

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP) for 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE, COLORADO SPRINGS

Prepared for:

Fountain Valley Salvation Army Corps 208 Cunningham Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80911

Prepared by:



1635 West 13<sup>th</sup> Avenue Suite 310 Denver, CO 80204 Contact: Tim Stackhouse, P.E Phone: (720)-399-4091

> REVISION: 08/27/24 R&R Project No.: FV21181 EPC Project No. : CDR242

Qualified Stormwater Manager: TBD

Contractor: TBD

# 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE, COLORADO SPRINGS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP) <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>

I. QUALIFIED STORMATER MANAGER	3
II. SPILL PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PLAN	3
III. MATERIALS HANDLING	4
IV. POTENTIAL SOURCES OF POLLUTION	5
V. IMPLEMENTATION OF CONTROL MEASURES	6
VI. SITE DESCRIPTION	8
VII. SITE MAP	10
VIII. FINAL STABILIZATION AND LONG-TERM STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	10
IX. INSPECTION REPORTS 1	11

General SWMP Notes:

- 1. There are no existing streams, wetlands, or other surface waters within 50 feet of the construction limits.
- 2. There are no dedicated asphalt / concrete batch plants proposed.
- 3. There are no anticipated allowable non-stormwater discharges from this site (no groundwater, springs, irrigation, discharge covered by CDPHE Low Risk Guidance, etc.).

# 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE, COLORADO SPRINGS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP)

### I. QUALIFIED STORMWATER MANAGER

A. Qualified Stormwater Manager

Contractor: TBD

### B. Applicant / Contact Information

Owner/Developer:	Fountain Valley Salvation Army Corps 208 Cunningham Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80911 Attn: Quiana Vargas (719)-382-1182 Quiana.vargas@usw.salvationarmy.org
Engineer:	R&R Engineers – Surveyors, Inc. 1635 West 13 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Denver, CO 80204 Attn: Tim Stackhouse, P.E. (720)-399-4091 tstackhouse@rrengineers.com

### **II. SPILL PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PLAN**

- A. Spill Prevention and Response Procedures:
  - The primary objective in responding to a spill is to quickly contain the material(s) and prevent or minimize their migration into storm water runoff and conveyance systems. If the release has impacted on-site storm water, it is critical to contain the released materials on site and prevent their release into receiving waters.
  - Spill Response Procedures:
    - Notify site superintendent immediately when a spill, or the threat of a spill, is observed. The superintendent shall assess the situation and determine the appropriate response.
    - If spills represent an imminent threat of escaping on-site facilities and entering the receiving waters, site personnel shall respond immediately to contain the release and notify the superintendent after the situation has stabilized.
    - The site superintendent, or his designee, shall be responsible for completing a spill reporting form and for reporting the spill to the appropriate agency.
    - Spill response equipment shall be inspected and maintained as necessary to replace any materials used in spill response activities.
  - Spill kits shall be on-hand at all fueling sites. Spill kit location(s) shall be reported to the SWMP Administrator.
  - Absorbent materials shall be on-hand at all fueling areas for use in containing inadvertent spills. Containers shall be on-hand at all fueling sites for disposal of used absorbents.
  - Recommended components of spill kits include the following:
    - o Oil absorbent pads (one bale)

- Oil absorbent booms (40 feet)
- o 55-gallon drums (2)
- o 9-mil plastic bags (10)
- Personal protective equipment including gloves and goggles.
- B. Notification Procedures:
  - In the event of an accident or spill, the SWMP Administrator shall be notified as a minimum.
  - Depending on the nature of the spill material involved, the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (24-hour spill reporting line: 877-518-5608), downstream water users, or other agencies may also need to be notified.
  - Any spill of oil which 1) violates water quality standards, 2) produces a "sheen" on a surface water, or 3) causes a sludge or emulsion, or any hazardous substance release, or hazardous waste release which exceeds the reportable quantity, must be reported immediately by telephone to the National Response Center Hotline at (800)-424-8802.

### **III. MATERIALS HANDLING**

- A. General Materials Handling Practices:
  - Potential pollutants shall be stored and used in a manner consistent with the manufacturer's instructions in a secure location. To the extent practical, material storage areas should not be located near storm drain inlets and should be equipped with covers, roofs, or secondary containment as required to prevent storm water from contacting stored materials.
  - Chemicals that are not compatible shall be stored and segregated areas so that spilled materials cannot combine and react.
  - Disposal of materials shall be in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and applicable local, state, and federal regulations.
  - Materials no longer required for construction shall be removed from the site as soon as possible.
- B. Adequate garbage, construction waste, and sanitary waste handling and disposal facilities shall be provided as necessary to keep the site clear of obstruction and Stormwater Control Measures (SCMs) clear and functional.
- C. Specific Materials Handling Practices:
  - All pollutants, including waste materials and demolition debris, that occur on-site during construction shall be handled in a way that does not contaminate storm water.
  - All chemicals including liquid products, petroleum products, water treatment chemicals, and wastes stored on site shall be covered and contained and protected from vandalism.
  - Maintenance and repair of all equipment and vehicles involving oil changes, hydraulic system drain down, de-greasing operations, fuel tank drain down and removal, and other activities which may result in the accidental release of contaminants, shall be conducted under cover during wet weather and on an impervious surface to prevent release of contaminants onto the ground. Materials spilled during maintenance operations shall be cleaned up immediately and properly disposed of.
  - Wheel wash water shall be settled and discharged on site by infiltration. Wheel wash water shall not be discharged to the storm water system.
  - Application of agricultural chemicals, including fertilizers and pesticides, shall be conducted in a manner and ad application rates that will not result in loss of chemical to storm water runoff. Follow manufacturer's recommendations for application rates and procedures.

- pH-modifying sources shall be managed to prevent contamination of runoff and storm water collected on site. The most common sources of pH-modifying materials are bulk cement, cement kiln dust (CKD), fly ash, new concrete washing and curing waters, waste streams generated from concrete grinding and sawing, exposed aggregate processes, and concrete pumping and mixer washout waters.
- D. Equipment maintenance and fueling: Contractor shall implement appropriate spill prevention and response procedures
- E. Concrete Wash Water: Unless confined in a pre-defined, bermed containment area, the cleaning of concrete truck delivery chutes is prohibited at the job site. The discharge of water containing waste cement to the storm drainage system is prohibited.

### **IV. POTENTIAL SOURCES OF POLLUTION**

Potential pollutant sources will be addressed as follows:

Stormwater Discharges	
All disturbed and stored Stockpiles of fill from site excavations, topsoil Stockpiles	cavations, topsoil Stockpiles
soils stockpiles.	
Vehicle tracking of sedimentsSee GEC Plans for vehicle entrance and exits.VTC (per	trance and exits. VTC (per
Vehicle tracking control pads will be installed and GEC Plans)	will be installed and GEC Plans)
maintained at all construction access points.	access points.
Management of contaminated soils No contaminated soils are expected to be N/A	bected to be N/A
encountered.	
Loading and unloading operations Loading and unloading of construction materials TBD*	struction materials TBD*
Outdoor storage activities (building Stockpiles and equipment storage areas (no TBD*	rage areas (no TBD*
material, fertilizers, chemicals, etc.) fertilizers, petroleum or chemical products will be	ical products will be
stored on-site).	
Vehicle and equipment Fueling will occur on-site using mobile equipment TBD*	ng mobile equipment TBD*
maintenance and fueling (will not be stored on-site). Equipment	quipment
maintenance will occur off-site	e
Significant dust or particulate-generating Vehicle tracking, soil removed from excavation, TBD*	d from excavation, TBD*
processes stockpiles.	
Routine maintenance activities involving All equipment maintenance will occur off-site. No TBD*	ill occur off-site. No TBD*
fertilizers, pesticides, detergents, fuels, fertilizers, pesticides, detergents, and/or	nts, and/or
solvents, oils, etc. solvents will be used or stored on-site.	l on-site.
On-site waste management practices All waste will be removed from site as soon as TBD*	m site as soon as TBD*
(waste piles, liquid wastes, dumpsters, possible, and disposed of at a permitted off-site	permitted off-site
etc.) disposal site	1
Concrete truck/equipment washing. Properly contained concrete washout areas may be CWA	vashout areas may be CWA
including the concrete truck chute and designated and maintained within the site, based	thin the site, based
associated fixtures and equipment on construction phasing.	,
Dedicated asphalt and No dedicated asphalt or concrete batch plants are N/A	ete batch plants are N/A
concrete batch plants plants planted on-site.	r
Non-industrial waste sources such as Worker trash will be removed from the site as TBD*	from the site as TBD*
worker trash and portable toilets soon as possible. Portable toilets will be utilized	lets will be utilized
and maintained as required based on construction	sed on construction
phasing.	

### POTENTIAL POLLUTION SOURCES

Other areas or procedures where potential	Petroleum releases from equipment are possible.	TBD*
spills can occur		

\* Contractor to add locations of any items not specified at this time\*

### V. IMPLEMENTATION OF CONTROL MEASURES

### Narrative Description of Appropriate Stormwater Controls and Measures

### **Construction Phasing**

### Phase 1 – Mobilization, Clearing & Grubbing Operations

Clearing and grubbing will be completed prior to initial overlot grading activities for this site. Perimeter control measures will be installed prior to the start of construction operations. These perimeter controls will include construction and silt fencing and a vehicle tracking control pad.

### Phase 2 – Earthwork, Grading, and Storm Installation

Major earthwork activities will include overlot grading, backfill, and compaction, utility construction, and rough and final grading for site improvements.

### Phase 3 – Paving and Final Grading Activities

This phase will include final grading of porous landscape detention and landscape areas. Appropriate temporary SCM's will be maintained until vegetation is re-established throughout the site.

### Phase 4 – Stabilization

All disturbed areas within the project will be revegetated. The specific revegetation requirements will include the following:

- Landscape plantings per porous landscape detention
- Native seeding all other disturbed areas

### Phase 5 – Removal of Temporary Control Measures

Temporary sediment control measures shall remain in place until vegetation has been adequately established to prevent erosion from storm runoff. Once adequate vegetation has been established, the temporary erosion control measures will be removed and disposed of off-site.

### SCM's for Stormwater Pollution Prevention (See GEC Plans):



### SCM's Descriptions:

Stabilized Staging Area (SSA): A stabilized staging area will be located on the southwest corner of the site. Construction trailers, portable toilets, and material storage will occur within this staging area.

Concrete Washout Area (CWA): A concrete washout area will be located near the exit of the site by the VTC. Concrete washout areas must be designated on all sites that will generate concrete wash water or liquid concrete waste from onsite concrete mixing or concrete delivery. The CWA will receive wash water from the washing of tools and concrete mixer chutes, liquid concrete waste from dump trucks, mobile batch mixers, or pump trucks. Surface discharges of concrete washout water from construction sites are prohibited.

Construction Exit (CE) or Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC): A temporary stone construction exit with wheel wash (vehicle tracking control) will be installed at the two proposed access points to the private drives to the west and north as shown on the attached CSWMP. This will prevent mud from being carried into the surrounding roadways by construction vehicles. The area of the entrance shall be cleared of all vegetation, roots, and other material. Stone shall be placed to the specified dimensions and added as warranted during construction. As required, a water tanker shall be transported to the site to wash off tires and undercarriages of the vehicles with water, without detergent, in order to remove loose dirt and mud. The wash water will be collected and routed to a sediment trapping device for treatment prior to discharging into any surface water. If the gravel in the construction entrance is clogged with sediment, it will be removed, washed, and placed back in the wash rack. Wash-out from concrete trucks will occur at a designated location within the perimeter controls of the site.

Construction Fence (CF): A construction fence can be used to delineate the site perimeter and locations within the site where access is restricted to protect natural resources such as wetlands, waterbodies, trees, and other natural areas of the site that should not be disturbed. If natural resource protection is an objective, then the construction fencing should be used in combination with other perimeter control BMPs such as silt fence, sediment control logs or similar measures.

Surface Roughening (SR): Surface roughening can be used to provide temporary stabilization of disturbed areas, such as when revegetation cannot be immediately established due to seasonal planting limitations. Surface roughening is not a stand-alone BMP, and should be used in conjunction with other erosion and sediment controls. Surface roughening is often implemented in conjunction with grading and is typically performed using heavy construction equipment to track the surface. Be aware that tracking with heavy equipment will also compact soils, which is not desirable in areas that will be revegetated. Scarifying, tilling, or ripping are better surface roughening techniques in locations where revegetation is planned. Roughening is not effective in very sandy soils and cannot be effectively performed in rocky soil.

Silt Fence (SF): Silt Fence will be installed around the site as shown on the attached CSWMP. Silt fence will be installed along the limits of construction in order to protect adjacent areas until re-vegetation is established and the soil stabilized. The silt fence and/or super silt fence shall be checked on a routine basis for deterioration and other problems. Any fence damage or fabric deterioration shall be repaired by the end of the day. When accumulated sediment begins to bulge the fence, or reaches a depth of one half the fence height, the sediment will be removed and spread on designated upland areas of the site.

Stockpiling (SP): Silt Fence, sediment control log, or approved substitute, is to be located along the extents of the stockpile area in order to protect surrounding areas from sedimentation. Soils that will be stockpiled for more than thirty (30) days shall be protected from wind and water erosion within fourteen (14) days of stockpile construction. Stabilization and protection of the stockpile may be accomplished by any of the following: Mulching, Temporary/Permanent Revegetation Operations, Chemical Soil Stabilizer Application (requires WMD approval), or erosion control matting/Geotextiles. If stockpiles are located within 100 feet of a drainageway, additional sediment controls such as temporary dikes or silt fence shall be required.

Seeding and Mulching (SM): To provide vegetative cover on disturbed areas that have reached final grade, a

perennial grass mix should be established. Permanent seeding should be performed promptly (typically within 14 days) after reaching final grade. Each site will have different characteristics and a landscape professional or the local jurisdiction should be contacted to determine the most suitable seed mix for a specific site.

Street Sweeping: Where sediment is transported onto a paved or public road surface, the road surface will be cleaned thoroughly at the end of each day. Sediment shall be removed by shoveling or sweeping, and transported to a sediment control disposal area. If necessary, street washing is allowed following removal of sediment in the above manner.

### Proposed Sequence of Major Activities / Timing Schedule

The anticipated start and completion time period of the construction activities is from October, 2024 through December, 2024. The estimated schedule for erosion control activities is as follows:

- Install Initial SCM's: October, 2024
- Site Grading: October-November, 2024
- Seeding & Mulching: November-December, 2024
- Final Stabilization: January, 2025

### **Erosion and Sediment Controls:**

1) Structural Practices / Control Measures (all structural Control Measures shall conform to ECM / DCM and MHFD standards and details):

- a. Silt fence at toe of slope along downstream limits of disturbed areas
- 2) Non-Structural Practices:
  - Preserve existing vegetation beyond limits of work
  - Temporary seeding of areas to remain disturbed for significant periods of time
  - Permanent seeding/mulching (SM) upon completion of rough grading

### **Other Controls:**

- Contractor shall dispose of all waste materials at a permitted off-site disposal site.
- Vehicle tracking pads will be installed at all access points to limit off-site soil tracking.
- Street Sweeping: Contractor shall perform street sweeping following storm events and as required to keep adjoining public streets clean.

### **Control Measure / SCM Details:**

- Refer to Standard SCM Details in GEC Plans.
- Refer to additional Standard Details in MHFD Volume 3 where applicable.

### **VI. SITE DESCRIPTION**

- A. Nature of Construction Activity
  - The 208 Cunningham Drive Site is proposing a paved parking lot and a full infiltration retention pond in El Paso County, Colorado. The site is 2.04 acres and currently consists of one existing building for the Salvation Army. The site is located on Lot 4, Block 5, 1 Refill Security, Colorado Addition 4 within a portion of the Northeast Quarter of Section 11, Township 15 South, Range 66 West of the Sixth P.M., with Cunningham Drive ROW to the east, Sprout Junior High School to the west, a single-family home to both the north and south of the site. Site development activities will include site grading, asphalt paving, and associated improvements.

### RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE

bioretention

- B. Proposed sequence of major activities:
  - Mobilization / implementation of SCM's
  - Clearing and grubbing
  - Rough grading
  - Final grading
  - Parking lot paving
- C. Total site area = 2.04-acres; Projected disturbed area = 0.81-acres (approx.)
- D. Soil erosion potential and potential impacts upon discharge:
  - On-site soils are comprised primarily of "Blakeland series" soils, which are characterized as welldrained loamy sand with rapid permeability, slow surface runoff rates, and moderate hazard of erosion (Hydrologic Soils Group A).
  - Potential impacts upon discharge : NA, no outfall proposed
- E. Existing vegetation on site:
  - Sparse grasses and trees (approx. 55% coverage, based on site inspection)
- F. Allowable non-stormwater components of discharge: none anticipated
- G. Receiving water: The project lies within drainage basin Security FOF02800 (ultimate receiving water).
- H. Stream Crossings: There are no stream crossings located within the construction site boundary.

Comment generated due to change from last submittal:

Security drainage basin is basin, not a receiving water. State the nearest major stream, creek, river, etc.

RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE INCLUDE THE RECIEVING BODY OF WATER (FOUNTAIN CREEK)



SCALE: 1" = 1,000'

### VII. SITE MAP

- o SWMP Maps are provided on the attached GEC Plans
- Qualified Stormwater Manager shall update SWMP Maps as required based on field conditions throughout the project.
- Contractor shall update and annotate the SWMP Maps to show the location of the construction trailer, stabilized staging area, CWA, and other items as these locations are determined on site.

### VIII. FINAL STABILIZATION AND LONG-TERM STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

- A. Permanent seeding will be provided to achieve long-term stabilization of the site.
- B. Seed Mix: "Foothills Mix" or approved equal
- C. Seeding Application Rate: Drill seed 0.25" to 0.5" into the soil. In small areas not accessible to a

drill, hand broadcast at double the rate and rake 0.25" to 0.5" into the soil. Apply seed at the following rates:

- o Dryland: 20-25 lbs/acre
- Irrigated: 40 lbs/acre
- D. Soil Stabilization Practices:
  - Mulching Application: Apply 1-1/2 tons of certified weed free hay per acre mechanically crimped into the soil in combination with an organic mulch tackifier. On slopes and ditches requiring a blanket, the blanket shall be placed in lieu of mulch and mulch tackifier.
- E. Soil Conditioning and Fertilizer Requirements:
  - Soil conditioner, organic amendment shall be applied to all seeded areas at 3 CY / 1000 SF.
  - Fertilizer shall consist of 90% fungal biomass (mycelium) and 10% potassium-magnesia with a grade of 6-1-3 or approved equal. Fertilizer shall be applied as recommended by seed supplier.

**RR RESPONSE: NOTED,** 

CHANGE MADE

- F. Final stabilization is reached when all soil-disturbing activities at the site have been completed, and uniform vegetative cover has been established with an individual plant density of at least 70 percent of pre-disturbance levels, or equivalent permanent, physical erosion reduction methods have been employed. The porous landscape detention is not to be installed until the site has been fully stabilized.
- G. Structural Control Measures:
  - Re-Seeding and Landscaping for site stabilization
  - Full infiltration retention

bioretention

H. Non-Structural Control Measures:

- Proper Housekeeping Procedures
- Proper Spill Containment Procedures

### **IX. INSPECTION REPORTS**

- A. Qualified Stormwater Manager: Designated Inspector shall be a Qualified Stormwater Manager per CDPHE criteria.
- B. Inspection Frequency:
  - Contractor shall inspect SCMs bi-weekly as a minimum, and immediately (within 24 hours) after any precipitation or snowmelt event that causes surface erosion (i.e. that results in stormwater running across the ground), to ensure that SCMs are maintained in effective operating condition.
- C. Inspection Procedures:

Site Inspection / Observation Items:

- Construction site perimeter and discharge points (including discharges into a storm sewer system)
- o All disturbed areas
- Areas used for material / waste storage that are exposed to precipitation.
- Other areas having a significant potential for stormwater pollution, such as demolition areas or concrete washout locations, or locations where vehicles enter or leave the site.
- Erosion and sediment control measures identified in the SWMP.

- Any other structural SCMs that may require maintenance, such as secondary containment around fuel tanks, or the condition of spill response kits.
- D. Inspection Requirements:
  - Determine if there is any evidence of, or potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system.
  - Review SCMs to determine if they still meet design and operational criteria in the SWMP, and if they continue to adequately control pollutants at the site.
  - Upgrade and/or revise any SCMs not operating in accordance with the SWMP and update the SWMP to reflect any revisions.

SCM Maintenance / Replacement and Failed SCMs:

- Contractor shall remove sediment that has been collected by perimeter controls, such as silt fence and inlet protection, on a regular basis to prevent failure of SCMs, and remove potential of sediment from being discharged from the site in the event of SCM failure.
- Removed sediment must be moved to an appropriate location where it will not become an additional pollutant source and should never be placed in ditches or streams.
- Contractor shall update Erosion Control Plans / SWMP Maps and SWMP Plan as required with any new SCMs added during the construction period.
- Contractor shall address SCMs that have failed or have the potential to fail without maintenance or modifications, as soon as possible, immediately in most cases, to prevent discharge of pollutants.
- E. Inspection Reports:
  - Contractor shall maintain records of all inspection reports, including signed inspection logs, at the project site. SWMP records shall be located in the project trailer.
  - Inspection logs shall be signed by the Qualified Stormwater Manager.
  - Permittee shall document inspection results and maintain a record of the results for a period of 3 years following expiration or inactivation of permit coverage.
  - Site inspection records shall include the following:
    - Inspection date
    - Name and title of personnel making the inspection, along with Inspector's signature.
    - Location of discharges of sediment or other pollutants from the site.
    - Location(s) of SCMs that need to be maintained.
    - Location(s) of SCMs that failed to operate as designed or proved inadequate for a particular location.
    - Location(s) where additional SCMs are needed that were not in place at the time of inspection.
    - Deviations from the minimum inspection schedule
    - Notations regarding updates and revisions to SWMP Maps based on field conditions.

Note: This project does not rely on control measures owned or operated by another entity.

# CONTACT LIST

OWNER	CIVIL ENGINEER	SURVEYOR
FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY CORPS	R&R ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS, LLC	R&R ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS, LLC
208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE	1635 WEST 13TH AVENUE, SUITE 310	1635 WEST 13TH AVENUE, SUITE 310
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911	DENVER, CO 80204	DENVER, CO 80204
(719) 382-1182	(303) 753-6730	(303) 753-6730
QUIANA.VARGAS@USW.SALVATIONARMY. ORG	TSTACKHOUSE@RRENGINEERS.COM	KKUCHARZYK@RRENGINEERS.COM
CONTACT: QUIANA VARGAS	CONTACT: TIM STACKHOUSE, P.E.	CONTACT: KEVIN KUCHARCZYK

# PROJECT SITE INFORMATION

	EXISITNG	PROPOSED
PROPERTY TAX SCHEDULE NUMBER	6511101016	6511101016
PARCEL SIZE	2.04 AC.	2.04 AC.
TOTAL GROSS BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE	9,472 SF	9,472 SF
IMPERMEABLE SURFACE PERCENTAGE	21.1%	34.4%
LAND USE	RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION	RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION
ZONING	RS-5000	RS-5000
PARKING COMPUTATIONS	REQUIRED	PROVIDED
RELIGIOUS INSTITUTION - 1 SPACE PER 4 SEATS	60 SEATS*1 SPACE/4 SEATS = 15 SPACES	42 SPACES

# LEGAL DESCRIPTION PER TITLE COMMITMENT PARCEL 1:

LOTS 4 AND 5, BLOCK 1, REFILING OF SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION NO. 4, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO.

PARCEL 2: LOT 6, BLOCK 1, REFILING OF SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION NO. 4, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO

# BASIS OF BEARING BEARINGS ARE BASED ON THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6, BLOCK 1 OF REFILING OF SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION NO. 4 AS MONUMENTED AT THE WEST END BY A FOUND 3/4" BAR AND AT THE EAST END BY A NO. 4 REBAR. SAID LINE IS ASSUMED TO BEAR N89°10'20"E.

BENCHMARK THE BENCHMARK FOR THIS SURVEY IS A NO. 4 REBAR LOCATED ALONG THE EASTERN BOUNDARY OF THE SUBJECT PARCEL (SEE SHEET 2). THE ELEVATION WAS DERIVED FROM GPS OBSERVATIONS USING AN OPUS DERIVED SOLUTION. ELEVATION: 5801.58 FEET (NAVD 1988 DATUM)

# SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE

LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH P.M., COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO LOCATED AT: 208 CUNNINGHAM DR, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911



	Sheet List Table
Sheet Number	Sheet Title
C1.0	COVER SHEET
C2.0	SITE PLAN
C3.0	GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN



3Y   DATE	24					
3	8/16/					
	ЭСН					
Z	ENTS					
/ISIC	Y Comme					
REV	COUNT					
NO.	1					
			F	28	〉 「 「 「 「 」 」 「 」 」 「 」 」 「 」 」 」 「 」	2
	EN SUI	GIN RVE	IEE EYC	RS		
		ואכ.	0			
	20	,	Щ ЭС	64		
		5	SUI	802	3730	
			<b>INUE</b>	RADC	753-6	
	0	0-0	H AVI	OLO	303-	
			T 13TI	Щ С	ШNC	
			NES <sup>-</sup>	ENVE	Ηd	
			1635	Δ		
		5				
W	WW.I	RRE	NGIN	IEEF	rs.c	OM
	۲					
	АКМҮ		11	RMY		11
	ION ARMY	31VE	D 80911	ION ARMY	JR	D 80911
	VATION ARMY	AM DRIVE	SS, CO 80911	LVATION ARMY	HAM DR	SS, CO 80911
	SALVATION ARMY	NGHAM DRIVE	PRINGS, CO 80911	EY SALVATION ARMY	VINGHAM DR	PRINGS, CO 80911
	EY SALVATION ARMY	UNNINGHAM DRIVE	DO SPRINGS, CO 80911	/ALLEY SALVATION ARMY	CUNNINGHAM DR	DO SPRINGS, CO 80911
	ALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE	ORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911	TAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DR	ORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
	IN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911	-OUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
	NTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911	FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
	OUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY.	DRESS: 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911	ED FOR: FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
	FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	SITE ADDRESS: 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911	PREPARED FOR: FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
JOB	FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	a Este address: 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911		208 CUNNINGHAM DR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
	FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	SITE ADDRESS: 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE				
JOB ORG DW						

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY AFFIRM THAT THESE (PRELIMINARY/FINAL) CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR 85 INVERNESS PLACE NORTH WERE PREPARED BY ME (OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS AND THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT MANUAL

DATE

TIM STACKHOUSE, P.E. COLORADO NO. 61924

> PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR SIGNATURE



# SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE

LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH P.M., COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO LOCATED AT: 208 CUNNINGHAM DR, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911





# SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE

LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH P.M., COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO LOCATED AT: 208 CUNNINGHAM DR, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911

> Are you asking for the filter media to be imported or are you allowing them to use the existing soils if the contractor wants? Because from the drainage report, it appears that the contractor has the choice to use existing soils or to import new material. Please clarify which is true here and in the drainage report.

**RR RESPONSE: NATIVE SOILS ARE NOT** SUFFICIENT FOR RECOVERY. IMPORT CLEAN SANDS IS REQUIRED AND MUST BE TESTED TO MEET THE DESIGN INFILTRATION RATES FOR THE **RECOVERY ANALYSIS. CONTRACTOR** TO PROVIDE INFILTRATION RESULTS TO EOR PRIOR TO INSTALL. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDIND DETAILS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF THE BR HAVE BEEN ADDED TO THE GEC AND THE SDP.





# LEGEND

EXISTING

-5820-

- 5822 -

PROPOSED

5822

STORM SEWER PIPE MAJOR CONTOUR MINOR CONTOUR



SOIL MATRIX AMENDMENT

CONTRACTOR REQUIRED TO REMOVE 2 FT OF NATIVE SOIL FROM THE LIMITS OF THE POND AND REPLACE WITH WELL GRADED GRAVELY SANDS. CONTRACTOR REQUIRED TO PROVIDE A GRADATION OR SIEVE ANALYSIS OF IMPORT SAND TO EOR.



COUNTY FILE NO. CDR242

	24					
لا D∆	H 8/16					
B	JL					
REVISION	CODUNITY (COMMMEMUTS)					
ON	Ħ					
		ICENS-SURVETORS, INC. AC	T 13TH AVENUE, SUITE 310 35冊	ER, COLORADO 80204 BUR	ONE: 303-753-6730	
			<u>10</u>			
v	L ww.	RRE	NGI	JEEF	IS.C	OM
	NTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY			E FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY	208 CUNNINGHAM DR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
	FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY				208 CUNNINGHAM DR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
	FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY					COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911

This is not an O&M Manual. Please upload one with the next submittal. Looks like you accidentally uploaded the DMA again. My Review 1 comment is provided on the right for reference.

#### PRIVATE INFILTRATION BASIN / STORMWATER QUALITY BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT AND EASEMENT MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT AND EASEMENT

A duplicate copy of the detention maintenance agreement was uploaded in the O&M manual EDARP slot instead of an O&M Manual. Please provide an O&M Manual for the PLD. There is a template on the City's Stormwataer website.

## RR RESPONSE: NOTED. AN O&M MANUAL HAS BEEN PROVIDED IN THE RESUBMITTAL DOCUMENTS

/ STORMWATER QUALITY BEST MANAGEMENT EMENT AND EASEMENT (Agreeme: RR RESPONSE: hrough THE BOARD OF COUNTY C OC and FOUNTAIN VALLEY CORPS. UPLOADED

OF EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO and FOUNTAIN VALLEY CORPS UPLOADED SALVATION ARMY.

The above may occasionally be referred to herein singularly as "Party" and collectively as "Parties."

Recitals

A. WHEREAS, Developer is the owner of certain real estate (the Property or Subdivision) in El Paso County, Colorado, which Property is legally described in Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference; and

B. WHEREAS, Developer desires to plat and develop on the Property a subdivision/land use to be known as 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE.

C. WHEREAS, the development of this Property will substantially increase the volume of water runoff and will decrease the quality of the stormwater runoff from the Property, and, therefore, it is in the best interest of public health, safety and welfare for the County to condition approval of this subdivision/land use on Developer's promise to construct adequate drainage, water runoff control facilities, and stormwater quality structural Best Management Practices ("BMPs") for the subdivision/land use; and

D. WHEREAS, Chapter 8, Section 8.4.5 of the El Paso County Land Development Code, as periodically amended, promulgated pursuant to Section 30-28-133(1), Colorado Revised Statutes (C.R.S.), requires the County to condition approval of all subdivisions on a developer's promise to so construct adequate drainage, water runoff control facilities, and BMPs in subdivisions; and

E. WHEREAS, the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 2, as amended by Appendix I of the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), as each may be periodically amended, promulgated pursuant to the County's Colorado Discharge Permit System General Permit (MS4 Permit) as required by Phase II of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), which MS4 Permit requires that the County take measures to protect the quality of stormwater from sediment and other contaminants, requires subdividers, developers, landowners, and owners of facilities located in the County's rights-of-way or easements to provide adequate permanent stormwater quality BMPs with new development or significant redevelopment; and

F. WHEREAS, Section 2.9 of the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual provides for a developer's promise to maintain a subdivision's drainage facilities in the event the County does not assume such responsibility; and

G. WHEREAS, developers in El Paso County have historically chosen water runoff

detention basins as a means to provide adequate drainage and water runoff control in subdivisions, Private Detention Basin / Stormwater Quality BMP Maintenance Agreement – Page 2 of 8 which basins, while effective, are less expensive for developers to construct than other methods of providing drainage and water runoff control; and

H. WHEREAS, Developer desires to construct for the subdivision/land use 1 detention basin/stormwater quality BMP as the means for providing adequate drainage and stormwater runoff control and to meet requirements of the County's MS4 Permit, and to operate, clean, maintain and repair such detention basin/BMP(s); and

I. WHEREAS, Developer desires to construct the detention basin/BMP(s) on property that is or will be platted as LOT 1, as indicated on the final plat of the subdivision, and as set forth on Exhibit B attached hereto; and

J. WHEREAS, Developer shall be charged with the duties of constructing, operating, maintaining and repairing the detention basin/BMP(s) on the Property described in Exhibit B; and

K. WHEREAS, it is the County's experience that subdivision developers and property owners historically have not properly cleaned and otherwise not properly maintained and repaired these detention basins/BMPs, and that these detention basins/BMPs, when not so properly cleaned, maintained, and repaired, threaten the public health, safety and welfare; and

L. WHEREAS, the County, in order to protect the public health, safety and welfare, has historically expended valuable and limited public resources to so properly clean, maintain, and repair these detention basins/BMPs when developers and property owners have failed in their responsibilities, and therefore, the County desires the means to recover its costs incurred in the event the burden falls on the County to so clean, maintain and repair the detention basin/BMP(s) serving this subdivision/land use due to the Developer/Owner's failure to meet its obligations to do the same; and

M. WHEREAS, the County conditions approval of this subdivision/land use on the Developer's promise to so construct the detention basin/BMP(s), and conditions approval on the Owner's promise to reimburse the County in the event the burden falls upon the County to so clean, maintain and/or repair the detention basin/BMP(s) serving this Subdivision; and

N. WHEREAS, the County could condition subdivision/land use approval on the Developer's promise to construct a different and more expensive drainage, water runoff control system and BMPs than those proposed herein, which more expensive system would not create the possibility of the burden of cleaning, maintenance and repair expenses falling on the County; however, the County is willing to forego such right upon the performance of Developer/Owner's promises contained herein; and

O. WHEREAS, the County, in order to secure performance of the promises contained

herein, conditions approval of this subdivision/land use upon the Developer's grant herein of a perpetual Easement over a portion of the Property for the purpose of allowing the County to periodically access, inspect, and, when so necessary, to clean, maintain and/or repair the detention basin/BMP(s); and

### Agreement

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual Promises contained herein, the sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Incorporation of Recitals: The Parties incorporate the Recitals above into this Agreement.

2. Covenants Running with the Land: Developer/Owner agrees that this entire Agreement and the performance thereof shall become a covenant running with the land, which land is legally described in Exhibit A attached hereto, and that this entire Agreement and the performance thereof shall be binding upon itself, its successors and assigns.

3. Construction: Developer shall construct on that portion of the Property described in Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, 1 detention basin/BMP(s). Developer shall not commence construction of the detention basin/BMP(s) until the El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department (PCD) has approved in writing the plans and specifications for the detention basin/BMP(s) and this Agreement has been signed by all Parties and returned to the PCD. Developer shall complete construction of the detention basin/BMP(s) in substantial compliance with the County-approved plans and specifications for the detention basin/BMP(s).

Failure to meet these requirements shall be a material breach of this Agreement, and shall entitle the County to pursue any remedies available to it at law or in equity to enforce the same. Construction of the detention basin/BMP(s) shall be substantially completed within one (1) year (defined as 365 days), which one year period will commence to run on the date the approved plat of this Subdivision is recorded in the records of the El Paso County Clerk and Recorder.

In cases where a subdivision is not required, the one year period will commence to run on the date the Erosion and Stormwater Quality Control Permit (ESQCP) is issued. Rough grading of the detention basin/BMP(s) must be completed and inspected by the El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department prior to commencing road construction.

In the event construction is not substantially completed within the one (1) year period, then the County may exercise its discretion to complete the project, and shall have the right to seek reimbursement from the Developer/Owner and its successors and assigns, for its actual costs and expenses incurred in the process of completing construction. The term actual costs and expenses shall be liberally construed in favor of the County, and shall include, but shall not be limited to, labor costs, tool and equipment costs, supply costs, and engineering and design costs, regardless of whether the County uses its own personnel, tools, equipment and supplies, etc. to correct the matter. In the event the County initiates any litigation or engages the services of legal counsel in

order to enforce the Provisions arising herein, the County shall be entitled to its damages and costs, including reasonable attorney fees, regardless of whether the County contracts with outside legal counsel or utilizes in-house legal counsel for the same.

4. Maintenance: The Developer/Owner agrees for itself and its successors and assigns, that it will regularly and routinely inspect, clean and maintain the detention basin/BMP(s), and otherwise keep the same in good repair, all at its own cost and expense. No trees or shrubs that will impair the structural integrity of the detention basin/BMP(s) shall be planted or allowed to grow on the detention basin/BMP(s).

5. Creation of Easement: Developer/Owner hereby grants the County a non-exclusive perpetual easement upon and across that portion of the Property described in Exhibit B. The purpose of the easement is to allow the County to access, inspect, clean, repair and maintain the detention basin/BMP(s); however, the creation of the easement does not expressly or implicitly impose on the County a duty to so inspect, clean, repair or maintain the detention basin/BMP(s).

6. County's Rights and Obligations: Any time the County determines, in the sole exercise of its discretion, that the detention basin/BMP(s) is not properly cleaned, maintained and/or otherwise kept in good repair, the County shall give reasonable notice to the Developer/Owner and its successors and assigns, that the detention basin/BMP(s) needs to be cleaned, maintained and/or otherwise repaired. The notice shall provide a reasonable time to correct the problem(s). Should the responsible parties fail to correct the specified problem(s), the County may enter upon the Property to so correct the specified problem(s). Notice shall be effective to the above by the County's deposit of the same into the regular United States mail, postage pre-paid. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Agreement does not expressly or implicitly impose on the County a duty to so inspect, clean, repair or maintain the detention basin/BMP(s).

7. Reimbursement of County's Costs / Covenant Running With the Land: The Developer/Owner agrees and covenants, for itself, its successors and assigns, that it will reimburse the County for its costs and expenses incurred in the process of completing construction of, cleaning, maintaining, and/or repairing the detention basin/BMP(s) pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement. The term "actual costs and expenses" shall be liberally construed in favor of the County, and shall include, but shall not be limited to, labor costs, tools and equipment costs, supply costs, and engineering and design costs, regardless of whether the County uses its own personnel, tools, equipment and supplies, etc. to correct the matter. In the event the County initiates any litigation or engages the services of legal counsel in order to enforce the provisions arising herein, the County shall be entitled to its damages and costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, regardless of whether the County contracts with outside legal counsel or utilizes in-house legal counsel for the same.

8. Contingencies of Land Use/Land Disturbance Approval: Developer/Owner's execution of this Agreement is a condition of land use/land disturbance approval. The County shall have the right, in the sole exercise of its discretion, to approve or disapprove any documentation submitted to it under the conditions of this Paragraph, including but not limited to, any separate agreement or amendment, if applicable, identifying any specific

maintenance responsibilities not addressed herein. The County's rejection of any documentation submitted hereunder shall mean that the appropriate condition of this Agreement has not been fulfilled.

9. Agreement Monitored by El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department and/or El Paso County Department of Public Works: Any and all actions and decisions to be made hereunder by the County shall be made by the Director of the El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department and/or the Director of the El Paso County Department of Public Works. Accordingly, any and all documents, submissions, plan approvals, inspections, etc. shall be submitted to and shall be made by the Director of the Planning and Community Development Department and/or the Director of the Planning and Community Development Department and/or the Director of the El Paso County Department of Public Works.

10. Indemnification and Hold Harmless: To the extent authorized by law, Developer/Owner agrees, for itself, its successors and assigns, that it will indemnify, defend, and hold the County harmless from any and all loss, costs, damage, injury, liability, claim, lien, demand, action and causes of action whatsoever, whether at law or in equity, arising from or related to its intentional or negligent acts, errors or omissions or that of its agents, officers, servants, employees, invitees and licensees in the construction, operation, inspection, cleaning (including analyzing and disposing of any solid or hazardous wastes as defined by State and/or Federal environmental laws and regulations), maintenance, and repair of the detention basin/BMP(s), and such obligation arising under this Paragraph shall be joint and several. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be deemed to waive or otherwise limit the defense available to the County pursuant to the Colorado Governmental Immunity Act, Sections 24-10-101, *et seq.* C.R.S., or as otherwise provided by law.

11. Severability: In the event any Court of competent jurisdiction declares any part of this Agreement to be unenforceable, such declaration shall not affect the enforceability of the remaining parts of this Agreement.

12. Third Parties: This Agreement does not and shall not be deemed to confer upon or grant to any third party any right to claim damages or to bring any lawsuit, action or other proceeding against either the County, the Developer/Owner, or their respective successors and assigns, because of any breach hereof or because of any terms, covenants, agreements or conditions contained herein.

13. Solid Waste or Hazardous Materials: Should any refuse from the detention basin/BMP(s) be suspected or identified as solid waste or petroleum products, hazardous substances or hazardous materials (collectively referred to herein as "hazardous materials"), the Developer/Owner shall take all necessary and proper steps to characterize the solid waste or hazardous materials and properly dispose of it in accordance with applicable State and/or Federal environmental laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, the following: Solid Wastes Disposal Sites and Facilities Acts, §§ 30-20-100.5 – 30-20-119, C.R.S., Colorado Regulations Pertaining to Solid Waste Disposal Sites and Facilities, 6 C.C.R. 1007-2, *et seq.*, Solid Waste Disposal Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901-6992k, and Federal Solid Waste Regulations 40 CFR Ch. I. The County shall not be responsible or liable for identifying, characterizing, cleaning up, or disposing

of such solid waste or hazardous materials. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, should any refuse cleaned up and disposed of by the County be determined to be solid waste or hazardous materials, the Developer/Owner, but not the County, shall be responsible and liable as the owner, generator, and/or transporter of said solid waste or hazardous materials.

14. Applicable Law and Venue: The laws, rules, and regulations of the State of Colorado and El Paso County shall be applicable in the enforcement, interpretation, and execution of this Agreement, except that Federal law may be applicable regarding solid waste or hazardous materials.

Venue shall be in the El Paso County District Court. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties affix their signatures below.

Executed this \_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_, by: Salvation Army

By: \_\_\_\_\_ Capt. Eric Wilkerson

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this \_\_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, by [Insert name], [Insert title(President/Manager)], [Insert Company Name]

Witness my hand and official seal. My commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public Executed this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_, by:

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

By: \_\_\_\_\_ Megan Herington , Executive Director Planning and Community Development Department Authorized signatory pursuant to LDC

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2023, by \_\_\_\_\_, Executive Director of El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department.

Witness my hand and official seal. My commission expires:

Notary Public

Private Infiltration Basin / Stormwater Quality BMP Maintenance

Approved as to Content and Form:

Assistant County Attorney

Exhibit A Exhibit B

### EXHIBIT A LEGAL DESCRIPTION

### PARCEL 1:

LOTS 4 AND 5, BLOCK 1, REFILING OF SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION NO. 4, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO.

### PARCEL 2:

LOT 6, BLOCK 1, REFILING OF SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION NO. 4, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO.

TIM STACKHOUSE, P.E. COLORADO NO. 61924 FOR AND ON BEHALF OF: R&R ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS, INC.



Unresolved comment from Review 1: Value inputted for "Permanent Pond/BMP (provide engine shown in the Engineer's Cost Estimate for the PBMP in the	eer's estimate) ne FDR. Curre	" should m ntly no esti	atch the tota	al value led in FDR	Review represe	2 update nts. Is it	e: please clarify in the F just the cost of importin	DR what this \$12.9 The filter media?
2024 Financial Assurance Estim	nate Form		K		separate	e line ite	m in Section 1: "Earthw	vork." The cost
(with pre-plat construction)	plea	ase upo	date	<u>~</u>	estimate or addee	e should d into th	include labor costs (as e cost of each component	a separate line iter ent):
RR RESPONSE: SEPERATE	<u>,</u>	ROJECT I	INFORMATI	ON	~ D			
ENGINEERS ESTIMATE HAS B			Date: 12/22	2/2023				
			<u> </u>			EEN	MODIFIED. IF	IE COST OF
PROVIDED FOR THE POIND AN			SPONS	F. DAT	F T	HE B	MP AND ENGI	NEERS
MATCHES THE FDR COST.					ς <u></u> Ε	STIM	ATE INCLUDE	IMPORT OF
					<sup>.</sup> _ C	LEAN	SANDS AND	LABOR OF
1 000 5 000 40 000 min	20				— IN	ISTA	LIATION THE	GRADING
5.001-20.000; \$30.000 min		CY	\$ 5.00	=	* /C			
20,001-50,000; \$100,000 min		λ	\$ 3.50	=	\$ (C			
50,001-200,000; \$175,000 min		CY	\$ 2.50	=	\$ P	ROVI	IDE IN FDR. EN	IGINEERS
greater than 200,000; \$500,000 min		CY	\$ 2.00	=	<u></u>	STIM	ATE PROVIDE	D IN THE
Permanent Seeding (N.C. noxious weet mount.) & Mutching	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		\$ 2.018.00	<u> </u>	* * B	ACK	OF THE DRAIN	JAGE
Permanent Pond/BMP (provide engineer's estimate)	1.	EA	\$ 12,500.00	) =	\$ D			
Concrete Washout Rasin Concrete Washout Rasin	aw 1: mortdBM (provide engineer's estimate): should match the total value strate for the PBMP in the FDR. Currently no estimate provided in PDR. Assurance Estimate Fore currently no estimate provided in PDR. Assurance Estimate Fore currently no estimate provided in PDR. SEPERATE STIMATE INAS BEEN R THE POND ANDIT R RESPONSE: DATE IS CONSIDERED PARTINGE IS CONSIDERED PARTINGE IS CONSIDERED PARTINGE IS CONSIDERED PARTINGES IS CONSIDERED PARTINGES							
Inlet Protection		EA	\$ 217.00	=	\$	-	\$	-
Safety Fence		LF	\$ 651.00	=	\$	-	\$	-
Sediment Basin		EA	\$ 2,294.00	=	\$	-	\$	-
Sediment Trap		EA	\$ 538.00	=	\$	-	\$	-
Silt Fence	540.		\$ 3.00	=	\$	1,620.00	\$	1,620.00
Stope Drain Straw Bale		EA	\$ 43.00 \$ 33.00	=	\$	-	\$	-
Straw Wattle/Rock Sock		LF	\$ 8.00	=	\$	-	Ensure pond	estimate
Surface Roughening	.45	AC	\$ 269.00		\$	121.05	includes E-sc	oillway
Temporary Erosion Control Blanket		SY	\$ 3.00	=	\$	<u>\-</u>		ooian
Lemporary Seeding and Mulching	1	AC FA	\$ 1,793.00	=	\$	- 3 085 00	and update d	esign
	1.	27	\$ 3,003.00	=	\$	-	and FDR cos	t
[insert items not listed but part of construction plans]				=	\$	-	\$	-
MAI	INTENANCE (35%	% of Constru	iction BMPs)	=	\$	1,689.		GRASS
be retained until final acceptance (MAXIMUM OF 80% COMPLETE		Section	n 1 Subtotal	=	\$ 27,2	202.4		
ALLOWED) SECTION 2 - PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS *							INED SPILLVV	4 T
ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS						P	ROVIDED. NO	
Construction Traffic Control		LS		=	\$	<u> </u>	<b>DDITIONAL CO</b>	OST
Aggregate Base Course (135 lbs/cf)		Tons	\$ 37.00	=	\$	<u> </u>		IS AS IT
Aggregate base course (135 ibs/cf) Asphalt Pavement (3" thick)		SY	\$ 18.00		<u>э</u> \$	— 'ı		
Asphalt Pavement (4" thick)		SY	\$ 25.00		\$	—_ R	S CONSIDERE	DPARI
Asphalt Pavement (6" thick)		SY	\$ 38.00		\$	- C	OF THE	
Asphalt Pavement (147 lbs/cf)" thick		Tons	\$ 114.00	=	\$	C	GRADING/EAR	THWORK
Raised Median, Paved Regulatory Sign/Advisory Sign		FA	\$ 392.00	=	\$			
Guide/Street Name Sign		EA	¢ 052.00	=	\$			
Epoxy Pavement Marking		SF	\$ 17.00	=	\$	-	\$	*
Thermoplastic Pavement Marking		SF	\$ 30.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Delineator - Type I		EA	\$ 239.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Curb and Gutter, Type A (6" Vertical)		LF	\$ 38.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Curb and Gutter, Type B (Median)		LF	\$ 38.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Curb and Gutter, Type C (Ramp)		LF	\$ 38.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
4" Sidewalk (common areas only) 5" Sidewalk		SY	\$ 62.00 \$ 77.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
6" Sidewalk		SY	\$ 94.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
8" Sidewalk		SY	\$ 125.00		\$	-	\$	- *
Pedestrian Ramp		EA	\$ 1,496.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Cross Pan, local (8" thick, 6" wide to include return) Cross Pan, collector (9" thick, 8' wide to include return)			\$ 79.00 \$ 119.00	=	ې د	-	\$	*
Curb Opening with Drainage Chase		EA	\$ 1,926.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Guardrail Type 3 (W-Beam)		LF	\$ 65.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Guardrail Type 7 (Concrete)		LF	\$ 94.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Guardrail End Anchorage		EA EA	\$ 2,731.00	=	\$ ¢	-	\$	*
Sound Barrier Fence (CMU block, 6' high)		LF	\$ 102.00	_	\$	-	\$	_ *
Sound Barrier Fence (panels, 6' high)		LF	\$ 104.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
Electrical Conduit, Size =		LF	\$ 22.00	=	\$	-	\$	- *
i ranic Signai, (provide engineer's estimate)		EA		=	Þ	-	\$	- *

		PROJECT	INFORMATIO	ON			
Project Name: 208 Cunningham Drive			Date: 12/22	/2023		PCD File No.	CDR242
Description	Ouantity	Units	Unit Cost		Total	(with Pre-	Plat Construction) Remaining
				=	\$ -		\$ -
[insert items not listed but part of construction plans]				=	\$ -		\$-
STORM DRAIN IMPROVEMENTS							
Concrete Box Culvert (M Standard), Size (W x H)		LF		=	\$ -		\$-
18" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 82.00	=	\$ -		\$-
24" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 98.00	=	\$ -		\$-
30" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 123.00	=	\$ -		\$-
36" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 151.00	=	\$ -		\$-
42" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 201.00	=	\$ -		\$-
48" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 245.00	=	\$ -		\$-
54" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 320.00	=	\$ -		\$-
60" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 374.00	=	\$ -		\$-
66" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 433.00	=	\$ -		\$-
72" Reinforced Concrete Pipe		LF	\$ 495.00	=	\$ -		\$-
18" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 105.00	=	\$ -		\$-
24" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 121.00	=	\$ -		\$-
30" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 154.00	=	\$ -		\$-
36" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 184.00	=	\$ -		\$-
42" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 212.00	=	\$ -		\$-
48" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 223.00	=	\$ -		\$-
54" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 327.00	=	\$ -		\$-
60" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 353.00	=	\$ -		\$-
66" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 427.00	=	\$ -		\$-
72" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 502.00	=	\$ -		\$-
78" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 578.00	=	\$ -		\$-
84" Corrugated Steel Pipe		LF	\$ 691.00	=	\$ -		\$-
Flared End Section (FES) RCP Size =				-	¢ -		¢ -
(unit cost = 6x pipe unit cost)		EA			Ψ		¥
(unit cost = 6x pipe unit cost)		FA		=	\$ -		\$-
End Treatment- Headwall		FA		=	\$ -	1	\$ -
End Treatment- Wingwall		FA		_	\$ -		¢ \$-
End Treatment - Cutoff Wall		FA		_	\$ -		♀ \$
Curb Inlet (Type B) $I = 5'$ Depth < 5'		FA	\$ 7,212,00	=	\$ -		\$ -
Curb Inlet (Type R) L=5', $5' \le \text{Depth} < 10'$		EA	\$ 9.377.00	=	\$ -		¢ \$-
Curb Inlet (Type R) L =5'. $10' \le \text{Depth} < 15'$		EA	\$ 10.859.00	=	\$ -		\$ -
Curb Inlet (Type R) $L = 10'$ Depth < 5'		FA	\$ 9,925,00	=	\$ -		\$ -
Curb Inlet (Type R) L =10', $5' \le \text{Depth} < 10'$		EA	\$ 10.230.00	=	\$ -		¢ \$-
Curb Inlet (Type R) $L = 10'$ , $10' \le \text{Depth} \le 15'$		FA	\$ 12,805,00	_	\$ -		+ \$-
Curb Inlet (Type R) $L = 15'$ Depth < 5'		FA	\$ 12,003.00	_	\$ -		γ \$
Curb Inlet (Type R) L =15' $5' \le \text{Depth} \le 10'$		FA	\$ 13,835,00	_	\$ -		γ \$
Curb Inlet (Type R) $L = 15'$ 10' < Depth < 15'		FA	\$ 15 130 00	=	\$ -		\$ -
Curb Inlet (Type R) $L = 20'$ Depth < 5'		FA	\$ 13,755,00	_	¢ \$ -		¢ \$-
Curb Inlet (Type R) $L = 20'$ , $5' \le \text{Depth} < 10'$		FA	\$ 15 181 00		φ ς -		γ \$-
$Grated lplet (Type C) \qquad Depth < 5'$		FA	\$ 6,037,00		φ ς -		γ \$-
Grated Inlet (Type D) Depth < 5'		FA	\$ 7,458,00	_	¢ -		¢ _
Storm Sewer Manhole Box Base		FA	\$ 15 130 00		¢ -		Ψ
Storm Sewer Manhole, Slab Base		FA	\$ 8322.00		φ ς -		γ \$-
Geotextile (Frosion Control)		SY	\$ 9.00	_	¢ -		τ ¯ \$ _
Rip Rap. d50 size from 6" to 24"		Tons	\$ 104.00		\$ -		+ - \$ -
Rin Rap Grouted		Tons	\$ 124.00		\$ -		+ - \$ -
Drainage Channel Construction Size (W x H)		IF	\$ 10.00	_	\$ -		+ - \$ -
Drainage Channel Lining Concrete		CY	\$ 741.00		\$ -		+ - \$ -
Drainage Channel Lining, Rin Ran		CV	\$ 1/15.00	_	¢ -		* <u>-</u>
Drainage Channel Lining, Rip Nap			\$ 1 911 00	_	4 -		* -
Drainage Channel Lining, Other Stabilization		~~~	÷ 1,511.00	_	¢ -		≁ - \$ -
				_	¢ -		≁ - \$ -
linsert items not listed but part of construction plans				-	φ - ¢ -		γ - \$ -
* - Subject to defect warranty financial assurance. A minimum of 20% shall				-	· · · ·		¥ –
be retained until final acceptance (MAXIMUM OF 80% COMPLETE ALLOWED)		Sectio	on 2 Subtotal	=	\$-		\$-

				DIANT			FAF as	its not c	omr	non
		VROJECT ]	INFO	KMATI	UN			ns not c		non
Project Name: 208 Cunningham Drive	_		Date	e: 12/22	/2023		develop	oment		
Toject Name: 200 Cummigham Drive			Dat		, 2023				1	
				Unit				SPONS	E: T	HIS –
Description	Quantity	Units		Cost						
SECTION 3 - COMMON DEVELOPMENT IMPR	<b>OVEMENTS</b> (Pri	vate or D	istric	t and N	IOT Maint	ained by	SCOP			۷ 🔪
RÖADWAY IMPRÖVEMENTS	* * * *			<u> </u>		11	REMO	VED FR	OM	THE 🔪
Aggregate Base Course (135 lbs/cf)	90.	CY	\$	66.00	=	\$				10.00
Asphalt Pavement (3" thick)	1600.	SY	\$	18.00	=	\$				0.00
Stop Sign	1.	EA	\$	475.00	=	\$	473.00		<b>P</b>	
Thermoplastic Paint	1.	EA	\$	350.00	=	\$	350.00		\$	350.00
	1111	ررر			ر ت_ر	\$	تحح		\$	111
STORM DRAIN IMPROVEMENTS (Except	ption: Permanent Pon	d/BMP shall	be item	nized und	er Section 1	т. <b>9</b> — — —				
Drainage Channel	300.	LF	\$	10.00	=	\$	3,000.00		\$	3,000.00
Drainage Channel Lining	.1	AC	\$ 1	1,911.00	=	\$	191.10		\$	191.10
					=	\$	191.10		\$	191.10
					=	\$	-		\$	-
					=	\$	-		\$	-
					=	\$	-		\$	-
WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS										
Water Main Pipe (PVC), Size 8"		LF	\$	84.00	=	\$	-		\$	-
Water Main Pipe (Ductile Iron), Size 8"		LF	\$	98.00	=	\$	-		\$	-
Gate Valves, 8"		EA	\$ 2	2,418.00	=	\$	-		\$	-
Fire Hydrant Assembly, w/ all valves		EA	\$ 8	8,584.00	=	\$	-		\$	-
Water Service Line Installation, inc. tap and valves		EA	\$ 1	1,723.00	=	\$	-		\$	-
Fire Cistern Installation, complete		EA			=	\$	-		\$	-
					=	\$	-		\$	-
[insert items not listed but part of construction plans]					=	\$	-		\$	-
SANITARY SEWER IMPROVEMENTS			1							
Sewer Main Pipe (PVC), Size 8"		LF	\$	84.00	=	\$	-		\$	-
Sanitary Sewer Manhole, Depth < 15 feet		EA	\$ 5	5,708.00	=	\$	-		\$	-
Sanitary Service Line Installation, complete		EA	\$ 1	1,825.00	=	\$	-		\$	-
Sanitary Sewer Lift Station, complete		EA			=	\$	-		\$	-
					=	\$	-		\$	-
[insert items not listed but part of construction plans]					=	\$	-		\$	-
LANDSCAPING IMPROVEMENTS	(For subdivision spe	cific conditio	n of ap	proval, or	PUD)					
		EA			=	\$	-		\$	-
		EA			=	\$	-		\$	-
		EA			=	\$	-		\$	-
		EA			=	\$	-		\$	-

	1	Da	ate: 12/22	/2023	_		PCD File No.	CDR	242
			Unit				(with Pre	-Plat	Construction)
Quantity	Units		Cost			Total	% Complete		Remaining
CV BMPs)	EA	\$	3,500.00	=	\$	3,500.00		\$	3,500.00
ulations)	LS	\$	1,200.00	=	\$	1,200.00		\$	1,200.00
Fotal Remain (Sum of all	ing Const section total	(Si <b>ruct</b> s less	um of all sec <b>ion Finan</b> s credit for it	ction subtota Icial Assu ems comple	als plus as- irance (w ite plus as-	builts and pond/BN /ith Pre-Plat Co builts and pond/BN	AP certification)	\$	70,849.67
				Total De	fect War	ranty Financia	Assurance	\$	3,903.06
(2	0% of all iten	ns ide	entified as (*	). To be coll	ateralized	at time of prelimina	ary acceptance)		
	CV BMPs) :ulations) Fotal Remain (Sum of all (2	CV BMPs)     EA       :ulations)     LS   Fotal Remaining Const (Sum of all section total (20% of all iter	CV BMPs)       EA       \$         :ulations)       LS       \$         (S       Fotal Remaining Construct (Sum of all section totals less         (20% of all items ide	CV BMPs)       EA       \$ 3,500.00         :ulations)       LS       \$ 1,200.00         (Sum of all section totals less credit for it       (Sum of all section totals less credit for it         (20% of all items identified as (*	CV BMPs)       EA       \$ 3,500.00       =         :ulations)       LS       \$ 1,200.00       =         Total (Sum of all section subtota Fotal Remaining Construction Financial Assur- (Sum of all section totals less credit for items comple (Sum of all section totals less credit for items comple (20% of all items identified as (*). To be coll	CV BMPs)       EA       \$ 3,500.00       =       \$         :ulations)       LS       \$ 1,200.00       =       \$         Total Constru         (Sum of all section subtotals plus as-         Total Construction Financial Assurance (w         (Sum of all section totals less credit for items complete plus as-         Total Defect War         (20% of all items identified as (*). To be collateralized as	CV BMPs)       EA       \$ 3,500.00       =       \$ 3,500.00         :ulations)       LS       \$ 1,200.00       =       \$ 1,200.00         Total Construction Financia (Sum of all section subtotals plus as-builts and pond/BM         Fotal Remaining Construction Financial Assurance (with Pre-Plat Col (Sum of all section totals less credit for items complete plus as-builts and pond/BM         Total Defect Warranty Financia         (20% of all items identified as (*). To be collateralized at time of prelimina	CV BMPs)       EA       \$ 3,500.00       =       \$ 3,500.00         :ulations)       LS       \$ 1,200.00       =       \$ 1,200.00         Total Construction Financial Assurance (Sum of all section subtotals plus as-builts and pond/BMP certification)         Fotal Remaining Construction Financial Assurance (with Pre-Plat Construction)         (Sum of all section totals less credit for items complete plus as-builts and pond/BMP certification)         Cotal Defect Warranty Financial Assurance         (20% of all items identified as (*). To be collateralized at time of preliminary acceptance)	CV BMPs)       EA       \$ 3,500.00       =       \$ 3,500.00       \$         :ulations)       LS       \$ 1,200.00       =       \$ 1,200.00       \$         Total Construction Financial Assurance (Sum of all section subtotals plus as-builts and pond/BMP certification)         Fotal Remaining Construction Financial Assurance (with Pre-Plat Construction)         (Sum of all section totals less credit for items complete plus as-builts and pond/BMP certification)         Total Defect Warranty Financial Assurance (20% of all items identified as (*). To be collateralized at time of preliminary acceptance)

	Please provide engineer sta and owner sign	RR RESPONSE: SIGNATURES PROVIDED
Engineer (P.E. Seal Required)		
Approved by Owner / Applicant	Date	
Approved by El Paso County Engineer / ECM Administr	ator Date	





## EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN CHECKLIST

	Revised: October 2021	Applicant	EPC
1. <u>c</u>	<b>RADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN</b> (complete form using Y, N, N/A in the "Applicant" column)		
а	Vicinity map		Υ
b	Adjacent city/town/jurisdictional boundaries, subdivision names, and property parcel numbers labeled		Y
с	North arrow and acceptable scale (1"=20' to 1"=100')		Υ
d	Legend for all symbols used in the plan		Υ
е	Existing and proposed property lines. Proposed subdivision boundary for subdivision projects		Υ
f	All existing structures		Υ
g	All existing utilities		Υ
h	Construction site boundaries		Υ
i	Existing vegetation (notes are acceptable in cases where there is no notable vegetation, only grasses/weeds, or site has already been stripped)		Y
j	FEMA 100-yr floodplain		N/A
k	Existing and proposed water courses including springs, streams, wetlands, detention ponds, stormwater quality structures, roadside ditches, irrigation ditches and other water surfaces. Show maintenance of pre-existing vegetation within 50 feet of a receiving water		Y
Ι	Existing and proposed contours 2 feet or less (except for hillside)		Υ
m	Limits of disturbance delineating all anticipated areas of soil disturbance		Υ
n	Identify and protect areas outside of the construction site boundary with existing fencing, construction fencing or other methods as appropriate		Y
о	Off-site grading clearly shown and called out		Υ
р	Areas of cut and fill identified		Υ
q	Conclusions from soils/geotechnical report and geologic hazards report incorporated in grading design (slopes, embankments, materials, mitigation, etc.)		Y
r	Proposed slopes steeper than 3:1 with top and toe of slope delineated. Erosion control blanketing or other protective covering required		N/A
s	Stormwater flow direction arrows		Υ
t	Location of any dedicated asphalt / concrete batch plants		N/A
u	Areas used for staging, storage of building materials, soils (stockpiles) or wastes. The use of construction office trailers requires PCD permitting		Y
v	All proposed temporary construction control measures, structural and non-structural. Temporary construction control measures shall be identified by phase of implementation to include" "initial," "interim," and "final" or shown on separate phased maps identifying each phase		Y
w	Vehicle tracking provided at all construction entrances/exits. Construction fencing, barricades, and/or signage provided at access points not to be used for construction		Y
х	Temporary sediment ponds provided for disturbed drainage areas greater than 1 acre		N/A



# EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN CHECKLIST

	Revised: October 2021		EPC	
	Dewatering operations to include locations of diversion, pump and discharge(s) as anticipated at			
У	time of design		N/A	
7	All proposed temporary construction control measure details. Custom or other jurisdiction's details		N	
2	used must meet or exceed EPC standards See my comment on the VTC detail		N	
aa	Any off-site stormwater control measure proposed for use by the project and not under the direct			
	control or ownership of the Owner or Operator		N/A	
	Existing and proposed permanent storm water management facilities, including areas proposed for		M	
DD	stormwater infiltration or subsurface detention		Y	
сс	Existing and proposed easements (permanent and construction) including required off-site		V	
	easements		Y	
	Retaining walls shall not to be located in County ROW unless approved via license agreement. A			
dd	building permit from Regional Building Department is required for walls greater than or equal to 4		N/A	
	feet in height, series of walls, or walls supporting a surcharge and must be design by P F			
	Plan certified by a Colorado Registered P.E. with EPC standard signature blocks for Engineer			
ee	Owner and EPC		Y	
<u> </u>				
	Engineer's Statement (for standalone GEC Plan):			
	This Grading and Erosion Control Plan was prepared under my direction and supervision and is			
	correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said Plan has been prepared according to the			
ff	criteria established by the County for Grading and Erosion Control Plans. I accept responsibility for		Y	
	any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this plan.			
	Engineer of Record Signature Date			
gg	Engineer's Statement (for GEC Plan within Construction Drawing set): These detailed plans and specifications were prepared under my direction and supervision. Said plans and specifications have been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for detailed roadway, drainage, grading and erosion control plans and specifications, and said plans and specifications are in conformity with applicable master drainage plans and master transportation plans. Said plans and specifications meet the purposes for which the particular roadway and drainage facilities are designed and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparation of these detailed plans and specifications. Engineer of Record Signature Date		N/A	
hh	Owner's Statement (for standalone GEC Plan): I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with the requirements of the Grading and Erosion Control Plan. Owner Signature Date		Y	
	Owner's Statement (for GEC Plan within Construction Drawing set):			
	the owner/developer have read and will comply with the requirements of the grading and erosion			
ii	control plan and all of the requirements specified in these detailed plans and specifications		N/A	
"				
	Owner Signature Date			



# EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN CHECKLIST

	Revised: October 2021	Applicant	EPC
ij	El Paso County: County plan review is provided only for general conformance with County Design Criteria. The County is not responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the design, dimensions, and/ or elevations which shall be confirmed at the job site. The County through the approval of this document assumes no responsibility for completeness and/ or accuracy of this document. Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, and Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended. In accordance with ECM Section 1.12, these construction documents will be valid for construction for a period of 2 years from the date signed by the El Paso County Engineer. If construction has not started within those 2 years, the plans will need to be resubmitted for approval, including payment of review fees at the Planning and Community Development Director's discretion. Jennifer Irvine, P.E. Date County Engineer/ECM Administrator		Y
2. <u>/</u>	ADDITIONAL REPORTS/PERMITS/DOCUMENTS		
а	Soils report / geotechnical investigation as appropriate for grading/utilities/drainage/road construction.		
b	Use Agreement/easement between the Owner or Operator and other third party for use of all off- site grading or stormwater control measures, used by the owner or operator but not under their direct control or ownership.		
С	Floodplain Development Permit		
d	USACE 404/wetlands permit/mitigation plan		
е	e FEMA CLOMR		
f	State Engineer's permit/Notice Of Intent to Construct		
g	Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP)		
h	Financial Assurance Estimate (FAE) (signed)		
i	Erosion and Stormwater Quality Control Permit (ESQCP) (signed)		
j	Pre-Development Site Grading Acknowledgement & Right of Access Form (signed)		
k	Conditions of Approval met?		



# EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN CHECKLIST

	Revised: October 2021	Applicant	EPC	
3.	3. STANDARD NOTES FOR EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS			
1	Stormwater discharges from construction sites shall not cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or degradation of State Waters. All work and earth disturbance shall be done in a manner that minimizes pollution of any on-site or off-site waters, including wetlands.		Y	
2	Notwithstanding anything depicted in these plans in words or graphic representation, all design and construction related to roads, storm drainage and erosion control shall conform to the standards and requirements of the most recent version of the relevant adopted El Paso County standards, including the Land Development Code, the Engineering Criteria Manual, the Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2. Any deviations from regulations and standards must be requested, and approved, in writing.		Y	
3	A separate Stormwater Management Plan (SMWP) for this project shall be completed and an Erosion and Stormwater Quality Control Permit (ESQCP) issued prior to commencing construction. Management of the SWMP during construction is the responsibility of the designated Qualified Stormwater Manager or Certified Erosion Control Inspector. The SWMP shall be located on-site at all times during construction and shall be kept up to date with work progress and changes in the field.		Y	
4	Once the ESQCP is approved and a "Notice to Proceed" has been issued, the contractor may install the initial stage erosion and sediment control measures as indicated on the approved GEC. A Preconstruction Meeting between the contractor, engineer, and El Paso County will be held prior to any construction. It is the responsibility of the applicant to coordinate the meeting time and place with County staff.		Y	
5	Control measures must be installed prior to commencement of activities that could contribute pollutants to stormwater. Control measures for all slopes, channels, ditches, and disturbed land areas shall be installed immediately upon completion of the disturbance.		Y	
6	All temporary sediment and erosion control measures shall be maintained and remain in effective operating condition until permanent soil erosion control measures are implemented and final stabilization is established. All persons engaged in land disturbance activities shall assess the adequacy of control measures at the site and identify if changes to those control measures are needed to ensure the continued effective performance of the control measures. All changes to temporary sediment and erosion control measures must be incorporated into the Stormwater Management Plan.		Y	
7	Temporary stabilization shall be implemented on disturbed areas and stockpiles where ground disturbing construction activity has permanently ceased or temporarily ceased for longer than 14 days.		Y	
8	Final stabilization must be implemented at all applicable construction sites. Final stabilization is achieved when all ground disturbing activities are complete and all disturbed areas either have a uniform vegetative cover with individual plant density of 70 percent of pre-disturbance levels established or equivalent permanent alternative stabilization method is implemented. All temporary sediment and erosion control measures shall be removed upon final stabilization and before permit closure.		Y	
9	All permanent stormwater management facilities shall be installed as designed in the approved plans. Any proposed changes that effect the design or function of permanent stormwater management structures must be approved by the ECM Administrator prior to implementation.		Y	



# EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN CHECKLIST

	Revised: October 2021	Applicant	EPC
10	Earth disturbances shall be conducted in such a manner so as to effectively minimize accelerated soil erosion and resulting sedimentation. All disturbances shall be designed, constructed, and completed so that the exposed area of any disturbed land shall be limited to the shortest practical period of time. Pre-existing vegetation shall be protected and maintained within 50 horizontal feet of a waters of the state unless shown to be infeasible and specifically requested and approved.		Y
11	Compaction of soil must be prevented in areas designated for infiltration control measures or where final stabilization will be achieved by vegetative cover. Areas designated for infiltration control measures shall also be protected from sedimentation during construction until final stabilization is achieved. If compaction prevention is not feasible due to site constraints, all areas designated for infiltration and vegetation control measures must be loosened prior to installation of the control measure(s).		Y
12	Any temporary or permanent facility designed and constructed for the conveyance of stormwater around, through, or from the earth disturbance area shall be a stabilized conveyance designed to minimize erosion and the discharge of sediment off-site.		Y
13	Concrete wash water shall be contained and disposed of in accordance with the SWMP. No wash water shall be discharged to or allowed to enter State Waters, including any surface or subsurface storm drainage system or facilities. Concrete washouts shall not be located in an area where shallow groundwater may be present, or within 50 feet of a surface water body, creek or stream.		Y
14	During dewatering operations, uncontaminated groundwater may be discharged on-site, but shall not leave the site in the form of surface runoff unless an approved State dewatering permit is in place.		Y
15	Erosion control blanketing or other protective covering shall be used on slopes steeper than 3:1.		Υ
16	Contractor shall be responsible for the removal of all wastes from the construction site for disposal in accordance with local and State regulatory requirements. No construction debris, tree slash, building material wastes or unused building materials shall be buried, dumped, or discharged at the site.		Y
17	Waste materials shall not be temporarily placed or stored in the street, alley, or other public way, unless in accordance with an approved Traffic Control Plan. Control measures may be required by El Paso County Engineering if deemed necessary, based on specific conditions and circumstances.		Y
18	Tracking of soils and construction debris off-site shall be minimized. Materials tracked off-site shall be cleaned up and properly disposed of immediately.		Y
19	The owner/developer shall be responsible for the removal of all construction debris, dirt, trash, rock, sediment, soil, and sand that may accumulate in roads, storm drains and other drainage conveyance systems and stormwater appurtenances as a result of site development.		Y
20	The quantity of materials stored on the project site shall be limited, as much as practical, to that quantity required to perform the work in an orderly sequence. All materials stored on-site shall be stored in a neat, orderly manner, in their original containers, with original manufacturer's labels.		Y
21	No chemical(s) having the potential to be released in stormwater are to be stored or used on-site unless permission for the use of such chemical(s) is granted in writing by the ECM Administrator. In granting approval for the use of such chemical(s), special conditions and monitoring may be required.		Y
22	Bulk storage of allowed petroleum products or other allowed liquid chemicals in excess of 55 gallons shall require adequate secondary containment protection to contain all spills on-site and to prevent any spilled materials from entering State Waters, any surface or subsurface storm drainage system or other facilities.		Y



# EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN CHECKLIST

	Revised: October 2021	Applicant	EPC
23	No person shall cause the impediment of stormwater flow in the curb and gutter or ditch except with approved sediment control measures.		Υ
24	Owner/developer and their agents shall comply with the "Colorado Water Quality Control Act" (Title 25, Article 8, CRS), and the "Clean Water Act" (33 USC 1344), in addition to the requirements of the Land Development Code, DCM Volume II and the ECM Appendix I. All appropriate permits must be obtained by the contractor prior to construction (1041, NPDES, Floodplain, 404, fugitive dust, etc.). In the event of conflicts between these requirements and other laws, rules, or regulations of other Federal, State, local, or County agencies, the most restrictive laws, rules, or regulations shall apply.		Y
25	All construction traffic must enter/exit the site only at approved construction access points.		Υ
26	Prior to construction the permittee shall verify the location of existing utilities.		Υ
27	A water source shall be available on-site during earthwork operations and shall be utilized as required to minimize dust from earthwork equipment and wind.		Υ
28	The soils report for this site has been prepared by <b>[Company Name, Date of Report]</b> and shall be considered a part of these plans.		
29	At least ten (10) days prior to the anticipated start of construction, for projects that will disturb one (1) acre or more, the owner or operator of construction activity shall submit a permit application for stormwater discharge to the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Division. The application contains certification of completion of a stormwater management plan (SWMP), of which this Grading and Erosion Control Plan may be a part. For information or application materials contact: Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment Water Quality Control Division WQCD – Permits 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, CO 80246-1530 Attn: Permits Unit		Y
4. <u>/</u>	APPLICANT COMMENTS		
а			
b			
с			



# EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN CHECKLIST

	Revised: October 2021	Applicant	EPC
5. <u>(</u>	CHECKLIST REVIEW CERTIFICATIONS		
а	Engineer of Record: The Grading and Erosion Control Plan was prepared under my direction and supervision and is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said Plan has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for Grading and Erosion Control Plans.		
b	Review Engineer: The Grading and Erosion Control Plan was reviewed and found to meet the checklist requirements except where otherwise noted or allowed by an approved deviation request. Review Engineer Date		

CONTACT LIST				
OWNER	CIVIL ENGINEER	SURVEYOR		
FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY CORPS	R&R ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS, LLC	R&R ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS, LLC		
208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE	1635 WEST 13TH AVENUE, SUITE 310	1635 WEST 13TH AVENUE, SUITE 310		
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911	DENVER, CO 80204	DENVER, CO 80204		
(719) 382-1182	(303) 753-6730	(720) 381-2439		
QUIANA.VARGAS@USW.SALVATIONARMY. ORG	TSTACKHOUSE@RRENGINEERS.COM	KKUCHARCZYK@RRENGINEERS.COM		
CONTACT: QUIANA VARGAS	CONTACT: TIM STACKHOUSE, P.E.	CONTACT: KEVIN KUCHARCZYK		

BASIS OF BEARING

BEARINGS ARE BASED ON THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 6, BLOCK 1 OF REFILING OF SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION NO. 4 AS MONUMENTED AT THE WEST END BY A FOUND 3/4" BAR AND AT THE EAST END BY A NO. 4 REBAR. SAID LINE IS ASSUMED TO BEAR N89°10'20"E.

BENCHMARK

THE BENCHMARK FOR THIS SURVEY IS A NO. 4 REBAR LOCATED ALONG THE EASTERN BOUNDARY OF THE SUBJECT PARCEL (SEE SHEET 2). THE ELEVATION WAS DERIVED FROM GPS OBSERVATIONS USING AN OPUS DERIVED SOLUTION. ELEVATION: 5801.58 FEET (NAVD 1988 DATUM)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION PER TITLE COMMITMENT

PARCEL 1 LOTS 4 AND 5, BLOCK 1, REFILING OF SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION NO. 4, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO.

PARCEL 2: LOT 6, BLOCK 1, REFILING OF SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION NO. 4, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO

# **GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN** 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE

LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH P.M., COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO LOCATED AT: 208 CUNNINGHAM DR, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911



Sheet List Table				
Sheet Number	Sheet Title			
C1.0	COVER SHEET			
C1.1	GENERAL NOTES			
C2.0	INITIAL GRADING & EROSION CONTROL PLAN			
C3.0	FINAL GRADING & EROSION CONTROL PLAN			
C4.0	GEC DETAILS			

RR RESPONSE: NOTED, SIGNATURES ADDED

County Engineer/ECM Administrator Date

In accordance with ECM Section 1.12, these construction documents will be valid for construction for a period of 2 years from the date signed by the EI Paso County Engineer. If construction has not started within those 2 years, the plans will need to be resubmitted for approval, including payment of review fees at the Planning and Community Development Director's discretion.

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, and Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

County plan review is provided only for general conformance with County Design Criteria. The County is not responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the design, dimensions, and/ or elevations which shall be confirmed at the job site. The County through the approval of this document assumes no responsibility for completeness and/ or accuracy of this document.

El Paso County:

Owner Signature

Date

Owner's Statement (for standalone GEC Plan): I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with the requirements of the Grading and Erosion Control Plan.

Date

Engineer of Record Signature

Engineer's Statement (for standalone GEC Plan): This Grading and Erosion Control Plan was prepared under my direction and supervision and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said Plan has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for Grading and Erosion Control Plans. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this plan.

Know what's below.	BSY DATE JLH 9-10-24
Call before you dig.	REVISION COUNTY COMMENTS
	ÖZ 1
	R&R ENGINEERS-SURVEYORS, INC. 1635 WEST 13TH AVENUE, SUITE 310 1635 WEST 13TH AVENUE, SUITE 310 1635 WEST 13TH AVENUE, SUITE 310 DENVER, COLORADO 80204 DENVER, COLORADO 80204 DENVER, COLORADO 80204 DENVER, SUITE 303-753-6730
d supervision and is ed according to the accept responsibility for preparing this plan.	IN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911 -OUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY 208 CUNNINGHAM DR COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911
he Grading and Erosion esign Criteria. The	FOUNTAI
ensions, and/ or approval of this his document.	GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
nd Development Code, II, as amended.	ORG. SUBM. DATE 12/22/2023 DWN: JMP CHKD: DW NAME
e valid for construction r. If construction has not val, including payment of	COVER SHEET
on.	NO.
### EL PASO COUNTY GRADING & EROSION CONTROL STANDARD NOTES:

- 1. STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION SITES SHALL NOT CAUSE OR THREATEN TO CAUSE POLLUTION, CONTAMINATION, OR DEGRADATION OF STATE WATERS. ALL WORK AND EARTH DISTURBANCE SHALL BE DONE IN A MANNER THAT MINIMIZES POLLUTION OF ANY ON-SITE OR OFF-SITE WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS.
- 2. NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING DEPICTED IN THESE PLANS IN WORDS OR GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION, ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RELATED TO ROADS, STORM DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF THE RELEVANT ADOPTED EL PASO COUNTY STANDARDS, INCLUDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL, THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL AND THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUME 2. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS MUST BE REQUESTED, AND APPROVED, IN WRITING.
- 3. A SEPARATE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SMWP) FOR THIS PROJECT SHALL BE COMPLETED AND AN EROSION AND STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT (ESQCP) ISSUED PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. MANAGEMENT OF THE SWMP DURING CONSTRUCTION IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DESIGNATED QUALIFIED STORMWATER MANAGER OR CERTIFIED EROSION CONTROL INSPECTOR. THE SWMP SHALL BE LOCATED ON-SITE AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL BE KEPT UP TO DATE WITH WORK PROGRESS AND CHANGES IN THE FIELD.
- 4. ONCE THE ESQCP IS APPROVED AND A "NOTICE TO PROCEED" HAS BEEN ISSUED, THE CONTRACTOR MAY INSTALL THE INITIAL STAGE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AS INDICATED ON THE APPROVED GEC. A PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING BETWEEN THE CONTRACTOR, ENGINEER, AND EL PASO COUNTY WILL BE HELD PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPLICANT TO COORDINATE THE MEETING TIME AND PLACE WITH COUNTY STAFE
- 5. CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES THAT COULD CONTRIBUTE POLLUTANTS TO STORMWATER. CONTROL MEASURES FOR ALL SLOPES. CHANNELS, DITCHES, AND DISTURBED LAND AREAS SHALL BE INSTALLED IMMEDIATELY UPON COMPLETION OF THE DISTURBANCE
- 6. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED AND REMAIN IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION UNTIL PERMANENT SOIL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE IMPLEMENTED AND FINAL STABILIZATION IS ESTABLISHED. ALL PERSONS ENGAGED IN LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES SHALL ASSESS THE ADEQUACY OF CONTROL MEASURES AT THE SITE AND IDENTIFY IF CHANGES TO THOSE CONTROL MEASURES ARE NEEDED TO ENSURE THE CONTINUED EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE OF THE CONTROL MEASURES. ALL CHANGES TO TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INCORPORATED INTO THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- 7. TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON DISTURBED AREAS AND STOCKPILES WHERE GROUND DISTURBING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY HAS PERMANENTLY CEASED OR TEMPORARILY CEASED FOR LONGER THAN 14 DAYS.
- 8. FINAL STABILIZATION MUST BE IMPLEMENTED AT ALL APPLICABLE CONSTRUCTION SITES. FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED WHEN ALL GROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES ARE COMPLETE AND ALL DISTURBED AREAS EITHER HAVE A UNIFORM VEGETATIVE COVER WITH INDIVIDUAL PLANT DENSITY OF 70 PERCENT OF PRE-DISTURBANCE LEVELS ESTABLISHED OR EQUIVALENT PERMANENT ALTERNATIVE STABILIZATION METHOD IS IMPLEMENTED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED UPON FINAL STABILIZATION AND BEFORE PERMIT CLOSURE.
- 9. ALL PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS DESIGNED IN THE APPROVED PLANS. ANY PROPOSED CHANGES THAT EFFECT THE DESIGN OR FUNCTION OF PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.
- 10. EARTH DISTURBANCES SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN SUCH A MANNER SO AS TO EFFECTIVELY MINIMIZE ACCELERATED SOIL EROSION AND RESULTING SEDIMENTATION. ALL DISTURBANCES SHALL BE DESIGNED, CONSTRUCTED, AND COMPLETED SO THAT THE EXPOSED AREA OF ANY DISTURBED LAND SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE SHORTEST PRACTICAL PERIOD OF TIME PRE-EXISTING VEGETATION SHALL BE PROTECTED AND MAINTAINED WITHIN 50 HORIZONTAL FEET OF A WATERS OF THE STATE UNLESS SHOWN TO BE INFEASIBLE AND SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED AND APPROVED
- 11. COMPACTION OF SOIL MUST BE PREVENTED IN AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES OR WHERE FINAL STABILIZATION WILL BE ACHIEVED BY VEGETATIVE COVER. AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL ALSO BE PROTECTED FROM SEDIMENTATION DURING CONSTRUCTION UNTIL FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED. IF COMPACTION PREVENTION IS NOT FEASIBLE DUE TO SITE CONSTRAINTS, ALL AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION AND VEGETATION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE LOOSENED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE CONTROL MEASURE(S)
- 12. ANY TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT FACILITY DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED FOR THE CONVEYANCE OF STORMWATER AROUND, THROUGH, OR FROM THE EARTH DISTURBANCE AREA SHALL BE A STABILIZED CONVEYANCE DESIGNED TO MINIMIZE EROSION AND THE DISCHARGE OF SEDIMENT OFF-SITE.
- 13. CONCRETE WASH WATER SHALL BE CONTAINED AND DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SWMP. NO WASH WATER SHALL BE DISCHARGED TO OR ALLOWED TO ENTER STATE WATERS, INCLUDING ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR FACILITIES. CONCRETE WASHOUTS SHALL NOT BE LOCATED IN AN AREA WHERE SHALLOW GROUNDWATER MAY BE PRESENT, OR WITHIN 50 FEET OF A SURFACE WATER BODY, CREEK OR STREAM.
- 14 DURING DEWATERING OPERATIONS, UNCONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MAY BE DISCHARGED ON-SITE, BUT SHAL NOT LEAVE THE SITE IN THE FORM OF SURFACE RUNOFF UNLESS AN APPROVED STATE DEWATERING PERMIT IS IN PLACE. 15. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETING OR OTHER PROTECTIVE COVERING SHALL BE USED ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1.
- 16. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL WASTES FROM THE CONSTRUCTION SITE FOR DISPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. NO CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, TREE SLASH, BUILDING MATERIAL WASTES OR UNUSED BUILDING MATERIALS SHALL BE BURIED, DUMPED, OR DISCHARGED AT THE SITE.
- 17. WASTE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE TEMPORARILY PLACED OR STORED IN THE STREET, ALLEY, OR OTHER PUBLIC WAY, UNLESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AN APPROVED TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN. CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED BY EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING IF DEEMED NECESSARY, BASED ON SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES.
- 18. TRACKING OF SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS OFF-SITE SHALL BE MINIMIZED. MATERIALS TRACKED OFF-SITE SHALL BE CLEANED UP AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF IMMEDIATELY. 19. THE OWNER/DEVELOPER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, DIRT, TRASH,
- ROCK, SEDIMENT, SOIL, AND SAND THAT MAY ACCUMULATE IN ROADS, STORM DRAINS AND OTHER DRAINAGE CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS AND STORMWATER APPURTENANCES AS A RESULT OF SITE DEVELOPMENT.
- 20. THE QUANTITY OF MATERIALS STORED ON THE PROJECT SITE SHALL BE LIMITED, AS MUCH AS PRACTICAL, TO THAT QUANTITY REQUIRED TO PERFORM THE WORK IN AN ORDERLY SEQUENCE. ALL MATERIALS STORED ON-SITE SHALL BE STORED IN A NEAT, ORDERLY MANNER, IN THEIR ORIGINAL CONTAINERS, WITH ORIGINAL MANUFACTURER'S LABELS.
- 21. NO CHEMICAL(S) HAVING THE POTENTIAL TO BE RELEASED IN STORMWATER ARE TO BE STORED OR USED ON-SITE UNLESS PERMISSION FOR THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICAL(S) IS GRANTED IN WRITING BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR. IN GRANTING APPROVAL FOR THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICAL(S), SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND MONITORING MAY BE REQUIRED.
- 22. BULK STORAGE OF ALLOWED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS OR OTHER ALLOWED LIQUID CHEMICALS IN EXCESS OF 55 GALLONS SHALL REQUIRE ADEQUATE SECONDARY CONTAINMENT PROTECTION TO CONTAIN ALL SPILLS ON-SITE AND TO PREVENT ANY SPILLED MATERIALS FROM ENTERING STATE WATERS, ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR OTHER FACILITIES.
- 23. NO PERSON SHALL CAUSE THE IMPEDIMENT OF STORMWATER FLOW IN THE CURB AND GUTTER OR DITCH EXCEPT WITH APPROVED SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.
- 24. OWNER/DEVELOPER AND THEIR AGENTS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE "COLORADO WATER QUALITY CONTROL ACT" (TITLE 25, ARTICLE 8, CRS), AND THE "CLEAN WATER ACT" (33 USC 1344), IN ADDITION TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, DCM VOLUME II AND THE ECM APPENDIX I. ALL APPROPRIATE PERMITS MUST BE OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION (1041, NPDES, FLOODPLAIN, 404, FUGITIVE DUST, ETC.). IN THE EVENT OF CONFLICTS BETWEEN THESE REQUIREMENTS AND OTHER LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS OF OTHER FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, OR COUNTY AGENCIES, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS SHALL APPLY.
- 25. ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE SITE ONLY AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS. 26. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION THE PERMITTEE SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES.
- 27. A WATER SOURCE SHALL BE AVAILABLE ON-SITE DURING EARTHWORK OPERATIONS AND SHALL BE UTILIZED AS REQUIRED TO MINIMIZE DUST FROM EARTHWORK EQUIPMENT AND WIND.
- 28. THE SOILS REPORT FOR THIS SITE HAS BEEN PREPARED BY NRCS, 8/21/2021 AND SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PART OF THESE PLANS.
- 29. AT LEAST TEN (10) DAYS PRIOR TO THE ANTICIPATED START OF CONSTRUCTION, FOR PROJECTS THAT WILL DISTURB ONE (1) ACRE OR MORE, THE OWNER OR OPERATOR OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL SUBMIT A PERMIT APPLICATION FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, WATER QUALITY DIVISION. THE APPLICATION CONTAINS CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF A STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), OF WHICH THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN MAY BE A PART. FOR INFORMATION OR APPLICATION MATERIALS CONTACT:

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT WATER QUALITY CONTROL DIVISION WQCD - PERMITS 4300 CHERRY CREEK DRIVE SOUTH

DENVER, CO 80246-1530

ATTN: PERMITS UNIT

## MASTER LEGEND

EXISTING	DESCRIPTION
	PROPERTY LINE
	LOT LINE
	RIGHT OF WAY
	CENTERI INE
· ·	
x	
	MOUNTABLE CURB AND GUT
	SPILL GUTTER
	TRANSITION GUTTER
	CONCRETE SIDEWALK
E.	HANDICAP PARKING
	SIGHT TRIANGLE
-0-0-	SIGN(S)
	PARKING COUNT INDICAT
5825	MAJOR CONTOUR
5822	MINOR CONTOUR
	GRADE BREAK
$52 \frac{22}{FG} \qquad 5236 \frac{22}{FG}$	SPOT ELEVATION
	RIP RAP
W	WATER LINE
M	WATER METER
	WATER VALVE
	WATER REDUCER
+	WATER FITTINGS
$\mathbf{}$	FIRE HYDRANT
SS	SANITARY LINE
(SS)	SANITARY MANHOLE
•	SANITARY CLEANOUT
	STORM SEWER PIPE
	STORM SEWER MANHOL
	STORM SEWER INLET
	STORM SEWER FLARED END SI
	STORM SEWER HEADWAL
——— E ———	UNDERGROUND ELECTRI
OU	OVERHEAD ELECTRIC
ø	UTILITY POLE
¢	STREET LIGHT
CATV ———	CABLE TV SERVICE
T	TELECOM SERVICE
FO	FIBER OPTIC SERVICE
G	NATURAL GAS SERVICE
	TREE

# **GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN** 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE

LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH P.M., COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO LOCATED AT: 208 CUNNINGHAM DR, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911

CRIPTION	PROPOSED				
PERTY LINE		-		<u>BMP</u>	LEGEND
OT LINE					Rock Check DAM
IT OF WAY				СВ	COMPOST BLANKET
NTERLINE				CFB	COMPOST FILTER BERM
OD PLAIN	· · ·			(CWA)	CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA
F DISTURBANCE	LOD				CONSTRUCTION FENCE
REAM FLOWLINE	· · ·				CONSTRUCTION MARKERS
W RELIEF PATH	$\sim$				
NCE LINE	X		0	<b>Dw</b>	DEWATERING
SEMENT					DIVERSION DITCH
OF PAVEMENT					ROCK AND RIPRAP GRADATIONS
URB AND GUTTER				(ECB)	EROSION CONTROL BLANKET
CURB AND GUTTER					INLET PROTECTION
LL GUTTER					REINFORCED CHECK DAM
ITION GUTTER					
TE SIDEWAI K				HHB	REINFORCED ROCK BERM
				(RRC)	RRB FOR CULVERT PROTECTION
CAP PARKING	E			SB	SEDIMENT BASIN
				SCL	SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG
T TRIANGLE				ँडा	SEDIMENT TRAP
				SM	SEEDING AND MULCHING
SIGN(S)	<b>••</b>				
OUNT INDICATOR	$\langle \mathfrak{F} \rangle$ (7)				SILI FENCE
R CONTOUR	5825			(SSA)	STABILIZED STAGING AREA
R CONTOUR	5822		${}}{}{}{}{}}{}{}{}{}}{}{}{}{}}{}{}{}}{}{}{}{}}{}{}{}}{}{}{}{}{}{}}{}}{}}$	(SR)	SURFACE ROUGHENING
DE BREAK	· ·			TRC	TEMPORARY ROAD CROSSING
	22 22			(TSD)	TEMPORARY SLOPE DRAIN
ELEVATION	$\bullet$ $52\frac{22}{FG}$ $\bullet$ $5236\frac{22}{FG}$			TSC	TEMPORARY STREAM CROSSING
RIP RAP					TERRACING
				(VTC)	VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL
				WW	VTC WITH WHEEL WASH
				$(\mathbf{A})$	A LOT EROSION CONTROL
				B	B LOT EROSION CONTROL
				$\bigcirc$	
			-		
				LOC	OF DISTURBANCE
					PROP. STORMWATER FLOW ARROWS
	•				EX. STORMWATER FLOW ARROWS
	$\odot$ $\odot$			$\frown$	PROP. CUT/FILL BOUNDART
				(SP)	PROP. STOCKPILE PROTECTION
WER HEADWALL					
	E				
	- 				
	Ø				
	¢				
	CATV				
OM SERVICE	т				
PTIC SERVICE	FO				

TREE LINE





# REF ENGINEERS Z SURVEYORS O INC Ο ORS SUI 802 $\succ$ -SURVE လ ENGINEER ω R&R WWW.RRENGINEERS.COM **P م**

### DARD NOTES FOR EL PASO COUNTY CONSTRUCTION PLANS:

AINAGE AND ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION SHALL MEET THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF THE CITY OF DO SPRINGS/EL PASO COUNTY DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, VOLUMES 1 AND 2, AND THE EL PASO COUNTY ERING CRITERIA MANUAL.

ACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE NOTIFICATION AND FIELD NOTIFICATION OF ALL EXISTING S, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, BEFORE BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION. LOCATION OF EXISTING ES SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. CALL 811 TO CONTACT THE UTILITY ATION CENTER OF COLORADO (UNCC).

ACTOR SHALL KEEP A COPY OF THESE APPROVED PLANS, THE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN, THE VATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), THE SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, AND THE APPROPRIATE DESIGN NSTRUCTION STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS AT THE JOB SITE AT ALL TIMES, INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING: 50 COUNTY ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL (ECM)

OF COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO COUNTY DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, VOLUMES 1 AND 2 RADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (CDOT) STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE UCTION

M & S STANDARDS

ITHSTANDING ANYTHING DEPICTED IN THESE PLANS IN WORDS OR GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION, ALL DESIGN ISTRUCTION RELATED TO ROADS, STORM DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL SHALL CONFORM TO THE RDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF THE RELEVANT ADOPTED EL PASO COUNTY RDS, INCLUDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL, THE DRAINAGE A MANUAL, AND THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUME 2. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM REGULATIONS AND RDS MUST BE REQUESTED, AND APPROVED, IN WRITING. ANY MODIFICATIONS NECESSARY TO MEET A AFTER-THE-FACT WILL BE ENTIRELY THE DEVELOPER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO RECTIFY.

THE DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCURATELY SHOW EXISTING CONDITIONS, BOTH ONSITE AND , ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS. ANY MODIFICATIONS NECESSARY DUE TO CONFLICTS, OMISSIONS, OR D CONDITIONS WILL BE ENTIRELY THE DEVELOPER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO RECTIFY.

ACTOR SHALL SCHEDULE A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH EL PASO COUNTY PLANNING AND NITY DEVELOPMENT (PCD) – INSPECTIONS, PRIOR TO STARTING CONSTRUCTION.

'HE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO UNDERSTAND THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL JURISDICTIONAL ES AND TO OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO EL PASO COUNTY EROSION DRMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT (ESQCP), REGIONAL BUILDING FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, 1Y CORPS OF ENGINEERS-ISSUED 401 AND/OR 404 PERMITS, AND COUNTY AND STATE FUGITIVE DUST

ACTOR SHALL NOT DEVIATE FROM THE PLANS WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE ENGINEER AND PCD. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE DESIGN ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY UPON DISCOVERY ERRORS OR INCONSISTENCIES.

ORM DRAIN PIPE SHALL BE CLASS III RCP UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED AND APPROVED BY PCD.

RACTOR SHALL COORDINATE GEOTECHNICAL TESTING PER ECM STANDARDS. PAVEMENT DESIGN SHALL BE ED BY EL PASO COUNTY PCD PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF CURB AND GUTTER AND PAVEMENT.

ONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE SITE AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS.

VISIBILITY TRIANGLES AS IDENTIFIED IN THE PLANS SHALL BE PROVIDED AT ALL INTERSECTIONS. CTIONS GREATER THAN 18 INCHES ABOVE FLOWLINE ARE NOT ALLOWED WITHIN SIGHT TRIANGLES.

ING AND STRIPING SHALL COMPLY WITH EL PASO COUNTY DOT AND MUTCD CRITERIA. [IF APPLICABLE, DNAL SIGNING AND STRIPING NOTES WILL BE PROVIDED.]

RACTOR SHALL OBTAIN ANY PERMITS REQUIRED BY EL PASO COUNTY DOT, INCLUDING WORK WITHIN THE F-WAY AND SPECIAL TRANSPORT PERMITS.

IMITS OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL REMAIN WITHIN THE PROPERTY LINE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THE DEVELOPER SHALL OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION AND EASEMENTS, WHERE REQUIRED, FROM ADJOINING TY OWNER(S) PRIOR TO ANY OFF-SITE DISTURBANCE, GRADING, OR CONSTRUCTION.

SO COUNTY DOES NOT OWN AND IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNDERDRAINS OR GROUNDWATER RGE SYSTEMS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS AND ASSUMES NO LIABILITY FOR WATER RIGHTS ADMINISTRATION OVING THESE PLANS. MAINTENANCE AND WATER RIGHTS ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEVELOPER AND TY OWNER'S.





# **GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN** 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE

LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH P.M., COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO LOCATED AT: 208 CUNNINGHAM DR, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911



		Know Cal	/ what's DelOW
		Ca	n before you dig
			1
EPROPEOPERAD			
		COMPOST BLANKET	
		COMPOST ELITER BERM	
		CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA	
0			
		DIVERSION DITCH	
5000000		RUCK AND RIPRAP GRADATIONS	
	ECB	EROSION CONTROL BLANKET	
		INLET PROTECTION	
	RCD	REINFORCED CHECK DAM	
	RRB	REINFORCED ROCK BERM	
	RRC	RRB FOR CULVERT PROTECTION	
	SB	SEDIMENT BASIN	
		SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG	
	ST	SEDIMENT TRAP	
* * * *	SM	SEEDING AND MULCHING	
	SF	SILT FENCE	
	(SSA)	STABILIZED STAGING AREA	
$\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}$	SR	SURFACE ROUGHENING	
	TRC	TEMPORARY ROAD CROSSING	
		TEMPORARY SLOPE DRAIN	
	(TSC)	TEMPORARY STREAM CROSSING	
	TER	TERRACING	
	(VTC)	VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL	
	WW	VTC WITH WHEEL WASH	
	$\underbrace{\mathbf{A}}$	A LOT EROSION CONTROL	
	В	B LOT EROSION CONTROL	
<b></b> _		LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION/LIMITS	
-	$\bigcirc$	OF USIUKBAINLE PROP. STORMWATER FLOW ARROWS	
		EX. STORMWATER FLOW ARROWS	
		PROP. CUT/FILL BOUNDARY	
	(SP)	PROP. STOCKPILE PROTECTION	
	$\smile$		
			l

GEC CHECKLIST NOTES:

- EXISTING VEGETATION CONSISTS OF SPARSE NATIVE GRASS
   MINIMAL TREES AND SHRUBS EXIST ONSITE AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTURBED



3. AREAS OF CUT/FILL: THE LIMITS OF THE INFILTRATION POND IS NOTED ON THE PLAN SHEET





.0

SCALE: 1" = 30'

PCD FILE NO. CDR242



LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH

OF		Know	what's below.	BY         DATE           JLH         9-10-24		
ХТН	[	Cal	before you dig.	EVISION UNTY COMMENTS		
	BMP ECCECCECCEC CD CD CB	LEGEND CHECK DAM COMPOST BLANKET				
	CFB CWA CFF CWA CF CF CM CF CM DW DD	COMPOST FILTER BERM CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA CONSTRUCTION FENCE CONSTRUCTION MARKERS DEWATERING DIVERSION DITCH		NO.		RER
		ROCK AND RIPRAP GRADATIONS EROSION CONTROL BLANKET INLET PROTECTION REINFORCED CHECK DAM REINFORCED ROCK BERM RRB FOR CULVERT PROTECTION				RS NO
	SSA SSA SSA SSA SF SF SF SSA SSA SSA SSA	SEDIMENT BASIN SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG SEDIMENT TRAP SEEDING AND MULCHING SILT FENCE STABILIZED STAGING AREA SURFACE ROUGHENING TEMPORARY ROAD CROSSING TEMPORARY SLOPE DRAIN			<b>VGINEEKS-SUKVEYU</b> West 13th Avenue, Sui	ENVER, COLORADO 802 PHONE: 303-753-6730
	TSC TEF VTC WW A B	TEMPORARY STREAM CROSSING TERRACING VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL VTC WITH WHEEL WASH A LOT EROSION CONTROL B LOT EROSION CONTROL				
		LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION/LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE PROP. STORMWATER FLOW ARROWS EX. STORMWATER FLOW ARROWS PROP. CUT/FILL BOUNDARY				
BIORETENTION MEDIA P Particle Size Distribution: 70-80% Sand (0.05-2.0 mm diameter) 5-25% Silt (0.002-0.05 mm diameter) 5-15% Clay (<0.002 mm diameter) Notes: Sand, silt and clay percentages are by dry weight. Particle sizes are based on the USDA soil classification Distribution is measured after gravel > 2 mm is remove no more than 25% material > 2 mm. Equivalent sieve sizes for the upper and lower limit of	PROPERTIES			LVATION ARMY	HAM DRIVE NGS, CO 80911	SALVATION ARMY IGHAM DR NGS CO 80011
respectively. 1-5% by dry weight 6.0 - 8.5 <3 <30 Olsen: <20 or Mehlich-3: <30				ITAIN VALLEY SA	208 CUNNING COLORADO SPRI	FOUNTAIN VALLEY 208 CUNNIN COLORADO SDBI
TION VARIETY Garden 3.5 Butte 3	LIVE SEED (PLS) E OUNCES/ACRE				SITE ADDRESS:	PREPARED FOR:
Goshen3Paloma3Blackwell4Ariba3Patura33333		RR RESPONSE: NOTED HAS BEEN CORRECTED	TEXT OVERLAP	GRADIN JOB NO. ORG. SU DWN: NAME FIN/	S AND EROSIC FV211 BM. DATE JMP C AL GR/ EROS	DN CONTROL PL
	2		inx overlapping text		NTRO	

SCALE:pdb" FTLE 306. CDR242

Butte Goshen Paloma Blackwell Ariba Patura 4 8 4 4 27.5 22 28.9



### FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80911

Prepared for:

### Fountain Valley Salvation Army Corps 208 Cunningham Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80911 Phone: (719) 382-1182

Prepared by:

### **R&R Engineers-Surveyors**



1635 W. 13<sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite 310 Denver, CO 80204 Contact: Tim Stackhouse, P.E. Phone: 720-381-2439 FV21181

June 2, 2022 Revised: August 27, 2024

PCD Filing No.: CRD242

Provide engineer

### <u>Signature Page – Fountain Valley Salvation Army</u>

### **Design Engineer's Statement:**

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my dir and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Tim Stackhouse, P.E. #61924

### **Owner/Developer's Statement:**

RR RESPONSE: NOTED, SIGNATURES ADDED

Date

I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Quiana Varags, Director of Programs The Salvation Army Fountain Valley Corps 208 Cunningham Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80911

### El Paso County:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Joshua Palmer, P.E. County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Conditions:

Date

Date

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. General Location and Description	4
a. Site Location	4
b. Description of Property	4
2. Drainage Basins and Sub-Basins	4
a. Major Basin Description	4
b. Existing Sub-Basin Description	4
c. Existing Site Runoff Concerns	5
d. Proposed Sub-Basin Description	5
3. Drainage Design Criteria	6
a. Four Step Process	6
4. Drainage Facility Design	6

APPENDIX A- Vicinity Map, Soils Report, and FEMA map APPENDIX B- Existing Routing Calculations and Drainage Map APPENDIX C- Proposed Routing Calculations and Drainage Map APPENDIX D- Hydraulic Calculations

### 1. General Location and Description

### a. Site Location

The existing Fountain Valley Salvation Army (hereafter, the Site) is located on Lot 4, Block 5, 1 Refill Security, Colorado Addition 4 within a portion of the Northeast Quarter of Section 11, Township 15 South, Range 66 West of the Sixth P.M., City of Colorado Springs, El Paso County, Colorado (see Vicinity Map in Appendix A). The Site is located at 208 Cunningham Drive and is approximately 2.04 acres in size.

The Site is bounded by Cunningham Drive to the east, Sproul Junior Highschool to the west, and adjacent residential properties to the north and south.

### **b.** Description of Property

The total area of the property is 2.04 acres and the total area to be disturbed is 0.83 acres. The existing Site ground coverage consists primarily of native grasses, brush, and vegetation but also includes a gravel parking lot, and a building with associated concrete walks. Under existing conditions, the majority of the Site's stormwater runoff surface flows offsite to the south and west toward adjacent properties.

The Soil Survey of El Paso County Area, Colorado, prepared by the U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service, shows the Site is entirely underlain by Blakeland loamy sand – Hydrologic Group A. The existing terrain of the Site generally slopes from the north to south at grades ranging from 1% to 9%.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no existing irrigation facilities, canals, or existing storm infrastructure on and adjacent to the Site.

### RR RESPONSE: NOTED, as CHANGE MADE.

Unresolved: Detail what drainage basin the property is located in (SECURITY FOFO2900) which the DPBS is the Little Johnson DBPS

### asins and Sub-Basins

### a. Major Basin Description

ting available drainage studies that impact the Site are:

- The Site is located within Zone x, which has a 1% annual chance flood hazard. No floodplain impacts.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). El Paso County, Panel 763 Map No. 08041C0763G (December 2018).

### b. Existing Sub-Basin Description

**Sub-basin OS1** is 14.78 acres and comprised of existing residential homes, associated concrete driveways/sidewalks and existing landscaping/vegetation. Flows from this basin reach Design Point 1, collected via curb and gutter, and drain to Cunningham Drive

ROW. The flows from this offsite basin bypass the site. The 5- and 100-years flows are 8.54 cfs and 24.13 cfs respectively.

**Sub-basin EX1** is 2.02 acres and comprised of the Site, which includes the existing building, associated concrete driveways/sidewalks, existing landscaping/vegetation as well as a gravel lot. Flows from this basin reach Design Point 2. The 5- and 100-years flows are 0.69 cfs and 2.68 cfs respectively.

**Sub-basin EX2** is 0.09 acres and comprised of Cunningham Drive ROW, which includes existing landscaping/vegetation, sidewalk, and gravel driveway. Flows from this basin reach Design Point 2. The 5- and 100-years flows are 0.02 cfs and 0.11 cfs respectively.

Sub-basin EX3 is 0.02 acres and comprised of a retaining wall and existing landscaping/vegetation. Flows from this basin reach Design Point 3 and flow offsite to Leta Drive ROW. The 5- and 100-years flows are 0.00 cfs and 0.02 cfs respectively.

### c. Existing Site Runoff Concerns

Unresolved: Explain where the flows from Leta Dr terminates to a suitable outfall, i.e. Street flow to Security Creek to Fountain Creek. See page 17 of 107 of the Little

The Salvation Army building has flooded twice du Johnson DBPS high volume storm events. During these same ever https://coloradosprings.gov/dbps RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE

south have also experienced flooding when water d located at the downhill (southern) end of Cunningha

by the neighbor to the south on the Salvation Army property. This retaining wall was built in an easement and is blocking flow from leaving the Site. This is a major cause of the flooding on the Site and neighboring sites. For clarity, add "bio" in front of any

### d. Proposed Sub-Basin Description

instance of "retention" in this drainage report. A retention pond is completely different from a bioretention pond.

### **RR RESPONSE: NOTED,**

Sub-Basin C1 is 1.97 acres and comprised of the existing salvation at CHANGE MADE existing landscaping/vegetation as well as the proposed asphalt parkin porous landscape detention. Flow will drain the full infiltration retention system. The retention system will fully recover the 100-year storm event within 72 hrs. The 5- and 100-year flows entering the infiltration basin are 1.32 cfs and 3.80 cfs respectively. No outfall proposed.

**Sub-Basin OFF-1C** is an offsite basin that is 0.09 acres and comprised of Cunningham ROW, which includes existing landscaping/vegetation, sidewalk and the asphalt drive aisle. Flow will drain onsite and directed to the proposed retention basin. Flow will be captured by the retention basin and fully recover within 72 hrs. The 5- and 100-year flows of basin OFF-1C are 0.02 cfs and 0.11 cfs respectively. No outfall proposed.

**Sub-Basin UD-1C** is an onsite undetained basin that is 0.07 acres and comprised of an existing retaining wall and existing landscaping/vegetation. Flow will drain offsite

undetained to Leta Drive ROW (Design Point 2). The 5- and 100-year flows of basin UD-1C are 0.02 cfs and 0.11 cfs respectively.

### 3. Drainage Design Criteria

### a. Four Step Process

### **Step 1 – Employ Runoff Reduction Practices**

In step 1 the applicant is asked to identify areas of the Site that can be used to reduce runoff and implement LID practices such as permeable pavement, green roofs, grass buffers, grass swales, and bioretention. To meet the requirements of step 1, the disconnection of impervious areas shall be implemented to the greatest extent possible. Runoff from the building's roofs will flow across grassed landscaped areas before flowing into the proposed RP. Runoff from the asphalt parking lot will flow directly to the RP. The Runoff Reduction worksheet, produced by Mile High Flood District, is included in Appendix D.

### <u>Step 2 – Implement BMPs That Provide a Water Quality Capture volume</u> with Slow Release

In step 2 the applicant is asked to treat the runoff from the Site through the capture and slow release of the WQCV. The runoff from the Site is collected by a proposed RP that will provide water quality by fully infiltrating the 100 yr storm event. The RP worksheet and calculations are included in Appendix D.

### revise to: Bioretention (BR) NOTED, CHANGE Step 3 – Stabilized Drainageways MADE

In step 3 the applicant is asked to examine the downstream drainageways to ensure channel stability. The subject site lies within the Security Drainage Basin. Adjacent drainage ways will not be disturbed by this project.

### <u>Step 4 – Implement Site Specific and Other Source Control BMPs</u>

In step 4 the applicant is asked to examine Site specific needs such as material storage or other Site operations that will require targeted source control BMPs. A full infiltration retention facility is proposed to reduce flow, mitigate offsite sediment transport, and provide water quality onsite.

#### 4. Drainage Facility Design RR RESPONSE: add "bio" RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE

A full infiltration retention pond will be proposed onsite to help with the flooding issues and combat the increase in impervious with the proposed parking lot onsite. As s RR RESPONSE: the proposed routing spreadsheet, the 100-year combined flow at design point 1 NOTE, LANGUAGE cfs. The 100-year flow will RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE u ADDED. proposed.

	Add a discussion of existing soils, desire (or lack thereof) to import filter media, and reference infiltration calcs provided at end of this report	Discuss spillway
R&R Engineers-Surveyors	Also, discuss flow path for storms exceeding 100-yr and capacity of PCM.	6

### APPENDIX A- VICINITY MAP, SOILS REPORT, AND FEMA MAP

The below text from Section 4.0 of Chapter 4 starting on page 91 of 158 of MHFD's DCMv3, March 2024 edition, is provided for reference. Consider adding some of this guidance info into the drainage report text and/or GEC Plan notes.

RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE TO THE DRAINAGE REPORT AND ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS ARE PROVIDED ON THE GEC PLAN.

### 4.1 TYPES OF FILTRATION AND INFILTRATION SYSTEMS

### 4.1.1 FULL INFILTRATION SYSTEMS

Full infiltration systems can be used when the measured infiltration rate is at least 1 inch per hour and the subgrade of the SCM is approximately 3 feet or more above seasonal high groundwater or bedrock. When seasonal high groundwater is within 5 feet of the subgrade, consider more detailed monitoring of groundwater conditions before selecting a full infiltration system. Measure infiltration rates at the approximate depth of the proposed infiltration surface per Section 4.2 *Subsurface Exploration*. The minimum rate of 1 inch per hour accounts for some uncertainty in subsurface conditions and potential for some limited inadvertent compaction during construction. However, infiltration rates are critical to these SCMs so take measures to avoid mixing, disturbing, and compacting soils unnecessarily in the SCM area. In some cases where the SCM has little run-on (e.g., a permeable pavement system with a low ratio of UIA:RPA), a full infiltration system may be used with lower measured infiltration rates at the discretion of the designer.

A conservative design of a full infiltration system could use the partial infiltration section with the addition of a valve or removable plate or plug at the underdrain outlet. If infiltration rates are lower than expected following construction

10 of 47 | March 2024

Mile High Flood District | Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Note that knowing the depth to groundwater is very important for a full infiltration system. So please discuss groundwater in the drainage report text.

### RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE TO THE DRAINAGE REPORT.

### RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE TO THE DRAINAGE REPORT.

Provide summation and statement that the proposed drainage design and storm-water run-off will not cause adverse impacts to adjacent and downstream properties.



VICINITY MAP SCALE: 1" = 1,000'



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

### Custom Soil Resource Report for El Paso County Area, Colorado

Fountain Valley Salvation Army



### Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

### Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
El Paso County Area, Colorado	13
8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	
References	15

### **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

### Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP L	EGEND	1	MAP INFORMATION
Area of In	terest (AOI)	ø	Sodic Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
	Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area	1:24,000.
Soils		۵	Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Man may not be valid at this scale
	Soil Survey Areas	m	Very Stony Spot	Warning. Con Wap may not be vand at this boald.
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	90 10	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	× ×	Other	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soi line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
	Soil Map Unit Points			contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detaile
Special	Point Features	·**	Special Line Features	scale.
ဖ	Blowout	Water Fea	atures	
	Borrow Pit	$\sim$	Streams and Canais	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements
*	Clay Spot	Transport	Rails	
~	Closed Depression			Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Ň	Gravel Pit	~	Interstate Highways	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
6,35	Cravelly Spot	~	US Routes	
**	Graveny Spot	$\sim$	Major Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercal
ø	Landfill	$\sim$	Local Roads	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as t
Λ.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	nd	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
عله	Marsh or swamp	Mar.	Aerial Photography	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
R	Mine or Quarry			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data
0	Miscellaneous Water			of the version date(s) listed below.
0	Perennial Water			Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area Colorado
v	Rock Outcrop			Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021
Ť	Saline Spot			
T	Sandv Spot			Soli map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
°°• —	Soverely Freded Spot			-
-				Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—S
$\diamond$	Sinkhole			20, 2010
>	Slide or Slip			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

### **Map Unit Legend**

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI		
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	23.3	100.0%		
Totals for Area of Interest		23.3	100.0%		

### **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

### El Paso County Area, Colorado

### 8-Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 369v Elevation: 4,600 to 5,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Blakeland and similar soils: 98 percent Minor components: 2 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

### **Description of Blakeland**

#### Setting

Landform: Hills, flats Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 11 inches: loamy sand AC - 11 to 27 inches: loamy sand C - 27 to 60 inches: sand

### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 1 to 9 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

### Other soils

Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

#### Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

### References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf

### National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



### Legend



250

n

500

1,500

1,000

2.000

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

regulatory purposes.

### NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or loodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown or this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website a http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services

NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey

SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway

Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile elines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

f you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood nsurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

> El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum **Flooding Source**

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

### Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



### APPENDIX B- EXISTING ROUTING CALCULATIONS AND DRAINAGE MAP



# SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE

LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH P.M., COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO





DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY Tc FLOW PATH

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE									
Basin	Area (acres)	5-yr (cfs)	100-yr (cfs)						
OS1	14.78	8.54	24.13						
EX1	2.02	0.69	2.68						
EX2	0.09	0.02	0.11						
EX3	0.02	0.00	0.02						

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE											
Design Point	Contributing Basins	Area (acres)	5-yr (cfs)	100-yr (cfs)							
1	OS1	14.78	8.54	24.13							
2	EX1, EX2	2.11	0.70	2.77							
3	EX3	0.09	0.02	0.02							



#### EXISTING C VALUES

Designer:	JMP			Global Parameters <sup>1</sup>				Summ								
Company:	R&R Engineers-Surveyor	s			L	and Use	% Imp.				Total Area (ac)	16.91				
Date:	8/27/2024				Open Space/La	andscaping	2				Composite Impervious	33.7%				
Project:	FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALV	ATION ARMY		<b>B</b> 3 <b>B</b>	Hardscape		100									
Location:	EL PASO COUNTY				Roof		90						1	From Table	6-3 in MHFD	Volume 1
			ENGIN	EERS 🗩	Gravel	iravel 40			<sup>2</sup> From Table 6-4 in MHFD Volume 1							
			SURVE	YORS 🛱						Cells of this color are for required user-input		nput				
											Cells of this color are fo	r optional user-input				
Area NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group		NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group	Open Space/Landscaping		Hardscape		Roo		Gra	vel	% Check Percent Imperviousnes		Runoff Coefficient, C <sup>2</sup>			
	(ac)		Area (ac)	%	Area (ac)	%	Area (ac)	%	Area (ac)	%			2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	100-yr
OS1	14.78	A	9.72	65.8%	5.06	34.2%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	100.00%	35.6%	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.39
EX1	2.02	A	1.37	67.8%	0.05	2.5%	0.22	10.8%	0.38	18.9%	100.00%	21.1%	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.27
EX2	0.09	A	0.08	88.9%	0.01	11.1%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	100.00%	12.9%	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.21
EX3	0.02	A	0.02	100.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	100.00%	2.0%	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.13

#### TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Designer Company Date Project Location	: JMP : R&R Enginee : 8/27/2024 : FOUNTAIN V. : EL PASO COU	rs-Surveyors ALLEY SALVATIO INTY	N ARMY		$t_i = \frac{0.399}{601}$ $t_t = \frac{1}{601}$ Regional t	$\frac{5(1.1 - C_5)}{S_i^{0.33}}$ $\frac{L_t}{K\sqrt{S_t}} = \frac{1}{60}$ $t_c = (26 - 1)$	$\frac{\sqrt{L_i}}{2V_t}$	Computed $t_c = t$ elected $t_c = max$ $\frac{L_t}{(4i+9)\sqrt{S_t}}$	$t_i + t_t$ $t_r$ $t_r$	ninimum= 5 (urb ninimum= 10 (no nin(Computed Cells of this o	oan) on-urban) t <sub>c</sub> , Regional t <sub>c</sub> ) color are for requir	} ed user-input	]		RER ENGINEERS SURVEYORS
	Subbasin	n Data		Overlar	nd (Initial) Flo	ow Time		Chann	elized (Travel) F	low Time			Time of C		
Basin	Area	% Impervious	C5	Overland Flow Length L <sub>i</sub> (ft)	Overland Flow Slope S <sub>i</sub> (ft/ft)	Overland Flow Time t <sub>i</sub> (min)	Channelized Flow Length L <sub>t</sub> (ft)	Channelized Flow Slope S <sub>t</sub> (ft/ft)	NRCS Conveyance Factor K	Channelized Flow Velocity V <sub>t</sub> (ft/sec)	Channelized Flow Time t <sub>t</sub> (min)	Computed t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Regional t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Selected t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Remarks
OS1	14.78	35.6%	0.23	100.00	0.044	9.63	1388.77	0.037	7	1.35	17.19	26.82	28.57	26.82	
EX1	2.02	21.1%	0.12	100.00	0.043	10.95	594.55	0.020	7	0.99	10.01	20.96	28.28	20.96	
EX2	0.09	12.9%	0.06	100.00	0.030	13.03	39.00	0.030	7	1.21	0.54	13.57	24.16	13.57	
EX3	0.02	2.0%	0.01	6.92	0.014	4.65			7		0.00	4.65		5.00	

#### EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN - 5-YEAR DESIGN STORM



Cells of this color are for required user-input Cells of this color are for optional user-input



Location:																							
DESGIN POINT	STREET/			DIF	RECT RUNG	DFF				т	OTAL RUNC	DFF		STREET	BYPASS	PIPE				TRAVE	LTIME		
	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	Basin Name	Area (ac)	Coeff C	Tc (min)	C*A (ac)	I	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	Sum Area (ac)	Sum C*A (ac)	l in/hr	Q cfs	Slope %	Street Q cfs	Design Q cfs	Slope %	PIPE SIZE	L ft	VEL ft/sec	Tt min	Q add'l	Remarks
		OS1	14.78	0.23	26.8	3.40	2.51	8.54															
1	OS1								26.8	14.8	3.4	2.51	8.54										
		EX1	2.02	0.12	21.0	0.24	2.88	0.69															
2	EX1, EX2								21.0	2.1	0.2	2.88	0.70										
		EX2	0.09	0.06	13.6	0.01	3.57	0.02															
3	EX3								13.6	0.1	0.0	3.57	0.02										
		EX3	0.02	0.01	5.0	0.00	5.09	0.00															
									26.8	16.9	3.6	2.51	9.16										
																			-		-		



#### **EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN - 100-YEAR DESIGN STORM**



POINT	BASINS	Name								Area												
			(ac)	с	(min)	(ac)		(cfs)	(min)	(ac)	(ac)	in/hr	cfs	%	cfs	cfs	%	SIZE	ft	ft/sec	min	
		OS1	14.78	0.39	26.8	5.72	4.22	24.13														
1	OS1								26.8	14.8	5.7	4.22	24.13									
		EX1	2.02	0.27	21.0	0.55	4.84	2.68														
2	EX1, EX2								21.0	2.1	0.57	4.84	2.77									
		EX2	0.09	0.21	13.6	0.02	5.99	0.11														
3	EX3								5.0	0.0	0.00	8.55	0.02									
		EX3	0.02	0.13	5.0	0.00	8.55	0.02														
									26.8	16.9	6.29	4.22	26.56									
#### Rainfall Data FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY EL PASO COUNTY

Recurrence Interval (yrs)	1-hr Rainfall Depth (in)
2	1.19
5	1.50
10	1.75
25	2.00
50	2.25
100	2.52

# APPENDIX C- PROPOSED ROUTING CALCULATIONS AND DRAINAGE MAP





# SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN 208 CUNNINGHAM DRIVE

LOCATED IN LOT 4, BLOCK 5, 1 REFILL SECURITY, COLORADO ADDITION 4 WITHIN A PORTION OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE SIXTH P.M., COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY Tc FLOW PATH 

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE											
Basin	Area (acres)	5-yr (cfs)	100-yr (cfs)								
C1	1.97	1.32	3.80								
OFF-1C	0.09	0.02	0.11								
UD-1C	0.07	0.02	0.11								

	DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE											
Design Point	Contributing Basins	Area (acres)	5-yr (cfs)	100-yr (cfs)								
1	C1, OFF-1C	2.06	1.33	3.90								
2	UD-1C	0.07	0.02	0.11								

SCALE: 1" = 30'



#### POST-DEVELOPMENT C VALUES

Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	JMP R&R Engineers-Surveyor 8/27/2024 FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALV EL PASO COUNTY	RER EERS NG	Global Parameters <sup>1</sup> Land Use     % Imp.       Open Space/Landscaping     2       Hardscape     100       Roof     90       Gravel     40						Sumn Total Area (ac) Composite Impervious	ary 2.13 32.7%	<sup>1</sup> From Table 6-3 in MHFD Volume 1 <sup>2</sup> From Table 6-4 in MHFD Volume 1							
											Cells of this color are fo	or optional user-input						
Basin Name	Area	NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group	Open Spac	Open Space/Landscaping		Open Space/Landscaping		Hardscape		Roof		vel	% Check	Percent Imperviousness	Runoff Coefficient, C <sup>2</sup>			
	(ac)	,	Area (ac)	%	Area (ac)	%	Area (ac)	%	Area (ac)	%			2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	100-yr		
C1	1.97	A	1.30	66.0%	0.45	22.8%	0.22	11.2%	0.00	0.0%	100.00%	34.2%	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.38		
OFF-1C	0.09	A	0.08	88.9%	0.01	11.1%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	100.00%	12.9%	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.21		
UD-1C	0.07	A	0.06	85.7%	0.01	14.3%	0.00	0.0%	0.00	0.0%	100.00%	16.0%	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.23		
											1							

#### TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Designer: Company:	JMP R&R Enginee	rs-Surveyors			$\begin{array}{c} t_{i} = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_{5})\sqrt{L_{i}}}{S_{i}^{0.33}} \\ \hline \\ L_{t} & L_{t} \end{array} \qquad \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \text{Computed } t_{c} = t_{i} + t_{t} \\ \hline \\ t_{minimum} = 10 \text{ (non-urban)} \end{array}}$												
Date:	8/27/2024				$t_t = \frac{1}{601}$	$\frac{1}{K\sqrt{S_t}} = \overline{60}$	DV <sub>t</sub> S	Selected $t_c = max$	(t <sub>minimum</sub> , n	}			R&R				
Project:	FOUNTAIN V	ALLEY SALVATIO	N ARMY					•					_	_			
Location:	EL PASO COU	JNTY		1	Regional t	t <sub>e</sub> = (26 –	$17i) + \frac{1}{60(1)}$	$\frac{L_t}{14i + 9)\sqrt{S_t}}$		ed user-input			ENGINEERS SURVEYORS				
	Subbasir	n Data		Overla	nd (Initial) Flo	ow Time		Channe	elized (Travel) Fl	low Time			Time of Concentration				
Basin	Area	% Impervious	C5	Overland Flow Length L <sub>i</sub> (ft)	Overland Flow Slope S <sub>i</sub> (ft/ft)	Overland Flow Time t <sub>i</sub> (min)	Channelized Flow Length L <sub>t</sub> (ft)	Channelized Flow Slope S <sub>t</sub> (ft/ft)	NRCS Conveyance Factor K	Channelized Flow Velocity V <sub>t</sub> (ft/sec)	Channelized Flow Time t <sub>t</sub> (min)	Computed t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Regional t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Selected t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Remarks		
C1	1.97	34.2%	0.22	100.00	0.043	9.83	530.49	0.020	7	0.99	8.93	18.76	24.72	18.76			
OFF-1C	0.09	12.9%	0.06	100.00	0.030	13.03	17.84	0.030	7	1.21	0.25	13.27	23.97	13.27			
UD-1C	0.07	16.0%	0.08	100.00	0.050	10.80	12.24	0.200	7	3.13	0.07	10.86	23.32	10.86			

#### PROPOSED STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN - 5-YEAR DESIGN STORM

Designer:	JMP
Company:	R&R Engineers-Surveyors
Date:	8/27/2024
Project:	FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY
Location:	EL PASO COUNTY

Cells of this color are for required user-input Cells of this color are for optional user-input





	STREET/			DI	RECT RUNG	DFF				TOTAL RUNOFF			STREET	BYPASS		PIPE			TRAVE	LTIME		•	
DESGIN POINT	CONTRIBUTING	Basin Name	Area	Coeff	Тс	C*A	I	Q	Тс	Sum Area	Sum C*A	I	Q	Slope	Street Q	Design Q	Slope	PIPE	L	VEL	Tt	Q add'l	Remarks
	BASINS		(ac)	с	(min)	(ac)		(cfs)	(min)	(ac)	(ac)	in/hr	cfs	%	cfs	cfs	%	SIZE	ft	ft/sec	min		
		C1	1.97	0.22	18.8	0.43	3.05	1.32															
1	C1, OFF-1C								18.8	2.06	0.44	3.05	1.33										
		OFF-1C	0.09	0.06	13.3	0.01	3.60	0.02															
2	UD-1C								10.9	0.07	0.01	3.93	0.02										
		UD-1C	0.07	0.08	10.9	0.01	3.93	0.02															

#### PROPOSED STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN - 100-YEAR DESIGN STORM

Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	JMP R&R Engineers-Surveyors 8/27/2024 FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVAT EL PASO COUNTY			Cells o	of this colo <mark>of this colo</mark>	r are for re <mark>r are for op</mark>	quired use <mark>ptional use</mark>	r-input r-input	<i>I</i> =	$=\frac{28.5F}{(10+t_c)}$	0.786									ENGINEERS SURVEYORS			
				DI	RECT RUNG	DFF				Т	OTAL RUN	OFF		STREET PIPE			TRAVEL TIME						
DESGIN POINT	STREET/ CONTRIBUTING BASINS	Basin Name	Area	Coeff	Тс	C*A	I	Q	Tc	Sum Area	Sum C*A	I	Q	Slope	Street Q	Design Q	Slope	PIPE	L	VEL	Tt	Q add'l	Remarks
			(ac)	с	(min)	(ac)		(cfs)	(min)	(ac)	(ac)	in/hr	cfs	%	cfs	cfs	%	SIZE	ft	ft/sec	min		
		C1	1.97	0.38	18.8	0.74	5.12	3.80															
1	C1, OFF-1C								18.8	2.06	0.76	5.12	3.90										
		OFF-1C	0.09	0.21	13.3	0.02	6.05	0.11															
2	UD-1C								10.9	0.07	0.02	6.60	0.11										

UD-1C 0.07 0.23 10.9 0.02 6.60 0.11

#### Rainfall Data FOUNTAIN VALLEY SALVATION ARMY EL PASO COUNTY

Recurrence Interval (yrs)	1-hr Rainfall Depth (in)
2	1.19
5	1.50
10	1.75
25	2.00
50	2.25
100	2.52

# **APPENDIX D- HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS**

Why was "RG" not selected here? We require WQ with any detention pond.

I recommend that you check out MHFD's new BMP spreadsheet called "SCM-Design." It is much more applicable to full infiltration basins like for this wat site than this MHFD-Detention spreadsheet. It will walk you through the whole design process.

#### RR RESPONSE: NOTED, WC IS PROVIDED. DISCREPANCY FIXED.

	Project:	208 Cunning	gham Drive		M	HFD-Deteni	101
	Basin ID:					Donor	4
		2 ONE 1		~		керо	ι
			<b>I</b>			provid	e
	T		100-YEA	IR		Depth I	ocr
	PERMANENT ORIFI	1 AND 2	ORIFICE			Deptili	
	POOL Example Zone	Configuratio	n (Retentio	n Pond)		Stage - Desc	St
W	atershed Information	Flood Cont	rol Only			Media	Su
n	Selected BMP Type =	No BMP	]			5	789
	Watershed Area =	2.04	acres			5	79
	Watershed Length =	632	ft			5	79:
	Watershed Length to Centroid =	316	ft				
	Watershed Slope =	0.031	ft/ft				
	Watersned Imperviousness =	32.80%	percent				1
	Percentage Hydrologic Soll Group B =	0.0%	percent				
	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent				
	Target WQCV Drain Time =	N/A	hours				
	Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	Denver - Capi	tol Building				
	After providing required inputs above inc	luding 1-hour	rainfall				
).	depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate run the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro	off hydrograph Ioraph Procedi	s using Ire.				
	Water Overlite Costant Volume (WOCL)	0.027	]	Optional User	Overrides	; 	
	Excess Lirban Runoff Volume (FLIRV) =	0.027	acre-feet		acre-feet		
	2-vr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.048	acre-feet	1.19	inches		
	5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.066	acre-feet	1.50	inches		
	10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.081	acre-feet	1.75	inches		
	25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.114	acre-feet	2.00	inches		
	50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	0.146	acre-feet	2.25	inches		
	100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	0.189	acre-feet	2.52	inches		
	500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.14 in.) =	0.280	acre-feet		inches		
	Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.043	acre-feet				
	Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.072	acre-feet				-
	Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.090	acre-feet				
	Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.103	acre-feet				
	Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume 🗲	0.124	acre-feet				
D	efine Zones and Basin Geometry	0.124					
	Select Zone 2 Storage Volume (Optional) =	0.124	acre-feet				
	Select Zone 3 Storage Volume (Optional) =		acre-feet				
	Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.124	acre-feet				
	Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	N/A	ft <sup>3</sup>				
	Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	N/A	ft				
	Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	ft				
	Slope of Trickle Channel $(R_{TC}) =$	user	π +/+				
	Slopes of Main Basin Sides $(S_{main}) =$	user	H:V				
	Basin Length-to-Width Ratio $(R_{L/W}) =$	user	1				
	Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$	user	ft <sup>2</sup>				
	Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft				
	Surcharge Volume Width $(W_{ISV}) =$	user	ft				
	Depth of Basin Floor (H <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	π e				
	Width of Basin Floor ( $W_{record}$ ) =	user	ft ft				_
	Area of Basin Floor ( $M_{FLOOR}$ ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>				_
	Volume of Basin Floor (V <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>				
	Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft				
	Length of Main Basin ( $L_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft				
	Width of Main Basin ( $W_{MAIN}$ ) =	user	ft				
	Area of Main Basin (A <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>2</sup>				
	Volume of Main Basin (V <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft <sup>3</sup>				
	calculated Lotal Basin Volume (V <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	lacre-feet				

#### DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

IHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)

## Report text states that WQ is also

provided. Revise to remove discrepancy.

#### RR RESPONSE: NOTED, CHANGE MADE

Depth Increment =		ft							
Stage - Storage	Stage	Optional Override	Length	Width	Area	Optional Override	Area	Volume	Volume
Description Modia Surface	(π)		(π)	(π)	(π-)	Area (π <sup>-</sup> )	(acre)	(π*)	(ac-π)
Fieula Sullace		0.00				2.050	0.000	4.070	0.045
5789	1	1.00				3,958	0.091	1,979	0.045
5790		2.00				5,232	0.120	6,574	0.151
5791		3.00				6,606	0.152	12,493	0.287
/									
								1	
								1	
								+	
								-	
								-	
								+	
-									
								L	
								<u> </u>	
								1	
								+	
	1	1	1	1	1			1	1

#### FV1181 – 208 CUNNINGHAM SWM/INFILTRATION BASIN SUMMARY

Proposed Conditions:

- **Sub-basin OS1** is 14.78 acres and comprised of existing residential homes, associated concrete driveways/sidewalks and existing landscaping/vegetation. Flows from this basin reach Design Point 1 and drain to Cunningham Drive ROW. The 5- and 100-years flows are 8.54 cfs and 24.13 cfs respectively.
- Sub-basin C1 is 2.02 acres and comprised of the Site, which includes the existing building, associated concrete driveways/sidewalks, existing landscaping/vegetation as well as a gravel lot. Flows from this basin reach Design Point 2 and are believed to flood the area due to a retaining wall stopping the flow from leaving the Site. The 5-and 100-years flows are 0.69 cfs and 2.68 cfs respectively.
- Sub-basin C2 is 0.09 acres and comprised of Cunningham Drive ROW, which includes existing
  landscaping/vegetation, sidewalk, and gravel driveway. Flows from this basin flow onsite and reach Design Point
  2 and are believed to flood the area due to a retaining wall stopping the flow from leaving the Site. The 5- and
  100-years flows are 0.02 cfs and 0.11 cfs respectively.
- **Sub-basin C3** is 0.02 acres and comprised of a retaining wall and existing landscaping/vegetation. Flows from this basin reach Design Point 3 and flow offsite to Leta Drive ROW. The 5- and 100-years flows are 0.00 cfs and 0.02 cfs respectively.
- The attributes for the total contributing drainage basin area:
  - o 2.04 acres
  - o 100% Type A soils

Design with existing soils:

- o 32.80% impervious RR RESPONSE: OVEREXCAVATION
- Watershed length is abou **REQUIRED TO MEET RECOVERY**.
- Results (per MHFD-Detention): NATIVE SOILS ARE NOT SUFFICIENT.
  - WQCV = 0.027 ac-ft CHANGES MADE TO THE DRAINAGE AND
    - EURV = 0.069 ac-ft INFILTRATION REPORT TO ELABORATE
    - 100-YR = 0.124 ac-ft = 5,4 ON THIS REQUIREMENT
  - This is the maximum volume of post-development runoff that needs to recover in 72 hrs So is this your reasoning for wanting to over-ex and import

#### Infiltration Basin Design

So is this your reasoning for wanting to over-ex and import soil, because there's not factor of safety? If so, please explain this in the report text above. Or is this to show the infiltration rate of the subgrade below the import material?

s length is about 3.1%

From Kumar & Associates, Inc., measured infiltration rate is 0.56 in/hr (0	Brovide specs for an import soil with
Area of infiltration basin bottom = $3,958$ sf	spec guidance, see Section 4.0 of
3,958 st X U.047 ft/hr = 186 ct/hr release rate into existing soils	Chapter 4 starting on page 91 of
Approximate volume of proposed initiation basis $= 12,302$ CF 12 502 cf / 186 cf/hr = 68 hours (100 vr volume recovers within 72 hrs)	158 of MHFD's DCMv3, March 2024
<ul> <li>Recovery is achieved within 72 hrs; no factor of safety</li> </ul>	But make sure that MHFD's soi

• Design with 2 FT of over excavation of pond bottom and import clean sand (well graded gravely sand):

Import sand infiltration rate must be at least 1.5 in/hr (0.125 Area of infiltration basin bottom = 3,958 sf 3,958 sf x 0.125 ft/hr = 495 cf/hr release rate into imported Approximate volume of proposed infiltration basin = 12,502 CF 12,502 cf / 495 cf/hr = 25.3 hours (100 yr volume recovers within 72 hrs)

Recovery is achieved within 72 hrs

Technically yes, but still this exclusion does not apply because RR RESPONSE: NOTED. CHANGE MADE. having this exclusion apply would be a reason that WQ treatment is not required for a certain area of proposed work, which isn't true for this site. Revise to "Not Applicable."

### Post Construction Stormwater Management Applicability Evaluation Form

This form is to be used by the Engineer of Record to evaluate applicable construction activities to determine if the activities are eligible for an exclusion to permanent stormwater quality management requirements. Additionally Part III of the form is used to identify and document which allowable control measure design standard is used for the structure.

Part I. Project Information			
1. Project Name:			
2. El Paso County Project #:	3. ESQCP #	: /	
4. Project Location:	Project Loc	ation in	MS4 Permit Area (Y or N):
5. Project Description:	/		

If project is located within the El Paso County MS4 Permit Area, please provide copy of this completed form to the Stormwater Quality Coordinator for reporting purposes; and save completed form with project file.

Part II. Exclusion Evaluation: Determine if Post-Construction Stormwater Management exclusion criteria					
are met. Note: Questions A thru K directly correlate to the MS4 permit Part I.E.4.a.i (A) thru (K). If Yes, to any of the following questions, then mark Not Applicable in Part III, Question 2.					
Questions	Yes	No	Not Applicable	Notes:	
A. Is this project a "Pavement Management Site" as defined in Permit Part I E.4.a.i.(A)?				This exclusion applies to "roadways" only. Areas used primarily for parking or access to parking are not included.	
B. Is the project "Excluded Roadway Development"?		/			
<ul> <li>Does the site add less than 1 acre of paved area per mile?</li> </ul>	V		X	₩ PAVING LIMITS < 1AC	
<ul> <li>Does the site add 8.25 feet or less of paved width at any location to the existing roadway?</li> </ul>					
C. Does the project increase the width of the existing roadway by less than 2 times the existing width?				For redevelopment of existing roadways, only the area of the existing roadway is excluded from post-construction requirements when the site does not increase the width by two times or more. This exclusion only excludes the original roadway area it does NOT apply to entire project.	
D. Is the project considered an aboveground and Underground Utilities activity?				Activity can NOT permanently alter the terrain, ground cover or drainage patterns from those present prior to the activity	
E. Is the project considered a "Large Lot Single-Family Site"?				Must be a single-residential lot or agricultural zoned land, $\geq$ 2.5 acres per dwelling and total lot impervious area < 10 percent.	

Questions (cont'd)	Yes	No	Not Applicable	Notes
F. Do Non-Residential or Non-Commercial Infiltration Conditions exist? Post-development surface conditions do not result in concentrated stormwater flow or surface water discharge during an 80 <sup>th</sup> percentile stormwater runoff event.				Exclusion does not apply to residential or commercial sites for buildings. A site specific study is required and must show: rainfall and soil conditions; allowable slopes; surface conditions; and ratios of imperviousness area to pervious area.
G. Is the project land disturbance to Undeveloped Land where undeveloped land remains undeveloped following the activity?				Project must be on land with no human made structures such as buildings or pavement.
H. Is the project a Stream Stabilization Site?				Standalone stream stabilization projects are excluded.
I. Is the project a bike or pedestrian trail?				Bike lanes for roadways are not included in this exclusion, but may qualify if part of larger roadway activity is excluded in A, B or C above.
J. Is the project Oil and Gas Exploration?				Activities and facilities associated with oil and gas exploration are excluded.
K. Is the project in a County Growth Area?				Note, El Paso County does not apply this exclusion. All Applicable Construction Activity in El Paso County must comply the Post-Construction Stormwater Management criteria.

Part III. Post Construction (Permanent) Stormwater Control Determination				
Questions	Yes	No		
1. Is project an Applicable Construction Activity?				
2. Do any of the Exclusions (A-K in Part II) apply?				
If the project is an Applicable Construction Activity and no Exclusions apply then Post-Construction				
(Permanent) Stormwater Management is required.				
Complete the applicable sections of Part IV below and then coordinate signatures for form and place in				
project file.				
If the project is not an Applicable Construction Activity, or Exclusion(s) apply then Post-Construction				

(Permanent) Stormwater Management is NOT required. Coordinate signatures for form and place in project file.

Part IV: Onsite PWQ Requirements, Documentation and Considerations	Yes	No
1. Check which Design Standard(s) the project will utilize. Standards align with Control		
Measure Requirements identified in permit Part I.E.4.a.iv.		ļ
A. Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Standard		
B. Pollutant Removal/80% Total Suspended Solids Removal (TSS)		
C. Runoff Reduction Standard		
D. Applicable Development Site Draining to a Regional WQCV Control Measure		
E. Applicable Development Site Draining to a Regional WQCV Facility		
F. Constrained Redevelopment Sites Standard		
G. Previous Permit Term Standard		
2. Will any of the project permanent stormwater control measure(s) be maintained by another MS4?		
If Yes, you must obtain a structure specific maintenance agreement with the other MS4 prior to advertisement.		
3. Will any of the project permanent stormwater control measures be maintained by a private entity or quasi-governmental agency (e.g. HOA or Special District, respectively)?		
RR RESPONSE: NOTED, TYPO ERROR. CHANGE MADE		7
Why did you answer No to this? Who is going to maintain this PBMP? The propoperty owner, correct And they are a private entity. Per my comment on Review 1, this should be revised to "Yes"	ct?	
Part V Notes (attach an additional sheet if you need more space)		
Bioretention BR	DE	
Project design is complete to include the project design, construction plans, drainage specifications, and maintenance and access agreements as required. The engineering	e report, g, drainage	

Signature and Stamp of Engineer of Record

to the best of my belief and knowledge.

Post-Construction Stormwater Management Applicability Form has been reviewed and the project design, construction plans, drainage report, specifications, and maintenance and access agreements as required, have been reviewed for compliance with the Post Construction Stormwater Management process and MS4 Permit requirements.

considerations and information used to complete these documents is complete, true, and accurate

Signature of El Paso County Project Engineer

Date

Date