# LSC Responses to Redline Comments 

Brookmoor Homeowners Association<br>Page 2<br>February 24, 2020<br>Response to Comments Memorandum

LSC Response: The number of lots on a dead-end roadway would remain only at 10 because the 59 lots would have two access points. The allowable county cul-de-sac (in terms of number of units max. 25 units) would have a corresponding estimated ADT of about 250 daily trips. The projected ADT for South Park Drive with this PUD Amendment is 125 (Note: the Brookmoor residents have an alternative and could use the Moveen Heights access in the event of an accident). Note: Even if the Brookmoor added traffic were hypothetically based on ITE trip generation rates, the projected total ADT would be 160 vpd. The proposed condition would be a better situation for South Park residents in the event of an emergency/accident because the gate could be opened by emergency service personnel, if deemed necessary, to provide exit and/or entry for South Park residents.

## PCD Engineering Manager's Comments:

\#1: The TIS cites striping adjustments are needed at Lake Woodmoor/and Woodmoor Drive. The report did not note if the asphalt is of adequate width or not for the extension of these turn lanes. It also did not address who should make these changes.

This did not address the comment. The TIS should go into more detail about LSC the needs at this intersection and state if this would alleviate the issues that mincBrookmoor residents are experiencing, thus alleviating the need to open the ly interback gate to this development.
\# 2: The report states that no improvements are nee flaws with this. First, the estimated traffic wa increased. Next, the TIS uses counts for the traffi other traffic, causing an unrealistic comparisor adequate for this additional traffic and should were to open.

Appendix table 1 and appendix table 2 as well as the findings and conclusion use the actual counts and not the ITE estimated trip
generation for the Brookmoor subdivision. The ITE trip generation values should be used in all cases for calculating the traffic volume from this subdivision. Please revise and use the ITE values for all calculation. Please show the peak LSC Response: LSC put together a very detailed anthour calculation in this report (for cars exiting South Park. The details of the distribution and trip re the site), and use the ITE trip generation value when performing the calculation. Based on the left turn movement vs the right turn movement when a resident uses the front gate vs the potential back gate, this report should justify the projected split in exiting traffic that is to use the account the unique characteristics of this commurback gate (see next comment).
Again, the report doesn't state the improvements needed for 3 or is a gated-community with S Park. S Park is not constructed to current County We suspect that the ITE rates are primarily standards and opening this gate to the Brookmoor traffic $O A$ fees similar to Brookmoor. These would require making the improvements to the existing from those on which ITE trip width and depth of the pavement. Due to the known traffic issues at the WM/Lake WM intersection the traffic split exiting the Brookmoor site (back gate) in the am PH should be much higher than is shown. It could be assumed that the entire trips exiting at Moveen to the left and wanting to turn left onto LWM Dr would exit the back gate in the AM PH. All tables should use ITE trip generation values.

## LSC Responses to Redline Comments

## Page: 2

Number: $1 \quad$ Author: dsdnijkampSubject: Engineer Date: 8/12/2020 7:22:14 PM
This did not address the comment. The TIS should go into more detail about the needs at this intersection and state if this would alleviate the issues that Brookmoor residents are experiencing, thus alleviating the need to open the back gate to this development.

SAuthor: jchodsdon Subject: Sticky Note Date: 3/21/2022 12:31:35 PM
LSC Response: This comment has been addressed in the updated TIS report. The report presents existing conditions at the top of page 12 and in section 3.6 on page 13. A more detailed level of service analysis is presented in sections 3.9 and 6.2 . An analysis of signal-warrant thresholds is included in section 3.10. Section 8.1 has been added to address striping and laneage. Projected signal warrants are also included in this section.

The opening of the back gate would only help to reduce delay/congestion at this intersection, although a minor amount, as trips would be "removed" from this intersection.
$\equiv$ Number: $2 \quad$ Author: dsdnijkampSubject: Engineer $\quad$ Date: 3/31/2020 5:17:52 PM
Appendix table 1 and appendix table 2 as well as the findings and conclusion use the actual counts and not the ITE estimated trip generation for the Brookmoor subdivision. The ITE trip generation values should be used in all cases for calculating the traffic volume from this subdivision. Please revise and use the ITE values for all calculation. Please show the peak hour calculation in this report (for cars exiting the site), and use the ITE trip generation value when performing the calculation. Based on the left turn movement vs the right turn movement when a resident uses the front gate vs the potential back gate, this report should justify the projected split in exiting traffic that is to use the back gate (see next comment).
S. Author: jchodsdon Subject: Sticky Note Date: $3 / 21 / 2022$ 12:31:59 PM
LSC Response: The report has been updated to use ITE trip-generation rates and values (Please refer to page 10
and Table 7 (p.23) of the updated report). The trip generation based on actual counts remains in the table for
comparison. ITE trip-generation rates for ITE Land Use 251 "Senior Adult Housing (detached)" have been used for
the updated trip-generation estimate. The report explains (on page 9) the rationale for use of this land-use
category for this community (versus land use 220- Single-Family Detached Housing). The volume projections,
analysis, findings, and conclusions have been updated based on the revised trip-generation estimate.
$\equiv$ Number: $3 \quad$ Author: dsdnijkampSubject: Engineer $\quad$ Date: 3/31/2020 5:13:51 PM
Again, the report doesn't state the improvements needed for S Park. S Park is not constructed to current County standards and opening this gate to the Brookmoor traffic would require making the improvements to the existing width and depth of the pavement. Due to the known traffic issues at the WM/Lake WM intersection the traffic split exiting the Brookmoor site (back gate) in the am PH should be much higher than is shown. It could be assumed that the entire trips exiting at Moveen to the left and wanting to turn left onto LWM Dr would exit the back gate in the AM PH. All tables should use ITE trip generation values.

Author: jchodsdon Subject: Sticky Note Date: 3/21/2022 12:33:17 PM
LSC Response: The updated report provides additional information to address this comment. Please refer to the applicable discussion in section 3.1, findings in section 7.2, and summary in section 9.1 of the updated report. The County road inventory shows this as a 24 ' local road - like most other roads within Woodmoor.

ITE trip generation has been used in the analysis, as mentioned in section 9.2, bullet no. 2 .
The trip distribution and routing splits were addressed in more detail in sections 5.1 and 5.2. Also, please refer to the "sensitivity analysis" in section 5.3.

The pavement loading due to the existing homes along South Park Drive plus the impact of some added

LSC Response: The number of lots on a dead-end roadway would remain only at 10 because the 59 lots would have two access points. The allowable county cul-de-sac (in terms of number of units max. 25 units) would have a corresponding estimated ADT of about 250 daily trips. The projected ADT for South Park Drive with this PUD Amendment is 125 (Note: the Brookmoor residents have an alternative and could use the Moveen Heights access in the event of an accident). Note: Even if the Brookmoor added traffic were hypothetically based on ITE trip generation rates, the projected total ADT would be 160 vpd. The proposed condition would be a better situation for South Park residents in the event of an emergency/accident because the gate could be opened by emergency service personnel, if deemed necessary, to provide exit and/or entry for South Park residents.

## PCD Engineering Manager's Comments:

\#1: The TIS cites striping adjustments are needed at Lake Woodmoor/and Woodmoor Drive. The report did not note if the asphalt is of adequate width or not for the extension of these turn lanes. It also did not address who should make these changes.

This did not address the comment. The TIS should go into more detail about LSC the needs at this intersection and state if this would alleviate the issues that mincBrookmoor residents are experiencing, thus alleviating the need to open the ly interback gate to this development.
\# 2: The report states that no improvements are nee flaws with this. First, the estimated traffic wa increased. Next, the TIS uses counts for the traffi other traffic, causing an unrealistic comparisor adequate for this additional traffic and should were to open.

Appendix table 1 and appendix table 2 as well as the findings and conclusion use the actual counts and not the ITE estimated trip
generation for the Brookmoor subdivision. The ITE trip generation values should be used in all cases for calculating the traffic volume from this subdivision. Please revise and use the ITE values for all calculation. Please show the peak LSC Response: LSC put together a very detailed anthour calculation in this report (for cars exiting South Park. The details of the distribution and trip re

Most traffic studies are for developments not ye generation rates based on actual counts from Brool existing, established development and the calculat
the site), and use the ITE trip generation value when performing the calculation. Based on the left turn movement vs the right turn movement when a resident uses the front gate vs the potential back gate, this report should justify the projected split in exiting traffic that is to use the back gate (see next comment).

Again, the report doesn't state the improvements needed for oor is a gated-community with S Park. S Park is not constructed to current County We suspect that the ITE rates are primarily standards and opening this gate to the Brookmoor traffic $\mathrm{OA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ fees similar to Brookmoor. These would require making the improvements to the existing from those on which ITE trip width and depth of the pavement. Due to the known traffic issues at the WM/Lake WM intersection the traffic split exiting the Brookmoor site (back gate) in the am PH should be much higher than is shown. It could be assumed that the entire trips exiting at Moveen to the left and wanting to turn left onto LWM Dr would exit the back gate in the AM PH. All tables should use ITE trip generation values.

Brookmoor passenger vehicles to the overall ESAL would be well below the design ESAL of a rural local road. Please refer Appendix B of the updated report for details.
required, was not between Brookmoor and "other traffic"- rather between Brookmoor "actual recorded" trip generation versus "hypothetical" trip generation for Brookmoor based on ITE rates. Note: The peak-hour volumes for South Park Drive have been based on actual peak hour counts. The estimate of existing daily traffic on South Park Drive (based on ITE rates) may actually be conservative as the peak-hour volumes counted are lower than hypothetical trip projections based on average ITE rates. Note: Even if the Brookmoor added traffic were based on ITE trip generation rates, the total ADT would be 160 vpd .
\#3 and \#4: The intersection of Lake Woodmoor Drive and Woodmoor drive should be assessed to see if it meets warrants for a signal. A signal at this location may alleviate the issues that Brookmoor is having with the traffic. At this time Engineering cannot support the opening of this gate for the local traffic as requested without proposed improvements to South Park Drive. If the gate is to be opened to local Brookmoor traffic roadway improvements would be required for South Park drive from the gate to Knollwood. This would include both width and depth of asphalt

LSC Response to Comment \#3: This proposed change would reduce traffic (by a relatively minor
 This did not address the comment. The TIS should go into more detail about would provi the needs at this intersection and state if a signal would alleviate the issues intersection that Brookmoor residents are experiencing, thus alleviating the need to open neighborhocthe back gate to this development. A signal warrant should be performed.

LSC Response to Comment \#4: The width is addressed in the traffic study along with documentation and discussion of the limited use of on-street parking. Regarding the pavement depth, trucks have significantly more impact to pavement than passenger vehicles - only passenger vehicles will be allowed to use the connection and not trucks.

JCH:jas
The added traffic to S Park warrants the need to upgrade the width (and possibly the depth) of S Park. Because there were no cars parked on the street during your survey is moot. Cars are allowed to park on S Park. S Park should be upgraded to today's standards to account for these additional trips. Knollwood should also be evaluated in this report. Engineering could support the opening of this gate to internal residents if S Park is upgraded to current EPC standards and if Knollwood is analyzed and any needed improvements to it were proposed to be constructed with this gate opening.
三 Number: $1 \quad$ Author: dsdnijkampSubject: Engineer $\quad$ Date: 3/31/2020 5:20:34 PM

This did not address the comment. The TIS should go into more detail about the needs at this intersection and state if a signal would alleviate the issues that Brookmoor residents are experiencing, thus alleviating the need to open the back gate to this development. A signal warrant should be performed.

5 Author: jchodsdon Subject: Sticky Note Date: 3/21/2022 12:29:56 PM
LSC Response: This comment has been addressed in the updated TIS report. The updated report includes a signal-warrant chart evaluation (see Sections 3.10 and 8.1 , second subsection) of the updated report). The opening of the back gate would only help to reduce delay/congestion at this intersection, although a minor amount, as trips would be "removed" from this intersection. It is our understanding is that the delay on the westbound approach to this intersection is NOT the primary reason the HOA is requesting the gate be opened. Please refer to the applicable discussion in section 9.3 of the updated report.

Regarding the striping evaluation of Woodmoor Drive, please refer to Section 8.1, first subsection.
$\equiv$ Number: $2 \quad$ Author: dsdnijkampSubject: Engineer Date: 4/1/2020 9:54:18 AM
The added traffic to S Park warrants the need to upgrade the width (and possibly the depth) of S Park. Because there were no cars parked on the street during your survey is moot. Cars are allowed to park on S Park. S Park should be upgraded to today's standards to account for these additional trips. Knollwood should also be evaluated in this report. Engineering could support the opening of this gate to internal residents if S Park is upgraded to current EPC standards and if Knollwood is analyzed and any needed improvements to it were proposed to be constructed with this gate opening.
S. Author: jchodsdon Subject: Sticky Note Date: 3/21/2022 12:34:05 PM

LSC Response: The updated report provides additional information to address this comment. Please refer to section 3.1 , findings in section 7.2 , and summary in section 9.1 of the updated report. The County road inventory shows this as a 24 ' local road - like most other roads within Woodmoor.

Regarding Knollwood/Highway 105, please refer to section 3.4. The Knollwood Drive/South Park Drive intersection is analyzed at the end of section 3.4 and in section 3.5 . Section 8.2 indicates that the impact of the requested PUD Amendment is less than a five percent increase on Knollwood Drive.

The pavement loading due to the existing homes along South Park Drive plus the impact of some added Brookmoor passenger vehicles to the overall ESAL would be well below the design ESAL of a rural local road. Please refer to Appendix B in the updated report.

