

Planning and Community Development Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910 Phone: 719.520.6300

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Updated: 6/26/2019

Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

PRO.	JECT	INFOR	MATION

Engineer's Seal, Signature And Date of Signature

TROOLOT IN ORMA	(HOI	
Project Name :	RETREAT AT TIMBERRIDGE FILING NO. 2	
Schedule No.(s):	52273-00-001, 52272-00-001, 52280-00-032, 52270-00	0-001
Legal Description :	SEE ATTACHED	
APPLICANT INFOR	MATION	
Company :	TIMBERRIDGE DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC	
Name :		
Mailing Address:	2138 FLYING HORSE CLUB DR.	
	COLORADO SRINGS, CO 80921	
Phone Number:	719-592-9333	
FAX Number:		
Email Address :	LMORELAND@CLASSICHOMES.COM	
ENGINEER INFORM	ATION	
Company :	CLASSIC CONSULTING	
Name :	MARC A. WHORTON, P.E.	Colorado P.E. Number: 37155
Mailing Address:	719 N. CASCADE AVE., SUITE 200	WHITE OF BEALTH
	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903	HITCH AND WAS
Phone Number :	719-785-2802	37155 S. O.
FAX Number :		
Email Address :	MWHORTON@CLASSICCONSULTING.NET	37155
		THE SONAL ENGINEER
	IT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION	and as supplementation is true factual
	owledge, the information on this application and all addition fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information	
have familiarized my	self with the rules, regulations and procedures with respe	ect to preparing and filing this application. I also
understand that an ir	ncorrect submittal will be cause to have the project remov	red from the agenda of the Planning Commission,
this application is has	nmissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review of sed/on the/representations made in the application and m	until corrections are made, and that any approval of
condition(s) of appro	val.	lay be revoked on any broading or representation of
$\sim 1/\sqrt{1}$	U X VP	21.1
19.00		5/18/2022
pignature of owner (or authorized representative)	Date

Page 1 of 6

L

PCD File No. _____

DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:	
MAXIMUM VELOCITY IN STORM SEWER SHALL BE 18 FPS.	
State the reason for the requested deviation: AN ISOLATED PORTION OF THE PROPOSED STORM SEWER SYSTEM HAS A VELOCITY THAT EXCEEDS 18 FPS.	
THE SLOPE OF THIS STRETCH OF THE ROADWAY IS 5.0% AND THUS CREATES THE HIGHER VELOCITY IN THE STORM	CVCTENA
WE HAVE MINIMIZED THE SLOPE OF THE STORM DESIGN TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE WITHOUT MAKING 1	
DEEP AND THEN AFFECTING OTHER UTILITIES AND FUTURE MAINTENANCE.	THE STOTE OF EXCESSIVEET
Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or	national standards used
as basis): THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT HAS BEEN ACCEPTED IN THE PAST FOR SIMILAR SITUATIONS IS TO SPECIFIY A H	HIGHER CLASS OF DIRE WI
HAVE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT HAS BEEN ACCEPTED IN THE PAST FOR SIMILAR SITUATIONS IS TO SPECIFIT A P HAVE PROPOSED THIS IN THIS CASE AND ARE SPECIFYING CLASS IV RCP FOR THIS PORTION OF THE STORM SYSTEM	
TAVE FROM OSED THIS IN THIS CASE AND ARE SEEDEN FING CEASE WRITE OR THIS FORTHOW OF THE STORMS TISTEM	1.

	At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)
	 □ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation. ☑ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. □ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.
	Provide justification: THIS PORTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT HAS A ROAD GRADE OF 5.0%. THE STORM SYSTEM DESIGN EVEN AT 4.09% SLOPE CREATES THE HIGHER VELOCITY.
C	CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL
3	Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include upporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria: The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.
	THE HIGHER CLASS PIPE PLANNED WILL CONTINUE TO ADEQUATELY CONVEY THE DEVELOPED FLOWS.
	The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. THE HIGHER CLASS PIPE DESIGN FURTHER PROTECTS THE STORM SYSTEM AND DOES NOT AFECT SAFETY OR OPERATIONS OF THE SYSTEM.

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.
THE PROPSOED DESIGN WILL NOT AFFECT MAINTENANCE OR ASSOCIATED COST.
The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.
The deviation will not devicely anost destricts appearance.
NO DIFFERENCE IN AESTHETICS AS THE PIPE IS BURIED.
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards. THE PROPOSED UPGRADE IN PIPE CLASS SEEMS TO MEET THE INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE ECM STDS.
THE PROPOSED UPGRADE IN PIPE CLASS SEEMS TO MEET THE INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE ECM STDS.
THE PROPOSED UPGRADE IN PIPE CLASS SEEMS TO MEET THE INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE ECM STDS.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
THE PROPOSED UPGRADE IN PIPE CLASS SEEMS TO MEET THE INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE ECM STDS.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator		
This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. hereby granted based on the justification provided.	A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	T	
Denied by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. hereby denied.	A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	Т	
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:		

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
 on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
 available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
 modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
 the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

Page	6	of	6
------	---	----	---