

Planning and Community
Development Department
2880 International Circle
DEVIATION REQUEST

Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910
AND DECISION FORM

Phone: 719.520.6300
Fax: 719.520.6695
Website www.elpasoco.com

## PROJECT INFORMATION

## Project Name: Estates at Rolling Hills Ranch Filing 2

Schedule No.(s): 4200000407 \& 4219100002
Legal Description: See attached

## APPLICANT INFORMATION

| Company: | Tech Contractors |
| ---: | :--- |
| Name : | Tom Kerby |
|  | $\square$ Owner $\boxtimes$ Consultant $\quad \square$ Contractor |
| Mailing Address: | 11886 Stapleton Drive |
|  | Falcon, CO 80831 |
|  |  |
| Phone Number : | 719.495 .7444 |
| FAX Number : | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Email Address : | tom@meridianranch.com |

## ENGINEER INFORMATION

| Company : | Tech Contractors | Colorado P.E. Number : 31429 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Name : Tom Kerby |  |  |
| Mailing Address : | tom@meridianranch.com |  |
|  |  |  |
| Phone Number : | 719.495 .7444 |  |
| FAX Number : n/a |  |  |
| Email Address : tom@meridianranch.com |  |  |

## OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representationsmade in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or
condition(s) of approval.
$\qquad$
Signature of owner (or authorized representativerese
Date

Engineer's Seal, Signature And Date of Signature
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DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)
A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.3.7.E. of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.
Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:
The opposing left turn lanes on Rex Road between the proposed Estate Ridge Drive and future Rolling Ranch Drive will have shortened lane and taper lengths then what is prescribed in the criteria

State the reason for the requested deviation:
The distance between the two intersections along Rex Road is less than criteria for a minor arterial but meets that of a collector road. The intersection spacing has been previously approved by deviation with the Rolling Hills Ranch 1-3 PUD, see attached.


The PUDSP for Rolling Ranch Drive does not
have an approved deviation for intersection spacing between Estate Ridge Drive and Rolling Ranch Drive. Please revise the text accordingly.

Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):
Given the approved intersection distance, the east bound left turn lane will be at 185', the west bound left turn lane will be 190’ with a 90' taper between the two. The taper is $160^{\prime}$ per criteria and the turn lane lengths as recommended by the Traffic Impact Statement are 212' for the westbound left and $189^{\prime}$ for the eastbound left. The most important aspects of the dedicated turn lane is the deceleration length and these are nearly met. The lane lengths provided nearly meet criteria at $190^{\prime}$ for the westbound ( $90 \%$ of criteria) and 185 ' for the eastbound left (98\% of criteria).
$\qquad$

## LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

(At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.
$\boxtimes$ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. $\square$ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

Provide justification:
The deviation request is necessary due to early agreements concerning the future of Rex Road, in particular the Arterial Half section and intersection spacing as approved by the Rolling Hills Ranch 1-3 PUD. (attached)


## CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria:

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.
Given the constraints of the sight and the design parameters, the shortened taper and near criteria lane lengths provide the best design alternative for providing the exclusive the left turn lanes.

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations since the total deceleration length is available between the two intersections and there are no sight distance issues associated with this area
$\qquad$

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.
There are no adverse impacts of this design that would affect maintenance in this area.

The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.
The deviation provides the necessary left turn configurations for the two intersections and the general appearance to a typical driver or nearby resident will appear no different than any other intersection. There will be no adverse aesthetic appearance.

The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.
The design meets the spirit and intent of the ECM standards in providing exclusive left turn lanes, deceleration lengths and tapers.

The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E. 3 and Part I.E. 4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The proposed deviation is not different than any other proposed roadway within El Paso County matching all other requirements for similarly classified roads and meets all requirements associated with the MS4 permit.
$\qquad$

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION：

## Approved by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval．A deviation from Section $\qquad$ of the ECM is hereby granted based on the justification provided．
「 7

L
」

Denied by the ECM Administrator
This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval．A deviation from Section $\qquad$ of the ECM is hereby denied．
「 7

L
」

## ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS／CONDITIONS：

$\qquad$

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:
that gTL, INC. DBA GTL DEVELOPMENT, INC., THEODORE TCHANG, PRESIDENT AND MERIDIAN SERVICE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT BEING THE OWNERS OF THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED TRACTS OF LAND:

A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN A PORTIONS OF SECTION 19 AND 20,
IN TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEASTERN MOST CORNER OF TRACT B OF THE ESTATES AT ROLLING HILLS RANCH FILING NO. 1, RECORDED WITH RECEPTION NO. $\qquad$ IN THE RECORDS OF EL PASO COUNTY, POINT BEING ON THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SAID SECTION 19;

| 1. | THENCE S89 ${ }^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 07{ }^{\prime \prime}$ E ALONG SAID SECTION 19 A DISTANCE OF 40.70 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 20 ; |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | THENCE S89 ${ }^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 43$ "E, ALONG THE NORTHERN LINE OF SAID SECTION 20 A DISTANCE OF 2532.88 FEET; |
| 3. | THENCE $00{ }^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 17{ }^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 340.00 FEET; |
| 4. | THENCE S0647'53"E A DISTANCE OF 290.00 FEET; |
| 5. | THENCE S11 ${ }^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 555^{\prime \prime}$ E A DISTANCE OF 525.00 FEET; |
| 6. | THENCE N82 ${ }^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 58{ }^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 235.05 FEET; |
| 7. | THENCE $07{ }^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ A DISTANCE OF 480.00 FEET; |
| 8. | THENCE N82 ${ }^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 58{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ A DISTANCE OF 168.00 FEET; |
| 9. | THENCE $507{ }^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 02{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ A DISTANCE OF 60.00 FEET; |
| 10. | THENCE S52 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ '02"W A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET; |
| 11. | THENCE S07² $6^{\prime}$ '02"W A DISTANCE OF 336.00 FEET; |
| 12. | THENCE S37³3'58"E A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET; |
| 13. | THENCE S07²6'02"W A DISTANCE OF 60.00 FEET; |
| 14. | THENCE S52 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ '02"W A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET; |
| 15. | THENCE S07² $6^{\prime}$ '02"W A DISTANCE OF 196.00 FEET; |
| 16. | THENCE S37³3'58"E A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET; |
| 17. | THENCE S07²6'02"W A DISTANCE OF 80.00 FEET; |
| 18. | THENCE N82³3'58"W A DISTANCE OF 518.76 FEET TO A CURVE TO THE LEFT; |
| 19. | THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 7440.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $00^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 40.44 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N82²3'19"W A DISTANCE OF 40.44 FEET; |
| 20. | THENCE $551{ }^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 47{ }^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 31.03 FEET; |
| 21. | THENCE N83 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 60.00 FEET; |
| 22. | THENCE N38 ${ }^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 31.03 FEET TO A NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE LEFT; |
| 23. | THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 7440.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $02^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 290.08 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N84²4'44"W A DISTANCE OF 290.06 FEET; |
| 24. | THENCE N85 ${ }^{\circ} 54{ }^{\prime} 45$ "W A DISTANCE OF 400.64 FEET TO A CURVE TO THE LEFT; |
| 25. | THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 900.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $06^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 96.77 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N8859'34"W A DISTANCE OF 96.73 FEET; |
| 26. | THENCE S87 $55^{\prime} 36$ "E A DISTANCE OF 348.00 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY BOUNDARY OF SAID THE ESTATES AT ROLLING HILLS RANCH FILING NO. 1; |

THE FOLLOWING FOURTEEN (14) COURSES ARE ON SAID BOUNDARY LINE:
27. THENCE NO2 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
28. THENCE N47º $4^{\prime} 24$ "W A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET;
29. THENCE NO2으'24"W A DISTANCE OF 45.00 FEET TO A CURVE TO THE RIGHT;
30. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 220.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $40^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 156.54 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N18¹8'40"E A DISTANCE OF 153.26 FEET;
THENCE N380 $41^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ E A DISTANCE OF 231.44 FEET;
THENCE N51 ${ }^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 60.00 FEET;
THENCE S83041'44"W A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET;
THENCE N51¹ $18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 170.23 FEET;
THENCE N $38^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ E A DISTANCE OF 150.00 FEET;
THENCE NOOㅇ0'OO"E A DISTANCE OF 254.85 FEET;
THENCE N300 $2^{\prime} 34$ "W A DISTANCE OF 180.68 FEET; THENCE $35^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 540.00 FEET;
THENCE NOO $00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 232.89 FEET;
THENCE NOO00'OO"E A DISTANCE OF 108.00 FEET;
THENCE NOO ${ }^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 332.03 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.
THE ABOVE PARCEL OF LAND CONTAINS 117.211 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.
BEARINGS ARE BASED ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SW $1 / 4$ OF SECTION 29 , TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M., ASSUMED TO BEAR S89²5'42"E FROM THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 29 (A STONE W/SCRIBED "X") TO THE SOUTH QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 29 (3.25" ALUM. CAP LS \#30087).


