.2	89.8
9	OSD1	8.26	7.9	18.4
10	OSD1 thru D2	19.95	24.1	52.9
11	D3	3.41	4.5	9.9
12	E1	4.24	5.5	12.1
13	F1	4.26	6.6	14.4
14	OSG1	6.66	7.0	16.4
15	OSG1 thru G2	9.22	10.5	24.0
16	OSH1	17.22	17.5	38.4
17	OSH1 thru H2	28.28	27.9	61.3
18	OSI1	3.67	3.3	7.8
19	OSI1 thru I2	11.05	7.9	18.4
20	I3	8.01	6.3	14.6
21	OSI1 thru I4	27.16	19.0	44.2
22	J1	4.19	3.0	6.9

M.V.E., Inc.  
 Colorado Springs, Colorado

Proj. No.: 60572 Project: SILVER PONDS

Date: 1-31-96

DEVELOPED DISCHARGES  
 RAINFALL/RUNOFF ANALYSIS - RATIONAL METHOD

Design Point	Area (Ac)	C5	C100	Tc (min)	i5 (in/hr)	i100 (in/hr)	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
1	18.14	0.30	0.40	27.7	2.40	4.20	13.1	30.4
2	8.72	0.30	0.40	22.9	2.68	4.68	7.0	16.3
5	39.26	0.30	0.40	25.4	2.53	4.41	29.8	69.3
9	8.26	0.30	0.40	16.5	3.19	5.57	7.9	18.4
14	6.66	0.30	0.40	13.5	3.52	6.15	7.0	16.4
16	17.22	0.39	0.49	24.1	2.60	4.55	17.5	38.4
18	3.67	0.30	0.40	18.2	3.03	5.30	3.3	7.8
3	29.05	0.30	0.40	28.7	2.35	4.11	20.5	47.7
4	31.04	0.34	0.43	29.8	2.30	4.02	24.3	53.6
6	44.66	0.30	0.40	39.5	1.93	3.38	25.9	60.3
B3	5.37	0.30	0.40	26.7	2.45	4.29	4.0	9.2
7	50.03	0.30	0.40	28.2	2.38	4.15	35.7	83.1
8	52.02	0.32	0.42	28.7	2.35	4.11	39.2	89.8
D2	11.69	0.39	0.49	17.6	3.09	5.39	14.1	30.9
10	19.95	0.39	0.49	17.5	3.10	5.41	24.1	52.9
11	3.41	0.39	0.49	14.5	3.40	5.94	4.5	9.9
12	4.24	0.39	0.49	15.0	3.35	5.85	5.5	12.1
13	4.26	0.39	0.49	10.5	3.94	6.89	6.6	14.4
G2	2.56	0.39	0.49	13.1	3.57	6.24	3.6	7.8
15	9.22	0.33	0.43	14.0	3.46	6.04	10.5	24.0
H2	11.06	0.39	0.49	17.5	3.10	5.41	13.4	29.3
17	28.28	0.39	0.49	25.3	2.53	4.42	27.9	61.3
19	11.05	0.30	0.40	28.0	2.39	4.17	7.9	18.4
20	8.01	0.30	0.40	23.9	2.62	4.57	6.3	14.6
I4	8.10	0.30	0.40	23.1	2.67	4.66	6.5	15.1
21	27.16	0.30	0.40	29.2	2.33	4.07	19.0	44.2
22	4.19	0.30	0.40	28.8	2.35	4.10	3.0	6.9



# LEGEND

- EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR
- EXISTING INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR
- DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY LINE
- SUBDIVISION BOUNDARY LINE
- SILT FENCE

DRAINAGE DIRECTION ARROW



DESIGN POINT DESIGNATION

PROPOSED STORM DRAIN CULVERT



DRAINAGE BASIN DESIGNATION  
DRAINAGE BASIN AREA

THESE DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION. SAID DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN PREPARED ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE COUNTY FOR DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AND SAID DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE MASTER PLAN OF THE DRAINAGE BASIN. SAID DETAILED DRAINAGE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS MEET THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE PARTICULAR DRAINAGE FACILITY IS DESIGNED. I ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY LIABILITY CAUSED BY ANY NEGLIGENCE, ACTS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS ON MY PART IN PREPARATION OF THE DETAILED DRAINAGE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.



REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER  
STATE OF COLORADO  
CHARLES C. JENSEN, P.E.  
NO. 13348  
DATE 5-6-96



Grade Control Structures



Dam Spillways



## SITE HYDROLOGY DATA

DESIGN POINT	INCL. BASINS	AREA (Ac)	Q <sub>5</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
1	OSA1	18.14	13.1	30.4
2	OSA2	8.72	7.0	16.3
3	OSA1-A3	29.05	20.5	47.7
4	OSA1-A4	31.04	24.3	53.6
5	OSB1	39.26	29.8	69.3
6	OSB1-B2	44.66	25.9	60.3
7	OSB1-B3	50.03	35.7	83.1
8	OSB1-B4	52.02	39.2	89.8
9	OSD1	8.26	7.9	18.4
10	OSD1-D2	19.95	24.1	52.9
11	D3	3.41	4.5	9.9
12	E1	4.24	5.5	12.1
13	F1	4.26	6.6	14.4
14	OSC1	6.66	7.0	16.4
15	OSC1-G2	9.22	10.5	24.0
16	OSH1	17.22	17.5	38.4
17	OSH1-H2	28.28	27.9	61.3
18	OSI1	3.67	3.3	7.8
19	OSI1-I2	11.05	7.9	18.4
20	I3	8.01	6.3	14.6
21	OSI1-I4	27.16	19.0	44.2
22	J1	4.19	3.0	6.9

NOTE: SEE OFFSITE DRAINAGE BASIN MAP FOR OFFSITE BASINS (OS...)

COUNTY PLAN REVIEW IS PROVIDED ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH COUNTY DESIGN CRITERIA. THE COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. THE COUNTY THROUGH THE APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

MURRAY D. JENSEN, JR., P.E.  
COUNTY ENGINEER  
5/9/96

PROJECT: SILVER PONDS SUBDIVISION FILING NO. 1

TITLE: DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT DETAILS

MONUMENT VALLEY ENGINEERS INC.

ENGINEERS • SURVEYORS  
1911 LELAND STREET  
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80909  
PHONE (719) 635-5736

PROJ. NO. 60572  
DRAWN: DRG  
ENGINEER: DRG  
CHECKED: DRG  
SCALE: 1" = 100'  
DATE: 3/18/96  
REVISIONS: 1 5/12/96 ADD  
EASMENTS, SILT FENCE  
& DETAIL

SHEET  
1 OF 1

DRAWING NO.  
60572008

DISK NO. 1516

PLANNING  
KEVIN J. WALKER & ASSOCIATES  
105 EAST VERMILION AVE., SUITE 200 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 (719) 475-4343

SILVER PONDS FIG. 1



# **TRAILS AT FOREST MEADOWS FILING NO. 3 FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT**


**AMENDMENT TO:  
MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN UPDATE FOR WOODMEN HEIGHTS  
AND FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR FOREST MEADOWS FILING NO.1 AND NO.4**

August 2015

Prepared for:

Rivers Development, Inc.  
13530 Northgate Estates Drive, Suite 200  
Colorado Springs, CO 80921

Prepared by:



**CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.**  
20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 110  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903  
(719) 955-5485

Project #08-029

OFFICE COPY

**Basin U** is located in the easterly portion of the site and contains 1.23 acres of Vollmer Road asphalt and curb and gutter. Basin U has proposed design flows of 4.3 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 8.1 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin U will flow, via curb and gutter to Design Point E3, an existing 20' D-10-R inlet, in an at-grade condition. The inlet at Design Point E3 has been sized to accept flows from Basin T, U and portions of historic flows from Basins EX1 and EX2 (capacity of ~ 30 cfs). Collected flows from Design Point E3 will be conveyed in an existing 30" RCP (pipe 10) to pipe 11, an existing 48" RCP. Combined flows in 9, 10 and 11 have been sized to accept these developed flows and do not exceed the pipe design flows in FDR2. Additional discussion the runoff reaching Design Point 3 is discussed in upcoming paragraphs.

**Basin OS2** is located off-site, in the northerly portion of the site and contains 1.22 acres of undeveloped land. Basin OS2 has undeveloped flows of 0.8 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 3.6 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin OS2 will be directed around Basin Q, via the proposed perimeter berm to Design Point 9 (accumulated flows 10.2 cfs-5 year, 22.4 cfs-100 year) and a proposed diversion swale. The diversion swale will route flows to an existing 48" RCP (pipe 14). Pipe 14 and 3 have been sized to accept these developed flows and do not exceed the pipe design flows in FDR2. *Any increase in flows due to future development of Basin OS1/OS2 will require the construction of a proposed detention facility, as per the Sand Creek DBPS.*

**Basin OS3** is located off-site, in the northerly portion of the site and contains 0.34 acres of undeveloped land. Basin OS3 has undeveloped flows of 0.2 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 1.0 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin OS3 will be directed westward via the proposed perimeter berm to Design Point 9 (accumulated flows 10.2 cfs-5 year, 22.4 cfs-100 year) and a proposed diversion swale. The aforementioned diversion swale will route flows to an existing 48" RCP (pipe 14).

Flows reaching **Design Point E3** are historic and tributary to Vollmer Road (EX1, EX2 and EX3, see Historic drainage map DP-1). A portion of these Historic (EX1 and EX2) and proposed flows (Basin T and U), will be routed into the existing Vollmer Road infrastructure at the north end of the Dry Needle Place/Vollmer Road intersection and the northerly boundary of Filing No. 2. If sufficient conveyance capacity were to exist within the Vollmer ROW to convey runoff from the historic upstream watersheds, flows rates as high as 87.8 cfs for the minor storm event (5- Year) and 388.7 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year) could be expected to reach Design Point E3. These calculated flows differ by 2 cfs in the 5-year event and 1 cfs in the 100- year event from those estimated within the FDR2 report. A field inspection of the existing roadside ditch and roadway was conducted by M&S Civil Consultants in the Early Summer of 2015 and the estimated conveyance capacity was determined using Bentley's FlowMaster program. Based upon the observed longitudinal slope and geometry, the capacity of the street/ditch section at the northern boundary was found to be as high as approximately 135 cfs, thereby limiting the maximum amount of upstream runoff which is able to reach the subject site and Design Point 3. Runoff upstream of the site, in excess of ditch capacity, is believed to intermittently overtop Vollmer Road continue east toward Sand Creek. Recent storms during the summer months of 2015 (June & July) have aided in additional sediment transport in the area, thus likely further decreasing the available conveyance capacity. In the proposed condition grading will occur along portions of the west side of Vollmer Road to add width to the existing roadway and a vertical curb and gutter section along the west side of the street. A proposed cross section was analyzed upstream of Design Point 3 which indicates an estimated street conveyance capacity of 131 cfs for the west side of Vollmer Road when ponding reaches a depth of 1' at the flowline.

A temporary radial asphalt curb with a riprap transition will be constructed at the confluence of the roadway section and the existing ditch, at the north end of the subdivision, to aid in directing runoff from the existing ditch to the proposed curb and guttered street section. The proposed improvements will be detailed in the street improvement plans for Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 3. The proposed improvements will be constructed within the right of way in a manner so that they do not impact lots or offsite property.

It should be noted that based upon the Preliminary Drainage Report of Sterling Ranch Phase 1, dated March 2015 by M&S Consultants, the construction of the Sterling Ranch Subdivision and Marksheffel Road will


**TRAILS AT FOREST MEADOWS FILING NO. 3**  
**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT**  
**(Area Drainage Summary)**

From Composite Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Time of Travel (T <sub>c</sub> )	INTENSITY *		TOTAL FLOWS		CA <sub>s</sub>	Basin	CA <sub>100</sub>
BASIN	AREA TOTAL (Acres)	C <sub>s</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	C <sub>s</sub>	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	T <sub>c</sub> (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (ft/s)	T <sub>c</sub> (min)	TOTAL (min)	I <sub>s</sub> (in/hr)	I <sub>100</sub> (in/hr)	Q <sub>s</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)			
F2-F FILING 2	2.95	0.57	0.67	0.25	117	5	10.6	842	1.8%	4.7	3.0	13.7	3.6	6.4	6.1	12.8	1.69	F2-F	1.99
F2-I FILING 2	1.72	0.57	0.67	0.25	194	3.2	18.8	714	1.9%	4.9	2.4	21.2	2.9	5.2	2.9	6.0	0.99	F2-I	1.16
F2-J FILING 2	3.12	0.57	0.67	0.25	164	4	15.2	623	1.9%	4.8	2.2	17.3	3.2	5.8	5.8	12.0	1.78	F2-J	2.09
K	0.92	0.57	0.67	0.25	131	2.6	14.5	0	1.0%	3.5	0.0	14.5	3.5	6.3	1.8	3.9	0.52	K	0.62
L	0.55	0.57	0.67	0.25	80	1.5	11.6	0	1.0%	3.5	0.0	11.6	3.9	6.9	1.2	2.5	0.31	L	0.37
M	2.62	0.57	0.67	0.25	76	1.5	11.1	843	2.5%	5.5	2.6	13.6	3.6	6.4	5.4	11.3	1.49	M	1.76
M-I	0.65	0.57	0.67	0.25	118	2.4	13.7	170	1.0%	3.5	0.8	14.5	3.5	6.3	1.3	2.7	0.37	M-I	0.44
O	0.70	0.57	0.67	0.25	155	10	10.7	0	2.5%	5.6	0.0	10.7	4.0	7.1	1.6	3.3	0.40	O	0.47
P	2.14	0.57	0.67	0.25	76	1.5	11.1	920	1.1%	3.7	4.2	15.2	3.4	6.1	4.2	8.8	1.22	P	1.43
Q	3.66	0.57	0.67	0.25	170	3.4	16.5	1016	1.1%	3.7	4.6	21.1	2.9	5.2	6.1	12.8	2.09	Q	2.43
R	2.37	0.57	0.67	0.25	112	2.2	13.5	369	1.9%	4.8	1.3	14.7	3.5	6.2	4.7	9.9	1.35	R	1.59
S	3.09	0.37	0.67	0.25	298	6	21.8	1015	2.5%	5.5	3.1	24.8	2.7	4.8	4.7	9.9	1.76	S	2.07
T	1.73	0.57	0.67	0.25	62	1.2	10.1	0	2.5%	5.6	0.0	10.1	4.1	7.3	4.0	8.4	0.99	T	1.16
U	1.23	0.90	0.95	0.25	34	0.7	7.3	1284	2.3%	5.3	4.0	11.3	3.9	7.0	4.3	8.1	1.11	U	1.17
OS2	1.22	0.13	0.33	0.13	52	8	5.3	0	2.5%	5.6	0.0	5.3	5.0	8.9	0.8	3.6	0.16	OS2	0.40
OS3	0.34	0.13	0.33	0.13	40	8	4.3	0	2.5%	5.6	0.0	5.0	5.1	9.1	0.2	1.0	0.04	OS3	0.11

\* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.

Calculated by: ET  
Date: 5/26/2015  
Checked by: \_\_\_\_\_





# **TRAILS AT FOREST MEADOWS FILING NO. 4 FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT**


**AMENDMENT TO:  
MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN UPDATE FOR WOODMEN HEIGHTS  
AND FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR FOREST MEADOWS FILING NO.1 AND NO.4**

April 2016

Prepared for:

Challenger Homes, Inc.  
13530 Northgate Estates Drive, Suite 200  
Colorado Springs, CO 80921  
(719) 598-5192

Prepared by:



**CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.**  
20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 110  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903  
(719) 955-5485

Project #08-032

**Filing No.3 - Basins \*\*OS2, \*\*P, \*\*Q** are located to the northeast of the subject site, in the north portions of the Filing 3 site. The flows from these basins were previously analyzed as part of "The Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 3 Preliminary/Final Drainage Report" (TRM 3 FDR). Runoff produced within Basins \*\*OS2 (0.8 cfs/3.6 cfs), \*\*P (4.2 cfs/8.8 cfs), and \*\*Q (6.1 cfs/12.8 cfs) flows have been accounted for and are included in this Final Drainage Report.

**Basin V** is located in the northerly portion of the site, north of Vanderwood Road, and contains 2.48 acres of single family residential lots and streets. Basin V has proposed design flows of 3.2 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 7.6 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin V will flow, overland via side lot swales, to the curb and gutter of proposed Vanderwood Road. These flows will combine with flows from Basin \*\*Q and be conveyed west via curb and gutter to Design Point 11 (11.0 cfs/25.2 cfs), a proposed 8' D-10-R inlet in a sump condition. Design Point 11 (11.0 cfs/25.2 cfs) cumulative flows include Basin X. The inlet at Design Point 11 has been sized to accept flows in the developed condition. Collected flows from Design Point 11 will be conveyed in a 48" RCP (Pipe 2) to Design Point 12, a proposed 10' D-10-R inlet in the sump condition. In the event of clogging or total inlet failure, flows from Design Point 11 will overtop crown/curb and flow southeast over Tract I to a temporary sediment basin located in the Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 1.

**Basin W** is located in the northerly portion of the site, south of Vanderwood Road, and contains 2.2 acres of single family residential lots and streets. Basin W has proposed design flows of 3.1 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 7.1 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin W will flow, overland via side lot swales, to the curb and gutter of proposed Vanderwood Road. These flows will combine with flows from Basin \*\*P and be conveyed west via curb and gutter to Design Point 12 (7.5 cfs/16.8 cfs), a proposed 10' D-10-R inlet in a sump condition. Design Point 12 (7.5 cfs/16.8 cfs) cumulative flows include Basin Y. The inlet at Design Point 12 has been sized to accept flows in the developed condition. Collected flows from Design Point 12 will be conveyed in an existing 48" RCP (Pipe 3). In the event of clogging or total inlet failure, flows from Design Point 12 will overtop curb and flow southeast over Tract I to a temporary sediment basin located in the Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 1.

**Basin X** is located in the northerly portion of the site, north of Vanderwood Road, and contains 2.03 acres of single family residential lots and streets. Basin X has proposed design flows of 2.7 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 6.4 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin X will flow, overland via side lot swales, to the curb and gutter of proposed Vanderwood Road. These flows will be conveyed east via curb and gutter and be combined with flows from Basin \*\*Q and Basin V to Design Point 11 (11.0 cfs/25.2 cfs), a proposed 8' D-10-R inlet in a sump condition. The inlet at Design Point 11 has been sized to accept flows in the developed condition. Collected flows from Design Point 11 will be conveyed in a 48" RCP (Pipe 2) to Design Point 12, a proposed 10' D-10-R inlet in the sump condition.. In the event of clogging or total inlet failure, flows from Design Point 11 will overtop crown/curb and flow southeast over Tract I to a temporary sediment basin located in the Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 1.

**Basin Y** is located in the northerly portion of the site, south of Vanderwood Road, and contains 0.78 acres of single family residential lots and streets. Basin Y has proposed design flows of 1.2 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 2.8 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin Y will flow, overland via side lot swales, to the curb and gutter of proposed Vanderwood Road. These flows will be conveyed east via curb and gutter and be combined with flows from Basin \*\*P and Basin W to Design Point 12 (7.5 cfs/16.8 cfs), a proposed 10' D-10-R inlet in a sump condition. The inlet at Design Point 12 has been sized to accept flows in the developed condition. Collected flows from Design Point 12 will be conveyed in an existing 48" RCP (Pipe 3). In the event of clogging or total inlet failure, flows from Design Point 12 will overtop curb and flow southeast over Tract I to a temporary sediment basin located in the Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 1.

**Basin Z** is located in the northerly portion of the site, south of Leaf Wood Court, and contains 1.2 acres of



(30.5 cfs/63.9 cfs). Hence flows to this Design Point are less and will not adversely affect the existing subdivision or storm infrastructure.

**Basin OS4** is located north of the site and contains 0.83 acres of offsite undeveloped land. In the interim, Basin OS4 has existing design flows of 0.4 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 1.8 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin OS4 will sheet flow overland to a proposed swale/berm along the north property line. These flows will be conveyed west and combine with flows from Basins \*\*OS2 (0.8 cfs/3.6 cfs) to Design Point 10 (1.0 cfs/4.7 cfs). These flows will be routed to a riprap lined depression and a 48" PP storm sewer with FES (Pipe Run 1). Pipe 1, 2 and 3 have been sized to accept these developed flows and do not exceed the pipe design flows in the Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 2 Final Drainage Report. In the event of clogging and/or failure, an overflow route will be graded in between lots 39 and 40 to design point 11 and will be limited to historic flows (Q100=84 cfs). *Any increase in flows due to future development of Basin OS1 MDDP will require the construction of a proposed detention facility*, as per the Sand Creek DBPS. Upon development of Basin OS1 the riprap depression will be filled in and the storm sewer system will be routed to the north to collect the developed flows.

**Basin OS5** is located to the north of the site and contains 4.46 acres of offsite undeveloped land. In the interim, Basin OS5 has existing design flows of 2.1 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 9.0 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin OS5 will sheet flow overland to a proposed swale/berm along the north property line and existing Black Forest Road. These flows will be conveyed south and combine with flows from Basins DD and OS6 to Design Point 17 (4.2 cfs/14.5 cfs). These flows do not exceed the 100 year flows at Design Point EX1 (3.7 cfs/16.7 cfs), see Existing Drainage Plan DP-1. *Any increase in flows due to future development of Basin OS5 will require the construction of a proposed detention facility.*

**Basin OS6** is located to the north of the site and contains 0.45 acres of offsite undeveloped land. In the interim, Basin OS6 has existing design flows of 0.1 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 0.6 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year). Runoff from Basin OS6 will sheet flow overland to a proposed swale/berm along the north property line and existing Black Forest Road. These flows will be conveyed south and combine with flows from Basins DD and OS5 to Design Point 17 (4.2 cfs/14.5 cfs). These flows do not exceed the 100 year flows at Design Point EX1 (3.7 cfs/16.7 cfs), see Existing Drainage Plan DP-1. Basin OS6 (see Existing Drainage Plan DP-1) is tributary to the Cottonwood Creek Basin. In the interim, conveyance of flows from OS6 will be tributary to the Sand Creek Basin. *Upon future development of Basin OS6 all runoff will required to be routed to the Cottonwood Creek Basin.*

**Basin OS1 MDDP** is located off-site, in the northerly portion of the site and contains 78.0 acres of undeveloped land. Basin OS1 is composed of Basins OS2, OS4, OS5 and OS6. Upon development of Basin OS1, flows of 34.4 cfs for the minor storm event (5-Year) and 84.1 cfs for the major storm event (100-Year) will be routed, via a storm sewer, to the proposed 48" RCP (Pipe Run 1(34.4 cfs/84.1 cfs)) storm sewer within the property site. Pipe Run 1 will route flows to and combine with flows at Pipe Run 2 (41.3 cfs/100.0 cfs), a proposed 48" RCP storm sewer. Pipe Run 2 will route flows to and combine with flows at Pipe Run 3 (45.8 cfs/110.0 cfs), an existing 48" RCP storm sewer. These flows do not exceed the flows designed for the north future filings (48.8 cfs/118.2 cfs), as noted in the Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 2 report. Basin OS1 will be conveyed through and combined with the flows of Trails at Forest Meadows Filings to Sand Creek Regional Detention Facility No. 6. See the Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No.2 for historic drainage map and calculations. Any increase in flows due to future development of Basin OS1 will require the construction of a proposed detention facility, as per the Sand Creek DBPS.

## **EROSION CONTROL**

It is the policy of the City of Colorado Springs that we submit an erosion control plan with the drainage report. At this time we respectfully request that the erosion control plan be submitted in conjunction with the final grading plan. Proposed straw bale check dams, silt fence, vehicle traffic control, and reseeded are proposed as erosion control measures. The proposed 90 single family lots will not adversely impact the existing surrounding residential infrastructure. The proposed BMP's in the plan and report shall be installed and maintained to accomplish this task.

# TRAILS AT FOREST MEADOWS FILING NO. 4

## PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT

### (Area Drainage Summary)

From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				of Travel	INTENSITY *		TOTAL FLOWS		#REF!	#REF!	
BASIN	AREA TOTAL (Acres)	C <sub>s</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	C <sub>s</sub>	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	T <sub>c</sub> (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	T <sub>t</sub> (min)	TOTAL (min)	I <sub>s</sub> (in/hr)	I <sub>100</sub> (in/hr)	Q <sub>s</sub> (c.f.s.)	Q <sub>100</sub> (c.f.s.)	CA <sub>5</sub>	Basin	CA <sub>100</sub>
<b>Existing Area Drainage Summary</b>																			
#OS1 Historic	78	0.24	0.33									47.6			34.4	84.1	18.72	#OS1 Historic	25.74
**OS2	1.22	0.13	0.33									5.3			0.8	3.6	0.16	**OS2	0.40
OS4	0.83	0.13	0.33	0.13	48	12	4.5	950	1.3%	2.3	7.0	11.4	3.9	6.6	0.4	1.8	0.11	OS4	0.27
OS5	4.46	0.13	0.33	0.13	64	12	5.7	1138	1.9%	2.3	8.3	14.0	3.6	6.1	2.1	9.0	0.58	OS5	1.47
OS6	0.45	0.13	0.33	0.13	200	2	28.1	232	4.3%	3.0	1.3	29.4	2.5	4.2	0.1	0.6	0.06	OS6	0.15
EX5	6.47	0.13	0.33	0.13	26	2	5.2	1545	1.3%	0.8	34.0	39.1	2.1	3.5	1.8	7.5	0.84	EX5	2.14
EX6	0.38	0.13	0.33	0.13	95	1	19.0	91	11.0%	1.1	1.4	20.5	3.1	5.1	0.2	0.6	0.05	EX6	0.13
EX7	0.72	0.13	0.33	0.13	127	2	19.3	215	1.9%	0.8	4.7	24.0	2.8	4.7	0.3	1.1	0.09	EX7	0.24
EX8	9.1	0.13	0.33	0.13	128	4	15.4	530	2.6%	0.8	11.6	27.1	2.6	4.4	3.1	13.3	1.18	EX8	3.00
EX9	6.3	0.13	0.33	0.13	165	7	15.8	1017	2.1%	0.8	22.4	38.2	2.1	3.6	1.7	7.4	0.82	EX9	2.08
EX10	4.9	0.13	0.33	0.13	216	4	23.8	382	2.1%	0.8	8.4	32.2	2.4	4.0	1.5	6.4	0.64	EX10	1.62
EX11	6.8	0.13	0.33	0.13	204	4	22.7	1310	2.0%	0.8	28.8	51.5	1.7	2.8	1.5	6.3	0.88	EX11	2.24
**P	2.14	0.57	0.67									15.2			4.2	8.8	1.22	**P	1.43
**Q	3.66	0.57	0.67									21.1			6.1	12.8	2.09	**Q	2.45
#B	2.89	0.57	0.67									11.4			6.4	13.4	1.65	#B	1.94
#D	1.36	0.58	0.68									9.2			3.3	7.0	0.79	#D	0.92
#F	1.28	0.58	0.68									10.2			3.0	6.3	0.74	#F	0.87
#H	1.32	0.58	0.68									10.2			3.1	6.5	0.77	#H	0.90
#J	1.37	0.58	0.68									10.1			3.2	6.8	0.79	#J	0.93
#L	1.46	0.57	0.67									10.3			3.4	7.6	0.83	#L	0.98
#O	1.83	0.56	0.68									10.7			3.6	7.6	0.91	#O	1.08
<b>Proposed Area Drainage Summary</b>																			
V	2.48	0.38	0.54	0.38	139	2.78	73.1	604	1.5%	3.0	3.3	16.4	3.4	5.7	3.2	7.6	0.94	V	1.34
W	2.2	0.40	0.54	0.40	112	2.24	11.2	604	1.5%	3.0	3.3	14.6	3.6	6.0	3.1	7.1	0.88	W	1.19
X	2.03	0.38	0.53	0.38	128	2.56	12.5	427	1.3%	3.0	2.3	14.8	3.5	5.9	2.7	6.3	0.77	X	1.07
Y	0.78	0.43	0.57	0.43	115	2.3	10.9	427	1.3%	3.0	2.3	13.3	3.7	6.2	1.2	2.8	0.34	Y	0.44
Z	0.63	0.40	0.54	0.40	56	2.2	6.4	0	1.3%	2.3	0.0	6.4	4.8	8.1	1.2	2.7	0.25	Z	0.34
AA	4.7	0.43	0.57	0.43	111	2.2	10.8	732	2.0%	3.0	4.0	14.8	3.5	5.9	7.2	15.9	2.02	AA	2.68
BB	1.56	0.43	0.57	0.43	107	2.1	10.6	732	2.0%	3.0	4.0	14.6	3.6	6.0	2.4	5.3	0.67	BB	0.89
CC	3.12	0.43	0.57	0.43	118	2.36	11.1	261	4.0%	3.0	1.4	12.5	3.8	6.4	5.1	11.3	1.34	CC	1.78
DD	1.61	0.40	0.54	0.40	83	4.9	6.8	590	1.1%	2.3	4.3	11.1	4.0	6.7	2.6	5.8	0.64	DD	0.87
EE	1.29	0.40	0.54	0.40	68	2.7	7.0	111	2.0%	2.3	0.8	7.9	4.5	7.6	2.3	5.3	0.52	EE	0.70

\* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.

\*\* Data from Trails at Forest Meadows Filing No. 3 Final Drainage Report (TFM 3 FDR).




# Data from Master Development Drainage Plan Update for Woodmen Heights and Final Drainage Report for Forest Meadows Filing No.1 & No.4 (MDDP)

Calculated by: ET

Date: 12/9/2015

Checked by: VAS






BASIN SUMMARY			
BASIN	AREA (ACRES)	Q5	Q100
V	2.48	3.2	7.6
W	2.20	3.1	7.1
X	2.03	2.7	6.4
Y	0.78	1.2	2.8
Z	0.63	1.2	2.7
AA	4.70	7.2	15.9
BB	1.56	2.4	5.3
CC	3.12	5.1	11.3
DD	1.61	2.6	5.8
EE	1.29	2.3	5.3
OS4	0.83	0.4	1.8
OS5	4.46	2.1	9.0
OS6	0.45	0.1	0.6
#OS1	78.0	34.4	84.1
**OS2	1.22	0.8	3.6
**P	2.14	4.2	8.8
**Q	3.66	6.1	12.8
#B	2.89	6.4	13.4
#D	1.36	3.3	7.0
#F	1.28	3.0	6.3
#H	1.32	3.1	6.5
#J	1.37	3.2	6.8
#L	1.46	3.4	7.0
#O	1.63	3.6	7.6

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY			
DESIGN POINT	Q5	Q100	BASIN
**DP9 NORTH	6.1	12.8	**DP9Q
**DP9 SOUTH	3.6	7.5	**DP9P
10	1.0	4.7	OS4,**OS2
11	11.0	25.2	**DP9Q,V,X
12	7.5	16.8	**DP9Q,W,Y
11-12	18.1	41.1	**DP9Q,**DP9P,V,X,W,Y
14	9.4	22.1	AA,BB
15	2.3	5.3	EE
#1&#1A	22.9	50.7	AA,BB,EE,#B,#D,#F,#H
16	5.0	11.9	CC
#2 WEST	12.9	28.2	CC,#J,#L,#O
17	4.2	14.5	OS5,OS6,DD

STORM SEWER SUMMARY			
PIPE RUN	Q5	Q100	PIPE SIZE
1	34.4	84.1	48" PP
2	41.3	100.0	48" PP
3	45.8	110.0	EX 48" RCP



FOR LOCATING & MARKING GAS, ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES

FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION 48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG CALL 1-800-922-1987



20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 110  
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903  
PHONE: 719.955.5485

TRAILS AT FOREST MEADOWS FILING NO. 4				
PROPOSED DRAINAGE PLAN				
PROJECT NO. 08-032	FILE: \dwg\Eng Exhibits\DP2.dwg	SCALE	DATE: 3/28/16	
DESIGNED BY: ET	HORIZ: 1"=100'	VERT: N/A		
DRAWN BY: BB				
CHECKED BY: ET				
			SHEET 2 OF 2	DP2



# COTTONWOOD CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY

FINAL REPORT  
JULY 2019



Prepared for:




Department of Public Works  
Water Resources Engineering

City of Colorado Springs  
30 S. Nevada Ave  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Prepared by:









FILE: G:\gis\_projects\Cottonwood\_Creek\_DBPS\_2017\activeapps\DBPS\_Report\Figure\_2\_4\_CottonwoodCreek\_Wetlands\_20171221.mxd, 12/21/2017, jpl\_cjnts






FILE: G:\a\_projects\Cottonwood\_Creek\_DBPS\_2017\workspace\DBPS\_Report\Figure\_2-6\_CottonwoodCreek\_Wildlife\_and\_Mig\_Corridors\_2019\_07\_01\_DAP.mxd, 7/12/2019, Drew\_Philips











FILE: G:\a\_projects\Cottonwood\_Creek\_DBPS\_2017\active\app\DBPS\_Report\Figure\_2\_9\_CottonwoodCreek\_Erosion\_Issues\_20190503.mxd, 5/30/2019, Drew Phillips










**FIGURE 3-12**  
**HYDROLOGIC MODEL ELEMENTS**  
**COTTONWOOD CREEK &**  
**SOUTH PINE CREEK DBPS**  
**COLORADO SPRINGS, CO**

0 1,000 2,000 3,000 Feet

**Matrix**  
DESIGN GROUP

**COLORADO**  
SPRINGS





# LEGEND

- City Boundary
- Fee Basin Outside DBPS
- Hydrologic Basin Boundary
- Local Erosion Issue
- Existing Crossing Deficiency
- Future Crossing Deficiency
- Storm Main (Size)
- Major Reach
- Reach Erosion Issue
- Existing Reach Capacity Deficiency
- SCAP Identified Poor Condition Infrastructure
- Grade Control Structures
- Channel Banks
- Channel

\* Information that became available after data procurement was complete was not incorporated.

**FIGURE 4-7  
DEFICIENCIES MAP  
COTTONWOOD CREEK & SOUTH PINE CREEK DBPS  
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO**

0 1,000 2,000 3,000 Feet



NOTE: FIGURE MUST BE VIEWED IN COLOR



# **SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY FINAL REPORT JANUARY 2021**



Prepared for:

Prepared by:





## Basin Characteristics and Environmental Resources





Hydrology




Figure 3-15. Future Land Use MapFuture Condition Model Results



## **Appendix E**

### **Maps**



# SCHIMDT PARCEL

## EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP



BASIN SUMMARY TABLE							
Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	C <sub>s</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Q <sub>s</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
EX1	15.60	2%	0.08	0.35	35.6	2.8	20.4
EX2	22.90	2%	0.08	0.35	44.1	3.5	25.6
EX3	2.50	2%	0.08	0.35	40.6	0.4	3.0
EX4	33.10	2%	0.08	0.35	28.9	6.7	49.4
EX5	8.00	2%	0.08	0.35	20.7	1.9	14.3
EX6	3.40	2%	0.08	0.35	20.2	0.8	6.1
EX7	2.90	2%	0.08	0.35	17.8	0.8	5.6
EX8	6.40	2%	0.08	0.35	31.4	1.2	9.1
EX9	2.40	2%	0.08	0.35	6.5	0.9	6.7
OS1	0.61	2%	0.08	0.35	8.3	0.2	1.6
OS2	0.22	2%	0.08	0.35	8.9	0.1	0.6

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE		
DP#	Q <sub>s</sub> -YR	Q <sub>100</sub> -YR
1	2.8	20.4
1.1	2.8	20.7
2	3.5	25.6
2.1	3.6	26.3
3	0.4	3.0
3.1	16.9	39.9
4	6.7	49.4
4.1	8.6	63.3
5	1.9	14.3
6	0.8	6.1
6.1	2.8	20.4
7	0.8	5.6
7.1	43.0	97.8
8	1.2	9.1
9	0.9	6.7
10	0.2	1.6
11	0.1	0.6
B4	39.1	89.8
14	19.0	44.2

### LEGEND:

- 6000 — EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- - - - - EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- - - - - DRAINAGE BASIN
- A B A = BASIN DESIGNATION B = AREA IN ACRES
- 1 DESIGN POINT
- EXISTING DRAINAGE ARROW


EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP  
SCHMIDT PARCEL  
JOB NO. 25188.13  
05/03/2022  
SHEET 1 OF 1



Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593  
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com



SCHMIDT PARCEL  
PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP



BASIN SUMMARY TABLE								
Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	C <sub>s</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Q <sub>s</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)	
A	11.70	2%	0.08	0.35	43.5	1.8	13.2	
B	22.00	4%	0.09	0.36	43.7	3.4	25.3	
C	4.00	2%	0.08	0.35	30.9	0.8	5.7	
D	2.60	2%	0.08	0.35	20.5	0.6	4.7	
E	1.60	2%	0.08	0.35	44.2	0.2	1.8	
F	36.60	3%	0.08	0.35	42.4	5.7	42.6	
G	4.30	2%	0.08	0.35	25.4	0.9	6.9	
H	10.20	2%	0.08	0.35	20.7	2.5	18.2	
I	3.40	2%	0.08	0.35	20.2	0.8	6.1	
J	2.90	2%	0.08	0.35	17.8	0.8	5.6	

Add OS14 & OS B4 to table Addressed

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE			
DPI#	Q <sub>s</sub> -YR	Q <sub>100</sub> -YR	
1	1.8	13.2	
2	3.4	25.3	
2.1	5.2	38.5	
3	0.8	5.7	
4	0.6	4.7	
5	0.2	1.8	
5.1	15.7	36.4	
6	5.7	42.6	
6.1	10.8	80.0	
7	0.9	6.9	
8	2.5	18.2	
9	0.8	6.1	
9.1	3.3	24.3	
10	0.8	5.6	
10.1	43.0	97.8	
B4	39.1	89.8	
14	19.0	44.2	

Addressed


Match linetype in plan

**LEGEND:**

6000 PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR  
6000 PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR  
6000 EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR  
6000 EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR  
DRAINAGE BASIN

A = BASIN DESIGNATION  
B = AREA IN ACRES  
C = 5-YR RUNOFF COEFFICIENT  
D = 100-YR RUNOFF COEFFICIENT

DESIGN POINT  
DRAINAGE ARROW  
EXISTING DRAINAGE ARROW



PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP  
SCHMIDT PARCEL  
JOB NO. 25188.13  
05/09/2022  
SHEET 1 OF 1




Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593  
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com




# ENG-CDR22007-R1-FDR.pdf Markup Summary

## ALandrum (16)




1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70
71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90
91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100


**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 38  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 4/25/2022 1:28:32 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Blue  
**Page Label:** 39  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 4/25/2022 1:36:52 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Blue  
**Page Label:** 39  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 4/25/2022 1:36:59 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Blue  
**Page Label:** 39  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 4/25/2022 1:37:19 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Blue  
**Page Label:** 39  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 4/25/2022 1:37:26 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70
71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90
91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100


**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 38  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 4/25/2022 1:38:22 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**






**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 50  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 5/10/2022 11:37:17 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**





**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 51  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 5/10/2022 11:38:51 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 52  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 5/10/2022 11:39:21 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 53  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 5/10/2022 11:40:18 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**







**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 55  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 5/10/2022 11:42:44 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 19  
**Author:** ALandrum  
**Date:** 5/2/2022 10:40:26 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


SITE




## CDurham (63)



C-values for Pasture/Meadow assume a 0% impervious. C-Values for 2% are 0.09 & 0.36 per Table 6-6



The computed Tc value needs to be use for existing conditions if it is higher than the Tc urbanized value as the areas are not yet urbanized.



**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 22 6.5  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/1/2022 4:55:37 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 22 8.3  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/1/2022 4:55:39 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 22 8.9  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/1/2022 4:55:41 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 22 Per note below, non-urban areas need to use a  
**Author:** CDurham minimum Tc value of 10 minutes  
**Date:** 6/1/2022 4:56:17 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 23 DP I4  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/1/2022 5:10:36 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 23 DP B4  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/1/2022 5:11:56 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

C-values for Pasture/Meadow assume a 0% impervious. C-Values for 2% are 0.09 & 0.36 per Table 6-6

The computed Tc value needs to be use for existing conditions if it is higher than the Tc urbanized value as the areas are not yet urbanized.

WQCV should have a 40-hour drain time


(B-1)

(B-2)

Include report for Swale C-C



continues

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 5  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:01:05 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Include what the flows and areas are for these 2 basins.

**Six-Sided Drainage**  
 conditions consists of five main basins and four offset basins. Values for Basin 0021 are from "Silver-Peach Subdivision Filing No. 1 Flood Drainage Report," by MVE Inc. (2006).

QF = 2.8 cfs; Q008 = 1.0 cfs; 10.4 acres of undeveloped land at the western portion basins from this basin drain to Silver-Peach right of way at EPI; Flows from Basin through Sluice 0021, and return the site at EPI 1. Flows contain outflowing drain of agricultural and follow existing drainage routes.

QF = 1.0 cfs; Q008 = 75.4 cfs at 15.7 acres of undeveloped land. Runoff from this basin is south, where it meets the bottom of an existing levee along the boundary and flows into the existing right at EPI. Flows enter the basin at EPI at Basin 001 and is to Sluice 0021 at EPI. Flows from Basin 1 continue to flow at EPI 1, where runoff is 0.4 cfs.

Page 3

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 5  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:02:48 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Is there an existing ditch which conveys these flows?

**Run#s**  $\text{EKL}(\text{EKL} = 0.4 \text{ s})$ ,  $\text{Q}100 = 1.0$  h/s is 2.0 m/s of underpinned level slopes partly linear. Run#s from this level then down steep slope of the existing beam to the base of the beam to DPH. **Run#s** enter and leave this basin along the southern & base GSEB flows are combined together at DPH & then flow west and south into Cuts

**Run#s**  $\text{EKL}(\text{EKL} = 6.7 \text{ s})$ ,  $\text{Q}100 = 48.4 \text{ cfs}$  is 33.1 acres of underpinned level that is existing flat that is approximately 31 miles in area and 15 feet deep. **Run#s** flow south and south-east to DPH. Flows enter the basin at DPH 2.1 and is routed to DPH, then either to **run#s** or infiltration over time


**Run#s**  $\text{EKL}(\text{EKL} = 1.7 \text{ s})$ ,  $\text{Q}100 = 14.7 \text{ cfs}$  is 8.9 acres of underpinned level that directly into Cattaraugus Creek. DPH flows from DPH and DPH combine at DPH, then to DPH 2.1 and continues to flow in Cattaraugus Creek to the southeast.

**Run#s**  $\text{EKL}(\text{EKL} = 0.4 \text{ s})$ ,  $\text{Q}100 = 6.4 \text{ cfs}$  is 3.4 acres of underpinned level that directly into Cattaraugus Creek at DPH. Flows from DPH and DPH combine at DPH 2.1 and continues to flow in Cattaraugus Creek to the southeast.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:06:17 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Currently, there is no outlet for the pit and

continues

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:07:19 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

continues

100 = 6.1 cfs  
ek at ~~DP6~~. Flo  
flow in Cotton

**Subject:** Line  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:08:03 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

ek DP5. Flows from DP5  
low in Cottonwood Creek  
100 = 6.1 cfs) is 3.4 acres  
k at DP6. Flows from DP  
low in Cottonwood Creek

delete

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:08:16 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

delete

Cottonwood Creek  
and counties to flo  
continues  
5 = 0.8, Q100 = 5

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:08:23 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

continues

0.40 acres of und  
inties to flow on  
4. continues

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:09:42 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

continues

Basin EX9 (Q5 = 0.9 )  
slope of the existing b  
enters the subdivision b  
Move offsite basins to the  
beginning of this section  
0.2 c  
south and enters the si  
through Basins EX2 an  
infiltrates.

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:12:04 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Move offsite basins to the beginning of this section

Basin and four offsite basins. Volume for Basins EX24  
from Filing No. 1 Final Drainage Report" by M.V.E. Inc.  
in 17.6 acres of undeveloped land at the property  
Volume Road Right-of-Way at DP1. Flows from Basin  
on the site at DP1. Flow continues west along  
ing drainage grade.  
a 22.9 acres of undeveloped land. Runoff from this basin  
from of an existing basin along the property boundary.  
Flow from the basin at DP10 flows into DP1 and is  
from DP1.5 continue to flow to DP1.1 where runoff

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 5  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:13:20 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Include total flows at all design points.

.7 acres of native and stabilized vegetat  
posed swale B1-B1. Flow for Basin A  
rough Basins B and F where flow is ub  
B1-B1  
.0 acres of native and stabilized vegetat  
posed swale B-B. Flow for Basin B  
F to the purposed sediment basin at DF

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 7  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:17:09 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


B1-B1

continues

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:22:36 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


continues

at south known as the  
eadows Filing No. 4  
nts in April 2016, as  
flows How are flows conveyed to  
d flow adjacent property, swale,  
pipes, sheetflow, etc.  
this b  
at drains to the west,  
at DP9.1 where flow

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:23:07 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


How are flows conveyed to adjacent property,  
swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

d that drains to the south  
nt property to the south  
d that  
e south  
How are flows conveyed to  
adjacent property, swale,  
pipes, sheetflow, etc.  
off from this basin flows  
from this basin is routed

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:23:26 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


How are flows conveyed to adjacent property,  
swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

that drains southwest to DP7.  
ugh the basin to DP7.1 where  
land  
d jaces  
How are flows conveyed to  
adjacent property, swale,  
pipes, sheetflow, etc.  
land that drains south down  
ss the southern boundary and

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:23:37 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


How are flows conveyed to adjacent property,  
swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

ottonwood Creek  
nd counties to flow  
continues  
3 cfs,  $Q_{100}=6.1$  cfs  
d Creek to DP9.1

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:24:18 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


continues

ottonwood Creek  
nd counties to flow  
continues  
1 J ( $Q_5=0.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100}=6.1$  cfs)

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:25:48 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

continues

DP9.1 where flow leaves the  
rains  
gh the  
How are flows conveyed to  
adjacent property, swale,  
pipes, sheetflow, etc.  
existing developed subdivision  
one taken from "Silver Ponds  
... ..

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:26:34 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

How are flows conveyed to adjacent property,  
swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.



no rain season no use cases,  
at DP6.1 Flow leaves the

drain How are flows conveyed to  
the b adjacent property, swale,  
pipes, sheetflow, etc.

ad that drains to the south  
est property to the south

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:26:46 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

How are flows conveyed to adjacent property,  
swale, pipes, sheetflow, etc.

Civil Consultants in April 2016, as  
100 acres with flows of QP 2.1 cfs,  
1 with required flows for the 1000  
required from this basin.

used last that drain to this basin.  
DP9 number as DP9.1. Include that DP9.1 also includes  
emergency spillway flows from  
sediment basin.

and that drain to the south  
est property to the south

but drain southward to DP9.1. OS  
through the basin to DP9.1. 1000

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:30:24 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Include that DP9.1 also includes emergency  
spillway flows from sed basin.



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 32  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:32:07 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

If there's no pipe, what are the orifice holes  
working with to release flows?

ment sediment basin. The proposed sediment  
Basin District guidelines. For this preliminary  
be Proposed Drainage Conditions section of this  
are shown within the Proposed Drainage Map  
1 on the proposed sediment basin and noted  
A.C. Include discussion on how flows are  
being released and where they go.

Page | 7

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 10  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:39:50 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Include discussion on how flows are being  
released and where they go.

-2 and SF-3 forms were used to determine the runoff  
and the USFCD MBD-Detention v4.05 spreadsheet  
ment basin. Hydraulic way used to model swale cap  
IGN. Include discussion on swales and  
if they meet county criteria.  
Temporary or permanent?

ADVERSE IMPACTS OF URBANIZATION  
ny Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2, this site

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 9  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:41:55 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Include discussion on swales and if they meet  
county criteria. Temporary or permanent?

the the runoff from  
OS spreadsheet use  
sediment capacity

Include discussion on channel and what, if any,  
are changes to released flows. Note that flows  
being released are channel and not  
undiscovered and should be not changing  
where/how they are releasing. Should be no  
changes to WS elevations within channel  
based on proposed site grading. Does flow  
from pond give an overall increase into flows  
into the pond?

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 9  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 10:41:57 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Include discussion on channel and what, if any, are  
changes to released flows. Note that flows being  
released into channel are still undeveloped and  
should be not changing where/how they are  
releasing. Should be no changes to WS elevations  
within channel based on proposed site grading.  
Does flow from pond give an overall increase into  
flows into pond?



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:41:28 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Label 100 year floodplain. Include BFE's


G	4.30	2%	0.08
H	10.20	2%	0.08
I	3.40	2%	0.08
J	2.90	2%	0.08

Add OS14 & OS B4 to table

DESIGN POINT SU	
DPS	Q <sub>su</sub>


**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:42:27 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Add OS14 & OS B4 to table



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:43:01 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Delete this drainage arrow




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:43:48 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Include linetypes to legend




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:44:24 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Label spillway




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:44:46 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show & label outlet




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:45:09 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Label proposed temporary sedimentation pond




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:46:01 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Match linetype in plan




**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:46:37 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Include spillway section



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 62  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:46:55 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Label access road & provide detail



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 61  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:49:21 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Match linetype in plan

107	2.50	2%	0.05	0.35
108	4.00	2%	0.05	0.35
109	2.00	2%	0.05	0.35
110	0.00	2%	0.05	0.35
111	0.00	2%	0.05	0.35

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 61  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:49:40 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**    
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Add OS14 & OSB4 to table



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 61  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:50:15 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show & label floodplain. Include BFE's



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 61  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:50:42 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Highland Park Filing No. 2



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 61  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:51:18 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Vacant Land  
Owner: SR Land, LLC



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 61  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:52:15 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


- Show boundary between Sand Creek &  
Cottonwood Creek Drainage basins  
  
- Show & label property boundary

SP-XX-XX

CDR-22-007

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:54:14 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

CDR-22-007



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:54:59 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Revise to:

Joshua Palmer, P.E.  
Interim County Engineer/ ECM Administrator

AL LOCATION  
this Parcel (hereby referred to as the "site") is a  
ately 98 acres.  
97 per letter of intent  
located in the southwest quarter of Section 32,  
scipal Meridian in the County of El Paso, State  
est Road and Vollmer Road. The site is bounded  
he south, by Silver Pond subdivision and Hold  
ed as the West end of Vollmer Road to the East

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 4  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 9:55:56 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

97 per letter of intent

## Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater (7)

Is it supposed to be  
Prelim or Final? EDARP  
says Final. Revise as  
needed.  
PRELIMINARY  
SCHMIDT PARCEL

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 1  
**Author:** Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater  
**Date:** 6/13/2022 5:19:12 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Is it supposed to be Prelim or Final? EDARP says Final. Revise as needed.

Is it supposed to be  
Prelim or Final? EDARP  
says Final. Revise as  
needed.

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 10  
**Author:** Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater  
**Date:** 6/13/2022 5:24:09 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Sediment basins are not meant to be permanent. They are only permitted for temporary conditions. Plan to and discuss a suitable permanent BMP like an EDB and include subsequent plans/details.

Is it supposed to be  
Prelim or Final? EDARP  
says Final. Revise as  
needed.

**Subject:** SW - Highlight  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater  
**Date:** 6/13/2022 5:35:49 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Flow from this basin is routed through Basin EX3 to DP3.1

3 = 44.2 cfs) is 27.16 acres of an existing developed subdrain  
a Filing 1 Values for this basin were taken from "Silver P  
image Report", by M.V.E. Inc. revised May 5<sup>th</sup> 1996. Round 1  
the site across the northern property line at DP14. Flow from  
to DP1, where flow enters Cottonwood Creek.  
Is it supposed to be Prelim or Final? EDARP says Final. Revise as needed.  
0 = 89.8 cfs) is 52.02 acres of an existing developed subdrain  
a Filing 1 Values for this basin were taken from "Silver P  
image Report", by M.V.E. Inc. revised May 5<sup>th</sup> 1996. Round 1  
he site across the northern property line at DP14. Flow from  
to DP1, where flow enters the adjacent property.

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater  
**Date:** 6/13/2022 5:38:23 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Update to reflect Proposed Conditions

cfs) is 27.16 acres of an existing developed  
1.Values for this basin were taken from "Si  
port", by M.V.E. Inc. revised May 5<sup>th</sup> 1996. R  
cross the northern property line at DP14. Flow  
where flow enters Cottonwood Creek.

**Subject:** SW - Highlight  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater  
**Date:** 6/13/2022 5:39:10 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


flow enters Cottonwood Creek.





**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater  
**Date:** 6/13/2022 5:41:51 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


Discuss that these proposed conditions reflect the existing conditions (ie: no significant change in flows since the offsite flows were already concentrated towards Cottonwood Creek in the existing condition.




**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 5  
**Author:** Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater  
**Date:** 6/7/2022 2:58:54 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

specify that this is the City's DBPS.

## REMOTE06 (13)



**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 56  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 4:05:28 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 45  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:14:23 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 46  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:15:11 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 47  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:17:20 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**




**Subject:** Pencil  
**Page Label:** 48  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:18:12 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Pencil  
**Page Label:** 48  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:18:26 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Pencil  
**Page Label:** 43  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:22:24 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**



**Subject:** Pencil  
**Page Label:** 43  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:22:29 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
4	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
5	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
7	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
8	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
9	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 43  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:23:05 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
4	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
5	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
7	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
8	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
9	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

**Subject:** Rectangle  
**Page Label:** 41  
**Author:** REMOTE06  
**Date:** 5/9/2022 5:23:41 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

