

PAVEMENT DESIGN REPORT SADDLEHORN RANCH FILING 2 EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

Prepared for:

Gorilla Capital Colorado Saddlehorn Ranch, LLC 1345 High Street Eugene, OR 97401

Attn: John Helmick

February 15, 2024 Revised April 5, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.

Stuart Wood Geologist Reviewed by:

Joseph C. Goode Jr., P.E. President

SW:JCG/LLL

Entech Job No. 222258



Table of Contents

1	Introduction		1							
2	Project and Site Description									
3	Subsurface Exp	lorations and Laboratory Testing	1							
	3.1 Subsurface	Exploration Program	1							
		al Index and Engineering Property Testing								
4	Subsurface Con	ditions	2							
	4.1 Soil and Be	drock	3							
		er								
5	Pavement Desig	n Recommendations	3							
	5.1 Subgrade C	Conditions	3							
	•	ation								
	5.3 Traffic Load	ling	4							
	5.4 Pavement [Design	4							
6	Construction Re	commendations	5							
	6.1 Earthwork F	Recommendations for Pavement Subgrade	5							
		cavation								
	6.1.2 Subgra	de Preparation – Aggregate Base Course Alternatives	5							
	6.1.3 Fill Plac	cement and Compaction	6							
	6.2 Aggregate I	Base Course	6							
	6.3 Concrete D	egradation Due to Sulfate Attack	6							
	6.4 Constructio	n Observation	7							
7	Closure		7							

Figures

Figure 1: Vicinity Map

Figure 2: Site and Exploration Plan

Figure 3: Site and Exploration Plan (Curtis Road)

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Test Boring Logs Appendix B: Laboratory Test Results Appendix C: Pavement Design Calculations



1 Introduction

Entech Engineering, Inc. (Entech) completed a subsurface exploration program, laboratory testing, and pavement design recommendations for Saddlehorn Ranch, Filing No. 2, in eastern El Paso County, Colorado. This report describes the subsurface exploration program conducted for the proposed roadway improvements and provides pavement section alternatives and construction recommendations. Entech participated in this project as a subconsultant to Gorilla Capital Colorado Saddlehorn Ranch, LLC. The contents of this report, including the pavement design recommendations, are subject to the limitations and assumptions presented in Section 7.

2 Project and Site Description

The proposed improvements include sections of Benito Wells Trail, Del Cerro Trail, El Raiceno Trail, and Estacado Place Cul-de-Sac in the Saddlehorn Ranch, Filing No. 2, Subdivision (Figure 1). Improvements will also include widening of approximately 2,100 feet on the east shoulder of Curtis Road with new acceleration and deceleration lanes from the filing north and south of Benito Wells Trail. The extent of the improvements will include a 2-inch mill and overlay to tie in with the existing roadway at Curtis Road.

The existing topography includes rolling hills, vacant pasture land, and land being developed for future residential lots. The roadways in the filing have been rough-graded, and Curtis Road is currently a 2-lane roadway paved with asphalt and is without curbs and gutters. Curtis Road will be designated as an urban principal arterial, and the roadways within the filing designated as rural local roads.

3 Subsurface Explorations and Laboratory Testing

3.1 Subsurface Exploration Program

Subsurface conditions at the project site were explored by 23 test borings, designated TB-1 through TB-23, drilled on December 26 and 27, 2023. Five of the borings (TB-19 through TB-23) were drilled along the east shoulder of Curtis Road. The locations of the test borings are shown on the Site and Exploration Plans (Figures 2 and 3). The borings were drilled to depths of 5 to 10 feet below the existing ground surface (bgs). The drilling was performed using a truck-mounted, continuous flight auger drill rig supplied and operated by Entech. Descriptive boring logs providing



the lithologies of the subsurface conditions encountered during drilling are presented in Appendix A. Groundwater levels were measured in each open borehole at the conclusion of drilling.

Soil and bedrock samples were obtained from the borings utilizing the Standard Penetration Test (ASTM D1586) using a split-barrel California sampler. Results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) are included on the boring logs in terms of N-values expressed in blows per foot (bpf). Soil and bedrock samples recovered from the borings were visually classified and recorded on the boring logs. The soil classifications were later verified utilizing laboratory testing and grouped by soil type. The soil type numbers are included on the boring logs. It should be understood that the soil descriptions shown on the boring logs may vary between boring locations and sample depths. It should also be noted that the lines of stratigraphic separation shown on the boring logs represent approximate boundaries between soil types and the actual stratigraphic transitions may be more gradual or variable with location.

3.2 Geotechnical Index and Engineering Property Testing

Water content testing (ASTM D2216) was performed on the samples recovered from the borings, and the results are shown on the boring logs. Grain-Size Analysis (ASTM D422) and Atterberg Limits testing (ASTM D4318) were performed on selected samples to assist in classifying the materials encountered in the borings.

For pavement design, a modified proctor (ASTM D1557) and California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test (ASTM D1883) were completed. Soluble sulfate testing was performed on select soil samples to evaluate the potential for below-grade degradation of concrete due to sulfate attack. The laboratory testing results are presented in Appendix B and summarized in Table B-1.

4 Subsurface Conditions

Two primary soil types were encountered in the test borings drilled for the subsurface investigation. Each soil type was classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) soil classification system using the laboratory testing results and the observations made during drilling.



4.1 Soil and Bedrock

Subsurface conditions along the proposed roadways consisted of native loose to dense silty sand to sand with silt to slightly sand (Soil Type 1), and native hard slightly sandy clay to clay with sand (Soil Type 2). Soil types and corresponding AASHTO soil classifications are listed as follows:

Soil Type 1: A-2-4, A-1-b, and A-4

• Soil Type 2: A-7-6

Laboratory test results are presented in Appendix B and are summarized in Table B-1.

4.2 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered in ten of the test borings at depths between 3 and 9 feet bgs. Groundwater fluctuations are possible and will depend on seasonal variations, local precipitation, runoff, and other factors. Shallow groundwater may create unstable conditions on portions of the site and stabilization using shotrock and/or geofabrics may be required.

5 Pavement Design Recommendations

Pavement design recommendations were made in accordance with the *El Paso County Pavement Engineering Criteria Manual*.

5.1 Subgrade Conditions

California Bearing Ratio (CBR) testing was performed on a representative sample of the subgrade silty sand (Soil Type 1) from TB-1 to determine the support characteristic of the subgrade soils for the roadway section. The results of the CBR testing are presented in Appendix B and summarized in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1: Subsurface Laboratory Testing Summary

Design Parameter	Value
Soil Type	1 - Silty Sand
CBR at 95%	11.88
Design CBR	10
Liquid Limit	NV
Plasticity Index	NP
Percent Passing 200	27.9
AASHTO Classification	A-2-4
Unified Soils Classification	SM



5.2 Swell Mitigation

El Paso County requires swell mitigation of expansive soils criteria for soils with swell testing results greater than 2% under a 150 pounds per square foot (psf) surcharge. Based on the granular nature of the site materials encountered, mitigation for expansive soils is not required. Localized areas of higher clay contents such as the A-7-6 material encountered in boring TB-18 may be encountered during subgrade preparation. Overexcavation of cohesive soils is recommended to provide proper subgrade support as discussed in Section 6.1.1.

5.3 Traffic Loading

Traffic data is not available for the Curtis Road widening alignment; however, after improvements the east half of the roadway will be classified as a rural principal arterial. The *El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual* provides default 18-kip equivalent single axle loading (ESAL) based street classifications. For design, a default ESAL value of 2,628,000 was used for the rural principal arterial designation (Curtis Road), and a default ESAL value of 36,500 was used for the interior roads designated as rural local roadways.

5.4 Pavement Design

The pavement sections were determined utilizing the *El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual*, the CBR testing, and default ESAL values. Design parameters used in the pavement analysis are presented in Exhibit 2.

Exhibit 2: Pavement Design Parameters

Design Parameter	Value
Reliability	
Principal Arterial	85%
Rural Local	75%
Standard Deviation	0.44
Serviceability Loss (∆ psi)	
Principal Arterial	2.5
Rural Local	2.0
Design CBR	10.0
Resilient Modulus	15,000 psi
Structural Coefficients	
Hot Mix Asphalt	0.44
Aggregate Base Course	0.11

Pavement sections recommended for roads are summarized in Exhibit 3. The pavement design calculations are presented in Appendix C.



Exhibit 3: Recommended Pavement Sections

Pavement Area	Roadway Designation	Design ESAL	Alternative ¹					
Interior Roads	Rural Local	36,500	1. 3.0 inches HMA over 4.0 inches ABC					
Curtis Road	Rural Principal Arterial	2,628,000	2. 5.0 inches HMA over 8.0 inches ABC					

ABC = Aggregate Base Course; ESAL = equivalent single axle loads; HMA = Hot Mix Asphalt Notes:

- 1. All pavement alternatives meet the minimum sections required per the *El Paso County Pavement Design Criteria*.
- Interior roads include Benito Wells Trail, El Raiceno Trail, Del Cerro Trail, and Estacado Place

6 Construction Recommendations

Pavement design recommendations provided herein are contingent on good construction practices, and poor construction techniques may result in poor performance. Our analyses assumed that this project will be constructed according to the *El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual* and the *Pikes Peak Region Asphalt Paving Specifications*.

6.1 Earthwork Recommendations for Pavement Subgrade

Proper subgrade preparation is required for adequate pavement performance. Paving areas should be cleared of all deleterious materials including but not limited to existing pavements, utility poles, and fence poles. Surface vegetation, if any, should be removed by stripping, with the depth to be field determined.

6.1.1 Overexcavation

Where encountered, cohesive soils, such as the A-7-6 material encountered in TB-18, should be removed to a depth of 18 inches and replaced with Soil Type 1 granular fill (Section 6.1.3) to provide uniform subgrade support. The compacted surface below pavements should be proof-rolled with a fully loaded, tandem-axle, 10-yard dump truck or equivalent. Any areas that are delineated to be soft, loose, or yielding during proof-rolling should be removed and reconditioned or replaced. The extent of any cohesive material overexcavation should be field determined.

6.1.2 Subgrade Preparation – Aggregate Base Course Alternatives

If pavement section alternatives are selected utilizing aggregate base course (ABC), the final subgrade surface should be scarified to a depth of 12 inches, moisture conditioned within +/-2%



over the optimum water content, and recompacted to 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor dry density, ASTM D1557.

6.1.3 Fill Placement and Compaction

Granular fill placed as part of the pavement subgrade shall consist of non-expansive, granular soil, free of organic matter, unsuitable materials, debris, and cobbles greater than 3 inches in diameter. Additionally, any granular fill placed as part of the roadway subgrade should have a minimum CBR of 10. All granular fill placed within the pavement subgrade should be compacted to a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density (ASTM D1557) at +/-2% of optimum moisture content. Fill material should be placed in horizontal lifts such that each finished lift has a compacted thickness of 6 inches or less. Entech should approve any imported fill to be used within the pavement subgrade area prior to delivery to the site.

6.2 Aggregate Base Course

ABC materials shall conform to the *El Paso County Standard Specifications Manual*, Table D-6, Aggregate Base Course Materials. ABC materials should be compacted to a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density (ASTM D1557) at +/-2% of optimum moisture content

6.3 Concrete Degradation Due to Sulfate Attack

Sulfate solubility testing was conducted on several samples recovered from the test borings to evaluate the potential for sulfate attack on concrete. The test results indicated less than 0.01% soluble sulfate (by weight). The test results indicate the sulfate component of the in-place soils presents a negligible exposure threat to concrete placed below the site grade.

Type II or Type 1L cement is recommended for concrete on the site. To further avoid concrete degradation during construction, it is recommended that concrete not be placed on frozen or wet ground. Care should be taken to prevent the accumulation or ponding of water in the foundation excavation prior to the placement of concrete. If standing water is present in the foundation excavation, it should be removed by ditching to sumps and pumping the water away from the foundation area prior to concrete placement. If concrete is placed during periods of cold temperatures, the concrete must be kept from freezing. This may require covering the concrete with insulated blankets and adding heat to prohibit freezing.




6.4 Construction Observation

Subgrade preparation for pavement structures should be observed by Entech in order to verify that (1) no anomalies are present, (2) materials similar to those described in this report have been encountered or placed, and (3) no soft spots, expansive or organic soil, or debris are present in the pavement subgrade prior to paving.

7 Closure

The subsurface investigation, geotechnical evaluation, and recommendations presented in this report are intended for use by Gorilla Capital Colorado Saddlehorn Ranch, LLC with application to the Curtis Road improvements and Saddlehorn Ranch Filing 2 paving project in El Paso County, Colorado. In conducting the subsurface exploration program, laboratory testing, engineering evaluation, and reporting, Entech Engineering, Inc. endeavored to work in accordance with generally accepted professional geotechnical and geologic practices and principles consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the geotechnical profession currently practicing in the same locality and under similar conditions. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. During final design and/or construction, if conditions are encountered that appear different from those described in this report, Entech Engineering, Inc. requests to be notified so that the evaluation and recommendations presented herein can be reviewed and modified as appropriate.

If there are any questions regarding the information provided herein, or if Entech Engineering, Inc. can be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.






VICINITY MAP

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

FIG. 1






RURAL PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION **DESIGN 18-KIP ESAL=2,628,000 SOIL TYPE 1 (AASHTO A-1-b, A-2-4, & A-4)** PAVEMENT ALTERNATIVE 1) 5" HMA OVER 8" ABC

RURAL LOCAL ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION **DESIGN 18-KIP ESAL=36,500 SOIL TYPE 1 (AASHTO A-1-b, A-2-4, & A-4)** PAVEMENT ALTERNATIVE 1) 3" HMA OVER 4" ABC

HATCHED AREA DENOTES AREA OF A-7-6 SOILS TO **BE OVEREXCAVATED AND REPLACED.**








SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO.

222258 FIG. 2

JOB NO.

TB- APPROXIMATE TEST BORING LOCATION AND NUMBER





APPENDIX A: Test Boring Logs

TEST BORING 1							TEST BORING 2					
DATE DRILLED 12/26/202	23						DATE DRILLED 12/26/20	23				
REMARKS DRY TO 5', 12/26/23	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 5', 12/26/23	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN to TAN,		• i •					SAND, SILTY, BROWN to TAN,		· · ·			
MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, MOIST to DRY]			10	3.2	1	MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to DRY			12	6.8	1
	5_	<u>[.</u>].		44	2.9	1		5_	<u> </u>	14	2.8	1
	-											
	10							10_				
	15							15				
								' -				
	20							20_				



TT0T D0DW0						Izroz popuje						
TEST BORING 3 DATE DRILLED 12/26/20						TEST BORING DATE DRILLED 12/26/20	1 123					
REMARKS		Т	l			REMARKS	<u> </u>		Т		Ī	
WATER @ 8', 12/26/23	Depth (ft)	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 5', 12/26/23	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per toot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN to TAN,	=		40		_	SAND, WITH SILT, BROWN to	_					_
MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST	<u>-</u> }.		19	2.6	1	OLIVE, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST	-		2	24	1.2	1
	5		26	1.9	1		5	Ţ: <u> </u>	2	20	4.9	1
<u>*</u>	10		21	8.3	1		10					
	15						15					
	20_						20_					



SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258


TEST BORING 5			TEST BORING 6
DATE DRILLED 12/26/202 REMARKS	23 1 1 1 1		DATE DRILLED 12/26/2023 REMARKS
DRY TO 5', 12/26/23	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot Watercontent %	Soil Type Depth (ft) Symbol Samples Blows per foot Watercontent % Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN to OLIVE,	1 1 1 • 1		SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM
MEDIUM DENSE, DRY		22 2.0	1 DENSE, DRY to MOIST 19 2.2 1
	5	19 1.6	1 CLAY, SANDY, OLIVE, STIFF, MOIST 5 20 6.4 1
	10		10 14 18.1 2
	15		15
	🕇		



TEST BORING 7			TEST BORING 8	
DATE DRILLED 12/26/202	23	1	DATE DRILLED 12/26/2023	
REMARKS DRY TO 5', 12/26/23	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot Watercontent %	Soil Type BEMARKS Camples Blows per foot Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, WITH SILT, BROWN,	1		SAND, WITH SILT, OLIVE,	
MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST		13 1.0	1 MEDIUM DENSE, DRY	
	10	21 9.3	1 SAND, CLAYEY, OLIVE, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST 21 11	3 1
	15		15	



SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





TEST DODING 44						TEST BORING 12
TEST BORING 11 DATE DRILLED 12/26/20	24					DATE DRILLED 12/26/2024
REMARKS	 					REMARKS
DRY TO 5', 12/26/23	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per root Watercontent %	Soil Type	MATER © 8, 12/26/53 Matercontent % Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN to OLIVE,						SAND, WITH SILT, OLIVE to
MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST			2	27 1.:	2 1	BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST 23 4.9 1
	5		1	19 3.	7 1	5 18 5.7 1
	10 _					10 <u>- 1. 18</u> 12.0 1
	15					15 _
	20_					20 _



SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST DODING 42						TEST BODING 44					
TEST BORING 13 DATE DRILLED 12/26/202						TEST BORING 14 DATE DRILLED 12/26/20					
REMARKS	- ·					REMARKS	- · 				
WATER @ 4', 12/26/23	Depth (ft)	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER @ 4', 12/26/23	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, WITH SILT, OLIVE to	- :		15	0.6	1	SAND, SILTY, DARK BROWN to	<u>-</u> ;-	· -	6	12.4	1
BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to WET	┥┋		15	0.6	1	BROWN, LOOSE to MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to WET	│	. :	٥	12.4	ı
<u>▼</u>	5		12	14.4	1	<u>▼</u>	5		10	17.4	1
	10 -						10 -				
	15						15				



SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

T-07-00000						latest populo					
TEST BORING 15 DATE DRILLED 12/27/20:						TEST BORING 16 DATE DRILLED 12/27/20					
REMARKS						REMARKS	<u> </u>				
WATER @ 3', 12/27/23	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER @ 4', 12/27/23	Depth (ft)	Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, WITH SILT, OLIVE, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to WET	= 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10	13.0	1	SAND, WITH SILT, OLIVE, MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE, MOIST to WET	- -		11	6.5	1
	5		23	13.6	1		5		8	20.4	1
	10 _						10		15	11.0	1
	15						15				



SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING 17				TEST BORING 18	
DATE DRILLED 12/27/202	23 1 1 1	1 1		DATE DRILLED 12/27/20	23 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
REMARKS DRY TO 5', 12/27/23	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent % Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 5', 12/27/23	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples Blows per foot Watercontent % Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LOOSE, BROWN,			70 4	CLAY, SANDY, GRAY, HARD, MOIST	
MOIST		9	7.3 1		33 21.6 2
	5 1:!:	5	17.2 1		5 42 21.7 2
	10 -				10 _
	20_				20 _



SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

						l					
TEST BORING 19 DATE DRILLED 12/27/20:						TEST BORING 2 DATE DRILLED 12/27/20					
REMARKS	23					REMARKS	T				
WATER @ 4', 12/27/23	Depth (ft) Svmbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 5', 12/27/23	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, OLIVE, LOOSE to		1	В	>_	(i)	SAND, WITH SILT, BROWN to	+	0)	or m	>	0)
MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to WET			8	7.1	1	OLIVE, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST	- -		14	1.9	1
<u></u>	5		25	9.0	1		5		14	3.9	1
	10 15 20 1						10				



SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING 21						TEST BORING 22	,				
DATE DRILLED 12/27/202						DATE DRILLED 12/27/20					
REMARKS						REMARKS	Ī				
WATER @ 9', 12/27/23	Depth (ft)	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 5', 12/27/23	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN to GREEN-		i 🛴	13	17	1	SAND, SILTY, BROWN to TAN,			20	3.7	1
GRAY, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST			13	1.7	1	MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to DRY	-		20	3.7	1
	5		23	4.4	1		5	<u></u>	12	1.3	1
<u></u>	10		20	5.8	1		10				
	15_						15				
	20 -						20_				



SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING 23 12/27/2023 DATE DRILLED REMARKS Watercontent % Blows per foot Soil Type Symbol DRY TO 5', 12/27/23 SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, TAN, 10 3.0 1 MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST 11 3.5 1 10 15



TEST BORING LOGS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258




APPENDIX B: Laboratory Test Results



TABLE B-1 SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SOIL	TEST BORING	DEPTH	PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	SULFATE	AASHTO		
TYPE	NO.	(FT)	(%)				(WT %)	CLASS.	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION
1, CBR	1	0-3	27.9	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	1	1-2	32.2	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	2	1-2	26.1	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	3	1-2	21.7	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	4	1-2	6.8	NV	NP	NP	<0.01	A-1-b	SM-SW	SAND, WITH SILT
1	5	1-2	34.2	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	6	1-2	32.8	NV	NP	NP	<0.01	A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	7	1-2	7.6	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	8	1-2	6.6	NV	NP	NP	<0.01	A-1-b	SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	9	1-2	12.1	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	10	1-2	10.1	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	11	1-2	49.6	NV	NP	NP		A-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	12	1-2	8.1	NV	NP	NP	<0.01	A-1-b	SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	13	1-2	6.5	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	14	1-2	19.1	NV	NP	NP	<0.01	A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	15	1-2	11.0	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	16	1-2	10.0	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	17	1-2	19.2	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	19	1-2	28.9	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	20	1-2	8.2	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	21	1-2	14.7	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	22	1-2	32.4	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	23	1-2	4.2	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SW	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY
1	14	0-3	27.6	NV	NP	NP		A-2-4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	12	0-3	16.6	NV	NP	NP		A-1-b	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	17	0-3	27.3						SM	SAND, SILTY
2	18	1-2	90.4	57	28	29		A-7-6	CH	CLAY, SLIGHTLY SANDY
2	18	0-3	74.4						CL	CLAY, WITH SANDY

TEST BORING1SOIL DESCRIPTION
SOIL TYPESAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)0-3SOIL TYPE
1, CBR



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	100.0%
3/8"	99.3%
4	97.8%
10	83.9%
20	68.5%
40	56.5%
100	37.1%
200	27.9%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP




LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING 1 DEPTH (FT) 1-2

SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	100.0%
3/8"	98.0%
4	94.9%
10	88.2%
20	77.6%
40	66.6%
100	44.0%
200	32.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING2SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	96.7%
10	82.9%
20	68.0%
40	56.0%
100	36.0%
200	26.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING3SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	96.7%
10	82.3%
20	66.4%
40	52.5%
100	30.3%
200	21.7%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING4SOIL DESCRIPTION
SOIL TYPESAND, WITH SILTDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	93.3%
10	65.8%
20	41.2%
40	27.2%
100	10.7%
200	6.8%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM-SW
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING5SOIL DESCRIPTION
SOIL TYPESAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve#	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	98.5%
10	91.9%
20	83.4%
40	75.6%
100	52.6%
200	34.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING6SOIL DESCRIPTION
SOIL TYPESAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	99.4%
10	93.1%
20	85.9%
40	77.7%
100	50.6%
200	32.8%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING7SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, WITH SILTDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	96.9%
10	61.9%
20	38.1%
40	26.5%
100	11.7%
200	7.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING8SOIL DESCRIPTIONSAND, WITH SILTDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	95.1%
10	68.6%
20	39.3%
40	23.6%
100	9.1%
200	6.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING9SOIL DESCRIPTIONSAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	95.5%
10	75.9%
20	54.3%
40	39.7%
100	19.6%
200	12.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0


ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	93.9%
10	67.7%
20	45.6%
40	31.7%
100	15.1%
200	10.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP




LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING 11 DEPTH (FT) 1-2

SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	99.1%
40	98.2%
100	84.8%
200	49.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	94.1%
10	71.3%
20	46.1%
40	28.7%
100	12.5%
200	8.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0


ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	100.0%
3/8"	98.8%
4	93.0%
10	68.5%
20	44.2%
40	29.1%
100	10.6%
200	6.5%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0


ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.2%
20	92.7%
40	70.7%
100	33.3%
200	19.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	95.3%
10	77.3%
20	53.2%
40	36.8%
100	17.5%
200	11.0%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0


ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	85.2%
10	59.7%
20	41.9%
40	30.7%
100	16.6%
200	10.0%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING17SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.5%
20	92.6%
40	76.6%
100	33.4%
200	19.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0


ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	94.0%
10	84.7%
20	74.8%
40	66.7%
100	45.8%
200	28.9%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING20SOIL DESCRIPTIONSAND, WITH SILTDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	97.9%
10	77.2%
20	50.9%
40	33.3%
100	12.1%
200	8.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING21SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	94.0%
10	65.7%
20	43.3%
40	30.3%
100	15.5%
200	14.7%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING22SOIL DESCRIPTIONSAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	94.8%
10	85.7%
20	77.4%
40	71.6%
100	56.7%
200	32.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING
DEPTH (FT)23SOIL DESCRIPTION
SOIL TYPESAND, SLIGHTLY SILTYSOIL TYPE1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.8%
20	83.4%
40	50.0%
100	12.4%
200	4.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0

ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING14SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTYDEPTH (FT)0-3SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	99.6%
10	91.0%
20	87.0%
40	67.7%
100	38.6%
200	27.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-2-4
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0


ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	96.2%
10	76.1%
20	52.7%
40	39.0%
100	22.9%
200	16.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM
AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-1-b
AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 0


ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	96.4%
20	85.4%
40	70.4%
100	41.5%
200	27.3%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION


USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: AASHTO GROUP INDEX:



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

TEST BORING18SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SLIGHTLY SANDYDEPTH (FT)1-2SOIL TYPE 2



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	
20	
40	100.0%
100	97.8%
200	90.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CH AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: A-7-6 AASHTO GROUP INDEX: 20


ATTERBERG LIMITS


Plastic Limit	28
Liquid Limit	57
Plastic Index	29



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258





Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
98.8%
94.5%
90.4%
81.5%
74.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL AASHTO CLASSIFICATION: AASHTO GROUP INDEX:



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

SAMPLE LOCATION 0


SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY, BROWN SOIL TYPE 1

PROCTOR DATA

IDENTIFICATION: SM PROCTOR TEST #: 1
TEST BY: DK

TEST DESIGNATION: ASTM-1557-A

MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (PCF): 122.7
OPTIMUM MOISTURE: 8.9





LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258

SAMPLE LOCATION 0 DEPTH (FT) 0 SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY, BROWN SOIL TYPE 1

CBR TEST LOAD DATA

Piston Diameter (cm): 4.958 Piston Area (in²): 2.993

	10 B	10 BLOWS 25 BLOWS		56 BLOWS			
Penetration	Mold # 1		Мо	Mold # 2		Mold # 3	
Depth	Load	Stress	Load	Stress	Load	Stress	
(inches)	(lbs)	(psi)	(lbs)	(psi)	(lbs)	(psi)	
0.000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	
0.025	64	21.39	128	42.77	191	63.83	
0.050	106	35.42	211	70.51	340	113.62	
0.075	154	51.46	307	102.59	476	159.06	
0.100	193	64.49	385	128.65	641	214.20	
0.125	244	81.54	488	163.07	790	263.99	
0.150	294	98.25	588	196.49	950	317.46	
0.175	345	115.29	689	230.24	1103	368.59	
0.200	395	132.00	789	263.66	1248	417.04	
0.300	665	222.22	1329	444.11	1937	647.28	
0.400	853	285.05	1706	570.09	2323	776.27	
0.500	974	325.48	2192	732.50	2788	931.66	

MOISTURE AND DENSITY DATA

	Mold # 1	Mold # 2	Mold # 3
Can #	303	352	420
Wt. Can	8.21	7.92	8.17
Wt. Can+Wet	154.74	224.68	268.59
Wt. Can+Dry	141.21	199.89	238.69
Wt. H20	13.53	24.79	29.9
Wt. Dry Soil	133	191.97	230.52
Moisture Content	10.17%	12.91%	12.97%
Wet Density (PCF)	119.1	128.4	133.5
Dry Density (PCF)	109.3	117.9	122.6
% Compaction	89%	96%	100%
CBR	6.45	12.87	21.42


PROCTOR DATA

Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	122.7
Optimum Moisture	8.9
90% of Max. Dry Density (pcf)	110.4
95% of Max. Dry Density (pcf)	116.6


CBR at 90% of Max. Density = 7.26	~ R VALUE 17
CBR at 95% of Max. Density = 11.88	~ R VALUE 35




LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY, BROWN SOIL TYPE 0







LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SADDLEHORN RANCH, FILING 2 GORILLA CAPITAL CO. JOB NO. 222258



APPENDIX C: Pavement Design Calculations

FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN

PROJECT DATA

Project Location Saddlehorn Ranch F2 Interior Rural Local Roads

Job Number: 222258

DESIGN DATA

Equivalent (18-kip) Single Axle Load Applications (ESAL):

Design CBR Standard Deviation


Loss in Serviceability

Reliability

Reliability (z-statistic)

Soil Resilient Modulus

Required Structural Number (SN):



 $Z_{R} = -0.67$

 $M_R = 15,000$

SN = 1.34

psi

DESIGN EQUATIONS

Resilient Modulus

If using CBR: If using R-Value:

 $M_R = (CBR) \times 1,500$ $M_R = 10^{[(S_1 + 18.72)/6.24]} \text{ where: } S_1 = [(R-value - 5)/11.29] + 3$

Required Structural Number

$$\log_{10}W_{18} = Z_{R}^{*} S_{O}^{+} 9.36^{*}\log_{10}(SN+1) - 0.20 + \frac{\log_{10}\left[\frac{\Delta PSI}{4.2 - 1.5}\right]}{0.40 + \frac{1094}{(SN+1)^{5.19}}} + 2.32^{*}\log_{10}M_{R}^{-} 8.07$$

Pavement Section Thickness

 $SN^* = C_1D_1 + C_2D_2$ where: $C_1 = Strength Coefficient - Hot Bituminous Asphalt$

 C_2 = Strength Coefficient - Aggregate Base Course

 D_1 = Depth of Asphalt (inches)

 D_2 = Depth of Base Course (inches)

RECOMMENED THICKNESSES

	Layer	Material	Structural Layer	Thickne	ess (D* _i)	SN* _i	SN
I	1	HMA	$C_1 = 0.44$	3.0	inches	1.320	
	2	ABC	$C_2 = 0.12$	4.0	inches	0.480	1
SN* = 1					1.800	1.34	

 $SN^* = 1.800 \quad 1.34$

Pavement SN > Required SN, Design is Acceptable

FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN

PROJECT DATA

Project Location Saddlehorn Ranch F2 Curtis Road Rural Principal Arterial

Job Number: 222258

DESIGN DATA

Equivalent (18-kip) Single Axle Load Applications (ESAL):

Design CBR


Standard Deviation
Loss in Serviceability

Reliability

Reliability (z-statistic)

Soil Resilient Modulus

Required Structural Number (SN):



 $Z_{R} = -1.04$

 $M_R = 15,000$ psi

SN = 2.85

DESIGN EQUATIONS

Resilient Modulus

If using CBR: If using R-Value:

 $M_R = (CBR) \times 1,500$ $M_R = 10^{[(S_1 + 18.72)/6.24]} \text{ where: } S_1 = [(R-value - 5)/11.29] + 3$

Required Structural Number

$$\log_{10}W_{18} = Z_{R}^{r}S_{O} + 9.36^{r}\log_{10}(SN+1) - 0.20 + \frac{\log_{10}(SN+1)}{0.40 + \frac{1094}{(SN+1)^{5.19}}} + 2.32^{r}\log_{10}M_{R} - 8.07$$

Pavement Section Thickness

 $SN^* = C_1D_1 + C_2D_2$ where: $C_1 = Strength Coefficient - Hot Bituminous Asphalt$

 C_2 = Strength Coefficient - Aggregate Base Course

 D_1 = Depth of Asphalt (inches)

 D_2 = Depth of Base Course (inches)

RECOMMENED THICKNESSES

Layer	Material	Structural Layer	ral Layer Thickness (D* _i)		SN* _i	SN
1	HMA	$C_1 = 0.44$	5.0	inches	2.200	
2	ABC	$C_2 = 0.12$	8.0	inches	0.960	-
SN* = 3.160					3 160	2.85

Pavement SN > Required SN, Design is Acceptable