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## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developerstatemerit


boars President.

## Ho President

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.
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March 4, 2022

ATTN: Board President
Brookmoor Homeowners Association

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { RE: } & \text { Brookmoor Estates Subdivision } \\
\text { Brookmoor PUD Amendment } \\
& \text { El Paso County, Colorado } \\
\text { Transportation Memorandum } \\
& \text { PCD File No.: PUD-185 } \\
\text { LSC \#174650 }
\end{array}
$$

Dear Sir/Ma'am:
In response to your request, LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this transportation memorandum for the proposed Brookmoor Estates PUD Amendment. The Brookmoor Estates subdivision is located generally north of Highway (SH) 105 and east of Woodmoor Drive in El Paso County, Colorado. Figure 1 shows the location of Brookmoor Estates.

Figure 1: Vicinity Map


The purpose of this memo is to assist with your request (to El Paso County) to amend the originally approved PUD to allow conversion of the existing emergency-only east access to the Brookmoor Estates subdivision to an RFID-controlled, resident-only access (emergency vehicles would still have access as well).

This memo presents estimates of the total subdivision vehicle-trip generation at buildout and the average daily traffic volume at the east gate, if converted to an RFID-controlled, resident-only access. This memo also summarizes results of analysis of traffic in the local area and assesses the effect of opening the gate on area traffic safety.

## LSC CORPORATE PROFILE

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. (LSC) provides consulting services in all phases of transportation planning and traffic engineering throughout the United States. With three offices in Colorado and California, the firm provides highly competent planning and engineering services within the transportation field. The firm is the successor to Leigh Associates and Leigh, Scott \& Cleary, Inc. and has provided consulting services continuously since 1975.

LSC provides services to private organizations, government agencies, and individuals in traffic engineering, traffic impact assessment, access planning and design, traffic systems management, traffic signal design, multimodal transportation planning, transit planning and operations, parking analysis and parking feasibility reports, and bicycle/pedestrian circulation. The firm's strength lies in the staff's broad range of professional experience.

LSC has performed more than a thousand traffic impact studies in El Paso County, including the City of Fountain, the City of Colorado Springs, and other municipalities within El Paso County. Typical studies include reviews of the existing infrastructure, trip-generation estimates, intersection analysis, projections of future traffic volumes, and recommendations for improvements. Some include plans for new street/road connections and performing alternatives analysis. To accomplish these studies, a multitude of analysis techniques have been researched, modified as necessary, and applied. We use a variety of traffic data collection methods.

The report has been prepared by Jeffrey Hodsdon, the managing Principal of the Colorado Springs office of LSC. Mr. Hodsdon joined the staff of LSC in 1993 and has accumulated 28 years' experience in the field of traffic engineering and transportation planning.

## SECTION 1 - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Brookmoor Estates is a 59-lot community located generally north of Highway 105 and east of Woodmoor Drive in the Woodmoor area of unincorporated El Paso County, Colorado.

The Brookmoor Estates HOA is requesting a PUD amendment to allow the conversion of the existing east gate, which is currently restricted to emergency vehicle use, to an RFID-controlled gate open to Brookmoor residents only. The gate would not be used by guests, delivery or service vehicles, or vendors, etc. The roadway through the east gate connects to South Park Drive, a County local public road. South Park Drive intersects Knollwood Boulevard about 900 feet east of the east gate. This PUD Amendment would give Brookmoor residents another useable access to the community and would provide additional travel route options for residents. This would enhance safety in this region of the County and would be especially beneficial during area peak-traffic periods.

The community currently generates about 263 vehicle trips per day (exiting and entering counted as two separate "trips"). The traffic analysis is based on a national-standard-based 354 vehicle trips per day at community buildout. Currently, all trips utilize Moveen Heights for access. LSC estimates about 42 trips per day would shift and utilize the east gate with this amendment. South Park Drive currently carries about 95 vehicle trips per day. With the amendment and estimated addition of 42 Brookmoor resident trips per day, the resulting total would be about 137 trips per day.

South Park Drive will be able to accommodate the projected additional traffic associated with the requested PUD Amendment. The projected volume increase would not result in volume at levels on South Park that would create an over-capacity condition or a traffic safety problem. The County road inventory lists South Park Drive west of Knollwood as a 24 -foot-wide, paved, Local roadway within a 60 -foot right-of-way. This is consistent with many other roadways within Woodmoor. The roadway will be able to carry the projected traffic volume without upgrade to the current Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) Rural Local standard of 28 -feet of pavement, plus gravel shoulders and 70' of right of way (which is not available).

Population growth and traffic volumes continue to increase significantly in the Tri-Lakes area and the requested PUD modification would optimize use of County road infrastructure while enhancing safety, by improving connectivity and providing additional route options for Brookmoor Residents.

## SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS RESULTS

- The community currently generates about 263 vehicle trips per day, based on actual data collected.
- Based on ITE rates (Land Use 251), the community buildout trip-generation (all 59 homes) ITE trip-generation estimate shows 354 trips per day. The latter has been used in this report as required by County staff.
- Based on the trip distribution and routing estimates, an estimated 42 additional vehicle trips per day on South Park Drive would result from use of the east gate for travel to and from the site via South Park Drive. LSC estimates 312 daily vehicle trips (the balance of the total ITE buildout trips to be generated by Brookmoor Estates at buildout) would use the existing Moveen Heights gated entry on Lake Woodmoor Drive.
- Based on the alternate analysis ("sensitivity" analysis) about 75 additional vehicle trips per day on South Park Drive would result from use of the east gate for travel to and from the site via South Park Drive.
- Although Brookmoor will add trips to what would remain a cul-de-sac for the public street portion and the residents along South Park Drive, the projected average daily volume of about 137 vehicles per day would be lower than the hypothetical volume of a typical cul-de-sac serving 25 dwelling units (the County maximum number of dwelling units on a cul-de-sac) about 240 vehicles per day.
- Based on the alternate analysis ("sensitivity" analysis), the volume on South Park Drive would be 167 vehicle trips per day.
- South Park Drive is a 24 -foot-paved-width County Local roadway (although some spot field measurements indicate the roadway in its current condition is a relatively narrow 20-22-foot width in spots). The anticipated total traffic volume with additional use allowed by Brookmoor residents will remain within a range that can be handled by a roadway of this width. Infrequent use of the public right-of-way for on-street parking generally allows for use of the entire roadway width for travel, allowing two moving passenger vehicles to pass each other in opposite directions of travel.
- Infrequently, with the occasional vehicle parked on the street or when pedestrians use the street, drivers may need to slow significantly or stop and wait for a vehicle in the opposite direction to pass. This is reasonable for a roadway of this length, function, and low volume.
- South Park Drive is similar to other roadways within Woodmoor - rural, relatively narrow 24foot paved cross sections with no sidewalks, serving higher-than-rural housing density development.


## THE PUD AMENDMENT WOULD BE A BENEFIT RELATIVE TO TRAFFIC SAFETY IN THE AREA

- The projected volume increase would not result in volume at levels on South Park that would create an over capacity condition or a traffic safety problem.
- Allowing the connection (and associated increase in traffic on South Park) will have a benefit that far outweighs the marginal impact of an additional 42 vehicles per day.
- The PUD Amendment would result in more effective utilization of County road infrastructure (South Park Drive) to distribute peak traffic, improve circulation in the area and provide connectivity of roadways.
- The PUD Amendment would give Brookmoor residents another travel route option. This additional option would enhance safety, as it would likely provide an alternative (especially beneficial during peak periods) to the Moveen Heights/Lake Woodmoor route involving the westbound left turn at Woodmoor Drive. Providing alternatives for motorists to choose routes to avoid peak congestion and to select routes that, based on individual driver preferences/capabilities, are safer and/or more "user-friendly." Route choice will depend on driver preferences and factors such as balancing travel distance/time, tendency to avoid difficult turning movements or pockets of peak-period congestion etc.
- The PUD Amendment will help the overall system by relieving - to a minor extent because the difference in volume isn't high - peak-period congestion and delay on intersection approaches/turning movements. Notably, the westbound left turn at Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor during school peak travel times.
- This change would result in a reduction in trips currently traveling past the elementary school - again, to a minor extent, because the difference in volume is not high.
- It is not only reasonable, but prudent to allow this change to better utilize an existing County road. The Tri-lakes area is seeing nearly unprecedented growth and resulting increases in traffic volumes. The area transportation infrastructure is seeing (and has seen) significantly increased demand. For example, between 2005 and 2018, Woodmoor Drive PM peak-hour traffic south of Lake Woodmoor has increased by a factor of 1.67 and the AM peak-hour traffic has increased by a factor of 1.4. It would be unrealistic and not in the overall best interest of the public not to allow this request simply to avoid any increase in traffic on South Park Drive. This proposed PUD Amendment provides an opportunity to optimize use of County road infrastructure while improving connectivity and providing route options for Brookmoor residents that will enhance safety.
- The safety and utilization of the system will be most prevalent during peak times. It is important to note that during off-peak travel times, there would be less of a tendency to alter travel routes for most Brookmoor residents. Moveen Heights to Woodmoor Drive is still the shortest distance to/from most destinations.
- Although the traffic will increase somewhat on South Park to achieve these benefits, there is available capacity.


## SECTION 2 - LAND USE AND ACCESS

## 2.1 - CURRENT \& BUILDOUT LAND USE AND APPLICATION FOR PUD AMENDMENT

Opened in 1995, the Brookmoor Estates subdivision is a gated community with 59 lots for singlefamily homes. Homes have been built on all but two of the lots. Currently, the community has one full-use access. Moveen Heights at Lake Woodmoor is an existing gated access on the west end of Lake Woodmoor Drive about one-quarter mile northeast of Woodmoor Drive. There is an additional gated, emergency-vehicle-only access on the east side of the subdivision at the terminus of Symphony Heights (a private street). South Park Drive, a public County Road, extends east from the east gate across Knollwood Drive and terminates near the east end of Lake Woodmoor Drive.

The Brookmoor HOA is proposing conversion of the emergency-only gate between Symphony Heights and South Park Drive to a resident-only gate. The gate location is depicted in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Gate Location


East-gate access would be controlled by an RFID and transponder system and would not be used by guests, service vehicles, or any other non-resident vehicles except for emergency vehicles. Emergency services will be able to access the community via Knox Box as requested. The Knox Box will be mounted on the exterior side of the stone gate column. Two transponders would be issued per household (118 transponders) plus a transponder available for emergency services.

## 2.2 - NEIGHBORING SUBDIVISION HISTORY

The adjoining ten lots along South Park Drive (Lots 1-5, Block 9, on the north side and Lots 1-4, Block 10, on the south side) were originally platted in Harmon Hills Filing No. 2, which was recorded October 28, 1963. Road rights of way are dedicated to public use and all are 60 feet
wide. South Park Drive ended with no turn around, obviously planned (and approved) that way to continue westerly to serve the adjoining property. Lots are about half an acre.

Knollwood Estates Filing No 1 was recorded February 11, 1959. It was somehow "renamed" Harmon Hills Filing No 1 - though it is believed that happened casually and unofficially somewhat later. The plat of Knollwood Estates Filing No. 1 was vacated in its entirety May 23, 1962 and replatted the same day into Harmon Hills Filing No. 1. Lots and roads were unchanged. The purpose of the replat was evidently to legitimize the name and create utility easements along the lot lines. Again, the lots would have the same 60-foot ROW and about half acre lots.

Just for context, the United States Air Force Academy was begun in 1959. Woodmoor started platting in 1959. Wakonda Hills on the west side of Interstate 25 (I-25) was platted in 1959, and so were several others in this northern part of the County. All have dedicated 60 -foot road ROWs, no curb and gutter, no sidewalks. Many of those roads were planned for extension into other subdivisions in the future. Pavement widths are 20-24 feet wide.

El Paso County first instituted zoning in 1955. The project team is unaware of the engineering standards (if any) in place in 1959.

According to the County Road System inventory (El Paso County Road System - 2019 report), the section of South Park Drive west of Knollwood Drive was accepted by El Paso County (by Resolution) in 1980. Additional detail is provided in Section 3.1.

## 2.3 - BROOKMOOR ESTATES DEMOGRAPHICS

Although Brookmoor Estates is not an "age-restricted" community (over 55, for example), it is an "age-targeted" community with a high percentage of retired residents. This is unlikely to change significantly in the future due to the covenants for the community. The covenants are such that the community would remain attractive for retirees, and less attractive for families with children or lower-income families. The following are specific reasons:

- Gated Community;
- Minimal common area parks within areas for children to play;
- Small lots and yards;
- There is to be no overnight parking on the streets and no fences on any property or play equipment such as swing sets in the yards;
- The HOA provides landscape maintenance and snow removal for all residents.
- The above, along with security and maintenance of private street infrastructure, results in the need for rather high monthly assessments for all residents.


## SECTION 3 - EXISTING CONDITIONS

## 3.1 - EXISTING AREA ROADWAYS

- State Highway 105 is a Principal Arterial adjacent to the site. Locally, SH 105 extends east from Palmer Lake to State Highway 83. The westbound posted speed limits on SH 105 are 50 miles per hour (mph) east of Knollwood Drive and 40 mph west of Knollwood Drive. SH 105 currently has two through lanes in each direction between the southbound I-25 ramp and Jackson Creek Parkway and one through lane in each direction east of Jackson Creek Parkway. The Highway 105 Corridor Study Corridor Preservation Plan for EI Paso County Department of Public Services dated November 2012 (Revised May 2013) shows SH 105 expanded to two lanes in each direction between Knollwood Drive and Lake Woodmoor Drive. The current design plans reflect this. Based on information provided by El Paso County, this expansion project will occur in the short-term future. SH 105 is a primary service roadway.
- Lake Woodmoor Drive extends east from Woodmoor Drive (near the I-25/SH 105 interchange) to Doewood Drive and then continues east and south before intersecting with SH 105. Lake Woodmoor Drive is classified as a Collector road on the El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) and has a speed limit of 30 mph . Lake Woodmoor Drive has one through lane in each direction and is a primary service roadway.
- Woodmoor Drive extends north from SH 105 between the I-25 off-ramps to Doewood Drive and then continues east to Furrow Road. Woodmoor Drive is classified as a Collector on the El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) and has a speed limit of 30 mph . Woodmoor Drive has one through lane in each direction and is a primary service roadway.
- Knollwood Drive is a two-lane Collector extending north from Quarry Way to SH 105 where it continues north to White Fawn Drive as Knollwood Boulevard. The SH 105/Knollwood Drive intersection is signalized. Knollwood Drive is a secondary service roadway.
- South Park Drive is a paved, local, secondary service roadway. The cross section of South Park Drive is considered "rural" as it was not constructed with curb, gutter, and sidewalks as with an "urban" street. Stormwater is conveyed via roadside ditch sections rather than a storm sewer system as with urban streets. The El Paso County Road Inventory (Figure 3 below shows a clip from this document) indicates that South Park Drive west of Knollwood is a 24 -footwide, paved, Local roadway within a 60-foot right-of-way. This is consistent with many of the other roadways within Woodmoor. The County inventory indicates that the County maintains 375' of South Park Drive west of Knollwood. This length represents only half the distance to the cul-de-sac. This west section is presumed to be maintained by El Paso County as well.

Figure 3: South Park Drive Details (from the County Road System Inventory)


## South Park Drive Additional Details

Other design elements of the existing South Park Drive include:

- South Park Drive is a 24 -foot-paved-width County Local roadway per the County road inventory above (although some spot field measurements indicate the roadway in its current condition is a relatively narrow 20-22-foot width in spots). This is consistent with many other roadways within Woodmoor;
- No shoulders;
- No sidewalks;
- Roadside ditch sections to convey stormwater;
- Centerline radius: The estimated existing roadway centerline radius for the horizontal curve northwest of Knollwood/South Park Drive is 200-225 feet;
- There is no posted speed limit sign on South Park Drive. Therefore, the default speed limit is 30 mph .
- On Street Parking: There are no posted restrictions to on-street parking, and that is consistent with EPC code. Parking is allowed on El Paso County local roadways and streets. However, the sizes of the developed lots are relatively large compared to urban standard lots. Many of the properties along South Park Drive have horseshoe/long driveways. Field observations indicate infrequent use of on-street parking. Initial observations found one vehicle parked on the street during the time period of one week. Additional data, shown in the table below, were collected in July 2019.

Table 1: Parking Data for South Park Drive

| Date | Time | Cars Parked <br> On-Street | Date | Time | Cars Parked <br> On-Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 / 2 / 2019$ | 6:00 AM | 0 | $7 / 7 / 2019$ | $9: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 |
| $7 / 4 / 2019$ | $8: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | $7 / 7 / 2019$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 |
| $7 / 4 / 2019$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | $7 / 7 / 2019$ | $5: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 |
| $7 / 5 / 2019$ | $7: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | $7 / 8 / 2019$ | $8: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 |
| $7 / 6 / 2019$ | $9: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | $7 / 8 / 2019$ | $3: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 |
| $7 / 6 / 2019$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | $7 / 9 / 2019$ | $8: 05 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 |
| $7 / 6 / 2019$ | $2: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | $7 / 9 / 2019$ | $6: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 |
| $7 / 6 / 2019$ | $5: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | $7 / 10 / 2019$ | $5: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 |

## 3.2 - PAVEMENT CONDITIONS

Roadway conditions and functional classifications throughout the County are outlined in the El Paso County Road System - 2019 report. Per this road-conditions report, "paved" refers to a roadway with a mixed bituminous surface or bituminous penetration road on a flexible base.

State Highway 105 has a paved roadway surface west of I-25 until 560 feet east of Roller Coaster Road. Maintenance of Highway 105 in the vicinity of this site was transferred from CDOT to El Paso County in 2007. The most recent surface improvement date is not available in the EI Paso County Road System - 2019 report.

Lake Woodmoor Drive has a "paved" surface type between Woodmoor Drive and SH 105. The stretch of Lake Woodmoor Drive from Woodmoor Drive to St. Andrews Drive was paved in 2009, while Lake Woodmoor Drive was most recently paved in 2007 between St. Andrew Drive and SH 105.

Knollwood Boulevard/Drive is classified as paved Local roadway north of SH 105 until it ends at a cul-de-sac approximately 250 feet west of White Fawn Drive. The section between Highway 105 and Lake Woodmoor Drive most recent surface improvement was a 2-inch overlay in 2015.

South Park Drive has a "paved" surface type from 375 feet west of Knollwood Drive to its intersection with Lake Woodmoor Drive. West of Knollwood Drive, South Park Drive was most recently paved in 2000, according to the El Paso County Road System - 2019 report.

## 3.3-BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

Paved sidewalks currently exist on the north side of SH 105 between the I-25 northbound off-ramp and west of the I-25 overpass. No dedicated bike lanes are found on SH 105.

Pedestrian sidewalks are located on the west side of Woodmoor Drive north of SH 105 until approximately 570 feet north of its intersection with Lake Woodmoor Drive. The south side of Woodmoor Drive has a paved sidewalk between Woodmoor Drive and Moveen Heights.

Approximately 200 feet of paved sidewalk currently exists on the east side of Knollwood Boulevard, north of SH 105. No other bicycle or pedestrian facilities exist on Knollwood Boulevard between its intersection with South Park Drive or SH 105. The signals at SH 105/Knollwood Drive and SH 105/Woodmoor Drive provide pedestrian/bicycle crossing phases. South Park Drive, with its originally constructed rural cross-section, does not have sidewalks. Note that neither Lake Woodmoor Drive nor many other roads within Woodmoor have sidewalks.

## 3.4 - EXISTING INTERSECTION CHARACTERISTICS

## State Highway 105/Woodmoor Drive

This is a signalized intersection, with auxiliary turn lanes on all approaches. The posted speed limit on SH 105 is 45 mph , while the posted speed limit on Woodmoor Drive is 30 mph .

Per criteria in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), auxiliary left-turn deceleration lanes should be 565 feet long, consisting of a 155 -foot full-width lane length, 250 feet of storage, and 160 feet of taper length. Due to spatial constraints between the I-25 southbound on-ramp and Woodmoor Drive, the eastbound dual left-turn lane on SH 105 at Woodmoor Drive cannot be lengthened to meet ECM minimum requirements.

Per criteria in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), auxiliary right-turn deceleration lanes should be 315 feet long, consisting of a 155 -foot full-width lane length and 160 feet of taper length. The westbound right-turn deceleration lane is 400 feet long, which meets the minimum ECM-required total lane length from Table 2-24.

The southbound right-turn and dual left-turn deceleration lanes are 260 feet long, which meet the minimum 235-foot ECM -required total lane length from Table 2-24.

## State Highway 105/Knollwood Drive

All approaches currently have exclusive left- and right-turn deceleration lanes at the signalized intersection of SH 105/Knollwood Drive. The posted speed limit on SH 105 is 45 mph , while the posted speed limit on Knollwood Drive is 30 mph .

Both the eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes on SH 105 meet the ECM minimum-required length of 565 feet. Right-turn deceleration lanes on both approaches on SH 105 meet the ECM minimum-required total lane length of 400 feet.

Due to spatial constraints on Knollwood Drive between SH 105 and Village Ridge Point, the southbound left-turn lane on Knollwood Drive at SH 105 cannot be lengthened to meet ECM minimum requirements.

## Lake Woodmoor Drive/Woodmoor Drive

The center painted median on the north leg of the intersection is currently striped as a 100 -foot-long southbound left-turn lane and 25 feet of taper (gap in striping). North of this point, the center painted median is striped as a center, two-way left-turn lane. This striped left-turn lane can be utilized by southbound traffic turning left onto into the access north of Lake Woodmoor Drive or onto Lake Woodmoor Drive. This lane continues on the south side of the intersection and ends at the Highway 105 intersection as the inside (No. 1) left-turn lane (of two) for left turns to eastbound Highway 105. There are two southbound through lanes. Lane number 1 aligns with the outside (No. 2) left-turn lane (of two) for left turns to eastbound Highway 105. The number 2 through lane is used for southbound traffic turning right at the Highway 105/Woodmoor Drive intersection. There is striping for what appears to be a right-turn acceleration/deceleration lane between the two park ' $n$ ride access points. However, there is likely negligible traffic turning right into the south access as it is restricted to transit vehicles only.

The northbound approach is two lanes. The outside lane functions both as a merge lane for traffic using the dual eastbound left-turn lane from SH 105 and as a continuous right-turn lane for access points (and the Lake Woodmoor intersection) between SH 105 and the north bank access (on the northeast corner of the intersection).

## Lake Woodmoor Drive/Moveen Heights

Auxiliary left- and right-turn lanes are not required based on ECM criteria and long-term projected traffic volumes at the intersection of Lake Woodmoor Drive/Moveen Heights.

## Knollwood Drive/South Park Drive

Auxiliary left- and right-turn lanes are not required at the intersection of Knollwood Drive/South Park Drive based on ECM criteria and long-term projected traffic volumes.

## 3.5 - INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

## Moveen Heights/Lake Woodmoor Drive Intersection

The horizontal alignment of Lake Woodmoor Drive in the vicinity of Moveen Heights was recently adjusted to improve the intersection sight distance at this intersection.

## Knollwood/South Park Drive Intersection

LSC field-measured the sight distance to/from the north and south from the eastbound approach (west leg) of this intersection. The sight distance to/from the south is about 450 feet. This is based on a line of sight which passes across a lot on the inside of the horizontal curve to the south. The sight distance based on a line of sight remaining within the ROW is about 370 feet.

## 3.6-INTERSECTION ACCIDENT HISTORY

The Colorado State Patrol provided LSC with a three-year accident history at the following intersections:

- Woodmoor Drive/SH 105
- SH 105/Knollwood, and
- Lake Woodmoor Drive/Woodmoor Drive.

The detailed crash history reports are attached as an Appendix A, for reference.

## Lake Woodmoor Drive/Woodmoor Drive

Based on crash data for the three-year period ending February 2019 at this intersection, three or fewer accidents per year have been reported at the intersection of Woodmoor Drive/Lake Woodmoor Drive during each year since 2016. Of these six total crashes, four had the potential to have been avoided if the intersection were signalized, while the remaining two accidents were due to careless driving from following too closely. Two crashes in 2016 and three crashes in 2018 were reported which involved the westbound to southbound left-turn movement.

## Woodmoor Drive/State Highway 105 and State Highway 105/Knollwood

The majority of reported crashes at these signalized intersections were rear-end crashes, which is often the case at signalized intersections. There were a smaller number of left-turn-related crashes, with no apparent pattern.

## 3.7-CURRENT BASELINE INTERSECTION TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Vehicular turning-movement counts were conducted from 6:30-8:30 a.m. and from 4:00-6:00 p.m. at the following intersections. Raw count data is attached:

- SH 105/Woodmoor Drive - Thursday, November 1, 2018 and Tuesday, November 6, 2018
- Lake Woodmoor Drive/Woodmoor Drive - Thursday, March 8, 2018
- SH 105/Knollwood Boulevard - Thursday, October 18, 2018

Figures 4 and 5 show the baseline turning-movement volumes at the study-area intersection for the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively which were used to analyze traffic operational performance.


Figure 4
Current Baseline Traffic AM Peak Hour


Figure 5
Current Baseline Traffic PM Peak Hour

## 3.8 - BROOKMOOR ENTRY AND EXIT TRAFFIC COUNT DATA COLLECTED

Three days of 24 -hour surveillance video footage at the Brookmoor Estates access gate on Moveen Heights was reviewed to determine the number of vehicles entering and exiting using this access during peak hours, as summarized in Table 2. The complete set of raw data collected are attached as an appendix item, for reference.

Video footage revealed that between 226 and 280 vehicles entered and exited the property (combined) during each 24 -hour midweek period. During the average morning peak hour of adjacent street traffic (which usually occurs between 6:30 a.m. and 9:00 a.m.), 5 vehicles entered the community via Moveen Heights while 10 vehicles exited. On average, 14 vehicles entered the community via Moveen Heights while 9 vehicles exited during the average evening peak hour, which generally occurs from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. The recorded average daily traffic (ADT) was 254 vehicles per day.

Table 2: Summary of Traffic Count Data from Surveillance Video

| Day | Date | A.M. Peak |  | P.M. Peak |  | ADT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  |
| Tuesday | $08 / 28 / 2018$ | 5 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 255 |
| Wednesday | $08 / 29 / 2018$ | 3 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 226 |
| Thursday | $08 / 30 / 2018$ | 7 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 280 |
| Midweek Average |  | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ |

Figure 6 also shows the recorded count results. The arrows in the circles next to the count numbers represent the direction/path of the vehicle turning movements.


## 3.9-INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE

Intersection Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection and is indicated on a scale from " $A$ " to " $F$." LOS A is indicative of little congestion or delay. LOS F indicates a high level of congestion or delay. Table 3 shows the level of service delay ranges for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 3: Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized <br> Intersections | Unsignalized <br> Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Control Delay <br> (Seconds per Vehicle) | Average Control Delay <br> (Seconds per Vehicle) ${ }^{1}$ |
| A | $\leq 10.0$ | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | $10.1-20.0$ | $10.1-15.0$ |
| C | $20.1-35.0$ | $15.1-25.0$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0$ | $25.1-35.0$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0$ | $35.1-50.0$ |
| F | $\geq 80.1$ | $\geq 50.1$ |
| 1 <br> For unsignalized intersections, if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ <br> regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle |  |  |

The following intersections were analyzed in Synchro using signalized method of analysis procedures from the Highway Capacity Manual, 2010 Edition to determine the projected control delay and corresponding levels of service for the key turning movements (Synchro is a widely used and accepted traffic-analysis software program):

- Woodmoor Drive/Lake Woodmoor Drive (long-term alternative only)
- SH 105/Woodmoor Drive
- SH 105/Knollwood Drive

Two-way stop-sign-controlled (TWSC) intersection analysis included delay and LOS calculations for the major street approaches and the minor street approaches incur delay given the stop-sign intersection control. The following intersections were analyzed in Synchro using the unsignalized method of analysis procedures from the Highway Capacity Manual, 2010 Edition:

- Knollwood Drive/South Park Drive
- Lake Woodmoor Drive/Moveen Heights

Table 4 shows the calculated peak-hour levels of service for the existing baseline volumes.

Table 4: Short-Term Baseline Levels of Service


As shown in Table 4, study-area intersection levels of service are generally "D" or better. The calculated peak-hour LOS for the westbound left turn at the intersection of Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor is " $F$," which indicates average vehicle delays of over 50 seconds per vehicle.

The morning peak period delay and associated level of service at the intersection of Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor is significantly affected by peak traffic generated by area schools. To demonstrate this, morning level of service analysis has also been run for several fifteen-minute periods of time outside of the highest school traffic periods. This has been completed by converting 15 -minute volumes to an equivalent hourly flowrate.

The count data suggests middle school peaks from about 7:00 a.m. and 7:35 a.m. (may begin at just before 7:00 a.m.) and the elementary school peaks between 8:20 to 8:45 a.m. and 8:45 to 9:00 a.m.

Table 5 below shows the high variability in delay for the westbound left-turn movement:
Table 5: Comparison of Traffic Volume, Delay and LOS by Time Period Woodmoor/Lake Woodmoor Intersection Existing Baseline Condition

| Time Period | Interval | Combined <br> North/ South <br> Approach <br> Volume | Westbound Left turn Volume | Entire <br> Intersection <br> Volume ${ }^{3}$ | Multiplier ${ }^{1}$ | Entire <br> Intersection - <br> Equivalent <br> Combined 60 <br> min Volume <br> (veh/hr) | Combined <br> Entire <br> Intersection <br> Hourly <br> Flowrate ${ }^{4}$ <br> (Veh/hr) | WB LT <br> Delay ( $\mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB } \\ & \text { LT } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak (7:00-8:00 am) | 60 min* | 1358 | 67 | 1458 | 1 | 1458 | 1875 | 98 | F |
| 7:40 am - 7:55 am | 15 min | 204 | 25 | 233 | 4 | 932 | 1019 | 20.2 | C |
| 8:00 am - 8:15 am | 15 min | 196 | 22 | 221 | 4 | 884 | 967 | 15.9 | C |
| 9:00 am - 9:15 am | 15 min | 191 | 39 | 237 | 4 | 948 | 1041 | 24.4 | C |
| PM Peak | 60 min* | 1353 | 180 | 1584 | 1 | 1584 | 2045 | > $300^{2}$ | F |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Note: although the interval is for the 60 min peak hour period, vehicle flowrates and resulting LOS reflect the "worst case" fifteen minute interval within that hour period. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Multiplier is 4 for converting 15 min volume to equivalent 60 min volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ HCM Calculated delay exceeds 300 sec . per vehicle. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Includes westbound right turns too |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ After applying peak hour factors (PHFs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The 7:40-7:55 and 8:00-8:15 (and 9:00-9:15 a.m.) time periods show significantly lower vehicle flowrates than the peak 15 minutes of the morning (and afternoon peak hours). This demonstrates that the morning peak hour LOS F-levels of delay primarily occur during the peak school traffic periods.

### 3.10 - TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS - WOODMOOR DRIVE/LAKE WOODMOOR DRIVE

The intersection of Woodmoor/Lake Woodmoor has been analyzed using an initial level of service analysis to determine if this intersection may be close to meeting a Traffic-Signal Warrant(s). Traffic-signal warrants are national standards contained in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). Appendix B contains a description of all the MUTCD Warrants.

The combination of major-street approach volumes (includes the sum of northbound and southbound approach volumes) and minor-street left-turn volumes (eastbound approach volume) were analyzed to determine if the combination would exceed the threshold criteria for Four-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrants and applicable other warrants in the 2009 MUTCD.

Four separate one-hour periods within the following morning and late-afternoon/evening periods have been analyzed:

- 7:00-8:00 a.m.
- 8:00-9:00 a.m.
- 4:00-5:00 p.m.
- 5:00-6:00 p.m.

Four-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant thresholds have been reached or exceeded, based on the volume data collected during the morning peak period ( 2 hours) and the late afternoon peak period (2 hours).

Detailed analysis of all applicable signal warrants should be evaluated prior to signalization. The satisfaction of warrants does not indicate that a signal must be installed. The decision to require a signal to be installed rests with the County.

## Four Hour Volume Warrant

Figure 7 shows the warrant analysis for the current baseline condition.

## Woodmoor \& Lake Woodmoor

## Count Data - AM \& PM Peak Periods

Figure 4C-1. Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume

*Note: 115 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

Analysis, based on the count data, the combination of minor and major street intersection volumes do not exceed the hourly-volume thresholds for all four volume-data points, which would suggest a four-hour volume warrant is not met. The afternoon peak-hour data points exceed the threshold, but the morning peak-hour data points do not exceed the threshold. All four hours (or at least four including other hours of the day) must exceed the threshold in order for the warrant to be satisfied.

## Crash Experience Warrant

Accident history can influence the need and timing of a future traffic signal at an intersection. "Warrant 7, Crash Experience" of the MUTCD defines conditions which must be met for a trafficcontrol signal to be warranted, due to the severity and frequency of crashes at a currentlyunsignalized intersection. Section 3.6 above presents the crash history. For this intersection, LSC reviewed the details of the historical crash data relative to Condition B below.

Per Section 4C. 08 of the MUTCD, the need for a traffic-control signal shall be considered if an engineering study finds that all of the following criteria are met:
A. Adequate trial of alternatives with satisfactory observance and enforcement has failed to reduce the crash frequency; and
B. Five or more reported crashes, of types susceptible to correction by a traffic control signal, have occurred within a 12-month period, each crash involving personal injury or property damage apparently exceeding the applicable requirements for a reportable crash; and
C. For each of any 8 hours of an average day, the vehicles per hour (vph) given in both of the 80 percent columns of Condition A in Table 4C-1 (see Section 4C.02), or the vph in both of the 80 percent columns of Condition B in Table 4C-1 exists on the major-street and the higher-volume minor-street approach, respectively, to the intersection, or the volume of pedestrian traffic is not less than 80 percent of the requirements specified in the Pedestrian Volume warrant. These major-street and minor-street volumes shall be for the same 8 hours. On the minor street, the higher volume shall not be required to be on the same approach during each of the 8 hours.

Based on review of the data, Condition B for "Warrant 7, Crash Experience" is not satisfied at the intersection of Lake Woodmoor Drive/Woodmoor Drive.

Note: Significant growth/development in El Paso County has been occurring in the past year. However, the COVID-19 pandemic has affected traffic volumes and travel patterns. Updated traffic data would best be obtained by the County after the effects of COVID-19 are lower (such as when D-38 schools return to normal, full, in-person classes). New data may indicate a four-hour warrant (or Crash Experience Warrant) may be met at this intersection.

## SECTION 4 - BROOKMOOR ESTATES TRIP GENERATION

## 4.1 - TRIP GENERATION INTRODUCTION

LSC has evaluated the current and projected buildout vehicle-trip generation of Brookmoor Estates.

Vehicle trip generation of a development/land use is essentially the total number of vehicles entering and exiting during specified, key time periods. Trip generation represents the total combined number of vehicle trips entering and exiting at all access points. Trip generation is typically expressed in terms of "vehicles per day" for daily trip generation (24-hour period) and "vehicles per hour" for the peak-hour trip generation. Typically, peak-hour trip generation is provided for the morning and late afternoon "commuter" peak-hours.

Traffic studies for new developments are typically required to base trip-generation estimates on nationally-published trip-generation rates from the Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, 2017 published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). El Paso County requires use of ITE rates, and the technical analysis in this report has been based on ITE-rate-based trip-generation estimates as required by the County. Note: since Brookmoor has existed for 25 years, more reliable, site-specific trip data can actually be obtained and could be used (and was, in the previous version of the report).

## ITE Land Use Designation (for purposes of Estimating Trip Generation)

ITE trip-generation rates for various land uses are categorized by ITE Land Use designation. Examples of ITE Land use designations are "Shopping Center," "Single Family Detached Housing," and "Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Through." LSC has selected ITE land use 251 "Senior Adult Housing (detached)" as the "best fit" ITE Land use designation for Brookmoor Estates. The ITE trip-generation rates published for this ITE land use designation have been used for the tripgeneration estimate. This land use category has been selected for use for this community (versus land use 220-Single Family Detached Housing). Use of ITE Land Use 251 trip-generation rates is based on several site-specific factors and demographic characteristics as presented in Section 2.3. The selection of this land use category as the "best fit" ITE category for Brookmoor Estates has been validated/confirmed using actual trip-generation data collected.

## 4.2 - TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATE (BASED ON ITE RATES)

Estimates of the vehicle-trips generated by Brookmoor Estates have been estimated using trip-generation rates from Trip Generation, 10th Edition, 2017 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). As explained above in section 4.1, these trip estimates have been developed using ITE trip-generation rates for ITE land use 251 "Senior Adult Housing (detached)." The demographics of Brookmoor Estates are consistent with ITE Land use 251. This is unlikely to
change significantly in the future due to the covenants for the community. The covenants are such that the community would remain attractive for retirees, and less attractive for families with children or lower-income families.

Table 6 shows the average weekday and peak-hour trip-generation estimates, including trip-generation estimates for the current 57 existing homes, as well as for buildout ( 59 homes).

Table 6: Detailed Trip Generation Estimate

| ITE |  | Value | Units ${ }^{1}$ | Trip Generation Rates |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average <br> Weekday |  | A.M. |  | P.M. |  | Average Weekday | A.M. |  | P.M. |  |
| Code | Description |  |  |  | In | Out | In |  | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRIP GENERATION OF EXISTING CONDITIONS (57 HOMES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Generation Based on ITE Rates ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251 | Senior Adult Housing - Detached | 57 | DU | 6.02 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 343 | 9 | 18 | 19 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Generation Based on Data Collected ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251 | Senior Adult Housing - Detached | 57 | DU | - | - | - | - | - | 254 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diffe | rence | -89 | -4 | -8 | -5 | -3 |
|  |  |  |  | Actual Tri | Perc | entag | of ITE | Trips | 74\% | 57\% | 56\% | 74\% | 74\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRIP GENERATION AT BROOKMOOR BUILDOUT (59 HOMES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Generation Based on ITE Rates ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251 | Senior Adult Housing - Detached | 59 | DU | 5.99 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 354 | 9 | 18 | 19 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Generation Based on Data Collected ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 251 | Senior Adult Housing - Detached | 59 | DU | 4.46 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 263 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diffe | rence | -91 | -4 | -8 | -5 | -3 |
|  |  |  |  | Actual Trip Percentage of ITE Trips |  |  |  |  | 74\% | 57\% | 56\% | 75\% | 75\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ DU = dwelling units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Source: Trip Generation, 10th Edition, 2017, by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Source: Please refer to Table 1 and attached raw data sheets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ITE Trip Generation - Existing (57 Homes)

Based on average ITE trip-generation rates and the current number of dwelling units, the calculated ITE trip generation for a 57-lot development is 343 vehicle trips on the average week-day. The calculated ITE-rate-based morning peak-hour trip generation (generally occurring for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m.) is 9 entering vehicles and 18 exiting vehicles. The calculated ITE-rate-based afternoon peak-hour trip generation (generally occurring for one hour between 4:00 and 6:00 p.m.) is 19 entering vehicles and 12 exiting vehicles.

## ITE Trip Generation - Buildout (59 Homes)

The table also includes the ITE-rate-based trip generation for buildout, including trip generation for two additional dwelling units. The daily buildout trip-generation estimate indicates 354 vehicle trips per day. The buildout peak-hour estimates are also shown in the table.

## 4.3- OBSERVED (ACTUAL) BROOKMOOR ESTATES TRIP GENERATION DATA

As summarized in Table 2, traffic counts from surveillance/security video footage revealed that between 226 and 280 vehicles entered and exited the property (combined) during each 24 -hour midweek period. Table 6 presents the daily and peak-hour observed trip generation based on the data collected. The community was 96 percent built out ( 57 Homes) at the time of the data collection.

## 4.4-COMPARISON BETWEEN ITE AND OBSERVED TRIP GENERATION

The actual data reflects the demographics of the community - almost all retirees and very few families with children reside in Brookmoor. Actual trip generation based on count data is lower than projections based on ITE rates for Land USE 251, however ITE rates have been used in all the traffic-volume estimates, level of service, and other calculations.

As shown in Table 6 the average of $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ vehicles per day represents 89 fewer trips than the ITE-rate-based trip-generation estimate.

During the average morning peak hour of adjacent street traffic, actual trip generation based on counts showed an average of 4 fewer entering and 8 fewer exiting vehicle trips than the ITE-ratebased trip-generation estimate.

During the average evening peak hour of adjacent street traffic, actual trip generation based on counts showed an average of 5 fewer entering and 3 fewer exiting vehicle-trips than the ITE rate-based trip-generation estimate.

## Validation of ITE Land Use Designation and Trip Rates

Since this development already exists (and is over 95 percent built out) and given the unique community demographics described in section 2.3 above. These data have been used to validate and confirm the selection of ITE Land use category 251 as the "best fit" ITE residential land use category and have been included in this report for reference.

The actual trip-generation data confirms that Brookmoor Trip generation is generally consistent with ITE estimates based on Land Use 251. The ITE estimated entering and exiting trips are slightly higher, but comparable to the trip generation based on collected data. Please refer to Table 6 for comparison.

Note: The traffic volume estimates and analysis in Sections 5, 6 and 7 have been based on ITE trip-generation estimates as required by staff and to be conservative.

## Composition of Trips Generated

ITE trip generation includes all vehicle trips entering and exiting. Trips include residents, guests, visitors, service provider vehicles, contractors, mail and package delivery vehicles, etc. ITE does not specify the breakdown of trips by residents versus all other trips. However, LSC has estimated this as it is an important component of this analysis. Only residents would have the option of travel through the back gate. Trips by non-residents, including personal visitors, deliveries, service vehicles, trash and recycling collections, snowplows, mail deliveries, vendors, etc. would not have the option to travel through the east gate and would continue only use the main gate.

## SECTION 5 - TRIP DISTRIBUTION \& TRIP ASSIGNMENT/ROUTING

## 5.1 - TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Traffic data collected indicated an observed directional split of 85 percent to/from the west on Lake Woodmoor Drive and 15 percent to/from the east on Lake Woodmoor Drive. This is based on all traffic entering and exiting the community (including non-resident trips such as service vehicles and package delivery).

The December 11, 2017 memo presented an estimated directional split of 90 percent of the total vehicle trips using the west gate and 10 percent using the east gate. This accounted for resident-only (with transponder) use of the east gate.

Table 7 shows the directional distribution and percentages of trips estimated to use each of the gates if the east gate is converted from an emergency-only access to an RFID-controlled, resident-only gate. Table 7 also shows the resulting calculated percentage of total Brookmoor-generated vehicle trips projected to use the west gate vs. the east gate, once opened. These distribution percentages are estimates by LSC based in-part on analysis using the Pikes Peak Area Council of Governments (PPACG) travel demand model and travel time analysis to/from various destinations.

Table 7: Directional Distribution by Trip Type and Trip Routing

| General Direction of Trip Origin/Destination | Directional Distribution Percentages | Percent of Trips Using East Gate |  |  | Percent of Trips Using Main Gate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | In \& Out Combined | In | Out | In \& Out Combined |
| I-25 South | 41\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 98\% | 99\% | 98\% |
| Downtown Monument | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| I-25 North | 11\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Woodmoor Drive North | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| SH 83 (North) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 99\% | 99\% | 99\% |
| Hwy 105 (East) | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 97\% | 95\% | 96\% |
| Knollwood S/O Hwy 105 | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% |
| Jackson Creek Parkway South | 15\% | 5\% | 10\% | 7\% | 95\% | 90\% | 93\% |

Appendix Table A-1 in Appendix A presents the detailed trip-distribution and trip routing calculations, for reference. Appendix Table A-2 is a worksheet with trip-purpose calculations and the estimate of percent resident-vehicle trips.

## 5.2 - TRIP ASSIGNMENT \& PUD AMENDMENT TRAFFIC

## Brookmoor-Generated-Traffic with Proposed Access Change

Figure 6 (section 3.8) presented a summary of the existing, baseline, and projected traffic (with the PUD Amendment) entering and exiting peak hour and daily traffic volumes at the Moveen Heights intersection with Lake Woodmoor Drive and at the intersection of South Park Drive/ Knollwood Drive.

The number of trips expected to use the east gate accounts for the resident-vehicle-only restriction on use of this gate. Table 8 below shows the resulting Brookmoor-Estates-generated trips projected to use the east gate.

Table 8: Brookmoor PUD Trip Assignment/Projections at the East Gate


Table 8 refers to Appendix Table A-2, which shows the adjustment factor calculation for resident trips only at the east gate applied to the daily total trip generation. The factor for peak hours has been estimated by LSC. These factors were applied to the daily and peak-hour total trip generation prior to the projection of trips to the east gate.

Based on the trip distribution and routing estimates shown in Table 7 and utilized in the trip-assignment calculations in Table 8, an estimated 42 vehicles per day would use the east gate for travel to and from Brookmoor Estates via South Park Drive. This would translate to an average of 3.5 vehicles per hour (based on 42 trips per day divided by 12 hours per day - assuming for purposes of this hourly average, that the 42 trips all occur during 12 "daytime" hours). LSC estimates 312 daily vehicle trips (the balance of the total trips generated by the Brookmoor Estates subdivision at buildout) would use the existing Moveen Heights gated entry on Lake

Woodmoor Drive. Figure 6 graphically depicts the peak-hour volume estimates from Table 8 projected for the east gate.

## Effect of Congestion at Woodmoor/Lake Woodmoor

The LSC projection is for 42 daily and four peak-hour vehicle trips to use South Park Drive.

EPC Staff asked whether a large fraction of Brookmoor's current traffic that turns west on Lake Woodmoor Drive (from Moveen Heights) would use the back gate. The shortest travel routes (time and distance) for the majority of trip destinations for exiting Brookmoor residents are via westbound Lake Woodmoor Drive from Moveen Heights. The majority of these trips turn left at Woodmoor/Lake Woodmoor (westbound to southbound) as part of the travel routes. If some residents do alter travel routes to avoid traffic congestion/delay, it would primarily be during peak traffic periods and not throughout the entire day, but this would be beneficial as it would remove trips from this periodically-congested intersection turning movement.

The left-turn delay at the Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor intersection (resulting in calculated LOS F for this turning movement) is part of total travel time, and average delay is significantly higher during peak periods (school peak periods and the afternoon peak hour) than during other times of the day. LSC conducted a LOS analysis between and immediately following the AM periods of peak school traffic to compare the effect of peak school traffic on the intersection delay. This analysis was presented in section 3.9. This analysis showed significantly lower delay and better level of service outside of the AM peak school traffic period.

Use of the back gate to avoid Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor despite the additional out of direction travel to access I-25 and areas west of I-25 translates not only to additional travel time due to additional distance, but also a travel route which passes through several additional signalized intersections along Highway 105 between Knollwood and Woodmoor Drive. Therefore, the attractiveness of a route shift to the back gate by most exiting Brookmoor residents will be significantly lower throughout most of the day/outside of peak periods at the Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor intersection. The intersection level of service would have virtually no effect on Brookmoor entering traffic during peak or off-peak periods.

## 5.3 - "SENSITIVITY" ANALYSIS

In response to EPC staff questions stated in the previous section, the following is a "sensitivity analysis" which is essentially a "what-if" study.

Hypothetically assuming 100\% of the Brookmoor residents will use the east gate to exit during the morning peak hour and for 2 hours up to and including the pm peak hour, the result would be 30 additional trips per day over the LSC estimates ( 13 in the AM peak hour and 9 vph for each hour between 4 and 6 pm ). This would result in an "hypothetical high estimate" of 72 vehicle trips per day (42 plus 30) added with the PUD amendment.

# SECTION 6 - RESULTING STUDY-AREA TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND LEVELS OF SERVICE 

## 6.1 - SHORT- AND LONG-TERM TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Figures 4,5 , and 8 through 13 show turning-movement volumes at the study-area intersections which were used to analyze traffic operational performance. These figures are presented in sets of two with the first in each set showing the morning peak-hour volumes and the second in each set showing the afternoon peak-hour volumes.

- Figure 1 and 5 - current baseline volumes (Section 3.7).
- Figures 8 and 9 - current baseline volumes adjusted for the PUD Amendment.
- Figures 10 and 11-2040 baseline/background volumes
- Figures 12 and 13-2040 total volumes adjusted for gate opening

Also, Figure 6 (in section 3.8) presents a summary of the baseline and projected (with the PUD Amendment) entering and exiting peak-hour and daily traffic volumes at the Moveen Heights intersection with Lake Woodmoor Drive and at the intersection of South Park Drive/ Knollwood Drive. These were utilized in developing the volumes in Figures 8 through 13.


Current Baseline + Site (with Amendment) Traffic


Figure 9
Current Baseline + Site (with Amendment) Traffic PM Peak Hour


Figure 10


Figure 11
2040 Baseline Traffic PM Peak Hour


Figure 12 2040 Background + Site (with Amendment) Traffic AM Peak Hour


Figure 13

# 2040 Background + Site (with Amendment) Traffic 

 PM Peak Hour
## 6.2 - PROJECTED LEVELS OF SERVICE

## Roadway Link Level of Service

Table 9 summarizes link levels of service for key roadways and segments in the vicinity of the study area. "Map 9: Existing and 2040 Traffic Volumes" of the El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) reports existing and 2040 ADTs on major roadway segments in the area. Existing and projected 2040 ADT volumes were then compared to their corresponding ECM design ADTs by roadway classification to determine the "link LOS." Knollwood Boulevard and Lake Woodmoor Drive are shown well over the design ADTs based on "rural" designations.

Table 9: Link Levels of Service for Key Roadways and Segments

| Roadway | Link Location | Segment | Through Lanes | Rural or Urban | 2040 MTCP Classification | ECM Design ADT by Classificaton | ADTs |  | \% of ECM Design ADT by Classification |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Existing | 2040 | Existing | 2040 |
| Highway 105 | East of | Woodmoor Drive | 4 | Urban | Principal Arterial | 40,000 | 18,200 | 31,700 | 46\% | 79\% |
|  | West of |  |  |  |  |  | 26,000 | 32,300 | 65\% | 81\% |
| Highway 105 | East of | Knollwood <br> Boulevard | 4 | Urban | Principal <br> Arterial | 40,000 | 11,500 | 19,000 | 29\% | 48\% |
|  | West of |  |  |  |  |  | 15,000 | 22,500 | 38\% | 56\% |
| Knollwood Blvd. | North of | Knollwood Drive | 2 | Rural | Local | 750 | 3,000 | 3,750 | 400\% | 500\% |
| South Park Drive | West of | Knollwood Boulevard | 2 | Rural | Local | 750 | 100 | 140 | 13\% | 19\% |
| Lake <br> Woodmoor Drive | Northeast of | Moveen Heights | 2 | Rural | Minor Collector | 1,500 | 1,515 | 2,400 | 101\% | 160\% |
| Lake <br> Woodmoor Drive | East of | Woodmoor Drive | 2 | Rural | Minor Collector | 1,500 | 4,820 | 7,730 | 321\% | 515\% |

## Intersection Level of Service Analysis

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection and is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A is indicative of little congestion or delay. LOS F indicates a high level of congestion or delay. Table 3 (in Section 3.9) shows the level of service delay ranges or signalized and unsignalized intersections.

The following intersections were analyzed in Synchro using signalized method of analysis procedures from the Highway Capacity Manual, 2010 Edition to determine the projected control delay and corresponding levels of service for the key turning movements:

- Woodmoor Drive/Lake Woodmoor Drive (long-term alternative only)
- SH 105/Woodmoor Drive
- SH 105/Knollwood Drive

Two-way stop-sign-controlled (TWSC) intersection analysis included delay and LOS calculations for the major street approaches and the minor street approaches incur delay given the stop-sign
intersection control. The following intersections were analyzed in Synchro using the unsignalized method of analysis procedures from the Highway Capacity Manual, 2010 Edition:

- Knollwood Drive/South Park Drive
- Lake Woodmoor Drive/Moveen Heights

This analysis has been run for both background (without PUD amendment) and "Background + site" (with the PUD amendment) scenarios.

As presented in Table 10, the intersection of Woodmoor Drive/SH 105 is projected to operate at LOS C or better overall (entire intersection level of service) through the 2040 horizon year.

The westbound left-turn individual turning movement at the intersection of Lake Woodmoor Drive/Woodmoor Drive currently operates at LOS F during peak periods (during nearby school pickup and drop-off times and during the evening "commuter" peak hour). This report includes a signalized intersection level of service analysis (assuming the County converts this stop-signcontrolled intersection to a signalized intersection in the future) and the results project operation at LOS C or better overall (entire intersection level of service) through the 2040 horizon year. Additionally, all individual turning movements at this intersection would operate at LOS D or better, if the currently two-way stop-sign-controlled intersection of Lake Woodmoor Drive/Woodmoor Drive is converted to a signalized intersection in the long term.

Note: This project is not responsible for the construction of a traffic signal at this intersection.
Table 10: LOS Analysis Results (Part 1)

| Analysis Scenario | SH 105 + Woodmoor Dr |  |  |  |  | Woodmoor Dr + Lake Woodmoor Dr |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traffic <br> Control | Overall | EBL | SBR | SBL | Traffic Control | Overall | WBL | WBR | SBL |
|  |  |  | 1 | - | - |  |  | T | $L$ | 1 |
| A.M. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-Term Baseline | Signal | C | C | D | C | TWSC | - | F | B | A |
| Short-Term Baseline + Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2040 Background | TWSC ${ }^{1}$ | C | B | D | D | TWSC | - | F | B | B |
|  | Signal ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | Signal | A | D |  | A |
| 2040 Background + Site | TWSC ${ }^{1}$ | C | B | D | D | TWSC | - | F | B | B |
|  | Signal ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | Signal | A | D |  | A |
| P.M. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-Term Baseline | Signal | B | A | D | D | TWSC | - | F | B | B |
| Short-Term Baseline + Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2040 Background | TWSC ${ }^{1}$ | C | B | D | D | TWSC | - | F | B | B |
|  | Signal ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | Signal | B | D | A | A |
| 2040 Background + Site | TWSC ${ }^{1}$ | C | B | D | D | TWSC | - | F | B | B |
|  | Signal ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | Signal | B | D | A | A |
| ${ }^{1}$ Intersection of Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor remains two-way stop sign-controlled (TWSC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Intersection of Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor converted to signalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11 presents LOS analysis results for the following intersections:

- SH 105/Knollwood Drive (signalized)
- Lake Woodmoor Drive/Moveen Heights (two-way stop sign-controlled)
- Knollwood Drive/South Park Drive (two-way stop sign-controlled)

All individual turning movements at these three intersections currently operate and are projected to remain at LOS D or better through the 2040 horizon year. In particular, Knollwood/South park are predicted to remain at C or better.

The level of service essentially shows no change in level of service for area intersections when comparing background (without PUD amendment) and "Background + site" (with the PUD amendment).

Table 11: LOS Analysis Results (Part 2)

| Analysis Scenario | SH 105 + Knollwood Dr |  |  |  |  |  | Lake Woodmoor + Moveen Hgts |  |  |  | Knollwood Dr + South Park Dr |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traffic Control | Overall | EBL | WBL | NBL | SBL | Traffic Control | Overall | NW | SW | Traffic <br> Control | Overall | NW | NE | SW | SE |
|  |  |  | 1 | $L$ | $\cdots$ | $\square$ |  |  | $\sim$ | R |  |  | $x$ | Y | K | $X$ |
| A.M. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-Term Baseline | Signal | B | A | B | D | C | TWSC | - | A | A | TWSC | - | B | A | A | A |
| Short-Term Baseline + Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2040 Background |  | B | B | B | D | D |  |  | B | A |  |  | B | A | A | A |
| 2040 Background + Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P.M. Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-Term Baseline | Signal | B | A | B | D | C | TWSC | - | B | A | TWSC | - | C | A | A | A |
| Short-Term Baseline + Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2040 Background |  | B | A | C | D | D |  |  | B | A |  |  | B | A | A | A |
| 2040 Background + Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | B | A | A | A |

## SECTION 7 - EVALUATION OF ROADWAY TECHNICAL CRITERIA AND CAPACITY - SOUTH PARK DRIVE

LSC has evaluated the projected traffic volumes on South Park Drive associated with the proposed PUD Amendment to determine if the roadway can accommodate the additional traffic. The following presents the detailed evaluation, but LSC finds that the projected resulting total traffic volume on South Park Drive would remain within the carrying capacity of the roadway.

## 7.1 - PROJECTED VOLUMES

## Baseline

The projected background/baseline ADT on South Park Drive is estimated to be 95 vehicles per day where South Park meets Knollwood.

## Brookmoor PUD Amendment Additional Trips

The proposed PUD Amendment to allow limited use of the east gate for residents only would add 42 vehicles per day to South Park Drive based on site generated estimate shown in Figure 6 (in section 3.8). Note (for comparison/for reference): Using the sensitivity analysis "hypothetical high" estimate rather than the Figure 6 estimate, the added number of trips to South Park Drive would be 72.

## Resulting Total Volume

The estimated total (baseline plus Brookmoor PUD Amendment trips) following the proposed opening of the gate to resident vehicles only is projected to be about 137 vehicles per day. Note (for comparison/for reference): The total based on the "sensitivity analysis" results (assuming more peak period trips) is projected to be 167 vehicles per day.

## 7.2 - CROSS SECTION AND CLASSIFICATION

## El Paso County ECM Criteria

A summary of the ECM criteria relative to this PUD Amendment request:

1) El Paso County ECM does not include a "low-volume" Rural Local (paved) standard roadway classification, which would be more applicable to the current and projected ADT for South Park Drive; and
2) El Paso County does not provide alternate criteria to apply to additional traffic added to an "existing roadway" (versus new roadway construction as part of a new subdivision), as is the case in Teller County, for example.
3) The El Paso County Road Inventory book indicates that South Park Drive west of Knollwood is a 24 -foot-wide, paved, Local roadway within a 60-foot right-of-way. This is consistent with many of the other roadways within Woodmoor.

South Park Drive is a local, secondary service roadway and the cross section is considered "rural" as it was not constructed with curb, gutter, and sidewalks as with an urban street cross section. Stormwater runoff is conveyed via roadside ditch sections rather than a storm-sewer system as with urban streets.

However, based on the residential lot sizes along South Park Drive, the development is more consistent with urban development (based on County guidelines). This inconsistency applies to the Woodmoor area in general. In Woodmoor, roads originally built with rural cross sections are serving urban-density development. The point is, this is an existing condition with respect to the character of the Woodmoor community and in many locations, Woodmoor's "rural" roads are carrying well above the current ECM-standard design ADT for a rural road with a comparable cross section/pavement width. This is reflected in the "percentage of ECM design ADT by classification" in Table 9 for Lake Woodmoor Drive and Knollwood Boulevard.

The excerpt from the El Paso County Road Inventory book (Figure 3 in Section 3) indicates that South Park Drive west of Knollwood is a $\mathbf{2 4}$-foot-wide, paved, Local roadway within a 60 -foot right-of-way. These dimensions are consistent with many of the other roadways within Woodmoor.

Current ECM criteria indicate standard Rural Local roadways, constructed to current ECM standards, have a design ADT of 750 vehicles per day. The County ECM does not provide a "low-volume" tier of the Rural Local (paved) standard roadway, which if available as a separate classification, would be more applicable to the current and projected ADT for South Park Drive. Such a classification, if available, could likely allow for a narrower-street paved width. For comparison, the County ECM does include an Urban Local Low-Volume classification (as a lower "tier" of Urban Local) which has a design ADT of 300 vehicles per day or lower.

Also, the County ECM criteria does not distinguish between new/existing roads, although the MTCP does consider the point at which existing roads (primarily major roads) should be upgraded. Although ideally all roads should meet current standards, it is not practical (or in many cases possible), to upgrade all existing roadways to existing standards even when new nearby development or general growth increases trips on an existing roadway. The magnitude of increase may not necessitate upgrade of the roadway to current standards. Also, as long as the resulting traffic volumes remain under a reasonable threshold level that the existing roadway can carry (within the planning horizon), the existing roadway usually remains as is. This is true of many County roads.

Teller County criteria has a provision for distinguishing between new road construction (often with new development) and use of existing County roads. This is a beneficial provision, as
sometimes it is not practical or reasonable to force upgrade of existing roadways simply because it was built under previous criteria.

## Comparison to Roadway Criteria from Other Colorado Counties

LSC has researched and included roadway technical criteria for other Colorado Counties to assist with the determination of adequate roadway capacity. LSC has included these findings as follows:

Table 12 below presents extracts from roadway technical criteria for select local roads within some other Colorado counties. These have been provided for purposes of comparison to El Paso County. The following summarizes some Teller and Douglas County provisions that might apply to a situation similar to South Park drive.


## Teller County, Colorado

A comparison to the Teller County existing local road criteria shows some similarities. Teller County distinguishes existing versus new. Teller County "Existing Roadway" criteria call out a 24 -foot pavement width, but on-street parking is prohibited. A design or "max" ADT is not specified. We are not recommending that parking be prohibited along South Park Drive.

The Teller County cross-section does not allow for on-street parking. However, observations indicate use of South Park Drive for on-street parking by adjacent residents is very limited/infrequent and is, therefore, de facto no parking. Also, the El Paso County Urban Local Low Volume criteria calls out a 24 ' paved width (plus curb and gutter) with on-street parking allowed and a max ADT of 300 vehicles per day. The posted limit is 20 mph on this classification of street. Although this type of street has curb and gutter instead of roadside ditch sections, a comparison can be drawn with respect to available street width and ADT and allowance for on street parking. Also, lots are typically much smaller so there is often more use of on street parking.

## Douglas County and Summit County, Colorado

Both counties have established criteria for low-volume local roads with a paved width of 20 feet. The criteria indicate gravel shoulders of 2-3 feet and 1-foot, respectively. Neither allows on-street parking. The ADT range is 400-500 vehicles per day.

## LSC Finding

Based on the above evaluation and projected resulting total volume on South Park Drive, the roadway will be able to accommodate the additional traffic associated with the PUD Amendment. The projected resulting total projected traffic volume on South Park Drive will remain within the carrying capacity of the roadway, even based on the conservatively high volume hypothetically assumed in the sensitivity analysis in section 5.3.

## SECTION 8 - OTHER ANALYISIS/EVALUATION

EPC requested additional analysis of key intersections to determine if one or more traffic signals could be warranted or any other changes were needed. This section addresses these issues.

## 8.1 - WOODMOOR DRIVE/LAKE WOODMOOR DRIVE INTERSECTION

## Striping \& Laneage

Section 3.4 describes the existing striping and laneage at this intersection. Consideration could be given to minor restriping of the acceleration/deceleration lane between the north and south park ' $n$ ride access points to remove (or restripe as a narrower taper/shoulder) what appears to be a right-turn lane. This lane could be interpreted as an extension of the right-turn lane approaching Highway 105 - in which case both this lane and the No. 2 southbound through lane direct traffic into one downstream lane. Also, as striped, the transition/redirect distance appears short, although the tire wear on the pavement suggests that drivers tend to drive over the solid white stripe to accomplish this transition.

As generally southbound traffic keeps right in order to turn right at SH 105/Woodmoor and left to either turn left onto Lake Woodmoor or Highway 105, striping modifications are unlikely to have a significant change in intersection capacity.

The northbound approach is two lanes. The outside lane functions both as a merge lane for traffic using the dual eastbound left-turn lane from SH 105 and as a continuous right-turn lane for access points (and the Lake Woodmoor intersection) between SH 105 and the north bank access (on the northeast corner of the intersection). No changes to this turn-lane configuration are recommended, although when restriped consideration could be given to the use of a segment of short-dash, "drop lane" striping.

Due to the gas station access on the corner, there does not appear to be an opportunity for the addition of a northbound dedicated right-turn bay on Woodmoor Drive approaching Lake Woodmoor Drive. This improvement could be added in the future if/when redevelopment of the corner parcel occurs. The responsibility could potentially be the entity that might redevelop that parcel, or the entity might provide ROW or other accommodation to allow this lane to be constructed by the County and/or by future development served by Lake Woodmoor Drive. This has little to do with the Brookmoor request to open the east gate.

## Traffic-Signal Warrant Analysis

This analysis using the morning and evening peak-hour projected volumes is intended to provide an indication that a warrant may be met based on projected traffic volumes or might be close to being met. In order for a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant to be satisfied, the volume
threshold would need to be met for two additional hours of the day. For example, the four-hour warrant would be satisfied with the volume thresholds met for the one hour in the morning, two hours (instead of the one-hour peak) during the afternoon peak period, and the mid-afternoon peak hour (school peak hour). Given the uses currently served by this intersection and to be served in the future (additional non-residential uses), it is likely that additional hourly periods (data points) would meet the warrant thresholds shown in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD).

Analysis indicates that a traffic signal is likely to satisfy the MUTCD four-hour volume warrant in the future at the intersection of Woodmoor/Lake Woodmoor. This assessment is based on the projected 2040 peak-hour intersection volumes. Figure 14 shows the signal warrant analysis for 2040 baseline. Figure 7, previously presented in section 3, shows the chart for the current baseline. A four-hour volume warrant may be satisfied in the relatively short-term future based on extrapolated growth of the four hours of 2018 AM and PM count data.

It is important to note that the satisfaction of one, or more than one, of the MUTCD warrants does not indicate that County will or must install a signal at this intersection; rather, it indicates that installation of a signal could be an option to reduce intersection delay, mitigate a specific traffic safety issue, or other reason. Any consideration of signalization would likely be associated with the westbound left-turn movement (from the current Stop-Sign) from westbound Lake Woodmoor Drive to southbound Woodmoor Drive. The decision to signalize an intersection rests with the County, and the County may decide not to install a signal due to site-specific conditions, such as the proximity to the intersection of Highway 105/Woodmoor Drive and the T-intersection (w/west/fourth leg for transit only) configuration.

With respect to Brookmoor Estates, the estimated current Brookmoor volume represents about 11 percent of this turning movement during the morning peak hour and 4 percent during the afternoon peak hour (based on 2018 data). The opening of the back gate would likely result in for a minor reduction in this key left-turning movement volume. It would provide Brookmoor residents another option/alternative to this turning movement during peak periods at this intersection. However, the difference would not be significant enough to alter the overall outcome of the signal warrant analysis or the County's future decision to signalize or not signalize this intersection. The Brookmoor traffic would constitute less than two percent of the afternoon peak-hour volume for the westbound left-turning movement (based on 2040 projections).

## 8.2 - KNOLLWOOD DRIVE/KNOLLWOOD BOULEVARD

The estimated increase in traffic on Knollwood Drive south of South Park Drive is estimated to be less than a five-percent increase with the added trips associated with the opening of the gate. Therefore, per Appendix $B$ of the ECM, further evaluation of the roadway segment is not necessary. This segment of Knollwood appears to have a cross section consistent with other roadways in Woodmoor. Although the increase due to PUD Amendment traffic is projected to be below the five percent ECM Appendix B threshold for inclusion in the study area, the intersection of Knollwood/SH 105 has been analyzed as part of the initial scope requested by the County for this study.

> Woodmoor \& Lake Woodmoor 2040 Projected - AM \& PM Peak Hour Volumes

Figure 4C-1. Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume

*Note: 115 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

## SECTION 9 - LSC FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 9.1 - SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

- The proposed PUD amendment would replace the existing east emergency-vehicle-only east gate with an RFID-controlled gate allowing passage of resident passenger vehicles and a secondary access for residents of Brookmoor Estates (resident passenger vehicles only).
- As the proposed modified gate connection will only be open to Brookmoor residents, the only additional users of the South Park Drive connection will be Brookmoor residents (not guests, vendors, etc.).
- South Park Drive will be able to accommodate the projected additional traffic associated with the requested PUD Amendment. The projected volume increase would not result in volume at levels on South Park that would create an over capacity condition or a traffic safety problem.
- The PUD Amendment would give Brookmoor residents another travel route option. This additional access and associated additional options for travel routes for residents would enhance safety (especially beneficial during peak periods).
- South Park Drive is a 24 -foot-paved-width County Local roadway (although in some areas the roadway in its current condition has a narrower 20-22-foot width).
- The 2019 El Paso County Road Inventory book indicates that South Park Drive west of Knollwood was accepted as a County Road in 1980 as a $\mathbf{2 4}$-foot-wide, paved, Local roadway within a 60-foot right-of-way. It would not be reasonable to require Brookmoor Estates to its original condition. With the next regularly scheduled County maintenance project, any portions of the street that have seen reduction in the paved width of the street over time (since originally accepted by EPC for maintenance) could be restored to a consistent 24-footwide pavement mat and verify the original depth of asphalt.
- The South Park Drive 24 -foot rural cross section and 60 -foot right of way is consistent with many other roadways within Woodmoor. Given this, the minimal traffic increase associated with the PUD Amendment, and the capacity of a 24 -foot roadway, it would be unreasonable to require the applicant to upgrade South Park Drive to the current ECM Rural Local standard of 28 -feet of pavement, 2-foot gravel shoulders each side, and 70 -feet of right of way (which is not available).
- Post $25-\mathrm{mph}$ speed-limit signs on South Park Drive.


## 9.2 - SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS RESULTS

- The community currently generates about 263 vehicle trips per day, based on actual data collected.
- Based on ITE rates (Land Use 251) the community buildout trip-generation (all 59 homes) ITE trip-generation estimate shows 354 trips per day. The latter has been used in this report as required by County staff.
- Based on the trip distribution and routing estimates, an estimated 42 additional vehicle trips per day on South Park Drive would result from use the east gate for travel to and from the site via South Park Drive. LSC estimates 312 daily vehicle trips (the balance of the total ITE buildout trips to be generated by Brookmoor Estates at buildout) would use the existing Moveen Heights gated entry on Lake Woodmoor Drive.
- Based on the alternate analysis ("sensitivity" analysis) about 75 additional vehicle trips per day on South Park Drive would result from use the east gate for travel to and from the site via South Park Drive.
- Although Brookmoor will add trips to what would remain a cul-de-sac for the public street portion and the residents along South Park Drive, the projected average daily volume of about 137 vehicles per day would be lower than the hypothetical volume of a typical cul-de-sac serving 25 dwelling units (the County maximum number of dwelling units on a cul-de-sac) about 240 vehicles per day.
- Based on the alternate analysis ("sensitivity" analysis), the volume on South Park Drive would be 167 vehicle trips per day.
- South Park Drive is a 24-foot-paved-width County Local roadway (although some spot field measurements indicate the roadway in its current condition is a relatively narrow 20-22-foot width in spots). The anticipated total traffic volume with additional use allowed by Brookmoor residents will remain within a range that can be handled by a roadway of this width. Infrequent use of the public right-of-way for on-street parking generally allows for use of the entire roadway width for travel, allowing two moving passenger vehicles to pass each other in opposite directions of travel.
- Infrequently, with the occasional vehicle parked on the street or when pedestrians use the street, drivers may need to slow significantly or stop and wait for a vehicle in the opposite direction to pass. This is reasonable for a roadway of this length, function, and low volume.
- South Park Drive is similar to other roadways within Woodmoor - rural, relatively narrow 24foot paved cross sections with no sidewalks, serving higher-than-rural housing density development.


## 9.3 - THE PUD AMENDMENT WOULD BE A BENEFIT RELATIVE TO TRAFFIC SAFETY IN THE REGION

- The projected volume increase would not result in volume at levels on South Park that would create an over capacity condition or a traffic safety problem.
- Allowing the connection (and associated increase in traffic on South Park) will have a benefit that far outweighs the marginal impact of an additional 42 vehicles per day.
- The PUD Amendment would result in more effective utilization of county road infrastructure (South Park Drive) to distribute peak traffic, improve circulation in the area and provide connectivity of roadways.
- The PUD Amendment would give Brookmoor residents another travel route option. This additional option would enhance safety, as it would likely provide an alternative (especially beneficial during peak periods) to the Moveen Heights/Lake Woodmoor route involving the westbound left turn at Woodmoor Drive. Providing alternatives for motorists to choose routes to avoid peak congestion and to select routes that, based on individual driver preferences/capabilities, are safer and/or more "user-friendly." Route choice will depend on driver preferences and factors such as balancing travel distance/time, tendency to avoid difficult turning movements or pockets of peak period congestion etc.
- The PUD Amendment will help the overall system by relieving - to a minor extent because the difference in volume isn't high - peak-period congestion and delay on intersection approaches/turning movements. Notably, the westbound left turn at Lake Woodmoor/Woodmoor during school peak travel times.
- This change would result in a reduction in trips currently traveling past the elementary school - again, to a minor extent, because the difference in volume is not high.
- It is not only reasonable, but prudent to allow this change to better utilize an existing County road. The Tri-lakes area is seeing nearly unprecedented growth and resulting increases traffic volumes. The area transportation infrastructure is seeing (and has seen) significantly increased demand. For example, between 2005 and 2018, Woodmoor Drive PM peak-hour traffic south of Lake Woodmoor has increased by a factor of 1.67 and the AM peak-hour traffic has increased by a factor of 1.4. It would be unrealistic and not in the overall best interest of the public not to allow this request simply to avoid any increase in traffic on South Park Drive. Any opportunity to optimize use of County road infrastructure while improving connectivity and provide route options to that will enhance safety.
- The safety and utilization of the system will be most prevalent during peak times. It is important to note that during off peak travel times, there would be less of a tendency to alter
travel route, for most Brookmoor residents. Moveen Heights to Woodmoor Drive is still the shortest distance to/from most destinations.
- Although the traffic will increase somewhat on South Park to achieve these benefits, there is available capacity.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this memorandum.

Sincerely,
LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
By: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E. Principal

JCH/JAB:jas
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Appendix B - Vehicle Pavement Loading
Traffic Counts
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## APPENDIX B - VEHICLE PAVEMENT LOADING

LSC has estimated the current 20-year Equivalent Single Axle Loading (ESAL) for South Park Drive and the estimated additional ESAL loading to be added by Brookmoor resident passenger vehicles with the proposed opening of the gate to resident passenger vehicles.

Typically, traffic volume impact on pavement is calculated using equivalent single-axle loads (ESAL). ESAL is the equivalent number of $18,000 \mathrm{lbf}$ (pound force) single-axle loads that would produce the same amount of damage over the pavement design life. The equivalent axle loads are calculated for passenger vehicles, single unit trucks and multi-unit trucks. The total ESAL values are the cumulative ESAL data from all three vehicle types for the entire pavement life expectancy.

Appendix Tables B-1a through B-1g (at the end of this appendix) show the detailed calculations and Table B-2 below shows the summary of the results.

Table B-2: Brookmoor Estates - ESAL Calculation Summary

|  | Brookmoor Estates <br> ESAL Calculation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Current EPC Requirements | for classification: |  |
| Currently Required Minimum ESAL | Rural Local | ESAL Value |
| Currently Required Minimum ESAL | Urban Local Low Volume* | 36500 |
|  |  | 36500 |
| Estimated EPC Historical Requirement | Based on |  |
| ESAL Value |  |  |
|  | Old CDOT Factors, Lower Truck \%s | 25425 |
| Current and Projected |  |  |
| Estimated Current South Park Drive ESAL | Based on | ESAL Value |
| Estimated Current South Park Drive ESAL | Urban Local Low Volume* (95 ADT) | 5780 |
| Estimated ESAL to be added by Brookmoor Traffic | Passenger Veh. Only (42** ADT) | 13,100 |
| Existing plus Added Brookmoor ESAL | Brookmoor plus worst case of above | 14,020 |
| Percent Increase (assuming Urban Local Low Vol*) |  | $7 \%$ |
| Percent Increase (assuming Rural Local) |  | $10 \%$ |
|  |  | 25425 |
| $2001 / 2002$ Hypothetical Min ESAL | Old CDOT Factors, Lower Truck \%s | $\mathbf{1 1 , 4 0 5}$ |
| Excess "ESAL Capacity" |  | LSC |
| *Alternate estimate using Urban Local Low Volume roadway criteria |  |  |
|  |  | $4 / 16 / 2021$ |

The summary table shows the current County minimum ESAL values for a Rural Local Roadway. The table also shows an estimate of the minimum ESAL from the 2001/2002 time period (20 years ago) - provided because criteria 20 to 25 years ago were not as conservative. South Park Drive was originally accepted by the County in 1980 and repaved in 2000. The table also presents the
estimated ESAL values on South Park Drive based on estimated current traffic volumes and current ESAL calculation factors. Additionally, the table presents estimated additional ESAL loading due to the projected volume that would be added by Brookmoor residents (passenger vehicles only).

The proposed opening of the gate to resident passenger vehicles would add a 20 -year Equivalent Single Axle Loading (ESAL) of about 10-percent increase over the estimated current ESAL loading. The calculated ESAL, based on passenger vehicle loading (no trucks) to/from Brookmoor, is about 1,100 . The estimated current ESAL loading on South Park by the approximately 100 vehicle trips per day is 5,780 .

Also, the total estimated resulting ESAL loading on South Park Drive, based on the sum of estimated existing plus Brookmoor added traffic ( $14,020 \mathrm{ESAL}$ ), would be about 39 percent of the design ESAL value of a Rural Local Roadway $(36,500)$ and 55 percent of the estimated historic design ESAL standard ( 20 years ago) $-25,425$. Please refer to the attached ESAL calculation tables for additional detail.

In summary, the projected total traffic and associated ESAL loading for the PUD amendment would remain below the design ESAL for a Rural Local roadway (using past or present standards). The impact to pavement would be minimal as only Brookmoor passenger vehicles would be added.

## Appendix A Supplemental Tables

Appendix Table A-1

| General Direction of Trip Origin/Destination | Trip Directional Distribution Percentages by Trip Purpose |  |  |  | Composite <br> Directional <br> Distribution <br> Percentages (all <br> trip purposes) | Percent Use the East Access by General Direction of Trip Origin/Destination |  |  |  | Percent of Trips Using East Gate (for each General Direction of Trip Origin/Destination) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Productions |  | Attractions |  |  | Households projected to Use East Gate (for each General Direction of Trip Origin/Destination) |  | Corresponding Percentage of Total Households |  |  |  |
|  | HBW | HBNW | HBNW | NHB |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| I-25 South | 54\% | 38\% | 31\% | 36\% | 41\% | 5 | 1 | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Downtown Monument | 10\% | 20\% | 15\% | 20\% | 17\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| I-25 North | 25\% | 5\% | 5\% | 10\% | 11\% | 0 | 17 | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Woodmoor Drive North | 3\% | 5\% | 10\% | 10\% | 6\% | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| SH 83 (North) | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 36 | 36 | 40\% | 60\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Hwy 105 (East) | 2\% | 7\% | 15\% | 5\% | 6\% | 45 | 45 | 40\% | 75\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Knollwood S/O Hwy 105 | 1\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 45 | 45 | 45\% | 75\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Jackson Creek Parkway South | 3\% | 20\% | 20\% | 15\% | 15\% | 20 | 41 | 35\% | 65\% | 5\% | 10\% |

1 HBW = home-based work, HBNW = home-based non-work, NHB = non-home-based
2 Product of Composite directional distribution percentages and percent of trips using east gate

Appendix Table A-2: Trip Purpose Calculations and Estimate of Percent Resident Vehicle Trips

| Per person by trip purpose |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3.79 |  | Percent of Total |  |  |
| Work | 0.59 | 0.59 | 15.61\% | 0.52 | 25\% |
| Family/Pers./Errands | 1.61 |  |  |  |  |
| School/Church | 0.36 | 2.15 | 56.88\% | 1.12 | 53\% |
| Other | 0.18 |  |  | 0.49 | 23\% |
| Social/Rec. | 1.04 | 1.04 | 27.51\% |  |  |
| Total |  | 3.78 | 100.00\% | 2.13 | 1 |


| Vehicle Occupancy by Trip Purpose |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Work | 1.18 | 1.13 |
| Shop | 1.82 |  |
| Fam/Pers/Errands | 1.86 |  |
| School/Churh | 1.77 |  |
| Med/ dental | 1.617854749 |  |
| Social/Rec - visit | 2 |  |
| social/rec other | 2.18 |  |

Calculation of Resident Percent of Total Brookmoor Trips

|  | \% of person trips by type | occupancy rate | 1st Column divided by occ. Rate | Percent of Veh. Trips by type | Productions percent of Total | Percent of productions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HBW | 0.1889 | 1.13 | 0.167168142 | 27\% | 74.90\% | 36.16\% |
| HBNW | 0.5667 | 1.92 | 0.29515625 | 48\% | 74.90\% | 63.84\% |
| HBW attr | 0.1333 | 1.75 | 0.076171429 | 12\% |  |  |
| NHB | 0.111 | 1.41 | 0.078723404 | 13\% |  |  |
|  | 0.9999 |  | 0.617219224 | 1 |  |  |
| Resulting estimated Resident trip percentage of total trips |  |  |  |  | 75\% of trip gen |  |

## Appendix B - Vehicle Pavement Loading

 CONSULTANTS, INC
## APPENDIX B - VEHICLE PAVEMENT LOADING

LSC has estimated the current 20-year Equivalent Single Axle Loading (ESAL) for South Park Drive and the estimated additional ESAL loading to be added by Brookmoor resident passenger vehicles with the proposed opening of the gate to resident passenger vehicles.

Typically, traffic volume impact on pavement is calculated using equivalent single-axle loads (ESAL). ESAL is the equivalent number of $18,000 \mathrm{lbf}$ (pound force) single-axle loads that would produce the same amount of damage over the pavement design life. The equivalent axle loads are calculated for passenger vehicles, single unit trucks and multi-unit trucks. The total ESAL values are the cumulative ESAL data from all three vehicle types for the entire pavement life expectancy.

Appendix Tables B-1a through B-1g (at the end of this appendix) show the detailed calculations and Table B-2 below shows the summary of the results.

Table B-2: Brookmoor Estates - ESAL Calculation Summary

|  | Brookmoor Estates <br> ESAL Calculation Summary |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Current EPC Requirements | for classification: |  |
| Currently Required Minimum ESAL | Rural Local | ESAL Value |
| Currently Required Minimum ESAL | Urban Local Low Volume* | 36500 |
|  |  | 36500 |
| Estimated EPC Historical Requirement | Based on |  |
| ESAL Value |  |  |
|  | Old CDOT Factors, Lower Truck \%s | 25425 |
| Current and Projected |  |  |
| Estimated Current South Park Drive ESAL | Based on | ESAL Value |
| Estimated Current South Park Drive ESAL | Urban Local Low Volume* (95 ADT) | 5780 |
| Estimated ESAL to be added by Brookmoor Traffic | Passenger Veh. Only (42** ADT) | 13,100 |
| Existing plus Added Brookmoor ESAL | Brookmoor plus worst case of above | 14,020 |
| Percent Increase (assuming Urban Local Low Vol*) |  | $7 \%$ |
| Percent Increase (assuming Rural Local) |  | $10 \%$ |
|  |  | 25425 |
| $2001 / 2002$ Hypothetical Min ESAL | Old CDOT Factors, Lower Truck \%s | $\mathbf{1 1 , 4 0 5}$ |
| Excess "ESAL Capacity" |  | LSC |
| *Alternate estimate using Urban Local Low Volume roadway criteria |  |  |
|  |  | $4 / 16 / 2021$ |

The summary table shows the current County minimum ESAL values for a Rural Local Roadway. The table also shows an estimate of the minimum ESAL from the 2001/2002 time period (20 years ago) - provided because criteria 20 to 25 years ago were not as conservative. South Park Drive was originally accepted by the County in 1980 and repaved in 2000. The table also presents the
estimated ESAL values on South Park Drive based on estimated current traffic volumes and current ESAL calculation factors. Additionally, the table presents estimated additional ESAL loading due to the projected volume that would be added by Brookmoor residents (passenger vehicles only).

The proposed opening of the gate to resident passenger vehicles would add a 20 -year Equivalent Single Axle Loading (ESAL) of about 10-percent increase over the estimated current ESAL loading. The calculated ESAL, based on passenger vehicle loading (no trucks) to/from Brookmoor, is about 1,100 . The estimated current ESAL loading on South Park by the approximately 100 vehicle trips per day is 5,780 .

Also, the total estimated resulting ESAL loading on South Park Drive, based on the sum of estimated existing plus Brookmoor added traffic ( $14,020 \mathrm{ESAL}$ ), would be about 39 percent of the design ESAL value of a Rural Local Roadway $(36,500)$ and 55 percent of the estimated historic design ESAL standard ( 20 years ago) $-25,425$. Please refer to the attached ESAL calculation tables for additional detail.

In summary, the projected total traffic and associated ESAL loading for the PUD amendment would remain below the design ESAL for a Rural Local roadway (using past or present standards). The impact to pavement would be minimal as only Brookmoor passenger vehicles would be added.

## Appendix Tables B1a-B1g

| Appendix Table B-1a Brookmoor Estates ESAL Calculation Table Control Calculation Urban Local (Low Volume) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Total Percent of One-Way ADT | Design Lane Percent of One-Way ADT | Vehicles in Single Lane | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { CDOT } \\ & \text { Factor } \end{aligned}$ | EDLA |
| Multi-Unit Trucks |  | 0.75\% | 2 | 1.087 | 2.45 |
| Single-Unit Trucks |  | 2.25\% | 7 | 0.249 | 1.68 |
| Passenger Cars/Pickup Trucks | 97.00\% | 97.00\% | 291 | 0.003 | 0.87 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 5.00 |
| Calculated 20-Year 18-kip ESAL |  |  |  |  | 36,496 |
| ECM Minimum ESAL |  |  |  |  | 36,500 |
| Directional ADT | 300 |  |  |  | 1.0001 |
| ADT Value | 300 |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultant |  |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix Table B-1b Brookmoor Estates ESAL Calculation Table Control Calculation Rural Local |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Total Percent of One-Way ADT | Design Lane Percent of One-Way ADT | Vehicles in Single Lane | CDOT Factor | EDLA |
| Multi-Unit Trucks |  | 0.75\% | 2 | 1.087 | 2.45 |
| Single-Unit Trucks |  | 2.25\% | 7 | 0.249 | 1.68 |
| Passenger Cars/Pickup Trucks | 97.00\% | 97.00\% | 291 | 0.003 | 0.87 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 5.00 |
| Calculated 20-Year 18-kip ESAL |  |  |  |  | 36,496 |
| ECM Minimum ESAL |  |  |  |  | 36,500 |
| Directional ADT | 300 |  |  |  |  |
| ADT Value | 600 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix Table B-1c Brookmoor Estates <br> ESAL Calculation Table <br> Hypothetical - 2001/2002 Control Calculation <br> Rural Local |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Total Percent of One-Way ADT | Design Lane Percent of One-Way ADT | Vehicles in Single Lane | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { CDOT } \\ \text { Factor (2002) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EDLA |
| Multi-Unit Trucks | 0.25\% | 0.50\% | 2 | 1.000 | 1.88 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 1.00\% | 2.00\% | 8 | 0.175 | 1.31 |
| Passenger Cars/Pickup Trucks | 98.50\% | 98.50\% | 369 | 0.0008 | 0.30 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 3.48 |
| Calculated 20-Year 18-kip ESAL |  |  |  |  | 25,426 |
| ECM Minimum ESAL |  |  |  |  | N/A |
| Directional ADT | 375 |  |  |  |  |
| ADT Value | 750 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix Table B-1d Brookmoor Estates ESAL Calculation Table Existing Volume Urban Local (Low Volume) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Total Percent of One-Way ADT | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Design Lane Percent } \\ \text { of One-Way ADT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Vehicles in Single Lane | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { CDOT } \\ & \text { Factor } \end{aligned}$ | EDLA |
| Multi-Unit Trucks | 1.00\% | 1.00\% | 1.0 | 1.087 | 1.03 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 2.00\% | 2.00\% | 1.9 | 0.249 | 0.47 |
| Passenger Cars/Pickup Trucks | 97.00\% | 97.00\% | 92 | 0.003 | 0.28 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 1.78 |
| Calculated 20-Year 18 | p ESAL |  |  |  | 13,010 |
| ECM Minimum ESAL |  |  |  |  | 36,500 |
| Directional ADT | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| ADT Value | 95 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix Table B-1e Brookmoor Estates ESAL Calculation Table Existing Volume Rural Local |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Total Percent of One-Way ADT | Design Lane Percent of One-Way ADT | Vehicles in Single Lane | CDOT Factor | EDLA |
| Multi-Unit Trucks | 1.00\% | 0.75\% | 0 | 1.087 | 0.39 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 2.00\% | 2.25\% | 1 | 0.249 | 0.27 |
| Passenger Cars/Pickup Trucks | 97.00\% | 97.00\% | 46 | 0.003 | 0.14 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 0.79 |
| Calculated 20-Year 18-kip | ESAL |  |  |  | 5,779 |
| ECM Minimum ESAL |  |  |  |  | 36,500 |
| Directional ADT | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| ADT Value | 95 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix Table B-1fBrookmoor EstatesESAL Calculation TableAdded Volume by Brookmoor (Passenger Vehicles Only)Urban Local (Low Volume) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Total Percent of One-Way ADT | Design Lane Percent of One-Way ADT | Vehicles in Single Lane | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CDOT } \\ & \text { Factor } \end{aligned}$ | EDLA |
| Passenger Cars/Pickup Trucks | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 42 | 0.003 | 0.13 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 0.13 |
| Calculated 20-Year 18-kip | AL |  |  |  | 920 |
| Directional ADT | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| ADT Value | 42 |  |  |  |  |


| Appendix Table B-1gBrookmoor EstatesESAL Calculation TableAdded Volume by Brookmoor (Passenger Vehicles Only)Rural Local |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Total Percent of One-Way ADT | Design Lane Percent of One-Way ADT | Vehicles in Single Lane | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { CDOT } \\ & \text { Factor } \end{aligned}$ | EDLA |
| Passenger Cars/Pickup Trucks | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 26 | 0.003 | 0.08 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 0.08 |
| Calculated 20-Year 18-kip ESAL |  |  |  |  | 569 |
| Directional ADT (higher of EB/WB) | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| ADT Value | 42 |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic Counts

| AM | PM | Day of Week |  | ed |  |  | $8 / 29$ | $1$ |  | $174650$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  |  |  | Out |  | Time |  | In |  | Out |  |
| Start | End | EBR | WBL | NBL | NBR | Start | End | EBR | WBL | NBL | NBR |
|  |  | $7$ | F | $7$ | $\Gamma$ |  |  | $7$ | $r$ | $\square$ | $\Gamma$ |
| 12:00 | 12:10 |  |  |  |  | 6:00 | 6:10 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 12:10 | 12:20 |  |  |  |  | 6:10 | 6:20 |  |  | ill |  |
| 12:20 | 12:30 |  |  |  |  | 6:20 | 6:30 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 | 12:40 |  |  |  |  | 6:30 | 6:40 |  |  | I |  |
| 12:40 | 12:50 |  |  |  |  | 6:40 | 6:50 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:50 | 1:00 |  |  |  |  | 6:50 | 7:00 |  |  | il |  |
| 1:00 | 1:10 |  |  |  |  | 7:00 | 7:10 |  |  | IU | 11 |
| 1:10 | 1:20 |  |  |  |  | 7:10 | 7:20 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:20 | 1:30 |  |  |  |  | 7:20 | 7:30 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1:30 | 1:40 |  |  |  |  | 7:30 | 7:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:40 | 1:50 |  |  |  |  | 7:40 | 7:50 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1:50 | 2:00 |  |  |  |  | 7:50 | 8:00 |  |  | Il |  |
| 2:00 | 2:10 |  |  |  |  | 8:00 | 8:10 |  |  | VI |  |
| 2:10 | 2:20 |  |  |  |  | 8:10 | 8:20 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 2:20 | 2:30 |  |  |  |  | 8:20 | 8:30 |  |  | 11 |  |
| 2:30 | 2:40 |  |  |  |  | 8:30 | 8:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:40 | 2:50 | 1 |  |  |  | 8:40 | 8:50 |  |  | 11 |  |
| 2:50 | 3:00 |  |  |  |  | 8:50 | 9:00 | 11 |  | 1 |  |
| 3:00 | 3:10 |  |  |  |  | 9:00 | 9:10 | 111 |  |  |  |
| 3:10 | 3:20 |  |  |  |  | 9:10 | 9:20 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 3:20 | 3:30 |  |  |  |  | 9:20 | 9:30 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3:30 | 3:40 |  |  |  |  | 9:30 | 9:40 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 3:40 | 3:50 |  |  |  |  | 9:40 | 9:50 |  |  | 11 |  |
| 3:50 | 4:00 |  |  |  |  | 9:50 | 10:00 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 4:00 | 4:10 |  |  |  |  | 10:00 | 10:10 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 4:10 | 4:20 |  |  |  |  | 10:10 | 10:20 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 4:20 | 4:30 |  |  |  |  | 10:20 | 10:30 | 11 |  | 11 |  |
| 4:30 | 4:40 |  |  |  |  | 10:30 | 10:40 | - |  | 111 | 1 |
| 4:40 | 4:50 |  |  | 1 |  | 10:40 | 10:50 | 111 |  |  |  |
| 4:50 | 5:00 |  |  |  |  | 10:50 | 11:00 | 11 | . | NW1 |  |
| 5:00 | 5:10 |  |  |  |  | 11:00 | 11:10 | H1 |  |  |  |
| 5:10 | 5:20 |  |  |  |  | 11:10 | 11:20 | 11 |  | 1 |  |
| 5:20 | 5:30 |  |  | 1 |  | 11:20 | 11:30 | N |  | 1 |  |
| 5:30 | 5:40 |  |  |  |  | 11:30 | 11:40 | 1 |  | 11 |  |
| 5:40 | 5:50 |  |  | 1 |  | 11:40 | 11:50 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 5:50 | 6:00 |  |  | + |  | 11:50 | 12:00 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 1 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  | $36$ | 3 | $46$ | 8 |


| AM | PM | Day of Week |  |  |  | Date |  |  |  | $174650$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | In |  | Out |  | Time |  | In |  | Out |  |
| Start | End | EBR | WBL | NBL | NBR | Start | End | EBR | WBL | NBL | NBR |
|  |  | $7$ | $r$ | $7$ | $\Gamma$ |  |  | $7$ | $r$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\Gamma$ |
| 12:00 | 12:10 |  |  | 1 |  | 6:00 | 6:10 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 12:10 | 12:20 |  |  | 111 |  | 6:10 | 6:20 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:20 | 12:30 | 11 |  | 1 |  | 6:20 | 6:30 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 12:30 | 12:40 |  |  |  | 1 | 6:30 | 6:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:40 | 12:50 | 1 |  | 11 |  | 6:40 | 6:50 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 12:50 | 1:00 | 1 |  | 1111 |  | 6:50 | 7:00 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00 | 1:10 | 11 |  | - |  | 7:00 | 7:10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:10 | 1:20 | 1 |  | 11 |  | 7:10 | 7:20 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1:20 | 1:30 | 111 |  |  | 1 | 7:20 | 7:30 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1:30 | 1:40 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 7:30 | 7:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:40 | 1:50 | 11 |  | 11 |  | 7:40 | 7:50 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1:50 | 2:00 | 1 |  |  |  | 7:50 | 8:00 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2:00 | 2:10 | 11 |  | 11 |  | 8:00 | 8:10 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:10 | 2:20 | 111 |  |  |  | 8:10 | 8:20 | $11$ |  |  |  |
| 2:20 | 2:30 |  |  | 111 |  | 8:20 | 8:30 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2:30 | 2:40 |  |  |  |  | 8:30 | 8:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:40 | 2:50 | $11$ |  | 1 |  | 8:40 | 8:50 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2:50 | 3:00 |  |  |  |  | 8:50 | 9:00 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00 | 3:10 | $11$ |  | $\perp$ | 1 | 9:00 | 9:10 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:10 | 3:20 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 9:10 | 9:20 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3:20 | 3:30 | 1 |  | 11 |  | 9:20 | 9:30 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:30 | 3:40 |  |  |  | 1 | 9:30 | 9:40 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3:40 | 3:50 | i) |  |  |  | 9:40 | 9:50 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:50 | 4:00 |  |  | $11$ |  | 9:50 | 10:00 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 | 4:10 |  |  |  |  | 10:00 | 10:10 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:10 | 4:20 | il |  |  |  | 10:10 | 10:20 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:20 | 4:30 | 11 |  |  |  | 10:20 | 10:30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:30 | 4:40 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 10:30 | 10:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:40 | 4:50 |  |  |  |  | 10:40 | 10:50 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 4:50 | 5:00 |  |  |  |  | 10:50 | 11:00 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00 | 5:10 | 1 |  |  |  | 11:00 | 11:10 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:10 | 5:20 | 11 |  |  |  | 11:10 | 11:20 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:20 | 5:30 | NN |  | 1 |  | 11:20 | 11:30 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:30 | 5:40 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 11:30 | 11:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:40 | 5:50 | 11 |  | 1 |  | 11:40 | 11:50 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:50 | 6:00 | 11 |  | 11 |  | 11:50 | 12:00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ \hline 52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 4 \\ \hline 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ \frac{1}{11} \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 36 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \frac{46}{52} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \frac{8}{9} \end{aligned}$ |

AM PM Day of Week. Thurs Date 8/30/18 174650


| AM | PM | Day of Week $\qquad$ |  |  |  | Date | $8 / 30118$ |  |  | $1774650$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | In |  | Out |  | Time |  | In |  | Out |  |
| Start | End | EBR | WBL | NBL | NBR | Start | End | EBR | WBL | NBL | NBR |
|  |  | 7 | F | $\rightarrow$ | $\Gamma$ |  |  | 7 | F | 7 | $\Gamma$ |
| 12:00 | 12:10 | $N$ |  |  | 1 | 6:00 | 6:10 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 12:10 | 12:20 |  |  | $1]$ |  | 6:10 | 6:20 | $111$ |  | 11 |  |
| 12:20 | 12:30 | 11 |  |  |  | 6:20 | 6:30 | 1 |  | J |  |
| 12:30 | 12:40 | ill |  | 11 |  | 6:30 | 6:40 | 1 |  | 11 |  |
| 12:40 | 12:50 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 6:40 | 6:50 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:50 | 1:00 | 1 |  | 11 |  | 6:50 | 7:00 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1:00 | 1:10 |  |  | $1 / 1 /$ |  | 7:00 | 7:10 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:10 | 1:20 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 7:10 | 7:20 | 1111 |  | 11 |  |
| 1:20 | 1:30 |  | 1 |  |  | 7:20 | 7:30 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1:30 | 1:40 | 11 |  | 111 | 1 | 7:30 | 7:40 | 11 |  | , |  |
| 1:40 | 1:50 | 1 |  | 11 | 1 | 7:40 | 7:50 |  |  |  |  |
| 1:50 | 2:00 |  |  |  | 1 | 7:50 | 8:00 | 1 |  | 111 |  |
| 2:00 | 2:10 | 星 |  | $\\|$ |  | 8:00 | 8:10 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 2:10 | 2:20 | I |  | 1 |  | 8:10 | 8:20 | . |  |  |  |
| 2:20 | 2:30 | 11 |  |  | 1 | 8:20 | 8:30 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 2:30 | 2:40 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  | 8:30 | 8:40 | 111 |  |  |  |
| 2:40 | 2:50 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 8:40 | 8:50 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 2:50 | 3:00 | YNK | 11 | 111 |  | 8:50 | 9:00 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00 | 3:10 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9:00 | 9:10 | 1111 |  |  |  |
| 3:10 | 3:20 | 111 |  | 1 | 1 | 9:10 | 9:20 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:20 | 3:30 | 1111 | 1 | 11 |  | 9:20 | 9:30 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:30 | 3:40 | 11 |  | 1 |  | 9:30 | 9:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:40 | 3:50 | III | 1 |  |  | 9:40 | 9:50 |  |  | - |  |
| 3:50 | 4:00 | 1 |  |  |  | 9:50 | 10:00 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 | 4:10 |  |  |  |  | 10:00 | 10:10 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:10 | 4:20 | 1 | 1 | 11 |  | 10:10 | 10:20 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:20 | 4:30 | 11 |  | 1 | 11 | 10:20 | 10:30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:30 | 4:40 | 11 |  |  |  | 10:30 | 10:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:40 | 4:50 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 10:40 | 10:50 |  |  |  |  |
| 4:50 | 5:00 | 1 |  | 11 |  | 10:50 | 11:00 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 5:00 | 5:10 | 111 | 1 | 111 |  | 11:00 | 11:10 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:10 | 5:20 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 11:10 | 11:20 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:20 | 5:30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11:20 | 11:30 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:30 | 5:40 |  |  |  |  | 11:30 | 11:40 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:40 | 5:50 | MW1 | 1 | 1 |  | 11:40 | 11:50 |  |  |  |  |
| 5:50 | 6:00 | 1 |  | 11 | I | 11:50 | 12:00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\frac{60}{61}$ | $\frac{13}{15}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ \hline 52 \end{array}$ | $\frac{14}{16}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 30 \\ \hline 58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 43 \\ \hline 56 \end{array}$ | $\frac{0}{11}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text { Wed } \begin{array}{cccc}
\text { EBR } & \text { WBL } & \text { NBL } & \text { NBR } \\
52 & 2 & 42 & 11 \\
\frac{56}{108} & \frac{3}{5} & \frac{52}{94} & \frac{9}{20} \\
\text { Thurs } & \frac{113 \mathrm{kN}}{15} & \frac{11400 \mathrm{ov}}{16} \\
& \frac{58}{118} & \frac{8}{23} & \frac{56}{108} \\
& \frac{11}{27} \\
141 \mathrm{iN} & 135 \text { ouT }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

visit www.hamptoninn.com or call 1.800.hampton 174650 thought pad.

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Knollwood Blvd - Hwy 105 AM 10-18
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 10/24/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Knollwood Blvd Southbound |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Westbound |  |  |  | Knollwood Blvd Northbound |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 06:30 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 87 |
| 06:45 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 7 | 126 | 4 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 268 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 12 | 176 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 20 | 42 | 7 | 0 | 355 |


| $07: 00$ | 4 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 11 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 64 | 7 | 0 | 362 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $07: 15$ | 16 | 9 | 71 | 2 | 17 | 180 | 3 | 0 | 72 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 53 | 102 | 10 | 0 | 558 |
| $07: 30$ | 28 | 11 | 92 | 1 | 21 | 186 | 13 | 0 | 52 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 46 | 117 | 13 | 0 | 603 |
| $07: 45$ | 29 | 8 | 85 | 0 | 12 | 178 | 6 | 0 | 55 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 58 | 105 | 5 | 0 | 554 |
| Total | 77 | 29 | 283 | 3 | 61 | 690 | 22 | 0 | 249 | 28 | 38 | 0 | 174 | 388 | 35 | 0 | 2077 |


| $08: 00$ | 8 | 8 | 54 | 0 | 11 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 58 | 9 | 0 | 340 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $08: 15$ | 1 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 93 | 3 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 243 |
| Grand Total | 88 | 41 | 372 | 3 | 89 | 1087 | 30 | 0 | 377 | 36 | 59 | 0 | 223 | 554 | 56 | 0 | 3015 |
| Apprch \% | 17.5 | 8.1 | 73.8 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 90.1 | 2.5 | 0 | 79.9 | 7.6 | 12.5 | 0 | 26.8 | 66.5 | 6.7 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 2.9 | 1.4 | 12.3 | 0.1 | 3 | 36.1 | 1 | 0 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 2 | 0 | 7.4 | 18.4 | 1.9 | 0 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Knollwood Blvd - Hwy 105 AM 10-18
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 10/24/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Knollwood Blvd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Knollwood Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:15-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 | 4 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 40 | 11 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 70 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 77 | 17 | 64 | 7 | 0 | 88 | 362 |
| 07:15 | 16 | 9 | 71 | 2 | 98 | 17 | 180 | 3 | 0 | 200 | 72 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 95 | 53 | 102 | 10 | 0 | 165 | 558 |
| 07:30 | 28 | 11 | 92 | 1 | 132 | 21 | 186 | 13 | 0 | 220 | 52 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 75 | 46 | 117 | 13 | 0 | 176 | 603 |
| 07:45 | 29 | 8 | 85 | 0 | 122 | 12 | 178 | 6 | 0 | 196 | 55 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 68 | 58 | 105 | 5 | 0 | 168 | 554 |
| Total Volume | 77 | 29 | 283 | 3 | 392 | 61 | 690 | 22 | 0 | 773 | 249 | 28 | 38 | 0 | 315 | 174 | 388 | 35 | 0 | 597 | 2077 |
| \% App. Total | 19.6 | 7.4 | 72.2 | 0.8 |  | 7.9 | 89.3 | 2.8 | 0 |  | 79 | 8.9 | 12.1 | 0 |  | 29.1 | 65 | 5.9 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 664 | . 659 | . 769 | . 375 | . 742 | . 726 | . 927 | . 423 | . 000 | . 878 | . 865 | . 467 | . 528 | . 000 | . 829 | . 750 | . 829 | . 673 | . 000 | . 848 | . 861 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Knollwood Blvd - Hwy 105 AM 10-18
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 10/24/2018
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Knollwood Blvd - Hwy 105 PM 10-18
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 10/30/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Knollwood Blvd Southbound |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Westbound |  |  |  | Knollwood Blvd Northbound |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 9 | 8 | 25 | 0 | 13 | 103 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 148 | 32 | 1 | 441 |
| 16:15 | 4 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 18 | 90 | 4 | 0 | 48 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 41 | 147 | 25 | 0 | 437 |
| 16:30 | 3 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 106 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 33 | 139 | 17 | 0 | 390 |
| 16:45 | 8 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 13 | 104 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 11 | 20 | 0 | 32 | 141 | 28 | 0 | 416 |
| Total | 24 | 17 | 93 | 0 | 56 | 403 | 13 | 0 | 173 | 26 | 69 | 0 | 132 | 575 | 102 | 1 | 1684 |
| 17:00 | 3 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 21 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 41 | 157 | 30 | 0 | 422 |
| 17:15 | 4 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 20 | 83 | 3 | 0 | 40 | 13 | 36 | 0 | 44 | 159 | 35 | 0 | 470 |
| 17:30 | 4 | 6 | 26 | 0 | 11 | 84 | 5 | 0 | 45 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 48 | 146 | 41 | 0 | 453 |
| 17:45 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 78 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 43 | 139 | 38 | 0 | 414 |
| Total | 14 | 31 | 93 | 0 | 62 | 321 | 13 | 0 | 162 | 35 | 107 | 0 | 176 | 601 | 144 | 0 | 1759 |
| Grand Total | 38 | 48 | 186 | 0 | 118 | 724 | 26 | 0 | 335 | 61 | 176 | 0 | 308 | 1176 | 246 | 1 | 3443 |
| Apprch \% | 14 | 17.6 | 68.4 | 0 | 13.6 | 83.4 | 3 | 0 | 58.6 | 10.7 | 30.8 | 0 | 17.8 | 67.9 | 14.2 | 0.1 |  |
| Total \% | 1.1 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 0 | 3.4 | 21 | 0.8 | 0 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 0 | 8.9 | 34.2 | 7.1 | 0 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Knollwood Blvd - Hwy 105 PM 10-18
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 10/30/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Knollwood Blvd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Knollwood Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour | or Ent | re Int | rsect | on Be | gins at | 16:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:45 | 8 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 35 | 13 | 104 | 5 | 0 | 122 | 27 | 11 | 20 | 0 | 58 | 32 | 141 | 28 | 0 | 201 | 416 |
| 17:00 | 3 | 8 | 26 | 0 | 37 | 21 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 98 | 36 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 59 | 41 | 157 | 30 | 0 | 228 | 422 |
| 17:15 | 4 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 37 | 20 | 83 | 3 | 0 | 106 | 40 | 13 | 36 | 0 | 89 | 44 | 159 | 35 | 0 | 238 | 470 |
| 17:30 | 4 | 6 | 26 | 0 | 36 | 11 | 84 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 45 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 82 | 48 | 146 | 41 | 0 | 235 | 453 |
| Total Volume | 19 | 27 | 99 | 0 | 145 | 65 | 347 | 14 | 0 | 426 | 148 | 41 | 99 | 0 | 288 | 165 | 603 | 134 | 0 | 902 | 1761 |
| \% App. Total | 13.1 | 18.6 | 68.3 | 0 |  | 15.3 | 81.5 | 3.3 | 0 |  | 51.4 | 14.2 | 34.4 | 0 |  | 18.3 | 66.9 | 14.9 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 594 | . 563 | . 952 | . 000 | . 980 | . 774 | . 834 | . 700 | . 000 | . 873 | . 822 | . 788 | . 688 | . 000 | . 809 | . 859 | . 948 | . 817 | . 000 | . 947 | . 937 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Knollwood Blvd - Hwy 105 PM 10-18
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 10/30/2018
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Knollwood Blvd - South Park Dr AM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/6/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Knollwood Blvd Southbound |  |  |  | South Park Dr Westbound |  |  |  | Knollwood Blvd Northbound |  |  |  | South Park Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 06:30 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| 06:45 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 |
| Total | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 46 |


| 07:00 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 | 2 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 83 |
| 07:30 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 59 |
| Total | 3 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 289 |


| 08:00 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47 |
| Grand Total | 7 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 102 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 419 |
| Apprch \% | 2.6 | 97.4 | 0 | 0 | 85.7 | 3.6 | 10.7 | 0 | 1.8 | 90.3 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 80 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 1.7 | 62.3 | 0 | 0 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 24.3 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Knollwood Blvd - South Park Dr AM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/6/2018
Page No :2

|  | Knollwood Blvd Southbound |  |  |  |  | South Park Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Knollwood Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | South Park Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:15-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 68 |
| 07:15 | 2 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 83 |
| 07:30 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| 07:45 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 59 |
| Total Volume | 3 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 63 | 7 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 289 |
| \% App. Total | 1.5 | 98.5 | 0 | 0 |  | 85.7 | 0 | 14.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 90 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 375 | . 770 | . 000 | . 000 | . 758 | . 750 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 875 | . 000 | . 716 | . 438 | . 000 | . 673 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 500 | . 870 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Knollwood Blvd - South Park Dr AM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/6/2018
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Knollwood Blvd - South Park Dr PM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/15/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Knollwood Blvd Southbound |  |  |  | South Park Dr Westbound |  |  |  | Knollwood Blvd Northbound |  |  |  | South Park Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 67 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 49 |
| 16:30 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 63 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| Total | 1 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 126 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 244 |
| 17:00 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 81 |
| 17:30 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| Total | 1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 171 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 292 |


| Grand Total | 2 | 180 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 297 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 536 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprch \% | 1.1 | 98.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 90.5 | 7 | 0 | 14.3 | 0 | 85.7 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0.4 | 33.6 | 0.2 | 0 | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 55.4 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Knollwood Blvd - South Park Dr PM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/15/2018
Page No :2

|  | Knollwood Blvd Southbound |  |  |  |  | South Park Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Knollwood Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | South Park Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 81 |
| 17:30 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 44 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 171 | 11 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 292 |
| \% App. Total | 1.1 | 98.9 | 0 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1.6 | 92.4 | 5.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 758 | . 000 | . 000 | . 767 | . 650 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 650 | . 750 | . 910 | . 688 | . 000 | . 907 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 901 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Knollwood Blvd - South Park Dr PM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/15/2018
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80905 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Woodmoor Dr - Hwy 105 AM
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 11/1/2018
Page No : 1

|  | Woodmoor Dr Southbound |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 06:30 | 8 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 343 |
| 06:45 | 29 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 489 |
| Total | 37 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 336 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 832 |
| 07:00 | 88 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 783 |
| 07:15 | 104 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 324 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 821 |
| 07:30 | 53 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 387 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 757 |
| 07:45 | 30 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 831 |
| Total | 275 | 0 | 472 | 0 | 0 | 1353 | 498 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 414 | 0 | 0 | 3192 |
| 08:00 | 30 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 578 |
| 08:15 | 22 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 544 |
| Grand Total | 364 | 0 | 740 | 0 | 0 | 2183 | 827 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 728 | 0 | 0 | 5146 |
| Apprch \% | 33 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 72.5 | 27.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.5 | 70.5 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 7.1 | 0 | 14.4 | 0 | 0 | 42.4 | 16.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.9 | 14.1 | 0 | 0 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Woodmoor Dr - Hwy 105 AM
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 11/1/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Woodmoor Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:30 to 08:15-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 | 88 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 221 | 204 | 0 | 425 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 783 |
| 07:15 | 104 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 324 | 108 | 0 | 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 821 |
| 07:30 | 53 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 387 | 92 | 0 | 479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 757 |
| 07:45 | 30 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 421 | 94 | 0 | 515 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 831 |
| Total Volume | 275 | 0 | 472 | 0 | 747 | 0 | 1353 | 498 | 0 | 1851 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 414 | 0 | 0 | 594 | 3192 |
| \% App. Total | 36.8 | 0 | 63.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 73.1 | 26.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 30.3 | 69.7 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 661 | . 000 | . 874 | . 000 | . 869 | . 000 | . 803 | . 610 | . 000 | . 899 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 652 | . 809 | . 000 | . 000 | . 853 | . 960 |



## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Woodmoor Dr - Hwy 105 AM
Site Code : 174650
Start Date : 11/1/2018
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Woodmoor Dr - Hwy 105 PM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/6/2018
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Unshifted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Woodmoor Dr Southbound |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 75 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 819 |
| 16:15 | 43 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 776 |
| 16:30 | 66 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 815 |
| 16:45 | 75 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 278 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 849 |
| Total | 259 | 0 | 532 | 0 | 0 | 1104 | 435 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 679 | 0 | 0 | 3259 |
| 17:00 | 85 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 885 |
| 17:15 | 70 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 831 |
| 17:30 | 59 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 727 |
| 17:45 | 36 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 709 |
| Total | 250 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 1045 | 484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 228 | 690 | 0 | 0 | 3152 |
| Grand Total | 509 | 0 | 987 | 0 | 0 | 2149 | 919 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478 | 1369 | 0 | 0 | 6411 |
| Apprch \% | 34 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25.9 | 74.1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 7.9 | 0 | 15.4 | 0 | 0 | 33.5 | 14.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.5 | 21.4 | 0 | 0 |  |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. 

545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Woodmoor Dr - Hwy 105 PM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/6/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Woodmoor Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Hwy 105 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 16:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:30 | 66 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 269 | 112 | 0 | 381 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 815 |
| 16:45 | 75 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 278 | 110 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 849 |
| 17:00 | 85 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 269 | 126 | 0 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 278 | 885 |
| 17:15 | 70 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 275 | 135 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 831 |
| Total Volume | 296 | 0 | 521 | 0 | 817 | 0 | 1091 | 483 | 0 | 1574 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 741 | 0 | 0 | 989 | 3380 |
| \% App. Total | 36.2 | 0 | 63.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 69.3 | 30.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 25.1 | 74.9 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 871 | . 000 | . 917 | . 000 | . 963 | . 000 | . 981 | . 894 | . 000 | . 960 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 816 | . 917 | . 000 | . 000 | . 889 | . 955 |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868
File Name : Woodmoor Dr - Hwy 105 PM
Site Code : 00174650
Start Date : 11/6/2018
Page No : 3


## COUNTER MEASURES INC.

1889 YORK STREET
DENVER.COLORADO
$303-333-7409$
E/W STREET: CITY:
COUNTY:

File Name: Woodmoor Dr-Lake Woodmoor Dr AM
Site Code : 00164800
Start Date : 3/8/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- VEHICLES

|  | Woodmoor Dr Southbound |  |  |  | Lake Woodmoor Dr Westbound |  |  |  | Woodmoor Dr Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 07:00 AM | 4 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 179 |
| 07:05 AM | 3 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |
| 07:10 AM | 2 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 |
| 07:20 AM | 3 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
| 07:25 AM | 2 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 07:30 AM | 3 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| 07:35 AM | 7 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| 07:40 AM | 1 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| 07:45 AM | 3 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 07:50 AM | 3 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 07:55 AM | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| Total | 36 | 624 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 606 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1460 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 08:05 AM | 6 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 08:10 AM | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 08:20 AM | 7 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| 08:25 AM | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| 08:30 AM | 12 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 08:35 AM | 8 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| 08:40 AM | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| 08:45 AM | 7 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| 08:50 AM | 5 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| 08:55 AM | 2 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| Total | 55 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 343 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1004 |
| 09:00 AM | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| 09:05 AM | 4 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| 09:10 AM | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| Grand Total | 99 | 1007 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 1021 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2701 |
| Apprch \% | 9.0 | 91.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.1 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 3.7 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868

## Default Comments

Change These in The Preferences Window
Select File/Preference in the Main Scree
Then Click the Comments Tab

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Woodmoor Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Lake Woodmoor Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Woodmoor Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 6 | 173 | 1 | 0 | 180 | 46 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 144 | 53 | 0 | 199 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 452 |
| 16:15 | 10 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 39 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 125 | 60 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 |
| 16:30 | 8 | 165 | 1 | 0 | 174 | 37 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 113 | 39 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 371 |
| 16:45 | 15 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 41 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 93 | 63 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 356 |
| Total | 39 | 586 | 2 | 0 | 627 | 163 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 208 | 3 | 475 | 215 | 0 | 693 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1534 |
| 17:00 | 11 | 143 | 1 | 0 | 155 | 52 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 68 | 4 | 126 | 55 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 412 |
| 17:15 | 7 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 40 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 116 | 64 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 364 |
| 17:30 | 8 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 46 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 149 | 51 | 1 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 416 |
| 17:45 | 9 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 40 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 170 | 50 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 |
| Total | 35 | 531 | 1 | 0 | 567 | 178 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 229 | 6 | 561 | 220 | 1 | 788 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1590 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Woodmoor Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Lake Woodmoor Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Woodmoor Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Grand Total | 74 | 1117 | 3 | 0 | 1194 | 341 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 437 | 9 | 1036 | 435 | 1 | 1481 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 3124 |
| Apprch \% | 6.2 | 93.6 | 0.3 | 0 |  | 78 | 0 | 22 | 0 |  | 0.6 | 70 | 29.4 | 0.1 |  | 8.3 | 0 | 91.7 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.4 | 35.8 | 0.1 | 0 | 38.2 | 10.9 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 14 | 0.3 | 33.2 | 13.9 | 0 | 47.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 |  |




## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

Colorado Springs, CO 80905
719-633-2868

|  | Woodmoor Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Lake Woodmoor Dr Westbound |  |  |  |  | Woodmoor Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 4:00:00 PM to 5:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 5:00:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00:00 PM | 11 | 143 | 1 | 0 | 155 | 52 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 68 | 4 | 126 | 55 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 412 |
| 5:15:00 PM | 7 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 40 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 116 | 64 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 364 |
| 5:30:00 PM | 8 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 46 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 149 | 51 | 1 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 416 |
| 5:45:00 PM | 9 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 40 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 170 | 50 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 |
| Total Volume | 35 | 531 | 1 | 0 | 567 | 178 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 229 | 6 | 561 | 220 | 1 | 788 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1590 |
| \% App. Total | 6.2 | 93.7 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 77.7 | 0 | 22.3 | 0 |  | 0.8 | 71.2 | 27.9 | 0.1 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 795 | . 909 | . 250 | . 000 | . 915 | . 856 | . 000 | . 797 | . 000 | . 842 | . 375 | . 825 | . 859 | . 250 | . 895 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 375 | . 956 |
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|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | 「 | \% | ¢4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }_{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 174 | 388 | 41 | 61 | 690 | 22 | 249 | 28 | 38 | 77 | 29 | 283 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 174 | 388 | 41 | 61 | 690 | 22 | 249 | 28 | 38 | 77 | 29 | 283 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 480 |  | 0 | 480 |  | 250 | 225 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| FIt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.263 |  |  | 0.500 |  |  | 0.732 |  |  | 0.735 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 490 | 1863 | 1583 | 931 | 3539 | 1583 | 1364 | 1863 | 1583 | 1369 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 48 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 301 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 350 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 688 |  |  | 748 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.3 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 205 | 456 | 48 | 69 | 784 | 25 | 300 | 34 | 46 | 104 | 39 | 382 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 205 | 456 | 48 | 69 | 784 | 25 | 300 | 34 | 46 | 104 | 39 | 382 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | LNA | LNA | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector ( t ) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (tt) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(f) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(f) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  | 4 |


|  | 4 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | ( | $\ddagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 14.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.6\% | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 10.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 57.8 | 55.8 | 55.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay | 6.9 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 0.1 | 54.7 | 24.1 | 1.9 | 28.2 | 24.2 | 11.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 6.9 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 0.1 | 54.7 | 24.1 | 1.9 | 28.2 | 24.2 | 11.0 |
| LOS | A | A | A | B | B | A | D | C | A | C | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.2 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 45.6 |  |  | 15.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 49 (54\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.1
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.7\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Knollwood Dr \& SH 105


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftrightarrow$ |  | $t$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% ${ }^{1}$ | ¢4 | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | \% 7 | 「 |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 180 | 414 | 1353 | 500 | 275 | 475 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 180 | 414 | 1353 | 500 | 275 | 475 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Storage Length (ft) | 300 |  |  | 310 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (tt) | 60 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |  |
| FIt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1583 |  |
| FIt Permitted | 0.087 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 314 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1583 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 556 |  | 108 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 | 45 |  | 30 |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1430 | 956 |  | 362 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 21.7 | 14.5 |  | 8.2 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.87 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 212 | 487 | 1503 | 556 | 316 | 546 |  |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 212 | 487 | 1503 | 556 | 316 | 546 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 24 | 24 |  | 36 |  |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 | 16 |  | 16 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  |  | 9 | 15 | 9 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | Prot | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 8 |  | 6 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 6 |  |
| Lanes, Volumes, Timings Short-Term Baseline AM |  |  |  |  |  |  | Synchro 10 Report JAB |



```
Area Type: Other
```

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2: and 6:SBL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93
Intersection Signal Delay: $25.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.1\% ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 13: SH 105 \& Woodmoor Dr




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\leftrightarrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 64 | 7 | 3 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 64 | 7 | 3 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 50 | 50 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 128 | 14 | 3 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 0 | 4 |



|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% | ( | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 165 | 603 | 134 | 65 | 347 | 14 | 148 | 41 | 99 | 19 | 27 | 99 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 165 | 603 | 134 | 65 | 347 | 14 | 148 | 41 | 99 | 19 | 27 | 99 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 480 |  | 0 | 480 |  | 250 | 225 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.483 |  |  | 0.424 |  |  | 0.739 |  |  | 0.724 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 900 | 1863 | 1583 | 790 | 3539 | 1583 | 1377 | 1863 | 1583 | 1349 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 141 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 122 |  |  | 101 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 350 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 688 |  |  | 760 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.3 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 174 | 635 | 141 | 75 | 399 | 16 | 183 | 51 | 122 | 19 | 28 | 101 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 174 | 635 | 141 | 75 | 399 | 16 | 183 | 51 | 122 | 19 | 28 | 101 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | L NA | LNA | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  | 4 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | * | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 13.0\% | 64.0\% | 64.0\% | 43.0\% | 43.0\% | 43.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 9.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 72.3 | 70.3 | 70.3 | 57.5 | 57.5 | 57.5 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.24 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.71 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.27 |
| Control Delay | 5.4 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 13.8 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 32.2 | 7.8 | 30.8 | 31.0 | 8.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.4 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 13.8 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 32.2 | 7.8 | 30.8 | 31.0 | 8.0 |
| LOS | A | A | A | B | B | A | D | C | A | C | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.3 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 34.2 |  |  | 15.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay: $13.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.4\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 2: Knollwood Dr \& SH 105


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 | * | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\cdots$ | 中4 | 中4 | 7 | 17 | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 249 | 741 | 1091 | 486 | 296 | 521 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 249 | 741 | 1091 | 486 | 296 | 521 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 300 |  |  | 310 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  |  | 40 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.143 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 517 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 506 |  | 49 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 | 45 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1430 | 956 |  | 362 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 21.7 | 14.5 |  | 8.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 280 | 833 | 1136 | 506 | 308 | 543 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 280 | 833 | 1136 | 506 | 308 | 543 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 24 | 24 |  | 36 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 | 16 |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  |  | 9 | 15 | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 | 94 |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | Prot | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 8 |  | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 6 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 26.0 | 80.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 20.0 | 26.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 26.0\% | 80.0\% | 54.0\% | 54.0\% | 20.0\% | 26.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 21.0 | 75.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 15.0 | 21.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 75.0 | 75.0 | 51.4 | 51.4 | 15.0 | 38.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 0.39 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.60 | 0.85 |
| Control Delay | 4.3 | 4.4 | 23.7 | 6.7 | 45.1 | 38.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.3 | 4.4 | 23.7 | 6.7 | 45.1 | 38.8 |
| LOS | A | A | C | A | D | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 4.4 | 18.4 |  | 41.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | B |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Area Type: Other
```

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and $8: W B T$, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $19.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.8\% ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 13: SH 105 \& Woodmoor Dr



| Major/Minor $\quad$ N | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1085 | 1816 | 292 | 1362 | - | 569 | 585 | 0 | 0 | 1138 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 660 | 660 |  | 994 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 425 | 1156 | - | 368 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.54 | 6.54 | 6.94 | 7.54 | - | 6.94 | 4.14 | - | - | 4.14 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.54 | 5.54 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.54 | 5.54 | - | 6.54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.52 | 4.02 | 3.32 | 3.52 | - | 3.32 | 2.22 | - | - | 2.22 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 171 | 77 | 704 | ~ 107 | 0 | 465 | 986 | - | - | 610 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 418 | 458 | - |  | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 578 | 269 | - | 624 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 139 | 70 | 704 | $\sim 100$ | - | 465 | 986 | - | - | 610 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 139 | 70 |  | $\sim 100$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 406 | 430 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 488 | 261 | - | 585 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  |  | 482.8 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 |  |  |  | SBL | SBT | SBR | BR |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 986 | - | - | - | 100 | 465 | 610 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.009 | - | - |  | 2.143 | 0.131 | 0.063 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.7 | 0.1 | - |  | 615.7 | 13.9 | 11.3 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | A | F | B | B | - | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - |  | 18.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | - | - |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity |  | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  |  | +: Computation Not Defined |  |  |  |  | *: All major volume in platoon |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 172 | 11 | 1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 172 | 11 | 1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fros | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 25 | 25 | 25 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 77 | 77 | 77 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 12 | 688 | 44 | 2 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 性 | 「 | \％ | 4 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Trafic Volume（vph） | 175 | 388 | 41 | 61 | 690 | 22 | 249 | 28 | 38 | 78 | 29 | 290 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 175 | 388 | 41 | 61 | 690 | 22 | 249 | 28 | 38 | 78 | 29 | 290 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 480 |  | 0 | 480 |  | 250 | 225 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.263 |  |  | 0.500 |  |  | 0.732 |  |  | 0.735 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 490 | 1863 | 1583 | 931 | 3539 | 1583 | 1364 | 1863 | 1583 | 1369 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 48 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 301 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 350 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 688 |  |  | 757 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 5.3 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 206 | 456 | 48 | 69 | 784 | 25 | 300 | 34 | 46 | 105 | 39 | 392 |
| Shared Lane Trafic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 206 | 456 | 48 | 69 | 784 | 25 | 300 | 34 | 46 | 105 | 39 | 392 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | LNA | LNA | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（ft） | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（ t ） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（ft） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl＋Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl＋Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（ft） |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（ft） |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  | 4 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 9 | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 14.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.6\% | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 10.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 57.8 | 55.8 | 55.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.62 |
| Control Delay | 7.0 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 0.1 | 54.7 | 24.1 | 1.9 | 28.3 | 24.2 | 11.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 7.0 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 0.1 | 54.7 | 24.1 | 1.9 | 28.3 | 24.2 | 11.8 |
| LOS | A | A | A | B | B | A | D | C | A | C | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.3 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  | 45.6 |  |  | 15.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 49 (54\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85

Intersection Signal Delay: 18.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.7\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service C

Splits and Phases: 2: Knollwood Dr \& SH 105




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  | ${ }^{4}$ |  | F |  | + ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 44 | 7 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 2 | 70 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 606 | 94 | 36 | 624 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 2 | 70 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 606 | 94 | 36 | 624 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 120 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 110 | - | 145 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 38 | 38 | 38 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 69 | 69 | 69 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 84 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 681 | 106 | 52 | 904 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 63 | 7 | 3 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 3 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 63 | 7 | 3 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 3 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 50 | 50 | 50 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 76 | 76 | 76 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 126 | 14 | 3 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 0 | 4 |  |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 217 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 362 | 367 | 217 | 365 | 360 | 133 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 223 | 223 |  | 137 | 137 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 139 | 144 | - | 228 | 223 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - |  | 2.218 | - |  | - 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1353 | - | - | 1443 | - | - | 594 | 562 | 823 | 591 | 567 | 916 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 780 | 719 | - | 866 | 783 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 864 | 778 | - | 775 | 719 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1353 | - | - | 1443 | - | - | 590 | 560 | 823 | 582 | 565 | 916 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - |  | 590 | 560 |  | 582 | 565 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 778 | 718 | - | 864 | 781 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 859 | 776 | - | 764 | 718 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | SE |  |  | NW |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | WWLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SELn1 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 614 | 1353 | - | - | 1443 | - | - | 823 |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.045 | 0.001 | - | - | 0.002 | - | - | 0.013 |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 11.1 | 7.7 | 0 | - | 7.5 | 0 | - | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | A | A | - | A | A A | - | A |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | 0 | - | - | 0 |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | － | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 167 | 603 | 134 | 65 | 347 | 15 | 148 | 41 | 99 | 20 | 27 | 101 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 167 | 603 | 134 | 65 | 347 | 15 | 148 | 41 | 99 | 20 | 27 | 101 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 480 |  | 0 | 480 |  | 250 | 225 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.483 |  |  | 0.424 |  |  | 0.739 |  |  | 0.724 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 900 | 1863 | 1583 | 790 | 3539 | 1583 | 1377 | 1863 | 1583 | 1349 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 141 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 122 |  |  | 103 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 350 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 688 |  |  | 760 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 5.3 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 176 | 635 | 141 | 75 | 399 | 17 | 183 | 51 | 122 | 20 | 28 | 103 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 176 | 635 | 141 | 75 | 399 | 17 | 183 | 51 | 122 | 20 | 28 | 103 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | LNA | LNA | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（tt） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（ft） | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（tt） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（ft） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（ft） |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（ft） |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  | 4 |


|  | * |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | \% | ( | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 13.0\% | 64.0\% | 64.0\% | 43.0\% | 43.0\% | 43.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 9.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 72.3 | 70.3 | 70.3 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.24 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.71 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.27 |
| Control Delay | 5.4 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 13.9 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 52.3 | 32.2 | 7.8 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 8.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.4 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 13.9 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 52.3 | 32.2 | 7.8 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 8.0 |
| LOS | A | A | A | B | B | A | D | C | A | C | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.3 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 34.2 |  |  | 15.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay: $13.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.4\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Knollwood Dr \& SH 105


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 17 | 44 | 中4 | 「 | 7 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 249 | 741 | 1092 | 485 | 295 | 520 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 249 | 741 | 1092 | 485 | 295 | 520 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 300 |  |  | 310 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  |  | 40 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.142 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 513 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 505 |  | 48 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 | 45 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1430 | 956 |  | 362 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 21.7 | 14.5 |  | 8.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 280 | 833 | 1138 | 505 | 307 | 542 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 280 | 833 | 1138 | 505 | 307 | 542 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment Left |  | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |
|  |  | 24 | 24 |  | 36 |  |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) 16 16 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  |  | 9 | 15 | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 20 | 6 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 | 94 |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | Prot | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 8 |  | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 6 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\Perp$ | 4 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 26.0 | 80.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 20.0 | 26.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 26.0\% | 80.0\% | 54.0\% | 54.0\% | 20.0\% | 26.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 21.0 | 75.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 15.0 | 21.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 75.0 | 75.0 | 51.4 | 51.4 | 15.0 | 38.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 0.39 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.60 | 0.85 |
| Control Delay | 4.3 | 4.4 | 23.7 | 6.7 | 45.1 | 38.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.3 | 4.4 | 23.7 | 6.7 | 45.1 | 38.9 |
| LOS | A | A | C | A | D | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 4.4 | 18.4 |  | 41.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | B |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Area Type: Other
```

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and $8: W B T$, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: $19.4 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.7\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 13: SH 105 \& Woodmoor Dr




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 172 | 11 | 1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 172 | 11 | 1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fros | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 25 | 25 | 25 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 77 | 77 | 77 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 20 | 688 | 44 | 2 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 |



|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | 「 | \% | ¢4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F' | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 200 | 500 | 200 | 75 | 1070 | 85 | 95 | 40 | 75 | 100 | 50 | 325 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 200 | 500 | 200 | 75 | 1070 | 85 | 95 | 40 | 75 | 100 | 50 | 325 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 480 |  | 0 | 480 |  | 250 | 225 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| FIt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.175 |  |  | 0.469 |  |  | 0.722 |  |  | 0.730 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 326 | 1863 | 1583 | 874 | 3539 | 1583 | 1345 | 1863 | 1583 | 1360 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 211 |  |  | 89 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 249 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 350 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 688 |  |  | 771 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.3 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 211 | 526 | 211 | 79 | 1126 | 89 | 100 | 42 | 79 | 105 | 53 | 342 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 211 | 526 | 211 | 79 | 1126 | 89 | 100 | 42 | 79 | 105 | 53 | 342 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | LNA | LNA | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(t) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector ( t ) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (tt) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(f) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(f) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  | 4 |

2040 Baseline AM

|  | 4 |  | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ |  | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 14.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.6\% | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 10.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 66.7 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.54 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.56 | 0.09 | 0.47 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 0.18 | 0.74 |
| Control Delay | 10.9 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 13.2 | 15.3 | 3.5 | 39.7 | 30.6 | 7.9 | 40.3 | 31.3 | 20.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.9 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 13.2 | 15.3 | 3.5 | 39.7 | 30.6 | 7.9 | 40.3 | 31.3 | 20.1 |
| LOS | B | A | A | B | B | A | D | C | A | D | C | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 4.3 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  | 26.6 |  |  | 25.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 49 (54\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.74
Intersection Signal Delay: $13.9 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Knollwood Dr \& SH 105



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 67 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 70.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.6\% | 77.8\% | 52.2\% | 52.2\% | 22.2\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 | 65.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 65.0 | 65.0 | 42.3 | 42.3 | 15.0 | 37.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 0.42 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.42 | 0.26 | 0.95 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.92 |
| Control Delay | 11.1 | 4.6 | 35.4 | 5.2 | 40.1 | 46.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 11.1 | 4.6 | 35.4 | 5.2 | 40.1 | 46.2 |
| LOS | B | A | D | A | D | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.8 | 27.0 |  | 44.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | C |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 43 (48\%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95
Intersection Signal Delay: $26.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.0\% ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15
ICU Level of Service E

Splits and Phases: 13: SH 105 \& Woodmoor Dr


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 20.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 10 | 120 | 0 | 50 | 10 | 725 | 200 | 50 | 735 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 10 | 120 | 0 | 50 | 10 | 725 | 200 | 50 | 735 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 110 | - | 145 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 38 | 38 | 38 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 26 | 126 | 0 | 53 | 11 | 763 | 211 | 53 | 774 | 0 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.2 | 223.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| HCM LOS | B | F |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 837 | - | -611 | 91 | 526 | 704 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.013 | - | -0.043 | 1.388 | 0.1 | 0.075 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.4 | 0.1 | - | $11.2 \$ 311.7$ | 12.6 | 10.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | B | F | B | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds $300 s \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 7 | 3 | 200 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 7 | 3 | 200 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 79 | 7 | 3 | 211 | 0 |



|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | － | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 250 | 900 | 200 | 100 | 580 | 65 | 165 | 50 | 125 | 125 | 50 | 150 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 250 | 900 | 200 | 100 | 580 | 65 | 165 | 50 | 125 | 125 | 50 | 150 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 480 |  | 0 | 480 |  | 250 | 225 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| FIt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.368 |  |  | 0.247 |  |  | 0.722 |  |  | 0.722 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 685 | 1863 | 1583 | 460 | 3539 | 1583 | 1345 | 1863 | 1583 | 1345 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 210 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 126 |  |  | 158 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 350 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 688 |  |  | 765 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 5.3 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 263 | 947 | 211 | 105 | 611 | 68 | 174 | 53 | 132 | 132 | 53 | 158 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 263 | 947 | 211 | 105 | 611 | 68 | 174 | 53 | 132 | 132 | 53 | 158 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | LNA | LNA | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（t） |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（ t ） | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（tt） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（f） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl＋Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl＋Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（f） |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（ft） |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel Com drex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  | 4 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | V | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 13.0\% | 64.0\% | 64.0\% | 43.0\% | 43.0\% | 43.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 9.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 72.6 | 70.6 | 70.6 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.43 | 0.72 | 0.18 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.70 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.38 |
| Control Delay | 6.3 | 10.9 | 0.6 | 21.8 | 13.5 | 4.0 | 52.6 | 32.6 | 8.7 | 43.5 | 32.6 | 7.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 6.3 | 10.9 | 0.6 | 21.8 | 13.5 | 4.0 | 52.6 | 32.6 | 8.7 | 43.5 | 32.6 | 7.7 |
| LOS | A | B | A | C | B | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.5 |  |  | 13.8 |  |  | 33.5 |  |  | 25.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 94.0\% ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Knollwood Dr \& SH 105


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | ( |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ** | 44 | 44 | T | ${ }^{7} 1$ | T |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 400 | 850 | 1335 | 585 | 400 | 645 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 400 | 850 | 1335 | 585 | 400 | 645 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 300 |  |  | 310 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  |  | 40 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.078 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 282 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 | 3433 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 549 |  | 17 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 | 45 |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1430 | 956 |  | 362 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 21.7 | 14.5 |  | 8.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 421 | 895 | 1405 | 616 | 421 | 679 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 421 | 895 | 1405 | 616 | 421 | 679 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 24 | 24 |  | 36 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 | 16 |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  |  | 9 | 15 | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 20 | 6 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 | 94 |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm | Prot | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 | 8 |  | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 6 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 | 78.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 22.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 27.0\% | 78.0\% | 51.0\% | 51.0\% | 22.0\% | 27.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.0 | 73.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 17.0 | 22.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 73.0 | 73.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 17.0 | 44.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.17 | 0.44 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.47 | 0.35 | 0.86 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 0.96 |
| Control Delay | 16.1 | 5.3 | 33.6 | 8.8 | 47.2 | 53.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 16.1 | 5.3 | 33.6 | 8.8 | 47.2 | 53.7 |
| LOS | B | A | C | A | D | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.8 | 26.0 |  | 51.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | C |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay: $27.1 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.2\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 13: SH 105 \& Woodmoor Dr


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 141.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 「 |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 10 | 250 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 675 | 355 | 75 | 785 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 10 | 250 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 675 | 355 | 75 | 785 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 120 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 110 | - | 145 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 11 | 263 | 0 | 105 | 11 | 711 | 374 | 79 | 826 | 0 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 800 | - | - | 588 | 74 | 484 | 639 | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 175 | 11 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 175 | 11 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mumt Flow | 3 | 184 | 12 | 1 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 |  |



|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{*}$ | \% | 个个 | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 200 | 500 | 200 | 75 | 1070 | 85 | 95 | 40 | 75 | 100 | 50 | 330 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 200 | 500 | 200 | 75 | 1070 | 85 | 95 | 40 | 75 | 100 | 50 | 330 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 480 |  | 0 | 480 |  | 250 | 225 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.175 |  |  | 0.469 |  |  | 0.722 |  |  | 0.730 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 326 | 1863 | 1583 | 874 | 3539 | 1583 | 1345 | 1863 | 1583 | 1360 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 211 |  |  | 89 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 249 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( ft ) |  | 350 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 688 |  |  | 763 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.3 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 211 | 526 | 211 | 79 | 1126 | 89 | 100 | 42 | 79 | 105 | 53 | 347 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 211 | 526 | 211 | 79 | 1126 | 89 | 100 | 42 | 79 | 105 | 53 | 347 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | LNA | LNA | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (tt) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  | 4 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | * | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 14.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.6\% | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 10.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 66.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 51.2 | 51.2 | 51.2 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.54 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.56 | 0.09 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.75 |
| Control Delay | 10.9 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 13.2 | 15.3 | 3.6 | 39.4 | 30.4 | 7.8 | 39.9 | 31.1 | 20.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.9 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 13.2 | 15.3 | 3.6 | 39.4 | 30.4 | 7.8 | 39.9 | 31.1 | 20.8 |
| LOS | B | A | A | B | B | A | D | C | A | D | C | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 4.3 |  |  | 14.4 |  |  | 26.4 |  |  | 25.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 49 (54\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.0\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service C

Splits and Phases: 2: Knollwood Dr \& SH 105



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 | ( | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 67 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 70.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.6\% | 77.8\% | 52.2\% | 52.2\% | 22.2\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 | 65.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 65.0 | 65.0 | 42.3 | 42.3 | 15.0 | 37.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 0.42 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.42 | 0.26 | 0.95 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.92 |
| Control Delay | 11.1 | 4.6 | 35.4 | 5.2 | 40.1 | 46.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 11.1 | 4.6 | 35.4 | 5.2 | 40.1 | 46.2 |
| LOS | B | A | D | A | D | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.8 | 27.0 |  | 44.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | C |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: 43 (48\%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95
Intersection Signal Delay: $26.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.0\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 13: SH 105 \& Woodmoor Dr


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | （1） |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 个4 | 「 |  |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 10 | 119 | － | 50 | 10 | 725 | 200 | 50 | 735 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 0 | 10 | 119 | 0 | 50 | 10 | 725 | 200 | 50 | 735 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stor | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |  |
| Storage Length | － | － | － | － | － | 0 | － | － | － | 110 | － | 145 |  |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 38 | 38 | 38 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 26 | 125 | 0 | 53 | 11 | 763 | 211 | 53 | 774 | 0 |  |



| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity（veh／h） | 837 | - | -611 | 91 | 526 | 704 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.013 | - | -0.043 | 1.377 | 0.1 | 0.075 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | 9.4 | 0.1 | - | $11.2 \$ 307.3$ | 12.6 | 10.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | B | F | B | B | - |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ ：Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ ：Delay exceeds $300 s \quad+$ ：Computation Not Defined $\quad$ ：All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 75 | 7 | 3 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 3 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 75 | 7 | 3 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 3 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control F | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |  | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 79 | 7 | 3 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 3 |  |



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 4 | 「 | \％ | 性 | 「 | \％ | 4 | 「 | 7 | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 250 | 900 | 200 | 100 | 580 | 65 | 165 | 50 | 125 | 125 | 50 | 150 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 250 | 900 | 200 | 100 | 580 | 65 | 165 | 50 | 125 | 125 | 50 | 150 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 480 |  | 0 | 480 |  | 250 | 225 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.368 |  |  | 0.247 |  |  | 0.722 |  |  | 0.722 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 685 | 1863 | 1583 | 460 | 3539 | 1583 | 1345 | 1863 | 1583 | 1345 | 1863 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 210 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 126 |  |  | 158 |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 45 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 350 |  |  | 892 |  |  | 688 |  |  | 752 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 5.3 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 17.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 263 | 947 | 211 | 105 | 611 | 68 | 174 | 53 | 132 | 132 | 53 | 158 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 263 | 947 | 211 | 105 | 611 | 68 | 174 | 53 | 132 | 132 | 53 | 158 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | LNA | LNA | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | ， | ， | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（ft） | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector（tt） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position（ft） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size（ft） | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex | Cl＋Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position（ft） |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size（ft） |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |  | Cl＋Ex |  |

Detector 2 Channel

| Detector 2 Extend（s） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Turn Type | pm +pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 8 | 4 |  | 4 |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | * | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 6.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 12.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 13.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 13.0\% | 64.0\% | 64.0\% | 43.0\% | 43.0\% | 43.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% | 36.0\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 9.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 72.6 | 70.6 | 70.6 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.43 | 0.72 | 0.18 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.70 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.38 |
| Control Delay | 6.3 | 10.9 | 0.6 | 21.8 | 13.5 | 4.0 | 52.6 | 32.6 | 8.7 | 43.5 | 32.6 | 7.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 6.3 | 10.9 | 0.6 | 21.8 | 13.5 | 4.0 | 52.6 | 32.6 | 8.7 | 43.5 | 32.6 | 7.7 |
| LOS | A | B | A | C | B | A | D | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.5 |  |  | 13.8 |  |  | 33.5 |  |  | 25.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72
Intersection Signal Delay: $15.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 94.0\% ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Knollwood Dr \& SH 105




## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay: 27.2 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.2\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 13: SH 105 \& Woodmoor Dr


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 140 | 140.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  | \% |  | T |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 7 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 10 | 249 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 675 | 355 | 75 | 785 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 10 | 249 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 675 | 355 | 75 | 785 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | 120 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 110 | - | 145 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 11 | 262 | 0 | 105 | 11 | 711 | 374 | 79 | 826 | 0 |


HCM LOS B F

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 800 | - | - | 588 | 74 | 484 | 639 | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 175 | 11 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 175 | 11 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Fr | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 184 | 12 | 1 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 |



