MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR STERLING RANCH COST AND FEE ANALYSIS

MARCH 2020

Prepared for:

Morley-Bentley Investments, LLC 20 Boulder Crescent, 2nd Floor Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 471-1742

Prepared by:



102 E. Pikes Peak, Suite 500 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 955-5485

> Project #09-002 SKP-18-003 SF-17-024, SF19-004

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR STERLING RANCH COST AND FEE ANALYSIS

DRAINAGE PLAN STATEMENTS

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Virgil A. Sanchez, Colorado P.E. # 37160 For and on Behalf of M&S Civil Consultants, Inc.

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

I, the owner/developer, have read and will comply with all the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Morley-Bentley Investments, LLC

BY:

James F. Morley

TITLE:ManagerBUSINESS NAME:Morley-Bentley Investments, LLCADDRESS:20 Boulder Crescent, 2nd Floor
Colorado Springs, 80903

EL PASO COUNTY

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual, and Engineering Criteria Manual as amended.

DATE: _____

County Engineer / ECM Administrator DATE: _____

DATE:

CONDITIONS:

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR STERLING RANCH COST AND FEE ANALYSIS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE	1
SITE GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	1
DISCUSSION	1
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DRAINAGE STUDIES	1
SAND CREEK SCDBPS REIMBURSABLE IMPROVEMENTS VERSUS STERLING RANCH	3
PROPOSED VARIATIONS TO SCDBPS FOR REIMBURSEMEN	4
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	5
REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITIES	5
SUMMARY	7
APPENDIX	

APPENDIX

Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study Costs for Sterling Ranch Sterling Ranch Estimated Construction Cost Opinion SCDBPS Table Excerpts Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1 - Tracts and Right-of-Way - Drainage & Bridge Fees Fees for the foreseeable Final Plat Recordings within Sterling Ranch - January 2019

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR STERLING RANCH COST AND FEE ANALYSIS

PURPOSE

This document is the Cost and Fee Analysis for the Sterling Ranch Master Development Drainage Plan (MDDP. The purpose of this report is to summarize the reimbursable improvements as identified in the Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study (SCDBPS) as it relates to the Sterling Ranch development. The approved master development drainage plan for Sterling Ranch (MDDP) identifies the proposed improvements and this document compares the SCDBPS improvements versus the actual improvements per the approved Sterling Ranch MDDP.

SITE GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Sterling Ranch is a 1444 acre parcel located in Sections 27, 28, 32, 33 & 34, Township 12 South, and Section 4, Township 13 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M., in the City of Colorado Springs, El Paso County, Colorado. The project is located along Vollmer Road northeast of the intersection of Black Forest Road and Woodmen Road approximately 1.2 miles northeast to the southern boundary. The development is proposed to be zoned "PUD", Planned Unit Development. Development of Sterling Ranch is anticipated to be completed in multiple phases.

DISCUSSION

- This document is to discuss cost and fees, not hydrology or hydraulics. The purpose of this document is to analyze Sterling Ranch reimbursable improvements as a whole. Individual subdivision filings will analyze local and reimbursable improvements.
- Refer to appendix for summary of SCDBPS improvements within Sterling Ranch. These improvements have been taken from the SCDBPS and multiplied by 2.033 to compare to 2019 dollars.
- The second summary in the appendix is the estimated actual cost for construction to build and or replace the SCDBPS improvements. These costs are preliminary and need to updated as more planning and engineering take place. They are also preliminary for Sterling Ranch development with little or no development planning, only based upon the approved sketch plan.
- The difference in costs between SCDBPS reimbursable improvements and the MDDP improvements are shown in the following appendices.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DRAINAGE STUDIES

Major differences between the SCDBPS and the approved MDDP are;

- Research Parkway has been relocated by the approved sketch plan for Sterling Ranch. Therefore, the bridges and culverts in the SCDBPS are no longer necessary or will be relocated to Sterling Ranch Road.
- A tributary for Research Parkway west of sand creek is no longer crossing Research Parkway, instead the flows from the tributary are being redirected to sand creek north of Research Parkway.
- At the southeast corner of Sterling Ranch, Research Parkway has been relocated and now Banning Lewis Parkway will be constructed near this location.
- The SCDBPS calls out for grade control, channel bank linings, and check structures. the current EPC criteria and design for the sand creek channel will comprise of check structures, drops and channel bank linings protection.

- The SCDBPS does not consider all land and tributaries within Sterling Ranch. The SCDBPS limit of study stops short of the total length of the tributaries.
- The SCDBPS does not provide a reimbursable cost for the 100-year capacity outlet control structures for the existing ponds, nor does it allow for costs to improve and stabilize the existing embankments.
- The SCDBPS shows to improve the existing tributaries with rip rap lined channels. however, the approved MDDP shows to replace the tributaries with reinforced concrete pipe.
- The SCDBPS does not show historic flows crossing Vollmer Road from north to south in all locations as currently exists.
- The SCDBPS does not agree with current EPC standards, including but not limited to water quality. The approved MDDP requires full spectrum detention ponds in lieu of regional ponds for water quality and detention. Therefore, per current EPC criteria, full spectrum detention ponds can be considered 50% reimbursable, if they qualify per EPC requirements.

Notable Facts Shown in the Appendices;

- A table has been provided to show the drainage and bridge fees paid for Sterling Ranch filing No.1. This final plat did not contain single-family lots. The imperviousness for the tracts and right-of-way are summarized on this sheet.
- A table has been provided to show the "fees for the foreseeable final plat recordings within Sterling Ranch January 2019". This document shows the tabulation of fees or credits used to record the recent final plats and upcoming final plats. This table should be updated with each Final Drainage Report and recorded Final Plat at Sterling Ranch. The amount of these fees therefore can be compared to constructed reimbursable drainage and bridge improvements.
- Once actual reimbursable improvements are constructed and preliminarily accepted by EPC, a summary of actual construction costs shall be compiled. These costs shall be submitted to EPC for review. Following EPC review, a request to the City/EPC drainage board shall occur for approval of reimbursement. This process shall occur upon the request of EPC after a significant amount of construction occurs.

The following paragraphs are quoted from the approved MDDP for Sterling Ranch;

SAND CREEK SCDBPS (SCDBPS) REIMBURSABLE IMPROVEMENTS VERSUS STERLING RANCH MDDP

SCDBPS Segment 159, & 164 (SCDBPS Pages 47-48, 50A) - Western Tributary to Sand Creek Channel

The existing swale is a western Tributary of the Sand Creek. The confluence of the tributary and the main stem exists within the Woodmen Heights master plan area, south of Sterling Ranch. These two existing channel segments are proposed in the SCDBPS as "Improved Riprap Channel, Bottom Width 25', Depth 3', Slope 1.2%, 3' Drops @ 270' intervals, Q100=600 cfs". The two Segments are divided by "Proposed Research Parkway" (currently relocated, and known as Marksheffel Road & Research Parkway) The crossing is shown in the DPBS as; 2-6'High x 9' Wide Concrete Box Culverts. The MDDP does not propose a CBC crossing of the western tributary for Research Parkway at this location. The tributary will be crossed by Sterling Ranch Road using a ~66" RCP.

The SCDBPS does not continue the analysis northerly through the existing industrial property, which does not account for flows from the west side of Vollmer Road. This MDDP, accounts for +300 acres of property on the west side of Vollmer Road that is tributary to Segment 159 & 164. The MDDP design uses RCP to convey the existing and developed storm water to Sand Creek, in lieu of Riprap channels. Furthermore, the MDDP proposes Pond W-5, at the southeast side of Segment 159, to provide detention and water quality prior to discharge in Sand Creek. (See Detention Section of this report for more information on Pond FSD6)

*The facilities in this reach should be considered reimbursable since the proposed drainage improvements are to be constructed with RCP in lieu of an improved riprap channel. Also, the MDDP completes the tributary analysis after where the SCDBPS study terminated.

SCDBPS Segment 163, 187, 170 & 171 (SCDBPS Pages 49-53) - Mainstem Sand Creek Channel

The SCDBPS for Sand Creek channel within Sterling Ranch proposes check structures, select riprap linings and grade control structures to improve the existing channel. The DPBS also states;

"Areas within the exiting floodplain or the low flow zone of the drainageway where riparian or wetland vegetation exists shall be preserved in its existing cross section. Areas disturbed by the construction of drops, grade control, culverts, or channel bank linings shall be revegetated with native species."

The SCDBPS proposes two crossings of major roadways within Sterling Ranch. The southerly one is at "Proposed Research Parkway" (currently relocated and known as Marksheffel Road & Research Parkway), which is now shown on the approved Sketch Plan for Sterling Ranch as "Sterling Ranch Road". The second major crossing is at "Proposed Banning-Lewis Parkway" (Which is now shown on the approved Sketch Plan for Sterling Ranch as "Briargate Parkway"). Per the SCDBPS the southerly crossing is proposed as; 4-10' wide x 8' High Concrete Box Culverts). The northerly crossing of Brairgate Parkway is proposed as; 4-10' wide x 8' High concrete box culverts. Both these proposed crossings are shown in the SCDBPS as reimbursable bridges. A second crossing of "Research Parkway is shown on the SCDBPS (6'H x 8'W CBC) east of Sand Creek along the southern boundary of Sterling Ranch (6'H x 8'W CBC). This MDDP does not propose a CBC crossing for the eastern tributary for Research Parkway at this location).

The MDDP proposes to construct the Sand Creek main stem channel improvements as suggested by the SCDBPS and per current EPC criteria. The MDDP also proposes to construct the CBC box culverts under Sterling Ranch Road and Briargate Parkway. The final design of the Sand Creek channel and crossings will determine the total number and size of structures, drops, box culverts, etc...Refer to the detailed drainage discussion for preliminary size of the two crossing based upon the MDDP hydrology Calculations have been provided in the appendix.

Additional Reimbursable improvements along the Sand Creek Channel include, as shown in the SCDBPS are; Pond Outlet Structures (Segment 170 & 163). These structures and all others along Sand Creek will be re-analyzed in the final design stage.

*The proposed channel improvements are considered reimbursable in the SCDBPS, however the final design and current EPC criteria will deviate from the proposed improvements in the SCDPBS. It is generally assumed that the proposed improvement costs will exceed the SCDBPS costs.

SCDBPS Segment 186 & 169 (SCDBPS Pages 51-52) - Western Tributary to Sand Creek Channel

The existing swale is a western Tributary of the Sand Creek. The confluence of the tributary and the main stem exists within the Sterling Ranch master plan area. These two existing channel segments are proposed in the SCDBPS as "Improved Riprap Channel, Bottom Width 20', Depth 3', Slope 1.3%, 3' Drops @ 450' intervals, Q100=500 cfs" (Segment 186) and Improved Riprap Channel, Bottom Width 20', Depth 2', Slope 1.8%, 3' Drops, Q100=325 cfs" (Segment 169). The two Segments are divided by "Proposed Banning-Lewis Parkway" (currently known as Briargate Parkway). The crossing is shown in the DPBS as a; 6'High x 10' Wide Concrete Box Culverts. The MDDP does not propose a CBC crossing of Briargate Parkway at this location. The SCDBPS also shows a 60" CMP culvert across Vollmer Road at the terminus of Segment 169.

The SCDBPS does not continue the analysis northerly across Vollmer Road. This MDDP, accounts for +300 acres of property on the west side of Vollmer Road that is tributary to Segment 186 & 169. The MDDP design uses RCP to convey the existing and developed storm water to Sand Creek, in lieu of riprap channels. The flows north of Briargate Parkway (Segment 169) will be diverted along the northerly right-of-way of Briargate Parkway to Sand Creek. The flows south of Briargate Parkway (Segment 186) will be conveyed to Sand Creek through the proposed development. The MDDP proposes to install a 60" RCP culvert under Vollmer Road along with Headwalls and Wing Walls. The construction of these improvements will occur with the widening of Vollmer Road and the construction of the adjacent development at Sterling Ranch.

(Refer to MDDP for Sterling Ranch Filing Nos. 1 &2, and Final Drainage Report for Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1, approved January, 2018). Construction drawings for RCP to replace Segment 186 were approved as a part of Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1,

*The facilities in this reach should be considered reimbursable since the proposed drainage improvements are to be constructed with RCP in lieu of an improved riprap channel. Also, the MDDP completes the tributary analysis after where the SCDBPS study terminated west of Vollmer Road.

SCDBPS Segment 92 (SCDBPS Page EF-34) - East Fork Tributary to Sand Creek Channel

The existing swale is a part of the Eastern Tributary of Sand Creek. The confluence of the tributary and the main stem exists several miles south of the Sterling Ranch master plan area. The existing channel segments are proposed in the SCDBPS as "Improved Riprap Channel, Bottom Width 15', Depth 3', select bank linings. (No other data was given) The Segment terminates at the southern boundary of Sterling Ranch at "Proposed Research Parkway", and continues southerly as Segment 84. These two Segments are divided by "Proposed Research Parkway" (currently shown on the approved Sketch Plan for Sterling Ranch as Banning-Lewis Parkway) The crossing is shown in the DPBS as a; 6'High x 10' Wide Concrete Box Culverts. The MDDP does not propose a CBC crossing of Banning-Lewis Parkway at this location.

The SCDBPS (Segment 92) does not continue the analysis more than a few thousand feet north of the south boundary of Sterling Ranch. This MDDP, accounts for +1,000 acres of property north of the SCDBPS studied area. The MDDP design uses RCP to convey the existing and developed storm water to the Eastern Tributary of Sand Creek, in lieu of Riprap channels. Furthermore, the MDDP proposes Pond FSD-E7, at the southeast corner of Sterling Ranch, to provide detention and water quality prior to discharge in Eastern Tributary Channel of Sand Creek. (See Detention Section of this report for more information on Pond FSD-E6).

*The facilities in this reach should be considered reimbursable since the proposed drainage improvements are to be constructed with RCP in lieu of an improved riprap channel. Also, the MDDP completes the tributary analysis after where the SCDBPS study terminated.

Proposed Variations to SCDBPS for Reimbursement

The MDDP identifies regional improvements for Sterling Ranch and for existing land outside the limits of Sterling Ranch to the west, north & east. The SCDBPS limited study did not address these areas. Therefore, the MDDP requests that these regional public infrastructure components be reimbursable.

<u>Sand Creek Regional Pond W3 north of Sterling Ranch Road</u> (See Detention Pond Section of this report for more information regarding detention ponds). The purpose of this sub-regional on-line detention facility is to control storm water events to discharge at historic levels downstream of Sterling Ranch. Therefore, the storm water flows exiting Sterling Ranch and conveyed into the Woodmen Heights development (City of Colorado Springs) to the south are consistent. The MDDP requests that the construction of this online sub-regional pond is reimbursable.

<u>FSD Ponds</u> - There will be multiple Full Spectrum Detention and Water Quality Ponds (FSD Ponds) located within the Sterling Ranch development. (One off-site pond is proposed west of Vollmer Road and north of Marksheffel Road) These ponds will control both existing off-site and on-site developed storm water. The MDDP requests that the Sterling Ranch FSD Ponds be reimbursable. These ponds will also control the discharge of storm water across the Sterling Ranch development which will reduce the size and cost of public storm pipe between the ponds and discharge into Sand Creek or the Eastern Tributary of Sand Creek.

<u>Additional Culvert crossings of Vollmer Road</u> - Additional culverts across Vollmer Road are required to convey the storm water from the west side to the east side. The existing Vollmer Road and roadside swales are inadequate to covey the 100-year storm. The culverts and improvements to Vollmer Road will drastically improve the current storm water public infrastructure. The culverts, FSD's, and downstream storm water pipe to convey these flows to Sand Creek will be requested to be reimbursable.

<u>Un-named easterly tributary for the Sand Creek</u> - A second crossing of "Research Parkway is shown on the SCDBPS east of Sand Creek along the southern boundary of Sterling Ranch (6'H x 8'W CBC). The MDDP does not propose a CBC crossing for the eastern tributary for Research Parkway at this location, because Research Parkway is no longer proposed along the southern boundary of Sterling Ranch. However, the tributary for this crossing was un-studied in the SCDBPS. The MDDP for Sterling

proposed storm sewer pipe and open channel to convey the developed flows into the Sand Creek Channel. The existing flows rates will be reduced but remain present for the downstream properties. See Existing Basin section of this report. The MDDP request that this Un-named tributary be considered reimbursable.

CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS

Per the Sand Creek SCDBPS, Sand Creek and connected tributaries in the area of the site will require improvements. The east and west tributary reaches within the site boundary will not require improvements because the tributaries will no longer be present, as development in the areas will eliminate them, and replace them with full spectrum detentions ponds and storm sewer systems which will collect and control the discharge into Sand Creek. The western tributary reach within the site boundary will require some improvements in some areas but will also be eliminated by development and replaced with large diameter storm sewer and Pond FSD6 (Pond W5 as an example), to control the discharge into Sand Creek. However, Sand Creek itself will continue to be routed through the development.

In the existing condition the main branch of Sand Creek Channel measures \sim 9,850 linear feet. The existing channel bed is heavily vegetated, with native grasses and slopes typically ranging from 0.50% - 4.0%, with an average slope of 1.6%. The existing side slopes typically range from 1:1 to 10:1, and are composed of native grasses and exposed sand stone. The channel contains 3 existing stock ponds.

Per the SCDBPS, Reach SC-9, the recommended improvements to the channel include selective rip rap linings, grade control check structures, and drop structure improvements that are anticipated to stabilize the channel to prevent further degradation, scour and meandering. Offline Full Spectrum Detention will reduce peak flows within the channel there-by added to the integrity of the Sand Creek Channel. With stabilization and improvements to the outlet work and overflow routing paths, the existing stock ponds are proposed to be preserved as amenities for the adjacent development.

The concept design of the channel will initially be based upon the FEMA flow rate of 2,600 cfs. This is a conservative flow to allow for planning of trails and developed lots. The calculated max flow as determined with this report is \sim 2,200 cfs. This flow number will be used for the analysis of a CLOMR/LOMR for the design of the channel improvements and submittal to FEMA. Coordination with FEMA and the Army Corps of Engineers will occur prior to the submittal of the design drawings for the channel improvements. The FEMA flow rates, SCDBPS flow rates and those calculated by this analysis are provided in the appendix.

HEC-RAS input and output files that model the developed peak 100 year flows across the existing channel (LOMR X Sections) has been provided in the appendix as a cursory evaluation of some of the short comings of the existing channel that will need to be address with the future improvements. Based upon the model output velocities and shear in the 100 year developed condition range from 3.9 fps to 27.0 fps and 0.2 lbs/sf to 14.9 lbs/sf with depths between 0.7' and 8.0' in depth. The proposed channel improvements as shown in the SCDBPS will function to arrest erosion caused by the developed runoff while minimizing impacts to the existing vegetation. The above data is for information purposes only, the final design will provide actual data for the channel design.

Upstream and downstream channel improvements are proposed to be similar to what was anticipated in the SCDBPS. Check structures and rip-rap lining in some locations shall be installed to handle the increase in volume of flows from the full spectrum detention ponds. In the final design stage for the Sand Creek Channel, the channel will be analyzed to verify the amount of improvements necessary. The existing culverts under Mustang Place are currently inadequate. They are recommended by the SCDBPS to be enlarged to 6'Hx8'W CBC. These culverts will be analyzed at the time of final design to determine the correct size in order to accommodate the developed flows, which will be discharged from Sterling Ranch less than historic.

REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITIES

A single regional online, onsite detention facility (Pond W3), upstream of Sterling Ranch Road (at DP68), is recommended to aid in the controlling of the total runoff leaving Sterling Ranch. Although the development of Sterling Ranch will require the implementation and construction of several FSD ponds to mitigate increase runoff and provide WQCV. The total amount of runoff reaching the Sand Creek Channel is greater than historic, due to the inter-basin transfer of drainage from East Fork of Sand Creek Watershed. The roadway embankment, proximity to the southern boundary and the need for a culvert

crossing at this location make the location practical. A separate design report for this facility will be necessary to verify the volumetric sizing requirements.

Prior to this analysis an online regional facility was also recommended within Sterling Ranch (on the Sand Creek Channel) upstream of Briargate Parkway at DP 69. The planned implementation of offline full spectrum detention for the developable ground upgradient of this location will alleviate the need for this facility. The culvert crossing at this location will be sized in a manner that allows for the free discharge of flow thought the structure.

*For the following Ponds (W3, W4 & E7) *The construction of the Regional Detention Pond should be considered reimbursable due to the regional nature of the facility controlling the developed drainage to historic levels at the City / EPC boundary. The purpose to control the flow to a known number is to be consistent with downstream facilities and previous drainage analysis.*

POND W3

It should be noted that after the initial run of the Proposed Condition Model, it was determined that the peak developed 100-year flow reaching the subject reach were higher than the 100-year existing condition flow rates and higher than the 100-year peak flows anticipated by the Wilson Study. To reduce the runoff, a detention facility has been added to the model upstream of Sterling Ranch Road within the Sterling Ranch Development. The incorporation of this facility when coupled with multiple Full Spectrum Detention facilities will allow the development upstream of the City/County boundary to release developed discharge at a rate this is at or below the current existing flow rates. It should be noted that the location of the facility was previously planned as a regional pond /park site in the Sterling Ranch 2010 MDDP (Draft) and Sketch Plan. Stage storage and stage volume worksheets are included in the attachments for this pond. It is anticipated that this facility can be designed without having to be jurisdiction in nature. Based upon preliminary modeling the pond will reduce 100 year peak runoff rates from 2204 to less than 1400 cfs. The pond will detain a maximum of 78 acre feet at a depth of around 10 feet. The pond embankment containing the 100 year event will be separate from Sterling Ranch Road. An exhibit detailing the concept design is provided in the appendix of this report. It is important to note that this pond will allow for the free discharge of the 2 year storm and is not intended to provide water quality and will meet the state statue regarding the allowable release times.

Design point 61 is located on the maps between Sand Creek Regional Detention Pond 3 and south boundary of Sterling Ranch just upstream of Mustang Road. Future development in the watershed should attempt to mimic the flow rates provided within the report with special consideration given to the flow at the City/County boundary line at Design Point 61. It should be noted that the hydrologic calculations contained in this memorandum are intended to aid in the design of the crossing structure at Marksheffel Road north of City Pond 3 (DP 60A) and as a planning resource to limit the amount of developed runoff discharged into the Sand Creek Channel. This report is not intended to be utilized for final design of stormwater storage facilities and infrastructure. It should also be noted, that this report did not include City Pond 3 in any of its models and was only used as a comparison point.

POND W4

Pond W4 is planned for the northwest corner of Marksheffel Road and Vollmer Road. The purpose of the pond is to provide some detention of stormwater flows for the land on the west side of Vollmer Road. Currently, no public stormwater improvements exist in the developments west of Vollmer Road. Therefore, Pond W4 will collect the flows on the west side, and convey to Sand Creek. These flows are discharged directly into sand creek, bypassing Pond W5. This facility does not provide water quality treatment for the existing developments. Pond W4 is sized to maximize the area located in a tract of Land dedicated by the Final Plat for Highland Park Filing No. 2 - Tract G. The detention area could potentially be enlarged in the future if more land is purchased, and available to enlarge the pond. The design of Pond W4 will accommodate the extension of Marksheffel Road / Research Parkway and will be furthered in subsequent drainage reports. The construction of Pond W4 facilitates "solves" an existing drainage problem in the existing right-of-way of Vollmer Road. Pond W4 and its downstream facilities will be requested to be a reimbursable facility.

*The construction of this pond solves existing EPC drainage deficiencies on the west side of Vollmer Road.

POND E7

Pond E7 will be required to at the southeast corner of Sterling Ranch to detain developed flows and release at or less than Historic. The pond is necessary and should be coordinated with downstream improvements accompanying the extension of Banning Lewis Parkway and property currently under the ownership of Norwood Development.

Pond W5

Pond W5 is located at the most southern end of Sterling Ranch west of Sand Creek. Pond W5 has a combined upstream developed runoff of Q5=217.4 cfs and Q100=517.9 cfs. The proposed Detention Pond functions to provide full spectrum detention and water quality for runoff calculated onsite. The pond is designed to treat approx 175.6 acres, and provide 2.97 ac-ft of water quality storage and 17.37 ac-ft of 100-year storage. The forebay, trickle channel micropool, outlet structure and pipe have been designed per the UDFCD manual and per the Detention Design-UD-Detention v3.05 workbook. See Sand Creek Channel Study-Future Hydrologic Conditions Map in the appendix. Impacts from the outfall into Sand Creek will be addressed in the revised TM-SCCS.

SUMMARY

Per the analysis above and tables in the Appendix, the cost of the MDDP reimbursable improvements exceeds the improvement costs per the SCDBPS. The total 2019 cost of SCDBPS reimbursable facilities is \$5,773,945. The total estimated 2019 costs to construct the reimbursable facilities as proposed is \$12,272,563. Therefore the difference is \$6,498,618, which is more than what was projected in the SCDBPS. The Sterling Ranch Metropolitan District is requesting to increase the Sand Creek Drainage Basin drainage fee to accommodate the shortfall, or consider that the drainage construction within the Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan be a closed basin.

Furthermore; The total 2019 Drainage fees for the entire Sterling Ranch is \$12,545,856 (Based on 1440 Acres and the 2019 Fee Amount), is similarly close to the same cost of the construction of the reimbursable facilities - \$12,272,563. Therefore, by closing the drainage basin for Sterling Ranch, the fees and costs would come close to a balance at the end of the day. (Assuming cost and fees increase at the same rate over the next 20+ years). The same comparison can be made for the bridge fees and costs.

SAND CREEK DBPS COSTS FOR STERLING RANCH

SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY COSTS FOR STERLING RANCH

	1996	2019	DIFF	% INCREASE	<u>X MULTIPLIER</u>
DRAINAGE	\$4,895	\$18,940	\$14,045	387%	2.033
BRIDGE	\$323	\$5.559	\$5.236	1721%	2 033

Tributary Drainageway Conveyance Cost Estimate (pg. 73 DBPS)	Estimate (pg. 73	DBPS)					
				GRADE		DBPS	X MULTIPLIER
DBPS SEG/DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	CONTROLS	LENGTH	REIMBURSABLE COST	
169 100-YR RIPRAP	Ч	650	\$175	-	40	\$120,950	\$245,891.35
186 100-YR RIPRAP	Ч	2250	\$200	5	200	\$486,000	\$988,038.00
159 100-YR RIPRAP	Ľ	2100	\$200	14	840	\$571,200	\$1,161,249.60
164 100-YR RIPRAP	Ľ	1350	\$200	5	200	\$306,000	\$622,098.00
SUB-TOTAL (DBPS Dollars)						\$1,484,150	
* (2019 Dollars)	*(2019 Dollar	*(2019 Dollars) - Drainage Fee Multiplier - 2.033	Iultiplier - 2.033			\$3.017.277	\$3.017.276.95

5)	Roadway Culvert Crossing Cost Estimate (pg. 77 DBPS)	og. 77 DBPS)					
						DBPS	X MULTIPLIER
	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST		REIMBURSABLE COST	
	Volimer Road - 60° CMP	Ľ	80	\$120	*2, 4, 5, 8	\$9,600	\$19,516.80
	Ban'g Lewis Pkwy - 6'H x 10'W CBC	ц	120	\$390	44	\$46,800	\$95,144.40
	SUB-TOTAL (DBPS Dollars)	J				\$56,400	
	* (2019 Dollars)	*(2019 Dollar	*(2019 Dollars) - Drainage Fee Multiplier - 2.033	ultiplier - 2.033		\$114,661	\$114,661.20

SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY COSTS FOR STERLING RANCH

GRADE GRADE DBPS x MULTIPLIER DBPS SEG/DESCRIPTION UNIT QUANTITY UNIT COST CONTROLS LENGTH REIMBURSABLE COST 92 Sel. Linings (1 side) LF 5400 \$93 7 280 \$600,200 \$1,220,206.60 SUB-TOTAL (DBPS Dollars) * (2019 Dollars) - Drainage Fee Multiplier - 2.033 7 280 \$600,200 \$1,220,206.60	3)	Sand Creek Tributary Drainageway Conveyance Cost Estimate (pg. 64 DBPS)	ance Cost Estil	stimate (pg. 64 DBPS)					
DESCRIPTION UNIT QUANTITY UNIT COST CONTROLS LENGTH REIMBURSABLE COST LF 5400 \$93 7 280 \$600,200 Ilars) \$1,20,203 \$1,20,203 \$1,20,207						GRADE		DBPS	X MULTIPLIER
LF 5400 \$93 7 280 \$600,200 ollars) \$600,200 \$600		DBPS SEG/DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	CONTROLS	LENGTH	REIMBURSABLE COST	
\$600,200 (2019 Dollars) - Drainage Fee Multiplier - 2.033 (2019 Dollars) - Drainage Fee Multiplier - 2.033		92 Sel. Linings (1 side)	Ŀ	5400	\$93	7	280	\$600,200	\$1,220,206.60
*(2019 Dollars) - Drainage Fee Multiplier - 2.033 \$1.220,207		SUB-TOTAL (DBPS Dollars)	1					\$600,200	
		* (2019 Dollars)	*(2019 Dollar	rs) - Drainage Fee Mi	ultiplier - 2.033			\$1,220,207	\$1,220,206.60

				GRADE		DBPS	X MULTIPLIER
DBPS SEG/DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	CONTROLS	LENGTH	REIMBURSABLE COST	
163 Sel. Linings (1 side)	Ľ	2600	\$127	15	1200	\$546,200	\$1,110,424.60
187 Sel. Linings (1 side)	En l	0	\$0	2	160	\$28,800	\$58,550.40
170 Sel. Linings (1 side)	5	0	\$0	ო	240	\$43,200	\$87,825.60
SUB-TOTAL (DBPS Dollars)	3					\$618,200	
* (2019 Dollars)	*(2019 Dollar	*(2019 Dollars) - Drainage Fee Multiplier - 2.033	lultiplier - 2.033			\$1.256.801	\$1 256 800 60

2)	Existing Pond Outlet Structures and Embankment Repairs (pg. 50, 52, 53)	oankment Repain	s (pg. 50, 52, 53)					
						PROPOSED	DBPS	X MULTIPLIER
	DBPS SEG/DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST		REIMBURSABLE COST REIMBURSABLE COST	REIMBURSABLE COST	
	SEG 170 - Pond Outlet	•	÷	\$20,000	*3,*10	\$20,000	\$0.00	
	Embankment	-		\$35,000	01.'6.	\$35,000	\$0.00	
	SEG 170 - Pond Outlet	-	F	\$20,000	01°,°E°	\$20,000	\$0.00	
	Embankment	-	-	\$35,000	•3,*10	\$35,000	\$0.00	
	SEG 163 - Pond Outlet	-	-	\$20,000	0 14 6.	\$20,000	\$0.00 \$	
	Embankment	-	5	\$35,000	01.°C.	\$35,000	\$0.00	
	SUB-TOTAL (DBPS Dollars)					\$165,000	\$0.00	
	* (2019 Dollars)	*(2019 Dollar	rs - Proposed Costs	*(2019 Dollars - Proposed Costs - Not included in DBPS)		\$165,000	\$0.00	
	TOTAL REIMBURSABLE DRAINAGE COST PER	3T PER DBPS (20	DBPS (2019 DOLLARS)				\$5,773,945	Credits
	ESTIMATED ACTUAL COSTS FOR REIMBURSABLE DRAINAGE FACILITIES (See Estimated Const. Cost Opinion) *DIFFERENCE:	3URSABLE DRAI	NAGE FACILITIES	(See Estimated Const. I	Cost Opinior	-	\$12,272,563 \$6,498,618	Est. Cost

SR MDDP Reimbursable Costs.xls

Page 2of 3

CH
A
8
ž
R
Ë
RS
6
STS
SO SO
Ö
q
Ę
Ü
NINN
PL
Z
AS
Ш
AG
AN
R
X
C.R.
Q
SA

-

"Sang Creek Bridge Crossing Cost Estimate (pg. 83 UBPS)	ate (pg. 83 DBPS	~			
				DBPS	X MULTIPLIER
DBPS SEG/DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	REIMBURSABLE COST	
163 Research Pkwy 4-8'H x 10'W CBC	Ľ	80	\$1,560	\$124,800	\$253,718,40
Resarch Pkwy 6"H x 8"W CBC	Ľ	80	\$1,560	\$124,800	\$253,718.40
187 Banning Lewis Pkwy 4-8'rl x 10W CBC	5	80	\$1,560	\$124,800	\$253,718.40
SUB-TOTAL (DBPS Dollars)	ļ			\$374,400	
* (2019 Dollars)	*(2019 Dollar	s) - Bridge Fee Mul	*(2019 Dollars) - Bridge Fee Multiplier - See previous sheet - 2.033	\$761,155	\$761,155.20
TOTAL REIMBURSABLE BRIDGE COST PER DB	ER DBPS (2019	DOLLARS) - Per P	PS (2019 DOLLARS) - Per Proposed Amendment	\$761,155	Credits
ESTIMATED ACTUAL COSTS FOR REIMBURSABLE BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS *DIFFERENCE:	URSABLE BRID	GE IMPROVEMEN	ŝ	\$2,640,000 \$1,878,845	Est. Cost
"Cost Difference Summary					
1. The Sand Creek DBPS assumed a lower density of development for the proposed Sterling Ranch area.	ensity of develop	ment for the propos	ed Sterling Ranch area.		
2. Vollmer Road culverts are propsed as CMP in the	in the SCDBPS,	However RCP is th	s SCDBPS, However RCP is the standard and therefore should be reimbursable.		
3. No Costs for existing pond outlet structures or em	s or embankment	bankment repairs were given in the SCDBPS	n the SCDBPS		
4. The Sand Creek bridge estimate is only 80 I	LF, however the	ROW is 160' in wid	4. The Sand Creek bridge estimate is only 80 LF, however the ROW is 160' in width and with the embankment considered the actual lengths will exceed 200 LF.	s will exceed 200 LF.	
5. The Sand Creek roadway culvert estimate assumes CMP pipe, however RCP pipe is now the standard.	assumes CMP pil	pe, however RCP p	pe is now the standard.		
6. The Sand Creek drainageway estimate assu	sumes grade cont	rol structures only, I	6. The Sand Creek drainageway estimate assumes grade control structures only, however drop structures will replace some of the check structures.	ructures.	
 The Sand Creek drainageway assumes des 8. The Sand Creek DBPS does not consider V. 	sign for some 10. Vollmer Road as a	yr facilities, howeve an improved arterial	 The Sand Creek drainageway assumes design for some 10-yr facilities, however 100-yr facilities will be constructed throughout the development. The Sand Creek DBPS does not consider Vollmer Road as an improved arterial road. however Vollmer Road drainade improvements will be necessary 	opment. The necessary	
9. The Sand Creek DBPS (page 50) 100-yr ou	utlet control struct	ure for the existing	9. The Sand Creek DBPS (page 50) 100-yr outlet control structure for the existing pond was not included in the cost estimate for the Sand Creek Improvements,	reek Improvements,	
however for the existing embankment to remain, a structure will be necessary.	ain, a structure wil	l be necessary.			
10. Item Not included in Sand Creek DBPS cost Estimate - But should	ost Estimate - Bui	t should			
II. Dalifility Lewis Fairway actuali cosis wili ial exceso dang Greek Lidfo dugge	ial exceed oand	ureek upro pugge			

STERLING RANCH ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION

Estimated Construction Cost Opinion STERLING RANCH

SAND

	SAND CRE	EEK BASIN CONSI	SAND CREEK BASIN CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION PER MDDP		
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	REIMBURSABLE COST
Proposed Drainage improvements to replace DBPS Sand Creek Tributary Segments 169, 186, 92, 159, 164	lace DBPS Sand (Creek Tributary Se	egments 169, 186, 92, 159, 164		
PIPES					
24" RCP	5	1800	\$84	\$151,200	\$0
30" RCP	Ļ	140	\$94	\$13,160	\$
36" RCP	Ŀ	2150	\$124	\$266,600	\$0
42" RCP	LF	1070	\$134	\$143,380	\$143,380
48" RCP	LF	3360	\$178	\$598,080	\$598,080
54" RCP	۲	3100	\$182	\$564,200	\$564,200
60° RCP	5	1380	\$216	\$298,080	\$298,080
66" RCP	5	2200	\$263	\$578,600	\$578,600
72" RCP	5	2500	\$283	\$707,500	\$707,500
78" RCP	5	1400	\$315	\$441,000	\$441,000
DESCONTION	1	OI ANTITU			
Proposed Drainage Improvements to replace DBPS Sand Creek Tributary Segments 169, 166, 92, 159, 164	ace DBPS Sand (Creek Tributary Se	gments 169, 186, 92, 159, 164		KEIMBURSABLE COST
HEADWALLS AND WINGWALLS					
48" HW & WW	EA	2	\$6,500	\$13,000	\$13,000
54" HW & WW	EA	-	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500
80" HW & WW	EA	۲	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000
66" HW & WW	EA	CN	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$20,000

STERLING RANCH Estimated Construction Cost Opinion

RIPRAP PLUNGE POOLS	EA	10	\$2,500		\$25,000	\$25,000
DETENTION PONDS W-5	AC-FT	38	\$21,772		\$827,336	\$827,336
W-4	AC-FT	20	\$21,772		\$435,440	\$435,440
W-3	AC-FT	35	\$21,772		\$762,020	\$762,020
Water Quality Ponds	EA	20	\$45,000	50%	\$900'000	\$450,000
DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST		TOTAL COST	REIMBURSABLE COST
EXISTING POND OUTLET STRUCTURES AND EMBANKMENTS	<u>ID EMBANKME</u>	NTS				
SEG 170	F	2	\$15,000		\$30,000	\$30,000
Embankment	-	2	\$35,000		\$70,000	\$70,000
SEG 163	-	F	\$15,000		\$15,000	\$15,000
Embankment	-	-	\$35,000		\$35,000	\$35,000
SAND CREEK - OPEN CHANNEL (BASED UPON A 100 YR STORM EVENT DESIGN)	ON A 100 YR 1	STORM EVENT DE	SIGN			
				DROP		
DBPS SEG/DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	STRUCTURES	LENGTH	
163 Sel. Linings (1 side)	5	3310	\$550	15	1420	\$3,027,500.00
170 Sel. Linings (1 side)	Ŀ	280	\$550	2	210	\$332,500.00
187 Sel. Linings (1 side)	5	1060	\$550	ę	300	\$838,000.00
		ESTIMATED TO	ESTIMATED TOTAL DRAINAGE COSTS	COSTS		
SUBTOTAL DRAINAGE COSTS (MDDP)					\$11,108,096	\$10,227,136
			10% Engineering & Soft Costs 10% Contingency	Soft Costs		\$1,022,714 \$1,022,714
TOTAL DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENT COSTS (MDDP)	(ADDP)					\$12,272,563

SR MDDP Reimbursable Costs. ds

Estimated Construction Cost Opinion STERLING RANCH

		ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED TOTAL. BRIDGE COSTS	OSTS		
SAND CREEK BASIN BRIDGE COSTS DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	# OF CELLS	TOTAL COST	REIMBURSABLE COST
BRIDGES Bgata Py/SCreek 2-8×10' CBC Research Prhwy 2-9'x9' CBC (Sterling Rnch Rd)	55	225 21 5	\$2,500 \$2,500	0 0	\$1,125,000 \$1,075,000	\$1,125,000 \$1,075,000
SUBTOTAL BRIDGE COSTS (MDDP)			10% Engineering & Soft Costs	Soft Costs	\$2,200,000	\$2,200,000 \$220,000
TOTAL BRIDGE COSTS (MDDP)			10% Contingency	I		\$220,000 \$2,640,000

M&S Civil Consultants, Inc. cannot and does not guarantee the construction cost will not vary from these opinions of probable costs. These opinions represent our best judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry and this development in particular. The above is only an estimate of the facility cost and drainage basin fee amounts in 2019. Upon completion of the aforementioned improvements, M&S Civil Consultants, Inc. shall submit the actual construction costs to the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Board for reimbursement.

SCDBPS TABLE EXCERPTS

STEPLING PANCH PORD.

PELOCHTED. THIS CRIVERT WILL CROSS PESTERA PACKEN HAS BEEN NECESSANY. *

** PESCINCH PREXVED THS BEEN RELOCATED.

1

**

*

5104,400 R 8 \$13,200 2 002,072 236,000 231,200 346,800 8 0007218 **DOD/HS** 548,000 \$90,000 000,153 277,000 256,800 104400 REDABURSABLE COST TOTAL \$72,000 FLDA_ADD 008/95 246,800 000,532 \$14,400 29,600 007'645 13,200 000'066 \$27,000 \$36,000 \$31,200 172,000 \$10,800 23,200 SUA,000 000,623 **532,400** 548,600 548,000 5104,400 000'663 \$52,000 1256,000 TOTAL 8 9 2210 000 2240 \$160 0995 ŝ 5160 \$360 360 5 256 220 8 8 DLIN ŝ Ĝ 2390 89 UNIT ES S 5 5 5 5 55 3 3 3 5 3 3 5 5 5 3 1 5 5 5 5 5 8 \$ 120 ន្ម 120 욖 묶 8 5 퉣 8 8 8 3200 읋 120 8 91 ğ 吕 8 HIONET 2-6'Bx10'W CBC 2-47B.x 10"W CBC 2.5'H x 8'W CBC 2-6'HA12'W CBC 2- FREIDW CBC 2-41 INCH CMP CROSSING TYPE 2-6THLBTW CBC 2-60-INCE CMP 2-48-INCH CMP 2.6'Hay'W CBC S'BAL2"W CBC 6'Ex10"W CBC 2-60-INCH RCP FILL W CBC FILLI'W CBC VHLEW CBC 4'HA4'W CBC STEAT'W CBC FILEP W CBC FILE W CBC 4'Hx8'W CBC 4-DICHRO 50' BRIDGE ROADWAY CULVERT CROSSING COST ESTIMATE DEADVAGEWAY SAND CRED SEGMENT 183 124 145 145 145 145 145 155-1 155-1 155-1 153-1 154 150-2 1-191 159 157 160 161-2 135-2 9 ğ . AND CREEK BASDES NUMBER BEACH 80.6 80.6 80-8 SC-6 80.6 SC-6 SC-6 ŝ SC-6 SC-6 SC-1 20-12 10 SC.5 50-12 20-1-22 5 5 RESEARCH PARKWAY RESEARCH PARKWAY RESEARCH PARKWAY DUBLIN BOULEVARD DUBLIN BOULEVARD JEDEDIAE SMITH RD. **JEDED(AH SMITH RD.** SAN MARCOS ROAD CALIFORNIA DRIVE MUSTANG FLACE MUSTANG FLACE ROADWAY PETERSON ROAD WOODMEN ROAD WOODMEN ROAD DEUSTANG ROAD **LENOSHA ROAD** EL MORRO ROAD WAYNDKA ROAD PETERSON ROAD VOLLARER ROAD **FRANADA DRIVE** PETERSON ROAD VOLLMER ROAD SONOMA DRIVE DELTA DRIVE DELTA DRIVE DATE TIUT 1

SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY

TABLE VIE-4:

THIS CULVERT IS NOT

TABLE VIIL4:	SAND ROAD SAND	SAND CREEK DRAJN/ ROADWAY CULVERT <u>SAND CREEK BASINS</u>	SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY ROADWAY CULVERT CROSSING COST ESTIMATE SAND CREEK BASINS	NING STUDY F ESTIMATE						
ROADWAY		REACH	DRAINAGEWAY	CROSSING	HLONET	LIND	UNIT		TOTAL	
	ENN .	NUMBER	SEGMENT	TYPE			COST	COST	REIMBURSABLE COST	MAR RAUC'
				n L						
BANNING-LEWIS PRIKW		SC:B	186	6 Halow CBC	1	1	0653	\$46,800	\$46,800	
ARROYO LANE	S	SC-9	171	6'BA12'W CBC -	8	5	\$510	008,012	8	
VOLLMER ROAD		SC-8	169	60-INCH CMP	8	5	5120	\$9,600	8	
	ŝ	SC-9	173	•	80	5	\$120	009'6\$	8	
BURGESS ROAD	63	SC-9	176	42-INCH CMP	8	5	515	\$6,000	8	LOK DICIOL
	en.	SC-9	178	2-42-INCH CMP	08	5	\$150	\$12,000	8	
										1111 SUS 111-
		0	CENTER TRUBUTARY							
TERMINAL A VENUE		CT-2	141	4-5"Hx8"W CBC	99	5	\$1,200	\$72,000	\$ 0	
OMAHA BOULEVARD		CT-2	146-2	3-4'Hr9'W CBC	08	1	3900	\$72,000	05	
		SALAN	WEST FORK SAND CREEK	JI2						
WOOTIN ROAD	8	WEI	141	2-4'Ha6'W CRC	100	11	548D	548.000	9	シタケンシュート
BDISON AVENUE		WF-1	153	2-4'Hx6'W CBC	8	5	\$240	\$14,400	8	
PALMER PARK BLVD.		WF-1	154-2	2-4'HA 10'W CBC	8	5	\$540	\$43,200	8	-SONG & SALAR
CHICAGO RI RR		WF-1	165-1	4'Has'W CBC	8	h	S270	\$59,400	8	
HALF MOON DRIVE		NF-1	165-2	4'Hx6'W CBC	8	5	\$240	\$14,400	8	
		7								
TOTAL CULVERT CONSTRUCTION COSTS, SAND CREEK	CONSTRUCTI	ON COSTS, S	AND CREEK					\$1,902,600	\$1,111,000	CREEK, NORTH OF
										PACKAGE AND A
										KUNDEN LITE LITE

77

.

...

÷

٠

8 9

5**0**

...

	EAST FORK SAND CREEK BASINS	REEK BASINS	8					
ROADWAY	REACH	DRAINAGEWAY	CROSSING	FIGNET	LINUT	UNTT	TOTAL	TOTAL.
	NUMBER	SEGMENT	TYPE	-		cost	COST	REIMBURSABLE COSTS
	EAST	EAST FORK SAND CREEK		1				I) II:
WESTERN DRIVE	EF-2	101	4'H = T'W CBC	3	5	\$280	\$16,800	8
PALMER PARK BLVD	EF-2	9	6'H x 12'W CBC	8	5	\$380	\$30,400	\$30,400
FUTURE AKERS	EF-2	54	6'H x 10'W CBC	80	11	055\$	221,000	\$21,000
CHICAGO & RI RR	EP-2	02	8'H x 12'W CBC	120	5	\$800	\$96,000	296,000
BANNING LEWIS PRKWY	ET-4	17	2.5'Hx 8'W CBC	6 50	5	650	\$292,500	\$292,500
STAPLETON DRIVE	EP-4	17	2-5'H x 6'W CBC	180	5	\$500	290,000	390.000
STAPLETON DRIVE	EP-4	124A	2-6'H x 8'W CBC	200	Ľ,	\$600	\$120,000	\$120,000
STAPLETON DRIVE	RF-4	124A	6'H x 8'W CBC	221	5	\$270	\$47,250	\$47,250
STAPLETON DRIVE	BF-4	124A	6'H x 8'W CBC	175	11	\$270	\$47,250	\$47.250
NORTH CAREFREE	EF-4	0F	8'H x 8'W CBC	150	5	\$400	\$60,000	\$60,000
BANNING-LEWIS PRKWY	EF4	30	8'H x 8'W CBC	196	5	2400	\$78,000	\$78,000
BARNES ROAD	1 1 1	31	8'H x 8'W CBC	250	5	\$400	\$100,000	\$100,000
BRIDLESPUR RD	EF-5	144	6'H z 5'W CBC	150	5	\$250	\$37,500	\$37,500
BANNING-LEWIS PRKWY	BF-7	55	6'H x 10'W CBC	300	5	\$350	\$105,000	\$105,000
DUBLIN ROAD	EP-7	57	5'H x 10'W CBC	851	3	0253	\$48,000	\$48,000
BANNING-LEWIS PRKWY	EF-7	6/1	8'H x 8'W CBC	350	5	\$270	005'+6\$	005°Y65
WOODMEN ROAD	EF-8	84	2'H x IS'W CBC	100	3	\$750	\$75,000	\$75,000
RESEARCH PARKWAY	EP-7	53	8'H x 8'W CBC	180	5	\$270	\$48,600	S48,600
RESEARCH PARKWAY	EF4	1 00	\$'H x 10'W CBC	180	5	\$350	363,000	\$63,000
	EA	EAST PORK SUB-TRIB						
STAPLETON DRIVE	EPST-2	ą	8'Hu9'W CBC	180	Ľ	2300	\$54,000	\$54,000
BRIDLESPUR RD	EPST-2	58	8'HL8'W CBC	150	11	\$270	\$40,500	540,500
DUBLIN ROAD	EFST-2	20	5'Hz6'W CBC	150	5	\$250	\$37,500	005'/5\$

.

*

* TESENCH TACKWAY HAS BEEN TELOCATED. THIS CULVET MAY NOT BE VECESSANY. BANNING LEWIS PARKWAY WILL BE CONSTITUTED NEAR THE SAME LACATION.

N	1
L)	
J	
9	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
で	
2	

Table VIII-7:

SAND CREEK DRARVAGE BASIN FLANNING STLIDY BRUDGE CROSSING COST BSTDALTE SAND CREEK DRAINA(GE BASINS

	BEIDGE	×
TOTAL COST CITY	000, AME 12 000, AME 12 000, 2522 000 000, 2522 000 000, 2522 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	5410,400 5410,400 5256,000 5192,000
TUTAL COST COUNTY	8 8 8 8 8 10,4,000 8 8 13,4,000 8 8 14,6,200 8 8 1,6,200 8 8 4,6,200 8 8 4,6,200 8 8 4,6,200 8 8 4,6,000 8 8 8 4,6,000 8 8 8 4,6,000 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	8888
UNUT	880 81,110 81,110 81,110 81,110 81,600 81,600 81,610 81,610 81,610 81,610 81,610 81,610 81,610	0002 ⁻ 115
	电口口电电计口口 计计计计算	ងដដេងៗ
HZI I	0085 888 888 888 888 888 888 888 888 888	5130 5130 2000 2000 80
JURISEDICTION CITY COLUNTY	ики кикии	
JURIEDIC	и и и и	жжжж ж
CROSSING	210'TYPO-SEAN RANDGE 5. 8'RALOW CRC 9. 8'RALOW CRC W CLEAR REAN BEILOR W CLEAR REAN BEILOR W CLEAR REALOW CRC 4. FTELOW CRC 4. FTELOW CRC 4. FTELOW CRC 5. 6'RLAW CRC 5. 6'RLAW CRC 5. 6'RLAW CRC 5. 6'RLAW CRC 5. 5'RLAW CRC 5. 5'RLAW CRC 5. 5'RLAW CRC 5. 5'RLAW CRC 5. 5'RLAW CRC 5. 5'RLAW CRC	K 54 CIEAR RAN BRIDGE 54 CIEAR RAN BRIDGE 34 CIEAR RAN BRIDGE 38 CIEAR RAN BRIDGE 2.6 BLIFW CIEC
DRAINAGEWAY SBOMENT	AND CREEK 101 101 101 101 101 107 102 102 102 102 102 102 104	WEST FORK SAND CREED 155 156 170 170
REACH		WF-2 WF-2 WF-3 WF-3 WF-3 WF-3
BOADWAY	CERLITON BOAD FIERSON BILLS RLVD. IBDELTAL SALVD. PETERSON ROAD DUELT N SOULEVARD MAXEMPERSON ROAD DUELT N SOULEVARD MAXEMPERSON RESERVAT RAVENUELE PARENA US 24 BYTAGE ROAD US 24 BYTAGE ROAD	GALLEY ROAD PALAGE PARE BLVD. CONSTITUTION AVE MAZZLAND ROAD SO. CAREENEE
	** *	

** BANNUG-LEWS DAYKWAY IS NOW AS BONNARTE PARKURY AT THIS LOCATION.

* RESERVED THE WEIWAY THE REDOCTION. THIS BUIDED WILL NOW BO

LOCATED ON STEPLING RANCH ROAD.

54,021,400

\$1,096,500

TOTAL BEIDGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS, SAND CREEK

83

AND CREEK OLANYAGE BASIN FLANDAU FIUDY OTY BADOR FEE CALCERATION

Tale Vin S

AVAGVOT	CROSSING	TDTAL COST	TUTAL	TOTAL.
				1943
3AND CREEK				
CHELTON NOAD	2000111 HOUSE OWL & LZ	000/14/2.18	Study, study	31,142,400
STTN: NOR DELIS	3- 276,19-97 CBC	001025		641,733
JEDGOLAH SAGTH J.D.	3- STAINTY BOX CLUNERT	000/005	146'48	354,610
PETERSON BOAD	BO' CLEAN SPAN ARDOR	ORD, LT 16	09571615	G14/102
DUBAN ROULEVAID	BP' CLEAN APAN BRIDGE	000'72.58	095°W618	014/2102
WAT PORK SAND CULLE				
GALLEY ROAD	54" CLEAR SPAN BRIDGE	3410,400	3610,408	8
FALMER PARK BLVD.	54° CLEAL WAN RAIDGE	34 IQ/690	901/L-408	8
CONSTITUTION AVE.	48" CLEAR SPAN BREDGE	041795785	00010512	84
GVOR ONV BLOVK	10' CLEAR STAN RUDGE	00072512	00776125	8
BOUTH CARRIER CRICLE	3) 바람년 ~ 대 다	BALING .	00071465	8
EAST YORK EAND CRUZK		•		
VANJEM MULENAT	2-1011 = 10°W CEC		DOB ANCIS	001 ¹ 123
A MACRAY & EALER DISLOWING	3-1071 x 14'98 CBC	0007 21.12	954, JANUS	oftime
PORTH CALENNES CRUZE	3-1911, 1910	34 117,910	\$100 , 12.5	SUCKS.
SAENES RUAD	1 So" T'WO SPAN INLIDOR.	dop/or.ut	007/51.46	source
Gvor Mul Ponst	3-81H = 9 = CBC	\$100,739	219,622	TTO, ING
and within	139' TWO APAN BAIDOE	9770,000	000 19613	006,620
gast fork sub-trentrary				
A AWGA NO ADMA &	2-10%1,12"F CBC	000'1573\$	816 ⁴ 919	1007988
NOXTH CAREFLES CRICE	2-8413 10W CBC	015'07'87	22012	STIME
EAST 218057AD7 CLOSK				
A MANUED ROADWAY	3-10'H a M'W Clic	305'5143	OCC, DEAR	8118,750
manic) Lov Linkiu Lina				
LEN HAMBO BOADVAY	2- 1011 x 12"W CBC	900 ⁰ ING 13	016,5218	811.140
TOTAL ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION CONT	NON CORTS	05°WCLE	BELTON	51,71,418
Tark into inights on		STALANS	247,7098	191110
à mardinetri i sono ale	2	-		Lines
נונגערס		816 SCC.38	905.M8/NE	ar(,124,02
TOTAL UNFLATTED ACTEMBE IN CATY	IN CITY			21613
UTY BUDDER FOR (MACHE)				cial
() FILL MAY BEEN CALCULA CITY IN MANUALLY FOR CON- CIMPENTIAN (IN TO AND MAY N	(1) sees taking salah dalarta. Antid yali gariy dilayiakan, ko sani la anti-antila dalar antigan gariya na dala Di taka basanganala dalar kacang da kacang ka garang ka garang da kakang dalar gargengen katalaka, ya thi ila mawara y Denyara kata yang sana kan kacang sana yaka kata ing na dana ka wanna.	A NUTATIAN ANALASA A MARANA ANALASA A MARANA	RUDON R. Notiarity To Th	A VARIAN E
	AND DAMAGE I THE OWNER OF A LOCAL DAMAGE DAMA	UT WARTEN		

	JAND CREEK DEARAGE BAREY FLANKING STUDY COUNTY BRUDGS FES CALCULATION	CPUASERVIC TOTAL COST TYPE		9-10151914 CBC	4.4 Thuin'th Calc
	Takka VDI-10.	ROADWAY	SAVID CREEK	MARKSHIPPEL, ROAD	RESEARCH PARKWAY
BRIDGE FCE	÷				↑

TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL COUNTY COST REINGURAARLE

TDTAL COST

AAND AAND AAND AAND AAND AAND AAND AAND	JAND CREEK MARKHIFFEL, ROAD RESEARCH PARCH AY	9- 10 thai 0 W CBC 44 Thair W CBC	2009/0015	ŝ	ŝi (coj liko
ALARCE ALARCE CONTE	Y NOW TO WE	9- 1915h10'W CBC 44 Thuli'W CBC	2100/0015	\$0	NORMON TRA
RESEAR ANTEN CENTED	ACH PARKWAY	447 Thuton W Calc			
BANNED CENTEI W. PROF			1124,500	98	0001146.18
CSIVITU W.FPICIN	BANKD/GLEWIS PARKWAY	44 Haury CBC	DOB WE IS	9	GONINCIS
W. PROD	CENTER TRUBUTARY SAND CREEK				
	W. FRONTACE US 24 (1)	3-4 円山 6-17 (国C	105,200	8	8
13 34 Gr	(1) (F138600 HC SA	3-6Tha14 W CBC	005'1125'	9	8
R. FROW	E. PRONTAGE US 24 (1)	3-6'th14'W CBC	584,600	Ŗ	8
LS DOUG	300U STRAET (1)	3-6'Hal 4'W CBC	009/1465	8	8
PLATTE	PLATTE AVENUE (I)	3-6 Hald W CBC	\$160 JOO	8	20
GALLEY ROAD	ROAD	3-5'Ha'W CBC	aco/bist	\$36,700	0001033
BASTR	RAST FORK SAND CHEEK				
LIN-NAM AFF	cr-named road, peterson APB	HOLD BRIDGE AVAS OAL , OF	\$336,000	8	at
DEARTHY	PETTRESON ROAD	3-9-14 X 31-9-C	\$144,000	03	\$144 JOD
CMARA	OMAHA BLVD EXTENDED	3-9'H X 16'W CBC	5144,000	9	3144,000
HERE ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL	MARKSHOPPEL RDAD	120. TWO SPAN BRENCH	00012/08	9	\$672,000
EASTPC	EAST FORK SUBTRIBUTARY				
BYDA DRUYE	HAR	2-0-11 14-14 CBC	384,000	8	000'1485
TOTAL N	TOTAL ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION COSTS	M COSTS	52,436,500	\$56,700	\$1,427,700
LONS HOLD	DIGE ENGINEERING		053' 1448	0.0 ⁰ /53	5142,770
Ste CONT	5% CONTRABUCY COUNTY BRIDGE OUTSTANDING CLAIMS	CLADIS	312,825	्यांद	CELIDO.IS
STVLOL			518182	502,208	115°11
בסדאב ע	total unplatted acterage in courty	COURTY			7497
COUNTY	COUNTY BRIDGE FELL (MACHE)				5962

86

(1) BRIDCHES ON CENTER TRUENTARY RONDED TREODOR, US 24 BYPAAS FHASS II PROFECT.

STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 1-TRACTS AND R.O.W - DRAINAGE & BRIDGE FEES

TRACT	SIZE/ACRE	asu as	MAINTFNANCF	CMNNERGHID	andurand %		DAINAGE EEF				DBINCE EEE	1	
۷	0.112	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		×	15 720		35 31		A 763	v	10 67
			1	T# TIANS			77/101		17.00	ĥ	4,152	n	10.b/
B	0,987	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY/TIER IV TRAIL	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	310.31	ŝ	4,762	\$	94.00
υ	14,816	FUTURE COMMERCIAL PAD SITES/TIER IV TRAIL	SR LAND, LLC	SR LAND, LLC	N/A								
٥	14.785	OPEN SPACE/FLOODPLAIN/THER 1 TRAIL	SRMD #1/EPC	SRMD #1/EPC		5.0% \$	15,720	\$	11,621.01	ŝ	4,762	\$	3,520.31
ш	29.658	FUTURE SINGLE FAMILY LOTS	SR LAND, LLC	SR LAND, LLC	N/A								
L.	3.987	OPEN SPACE/DRAINAGE POND/FLOODPLAIN/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY/TIER 1 TRAIL	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		50.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	31,337.82	ŝ	4,762	ŝ	9,493.05
U	19.607	FUTURE SINGLE FAMILY LOTS	SR LAND, LLC	SR LAND, LLC	N/A								
т	0.329	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		35.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	1,810.16	ŝ	4,762	Ş	548,34
_	0.063	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	19.81	ŝ	4,762	ş	6.00
-	1.727	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTIUTY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	542.97	\$	4,762	ŝ	164.48
×	18.887	FUTURE SINGLE FAMILY LOTS	SR LAND, LLC	SR LAND, LLC	N/A								
, i	2.734	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY/TRAIL	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŵ	859.57	ŝ	4,762	Ş	260.39
Σ	0.168	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY/TRAIL	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	52.82	ŝ	4,762	Ş	16.00
z	0.075	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	23.58	ş	4,762	ŝ	7.14
o	0.153	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	48.10	\$	4,762	\$	14.57
٩	0.057	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	17.92	Ş	4,762	ŝ	5.43
۵	0.051	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	16.03	ŝ	4,762	ŝ	4.86
œ	0.064	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	Ş	20.12	ŝ	4,762	ŝ	6.10
s	0.064	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTIIJTY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ş	20.12	ŝ	4,762	ŝ	6.10
F	0.057	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	17.92	ŝ	4,762	Ŷ	5.43
Þ	0.031	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	Ŷ	9.75	\$	4,762	\$	2.95
>	0.052	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	16.35	\$	4,762	Ş	4.95
M	0.064	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	20.12	ŝ	4,762	ŝ	6.10
×	0.064	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	20.12	\$	4,762	Ş	6.10
٠	0.051	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	16.03	ŝ	4,762	ŝ	4.86
2	0.027	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	8.49	ŝ	4,762	ş	2.57
АА	0.181	LANDSCAPE/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY	SRMD #1	SRMD #1		2.0% \$	15,720	ŝ	56.91	ŝ	4,762	\$	17.24
88	10.545	FUTURE SINGLE FAMILY LOTS	SR LAND, LLC	SR LAND, LLC	N/A								
ម	2.727	OPEN SPACE/DRAINAGE POND/PARK/PUB. IMPROVEMENTS/PUB. UTILITY/T SRMD #1	T SRMD #1	SRMD #1		5.0% \$	15,720	\$	2,143.42	\$	4,762	Ş	649.30
R.O.W.	12.256	ROAD RIGHTS OF WAY	EPC	EPC	6	95.0% \$	15,720	\$	183,031.10	\$	4,762	\$ 55	55,444.92
	134.379	TOTAL AREA			TOTAL FEES	5		\$	232,075.77			\$ 70	70,301.83

STERLING RANCH FILING NO. 1 - TRACTS AND RIGHT-OF-WAY - DRAINAGE & BRIDGE FEES

FEES FOR FORESEABLE FINAL PLAT RECORDINGS WITHIN STERLING RANCH JANUARY 2019

FEES FOR THE FORESEABLE FINAL PLAT RECORDINGS WITHIN STERLING RANCH - JANUARY 2019

SUBDIVISION	# OF LOTS	FEE ACRES	FEE YEAR	IMP.	DRAINAGE FEE / IMP. AC	BRIDGE FEE / IMP. AC	DRAINAGE FEE	BRIDGE FEE	DRAINAGE FEE PAID	BRIDGE FEE PAID
Sterting Ranch Filing No. 1	0	134.379	2016	VARIED	\$15,720.00	\$4,762.00	\$232,075.77	\$70,301.83	\$232,075.77	\$70,301.83
Brading Iron at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1	51	10.545	2017	50.00%	\$16,270.00	\$4,929.00	\$85,783.58	\$25,988.15	\$85,783.58	\$25,988.15
Hömestead at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1	72	19.574	2017	42.00%	\$16,270.00	\$4,929.00	\$133,756.97	\$40,521.70	\$133,756.97	\$40,521.70
Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2	49	49.687	2018	* 46.00%	\$17,197.00	\$5,210.00	\$393,054.98	\$119,079.86	\$0.00	\$0.00
Hornestead at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2	104	29.658	2019	* 46.00%	\$18,940.00	\$5,559.00	\$258,392.36	\$75,839.66	\$0.00	\$0.00
Branding Iron at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 2	75	18.881	2019	46.00%	\$18,940.00	\$5,559.00	\$164,498.82	\$48,281.36	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sterling Ranch Filing No. 3	66	20.45	2019	39.00%	\$18,940.00	\$5,559.00	\$151,055.97	\$44,335.80	\$0.00	\$0.00
Copper Ridge	138	19.674	2019	* 64.40%	\$18,940.00	\$5,559.00	\$239,970.86	\$70,432.84	\$0.00	\$0.00
*	* To Be Determined	p			ACCUMULATIVE FEE TOTAL	'E FEE TOTAL	\$ 1,658,589.31	\$ 494,781.21		
					TOTAL FEES/CF	TOTAL FEES/CREDITS PAID AS OF JANUARY 2019	JANUARY 2019		\$451,616.32	\$136,811.69
Total Sterling Ranch		1440	2019	46.00%	\$18,940.00	\$5,559.00	\$12,545,856.00	\$3,682,281.60	\$0.00	\$0.00

MAPS













