

2880 International Circle, Suite 110
 Colorado Springs, CO 80910
 Phone 719-520-6300
 Fax 719-520-6695
 www.elpasoco.com

**EL PASO COUNTY PLANNING AND
 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
 DEPARTMENT**

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

Revised: November 2019

		Applicant	PCD
1. Traffic Impact Study Report			
*	Verify type, level of TIS/memorandum provided in accordance with ECM B.1 (for this checklist -->)		
a	Signature Page (ECM B.8)		
b	Table of contents, pages numbered		
c	Existing/background conditions narrative		
1	~ Vicinity Map showing study area		
2	~ Label all roads discussed in the report.		
3	~ Graphically indicate all intersections evaluated. - Site access and Struthers/North Gate		
4	~ Accurately depict the site location and boundaries		
5	~ Study Area – Provide calculations showing that the study area includes all affected intersections, address ECM B.2.3 requirements		
6	~ Background traffic		
i	- Clearly explain how background traffic was derived.		
ii	- List other traffic studies in the area of study within the past five years identified by County staff or that the applicant is aware of. State whether the current study is consistent with those studies and explain any discrepancies.		
iii	- Excerpts from studies of those developments are included in the appendices.		
7	~ Sketch diagrams of all existing intersections evaluated in the study showing widths of all approach lanes and lengths of auxiliary lanes and tapers.		
8	~ Description, classification, and link ADT of major roads in the study area (collector classification and higher).		
9	~ Specify MTCP functional and corridor preservation classifications		
10	~ Description of intersections evaluated in the study including existing controls		
11	~ Do existing road segments meet cross section standards for designated classifications?		
12	~ Traffic Count Data (from USAFA V.C. study is okay)		
13	~ 24 Hour Counts for ADT for major road segments		
14	~ Peak-hour counts for all intersections evaluated in the study		
d	Proposed development and trip generation narrative		
1	~ Site Plan		
2	~ Land Use – Type and extent correspond with associated application documents		
3	~ Discussion of applicable ITE land use type(s) (including ITE code(s)) and comparison between the proposed use(s) and the codified use		
4	~ Total traffic generated by the proposed development using ITE trip generation; provide footnotes on the methods used (equation/chart/interpolation)		
5	~ Adjustments to trip generation including pass-by trips and internal trip capture		
6	~ Trip distribution assumptions and map		

2880 International Circle, Suite 110
 Colorado Springs, CO 80910
 Phone 719-520-6300
 Fax 719-520-6695
 www.elpasoco.com

**EL PASO COUNTY PLANNING AND
 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
 DEPARTMENT**

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

Revised: November 2019

		Applicant	PCD
7	~ Specify expected year of completion (build-out) and intermediate years if phasing is proposed		
8	~ On-site road classification figure including ADT numbers		
9	~ On-site Traffic control recommendations (particularly stop controls at intersections)		
10	~ Evaluation of intersection spacing along all interior roads, and new intersections on adjacent or off-site roads, and confirmation that the spacing meet criteria		
11	~ List ECM criteria for stacking, storage, and taper for every affected auxiliary lane and access and state whether this access can be met. If it cannot be met, state the required modifications so that it can be met.		
12	~ State what the sight distance is for every affected access and whether it can be met. If it cannot be met, state the required modifications so that it can be met.		
e	Evaluation and Mitigation of Impacts		
1	~Short-term, intermediate and long-term analysis horizon years are clearly stated and years are labeled on the corresponding figures.		
2	~ Capacity analysis of major road segments. Results presented in a figure or table showing short-term and long-term ADTs against maximum allowable ADT		
3	~ Capacity analysis of all existing intersections evaluated in the study and all proposed access locations onto existing public roads		
4	~ For capacity analysis of signalized intersections, provide discussion of the following parameters:		
i	- Cycle length		
ii	- Provisions for left turns ~ permissive/protected; lead/lag		
iii	- Free right turns		
5	~ Identification of any sub-standard LOS situations and discussion of recommendations for mitigation.		
6	~ Evaluation of safety-based warrants for turn lanes at unsignalized intersections (speed change lanes).		
7	~ Weaving analysis if applicable		
8	~ Summary table of necessary turn lane improvements including design speed, taper rates and taper lengths, storage lengths, deceleration or acceleration lengths, and the resulting full-width lane lengths.		
9	~ Signal warrant analysis; estimated projected need if not currently warranted		
10	~ Graphical depiction of improvements required to meet level-of-service standards		
11	~ Trigger points for the construction of all required future improvements including but not limited to turn lanes, signals, widenings, and openings or closings of accesses. ("Trigger points" are the conditions that, when met, will call for the construction of said improvements.)		
12	~ Summary of accident history within the study area.		
13	~ Accident history data presented in tabular form by location and including annual vehicle use volume and accident rate calculations		
14	~ Discussion of pedestrian/bicyclist needs and provisions.		
15	~ School and pedestrian routing plans		
16	~ School traffic analysis per North Carolina DOT MSTA https://connect.ncdot.gov/municipalities/School/pages/default.aspx		

2880 International Circle, Suite 110
 Colorado Springs, CO 80910
 Phone 719-520-6300
 Fax 719-520-6695
 www.elpasoco.com

**EL PASO COUNTY PLANNING AND
 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
 DEPARTMENT**

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

Revised: November 2019

		Applicant	PCD
17	~ Master-planned trails		
18	~ Project Traffic modeling and figures		
19	~ Short Term "Typical event" Background Plus Project Traffic lanes, intersection control and LOS modeling and figures for all affected intersection movements		
20	~ Long Term "Maximum event" Background Plus Project Traffic lanes, intersection control and LOS modeling and figures for all affected intersection movements		
21	~ Assess and summarize all project impacts (roadways, intersections, pedestrians, bicycles, etc.)		
22	~ Describe proposed mitigation measures and at what event size an additional Traffic Management Plan will be required.		
23	~ Specifically address all deviations requested (separate form(s) required)		
24	~ Address any special studies that apply (access management plan, neighborhood impact evaluation, sight distance evaluation, traffic speed study, etc.)		
f	Recommendations and Report Conclusions		
1	~ Narrative recommendations and conclusions		
2	~ For final plats, state definitively what improvements the developer will be constructing with the project.		
3	~ State whether or not any improvements affected by the project are reimbursable under the current Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) and Road Fee program.		
4	~ State whether the MTCP or other approved corridor study calls for the construction of improvements in the immediate area.		
5	~ State what the current applicable Road Impact Fees are and what option the developer will be selecting for payment. If the site is in a special district, so state and summarize the applicable fees.		
6	~ Provide a description of how transportation improvements will be financed (responsibility) and a Recommended Improvements Summary Table per ECM section B.6.1.D.		
7	~ List of References.		
g	Appendices		
1	~ Complete modeling for all existing and proposed development horizons		
2	~ Modeled signal cycle timing matches narrative and is within DPW allowances and signal coordination requirements, if applicable		
3	~ Modeled lanes match improvements table and CDs		
2. Applicant Comments:			
If the applicant has failed to provide any of the required items they must provide justification in the comment section below indicating why the requirement is unnecessary.			
a			