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## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.
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October 28, 2022

Joseph W. DesJardin, PE
Director of Entitlements
PT Eagleview, LLC
1864 Woodmoor Drive, Suite 100
Monument, CO 80132

## RE: Eagleview Subdivision <br> Traffic Impact Study <br> El Paso County, Colorado <br> PCD File \# SP-21-06 <br> LSC \#S214750

Dear Mr. DesJardin,

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic impact study (TIS) for the proposed Eagleview Subdivision residential development to be located east of Raygor Road approximately one mile south of Burgess Road in El Paso County, Colorado.

LSC previously conducted a traffic impact study for this site in October 2007. This report has been prepared for submittal to El Paso County.

## REPORT CONTENTS

- Inventory of existing adjacent and nearby area road system. This included surface conditions, functional classifications, roadway widths, lane configurations, traffic control, posted speed limits, pavement markings, intersection and access spacing, roadway and intersection alignments, auxiliary left- and right-turn lanes, intersection sight distances, etc.;
- Estimates of existing morning and late-afternoon peak-hour turning-movement traffic counts at the following "study-area" intersection:
- Burgess Road/Raygor Road
- Raygor Road/Arroya Lane
- Raygor Road/Flaming Sun Drive
- Short-term baseline traffic-volume estimates, which take into account remaining effects of the COVID-19 pandemic;
- Review of previously-completed traffic studies in the vicinity of this site for information and findings relative to this development. Other recent studies completed in the area and any applicable data/transferrable information/analysis etc. from previous LSC studies adjacent to the site were also utilized;
- Estimates of average weekday and peak-hour trip generation for the proposed development;
- Estimation of directional distribution of site-generated vehicle trips on the area road system, at the study-area intersections, and at the proposed site-access point. A roadway link for the planned Raygor Road connection was coded to estimate potential through traffic, directional-distribution splits, and model traffic volumes.
- Projections of site-generated turning-movement traffic volumes at the following "study-area" intersections:
- Burgess Road/Raygor Road
- Raygor Road/Arroya Lane
- Raygor Road/Flaming Sun Drive
- Raygor Road/Stapleton Drive (long-term only)
- Estimates of short- and long-term background traffic volumes at the study-area intersections and access points;
- Total traffic (site traffic plus background traffic) projections at the study-area intersections for the short and long term;
- Level of service (LOS) analysis at the study-area intersections;
- Evaluation of existing, short-term, and long-term projected intersection volumes to determine the potential need for any new auxiliary right-/left-turn lanes on Burgess Road, based on the criteria in the County's Engineering Criteria Manual;
- Estimated average daily traffic (ADT) on Raygor Road
- El Paso County Road Impact Fee Program requirement;
- Other recommended improvements/modifications to the study-area roads and intersections; and
- Summary of compiled data, analysis, findings, and recommendations.


## SITE DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE

Figure 2 shows the site plan. The site is located within unincorporated El Paso County. The site is planned to be developed for 38 single-family residential dwelling units. Access to the site is proposed via three existing intersections:

- Raygor Road/Arroya Lane
- Raygor Road/Flaming Sun Drive
- Stapleton Drive/Arroya Lane

Proposed site access (and existing) intersection centerline spacings are shown in Figure 2 and are as follows:

- Arroya Lane/Raygor Road
- 1,320 feet to Salbeck Lane (to the north)
- 1,490 feet to Flaming Sun Drive (to the south)
- Flaming Sun Drive/Raygor Road
- 1,490 feet to Flaming Sun Drive (to the north)
- 1,507 feet to Old Settlers Trail (to the south)
- Stapleton Drive/Arroya Lane
- 1,180 feet to Raygor Road (to the west)


## ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## Area Roadways

Figure 1 shows the roadways in the vicinity of the site. The major roadways are identified below, followed by a brief description.

Raygor Road is a two-lane paved Rural Local County road extending between Burgess Road and Stapleton Drive. The rural cross-section is 24 feet (two travel lanes) with no shoulders or lane striping. The surface condition of Raygor Road is good, as it was most recently paved in 2013. The posted speed limit on Raygor Road is 35 miles per hour (mph). The Raygor Road/Burgess Road intersection is currently stop-sign controlled on Raygor Road. The right-of-way is primarily 60 feet, but there appears to be a short section with 30 feet of right-of-way. Raygor Road is not identified as a major transportation corridor on the El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP).

Burgess Road is an east/west two-lane Rural Minor Arterial, with continuity from Milam Road on the west to Goodson Road on the east. Burgess Road is located approximately one mile north of the proposed development. The posted speed limit on Burgess Road in the vicinity of the site is 45 mph .

Arroya Lane is a Rural Gravel, road with a 15-16-foot width east of Raygor Road. No auxiliary turn lanes currently exist at the stop- sign-controlled intersection of Arroya Lane/Raygor Road. There are no posted speed limits on Arroya Lane.

Flaming Sun Drive is a 24 -foot-wide Rural Gravel road serving the surrounding residential area. No auxiliary turn lanes currently exist at the stop-sign-controlled intersection of Flaming Sun Drive/Raygor Road. There is no posted speed limit on Flaming Sun Drive adjacent to the site.

Stapleton Drive (East of Towner Avenue) is shown on El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) as a four-lane Urban Principal Arterial. Stapleton Drive currently extends east from Towner Avenue to just east of US Highway 24, at which point the roadway alignment curves to the south and becomes Curtis Road. The MTCP shows Stapleton Drive extending west in the future to connect to Briargate Parkway.

Stapleton Drive (isolated segment) The portion of Stapleton Drive near the site (about half a mile south of the site), extends for 0.9 miles from Tomahawk to one-quarter mile east of Arroya Lane. Although this segment is classified as a Collector on the MTCP, it is currently a gravel-surfaced roadway which does not currently connect to the arterial portion of Stapleton Drive which currently extends east from Towner Ave. Raygor Road intersects this isolated portion of Stapleton Drive and is currently the south terminus of the roadway. Neither Raygor Road nor this isolated segment of Stapleton Drive (which are roads used to access this Eagleview Subdivision), currently provide access to/from the south or a connection to the east to the Towner/Stapleton intersection. The only outlet is north on Raygor to Burgess Road.

## INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

Three existing intersections would provide access to the site (via Raygor Road and Stapleton Drive) and would remain stop-sign controlled, full-movement intersections. These existing intersections meet El Paso County's Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) standards for sight distance.

LSC recorded sight distance field measurements utilizing a driver's eye height of 3.5 feet and a height of 3.5 feet for northbound and southbound vehicles traveling on Raygor Road. The minimum intersection sight distance for passenger vehicles (per ECM Table 2-21) is 445 feet. Field-measured sight distances for passenger vehicles at the site access intersections are as follows:

- Raygor Road/Arroya Lane
- To the north - 938 feet
- To the south - 572 feet
- Raygor Road/Flaming Sun Drive
- To the north - greater than 1/4-mile
- To the south - greater than $1 / 4$-mile
- Stapleton Drive/Arroya Lane
- To the west - 1,180 feet (unobstructed to Raygor Road)
- To the east - greater than $1 / 4$-mile

Therefore, entering sight distance at all proposed site-access connections is acceptable.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Existing traffic volumes at the following intersections are shown on Figure 3. The traffic volumes are from traffic counts conducted by LSC in August 2021. Traffic count reports are attached.

- Burgess Road/Raygor Road
- Raygor Road/Arroya Lane


## Short-Term Baseline Traffic Volumes

Figure 4 shows estimated "short-term baseline" traffic volumes on the study-area roadways and at the study-area intersections (short-term peak-hour turning-movement volumes). These estimates do not include the planned future Raygor extension south of the Raygor Road/Stapleton Drive intersection. These estimates also do not assume significant potential future development (such as "The Ranch" development - PCD No. SK-18-006), as those were assumed to have been completed during the long term.

Previous and other current LSC traffic counts in the study area were also referenced to establish short-term baseline traffic volumes. The short-term baseline estimates are intended to estimate (and compensate for) the 2021 traffic volumes and travel patterns that may have reflected remaining effects of the COVID-19 pandemic in the middle of 2021. Counts at Burgess/Raygor in 2006 were used to estimate these adjustments (these are attached for reference). Also, 2021 traffic counts conducted at Burgess/Goodson (located to the east) were reviewed as part of the development of the baseline through traffic volumes. Other factors, such as road construction activity in Falcon, may also have contributed to some higher peak volumes - notably the westbound through volume during the morning peak hour. At the intersection of Burgess/Raygor, the short-term baseline eastbound right-turn volume was increased to the level of the 2006 counts (and rounded up to 50) as was the northbound left-turn volume during the morning peak hour. These typical "commuter" volumes were used as COVID-19 adjustments. Similar minor adjustments were applied to the turning movements to/from the east.

## TRIP GENERATION

Estimates of the existing and projected vehicle trips to be generated by the site have been made using the following nationally-published average trip-generation rates land use code "210 - Single-Family (Detached) Housing" in Trip Generation, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2017 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).

Table 1 below presents a summary of the estimated site trip generation. A detailed trip-generation estimate for the development, including ITE rates for the proposed land use, is presented in Table 3 (attached).

Table 1: Estimated Site Vehicle-Trip Generation

| Analysis Period | Weekday |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total |
| Morning Peak Hour | 7 | 21 | 28 |
| Evening Peak Hour | 24 | 14 | 38 |
| Daily/24-hour | 180 | 180 | 359 |

Based on the ITE estimate for the proposed Eagleview residential development, the site would generate about 359 external vehicle trips on the average weekday. During the weekday morning peak hour, approximately 7 vehicles would enter and 21 vehicles would exit the site. Approximately 24 entering vehicles and 14 exiting vehicles are projected for the weekday evening peak hour.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

## Trip Directional Distribution

Estimating the directional distribution of site-generated vehicle trips to the study-area roads and intersections is a necessary component in determining the site's traffic impacts. Figure 5 shows the percentages of the site-generated vehicle trips projected to be oriented to and from the site's major approaches. Separate short-term and long-term directional-distribution splits have been included. The short-term distribution does not include a Raygor extension south, but the long-term distribution does include the planned future connection.

Estimates have been based on the following factors: the proposed land use, the existing and planned future area road system, the site's geographic location relative to Falcon area, the City of Colorado Springs and the balance of the Pikes Peak region, current traffic-count data, distribution estimates from the PPACG regional transportation model, and previously-conducted traffic studies in the vicinity of the site.

## Site-Generated Traffic

Projected site-generated traffic volumes have been calculated at the following intersections:

- Burgess Road/Raygor Road
- Raygor Road/Arroya Lane
- Raygor Road/Flaming Sun Drive
- Stapleton Drive (existing local road)/Raygor Road
- Stapleton Drive/Arroya Lane


## Short Term

Figure 6 shows the projected short-term site-generated traffic volumes for the weekday morning and evening peak hours. Short-term site-generated traffic volumes have been calculated by applying the short-term directional-distribution percentages estimated by LSC (from Figure 5) to the trip-generation estimates (from Table 3).

## Long Term

Projected long-term site-generated traffic volumes for the weekday morning and evening peak hours are shown in Figure 7. Long-term site-generated traffic volumes have been calculated by
applying the long-term directional-distribution percentages estimated by LSC (from Figure 5) to the trip-generation estimates (from Table 3.

## SHORT-TERM TOTAL TRAFFIC

Figure 8 shows the projected short-term total traffic volumes, which are the sum of short-term baseline traffic volumes (from Figure 4) plus the estimated Eagleview development short-term site-generated traffic (from Figure 6).

## 2041 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Figure 9 shows the background traffic volumes for the year 2041. Background traffic is the traffic estimated to be on the adjacent roadway system without consideration of the proposed development. Background traffic includes the through traffic and the traffic generated by adjacent developments (existing and anticipated future) but assumes zero traffic generated by the site.

LSC used the PPACG regional transportation model and the Visum transportation modeling program, in part, to estimate 2041 background traffic volumes on Raygor Road (with connection) and Burgess Road in the study area. A roadway link for the planned Raygor Road connection was coded to estimate potential through traffic and traffic analysis zones connecting to Raygor Road were analyzed to estimate link volumes and directional splits for based on model trip estimates. Background traffic-volume estimates have also been based on existing and previous traffic-count data and previous work completed in the area by LSC.

## 2041 TOTAL TRAFFIC

Figure 10 shows the total traffic volumes for the year 2041 at the study-area intersections, which are the sum of the 2041 background traffic volumes (from Figure 9) plus the site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 7).

## LEVEL OF SERVICE ANALYSIS

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection and is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A is indicative of little congestion or delay. LOS F indicates a high level of congestion or delay. Table 2 shows the level of service delay ranges for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 2: Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersections | Unsignalized Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) | Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| A | 10.0 sec or less | 10.0 sec or less |
| B | $10.1-20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $10.1-15.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| C | 20.1-35.0 sec | $15.1-25.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| D | 35.1-55.0 sec | 25.1-35.0 sec |
| E | 55.1-80.0 sec | 35.1-50.0 sec |
| F | 80.1 sec or more | 50.1 sec or more |

(1) For unsignalized intersections, if $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio is greater than 1.0 the level of service is LOS F, regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle.

Detailed Synchro reports are attached. A summary of LOS during the weekday morning and evening peak hours for the following unsignalized intersections is shown in the following figures:

- Figure 3: Existing Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS
- Figure 4: Short-Term Baseline Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS
- Figure 8: Short-Term Total Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS
- Figure 9: 2041 Background Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS
- Figure 10: 2041 Background + Site Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS

The following intersections have been analyzed to determine the projected intersection levels of service for short- and long-term traffic scenarios for the morning and evening peak-hour time periods:

- Burgess Road/Raygor Road
- Raygor Road/Arroya Lane
- Raygor Road/Flaming Sun Drive
- Stapleton Drive/Raygor Road
- Stapleton Drive/Arroya Lane

All study-area intersections listed above currently operate at and are projected to remain at LOS D or better through the 20-year horizon, with or without the addition of site-generated traffic.

## RAYGOR ROAD "LINK LOS"

The Raygor Road baseline ADT and projected future ADT exceed the ECM design ADT of a Rural Local roadway ( 750 vehicles per day). However, there is not sufficient right-of-way to accommodate the ECM-standard Rural Minor Collector roadway cross section. A deviation has been prepared and is included with the submittal. Raygor Road is identified as a Local roadway
on the MTCP. The deviation identifies a calculated 18-percent fair share of Raygor improvements and proposes an improvement to the north segment between Burgess and Pine Park Trail. Please refer to the deviation request for details.

## AUXILIARY TURN-LANE NEEDS ANALYSIS

## Burgess Road

Burgess Road is classified as a Rural Minor Arterial with a posted speed limit of 45 mph in the vicinity of Raygor Road. No auxiliary turn lanes currently exist at the two-way stop-sign-controlled intersection of Burgess Road/Raygor Road.

## Westbound Left-Turn Deceleration Lane

Left-turn deceleration lanes are required on Minor Arterials (or roadways with lower classifications, like Collectors) with a projected peak-hour ingress turning volume of 25 vehicles per hour (vph) or higher. Based on projected long-term total volumes, a westbound left-turn lane would not be required at the intersection of Burgess Road/Raygor Road based on the short term or long-term projections.

## Eastbound Right-Turn Deceleration Lane

Right-turn deceleration lanes are required on Minor Arterials (or roadways with lower classifications, like Collectors) with a projected peak-hour ingress turning volume of 50 vph or higher. Based on projected long-term total volumes, the ECM threshold requiring the addition of an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane would be met at the intersection of Burgess Road/Raygor Road.
Per criteria in the ECM, right-turn deceleration lanes should consist of the following design:

- 435 -foot total lane length (adjusted for upgrade)
- 235 feet of full-width lane (adjusted for upgrade)
- 200-foot transition taper

Once the planned Stapleton Drive connection is made to the west of Briargate Parkway, any of the vehicle trips currently generated by land uses along Raygor Road (including the site-generated buildout traffic volumes) would be removed from the Burgess Road/Raygor Road intersection, since these vehicle trips would then travel to and from Stapleton Drive to the south. Therefore, not all of the vehicle trips entering this area would need to enter via the Burgess Road/Raygor Road intersection.

Given the continuity of Raygor Road between Stapleton Drive and Burgess Road, as well as the potential for additional development in the area, the Burgess Road/Raygor Road intersection will likely meet ECM thresholds for an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane, based on projected short-term total
volumes. Long-term traffic-volume projections indicate the proposed Eagleview residential development is projected to only add two eastbound right-turning vehicles per hour to this movement.

The applicant is being required to construct this turn lane and has prepared plans for it.

## SUBDIVISION ROAD CLASSIFICATIONS

The subdivision roads should be classified as Rural Local.

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE MTCP

Raygor Road is identified as a Local roadway on the MTCP.

## Reimbursable Improvements

The following roadway improvement projects have been identified as being needed by the year 2040, per Map 13 and Table 4 of El Paso County's 2016 MTCP:

- N5 - Stapleton Road from Towner Road to Black Forest Road $(\$ 55,771,000)$
- Existing conditions - roadway does not exist
- Future conditions - 4-lane Urban Principal Arterial

See the attached MTCP maps for reference. Improvements by the developer are currently not reimbursable under the current $M T C P$.

## COUNTY ROAD IMPROVEMENT FEE PROGRAM

The applicant will be required to participate in this program. The PID option will be identified with the Plat submittal.

## MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT (TDM) OPPORTUNITIES

The following roadway improvement projects have been identified as being needed by the year 2040 per Map 15 and Table 5 of El Paso County's 2016 MTCP:

- Proposed bicycle route on Burgess Road (Milam Road to Meridian Road - via Goodson and Ayer Roads)

No sidewalks would be required, as all study-area roadways are Rural.
There is a park and ride lot to the southeast at the intersection of US Hwy 24/Meridian Road in Falcon.

## DEVIATIONS

Three deviation requests are included with this application.

- Deviation No. 2 - Length of non-through road (cul-de-sac)
- Deviation No. 3 - Rural Minor Collector criteria
- Deviation No. 4 - Stopping sight distance along Burgess

Note: Deviation No. 1 from the initial submittal has been withdrawn. The right-turn lane on Burgess will be constructed.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Trip Generation

- The site is projected to generate about 359 vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about 180 vehicles entering and 180 vehicles exiting the site in a 24 -hour period.
- During the morning peak hour, about 7 vehicles would enter and 21 vehicles would exit the site.
- Approximately 24 vehicles would enter and 14 vehicles would exit the site during the afternoon peak hour.


## Projected Levels of Service

- Intersection Level of Service: All study-area intersections are projected to remain at LOS D or better during both peak hours, with or without the addition of site-generated traffic.
- "Link LOS:" The Raygor Road baseline ADT and projected future ADT exceed the ECM design ADT of a Rural Local roadway ( 750 vehicles per day). However, there is not sufficient right-of-way to accommodate the ECM-standard Rural Minor Collector roadway cross section. A deviation has been prepared and is included with the submittal. Raygor Road is identified as a Local roadway on the MTCP.


## Improvements

- An eastbound right-turn deceleration lane would be required by ECM criteria in the short term at the intersection of Burgess Road/Raygor Road. Please refer to the "Auxiliary Turn-Lane Analysis" section for more detail. The applicant plans to construct this turn lane.
- Staff comments indicate the requirement to pave Arroya Lane and Flaming Sun Drive.
- The deviation identifies a calculated 18 -percent fair share of Raygor improvements and proposes an improvement (in lieu of escrow) to the north segment between Burgess and Pine Park Trail. Please refer to Deviation No. 3 for details.
- The applicant will provide an emergency access easement on the east side of the property. Please refer to Deviation No. 2 for details.


## Internal Street Classifications

- All internal streets should be classified as Rural Local.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.
Respectfully Submitted,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

By: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E.
Principal
JCH/JAB:jas
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Table 3: Detailed Trip Generation Estimate

| ITE |  | Value | Units ${ }^{1}$ | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average <br> Weekday |  | A.M. |  | P.M. |  | Average Weekday | A.M. |  | P.M. |  |
| Code | Description |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  | In | Out | In | Out |
| 210 | Single-Family (Detached) Housing | 38 | DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 359 | 7 | 21 | 24 | 14 |
| ${ }^{1}$ DU $=$ dwelling units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figures




$$
\begin{aligned}
\emptyset & =\text { Stop Sign } \\
\frac{X}{X} & =\frac{\text { AM Individual Movement Peak-Hour LOS }}{\text { PM Individual Movement Peak-Hour LOS }} \\
\frac{X X}{X X} & =\frac{\text { AM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}{\text { PM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }} \text { Counts by LSC (August 18 \& 25, Sept 1, 2021) } \\
X, X X X & =\text { Average Daily Traffic (Vehicles/Day) }
\end{aligned}
$$


*Estimates by LSC - Short-term baseline = adjusted estimates for effects of Covid-19 pandemic

Figure 4
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Directional Distribution


Figure 6
Site-Generated Traffic (Short-Term)


Figure 7
Site-Generated Traffic
$\frac{X X}{X X}=\frac{\text { AM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}{\text { PM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}$
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Figure 8

Short-Term Baseline + Site
Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control, and LOS



## Traffic Counts

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/25/2021
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Unshifted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | $\mathbf{R}$ | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 106 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 128 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 234 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 202 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 156 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 182 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 0 | 55 | 189 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 525 | 0 | 0 | 535 | 33 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 137 | 15 | 0 | 152 | 729 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 33 | 122 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 118 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 847 | 0 | 0 | 864 | 62 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 237 | 24 | 0 | 261 | 1203 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 98 | 0 | 0 |  | 79.5 | 0 | 20.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 90.8 | 9.2 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 70.4 | 0 | 0 | 71.8 | 5.2 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 6.5 | 0 | 19.7 | 2 | 0 | 21.7 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909

```
719-633-2868
```

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd AM Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/25/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 6:30:00 AM to 8:15:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 7:00:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 202 |
| 7:15:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 156 |
| 7:30:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 182 |
| 7:45:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 0 | 55 | 189 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 525 | 0 | 0 | 535 | 33 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 137 | 15 | 0 | 152 | 729 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1.9 | 98.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 78.6 | 0 | 21.4 | 0 |  | 0 | 90.1 | 9.9 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 810 | . 000 | . 000 | . 826 | . 750 | . 000 | . 563 | . 000 | . 808 | . 000 | . 745 | . 417 | . 000 | . 691 | . 902 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/25/2021
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/25/2021
Page No : 4

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 6:30:00 AM to 8:15:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +0 mins. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6:30:00 AM } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7:00:00 AM } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 162 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 6:30:00 AM 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7:30:00 AM } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 41 | 2 | 0 | 43 |  |
| +5 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 0 | 55 |  |
| +10 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 33 |  |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 43 |  |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 525 | 0 | 0 | 535 | 34 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 157 | 17 | 0 | 174 |  |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1.9 | 98.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 79.1 | 0 | 20.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 90.2 | 9.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 810 | . 000 | . 000 | . 826 | . 773 | . 000 | . 563 | . 000 | . 827 | . 000 | . 853 | . 472 | . 000 | . 791 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/25/2021
Page No : 5


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd PM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No : 1


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd PM Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 4:00:00 PM to 5:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 4:30:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:30:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 88 | 14 | 0 | 102 | 150 |
| 4:45:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 79 | 3 | 0 | 82 | 124 |
| 5:00:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 94 | 5 | 0 | 99 | 144 |
| 5:15:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 99 | 12 | 0 | 111 | 162 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 360 | 34 | 0 | 394 | 580 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 5.7 | 94.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 82.8 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 450 | . 902 | . 000 | . 000 | . 913 | . 750 | . 000 | . 417 | . 000 | . 659 | . 000 | . 909 | . 607 | . 000 | . 887 | . 895 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd PM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd PM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No : 4

|  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Burgess Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | $\mathbf{U}$ | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 4:00:00 PM to 5:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4:00:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 5:00:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 4:00:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 4:30:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 88 | 14 | 0 | 102 |  |
| +5 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 79 | 3 | 0 | 82 |  |
| +10 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 94 | 5 | 0 | 99 |  |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 99 | 12 | 0 | 111 |  |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 360 | 34 | 0 | 394 |  |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3.6 | 96.4 | 0 | 0 |  | 93.3 | 0 | 6.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 750 | . 862 | . 000 | . 000 | . 857 | . 778 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 909 | . 607 | . 000 | . 887 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd-Burgess Rd PM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No : 5


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 9/1/2021
Page No : 1

|  | Raygor Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 67 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 83.3 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 28.4 | 3 | 0 | 31.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59.7 | 0 | 0 | 59.7 | 7.5 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 9 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909

```
719-633-2868
```

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 9/1/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Raygor Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 6:30:00 AM to 8:15:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 6:30:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6:30:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 6:45:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| 7:00:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 7:15:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 37 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 80 | 20 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 667 | . 250 | . 000 | . 833 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 479 | . 000 | . 000 | . 479 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 712 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 9/1/2021
Page No
: 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 9/1/2021
Page No : 4

|  | Raygor Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 6:30:00 AM to 8:15:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 7:15:00 AM |  |  |  |  | 6:30:00 AM |  |  |  |  | 6:45:00 AM |  |  |  |  | 6:30:00 AM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| +5 mins. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| +10 mins. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| + 15 mins. | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 458 | . 250 | . 000 | . 542 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 542 | . 000 | . 000 | . 542 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln AM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 9/1/2021
Page No
: 5


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln PM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Unshifted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raygor Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start <br> Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | $\mathbf{R}$ | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 43 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 37 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 39 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 80 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 88.6 | 11.4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 48.8 | 6.2 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 36.2 | 0 | 0 | 36.2 | 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.5 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909

> 719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln PM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Raygor Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | $\mathbf{L}$ | T | R | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 4:00:00 PM to 5:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 4:00:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 4:15:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 4:30:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 4:45:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 43 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 833 | . 500 | . 000 | . 786 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 643 | . 000 | . 000 | . 643 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 896 |
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2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln PM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No : 4

|  | Raygor Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Westbound |  |  |  |  | Raygor Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Arroya Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | L | T | R | U | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 4:00:00 PM to 5:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins

|  | 4:45:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 4:15:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 4:30:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 4:15:00 PM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| +5 mins. | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +10 mins. | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 656 | . 375 | . 000 | . 600 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 714 | . 000 | . 000 | . 714 | . 333 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 333 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Raygor Rd - Arroya Ln PM
Site Code : S214750
Start Date : 8/18/2021
Page No
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0428 | 0 | 600 | 410 |
| Stage 1 |  | - - | - | 410 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - | 190 |  |
| Critical Hdwy |  | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 |  | - - | - | 5.42 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 |  | - - | - | 5.42 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy |  | 2.218 |  | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver |  | 1131 | - | 464 | 642 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - | 670 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - | 842 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | 1131 | - | 459 | 642 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver |  | - - |  | 459 |  |
| Stage 1 |  | - - |  | 670 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - | 834 |  |


|  | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach | 0.5 | 13.1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | B |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 150 | 15 | 10 | 585 | 50 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 150 | 15 | 10 | 585 | 50 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 93 | 93 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 172 | 17 | 11 | 629 | 60 | 16 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 832 | 181 |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 181 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 651 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1385 | - | 339 | 862 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 850 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 519 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1385 | - | 335 | 862 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 335 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 850 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 513 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.1 |  | 16.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 383 | - | - | 1385 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.198 | - | - | 0.008 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 16.7 | - | - | 7.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.7 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 375 | 50 | 15 | 150 | 25 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 375 | 50 | 15 | 150 | 25 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 87 | 87 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 408 | 54 | 17 | 172 | 32 | 13 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | r |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 150 | 19 | 13 | 585 | 69 | 18 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 150 | 19 | 13 | 585 | 69 | 18 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 195 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 93 | 93 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 172 | 22 | 14 | 629 | 83 | 22 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 829 | 172 |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 172 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 657 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - |  | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1379 | - | 340 | 872 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 858 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 516 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1379 | - | 335 | 872 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 335 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 858 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 508 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.2 |  | 17.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 384 | - | - | 1379 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.273 | - | - | 0.01 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 17.9 | - | - | 7.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 1.1 | - | - | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 3 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | 1 |  | Y |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 0 |


| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1622 | - | - | -1022 | 1084 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | -1022 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | -1022 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | A |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1622 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 375 | 66 | 19 | 150 | 36 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 375 | 66 | 19 | 150 | 36 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 195 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 87 | 87 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 408 | 72 | 22 | 172 | 46 | 17 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 480 | 0 | 624 | 408 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 408 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 216 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1082 | - | 449 | 643 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 671 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 820 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1082 | - | 439 | 643 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 439 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 671 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 802 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.9 |  | 13.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 479 | - | - | 1082 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.131 | - | - | 0.02 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 13.6 | - | - | 8.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.4 | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 83 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 2 | 58 | 6 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | -1 | 1 |  | Y |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | -3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1622 | - | - | -1022 | 1084 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | -1022 | - |


| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1622 | - | - | -1022 | 1084 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | -1022 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | -1022 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


|  | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | 0 | 0 |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | A |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1622 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 1 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |  | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1622 | - | - | - | 1022 | 1084 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 1022 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1622 | - | - | - | 1022 | 1084 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 1022 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 1022 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1622 | - | - | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | - | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 1 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | , | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 |  | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 1 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stor | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 1 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 140 | 31 | 12 | 420 | 48 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 140 | 31 | 12 | 420 | 48 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 195 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 92 | 92 | 83 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 161 | 36 | 13 | 457 | 58 | 12 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 36 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 36 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 35 | 3 | 1 | 46 | 1 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 525 | 48 | 10 | 280 | 37 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 525 | 48 | 10 | 280 | 37 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 195 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 93 | 93 | 92 | 92 | 78 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 565 | 52 | 11 | 304 | 47 | 13 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 617 | 0 | 891 | 565 |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 565 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 326 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 963 | - | 313 | 524 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 569 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 731 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 963 | - | 309 | 524 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 309 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 569 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 721 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0.3 |  | 17.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 339 | - | - | 963 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.178 | - | - | 0.011 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 17.9 | - | - | 8.8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.6 | - | - | 0 | - |






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 |  | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 1 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stor | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 1 |  |




[^0]:    $p=$ Stop Sign
    $\frac{X}{X}=\frac{\text { AM Individual Movement Peak-Hour LOS }}{\text { PM Individual Movement Peak-Hour LOS }}$
    $\frac{X X}{X X}=\frac{\text { AM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}{\text { PM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (Veh/Hour) }}$
    $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRANSPORTATION } \\ & \text { CONSULTANTS, INC. }\end{aligned} \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{XXX}=$ Average Daily Traffic (Vehicles/Day)

