# ABTR Storage Traffic Impact Study <br> (LSC \#S234070) <br> May 18, 2023 

## Traffic Engineer's Statement



This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

1, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.


## ABTR Storage
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LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC. 2504 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 304

Colorado Springs, CO 80909
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.Isctrans.com

May 18, 2023

Mike Jacobson
11745 Howells Road
Colorado Springs, CO 80908
Mike@FlyingHorseRealty.com

RE: ABTR Storage<br>Transportation Memorandum<br>El Paso County, CO<br>LSC \# S234070

Dear Mr. Jacobson,

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this transportation memorandum for the proposed ABTR storage site in El Paso County, Colorado. The 38.5-acre site is located on the southeast corner of the intersection of State Highway (SH) 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road (El Paso County parcel ID 44000000565).

Access to the site is proposed via a single access driveway on Franceville Coal Mine Road about 1,230 feet south of SH 94 (centerline spacing). No direct access is proposed to SH 94.

Currently, the site is zoned as Residential Rural District 5 (RR-5) but would be rezoned to Commercial Services District (CS) as part of the application process. Approximately 1,000 vehicle parking spaces for RV and boat storage are proposed for the site.

This report has been prepared for submittal to El Paso County with likely review by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT).

## REPORT CONTENTS

The preparation of this report included the following:

- Inventory of existing adjacent and nearby area road system. This included surface conditions, functional classifications, roadway widths, lane configurations, traffic control, posted speed limits, pavement markings, intersection and access spacing, roadway and intersection alignments, auxiliary left- and right-turn lanes, intersection sight distances, etc.;
- Estimates of existing morning and late-afternoon peak-hour turning-movement traffic counts at the "study-area" intersection of SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road;
- Review of previously-completed traffic studies in the vicinity of this site for information and findings relative to this development. Other recent studies completed in the area and any applicable data/transferrable information/analysis etc. from previous LSC studies adjacent to the site were also utilized;
- Evaluation of access sight distance at the proposed access-point on Franceville Coal Mine Road, based on current criteria in the County's Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM);
- Estimates of average weekday and peak-hour trip generation for the proposed RV storage land use;
- Estimation of directional distribution of site-generated vehicle trips on the area road system, at the study-area intersections, and at the proposed site-access point;
- Projections of site-generated turning-movement traffic volumes at the following "studyarea" intersections:
- SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road
- Franceville Coal Mine Road/proposed site access
- Estimates of short- and long-term background traffic volumes at the study-area intersections and access points;
- Total traffic (site traffic plus background traffic) projections at the study-area intersections for the short and long term;
- Level of service (LOS) analysis at the study-area intersections;
- Evaluation of existing, short-term, and long-term projected intersection volumes to determine the potential need for any new auxiliary right-/left-turn lanes on SH 94 and/or Franceville Coal Mine Road, based on the criteria in the State Highway Access Code and ECM.;
- Estimated average daily traffic (ADT) on Franceville Coal Mine Road and comparison of the "design ADT" for gravel roads in ECM section 2.2.7.B Road Paving Policy;"
- Preliminary estimate of El Paso County Road Impact Fee Program fee amount;
- Other recommended improvements/modifications to study-area roads/intersections; and
- Summary of compiled data, analysis, findings, and recommendations.


## LAND USE AND ACCESS

## Proposed Land Use

Figure 1 shows the site location of the proposed ABTR storage site in El Paso County, Colorado. The 38.5-acre site is located on the southeast corner of the intersection of State Highway (SH) 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road (El Paso County parcel ID 4400000565). A copy of the parcel boundary map is shown in Figure 2.

Currently, the site is zoned as Residential Rural District 5 (RR-5) but would be rezoned to Commercial Services District (CS) as part of the application process. Approximately 1,000 spaces for RV and boat storage are proposed for the site.

## Proposed Site Access

One access point to Franceville Coal Mine Road is proposed for the property, near the southeast corner of the site. The proposed location would be about 1,230 feet south of the intersection of SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road (centerline spacing). For reference, this is about 60 feet north of an existing gated access for the property to the south.

## ROAD AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Figure 1 shows the roads adjacent to and in the vicinity of the site. Adjacent roads serving the site are identified below followed by a brief description of each:

State Highway 94 (SH 94) is a two-lane highway extending east from US Highway (Hwy) 24 through eastern El Paso County into Lincoln County. In the vicinity of the site, SH 94 is classified as a Non-Rural Principal Highway (NR-A) and has a posted speed limit of 65 miles per hour (mph). Access to SH 94 is subject to the 2012 State Highway 94 Access Management Plan.

Franceville Coal Mine Road is two-lane rural gravel local roadway that extends south from SH 94 for about three miles, at which point the roadway terminates. The posted speed limit is 35 mph . There are no auxiliary left- and right-turn lanes at the SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road intersection and the traffic control is two-way, stop-sign controlled.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Vehicular turning-movement counts were conducted at the State Highway 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road intersection:

- Wednesday, March 1, 2023 from 6:30 to 8:30 a.m.
- Wednesday, March 1, 2023 from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m.

Existing morning and evening weekday peak-hour traffic volumes at this intersection are shown in Figure 3. Raw count reports are attached.

Machine traffic volume counts were also conducted on Franceville Coal Mine Road.

## Short-Term Baseline Traffic Volumes

Due to variations in recent count data from March 2023 compared to previous counts at the SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road intersection, LSC established "short-term baseline" traffic volumes, as shown on Figure 4.

## SIGHT DISTANCE

LSC conducted a field evaluation to check the proposed access location, determine the optimal location, and evaluate a couple of other alternate locations with respect to entering sight distance. This was completed because there is a vertical curve on Franceville Coal Mine Road in the vicinity of the proposed site access location. Sight-distance field measurements utilized a driver's-eye height of 3.5 feet and a height of 3.5 feet for vehicles approaching from the north and south. The drivers' eye of 3.5 feet is for passenger vehicles. RVs will also regularly utilize the access. Larger RVs typically have a higher drivers' eye. Passenger vehicles towing trailers are most often pickup trucks or SUVs, which may have a higher drivers' eye than 3.5 feet, in which case the 3.5 feet is conservative.

Field measurements recorded the following sight distances looking to the north from several locations in order to determine the ideal proposed site-access location:

- 396 feet - existing gated access (used as a reference point, but not an access for this property - located just south of the south property line of this property)
- 391 feet -60 feet north of existing gated access (proposed access location)
- 517 feet -115 feet north of existing gated access
- 557 feet -245 feet north of existing gated access

Please refer to Figure 10 which shows these graphically.

Sight distance to the south from each of these locations is over 1,000 feet.

## El Paso County Requirements

Access points must meet Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) standards for sight distance. The criteria is in ECM Section 2.4.1.D and two sight-distance metrics need to be met - entering sight distance and sight distance along the roadway. .

## Entering Sight Distance for Driveways

With a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ posted speed limit on Franceville Coal Mine Road, the prescribed entering sight distance looking to the north and south from the proposed site-access location i s 350 feet for passenger vehicles and 455 feet for single unit trucks (and RVs) (per Table 2-35 of the County's Engineering Criteria Manual).

## Sight Distance along the Roadway

The prescribed, unadjusted sight distance along the roadway for both approaches to the proposed site-access location on Franceville Coal Mine Road is 300 feet (per Table 2-33 of the County's Engineering Criteria Manual). Spot measurements of the roadway gradient adjacent to
the proposed site-access locations varied from 2.8 - 3.9 percent. As such, a roadway grade adjustment factor of 1.20 (per ECM Table 2-34) is reflected in the 300-foot sight distance value.

## Findings

As seen above, the site access would need to be at least about 115 feet north of the existing gated access (based on the potential locations from which measurements were taken) in order to meet minimum ECM entering sight distance to the north. Based on the field evaluation, the location at the crest of the hill (located approximately 245 feet north of the existing gated access just south of the property line) on Franceville Coal Mine Road near the southwest corner of the property would maximize sight distance in both directions.

However, the optimal location for sight distance is not optimal for the site layout. The site access is 1,230 feet south of SH 94 (centerline spacing). Please refer to Figure 10 for an exhibit with more details.

As the field-measured sight distance to the north would be short of the ECM standard for singleunit trucks, RVs, etc., LSC recommends that only the right turn movement out of the site onto northbound Franceville Coal Mine Road be permitted (sight distance is acceptable looking south to approaching northbound vehicles). This should not be problematic as Franceville Coal Mine Road terminates to the south, with all but a very infrequent reason for vehicles to turn south the gun club is located 超 the south. A right turn onlv sign should be nlaced at the site access

## TRIP GENERATION

 Submit a deviation request in the next submittal for not meeting sight distance requirements. Deviation request shall include a plan and profile exhibit for the line of site.Typically, estimates of the existing and
been made using nationally-published average trip-generation rates for associated land use codes in Trip Generation, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2021 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). However, for this report "RV/Vehicle Storage" rates (shown in the attached Table 3) are estimates by LSC based on other traffic studies utilizing trip generation data collected at RV storage facilities. Please refer to Appendix A for details. LSC has estimated the trip generation rates for this land use, as ITE's Trip Generation, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2021 does not include trip-generation rates specifically for RV/boat storage businesses.

Table 1 (attached) presents the estimated site trip generation.

Based on the trip generation estimate for the proposed RV Storage development, the site is projected to generate about 129 vehicle trips on the average weekday. During the weekday morning peak hour, approximately 6 vehicles would enter and 8 vehicles would exit the site. Approximately 18 entering vehicles and 16 exiting vehicles are projected for the weekday afternoon peak hour.

Revise to list studies that were used for trip generation data. Explain what adjustments were made to come up with the trip generation numbers in the appendix.

Note: One of the TIS reports used to estimate the trip generation for this report identifies a higher Sunday afternoon peak-hour trip generation (Sunday "peak hour of the generator" in ITE terminology). This is also shown in Appendix Aevise since it was
not provided. Only weekday estimates were provided.

Estimating the directional distribution of site-generated vehicle trips to the study-area roads and intersections is a necessary component in determining the site's traffic impacts. Figure 5 shows the percentages of the site-generated vehicle trips projected to be oriented to and from the site's major approaches. Estimates have been based on the following factors: the proposed land use, the area road system serving the site, the traffic-count data at the intersection of SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road, previously-conducted traffic studies in the area, and the site's geographic location relative to the surrounding area.

## Site-Generated Traffic

Figure 6 shows projected short-term site-generated traffic volumes for the weekday morning and evening peak hours. Site-generated traffic volumes at the study-area intersections have been calculated by applying the directional-distribution percentages estimated by LSC (from Figure 5) to the trip-generation estimates (from Table 3).

## Existing-Plus-Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

Figure 7 shows the sum of existing traffic volumes (from Fioure 2) and cito-oenerated noak-hniur traffic volumes (shown in Figure 6). These volum traffic.

## Estimated Future 2043 Background Traffic Volum

 Adjust background to include the trips al generated by Gateway Trucking in addition to the background traffic from the referenced report or revise the statement if the Gateway Trucking is already incorporated.LSC has estimated two future 2043 background growth." The long-term "high-growth" traffic scenario assumes significant background growth on Franceville Coal Mine Road in the vicinity of the site, due to potentral additional single-family residential development that might access Franceville Coal Ming Road. Alternatively, the longterm "low-growth" traffic scenario assumes no additional single-family residential development along Franceville Coal Mine Road. The background traffic was taken from LSC's TIS report for Gateway Trucking.

Figure 8 shows the projected 20-year background traffic volumes for the year 2043. Estimated 2043 background through traffic volumes on SH 94 and Franceville Coal Mine Road account for projected background growth of undeveloped parcels nearby and align with long-term traffic projections from previous LSC traffic studies in the vicinity of the site. Projected 20-year
background traffic volumes do not include projected traffic to be generated by the proposed ABTR Storage development.

## Future 2043 Total Traffic Volumes

Figure 9 shows the projected 2043 total traffic volumes, which are the sum of 2043 background traffic volumes (from Figure 8) plus the site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 6).

## LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS

The following intersections have been analyzed to determine the projected intersection levels of service for short- and long-term traffic scenarios for the morning and evening peak-hour time periods:

- SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road
- Franceville Coal Mine Road/proposed site access

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection and is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A is indicative of little congestion or delay. LOS F indicates a high level of congestion or delay. Table 2 shows the level of service delay ranges for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 2: Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersections | Unsignalized Intersections <br>  <br> (Seconds per Vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Control Delay <br> (Seconds per Vehicle) <br> (1) |  |
|  | 10.0 sec or less | 10.0 sec or less |
| C | $10.1-20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $10.1-15.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| D | $20.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $15.1-25.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| E | $35.1-55.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $25.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| F | $55.1-80.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $35.1-50.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| 80.1 sec or more | 50.1 sec or more |  |

(1) For unsignalized intersections, if $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio is greater than 1.0 the level of service is LOS F, regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle.

Detailed Synchro reports are attached. A summary of LOS during the weekday morning and evening peak hours for the following unsignalized intersections is shown in the following figures:

- Figure 3: Existing Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS
- Figure 7: Short-Term Baseline + Site Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS
- Figure 8: 2043 Background Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS
- Figure 9: 2043 Background + Site Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS


## SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road

## Short Term

During the short-term baseline scenario, the northbound single-lane approach is projected to operate at LOS E during both peak hours. Following the development of the RV storage use on the site, the northbound single-lane approach is projected to operate at LOS F during both peak hours.

## Long Term

The long-term "high-growth" traffic scenario assumes significant background growth on Franceville Coal Mine Road in the vicinity of the site, likely due to additional single-family residential development. Alternatively, no additional single-family residential development along Franceville Coal Mine Road was assumed for the long-term "low-growth" traffic scenario. LSC has assumed that SH 94 would be improved to a four-lane highway by 2043. Both long-term scenarios were analyzed with LOS results and assumed laneage shown on Figure 8 and Figure 9.

During the 2043 "low-growth" scenario, the northbound single-lane approach is projected to operate at LOS E or LOS F during both peak hours, with or without the addition of site traffic.

During the 2043 "high-growth" scenario, the northbound approach is projected to operate at LOS F during both peak hours, with or without the addition of site-generated traffic.

## Franceville Coal Mine Road/Proposed Site Access

All individual turning movements at the proposed site-access intersection with Franceville Coal Mine Road are projected to operate at LOS A during all short-term and long-term scenarios following the addition of site-generated traffic.

## AUXILIARY TURN-LANE NEEDS ANALYSIS

The Engineering Criteria Manual contains turning-volume thresholds which require auxiliary leftor right-turn lanes by roadway classifications.

- SH 94 - NR-A, Non-Rural Principal Highway
- Franceville Coal Mine Road - Local


## SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road Intersection (CDOT)

## Westbound-Left-Turn Deceleration Lane

Left-turn deceleration auxiliary turn lanes are required on an NR-A highway with a projected peak-hour left-ingress turning volume of 10 vph or greater. The westbound-left-turn volume is

not projected to exceed this 10-vph threshold during either peak hour following the completion of the ABTR Storage residential development. As such, no modifications would be required to the existing westbound approach on SH 94 approaching Franceville Coal Mine Road.

## Eastbound-Right-Turn Deceleration Lane

Right-turn deceleration auxiliary turn lanes are required for an NR-A access with a projected peak-hour right-ingress turning volume of 25 vph or greater. The eastbound-right-turn volume currently exceeds this 25 -vph threshold during the PM peak hour (based on April 2021 counts), with or without the completion of the ABTR Storage residential development. As such, an eastbound-right-turn deceleration lane would be required on the eastbound approach on SH 94 approaching Franceville Coal Mine Road.

This lane should be 500 feet long plus a 300 -foot transition taper. A four-foot paved shoulder will be required adjacent to this turn lane. This turn lane will extend back across an existing access on the south side of SH 94, as that access is located about 400 feet west of the west edge of Franceville Coal Mine Road.

The radius at the end of this eastbound-right-turn lane will need to be designed to accommodate regular use by multi-unit trucks (currently using Franceville Coal Mine Road), RVs, and vehicles towing large trailers. Use of three centered compound curves or spiral curves rather than simple radius will likely provide the best and least costly design - especially given the existing topography/slopes on the southwest corner of the intersection. The design should allow trucks, RVs, and vehicles towing large trailers to turn from the new eastbound right-turn lane into the southbound through lane of Franceville Coal Mine Road without encroachment into the other highway travel lanes or the northbound lane of Franceville Coal Mine Road.

## Northbound-to-Eastbound-Right-Turn Acceleration Lane

Per State Highway Access Code criteria, a right-turn acceleration lane is required for any access with a projected peak-hour right-turning volume of 50 vph or greater when the posted speed on the roadway is greater than 40 mph . The northbound-to-eastbound-right-turn volume is not projected to exceed this 50-vph threshold during either peak hour following the completion of the ABTR Storage residential development. As such, a northbound-to-eastbound-right-turn acceleration lane would not be required at the intersection of SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road.

## Northbound-to-Westbound-Left-Turn Acceleration Lane

Per State Highway Access Code criteria, a left-turn acceleration lane is required for any access "if it would be a benefit to the safety and operation of the roadway."


## Proposed Site Access/Franceville Coal Mine Road Intersection (El Paso County)

Right-turn deceleration lanes are typically required on Minor Arterials (or lower classifications, such as Franceville Coal Mine Road (Collector)) for accesses with an ingress volume greater than 50 vph . The northbound-right-turn volume is not projected to exceed this 50 -vph threshold during either peak hour following the completion of the ABTR Storage development. Similarly, the southbound-left-turn volume is not projected to exceed 25 vph . As such, no auxiliary turn lanes would be required at the proposed Franceville Coal Mine Road/site-access intersection.

## AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC IMPACTS RELATIVE TO ROADWAY DESIGN ADT BY CLASSIFICATION

## Franceville Coal Mine Road - El Paso County

The projected buildout average daily traffic (ADT) impacts have been compared to the roadway design ADTs shown in Tables 2-4 and 2-5 of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM). Actual current roadway capacities for specific roadway segments may differ from these ECM-identified "DesignADT" values for County-standard roadways by classification.

## Existing and Short Term

Franceville Coal Mine Road is classified by the MTCP as a Local roadway. Any development that causes an existing gravel roadway to exceed 200 vehicles per day (the design ADT for this type of roadway) shall require the gravel roadway to be paved, per ECM criteria.

Figure 3 shows the existing average weekday traffic AWT ( 260 vehicles per day) and Figure 4 shows the Short-Term Baseline AWT ( 540 vehicles per day). the Short-Term Baseline -plus site scenario projects an ADT of 670 vehicles per day on Franceville Coal Mine Road between SH 94 and the proposed site access (shown in Figure 7).

## Long Term

The long-term background projections consider projections developed with the MTCP. Map 2 of the 2043 MTCP shows "Low Growth" for residential households in the vicinity of the site. Locally, the volumes take into consideration the partially developed Franceville Coal Mine Ranch subdivision on the east side of SH 94. Figure 8 shows LSC's estimates of 2043 background volumes on SH 94 and Franceville Coal Mine Road. Future turning-movement volumes at Franceville Coal Mine/SH 94 are relatively light and may vary significantly depending on additional area subdivisions and/or other development served by Franceville Coal Mine Road. Any future changes in area roadway conditions may also have an effect on these projected volumes.

The section of Franceville Coal Mine Road between SH 94 and the proposed site access, at 260 vehicles per day (Average Weekday Traffic), exceeds the 200 ADT threshold for paving, without the proposed ABTR Storage residential development.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

## SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road Intersection - CDOT

- At the site development plan stage, a Colorado State Highway Access Permit application will need to be submitted to CDOT. The County Engineer signature will be needed on the application form.
- An eastbound right-turn deceleration lane should be constructed on SH 94. This lane should be 500 feet long plus a 300 -foot transition taper. A four-foot paved shoulder will be required adjacent to this turn lane. This turn lane will extend back across an existing access on the south side of SH 94, as the access is located about 400 feet west of the west edge of Franceville Coal Mine Road. The radius at the end of this right-turn lane will need to be designed to accommodate regular use by RVs, vehicles towing trailers and multiunit trucks. Use of three-centered compound curves or spiral curves rather than simple radius will likely provide the best and least costly design - especially given the existing topography/slopes on the southwest corner of the intersection. The design should allow trucks to turn from the new eastbound right-turn lane into the southbound through lane of Franceville Coal Mine Road without encroachment into the other highway travel lanes or the northbound lane of Franceville Coal Mine Road.
- The intersection approach grade on the northbound approach does not meet CDOT standards. However, correction to meet standard may not be feasible given the drop in elevation and associated existing roadway centerline profile between the edge of SH 94 and the drainage crossing to the south, the available right-of-way, and current foreslopes. There are already relatively steep foreslopes with significant elevation difference between the edges of the roadway and the bottom of these slopes. Also, there is an existing driveway on the east side of the roadway that would likely be impacted and any significant raising of the roadway, with profile regrading, at the low point south of SH 94 would likely be infeasible. Any significant regrading of the roadway to mitigate the intersection approach grade may not be feasible given the foregoing. LSC recommends repaving and extending the pavement "apron" on the south side of the intersection to meet CDOT standards for extent of paving. This will help mitigate the approach grades by improving passenger-vehicle, RV, and truck-tractor traction for accelerating from a stop condition and turning onto SH 94 from the stop-sign-controlled approach.
- LSC recommends that the civil engineer investigate and evaluate the feasibility of approach roadway grade improvements given the constraints identified above. Also, if significant improvement in the approach grade proves not to be feasible, LSC recommends consideration of the use of pavement material designed for increased traction. The intersection approach slopes down to the south, which is beneficial for melting snow and ice. Keeping the pavement surface free of sand and gravel (that may have been tracked onto the pavement) when not needed for traction on snow and ice will also help mitigate the effect of the relatively steep approach grade.


## Franceville Coal Mine Road - El Paso County

The following improvement alternatives are based on the traffic projections in the section above entitled "AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC IMPACTS RELATIVE TO ROADWAY DESIGN ADT BY CLASSIFICATION"

- Under the high growth scenario, upgrade to a Rural Minor Collector cross section, based on the potential ADT between 750 and 1,500 would meet criteria.
- However, as potential growth is unknown and ROW is limited, LSC recommends planning to achieve upgrade to the Rural Local cross section to the extent possible as described in Section 6.
- As the roadway exceeds the gravel roadway design ADT of 200 vehicles per day. Options include:
- Paving to a Rural Local standard width (28 feet) with 2' gravel shoulders on each side.
- Paving the $24^{\prime}$-wide roadway (if available ROW and necessary drainage structures limit cross-section widening).
- The pavement design should consider annual average daily-truck volume and empty-truck weight (Gateway Trucking haul trucks are empty when traveling along Franceville Coal Mine Road) and RVs.

Note that a significant portion of the vehicles on Franceville Coal Mine Road on weekday off-peak workday hours are commercial vehicles (between 40 and 50 percent based on the count data). The weekend volumes are lower, absent these commercial vehicles. Thus, average daily traffic (7-day average) is lower than the average weekday volume.

## POTENTIAL PARTICIPATION IN ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

At the site development plan stage, this project will potentially be required to participate on a pro-rata basis for roadway paving and potentially roadway section upgrades to Franceville Coal Mine Road for the portion between Highway 94 and the site access. Fair share participation in intersection improvements at SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road may also be required.

LSC has previously recommended consideration of a planned and phased overall solution for potential future improvements at the SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine intersection and a cooperative approach to funding the planning, design, and implementation of an attainable and practical phased improvement plan. The approach to developing such a plan and its funding should be fair for both current and future applicants and based on relative traffic impacts and should consider the extent to which those traffic impacts are causing the need for certain components of the improvement plan. Such an approach could be to create a local improvement or special district or to create a program using a combination of advance escrow of funds for future improvements and/or cost recovery mechanisms (for improvements completed in earlier phases of said program).

## MAJOR TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS PLAN (MTCP)

## Reimbursable Improvements

The following roadway improvement projects have been identified as being needed by the year 2043 per Map 13 and Table 4 of El Paso County's 2016 MTCP:

- SH - SH 94 from Colorado Springs city limits to Slocum Line $(\$ 31,129,000)$
- Existing conditions - 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial
- Future conditions - 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial

See the attached MTCP maps for reference. Note: SH 94 is a CDOT facility and improvements to SH 94 are underway.

## COUNTY ROAD IMPROVEMENT FEE PROGRAM

## Transportation Impact Fees

> See snippet below, recalculate road impact fees to use method identified int the faq for rv storage.

Program.
This project will be required to participate in the El Paso Cour Although the project is only at the zoning stage, currently, per LSC's y f/derstanding of previous correspondence received from the County Principal Transportationklanner on another proposed RV Storage use, the roadway impact fee shall be calculated based op:

- 129 daily trips generated by the site on the average weekday
- Therefore, the calculated County Roadway Impact Fee for the RV storage use is $\$ 37,233$. This would be confirmed/finalized with the site development plan and payable at the building permit stage.

Note: This is based on the current rate of $\$ 398.55$ per daily trip times adjustment factors ( $0.71 \times 1.02 \times \$ 398.55 /$ trip). Rates are subject to change with periodic El Pas County updates.

## MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION AND TDM OPPORTUNITIES

The following multi-modal improvement projects have been identified as being needed by the year 2043 per Map 15 and Table 5 of El Pas County's 2016 MTCP:

- Proposed Secondary Regional Trail on SH 94 adjacent to Franceville Coal Mine Road

No sidewalks would be required on Franceville Coal Mine Road, as all study-area roadways are Rural roadways.

## EL PASO COUNTY DEVIATIONS

No transportation-related deviations to ECM design criteria are requested.


## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- The proposed development is projected to generate about 129 vehicle trips on the average weekday.
- During the AM peak hour, 6 vehicles would enter the site while 8 vehicles would exit.
- During the PM peak hour, 18 vehicles would enter the site while 16 vehicles would exit.
- The level of service analysis indicates peak-period delays in the LOS F range for the northbound approach to SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road intersection. This is primarily due to high peak-period traffic volumes on SH 94. Please refer to the "Level of Service" section above for detailed LOS analysis results.
- Based on existing eastbound-right-turn movement volume at the SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine intersection, an eastbound-right-turn lane is currently prescribed by State Highway Access Code criteria. Please refer to the "Auxiliary Turn Lane Analysis" section above for more details.
- At the site development plan stage, this project will potentially be required to participate on a pro-rata basis for roadway paving and potentially roadway-section upgrades to Franceville Coal Mine Road for the portion between Highway 94 and the site access. Fair share participation in intersection improvements at SH 94/Franceville Coal Mine Road may also be required. Please refer to the "Recommendations" section above.
- This project willpe required to participate in the El Paso County Road Improvement Fee Program. The calculated County Roadway Impact Fee for the RV storage use is $\$ 37,233$.

County will require paving Franceville Coal Mine Road from Highway 94 to the site access, not pro-rata.

Provide a recommendation for the Franceville Coal Mine Road
Please contact me if you have any ques street classification.

Respectfully Submitted,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

By: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E. Principal

JCH/JAB:jas

Coordinate with CDOT regarding the recommended improvements to SH 94 and the intersection with Franceville Coal Mine Road and provide a summary of CDOT's requirement.

Enclosures: Table 3
Figure 1 - Figure 10
Traffic Counts
Synchro LOS Reports
MTCP Maps

Table 2 : Trip Generation Estimate

| ITE |  | Value | Units ${ }^{1}$ | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average <br> Weekday |  | A.M. |  | P.M. |  | Average Weekday | A.M. |  | P.M. |  |
| Code | Description |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  | In | Out | In | Out |
| n/a | RV/Vehicle/Boat Storage | 10 | 100 Parking Spaces | 12.90 | 0.65 | 0.80 | 1.75 | 1.57 | 129 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 16 |
| ${ }^{1} 100$ Parking Spaces $=$ each 100 RV and boat storage spaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ "RV/Vehicle Storage" rates based on RV storage facility traffic studies. See Appendix A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/11/2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Revise table to show trip generation per the ITE and provide another row showing adjustments made to ITE trip generation amounts. It is unclear how these numbers were determined.

Include another trip gen for the highest and best use for commercial zoning. The rezone should focus on the zoning for the entire parcel, which is CS.

Figures

$\square$

CONSULTANTS, INC.
Figure 1
Vicinity Map


Figure 2


Figure 3
Counts by LSC (March 2023)
b = Stop Sign
$\frac{X}{X}=\frac{\text { AM Individual Movement Peak-Hour LOS }}{\text { PM Individual Movement Peak-Hour LOS }}$
$\frac{X X}{X X}=\frac{\text { AM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}{\text { PM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}$
TRANSPORTATION
CONSULTANTS, INC.


Figure 4


Figure 5



TRANSPORTATION
CONSULTANTS, INC.
Figure 6
PM peak hour $=4: 00 \mathrm{pm}-5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$
$\frac{X X}{X X}=\frac{\text { AM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}{\text { PM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}$
Site-Generated Traffic


Figure 7
Short-Term Baseline + Site Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS
$b=$ Stop Sign
$\frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{\text { AM Individual Movement Peak-Hour LOS }}{\text { PM Individual Movement Peak-Hour LOS }}$
$\frac{X X}{X X}=\frac{\text { AM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}{\text { PM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}$
$X, X X X=$ Average Daily Traffic (Vehicles/Day)
ABTR Storage (LSC\# S234070)




Note: Sight Distance looking
south is greater than 1,000
Figure 10
Sight Distance Analysis

## Traffic Counts

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Franceville Coal Mine Rd - Hwy 94 AM
Site Code : S234070
Start Date : 3/1/2023
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 94 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Franceville Coal Mine Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 94 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toaal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| 06:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 87 |
| 06:35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 100 |
| 06:40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 98 |
| 06:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 118 |
| 06:50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 101 |
| 06:55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 99 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 383 | 0 | 0 | 385 | 603 |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 119 |
| 07:05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 137 |
| 07:10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 138 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 136 |
| 07:20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 128 |
| 07:25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 103 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 128 |
| 07:35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 148 |
| 07:40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 99 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 112 |
| 07:50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 104 |
| 07:55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 121 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 508 | 1 | 0 | 509 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 957 | 0 | 0 | 959 | 1473 |
| 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 91 |
| 08:05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 86 |
| 08:10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 67 |
| 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 58 |
| 08:20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 85 |
| 08:25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 59 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 898 | 4 | 0 | 902 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 1603 | 0 | 0 | 1612 | 2522 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 |  | 0.6 | 99.4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 35.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 63.6 | 0 | 0 | 63.9 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Franceville Coal Mine Rd - Hwy 94 AM
Site Code : S234070
Start Date : 3/1/2023
Page No : 2

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 94 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Franceville Coal Mine Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 94 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:25-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 119 |
| 07:05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 137 |
| 07:10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 138 |
| 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 136 |
| 07:20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 128 |
| 07:25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 103 |
| 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 128 |
| 07:35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 148 |
| 07:40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 99 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 112 |
| 07:50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 104 |
| 07:55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 121 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 508 | 1 | 0 | 509 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 957 | 0 | 0 | 959 | 1473 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 60 | 0 | 40 | 0 |  | 0.2 | 99.8 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 730 | . 083 | . 000 | . 731 | . 125 | . 000 | . 167 | . 000 | . 208 | . 167 | . 876 | . 000 | . 000 | . 878 | . 829 |



# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Franceville Coal Mine Rd - Hwy 94 AM
Site Code : S234070
Start Date : 3/1/2023
Page No : 3


Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:25-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 06:30 |  |  |  |  | 06:40 |  |  |  |  | 06:55 |  |  |  |  | 07:00 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| +5 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| +10 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| +20 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| +25 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| +30 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| +35 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| +40 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| +50 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| +55 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 514 | 1 | 0 | 515 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 957 | 0 | 0 | 959 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 |  | 0.2 | 99.8 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 739 | . 083 | . 000 | . 740 | . 125 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 167 | . 876 | . 000 | . 000 | . 878 |



# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Franceville Coal Mine Rd - Hwy 94 PM
Site Code : S234070
Start Date : 3/1/2023
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 94 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Franceville Coal Mine Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 94 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toaal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| 16:05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 93 |
| 16:10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 106 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 101 |
| 16:20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 123 |
| 16:25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 99 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | , | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 113 |
| 16:35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 111 |
| 16:40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 102 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 90 |
| 16:50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 97 |
| 16:55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 73 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 740 | 1 | 0 | 741 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 17 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 1108 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 76 |
| 17:05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 66 |
| 17:10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 96 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 97 |
| 17:20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 80 |
| 17:25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 67 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 99 |
| 17:35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 59 |
| 17:40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 51 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 68 |
| 17:50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 55 |
| 17:55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 63 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 428 | 1 | 0 | 429 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 408 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 877 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1168 | 2 | 0 | 1170 | 11 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 47 | 24 | 744 | 0 | 0 | 768 | 1985 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 23.4 | 0 | 76.6 | 0 |  | 3.1 | 96.9 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58.8 | 0.1 | 0 | 58.9 | 0.6 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 37.5 | 0 | 0 | 38.7 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Franceville Coal Mine Rd - Hwy 94 PM
Site Code : S234070
Start Date : 3/1/2023
Page No : 2

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 94 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Franceville Coal Mine Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 94 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:05 to 17:55-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 93 |
| 16:10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 106 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 101 |
| 16:20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 123 |
| 16:25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 99 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 113 |
| 16:35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 111 |
| 16:40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 102 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 90 |
| 16:50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 97 |
| 16:55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 73 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 76 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 781 | 1 | 0 | 782 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 1184 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0 |  | 18.8 | 0 | 81.2 | 0 |  | 4.9 | 95.1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 766 | . 083 | . 000 | . 767 | . 125 | . 000 | . 542 | . 000 | . 444 | . 317 | . 827 | . 000 | . 000 | . 825 | . 802 |



# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Franceville Coal Mine Rd - Hwy 94 PM
Site Code : S234070
Start Date : 3/1/2023
Page No : 3


Peak Hour Analysis From 16:05 to 17:55-Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 16:05 |  |  |  |  | 16:05 |  |  |  |  | 16:35 |  |  |  |  | 16:35 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| +5 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| +10 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| +20 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| +25 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| +30 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| +35 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| +40 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| +45 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| +50 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| +55 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 781 | 1 | 0 | 782 | 10 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 37 | 8 | 409 | 0 | 0 | 417 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 99.9 | 0.1 | 0 |  | 27 | 0 | 73 | 0 |  | 1.9 | 98.1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 766 | . 083 | . 000 | . 767 | . 417 | . 000 | . 321 | . 000 | . 385 | . 333 | . 620 | . 000 | . 000 | . 621 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 367 | 19 | 1 | 781 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 367 | 19 | 1 | 781 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 399 | 21 | 1 | 840 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 500 | 35 | 3 | 825 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 500 | 35 | 3 | 825 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fr | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 543 | 38 | 3 | 887 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 18 | 13 | 0 | 29 | 5 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 76 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 63 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 927 | 1067 | - | - | 1606 | - |
| Stage 1 | 1010 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 960 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 910 | 1067 | - | - | 1606 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 910 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1010 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 943 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.4 |  | 0 |  | 6.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1067 | 1606 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.017 | 0.018 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.4 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 500 | 53 | 5 | 825 | 7 | 38 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 500 | 53 | 5 | 825 | 7 | 38 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fr | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 800 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 543 | 58 | 5 | 887 | 8 | 49 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Yr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 28 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 38 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 28 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 38 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 36 | 21 | 0 | 24 | 46 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 115 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 94 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 881 | 1056 | - | - | 1595 | - |
| Stage 1 | 1002 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 930 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 868 | 1056 | - | - | 1595 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 868 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 1002 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 916 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.5 |  | 0 |  | 2.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1056 | 1595 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.034 | 0.015 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.5 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |  | * ${ }^{\text {W }}$ |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 1100 | 21 | 2 | 625 | 5 | 67 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 1100 | 21 | 2 | 625 | 5 | 67 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 1158 | 22 | 2 | 672 | 5 | 81 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 6 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 677 | 0 | 0 | 1180 | 0 | 0 | 1525 | 1866 | 590 | 1274 | 1875 | 339 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1185 | 1185 | - | 679 | 679 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 340 | 681 | - | 595 | 1196 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - | 4.14 | - | - | 7.54 | 6.54 | 6.94 | 7.54 | 6.54 | 6.94 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.54 | 5.54 | - | 6.54 | 5.54 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.54 | 5.54 | - | 6.54 | 5.54 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.22 | - | - | 2.22 | - | - | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 911 | - | - | 588 | - | - | 81 | 72 | 451 | 124 | 71 | 657 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 201 | 261 | - | 408 | 449 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 648 | 448 | - | 458 | 258 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 911 | - | - | 588 | - | - | $\sim 78$ | 70 | 451 | 118 | 69 | 657 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim 78$ | 70 | - | 118 | 69 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 196 | 254 | - | 397 | 447 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 638 | 446 | - | 434 | 251 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.2 | 0 | 203 | 10.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | F | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 87 | 911 | - | -588 | - | -657 |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.066 | 0.009 | - | -0.004 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 203 | 9 | 0.1 | -11.1 | 0 | - | 10.5 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | A | - | B | A | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 6.3 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 s \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |  | ثt |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 1100 | 4 | 1 | 625 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 1100 | 4 | 1 | 625 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mumt Flow | 8 | 1158 | 4 | 1 | 672 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{4}_{4}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ |  |  | * |  | * |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 625 | 117 | 12 | 1025 | 7 | 56 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 625 | 117 | 12 | 1025 | 7 | 56 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Fre | Free | None | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 672 | 126 | 13 | 1102 | 8 | 72 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | へ $\uparrow$ |  |  | 4t |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 625 | 57 | 5 | 1025 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 625 | 57 | 5 | 1025 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 672 | 61 | 5 | 1102 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 14.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * 4 | 7 |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 1100 | 42 | 4 | 625 | 5 | 79 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 1100 | 42 | 4 | 625 | 5 | 79 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Frest | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 800 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 1158 | 44 | 4 | 672 | 5 | 95 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.2 | 0.2 | 257.6 | 10.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | F | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 88 | 911 | - | -576 | - | -657 |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.232 | 0.009 | - | -0.007 | - | - | 0.01 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 257.6 | 9 | 0.1 | -11.3 | 0.1 | - | 10.5 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | A | - | B | A | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%otile Q(veh) | 7.8 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | -1 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 14 | 76 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 14 | 76 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 18 | 92 | 0 | 29 | 29 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | ajor1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 179 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 92 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 87 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 811 | 965 | - | - | 1503 | - |
| Stage 1 | 932 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 936 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 795 | 965 | - | - | 1503 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 795 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 932 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 917 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.8 |  | 0 |  | 3.7 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 965 | 1503 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.019 | 0.02 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.8 | 7.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |





| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 85 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 64 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 916 | 1056 | - | - | 1595 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 1002 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 959 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 900 | 1056 | - | - | 1595 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 900 | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 1002 | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 942 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.5 |  | 0 |  | 6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBT | NBR1 | VBLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1056 | 1595 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.017 | 0.018 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.5 | 7.3 | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢4 | 「 |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 625 | 135 | 14 | 1025 | 7 | 81 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 625 | 135 | 14 | 1025 | 7 | 81 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fr | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 800 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 672 | 145 | 15 | 1102 | 8 | 98 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | -1 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 28 | 66 | 0 | 20 | 129 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 28 | 66 | 0 | 20 | 129 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 36 | 80 | 0 | 24 | 155 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -4 | 「 |  | + ${ }_{\text {F }}$ |  |  | \& |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 625 | 75 | 7 | 1025 | 7 | 46 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 625 | 75 | 7 | 1025 | 7 | 46 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 800 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 6 | 672 | 81 | 8 | 1102 | 8 | 55 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Yr |  | 1 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 28 | 26 | 0 | 20 | 62 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 28 | 26 | 0 | 20 | 62 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 36 | 33 | 0 | 24 | 75 |



## MTCP Maps

## Map 13: Improvements Map




Map 14: 2040 Roadway Plan (Classification and Lanes)


