# PRELIMINARY/FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR JUDGE ORR RANCHETTES

October, 2017

Prepared for:

John Jennings 2030 Tabor Ct. Colorado Springs, CO 80919

Prepared By:



321 W. Henrietta Ave, Suite A Woodland Park, CO 80863 719-426-2124

> Add PCD File No. SP-17-011 & SF-17-021

#### PRELIMINARY/FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT JUDGE ORR RANCHETTES

#### **Engineer's Statement:**

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according the criteria established for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

#### **<u>Certification Statement:</u>**

This report and plan for the preliminary and final drainage design for the <u>Judge Orr Ranchettes</u> was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) in accordance with the provisions of City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2 Drainage Design and Technical Criteria for the owners thereof. I understand that El Paso County does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others.

David L. Mijares, Colorado PE #40510 For and on behalf of Catamount Engineering Date

#### **Developer's Statement:**

I, the developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

<u>John Jennings</u> hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for <u>Judge Orr Ranchettes</u> shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that El Paso County does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and or certified by my engineer and that the El Paso County reviews drainage plans pursuant to Colorado Revised Statues, Title 30, Article 28; but cannot, on behalf of <u>Judge Orr Ranchettes</u>, guarantee that final drainage design review will absolve <u>John Jennings</u> and/or their successors and/or assigns of future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

John R	. Jennings	
<b>Business Name</b>		
By:		
Title:		
Address:	2030 Tabor Ct.	

Colorado Springs, CO 80919

#### El Paso County:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County land Development Code and the Drainage Criteria manual Volumes 1 and 2, and the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, latest revision.

Jennifer Irvine, PE County Engineer/ECM Administrator Date

Conditions:

# PRELIMINARY/FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT for JUDGE ORR RANCHETTES

#### **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this drainage report is to identify existing drainage patterns, quantify developed storm water runoff, and establish outfall scenarios from the proposed development. Additionally this analysis will establish compliance with previous drainage studies.

#### **GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION**

The subject 40.67 acres is proposed to be platted into 7 residential lots and public ROW and is located within the southeast <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Section 33, Township 12 South, Range 64 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> principal meridian El Paso County, Colorado.

The parcel is bounded on the north by unplatted land and Stapleton Drive, on the east by unplatted residential parcels, on the south by Judge Orr Road, and on the west by residential parcels.

The site is sparsely vegetated with native grasses. Some volunteer shrubs and trees are evident within the roadside ditch along the north side of Judge Orr Road. The existing terrain generally slopes to the east and southeast at a 1.9% grade. A swale along the south edge of the project running from west to east has been formed adjacent to the Judge Orr Road embankment. The site lies within the Haegler Ranch Basin.

Soils in the development parcel consist of Columbine gravelly sandy loam and Blakeland loamy sand, both Hydrologic Group 'A' soils, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey. Hydrologic Group A soils were used in analysis.

A portion of the development lies within an F.E.M.A. designated floodplain, Zone A with base flood elevations determined, per FIRM 08041C0575 F, as revised to reflect LOMR Case No16-08-1065P. The revised F.E.M.A. Flood Insurance Rate Map and LOMR determination have been provided in the appendix. The area identified as Zone A has been included in a no build easement to be dedicated to El Paso County with plat recordation.

- AE

#### EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The site was previously studied in the "GIECK RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY", prepared by Drexel, Barrell & Co., revised February 10, 2010. In the DBPS the parcel is indicated as the parcel is identified as tributary to reach HD-R4 of the Haegler basin diversion. The estimated major storm event exhibits a flow of  $Q_{100}$ =468 cfs at the eastern end of the reach. The reach was not proposed to receive structural improvements in implementation of the DBPS plan.

Flows through the reach were further studied with development of LOMR case No. 16-08-1065P, developed by Kiowa Engineering for implementation of Stapleton Road Improvements. The Kiowa LOMR finalized in Stapleton road crossing. The LOMR analysis, Effective Date June 15, 2017, provided base flood elevations for the reach through the Judge Orr Ranchettes property. Flows of  $Q_{100}$ =592 cfs were utilized in analysis of the reach identified as Haegler Ranch Tributary 2 in the LOMR. Flows of 592 CFS were accepted in the Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Judge Orr Ranchettes.

A swale exists along the western edge of the property conveying offsite flows from the northern portion of the property to reach HD-R4 of Haegler Creek. An additional small swale is evident along the southern portion of the property conveying offsite flows to the roadside ditch along Judge Orr Rd. At the north easterly limit of the parcel a dual 36" RCP crossing was installed with Stapleton Road Improvements conveying flows across Stapleton to the west prior to entering the property. A small offsite basin tributary to the northerly limits of the parcel, Basin OS-A (2.22 Acres,  $Q_2=0.1$  cfs,  $Q_5=0.4$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=0.9$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=1.6$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=2.2$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=2.8$  cfs), was modeled as this basin will be diverted to the dual 36" RCP crossing with installation of temporary gravel roadway until El Paso County secures right of way to develop permanent connection to Stapleton Road.

Off-site Basin OS-B (8.00 Acres,  $Q_2=0.5$  cfs,  $Q_5=1.4$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=2.9$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=4.9$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=6.6$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=8.5$  cfs) represents the southerly limits of the Haegler Basin tributary to the existing roadside ditch north of Judge Orr Road. Existing basins were modeled as agricultural land as the tributary portions of upstream properties to basin OS-A and OS-B remain largely in an undeveloped state.

The northern portion of the parcel modeled as basin EX-A (31.32 Acres,  $Q_2=0.9$  cfs,  $Q_5=3.2$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=7.1$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=12.5$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=16.7$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=21.7$  cfs) sheet flows to the southeast and is intercepted either by reach HD-R4 of Haegler Creek or Stapleton Road improvements and is conveyed to the dual 4'x8' box culvert crossing of Stapleton Drive.

The southern portion of the parcel modeled as basin EX-B (9.31 Acres,  $Q_2=1.0$  cfs,  $Q_5=2.3$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=4.3$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=7.0$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=9.3$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=11.8$  cfs) sheet flows to the southeast and is tributary to the existing Judge Orr Road side ditch. Combined flows from basin EX-B and OS-B at design point 3 of  $Q_2=1.2$  cfs,  $Q_5=3.0$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=5.9$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=9.8$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=12.9$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=16.6$  cfs are conveyed in the roadside ditches of Judge Orr Road and Stapleton Road to the Box Culvert Crossing of Stapleton Drive.

#### **DEVELOPED DRAINAGE BASINS**

Due to the 5.0 minimum lot size developed basins were modeled as predominantly agricultural land with 1.0 acre of each parcel to be developed at a residential density. Roadways and shoulders were modeled as pavement and gravel roadways where proposed.

Basin A-1 (0.81 Acres,  $Q_2=1.4$  cfs,  $Q_5=1.8$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=2.2$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=2.6$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=2.9$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=3.3$  cfs) represents the westerly portion of the proposed roadway north of Haegler Creek. Runoff generated within this basin will be collected in the roadside swale and conveyed south to Haegler Creek.

Basin A-2 (30.33 Acres,  $Q_2=1.9$  cfs,  $Q_5=4.8$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=9.2$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=15.2$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=20.2$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=25.6$  cfs) consists of the easterly half of the roadway, the southerly portion of the proposed temporary access, and proposed 5 acre lots north of Haegler Creek. Runoff generated within basin A-1 will sheetflow to the southeast and is intercepted either by reach HD-R4 of Haegler Creek or Stapleton Road improvements and is conveyed to the dual 4'x8' box culvert crossing of Stapleton Drive. Runoff from Basin A-2 represents a minor increase in flows to undeveloped flows from basin EX-A.

Basin A-3 (0.18 Acres,  $Q_2=0.4$  cfs,  $Q_5=0.5$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=0.6$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=0.7$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=0.8$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=0.9$  cfs) consist of the northerly half of the proposed temporary gravel roadway. Flows from Basin A-3 will be conveyed in a constructed roadside ditch with flows from Basin OS-A to the existing dual 36" RCP outfall at Design Point P1. Design Point P-1 representing off-site and site addition to the existing crossing of Stapleton is  $Q_2=0.3$  cfs,  $Q_5=0.6$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=1.2$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=2.0$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=2.6$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=3.3$  cfs).

Basin B-1 (0.54 Acres,  $Q_2=1.2$  cfs,  $Q_5=1.6$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=1.9$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=2.3$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=2.6$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=2.9$  cfs) consists of the westerly half of the proposed roadway south of Haegler Creek. Runoff generated within this basin will be collected in the roadside swale and conveyed south to the existing Judge Orr Road ditch. Runoff from Basin B-1 will be combined with runoff from Basin OS-B at design point P4. Flows from design point P-4 of  $Q_2=1.0$  cfs,  $Q_5=2.1$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=3.7$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=5.9$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=7.7$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=9.7$  cfs will be conveyed in a 30" RCP culvert under the proposed roadway and continue in the Judge Orr Road ditch to design point P3.

Basin B-2 (8.76 Acres,  $Q_2=1.1$  cfs,  $Q_5=2.6$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=4.6$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=7.4$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=9.7$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=12.2$  cfs) consist of the easterly half of the proposed roadway and proposed 5 acre residential lots south of Haegler Creek and tributary to the Judge Orr Roadside ditch. Runoff from basin B-2 will sheetflow to the southeast and the Judge Orr Roadside ditch to design point P3. Anticipated flows at design point P-3 of  $Q_2=1.7$  cfs,  $Q_5=3.6$  cfs,  $Q_{10}=6.4$  cfs,  $Q_{25}=10.3$  cfs,  $Q_{50}=13.4$  cfs, and  $Q_{100}=17.0$  cfs represents a minor increase in flows at Design Point P3.

#### CONVEYANCE

DP-P1-

100-Yr flow of 3.3 cfs will be conveyed to the existing dual 36" RCP crossing of Stapleton Boulevard in a proposed 17' wide roadside swale with 4:1 side slopes and a longitudinal slope of 2% at a depth of 0.55' with a velocity of 3.5 ft/s.

#### DP-P3-

100-Yr flow of 17.0 cfs will be conveyed in the existing Judge Orr Road ditch to design point DP-P3. The existing roadside ditch was modeled from the topographic survey as approximately 30' wide from top of bank on the north to pavement edge as approximately 30' wide with an

average depth of 6.0'. The existing ditch has an approximately 2.5:1 cross slope and a longitudinal slope of .033%. 100-YR flows will be conveyed at a depth of 1.16' and a velocity of 5.12 ft/s.

DP-P4-

100-yr flow of 9.7 cfs will be conveyed in a proposed 17' wide roadside swale with 4:1 side slopes and a longitudinal slope of 1.6% at a depth of 0.84' with a velocity of 4.1 ft/s to the proposed 30" RCP culvert. The proposed 30" RCP culvert will convey developed flows generating an approximate headwater depth of 1.5'.

See Appendix for Calculations.

#### WATER QUALITY/4-STEP PROCESS

The development addresses Low Impact Development strategies primarily through the utilization of large impervious areas and utilization of landscape swales receiving runoff generated within impervious roadways. Impervious areas generated within home sites will flow across pervious disconnected areas prior to offsite discharge.

A Grading, Erosion Control, and Stormwater Quality Plan and narrative have been submitted concurrently for the development and will be subject to county approval prior to any soil disturbance. The erosion control plan included specific source control BMP's as well defined overall site management practices for the construction period.

#### COST ESTIMATE

Private Improvements Non-reimbursable

30" RCP	75 LF	@\$	65/LF	\$ 4,875
30" FES	2 EA	@\$	350/EA	\$ 700
Rip Rap Outfall	1 EA	@\$	300/EA	\$ 300
	SU	BTOTAL		\$ 5,875
	15	% CONTIN	<i>IGENCY</i>	\$ 881
	<u>TC</u>	DTAL		\$ 6,756

#### **DRAINAGE FEE CALCULATION**

The development proposes to plat 40.67 acres within El Paso County, all contained within the Haegler Drainage Basin

Impervious Acreage Calc	ulation:	fees for low density lots (I Appendix L Part 1 Section	ECM 3.10.2a)
Area	Area	% Impervious	Impervious Acres
Residential Lots	36.10	20%	7.22
Right Of Way	4.57	51%	2.33
Total Area	40.67		9.55
Drainage Fee: (9.55 Impervious Acres X Bridge Fee: (9.55 Impervious Acres X	(\$8,844/ac) = (\$1,305/ac) =	\$ 84,460 \$ 12,463	
TOTAL	2017 Drainage Fee= 2017 Bridge Fee=\$1	\$9,154 ,351 <b><u>\$96,923</u></b>	

#### **DRAINAGE METHODOLOGY**

This drainage report was prepared in accordance to the criteria established in the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, as revised May 2014.

The rational method for drainage basin study areas of less than 100 acres was utilized in the analysis. For the Rational Method, flows were calculated for the 2, 5, 10, 25, 50, and 100-year recurrence intervals. The average runoff coefficients, 'C' values, are taken from Table 6-6 and the Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves are taken from Figure 6-5 of the City Drainage Criteria Manual. Time of concentration for overland flow and storm drain or gutter flow are calculated per Section 3.2 of the City Drainage Criteria Manual. Calculations for the Rational Method are shown in the Appendix of this report. Flows developed in LOMR Case No. 16-08-1065P were accepted for the reach of Haegler Creek flowing through the parcel.

#### **SUMMARY**

The Judge Orr Ranchettes Development consists of large lot development with minor increases in impervious areas consistent with development proposed in the Drainage Basin Planning Study. The development proposes no development and a setback approach in regards to the reach of the Haegler drainage within the parcel. A no-build easement has been established outside of the limits of the 100-YR floodplain. Development of the parcel is in conformance of current El Paso County criteria and will not adversely affect downstream properties or facilities.

#### **REFERENCES:**

City of Colorado Springs Engineering Division Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, revised May 2014

"Geick Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study El Paso County, Colorado", prepared by Drexel, Barrell & Co., revised February 10, 2010.

"Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) Stapleton Drive", prepared by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, dated December 20, 2013

Flood Insurance rate map 08041C0575 F, as revised to reflect LOMR Case No. 16-08-1065P

Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey

# APPENDIX



VICINITY MAP SCALE: N.T.S.

#### Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of Int	erest (AOI)	33	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:24,000.
Soils		0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Man may not be yalid at this scale
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	10	Wet Spot	Warning. Son map may not be valid at this scale.
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	8	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
	Soil Map Unit Points	-	Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special I	Point Features	Water Fea	tures	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed
ం	Blowout	~	Streams and Canals	scale.
	Borrow Pit	Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
*	Clay Spot	+++	Rails	measurements.
$\diamond$	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Many Natural Resources Conservation Service
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:
0 0 0	Gravelly Spot	~	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
0	Landfill	~	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
A.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	nd	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
علله	Marsh or swamp	Page 1	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
Ŕ	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.
~	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area. Colorado
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 14, Sep 23, 2016
°*°	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.
۵	Sinkhole			Data(a) agrial imagaa wara photographod: May 22, 2016 Mar
ž	Slide or Slip			9, 2017
Ŕ	Sodic Spot			
שון 				compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

# **Map Unit Legend**

	El Paso County Are	a, Colorado (CO625)	
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	6.4	15.0%
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	36.4	85.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		42.8	100.0%

# Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the

#### El Paso County Area, Colorado

#### 8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 369v Elevation: 4,600 to 5,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Blakeland and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Blakeland**

#### Setting

Landform: Flats, hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 11 inches: loamy sand AC - 11 to 27 inches: loamy sand C - 27 to 60 inches: sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 1 to 9 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 5 percent
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 4.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: Sandy Foothill (R049BY210CO) Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

#### Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### 19—Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 367p Elevation: 6,500 to 7,300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Columbine and similar soils:* 85 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Columbine**

#### Setting

Landform: Flood plains, fan terraces, fans Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium

#### **Typical profile**

*A - 0 to 14 inches:* gravelly sandy loam *C - 14 to 60 inches:* very gravelly loamy sand

#### Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 2.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: Gravelly Foothill (R049BY214CO) Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Fluvaquentic haplaquolls

Percent of map unit: Landform: Swales Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

#### Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

#### LETTER OF MAP REVISION DETERMINATION DOCUMENT

	COMMUNITY AND REVISION INFORMATION	PROJEC	T DESCRIPTION	BASIS OF REQUEST
COMMUNITY	El Paso County Colorado (Unincorporated Areas) COMMUNITY NO.: 080059	CHANNELIZA CULVERT	ATION	HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS UPDATED TOPOGRAPHIC DATA
IDENTIFIER	Stapleton Drive LOMR	APPROXIMATE SOURCE: USO	E LATITUDE AND LONG GS QUADRANGLE	STUDE: 38.961, -104.559 DATUM: NAD 83
	ANNOTATED MAPPING ENCLOSURES		ANNOTATED STU	JDY ENCLOSURES
TYPE: FIRM*	NO.: 08041C0575F DATE: March 17, 1997	DATE OF EFFE PROFILES:	CTIVE FLOOD INSURA 367P, 367P(a)	NCE STUDY: August 23, 1999
* FIRM - Flood Ins	urance Rate Map			
	FLOODING SOUR	CE AND REVISED R	EACH	
Flooding Source	SUMMAR Effective Fl	OF REVISIONS	Flooding Increa	ses Decreases
Haegler Ranch Tri	butary 2 Zone A No BFEs*	Zone AE BFEs	YES YES	YES NONE
* BFEs - Base Floo	od Elevations			
	DETER	MINATION		
This document pregarding a requ a revision to the warranted. Thi panel revised by	provides the determination from the Department of Hom lest for a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) for the area d flood hazards depicted in the Flood Insurance Study (f s document revises the effective NFIP map, as indicate this LOMR for floodplain management purposes and f	neland Security's F escribed above. I FIS) report and/or M d in the attached d or all flood insuran	ederal Emergency Ma Jsing the information Vational Flood Insurar ocumentation. Pleas ce policies and renew	anagement Agency (FEMA) submitted, we have determined that ice Program (NFIP) map is se use the enclosed annotated map rals in your community.
This determination any questions abo LOMC Clearingho http://www.fema.g	is based on the flood data presently available. The enclosed ut this document, please contact the FEMA Map Information e use, 847 South Pickett Street, Alexandria, VA 22304-4605. ov/national-flood-insurance-program.	documents provide a Xchange toll free at 1 Additional information	additional information reg -877-336-2627 (1-877-F a about the NFIP is availa	jarding this determination. If you have EMA MAP) or by letter addressed to the able on our website at
	Engineering Servic Federal Insurance	es Branch and Mitigation Admin	istration 16	3-08-1065P 102-I-A-C

Page 2 of 4 Issue Date: February 1, 2017

Effective Date: June 15, 2017



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

#### LETTER OF MAP REVISION DETERMINATION DOCUMENT (CONTINUED)

#### **COMMUNITY INFORMATION**

#### APPLICABLE NFIP REGULATIONS/COMMUNITY OBLIGATION

We have made this determination pursuant to Section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) and in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 44 CFR Part 65. Pursuant to Section 1361 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, communities participating in the NFIP are required to adopt and enforce floodplain management regulations that meet or exceed NFIP criteria. These criteria, including adoption of the FIS report and FIRM, and the modifications made by this LOMR, are the minimum requirements for continued NFIP participation and do not supersede more stringent State/Commonwealth or local requirements to which the regulations apply.

NFIP regulations Subparagraph 60.3(b)(7) requires communities to ensure that the flood-carrying capacity within the altered or relocated portion of any watercourse is maintained. This provision is incorporated into your community's existing floodplain management ordinances; therefore, responsibility for maintenance of the altered or relocated watercourse, including any related appurtenances such as bridges, culverts, and other drainage structures, rests with your community. We may request that your community submit a description and schedule of maintenance activities necessary to ensure this requirement.

#### **COMMUNITY REMINDERS**

We based this determination on the 1-percent-annual-chance flood discharges computed in Letter of Map Revision 04-08-0587P, without considering subsequent changes in watershed characteristics that could increase flood discharges. Future development of projects upstream could cause increased flood discharges, which could cause increased flood hazards. A comprehensive restudy of your community's flood hazards would consider the cumulative effects of development on flood discharges subsequent to the publication of the FIS report for your community and could, therefore, establish greater flood hazards in this area.

Your community must regulate all proposed floodplain development and ensure that permits required by Federal and/or State/Commonwealth law have been obtained. State/Commonwealth or community officials, based on knowledge of local conditions and in the interest of safety, may set higher standards for construction or may limit development in floodplain areas. If your State/Commonwealth or community has adopted more restrictive or comprehensive floodplain management criteria, those criteria take precedence over the minimum NFIP requirements.

We will not print and distribute this LOMR to primary users, such as local insurance agents or mortgage lenders; instead, the community will serve as a repository for the new data. We encourage you to disseminate the information in this LOMR by preparing a news release for publication in your community's newspaper that describes the revision and explains how your community will provide the data and help interpret the NFIP maps. In that way, interested persons, such as property owners, insurance agents, and mortgage lenders, can benefit from the information.

This determination is based on the flood data presently available. The enclosed documents provide additional information regarding this determination. If you have any questions about this document, please contact the FEMA Map Information eXchange toll free at 1-877-336-2627 (1-877-FEMA MAP) or by letter addressed to the LOMC Clearinghouse, 847 South Pickett Street, Alexandria, VA 22304-4605. Additional information about the NFIP is available on our website at http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program.

Patrick "Rick" F. Sacbibit, P.E., Branch Chief Engineering Services Branch Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration

16-08-1065P

102-I-A-C



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

#### LETTER OF MAP REVISION DETERMINATION DOCUMENT (CONTINUED)

We have designated a Consultation Coordination Officer (CCO) to assist your community. The CCO will be the primary liaison between your community and FEMA. For information regarding your CCO, please contact:

Ms. Jeanine D. Petterson Director, Mitigation Division Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region VIII Denver Federal Center, Building 710 P.O. Box 25267 Denver, CO 80225-0267 (303) 235-4830

#### STATUS OF THE COMMUNITY NFIP MAPS

We are processing a revised FIRM and FIS report for El Paso County in our countywide format; therefore, we will not physically revise and republish the FIRM and FIS report for your community to incorporate the modifications made by this LOMR at this time. Preliminary copies of the countywide FIRM and FIS report, which present information from the effective FIRMs and FIS reports for your community and other incorporated communities in El Paso County, were submitted to your community for review on July 29, 2015. We will incorporate the modifications made by this LOMR into the countywide FIRM and FIS report before they become effective.

This determination is based on the flood data presently available. The enclosed documents provide additional information regarding this determination. If you have any questions about this document, please contact the FEMA Map Information eXchange toll free at 1-877-336-2627 (1-877-FEMA MAP) or by letter addressed to the LOMC Clearinghouse, 847 South Pickett Street, Alexandria, VA 22304-4605. Additional information about the NFIP is available on our website at http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program.

Patrick "Rick" F. Sacbibit, P.E., Branch Chief Engineering Services Branch Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration

16-08-1065P

102-I-A-C



# Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

#### LETTER OF MAP REVISION DETERMINATION DOCUMENT (CONTINUED)

#### PUBLIC NOTIFICATION OF REVISION

A notice of changes will be published in the *Federal Register*. This information also will be published in your local newspaper on or about the dates listed below, and through FEMA's Flood Hazard Mapping website at https://www.floodmaps.fema.gov/fhm/bfe status/bfe main.asp.

LOCAL NEWSPAPER

Name: *The Colorado Springs Gazette* Dates: February 8, 2017 and February 15, 2017

Within 90 days of the second publication in the local newspaper, any interested party may request that we reconsider this determination. Any request for reconsideration must be based on scientific or technical data. Therefore, this letter will be effective only after the 90-day appeal period has elapsed and we have resolved any appeals that we receive during this appeal period. Until this LOMR is effective, the revised flood hazard determination presented in this LOMR may be changed.

This determination is based on the flood data presently available. The enclosed documents provide additional information regarding this determination. If you have any questions about this document, please contact the FEMA Map Information eXchange toll free at 1-877-336-2627 (1-877-FEMA MAP) or by letter addressed to the LOMC Clearinghouse, 847 South Pickett Street, Alexandria, VA 22304-4605. Additional information about the NFIP is available on our website at http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program.

Patrick "Rick" F. Sacbibit, P.E., Branch Chief Engineering Services Branch Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration

16-08-1065P

102-I-A-C







# EXISTING HYDROLOGY

												CC	ONVEY	ANCE	тс		TT			INTE	NSITY				T	OTAL	FLOW	S	
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C2	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>25</sub>	C <sub>50</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Length	Height	TI	Length	Height	Cv	Slope	Velocity	тс	TOTAL	$I_2$	$I_5$	I <sub>10</sub>	I <sub>25</sub>	I <sub>50</sub>	I <sub>100</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>2</sub>	Q5	Q <sub>10</sub>	Q25	Q <sub>50</sub>	Q <sub>100</sub>
	(Acres)							(ft)	( <b>ft</b> )	(min)	(ft)	(ft)		(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
OS-A	2.22	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36	200	5	20.2	788	16	5	2.0%	0.7	18.4	38.6	1.7	2.1	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.5	0.1	0.4	0.9	1.6	2.2	2.8
AGRICULTURE																													
																													<u> </u>
OS-B	8.00	0.04	0.10	0.18	0.27	0.32	0.37	200	6	18.8	1278	24	5	1.9%	0.7	31.1	49.9	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.9	0.5	1.4	2.9	4.9	6.6	8.5
AGRICULTURE	7.90	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36			DP-3	663	/	15	1.1%	1.5	7.2													
ROADWAY	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	200	4	21.7	1059	5	5	0.5%	0.2	51.2	72.0	0.0	1.1	1.2	1.5	17	1.0	0.0	2.2	7.1	12.5	167	21.7
EA-A	31.32	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.20	0.31	0.30	200	4	21.7	1058	5	5	0.5%	0.3	51.5	/3.0	0.9	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.9	0.9	3.2	/.1	12.5	10.7	21.7
AGRICULIURE																													
EX-B	9.31	0.07	0.12	0.20	0.29	0.34	0.39	200	4	21.0	922	19	5	2.1%	0.7	21.4	42.4	1.6	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.9	3.3	1.0	2.3	4.3	7.0	9.3	11.8
ACRICULTURE	8.91	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36																						
ROADWAY	0.40	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96																						
																													-
																									1				<u> </u>

Calculated by: DLM Date: 10/1/2017

				WEIG	HTED			TT			INTEN	ISITY			TOTAL FLOWS					
DESIGN	AREA TOTAL	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>25</sub>	C <sub>50</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	TOTAL	$I_2$	$I_5$	I <sub>10</sub>	I <sub>25</sub>	I <sub>50</sub>	I <sub>100</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>2</sub>	Q5	Q <sub>10</sub>	Q <sub>25</sub>	Q <sub>50</sub>	Q <sub>100</sub>
POINT	(Acres)							(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
DP-1	2.22	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36	38.6	1.7	2.1	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.5	0.1	0.4	0.9	1.6	2.2	2.8
BASIN OS-A																				
DP-2																				592.0
LOMR																				
DP-3	17.31	0.05	0.11	0.19	0.28	0.33	0.38	57.1	1.2	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.5	1.2	3.0	5.9	9.8	12.9	16.6
BASIN OS-B	8.00	0.04	0.10	0.18	0.27	0.32	0.37													
BASIN EX-B	9.31	0.07	0.12	0.20	0.29	0.34	0.39													

Calculated by: DLM

Date: 10/1/2017

# PROPOSED HYDROLOGY

												CC	NVEY	ANCE	тс		TT			INTEN	SITY				Т	OTAL	FLOW	S	
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>25</sub>	C <sub>50</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Length	Height	TI	Length	Height	Cv	Slope	Velocity	тс	TOTAL	$I_2$	I <sub>5</sub>	I <sub>10</sub>	I <sub>25</sub>	I <sub>50</sub>	I <sub>100</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>2</sub>	Q5	Q <sub>10</sub>	Q <sub>25</sub>	Q <sub>50</sub>	Q <sub>100</sub>
	(Acres)							(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(ft)		(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
OS-A	2.22	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36	200	5	20.2	788	16	5	2.0%	0.7	18.4	38.6	1.7	2.1	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.5	0.1	0.4	0.9	1.6	2.2	2.8
AGRICULTURE																					1							1	
				. 10	L	-											10.0		<u> </u>		L							$\vdash$	
OS-B	8.00	0.04	0.10	0.18	0.27	0.32	0.37	200	6	18.8	1278	24	5	1.9%	0.7	31.1	49.9	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.9	0.5	1.4	2.9	4.9	6.6	8.5
ROADWAY	7.90	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36			DP-5	003	/	15	1.1%	1.5	1.2					1							1	
A-1	0.81	0.72	0.74	0.72	0.79	0.93	0.82	15	1	1.4	1088	9	10	0.8%	0.9	19.9	21.4	2.4	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	1.4	1.8	2.2	2.6	2.9	3.3
GRAVEL	0.43	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.70	10	•		1000	ĺ.		0.070	0.2				5.0	0.0			2.0					<u></u>	
ROADWAY	0.38	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96														1							1 '	
A-2	30.33	0.06	0.12	0.20	0.28	0.33	0.38	100	2	15.0	1043	5	5	0.5%	0.3	50.2	65.2	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.2	1.9	4.8	9.2	15.2	20.0	25.6
ACRICULTURE	24.88	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36														1							1 '	
RESIDENTIAL	5.00	0.12	0.20	0.27	0.35	0.40	0.44																					1	
ROADWAY	0.45	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.7			070		10	1.10/	1.0	6.0													
A-3	0.18	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.70	15	1	2.0	372	4	10	1.1%	1.0	6.0	8.0	3.6	4.5	5.2	6.0	6.7	7.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
GRAVEL																					1							'	
B-1	0.54	0.72	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.81	0.82	15	1	1.4	784	14	10	1.8%	1.3	9.8	11.2	3.2	4.0	4.6	5.3	5.9	6.6	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.3	2.6	2.9
GRAVEL	0.29	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.70																						
ROADWAY	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96														L								
B-2	8.76	0.07	0.13	0.20	0.29	0.33	0.37	100	2	14.7	907	19	5	2.1%	0.7	20.9	35.6	1.8	2.2	2.6	3.0	3.3	3.7	1.1	2.6	4.6	7.4	9.7	12.2
ACRICULTURE	6.01	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36														1							1 '	
RESIDENTIAL	2.00	0.12	0.20	0.27	0.35	0.40	0.44														1							1 '	
ROADWAY	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96														┝───	<b> </b>	──	╂───	<u> </u>		<b> </b> '	<b>├</b> ───'	───┦
																					1							'	
																					1							'	
							†														[		1	1				<sup> </sup>	
																					1							1 '	
																												$\square$	
																					1							1	
																					1								
																					1							1	
																					1						1	1	1

Calculated by: DLM Date: 10/1/2017

				WEIG	HTED			TT			INTEN	ISITY			TOTAL FLOWS					
DESIGN	AREA TOTAL	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>25</sub>	C <sub>50</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	TOTAL	$I_2$	$I_5$	I <sub>10</sub>	I <sub>25</sub>	I <sub>50</sub>	I <sub>100</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>2</sub>	Q5	Q <sub>10</sub>	Q <sub>25</sub>	Q <sub>50</sub>	Q <sub>100</sub>
POINT	(Acres)							(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
DP-P1	2.22	0.08	0.14	0.22	0.31	0.37	0.42	38.6	1.7	2.1	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.5	0.3	0.6	1.2	2.0	2.6	3.3
BASIN OS-A	2.22	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36													
Basin A3	0.18	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.70													
DP-2																				592.0
LOMR																				
DP-P3	17.30	0.08	0.14	0.21	0.29	0.34	0.39	57.1	1.2	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.5	1.7	3.6	6.4	10.3	13.4	17.0
Basin B-2	8.76	0.07	0.13	0.20	0.29	0.33	0.37													
DP-P4	8.54	0.08	0.14	0.22	0.30	0.35	0.40													
DP-P4	8.54	0.08	0.14	0.22	0.30	0.35	0.40	49.9	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.9	1.0	2.1	3.7	5.9	7.7	9.7
Bosin OS-B	8.00	0.04	0.10	0.18	0.27	0.32	0.37													
Basin B-1	0.54	0.72	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.81	0.82													

Calculated by: DLM

Date: 10/1/2017

# HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

#### **Other Calculators**

- Air Flow Conversion
   Calculator
- Atmospheric Calculator
- Block Wall Calculator
- Concrete Column Calculator
- Concrete Volume Calculator
- Energy Conversion Calculator
- Isentropic Flow Relations Calculator
- Laser Real Time Unit Converter
- Normal Flow Relations
   Calculator
- Oblique Flow Relations
   Calculator
- Open-channel Flow Calculator
- Properties of Welds Treated as Lines Calculator
- Shaft Speed Calculator
- Torque Transmitted by Clutch
   Calculator
- Water Pump Engineering
- Back to ENGINEERING.com

This calculator uses Chézy and Manning's formula to calculate the wetted perimeter, hydraulic radius, flow area, Chézy coefficient and flow velocity.

For experimental values of Manning's n factor, click here

**Open-Channel Flow** 

Enter the Slope:	.02	Enter the Cha Width (ft):	annel Top	17
Enter the Channel Bottom Width (ft):	0	Enter the Cha (ft):	annel Height	2.5
Enter the Flow Depth (ft):	.55	Enter the n v	alue:	.025
Results				
The wetted perimeter is 3.898	40! ft	The flow is	3.565962 ft <sup>3</sup>	/s
The flow area is $1.028500$ ft <sup>2</sup>		The flow is	1600.40388	gal/min
The hydraulic radius is 0.263	82: ft	The velocity	y is 3.467148	ft/s
The C value is 47.73083				
	Calculate	Reset		

Provide an identifier. Which channel is this associated with?

#### **Other Calculators**

- Air Flow Conversion
   Calculator
- Atmospheric Calculator
- Block Wall Calculator
- Concrete Column Calculator
- Concrete Volume Calculator
- Energy Conversion Calculator
- Isentropic Flow Relations Calculator
- Laser Real Time Unit Converter
- Normal Flow Relations
   Calculator
- Oblique Flow Relations
   Calculator
- Open-channel Flow Calculator
- Properties of Welds Treated as Lines Calculator
- Shaft Speed Calculator
- Torque Transmitted by Clutch
   Calculator
- Water Pump Engineering
- Back to ENGINEERING.com

This calculator uses Chézy and Manning's formula to calculate the wetted perimeter, hydraulic radius, flow area, Chézy coefficient and flow velocity.

For experimental values of Manning's n factor, click here

Required Information

**Open-Channel Flow** 

Enter the Slope:	.033	Enter the Channel Top Width (ft):	30
Enter the Channel Bottom Width (ft):	0	Enter the Channel Height (ft):	6
Enter the Flow Depth (ft):	1.16	Enter the n value:	.035
Results			
The wetted perimeter is 6.24	679 ft	The flow is 17.21989 f	t <sup>3</sup> /s
The flow area is 3.364 ft	2	The flow is 7728.28913	3 gal/min
The hydraulic radius is $0.53$	851( ft	The velocity is 5.11887	5( ft/s
The C value is 38.39883			
	Calculat	e Reset	

Provide an identifier. Which channel is this associated with?

#### CULVERT STAGE-DISCHARGE SIZING (INLET vs. OUTLET CONTROL WITH TAILWATER EFFECTS)



#### Calculations of Culvert Capacity (output):

	Water Surface	Tailwater	Culvert	Culvert	Controlling	Inlet	Flow
	Elevation	Surface	Inlet-Control	Outlet-Control	Culvert	Equation	Control
		Elevation	Flowrate	Flowrate	Flowrate	Used:	Used
		ft	cfs	cfs	cfs		
Ļ	(ft., linked)				(output)		
	6803.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	No Flow (WS < inlet)	N/A
	6803.25		0.30	13.82	0.30	Min. Energy. Eqn.	INLET
	6803.50		1.10	14.02	1.10	Min. Energy. Eqn.	INLET
	6803.75		3.00	14.49	3.00	Min. Energy. Eqn.	INLET
L	6804.00		5.10	15.24	5.10	Min. Energy. Eqn.	INLET
L	6804.25		7.70	16.40	7.70	Min. Energy. Eqn.	INLET
	6804.50		10.40	17.95	10.40	Regression Eqn.	INLET
	6804.75		13.50	19.84	13.50	Regression Eqn.	INLET
	6805.00		17.00	21.91	17.00	Regression Eqn.	INLET
	6805.25		20.80	23.95	20.80	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- [	6805.50		24.50	25.95	24.50	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6805.75		28.10	29.68	28.10	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6806.00		31.30	32.97	31.30	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6806.25		34.30	36.10	34.30	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6806.50		37.00	39.01	37.00	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6806.75		39.50	41.70	39.50	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6807.00		41.80	44.24	41.80	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6807.25		44.00	46.61	44.00	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6807.50		46.10	48.90	46.10	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6807.75		48.10	51.06	48.10	Regression Eqn.	INLET
- Г	6808.00		50.00	53.14	50.00	Regression Eqn.	INLET
1	6808.25		51.90	55.17	51.90	Regression Eqn.	INLET
1	6808.50		53.60	57.10	53.60	Regression Eqn.	INLET
	6808.75		55.40	58.97	55.40	Regression Eqn.	INLET
	6809.00		57.00	60.79	57.00	Regression Eqn.	INLET
1	6809.25		58.60	62.54	58.60	Regression Eqn.	INLET
1	6809.50		60.20	64.25	60.20	Regression Eqn.	INLET
1	6809.75		61.80	65.92	61.80	Regression Eqn.	INLET
1	6810.00		63.30	67.54	63.30	Regression Eqn.	INLET
Ē	6810.25		64.70	69.14	64.70	Regression Eqn.	INLET

Processing Time: 00.79 Seconds

Project: JUDGE ORR RANCHETTES Basin ID: DP-P3



Other Ca	lculators
----------	-----------

- Air Flow Conversion
   Calculator
- Atmospheric Calculator
- Block Wall Calculator
- Concrete Column Calculator
- Concrete Volume Calculator
- Energy Conversion Calculator
- Isentropic Flow Relations Calculator
- Laser Real Time Unit Converter
- Normal Flow Relations
   Calculator
- Oblique Flow Relations
   Calculator
- Open-channel Flow Calculator
- Properties of Welds Treated as Lines Calculator
- Shaft Speed Calculator
- Torque Transmitted by Clutch
   Calculator
- Water Pump Engineering
- Back to ENGINEERING.com

This calculator uses Chézy and Manning's formula to calculate the wetted perimeter, hydraulic radius, flow area, Chézy coefficient and flow velocity.

For experimental values of Manning's n factor, click here

Required Information

**Open-Channel Flow** 

Enter the Slope:	.016	Enter the Channel Top Width (ft):	17
Enter the Channel Bottom Width (ft):	0	Enter the Channel Height (ft):	2.5
Enter the Flow Depth (ft):	.84	Enter the n value:	.025
Results			
The wetted perimeter is 5.953	893: ft	The flow is 9.866565	. <sup>3</sup> /s
The flow area is $2.39904\ ft^2$		The flow is 4428.11454	gal/min
The hydraulic radius is 0.402	93: ft	The velocity is 4.11271	4( ft/s
The C value is 51.221444			
	Calculate	Reset	

Provide an identifier. Which channel is this associated with?

# DRAINAGE MAPS





# JUDGE ORR RANCHETTES EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP

2030 TABOR CT. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80919

EXISTING DRAINAGE BASINS								
BASIN	AREA (ACRES)	Q2 (CFS)	Q5 (CFS)	Q10 (CFS)	Q25 (CFS)	Q50 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	
OS-A	2.22	0.1	0.4	0.9	1.6	2.2	2.8	
OS-B	8.00	0.5	1.4	2.9	4.9	6.6	8.5	
EX-A	31.32	0.9	3.2	7.1	12.5	16.7	21.7	
EX-B	9.31	1.0	2.3	4.3	7.0	9.3	11.8	

EXISTING DESIGN POINTS						
DESIGN POINT	Q2 (CFS)	Q5 (CFS)	Q10 (CFS)	Q25 (CFS)	Q50 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
DP-1	0.1	0.4	0.9	1.6	2.2	2.8
DP-2						592.0
DP-3	1.2	3.0	5.9	9.8	12.9	16.6



	BASIN IDENTIFIER BASIN AREA [AC]		F 0.3	6	
	DESIGN POINT IDENTIFIER	S	3		
	DRAINAGE BASIN BOUND	ARY			
	SURFACE SHEET FLOW D	IRECTION	$\leftarrow$		
	EXISTING MAJOR CONTOL	IR (10')	698	0	
	EXISTING MINOR CONTOU	R (2')			
	PROPOSED MAJOR CONT	OUR (10')			
	PROPOSED MINOR CONTO	)UR (2')			
	EXISTING PROPOSED FUTURE		( (	Έ) Ρ) (F)	
	SLOPE/DIRECTION (E) STORM SEWER		1.0	00%	
	(P) STORM SEWER				
JUDGE ORR RANG	CHETTES			DRAWN BY:	DLM
		SCALE:	1"=150'	DATE: 10,	/01/17
EXISTING DRAIN/		JOB	NUMBER	SHE	ET

16-093

1 OF 1





# JUDGE ORR RANCHETTES

PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASINS							
BASIN	AREA (ACRES)	Q2 (CFS)	Q5 (CFS)	Q10 (CFS)	Q25 (CFS)	Q50 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
OS-A	2.22	0.1	0.4	0.9	1.6	2.2	2.8
OS-B	8.00	0.5	1.4	2.9	4.9	6.6	8.5
A-1	0.81	1.4	1.8	2.2	2.6	2.9	3.3
A-2	30.33	1.9	4.8	9.2	15.2	20.2	25.6
A-3	0.18	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
B-1	0.54	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.3	2.6	2.9
B-2	8.76	1.1	2.6	4.6	7.4	9.7	12.2

PROPOSED DESIGN POINTS

DESIGN POINT	Q2 (CFS)	Q5 (CFS)	Q10 (CFS)	Q25 (CFS)	Q50 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
P1	0.3	0.6	1.2	2.0	2.6	3.3
2						592.0
Р3	1.7	3.6	6.4	10.3	13.4	17.0
P4	1.0	2.1	3.7	5.9	7.7	9.7

Provide culvert analysis/calculation for the 30" RCP at DP-P4. Include riprap sizing calculation.

#### DRAINAGE LEGEND

BASIN IDENTIFIE	IDENTIFIER		
BASIN AREA [A	c]		

DESIGN POINT IDENTIFIERS

DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY

SURFACE SHEET FLOW DIRECTION

EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR (10')

EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR (2')

PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR (10')

PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR (2')

EXISTING PROPOSED

FUTURE SLOPE/DIRECTION (E) STORM SEWER

(P) STORM SEWER



	JUDGE ORR RANCHETTES		drawn by: DLM
$\sim$		SCALE: 1"=150'	DATE: 10/01/17
MOUNT		JOB NUMBER	SHEET
GINEERING CO 80814 (719) 426-2124	(719) 426-2124 PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP		1 OF 1



and the second s

and the second s

and the second s

Statement of the local division of the local

1

10

INFO:	CURIIS RDN	184	Sec sheet 5	6	
GIECK RANCH PLAN VIE HAEGLER DIVE	THIS DRAWI NOT TO BE L CONSTRUC STUDIES UN PERFORME	RECOMM Reach HD-R4 HD-R5	Reach HD-R4 HD-R5		Environment
H DBPS EW RSION #3	NG IS CONCEPTL USED AS THE SOI TION, OR REMED D PRIOR TO SUCH	ENDED PLAN Vegetati Select	Slope (%) 1.75 1.67	200 Feet	<b>tal Key</b> an: Good an: Poor ial Wetlands e of the above gories.
AUGUST 2007 ** 1" = 200' * NONE	JAL IN NATURE AN LE BASIS FOR FIN AL ACTION, FURT 1 DECISIONS, FURT	v IMPROVEM on Augmenta ive Stabilizatio	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs) ( 429 468	Road Struc Secti 2-ft o	Legend Prop Cond Flood Strea Reac Cross
лов мо: С7706-2 PL 6D 038 57		ENTS tion on	V <sub>100</sub> (fVs) 2.71 2.87	s on Lines ontours	hes s-sections

# Markup Summary

dsdlaforce (18)		
Add PCD File No. SP-17-011 & SF-17-021	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 1 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	Add PCD File No. SP-17-011 & SF-17-021
ap and EOMA dett e A has been incordation.	Subject: Callout Page Label: 3 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	AE
	Subject: Callout Page Label: 7 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	Include the 25% reduction in drainage fees for low density lots (ECM Appendix L Part 1 Section 3.10.2a)
	Subject: Callout Page Label: 7 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	2017 Drainage Fee= \$9,154 2017 Bridge Fee=\$1,351
÷	Subject: Callout Page Label: 7 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	
¢	Subject: Callout Page Label: 7 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	
	Subject: Cloud Page Label: 23 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	
be net convert a TERES to be the TERES (to be the TERES (	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 31 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	Provide an identifier. Which channel is this associated with?
No for our (1) and (1)	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 32 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce	Provide an identifier. Which channel is this associated with?



Subject: Text Box Page Label: 35 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Provide an identifier. Which channel is this associated with?



Subject: Callout Page Label: 39 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce

Provide channel analysis through the reach to determine erosion potental (velocity, Froude, shear stress) and provide any erosion control that may be needed. Include a section in the narrative discussing the results of the analysis.

.....

un in an Dahat in a

.....



Subject: PolyLine Page Label: 39 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce



Subject: PolyLine Page Label: 39 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce



Subject: Callout Page Label: 39 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce

Provide culvert analysis/calculation for the 30" RCP at DP-P4. Include riprap sizing calculation.

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



Subject: PolyLine Page Label: 39 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce



Subject: PolyLine Page Label: 39 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce



Subject: Cloud Page Label: 39 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce



Subject: PolyLine Page Label: 39 Lock: Locked Author: dsdlaforce

. . . . . . . . . .