DRAINAGE LETTER REPORT

for

NOR'WOOD BIBLE CHURCH LOT 38, SADDLEHORN RANCH FILING NO. 3

Prepared for:

ROI Property Group, LLC 2495 Rigdon Street Napa, CA 94558

October 13, 2023 Revised January 15, 2024 Revised May 9, 2024

Prepared by:



19 E. Willamette Ave. Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719)-477-9429 www.jpsengr.com

JPS Project No. 042303 PCD Filing No. PPR2346

NOR'WOOD BIBLE CHURCH LOT 38, SADDLEHORN RANCH FILING NO. 3 DRAINAGE LETTER REPORT <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>

PAGE

	DRAINAGE STATEMENT	i
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	EXISTING / PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	2
III.	DRAINAGE PLANNING FOUR STEP PROCESS	5
IV.	FLOODPLAIN IMPACTS	6
V.	STORMWATER DETENTION AND WATER QUALITY	6
VI.	PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS / DRAINAGE BASIN FEES	6
VII.	SUMMARY	7

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Excerpts from Subdivision Drainage Report
APPENDIX B	Hydrologic Calculations
APPENDIX C	Hydraulic Calculations
APPENDIX D	Drainage Cost Estimate

APPENDIX E	Figures
Sheet EX1	Existing Conditions Drainage Plan
Sheet PBMP	PBMP Applicability Exhibit
Sheet D1	Developed Drainage Plan

DRAINAGE STATEMENT

Engineer's Statement:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for liability caused by negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

John P. Schwab

John P. Schwab, P.E. #29891

Developer's Statement:

I, the developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

By:

Date

El Paso County's Statement

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, and Engineering Criteria Manual as amended.

Joshua Palmer, P.E. County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Conditions:



I. INTRODUCTION

A. Property Location and Description

Nor'Wood Bible Church is planning to construct a new church on a vacant 5-acre property in the Saddlehorn Ranch Subdivision southeast of the intersection of Judge Orr Road and Curtis Road in eastern El Paso County, Colorado. The property is currently being platted as Lot 38, Saddlehorn Ranch Filing No. 3 (currently a part of the tract identified as El Paso County Assessor's Parcel Number 43000-00-635). The site is located along the east side of Barrosito Trail.

The project consists of a new 12,000 square-foot, single-story Church Building with associated parking and site improvements. The property is bounded by platted rural residential lots within Saddlehorn Ranch Filing No. 3 along the west, south, and east sides. The north boundary of the property adjoins Judge Orr Road, which is an asphalt-paved arterial public street. The west boundary of the site adjoins Barrosito Trail, which is an asphalt-paved local public street.

The total anticipated land disturbance associated with the project is approximately 3.7 acres.

The property is zoned RR-2.5 (Rural Residential -2.5-acre minimum lot sizes), and the proposed site development is a permitted use within the existing zoning of the site. Access to the site will be provided by a private driveway connection to Barrosito Trail along the west boundary of the property.

The site is located in the Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin, and surface drainage from this site flows southeasterly to existing drainage swales and channels, ultimately flowing to Black Squirrel Creek.

JR Engineering, LLC prepared the "Final Drainage Report for Saddlehorn Ranch – Filing 3," dated July 13, 2023 (EDARP Project No. SF234) which provides detailed drainage planning for the subdivision filing including this lot. This report is intended to meet the requirements of a site-specific "Letter Type" drainage report in accordance with El Paso County subdivision drainage criteria.

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	REFERENCE
Design Storm (initial/major)	5-year/100-year	CS/EPC DCM
Storm Runoff	Rational Method (Area<100acres)	CS/EPC DCM
Major Drainage Basin	Haegler Ranch	
Floodplain Impacts	Parcel is located outside any delineated	FIRM
	FEMA floodplains	
Existing Downstream	Existing roadside ditches and culverts flowing	
Facilities	to Saddlehorn Ranch Detention Pond D	

B. Drainage Analysis Methods and Criteria

CS/EPC DCM = City of Colorado Springs & El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual C:\Users\Owner\Dropbox\jpsprojects\042303.saddlehorn\admin\drainage\Drg-Ltr-Norwood-Bible-0124.docx

C. References

City of Colorado Springs & El Paso County "Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2," revised May, 2014.

El Paso County "Engineering Criteria Manual," December 13, 2016.

JR Engineering, LLC, "Final Drainage Report (FDR) for Saddlehorn Ranch – Filing 3," July 13, 2023 (EDARP Project No. SF234).

JR Engineering, LLC, "Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report for Saddlehorn Ranch," May 8, 2020.

II. EXISTING / PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The site slopes downward to the southeast, with average grades of 1-4 percent.

As detailed in the subdivision drainage report, on-site soils are classified by SCS as type 19, "Columbine gravelly sandy loam" soils. These soils have high infiltration rates, rapid permeability, and low runoff potential. The soils are classified as hydrologic soils group A.

Subdivision Drainage Report

Drainage planning for this site was previously studied in the detailed subdivision drainage report entitled "Final Drainage Report (FDR) for Saddlehorn Ranch – Filing 3," dated July 13, 2023, by JR Engineering, LLC. According to the FDR, the proposed church site lies within Basin D1, which is described as follows:

"Basin D consists of Sub-basins D1-D7 combining for a total of 74.66 acres. In its existing condition, Basin D is rolling rangeland and runoff generally flows east to Draingeway WF-R7A. In the proposed condition, Basin D will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway, a church site and will include Pond D located in the northeast corner of the future Filing 4 development. Pond D is a full spectrum water quality and detention pond, and will release at less than historic rates into Drainageway WF-R7A."

The FDR identifies Basin D1 as having developed peak flows of $Q_5 = 4.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 13.5$ cfs (see "Proposed Drainage Map, Sheet 1 of 4" Appendix A). The subdivision drainage report accounted for the proposed church site development within Basin D1, and the church site layout depicted on the subdivision drainage plan is fully consistent with the currently proposed site development plan. "Proposed Drainage Map, Sheet 2 of 4" depicts the downstream roadside ditches and culverts flowing easterly along Barrosito Trail and Barranca Place into Detention Pond D.

The rational method hydrologic calculations in the FDR assumed an impervious area of 35% for the church site development (see Appendix A), which is slightly higher than the actual impervious area calculated for the proposed church site (30.5% as tabulated in Appendix B).

Existing Conditions Drainage Plan

For consistency with the previously approved subdivision drainage report, the church site has been delineated as Basin D1.1 (see Sh. EX1, Appendix E). The existing vacant site sheet flows towards the southeast corner of the property, with existing peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 1.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 7.8$ cfs.

Developed Drainage Plan

General Description

As shown on the Developed Drainage Plan (Sh. D1, Appendix D), the proposed church site has been delineated as Basin D1.1, which drains by sheet flow, curb and gutter, and drainage swales to the roadside ditch at the southeast corner of the property. Basin D1.1 in this report is a subset of "Basin D1" identified in the FDR, comprising only the church site.

Developed flows have been calculated based on the impervious areas associated with the proposed building and parking improvements. The enclosed calculations include the currently proposed building and parking areas along with the future pole barn building and gravel parking areas identified on the Developed Drainage Plan.

The proposed building pad will be graded with protective slopes to provide positive drainage away from the building, and the curb, gutter, crosspans, and drainage swales will convey developed flows to the existing roadside ditch at the southeast corner of the site. Runoff reduction will be provided by routing developed flows through grass-lined drainage ditches and channels within the property.

As detailed in the subdivision drainage report, the downstream ditches and culverts have been designed to convey developed flows from the church site to Saddlehorn Ranch Detention Pond D, which provides stormwater detention and water quality for this site.

Developed Sub-Basins

Sub-Basin D1.1a (1.5-acres) has been delineated as the developed drainage area at the north end of the property, which flows southeasterly around the proposed church building in proposed grass-lined Ditch D1.1a. Developed peak flows from Sub-Basin D1.1a are calculated as $Q_5 = 0.8$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 3.5$ cfs.

Sub-Basin D1.1b (0.4-acres) has been delineated as the developed drainage area on the east side of the new building, which flows southeasterly around the proposed building in proposed grass-lined Ditch D1.1b. Flows from Sub-Basins D1.1a and D1.1b combine at Design Point D1.1b, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 1.3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 4.8$ cfs.

Sub-Basin D1.1c (1.9-acres) has been delineated as the developed drainage area comprising the southwest part of the new church building and the majority of the parking lot, which flows southeasterly to Grass-Lined Channel D1.1c. Developed peak flows from Sub-Basin D1.1a are calculated as $Q_5 = 3.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 8.5$ cfs.

Sub-Basin D1.1d (0.8-acres) has been delineated as the developed drainage area on the south end of the property, which flows southeasterly to the roadside ditch along the north side of Barrosito Trail. Flows from Sub-Basins D1.1a-D1.1d combine at Design Point D1.1d, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 4.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 12.9$ cfs. Grass-lined Channel D1.1d will convey the combined flow from Sub-Basins D1.1a-D1.1d to the public ditch at the southeast corner of the property.

The subdivision drainage report identified proposed driveway culverts at the church access points as Culverts CH1 and CH2 (see JR Drainage Plan in Appendix A). Based on the final site plan, the northerly driveway shown in the subdivision drainage report has been eliminated, so only Culvert CH2 will be constructed. The drainage area along the northwest frontage of the site draining to the proposed driveway culvert has been identified as Basin CH2 for consistency with the subdivision drainage report. Basin CH2 flows southeasterly in the roadside ditch along the east side of Barrosito Trail, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 0.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 2.5$ cfs. Culvert CH2 was sized as an 18" RCP culvert in the subdivision drainage report based on a design flow of $Q_5 = 2.8$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 9.1$ cfs (see Appendix A). The actual developed flows reaching the driveway culvert at Design Point CH2 are lower than anticipated in the subdivision drainage report, and the 18" RCP culvert size is adequate for the site access drive.

Summary

Flows from Sub-Basins D1.1a-D1.1d and Basin CH2 combine at Design Point D1.1 in the southeast corner of the property, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 5.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 14.9$ cfs.

As previously noted, the total impervious area for the church site (Lot 38) identified in this report (30.5%) is below the impervious area assumed for the church site in the FDR (35%). As such, the downstream drainage facilities and detention pond have been sized to account for the fully developed flows from the church site.

Saddlehorn Ranch Subdivision Detention Pond D will provide long-term stormwater detention and water quality treatment for this site. Detention Pond D is a control measure owned and operated by the Saddlehorn Metropolitan District, as documented in the Final Drainage Report, O&M Manual, Detention Maintenance Agreement and related C:\Users\Owner\Dropbox\jpsprojects\042303.saddlehorn\admin\drainage\Drg-Ltr-Norwood-Bible-0124.docx 4

documents under Saddlehorn Ranch Subdivision Filing No. 3 (EDARP Project No. SF234).

Channel hydraulic calculations have been performed to evaluate stability of the proposed ditches and drainage swales within the site. As detailed in Appendix C, erosion-control blanket lining has been specified for Channel D1.1b and Channel D1.1c to mitigate potential concerns with channel velocities.

Hydrologic and hydraulic calculations for the site are detailed in the appendices (Appendix B and C), and peak flows are identified on Figure D1 (Appendix E).

III. DRAINAGE PLANNING FOUR STEP PROCESS

El Paso County Drainage Criteria require drainage planning to include a Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainageways, and implementing long-term source controls.

As stated in ECM Appendix I.7., the Four Step Process is applicable to all new and redevelopment projects with construction activities that disturb 1 acre or greater or that disturb less than 1 acre but are part of a larger common plan of development. The Four Step Process has been implemented as follows in the planning of this project:

Step 1: Employ Runoff Reduction Practices

- Minimize Directly Connected Impervious Areas (MDCIA): Roof drain downspouts will flow across grass-lined drainage swales, ditches, and channels within the property prior to reaching the downstream roadside ditch.
- Grass-Lined Drainage Swales: Grass-lined drainage swales, ditches, and channels have been designed to convey developed drainage across the site, encouraging stormwater infiltration while flowing to the existing downstream roadside ditch.

Step 2: Stabilize Drainageways

- There are no drainageways directly adjacent to this project site. The on-site private drainage improvements will convey developed flows to the existing downstream roadside ditches and culverts flowing to the subdivision detention basin which has been designed to minimize downstream drainage impacts.
- Drainage basin fees paid during recording of the subdivision plat provide the applicable cost contribution towards regional drainage improvements.

Step 3: Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)

• Water quality treatment for this site is provided in the subdivision detention pond (Saddlehorn Ranch Subdivision Detention Pond D; constructed with Saddlehorn Ranch Subdivision Filing No. 3; EDARP Project No. SF234).

Step 4: Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs

- No industrial uses are proposed for this site.
- The church property owner will implement a Stormwater Management Plan including proper housekeeping practices and spill containment procedures.

IV. FLOODPLAIN IMPACTS

According to the FEMA floodplain map for this area, El Paso County FIRM Panel No. 08041C0558G, dated December 7, 2018, the site is located beyond the limits of any delineated 100-year floodplains. The site is identified as being in Zone X, which is defined as areas outside of the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent annual chance (or 500-year) flood.

V. STORMWATER DETENTION AND WATER QUALITY

Stormwater detention and water quality for this site is provided in Saddlehorn Ranch Detention Pond D, which was sized to account for fully developed flows from this church site. Detention Pond D is a control measure owned and operated by the Saddlehorn Metropolitan District, as documented in the Final Drainage Report, O&M Manual, Detention Maintenance Agreement and related documents under Saddlehorn Ranch Subdivision Filing No. 3 (EDARP Project No. SF234). The subdivision infrastructure improvements for Saddlehorn Ranch Filing No. 3 are currently under construction, and financial assurances have been posted by the developer to ensure that the subdivision drainage facilities and detention pond function as intended.

As stated in the FDR, "In the proposed condition, Basin D will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway, a church site and will include Pond D located in the northeast corner of the future Filing 4 development. Pond D is a full spectrum water quality and detention pond, and will release at less than historic rates into Drainageway WF-R7A."

As detailed in Appendix B, the calculated impervious area for the proposed site development is 30.5 percent, which is lower than the impervious area of 35 percent that was previously assumed for the church site in the subdivision drainage report. Based on the site impervious areas being below the estimate in the subdivision drainage report, the corresponding runoff coefficients within Basin D1 will also remain below the FDR assumptions. As a result, there will be no increase in the developed flows calculated for Basin D1 in the FDR. As such, the downstream drainage and detention facilities have been designed conservatively to fully account for the church site development.

VI. PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS / DRAINAGE BASIN FEES

No public drainage improvements are required or proposed for the church site development project. The estimated cost of the on-site private drainage improvements is approximately \$8,235, as detailed in Appendix D.

The site lies completely within the Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin. Applicable drainage basin fees were due at the time of subdivision platting, so no drainage basin fees or bridge fees are applicable at this time.

VII. SUMMARY

The developed drainage patterns for the proposed Nor'Wood Bible Church site development on Lot 38, Saddlehorn Ranch Filing No. 3 will be fully consistent with the assumptions in the approved subdivision drainage report. The grading and drainage plan for the proposed church site development fully conforms to the approved drainage plan for this subdivision.

Developed flows from the site will drain through on-site grass-lined drainage swales, ditches, and channels, flowing into the public roadside ditch at the southeast corner of the property. The downstream roadside ditches and culverts flow into Saddlehorn Ranch Detention Pond D, which has been designed to provide stormwater detention and water quality for the proposed church site development.

Construction and proper maintenance of the on-site drainage facilities, in conjunction with proper erosion control practices, will ensure that this developed site has no significant adverse drainage impact on downstream or surrounding areas.

APPENDIX A

EXCERPTS FROM SUBDIVISION DRAINAGE REPORT

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR SADDLEHORN RANCH – FILING 3

Prepared For: ROI Property Group, LLC 2495 Rigdon Street Napa, CA 94558 (707) 365-6891

> July 13, 2023 Project No. 25142.05

Prepared By: JR Engineering, LLC 5475 Tech Center Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80919 719-593-2593

El Paso County PCD File No.: SF234 Final Drainage Report Filing 3 - Saddlehorn Ranch

Existing Sub-basin Drainage

On-site, existing sub-basin drainage patterns are generally from northwest to southeast by way of Drainageway MS-06 and Drainageway WF-R7A. On-site areas flow directly into these drainageways, which also bypass off-site flows through the site.

On-site, existing drainage basins were established based upon existing topography and the limits of the 100-year floodplain. These existing sub-basins were analyzed in the *Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report for Saddlehorn Ranch*. An existing drainage map has been provided in Appendix E.

Proposed Sub-basin Drainage

The proposed Filing 3 basin delineation is as follows;

Basin C consists of Sub-Basins C1-C10 combining for a total of 93.77 acres. In its existing condition, Basin C is rolling rangeland and runoff generally flows southeast towards Drainageway MS-06. In the proposed condition, Basin C will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway, and will include Pond C. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side ditches and conveyed to Pond C located in the southeast corner of the future Filing 4 development. Pond C will be a full spectrum water quality and detention pond, and will release at less than historic rates into Drainageway MS-06.

Basin D consists of Sub-basins D1-D7 combining for a total of 74.66 acres. In its existing condition, Basin D is rolling rangeland and runoff generally flows east to Drainageway WF-R7A. In the proposed condition, Basin D will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway, a church site and will include Pond D. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side ditches and conveyed west to Pond D located in the northeast corner of the future Filing 4 development. Pond D is a full spectrum water quality and detention pond, and will release at less than historic rates into Drainageway WF-R7A.

Basin E consists of Sub-basins E1-E4 combining for a total of 18.37 acres. In its existing condition, Basin E is rolling rangeland and runoff generally flows south towards Drainageway MS-06. In the proposed condition, Basin E will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway, and will include Pond E. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side ditches and conveyed to Pond E located in the southern portion of the Filing 3 development along San Isidro Trail. Pond E will be a full spectrum water quality and detention pond, and will release at less than historic rates into Drainageway MS-06.

Basin F consists of Sub-basins F1-F4 combining for a total of 14.32 acres. In its existing condition, Basin F is rolling rangeland and paved road (Curtis Road and Benito Wells Trail). Runoff generally flows east along Benito Wells Trail. In the proposed condition, Basin F will be rural 2.5 acre lots and paved roadway. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side ditches and conveyed to Pond F located in the southeastern portion of the Filing 2 development along Benito Wells Trail. Pond F will be a full spectrum water quality and detention pond, and will release at less than historic rates into Drainageway MS-06.

Basin UD consists of Sub-basins UD1-UD5 combining for a total of 74.27 acres. In their existing condition, these basins are rolling rangeland. Runoff from Basins UD1-UD3 generally flows south and

Final Drainage Report Filing 3 - Saddlehorn Ranch

east to Drainageway MS-06. Basin UD5 flows east to Drainageway MS-06. Basin UD4 represents Drainageway MS-06 and the runoff generated along the Filing 3 boundary. In the proposed condition, Basins UD1, UD2, UD3, and most of UD5 will be rural 2.5 acre lots with an Imperviousness = 6.2% and will be excluded from permanent stormwater quality management per Section I.7.1.B.5 of the ECM – Stormwater Quality Policy and Procedures. Per the MS4 Permit Exclusion Map, 0.53 acres of Basin UD5, which consists of paved roads at 45% imperviousness, will be excluded per Section I.7.1.C.1. shown in red. Additionally, the entirety of Basin UD4, which is a non-jurisdictional wetland to remain undeveloped at 2% impervious, will not be detained in PBMP per section I.7.1.B.7.

Basin OS consists of Sub-basins OS1-OS5 combining for a total of 9.35 acres of offsite area. In their existing condition, these basins are paved roadway (Curtis Road & Judge Orr Road) and undeveloped area. In the proposed condition, these basins will be improved with 8' of pavement width for both the Curtis Road and Judge Orr Road stretches. Basins OS1-OS4 will flow on-site prior to being captured in a roadside swale and conveyed to a proposed full spectrum detention pond prior to being released into Drainageway MS-06 or Drainageway WF-R7A. Basin OS5 will not be detained by a pond due to its location relative to the site. The improvements along Curtis Road within Basin OS5 will follow historic patterns and drain directly into Drainageway MS-06.

A summary table of proposed basin parameters and flow rates are presented in Appendix B.

Basin C runoff along with runoff from Sub-Basins OS1 and OS2 will be captured in roadside swales and conveyed to the proposed Pond C. This full spectrum pond will release treated flows at less than historic rates to minimize adverse impacts downstream. Basin D along with runoff from Sub-Basins OS3 and OS4 will be captured in roadside swales and conveyed to the proposed Pond D. Basin E will be captured in roadside swales and conveyed to the proposed Pond E. Pond C and Pond E will discharge into Drainageway MS-06. Pond D will discharge into Drainageway WF-R7A.

See Table 3 below for proposed Filing 3 pond parameters.

Tributary Sub-Basin	Pond Name	Tributary Acres	WQ Volume (ac-ft)	Total Detention Volume (ac-ft)	Provided Volume (ac-ft)	Maximum 100-Year Discharge (cfs)
С	POND C	96.84	0.737	3.064	4.235	41.2
D	POND D	78.02	0.673	3.026	3.127	60.9
E	POND E	18.37	0.086	0.419	0.424	9.2

Table 3: Pond Summary

Drainageway MS-06

Drainageway MS-06 was evaluated in its existing conditions as part of the Filing 2 report to analyze the existing flood plain and channel stability. The proposed improvements for the upper reach (5,300 FT) of this Filing 3 adjacent drainage way have been evaluated in this Filing 3 Drainage report. In

COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS

Subdivision: Saddlehorn Ranch Filing 3 Location: El Paso County

Project Name:	Saddlehorn Ranch
Project No.:	25142.05
Calculated By:	AAM
Checked By:	TBD
Date:	6/16/23

			Paved Roads	5	2.5	Acre Rural	.ots	Lawns		Church Site		Basins Total		
Pacin ID	Total Area (ac)	% Imn	Area (ac)	Weighted	% Imp	Area (ac)	Weighted	% Imn	Area (ac)	Weighted	9/ Imn	Area (ac)	Weighted	Weighted %
Basili iD	Total Area (ac)	<i>™</i> mp.	Alea (ac)	% Imp.	≫ miþ.	Alea (ac)	% Imp.	<i>™</i> mp.	Alea (ac)	% Imp.	70 milþ.	Alea (ac)	% Imp.	Imp.
C1	6.04	45%	1.07	8.0%	6.2%	4.97	5.1%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	13.1%
C2	3.35	45%	1.50	20.1%	6.2%	1.85	3.4%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	23.6%
C3	23.44	45%	1.63	3.1%	6.2%	21.81	5.8%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	8.9%
C4	10.94	45%	3.40	14.0%	6.2%	7.54	4.3%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	18.3%
C5	2.35	45%	0.83	15.9%	6.2%	1.52	4.0%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	19.9%
C6	3.95	45%	1.59	18.1%	6.2%	2.36	3.7%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	21.8%
C7	2.14	45%	1.00	21.0%	6.2%	1.14	3.3%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	24.3%
C8	22.55	45%	2.21	4.4%	6.2%	20.34	5.6%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	10.0%
C9	2.63	45%	1.98	33.9%	6.2%	0.65	1.5%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	35.4%
C10	16.38	45%	2.47	6.8%	6.2%	11.85	4.5%	2%	2.06	0.3%	35%	0.00	0.0%	11.5%
D1	9.11	45%	1.53	7.6%	6.2%	2.70	1.8%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	4.88	18.7%	28.1%
D2	8.49	45%	1.49	7.9%	6.2%	7.00	5.1%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	13.0%
D3	3.21	45%	0.19	2.7%	6.2%	3.02	5.8%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	8.5%
D4	10.01	45%	0.35	1.6%	6.2%	8.21	5.1%	2%	1.45	0.3%	35%	0.00	0.0%	6.9%
D5	9.56	45%	2.78	13.1%	6.2%	6.78	4.4%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	17.5%
D6	0.34	45%	0.34	45.0%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	45.0%
D7	33.94	45%	7.65	10.1%	6.2%	24.05	4.4%	2%	2.24	0.1%	35%	0.00	0.0%	14.7%
E1	17.12	45%	0.71	1.9%	6.2%	13.22	4.8%	2%	3.19	0.4%	35%	0.00	0.0%	7.0%
E2	0.37	45%	0.37	45.0%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	45.0%
E3	0.20	45%	0.20	45.0%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	45.0%
E4	0.68	45%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%	0.19	1.7%	2%	0.49	1.4%	35%	0.00	0.0%	3.2%
UD1	7.48	45%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%	7.48	6.2%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%
UD2	9.17	45%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%	9.17	6.2%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%
UD3	2.23	45%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%	2.23	6.2%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%
UD4	34.90	45%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	34.90	2.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	2.0%
UD5	17.63	45%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%	17.63	6.2%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	6.2%
OS1	2.37	100%	1.35	57.0%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	1.02	0.9%	35%	0.00	0.0%	57.8%
OS2	0.70	100%	0.21	30.0%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	0.49	1.4%	35%	0.00	0.0%	31.4%
OS3	2.28	100%	1.35	59.2%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	0.93	0.8%	35%	0.00	0.0%	60.0%
OS4	1.08	100%	0.58	53.7%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	0.50	0.9%	35%	0.00	0.0%	54.6%
OS5	2.92	100%	0.59	20.2%	6.2%	0.94	2.0%	2%	1.39	1.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	23.2%
F1	1.35	100%	0.53	39.3%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	0.82	1.2%	35%	0.00	0.0%	40.5%
F2	7.67	45%	0.98	5.7%	6.2%	6.69	5.4%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	11.2%
F3	5.44	45%	2.37	19.6%	6.2%	3.07	3.5%	2%	3.07	1.1%	35%	0.00	0.0%	24.2%
F4	2.93	45%	2.93	45.0%	6.2%	0.00	0.0%	2%	0.00	0.0%	35%	0.00	0.0%	45.0%
TOTAL	284.95													12.9%

and the a sector these	Percent Impervisus	Runoff Coefficients											
haracteristics		2-year		5.9	5-year		10-year		25-year		50-year		100-year
	1	HIGASE	HISG CAD	HIG ASS	HSG CIBD	HIG A&R	HIGCAD	HIG ASA	HSID CIBO	NSG A&R	HISG CED	RSG ASI	HIG CEO
usiness	1			Sec. 1				14	S			S	Sec. S
Commercial Area:	15	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	D.83	0.84	0.85	D.87	0.87	0.88	9.88	0.89
Neighborhood Armas	70	0.45	0.40	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	1.68
esidential				1	-			1		1000	10000		-
1/8 Acre or liess	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0,49	10.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	1.65
1/4Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.39	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3Ade	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.88	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2Age	25	0.15	0.20	0.72	0.28	10.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0,20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0,50	0.44	0.55
dustrial	S			SL		·		s	e			1. 1	
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
ets and Completing	7	0.07	0.02	0.12	0.12	10.20	0.25	0.50	0.40	0.54	0.40	0.32	0.52
lavarounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
ailroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
ndevelopert åreas						1						-	
Historic Flow And yele Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	80.0	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	80.0	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.95
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landcase is undefined)	40	6.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
reets				1	-	1		1				-	-
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
rive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
oofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
in the second	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	ID 15	0.25	0.75	0.37	0.80	0.44	0.35	0.50

2.5 Acre Rural Lots - Comp. % Impervious Calculation												
Total Area (ac)	Area (ac) - Roofs (90%)	Area (ac)- Drives (100%)	Area (ac) - Lawns (2%)									
2.50	0.068	0.046	2.39									
Comp % Imperviousness		6.20%										

Roads w/ Roadside Ditches - Comp. % Impervious Calculation										
Area* (ac)	Area - Ditch (5%)	Area - Roads (100%)								
0.2124	0.1320	0.0804								
Comp % Imperviousness	0	.41								

*Area based on 250 LF roadway from CL to outside edge of roadside ditch The above conservatively rounded to 45%.

Church Site - Comp. % Impervious Calculation												
Total Area (ac)	Area (ac) - Roofs (90%)	Area (ac)- Paved (100%)	Area (ac) - Gravel (80%)	Area (ac) - Lawns (2%)								
4.88	0.30	1.01	0.22	3.35								
Comp % Imperviousness			31.21%									
*Area based or The abov	Church site comprising of lot re conservatively rounded	38 and lot 39 to 35%.										

STANDARD FORM SF-2 TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision: Saddlehorn Ranch Filing 3

Location: El Paso County

Project Name: Saddlehorn Ranch

 Project No.:
 25142.05

 Calculated By:
 AAM

 Checked By:
 TBD

 Date:
 6/16/23

	SUB-BASIN					INITI	AL/OVER	LAND	TRAVEL TIME tc CHECK								
		DA	TA				(T _i)				(T _t)			(U	RBANIZED BA	SINS)	FINAL
BASIN	D.A.	Hydrologic	Impervious	C₅	C ₁₀₀	L	S _o	ti	L _t	<i>S</i> _t	к	VEL.	t _t	COMP. t _c	TOTAL	Urbanized t _c	t _c
ID	(ac)	Soils Group	(%)			(ft)	(%)	(min)	(ft)	(%)		(ft/s)	(min)	(min)	LENGTH (ft)	(min)	(min)
C1	6.04	А	13%	0.06	0.21	300	2.1%	25.4	940	1.0%	15.0	1.5	10.4	35.8	1240.0	38.2	35.8
C2	3.35	А	24%	0.14	0.29	155	1.9%	17.5	1661	1.8%	15.0	2.0	14.0	31.5	1816.0	39.0	31.5
C3	23.44	А	9%	0.04	0.18	268	2.5%	23.2	1620	1.0%	15.0	1.5	18.0	41.2	1888.0	50.8	41.2
C4	10.94	D	18%	0.14	0.42	26	33.0%	2.8	3375	1.0%	15.0	1.5	37.5	40.3	3401.0	71.6	40.3
C5	2.35	А	20%	0.11	0.26	300	2.7%	22.3	190	2.1%	15.0	2.2	1.5	23.8	490.0	24.5	23.8
C6	3.95	А	22%	0.12	0.28	97	1.4%	15.5	997	1.0%	15.0	1.5	11.1	26.6	1094.0	36.1	26.6
C7	2.14	А	24%	0.17	0.38	52	7.5%	6.2	689	1.0%	15.0	1.5	7.7	13.9	741.0	31.1	13.9
C8	22.55	А	10%	0.05	0.19	300	1.9%	26.7	630	1.0%	15.0	1.5	7.0	33.7	930.0	34.4	33.7
C9	2.63	А	35%	0.23	0.39	136	1.2%	17.2	1374	1.5%	15.0	1.8	12.5	29.6	1510.0	33.4	29.6
C10	16.38	А	12%	0.05	0.20	147	3.7%	14.9	1406	1.5%	15.0	1.8	12.8	27.6	1553.0	42.1	27.6
D1	9.11	А	28%	0.17	0.33	200	2.0%	18.9	930	1.9%	15.0	2.1	7.5	26.4	1130.0	29.9	26.4
D2	8.49	А	13%	0.07	0.25	300	2.7%	23.1	1095	1.1%	15.0	1.6	11.6	34.7	1395.0	39.9	34.7
D3	3.21	А	8%	0.04	0.18	100	1.0%	19.2	170	1.0%	15.0	1.5	1.9	21.1	270.0	27.3	21.1
D4	10.01	D	7%	0.07	0.40	300	1.8%	26.5	1201	1.0%	15.0	1.5	13.3	39.8	1501.0	44.9	39.8
D5	9.56	D	17%	0.14	0.43	266	2.3%	21.4	1463	1.0%	15.0	1.5	16.3	37.6	1729.0	44.3	37.6
D6	0.34	A	45%	0.36	0.57	46	8.0%	4.6	332	1.0%	15.0	1.5	3.7	8.3	378.0	22.0	8.3
D7	33.94	А	15%	0.10	0.31	300	3.9%	20.0	1645	1.0%	15.0	1.5	18.3	38.3	1945.0	48.3	38.3
E1	17.12	А	7%	0.04	0.22	300	1.3%	30.4	1486	1.3%	7.0	0.8	31.0	61.4	1786.0	46.6	46.6
E2	0.37	А	45%	0.31	0.46	24	9.7%	3.3	402	1.0%	15.0	1.5	4.5	7.8	426.0	22.7	7.8
E3	0.20	А	45%	0.32	0.48	24	9.7%	3.3	185	1.1%	15.0	1.6	2.0	5.2	209.0	20.3	5.2
E4	0.68	А	3%	0.01	0.14	95	3.3%	12.9	97	1.8%	7.0	0.9	1.7	14.6	192.0	26.7	14.6
UD1	7.48	А	6%	0.03	0.16	300	1.9%	27.2	683	1.8%	7.0	0.9	12.1	39.3	983.0	33.5	33.5
UD2	9.17	А	6%	0.03	0.16	300	1.8%	27.7	445	1.9%	7.0	1.0	7.7	35.4	745.0	30.4	30.4
UD3	2.23	А	6%	0.04	0.24	300	2.0%	26.4	171	2.0%	7.0	1.0	2.9	29.3	471.0	27.0	27.0
UD4	34.90	D	2%	0.04	0.39	300	1.1%	32.2	2602	1.7%	15.0	2.0	22.2	54.3	2902.0	61.5	54.3
UD5	17.63	А	6%	0.04	0.26	300	1.7%	27.7	1230	1.5%	7.0	0.9	23.9	51.6	1530.0	41.9	41.9
OS1	2.37	А	58%	0.43	0.56	59	2.0%	7.4	1216	1.1%	15.0	1.6	12.9	20.3	1275.0	27.5	20.3
OS2	0.70	А	31%	0.20	0.35	59	3.3%	8.5	421	1.0%	15.0	1.5	4.7	13.1	480.0	25.9	13.1
OS3	2.28	А	60%	0.45	0.58	66	8.5%	4.7	1326	1.0%	15.0	1.5	14.7	19.5	1392.0	28.5	19.5
OS4	1.08	D	55%	0.44	0.63	66	8.5%	4.8	636	1.0%	15.0	1.5	7.1	11.8	702.0	23.1	11.8
OS5	2.92	А	23%	0.17	0.42	55	3.4%	8.3	857	1.0%	15.0	1.5	9.5	17.8	912.0	33.7	17.8

STANDARD FORM SF-3

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

																Pro	ject Na	ame:	Saddl	ehorn	Ranch		
Subdivision:	Saddle	Jlehorn Ranch Filing 3												Project No.: 25142.05									
Location:	El Paso	o Coun	ity													Calculated By: AAM							
Design Storm:	5-Year	-														C	hecke	d By:	TBD				
																	Date: 6/16/23						
		1								_	-	-				1				1			
				DIRE	CT RUI	NOFF			T	DTAL I	RUNO	FF		SWAL	E		PI	PE		TRAVE	LTIM	E	
																			s)				
																			che		_		
	int			eff									_						(inc		bs		
STREET	Ъо		Ac)	S	<u> </u>	c)	Ē		Ê	$\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$	Ê		cfs	$\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$	(%	fs)	$\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$	(%	ze	(Ŧ	Y (1	(REMARKS
	gu		7) E	off	nin	A)	ų,	fs)	mir	(ac	ļ/	fs)	eet ((ac) e (° C	(ac) e (s Si	8th	ocit	nin	
	esi	asi	reë	nn	c (r	¥.	i.	0) X	_ 	₹	(ir	0	Stre	×	lop	Poip	×	lop	ipe	en	lelo	, (r	
			4	Ľ.	ť	0		0	t	0	-		21	1.01	0	0	0	S	4	114	22	o t	
													3.1	1.01	2.5					114	3.2	0.6	Roadside Swale
	051	051	2.37	0.43	20.3	1.01	3.07	3.1					0.0	0.20	1.0						2.0	0.0	Swale conveyance to DP 1.0
		64	6.04	0.00	25.0	0.00	2.22						0.9	0.39	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	1	CI	6.04	0.06	35.8	0.39	2.22	0.9					2.1	1.40	2.1					75.2	2.0	4.2	Swale conveyance to DP 1.0
	1.0								25.0	1 40	2 22	2.1	3.1	1.40	2.1					/52	2.9	4.3	Sum of DP US1 and DP 1
	1.0								35.8	1.40	2.22	3.1	11	0.46	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Swale conveyance to DP 1.1 Readcide Swale
	2	62	2.25	0.14	21 5	0.46	2 / 1	1 1					1.1	0.40	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	- 2	- C2	3.35	0.14	51.5	0.40	2.41	1.1					1.8	0.91	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	з	63	23 44	0.04	41 2	0.91	2 01	1.8					1.0	0.51	1.0					Ŭ	2.0	0.0	Swale conveyance to DP 1.1
		- 65	23.44	0.04	41.2	0.51	2.01	1.0					0.5	0.14	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	OS2	OS2	0.70	0.20	13.1	0.14	3.72	0.5												-			Swale conveyance to DP 1.1
													5.8	2.91	1.0					1716	2.0	14.3	Sum of DP 1.0, DP 2, DP OS2 & DP 3
	1.1								41.2	2.91	2.01	5.8											Swale conveyance to DP 1.2
													3.2	1.58	0.5					0	1.4	0.0	Roadside Swale
	4	C4	10.94	0.14	40.3	1.58	2.04	3.2															Swale conveyance to DP 1.2
													7.0	4.49	1.0					344	2.0	2.9	Sum of DP 1.1 and DP 4
	1.2								55.5	4.49	1.56	7.0											Swale conveyance to DP 1.3
	_												0.7	0.26	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	5	C5	2.35	0.11	23.8	0.26	2.83	0.7					7.0	4 75	1.0					44.47	2.0	0.0	Swale conveyance to DP 1.3
	4.2								50.2	4 75	4 40	7.0	7.0	4.75	1.0					1147	2.0	9.6	Sum of DP 1.2 and DP 5
	1.3								58.3	4.75	1.48	7.0	1 2	0.40	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Culvert conveyance to DP 1.4
	c	66	2.05	0 1 2	26.6	0.40	266	1 2					1.5	0.49	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	0	0	3.95	0.12	20.0	0.49	2.00	1.5															Swale conveyance to DP 1.4
	14								583	5 24	1 4 8	7 8				7.8	5 24	10	24	59	65	0.2	Culvert conveyance to DP 1.6
	1.4								50.5	5.24	1.40	7.0	13	0.36	10	7.0	5.24	1.0	24	1214	2.0	10.1	Roadside Swale
	7	C7	2.14	0.17	13.9	0.36	3.64	1.3															Swale conveyance to DP 1.5
													2.4	1.04	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	8	C8	22.55	0.05	33.7	1.04	2.31	2.4															Swale conveyance to DP 1.5
													3.2	1.40	1.0					278	2.0	2.3	Sum of DP 7 and DP 8
	1.5								33.7	1.40	2.31	3.2											Swale conveyance to DP 1.6
													1.5	0.60	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	9	C9	2.63	0.23	29.6	0.60	2.50	1.5															Swale conveyance to DP 1.6
													10.7	7.24	0.75					388	1.7	3.7	Sum of DP 1.4, DP 1.5, and DP 9
	1.6								58.5	7.24	1.48	10.7		0.00	1.0						2.0		Swale/ Pond conveyance to DP 1.7
		~ ~ ~		0.05	07.0								2.3	0.90	1.0					0	2.0	0.0	Proposed Pond C, future Filing 4 Lots, and Filing 4 roadways
	10	C10	16.38	0.05	27.6	0.90	2.61	2.3												\vdash			Overland flow, future road swales, and pond conveyance to DP 1.7
	17								62.2	0 1 4	1 20	11.3											outin ou pri 1.0 dria DP 10 Outlet structure release inte Drainageway MC 06
	1.7								02.2	0.14	1.59	11.5	4 2	1 56	10					682	2.0	57	Roadside Swale
	11	D1	9 1 1	0 17	26.4	1 56	2 67	4 2					7.2	1.50	1.0					002	2.5	5.7	Swale conveyance to DP 2.0
		<i></i>		0.1/	-0.4	2.50	2.07	7.4						i i	1								

STANDARD FORM SF-3

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN

(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Saddlehorn Ranch Filing 3 Location: El Paso County Design Storm: 100-Year

 Project Name:
 Saddlehorn Ranch

 Project No.:
 25142.05

 Calculated By:
 AAM

 Checked By:
 TBD

 Date:
 6/16/23

																	D	ate:	0/10/	23			
				DIRE	CT RUN	IOFF			тс	DTAL RUN	OFF		SWA	LE			PIP	E		TRAV	EL TIN	ΛE	
																			~				
STREET	Design Point	3asin ID	Area (ac)	Sunoff Coeff.	c (min)	C*A (ac)	(in/hr)	Q (cfs)	c (min)	C*A (ac)	(111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 111 / 1	2 (cis) (cfc)	C*A (ac)	Slope (%)		ک ^{pipe} (cfs) ** ۸ / ۲۰۰۱	.‴A (ac)	slope (%)	^o ipe Size (inches	-ength (ft)	/elocity (fps)	t (min)	REMARKS
					4				~			6	.8 1.3	3 2	.5					114	3.2	0.6	Roadside Swale
	OS1	OS1	2.37	0.56	20.3	1.33	5.15	6.8						-									Swale conveyance to DP 1.0
							0.120					4	.8 1.2	8 1	.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	1	C1	6.04	0.21	35.8	1.28	3.72	4.8															Swale conveyance to DP 1.0
												9	.7 2.6	1 2	.1					752	2.9	4.3	Sum of DP OS1 and DP 1
	1.0								35.8	2.61 3.	72 9	9.7											Swale conveyance to DP 1.1
												4	.0 0.9	8 1	.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	2	C2	3.35	0.29	31.5	0.98	4.04	4.0															Swale conveyance to DP 1.1
												14	.1 4.2	0 1	.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	3	C3	23.44	0.18	41.2	4.20	3.37	14.1							_								Swale conveyance to DP 1.1
	052	052	0.70	0.25	12.1	0.25	C 25	1.0				1	.6 0.4	5 1	.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	032	032	0.70	0.55	15.1	0.25	0.25	1.0					1 0 (4 1	_					1716	2.0	1/1 2	Swale conveyance to DP 1.1
	11								41 2	8 04 3	37 2	7 1	.1 0.0		.0					1/10	2.0	14.5	Suil of DF 1.0, DF 2, DF 05 2 & DF 5
	1.1								71.2	0.04 0.	57 21	1	5 4	4 0	5		-			0	14	0.0	Roadside Swale
	4	C4	10.94	0.42	40.3	4.54	3.42	15.5												Ũ	±	0.0	Swale conveyance to DP 1.2
						-						32	.9 12.5	8 1	.0					344	2.0	2.9	Sum of DP 1.1 and DP 4
	1.2								55.5	12.58 2.	61 32	2.9											Swale conveyance to DP 1.3
												2	.9 0.6	2 1	.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	5	C5	2.35	0.26	23.8	0.62	4.75	2.9															Swale conveyance to DP 1.3
												32	.8 13.2	0 1	.0					1147	2.0	9.6	Sum of DP 1.2 and DP 5
	1.3								58.3	13.20 2.	49 32	2.8											Culvert conveyance to DP 1.4
												5	.0 1.1	.1 1	.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	6	C6	3.95	0.28	26.6	1.11	4.46	5.0				_	_										Swale conveyance to DP 1.4
									50.0	44.24 2	40 21						24	1.0	24	50	44.2	0.4	Sum of DP 1.3 and DP 6
	1.4								58.3	14.31 2.	49 35	5.6	0 00	1 1	0	35.6 14	.31	1.0	24	1214	2.0	10.1	Culvert conveyance to DP 1.6
	7	67	2 14	0.38	13.9	0.81	6 10	4 9					.9 0.0	1 1	.0					1214	2.0	10.1	Swale conveyance to DP 1 5
	ŕ		2.14	0.50	13.5	0.01	0.10	4.5				16	4 4 2	4 1	0		-			0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	8	C8	22.55	0.19	33.7	4.24	3.87	16.4												Ũ	2.0	0.0	Swale conveyance to DP 1.5
												19	.6 5.0	5 1	.0					278	2.0	2.3	Sum of DP 7 and DP 8
	1.5								33.7	5.05 3.	87 19	9.6											Swale conveyance to DP 1.6
												4	.3 1.0	2 1	.0					0	2.0	0.0	Roadside Swale
	9	C9	2.63	0.39	29.6	1.02	4.19	4.3															Swale conveyance to DP 1.6
												50	.6 20.3	8 0.7	75					388	1.7	3.7	Sum of DP 1.4, DP 1.5, and DP 9
	1.6								58.4	20.38 2.	48 50).6			_								Swale/ Pond conveyance to DP 1.7
			10.00									14	.3 3.2	8 1	.0					0	2.0	0.0	Proposed Pond C, future Filing 4 Lots, and Filing 4 roadways
	10	C10	16.38	0.20	27.6	3.28	4.37	14.3	$ \rightarrow $		_	_	_				_						Overland flow, future road swales, and pond conveyance to DP 1.7
	17								58 /	23.66 2	18 50												Outlet structure release inte Drainageway MS-06
	1.7					_			50.4	23.00 2.	-0 50	1:	5 3 (1 1	0		-			682	2.0	57	Roadside Swale
	11	D1	9.11	0.33	26.4	3.01	4,49	13.5												002	2.0	5.7	Swale conveyance to DP 2.0
									_			-			-								

Culvert Report

CALCULATED FLOWS FOR BASIN CH2 (APPENDIX B): Q5 = 0.9 CFS; Q100 = 2.5 CFS) ARE WELL BELOW THE ESTIMATED FDR FLOWS IN THIS CALCULATION

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Thursday, Jun 29 2023

Culvert CH2 (Q5=2.81 cfs, Q100=9.05 cfs)

Invert Elev Dn (ft)	= 6755.18	Calculations	
Pipe Length (ft)	= 62.61	Qmin (cfs)	= 2.81
Slope (%)	= 2.78	Qmax (cfs)	= 9.05
Invert Elev Up (ft)	= 6756.92	Tailwater Élev (ft)	= (dc+D)/2
Rise (in)	= 18.0		х <i>У</i>
Shape	= Circular	Highlighted	
Span (in)	= 18.0	Qtotal (cfs)	= 9.05
No. Barrels	= 1	Qpipe (cfs)	= 9.05
n-Value	= 0.013	Qovertop (cfs)	= 0.00
Culvert Type	= Circular Concrete	Veloc Dn (ft/s)	= 5.46
Culvert Entrance	= Square edge w/headwall (C)	Veloc Up (ft/s)	= 6.16
Coeff. K,M,c,Y,k	= 0.0098, 2, 0.0398, 0.67, 0.5	HGL Dn (ft)	= 6756.51
		HGL Up (ft)	= 6758.08
Embankment		Hw Elev (ft)	= 6758.95
Top Elevation (ft)	= 6761.00	Hw/D (ft)	= 1.35

Top Width (ft) Crest Width (ft)

=	6761.00
=	45.00
=	0.00

Qpipe (cfs)	=	9.05
Qovertop (cfs)	=	0.00
Veloc Dn (ft/s)	=	5.46
Veloc Up (ft/s)	=	6.16
HGL Dn (ft)	=	6756.51
HGL Up (ft)	=	6758.08
Hw Elev (ft)	=	6758.95
Hw/D (ft)	=	1.35
Flow Regime	=	Inlet Control



This culvert will be constructed by a different contractor alongside construction of the church site. The estimated flow being captured by this culvert is estimated to be 67% of the flow generated by Basin D1. This flow estimate is larger than what will actually flow to this culvert given where the culvert is placed in relation to the basin.





KEY MAP

	FILING 3 - SUB-BASIN SUMMARY TABLE											
Tributary	Area	Percent			tc	Qs	Q ₁₀₀					
Sub-basin	(acres)	Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	(min)	(cfs)	(cfs)					
C1	6.04	13%	0.06	0.21	35.8	0.9	4.8					
C2	3.35	24%	0.14	0.29	31.5	1.1	4.0					
C3	23.44	9%	0.04	0.18	41.2	1.8	14.1					
C4	10.94	18%	0.14	0.42	40.3	3.2	15.5					
C5	2.35	20%	0.11	0.26	23.8	0.7	2.9					
C6	3.95	22%	0.12	0.28	26.6	1.3	5.0					
C7	2.14	24%	0.17	0.38	13.9	1.3	4.9					
C8	22.55	10%	0.05	0.19	33.7	2.4	16.4					
C9	2.63	35%	0.23	0.39	29.6	1.5	4.3					
C10	16.38	12%	0.05	0.20	27.6	2.3	14.3					
D1	9.11	28%	0.17	0.33	26.4	4.2	13.5					
D2	8.49	13%	0.07	0.25	34.7	1.4	8.2					
D3	3.21	8%	0.04	0.18	21.1	0.4	2.8					
D4	10.01	7%	0.07	0.40	39.8	1.5	13.9					
D5	9.56	17%	0.14	0.43	37.6	3.0	14.9					
D6	0.34	45%	0.36	0.57	8.3	0.5	1.4					
D7	33.94	15%	0.10	0.31	38.3	6.9	37.3					
E1	17.12	7%	0.04	0.22	46.6	1.3	11.8					
E2	0.37	45%	0.31	0.46	7.8	0.5	1.3					
E3	0.20	45%	0.32	0.48	5.2	0.3	0.8					
E4	0.68	3%	0.01	0.14	14.6	0.04	0.6					
UD1	7.48	6%	0.03	0.16	33.5	0.4	4.6					
UD2	9.17	6%	0.03	0.16	30.4	0.6	6.0					
UD3	2.23	6%	0.04	0.24	27.0	0.2	2.3					
UD4	34.90	2%	0.04	0.39	54.3	2.2	36.5					
UD5	17.63	6%	0.04	0.26	41.9	1.5	15.4					
OS1	2.37	58%	0.43	0.56	20.3	3.1	6.8					
OS2	0.70	31%	0.20	0.35	13.1	0.5	1.6					
OS3	2.28	60%	0.45	0.58	19.5	3.2	6.9					
OS4	1.08	55%	0.44	0.63	11.8	1.9	4.4					
OS5	2.92	23%	0.17	0.42	17.8	1.7	6.7					
F1	1.35	40%	0.27	0.43	12.7	1.4	3.6					
F2	7.67	11%	0.05	0.20	35.0	0.9	5.7					
F3	5.44	24%	0.20	0.48	31.1	2.6	10.6					
F4	2.93	45%	0.34	0.52	32.3	2.3	6.0					

FILING 3 - DESIGN POINT								
Design	O,	0100						
Point	(cfs)	(Cfc)						
1	0.9	4.8						
2	11	4.0						
3	1.1	14.1						
4	3.7	15.5						
5	0.7	29						
6	1.3	5.0						
7	1.3	4.9						
8	2.4	16.4						
9	1.5	4.3						
10	2.3	14.3						
11	4.2	13.5						
12	1.4	8.2						
13	0.4	2.8						
14	1.5	13.9						
15	3.0	14.9						
16	0.5	1.4						
17	6.9	37.3						
21	1.3	11.8						
22	0.5	1.3						
23	0.3	0.8						
24	0.04	0.6						
25	0.90	5.7						
O\$1	3.1	6.8						
O52	0.5	1.6						
O\$3	3.2	6.9						
O54	1.9	4.4						
O\$5	1.7	6.7						
UD1	0.4	4.6						
UD2	0.6	6.0						
UD3	0.2	2.3						
UD4	2.2	36.5						
UD5	1.5	15.4						
1.0	3.1	9.7						
1.1	5.6	26.2						
1.2	6.8	32.2						
1.3	6.8	32.2						
1.4	7.6	35.0						
1.5	3.2	19.6						
1.6	10.5	50.0						
1.7	11.1	58.1						
2.0	5.0	19.6						
2.1	3.4	9.5						
2.2	4.3	11.3						
2.3	6.7	34.1						
2.4	10.7	55.5						
2.5	15.0	83.6						
3.0	1 16	1 12 6						

3.1 1.5 12.6

LEGEND									
(I.D.)	BASIN DESIGNATION								
A B C	I.D.: BASIN IDENTIFIER A: BASIN AREA B: C ₅ C: C ₁₀₀								
\land	DESIGN POINT								
	MAJOR BASIN DELINEATION								
	SUB-BASIN DELINEATION								
6700	EXISTING INDEX CONTOURS								
	EXISTING INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS								
6700	PROPOSED INDEX CONTOURS								
	PROPOSED INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS								
\rightarrow	EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION								
→	PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION								
HP	PROPOSED HIGH POINT								
LP	PROPOSED LOW POINT								
ية_⊤≓ًا انياني	WETLANDS HATCH								
	SETBACK LINE								
100 50 0	100 200								
ORIGINAL	SCALE: 1" = 100'								
SADDLE PROPOS JOB NO 6/16/2 SHEET	HORN RANCH-FILING 3 SED DRAINAGE MAP 0. 25142.05 3 1 OF 4								
	J·R Engineering								



Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

15

Lot# Culvert Size 18 24"x38" HER 24"x38" HERO 18" RCP 24" RCP 24" RCP 24" RCP

> 18" RCP 18" RCP

18" RCP

18" RCP

18" RCP 18" RCP 18" RCP 18" RCP



X	W.
ſ	
,/	1
	A

/	~		/
			I
otCu	vert	Table	

LUICU	Lot curvent rable								
t #	Culvert Size								
.4	18" RCP								
6	24"x38" HERCP								
7	24"x38" HERCP								
3	24" RCP								
4	18" RCP								

FILING 3 - SUB-BASIN SUMMARY TABLE											
Tributary	Area	Percent			tc	Q₅	Q ₁₀₀				
Sub-basin	(acres)	Impervious	Cs	C ₁₀₀	(min)	(cfs)	(cfs)				
C1	6.04	13%	0.06	0.21	35.8	0.9	4.8				
C2	3.35	24%	0.14	0.29	31.5	1.1	4.0				
C3	23.44	9%	0.04	0.18	41.2	1.8	14.1				
C4	10.94	18%	0.14	0.42	40.3	3.2	15.5				
C5	2.35	20%	0.11	0.25	23.8	0.7	2.9				
C6	3.95	22%	0.12	0.28	26.6	1.3	5.0				
C7	2.14	24%	0.17	0.38	13.9	1.3	4.9				
C8	22.55	10%	0.05	0.19	33.7	2.4	16.4				
C9	2.63	35%	0.23	0.39	29.6	1.5	4.3				
C10	16.38	12%	0.05	0.20	27.6	2.3	14.3				
D1	9.11	28%	0.17	0.33	26.4	4.2	13.5				
D2	8.49	13%	0.07	0.25	34.7	1.4	8.2				
D3	3.21	8%	0.04	0.18	21.1	0.4	2.8				
D4	10.01	7%	0.07	0.40	39.8	1.5	13.9				
D5	9.56	17%	0.14	0.43	37.6	3.0	14.9				
D6	0.34	45%	0.36	0.57	8.3	0.5	1.4				
D7	33.94	15%	0.10	0.31	38.3	6.9	37.3				
E1	17.12	7%	0.04	0.22	46.6	1.3	11.8				
E2	0.37	45%	0.31	0.45	7.8	0.5	1.3				
E3	0.20	45%	0.32	0.48	5.2	0.3	0.8				
E4	0.68	3%	0.01	0.14	14.6	0.04	0.6				
UD1	7.48	6%	0.03	0.15	33.5	0.4	4.6				
UD2	9.17	6%	0.03	0.15	30.4	0.6	6.0				
UD3	2.23	6%	0.04	0.24	27.0	0.2	2.3				
UD4	34.90	2%	0.04	0.39	54.3	2.2	36.5				
UD5	17.63	6%	0.04	0.25	41.9	1.5	15.4				
OS1	2.37	58%	0.43	0.55	20.3	3.1	6.8				
OS2	0.70	31%	0.20	0.35	13.1	0.5	1.6				
OS3	2.28	60%	0.45	0.58	19.5	3.2	6.9				
OS4	1.08	55%	0.44	0.63	11.8	1.9	4.4				
OS5	2.92	23%	0.17	0.42	17.8	1.7	6.7				
F1	1.35	40%	0.27	0.43	12.7	1.4	3.6				
F2	7.67	11%	0.05	0.20	35.0	0.9	5.7				
F3	5.44	24%	0.20	0.48	31.1	2.6	10.6				
F4	2.93	45%	0.34	0.52	32.3	2.3	6.0				

FILING	3 - DESIGN MMARY TAI	POINT BLE	
Design	0-	0	
Doint	(cfc)	(Cfc)	
1	0.9	4.9	
2	0.5	4.0	
2	1.1	4.0	
3	1.0	14.1	
4	3.2	15.5	
5	0.7	2.9	
	1.3	5.0	
/	1.3	4.9	
°	2.4	10.4	
9	1.5	4.3	
10	2.3	14.3	
11	4.2	13.5	
12	1.4	8.2	
13	0.4	2.8	
14	1.5	13.9	
15	3.0	14.9	
16	0.5	1.4	
17	6.9	37.3	
21	1.3	11.8	
22	0.5	1.3	
23	0.3	0.8	
24	0.04	0.6	
25	0.90	5.7	
OS1	3.1	6.8	
OS2	0.5	1.6	
OS3	3.2	6.9	
OS4	1.9	4.4	
OS5	1.7	6.7	
UD1	0.4	4.6	
UD2	0.6	6.0	
UD3	0.2	2.3	
UD4	2.2	36.5	
UD5	1.5	15.4	
1.0	3.1	9.7	
1.1	5.6	26.2	
1.2	6.8	32.2	
1.3	6.8	32.2	
1.4	7.6	35.0	
1.5	3.2	19.6	
1.6	10.5	50.0	
1.7	11.1	58.1	
2.0	5.0	19.6	
2.1	3.4	9.5	
2.2	4.3	11.3	
2.3	6.7	34.1	
2.4	10.7	55.5	
2.5	15.0	83.6	
3.0	1.6	12.6	
3.1	1.5	12.6	

	LEGEND	•
		BASIN DESIGNATION
		I.D.: BASIN IDENTIFIER A: BASIN AREA B: C5 C: C100
	\land	DESIGN POINT
		MAJOR BASIN DELINEATION
		SUB-BASIN DELINEATION
	6700	EXISTING INDEX CONTOURS
		EXISTING INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
		PROPOSED INDEX CONTOURS
		PROPOSED INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
	₽	EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION
	→	PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION
	HP	PROPOSED HIGH POINT
	LP	PROPOSED LOW POINT
	ا ڈ – – مر ا س∎	WETLANDS HATCH
100	50 0	100 200
	ORIGINAL SC	ALE: 1" = 100'
	SADDLEHO PROPOSED JOB NO. 2 6/16/23 SHEET 2 0	RN RANCH-FILING 3 DRAINAGE MAP 25142.05 DF 4
		J·R ENGINEERING Westian Company

Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

APPENDIX B

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Land Line on Curfore	Democrat						Runoff Co	efficients					
Characteristics	Impervious	2-у	ear	5-y	ear	10-y	/ear	25-1	/ear	50-	year	100-	year
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0 30	0.40	0 34	0.46	0 39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas													
Historic Flow Analysis													
Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when	45												
landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets													
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0 94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
		0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.72	0.70	0.7 1
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method (Source: UDFCD 2001)

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_i) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For non-urban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_i) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

$$t_c = t_i + t_t \tag{Eq. 6-7}$$

Where:

 t_c = time of concentration (min)

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

 t_t = travel time in the ditch, channel, gutter, storm sewer, etc. (min)

3.2.1 Overland (Initial) Flow Time

The overland flow time, t_i , may be calculated using Equation 6-8.

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}}$$
(Eq. 6-8)

Where:

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

- C_5 = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (see Table 6-6)
- L = length of overland flow (300 ft maximum for non-urban land uses, 100 ft maximum for urban land uses)
- S = average basin slope (ft/ft)

Note that in some urban watersheds, the overland flow time may be very small because flows quickly concentrate and channelize.

3.2.2 Travel Time

For catchments with overland and channelized flow, the time of concentration needs to be considered in combination with the travel time, t_t , which is calculated using the hydraulic properties of the swale, ditch, or channel. For preliminary work, the overland travel time, t_t , can be estimated with the help of Figure 6-25 or Equation 6-9 (Guo 1999).

$$V = C_v S_w^{0.5}$$

Where:

V = velocity (ft/s)

 C_v = conveyance coefficient (from Table 6-7)

 S_w = watercourse slope (ft/ft)

(Eq. 6-9)

Type of Land Surface	C_{v}
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Riprap (not buried) [*]	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20
* For buried ripron select C yelue based on type of ye	gotativa aquar

Table 6-7.	Conveyance	Coefficient,	C_{v}
------------	------------	--------------	---------

For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

The travel time is calculated by dividing the flow distance (in feet) by the velocity calculated using Equation 6-9 and converting units to minutes.

The time of concentration (t_c) is then the sum of the overland flow time (t_i) and the travel time (t_i) per Equation 6-7.

3.2.3 First Design Point Time of Concentration in Urban Catchments

Using this procedure, the time of concentration at the first design point (typically the first inlet in the system) in an urbanized catchment should not exceed the time of concentration calculated using Equation 6-10. The first design point is defined as the point where runoff first enters the storm sewer system.

$$t_c = \frac{L}{180} + 10 \tag{Eq. 6-10}$$

Where:

 t_c = maximum time of concentration at the first design point in an urban watershed (min)

L = waterway length (ft)

Equation 6-10 was developed using the rainfall-runoff data collected in the Denver region and, in essence, represents regional "calibration" of the Rational Method. Normally, Equation 6-10 will result in a lesser time of concentration at the first design point and will govern in an urbanized watershed. For subsequent design points, the time of concentration is calculated by accumulating the travel times in downstream drainageway reaches.

3.2.4 Minimum Time of Concentration

If the calculations result in a t_c of less than 10 minutes for undeveloped conditions, it is recommended that a minimum value of 10 minutes be used. The minimum t_c for urbanized areas is 5 minutes.

3.2.5 Post-Development Time of Concentration

As Equation 6-8 indicates, the time of concentration is a function of the 5-year runoff coefficient for a drainage basin. Typically, higher levels of imperviousness (higher 5-year runoff coefficients) correspond to shorter times of concentration, and lower levels of imperviousness correspond to longer times of



Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency

IDF Equations
$I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$
$I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$
$I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$
$I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$
$I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$
$I_2 = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$
Note: Values calculated by equations may not precisely duplicate values read from figure.

17

DEVELOPED CON	DITIONS										
5-YEAR C VALUES	TOTAL AREA		SUB-AREA 1 DEVELOPMENT/	C	AREA	SUB-AREA 2 DEVELOPMENT/	C		SUB-AREA 3 DEVELOPMENT		
Briony	(/(0))	(/(0)		Ŭ	(/(0)	OOVER	<u> </u>	(/(0)	OUVER	<u> </u>	O WILDE
D1.1a	1.46	0.150	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.9	0.000	GRAVEL	0.59	1.310	LANDSCAPED	0.08	0.164
D1.1b	0.43	0.150	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.9	0.000	GRAVEL	0.59	0.280	LANDSCAPED	0.08	0.366
D1.1a,D1.1b	1.89										0.210
D1.1c	1.91	0.892	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.9	0.214	GRAVEL	0.59	0.804	LANDSCAPED	0.08	0.520
D1.1d	0.83	0.050	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.9	0.000	GRAVEL	0.59	0.780	LANDSCAPED	0.08	0.129
D1.1a-D1.1d	4.63										0.324
CH2	0.77	0.200	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.9	0.000	GRAVEL	0.59	0.570	LANDSCAPED	0.08	0.293
D1.1a-D1.1d,CH2	5.40										0.319
100-YEAR C VALU	FS		•	L		•			1	1	
	TOTAL AREA		SUB-AREA 1 DEVELOPMENT/		AREA	SUB-AREA 2 DEVELOPMENT/			SUB-AREA 3 DEVELOPMENT		WEIGHTED
BASIN	(AC)	(AC)	COVER	с	(AC)	COVER	С	(AC)	COVER	С	C VALUE
D1 1a	1.46	0.150	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.96	0.000	GRAVEL	0.70	1 310		0.35	0.413
D1.10	0.43	0.150		0.96	0.000	GRAVEL	0.70	0.280		0.00	0.563
D1.18 D1.1a D1.1b	1.89	0.100	BOILDING / AGI HIALI	0.50	0.000	ORVILL	0.10	0.200	E/ (INDOO) (I ED	0.00	0.000
D1.10	1.00	0.892	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.96	0 214	GRAVEL	0 70	0.804	LANDSCAPED	0.35	0.674
D1.1d	0.83	0.050	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.96	0.000	GRAVEL	0.70	0.780	LANDSCAPED	0.35	0.387
D1.1a-D1.1d	4.63										0.530
CH2	0.77	0.200	BUILDING / ASPHALT	0.96	0.000	GRAVEL	0.70	0.570	LANDSCAPED	0.35	0.508
D1.1a-D1.1d,CH2	5.40										0.527
IMPERVIOUS ARE	AS	-						-			
	TOTAL		SUB-AREA 1			SUB-AREA 2			SUB-AREA 3		
BASIN	AREA (AC)	(AC)	DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	IMPERVIOUS	AREA (AC)	DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	IMPERVIOUS	(AC)	COVER	IMPERVIOUS	WEIGHTED
D1.1a	1.46	0.150	BUILDING / ASPHALT	100	0.000	GRAVEL	80	1.310	LANDSCAPED	0	10.274
D1.1b	0.43	0.150	BUILDING / ASPHALT	100	0.000	GRAVEL	80	0.280	LANDSCAPED	0	34.884
D1.1a,D1.1b	1.89										15.873
D1.1c	1.91	0.892	BUILDING / ASPHALT	100	0.214	GRAVEL	80	0.804	LANDSCAPED	0	55.665
D1.1d	0.83	0.050	BUILDING / ASPHALT	100	0.000	GRAVEL	80	0.780	LANDSCAPED	0	6.024
D1.1a-D1.1d	4.63			4.5.5						-	30.523
CH2	0.77	0.200	BUILDING / ASPHALT	100	0.000	GRAVEL	80	0.570	LANDSCAPED	0	25.974
D1.1a-D1.1d,CH2	5.40										29.874
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

NORWOOD BIBLE CHURCH RATIONAL METHOD

HISTORIC (PRE-DEVELOPMENT) CONDITIONS

					0	verland Flo	w		Cha									
				C				CHANNEL	CONVEYANCE		SCS ⁽²⁾		TOTAL	TOTAL	INTEN	SITY ⁽⁵⁾	PEAK F	LOW
BASIN	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	5-YEAR	100-YEAR	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE (FT/FT)	Tco ⁽¹⁾ (MIN)	LENGTH (FT)	COEFFICIENT C	SLOPE (FT/FT)	VELOCITY (FT/S)	Tt ⁽³⁾ (MIN)	Tc ⁽⁴⁾ (MIN)	Тс ⁽⁴⁾ (MIN)	5-YR (IN/HR)	100-YR (IN/HR)	Q5 ⁽⁶⁾ (CFS)	Q100 ⁽⁶⁾ (CFS)
D1.1	D1.1	5.0	0.080	0.350	300	0.023	24.5	320	15	0.028	2.51	2.1	26.6	26.6	2.66	4.46	1.06	7.81

DEVELOPED CONDITIONS

					0	verland Flo	w		Cha	nnel flow								
				с				CHANNEL	CONVEYANCE		SCS ⁽²⁾		TOTAL	TOTAL	INTEN	SITY ⁽⁵⁾	PEAK F	LOW
BASIN	DESIGN POINT	AREA (AC)	5-YEAR	100-YEAR	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE (FT/FT)	Tco ⁽¹⁾ (MIN)	LENGTH (FT)	COEFFICIENT C	SLOPE (FT/FT)	VELOCITY (FT/S)	Tt ⁽³⁾ (MIN)	Tc ⁽⁴⁾ (MIN)	Тс ⁽⁴⁾ (MIN)	5-YR (IN/HR)	100-YR (IN/HR)	Q5 ⁽⁶⁾ (CFS)	Q100 ⁽⁶⁾ (CFS)
D1.1a	D1.1a	1.46	0.164	0.413	100	0.030	11.9	390	15	0.015	1.84	3.5	15.4	15.4	3.48	5.84	0.83	3.52
D1.1b		0.43	0.366	0.563	100	0.020	10.7	130	15	0.031	2.64	0.8	11.5	11.5	3.92	6.58	0.62	1.59
Tt D1.1a-D1.1b								170	15	0.035	2.81	1.0						
D1.1a,D1.1b	D1.1b	1.89	0.210	0.447									16.4	16.4	3.38	5.68	1.34	4.80
D1.1c	D1.1c	1.91	0.520	0.674	100	0.020	8.4	480	20	0.019	2.76	2.9	11.3	11.3	3.94	6.62	3.91	8.52
D1.1d		0.83	0.129	0.387	100	0.080	8.9	270	15	0.010	1.50	3.0	11.9	11.9	3.87	6.50	0.41	2.09
Tt D1.1b-D1.1d								285	15	0.010	1.50	3.2						
D1.1a-D1.1d	D1.1d	4.63	0.324	0.530									19.6	19.6	3.12	5.24	4.68	12.85
CH2	CH2	0.77	0.293	0.508	100	0.040	9.3	430	15	0.033	2.72	2.6	11.9	11.9	3.86	6.49	0.87	2.54
D1.1a-D1.1d,CH2	D1.1	5.40	0.319	0.527									19.6	19.6	3.12	5.24	5.37	14.90

1) OVERLAND FLOW Tco = (0.395*(1.1-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT)*(OVERLAND FLOW LENGTH*(0.5)/(SLOPE*(0.333)) 2) SCS VELOCITY = C * ((SLOPE(FT/FT)*0.5)

C = 2.5 FOR HEAVY MEADOW

C = 5 FOR TILLAGE/FIELD

C = 7 FOR SHORT PASTURE AND LAWNS

C = 10 FOR SHORT PASTORE AND LAW

- C = 10 FOR NEARLY BARE GROUNL C = 15 FOR GRASSED WATERWAY
- C = 20 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES

3) MANNING'S CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME = L/V (WHEN CHANNEL VELOCITY IS KNOWN)

4) Tc = Tco + Tt

*** IF TOTAL TIME OF CONCENTRATION IS LESS THAN 5 MINUTES, THEN 5 MINUTES IS USED

5) INTENSITY BASED ON I-D-F EQUATIONS IN CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL

I₅ = -1.5 * In(Tc) + 7.583

I₁₀₀ = -2.52 * In(Tc) + 12.735

6) Q = CiA

APPENDIX C

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

^a Channel Q100 Flow = (Basin Q100) * (Channel Percent of Basin)

NORWOOD BIBLE CHURCH CHANNEL CALCULATIONS DEVELOPED FLOWS

PROPOSED CHANNELS

CHANNEL	PROPOSED SLOPE (%)	BOTTOM WIDTH (B, FT)	SIDE SLOPE (Z)	CHANNEL DEPTH (FT)	FRICTION FACTOR (n)	DESIGN POINT	BASIN Q100 (CFS)	CHANNEL PERCENT OF BASIN	Q100 FLOW (CFS) ^a	Q100 DEPTH (FT)	Q100 VELOCITY (FT/S)	CHANNEL LINING
D1.1a	1.0	0	4:1	1.5	0.030	D1.1a	3.5	100	3.5	0.6	2.2	GRASS
D1.1b	6.4	0	4:1	1.5	0.030	D1.1b	4.8	100	4.8	0.5	4.9	GRASS / TRM
D1.1c-Crosspan	1.4	0	50:1	1.0	0.016	D1.1c	8.5	50	4.3	0.2	2.3	CONCRETE
D1.1c-Chase	3.3	2	0:1	0.5	0.013	D1.1c	8.5	100	8.5	0.5	9.5	CONCRETE
D1.1c-Channel	6.7	4	4:1	1.5	0.030	D1.1c	8.5	100	8.5	0.3	5.1	GRASS / TRM
D1.1d	0.88	4	4:1	1.5	0.030	D1.1d	12.9	100	12.9	0.7	2.8	GRASS

1) Channel flow calculations based on Manning's Equation

2) n = 0.03 for grass-lined non-irrigated channels

3) Vmax = 4.0 fps for 100-year flows w/ grass-lined channels (assuming grass-legume mixture)

(per EPC DCM Vol. 1, Table 10-4)

4) Vmax = 8.0 fps for 100-year flows w/ Erosion Control Blankets / Turf Reinforcement Mats (Tensar Eronet SC150 or equal)

The complete line of RollMax[®] products offers a variety of options for both short-term and permanent erosion control needs. Reference the RollMax Products Chart below to find the right solution for your next project.



RollMax Product Selection Chart

				TEMPORARY			
			ERC	DNET			BIONET
				P			
	DS75	DS150	S75	S150	SC150	C125	S75BN
Longevity	45 days	60 days	12 mo.	12 mo.	24 mo.	36 mo.	12 mo.
Applications	Low Flow Channels 4:1-3:1 Slopes	Moderate Flow Channels 3:1-2:1 Slopes	Low Flow Channels 4:1-3:1 Slopes	Moderate Flow Channels 3:1-2:1 Slopes	Medium Flow Channels 2:1-1:1 Slopes	High-Flow Channels 1:1 and Greater Slopes	Low Flow Channels 4:1-3:1 Slopes
Design Permissible Shear Stress Ibs/ft² (Pa)	Unvegetated 1.55 (74)	Unvegetated 1.75 (84)	Unvegetated 1.55 (74)	Unvegetated 1.75 (84)	Unvegetated 2.00 (96)	Unvegetated 2.25 (108)	Unvegetated 1.60 (76)
Design Permissible Velocity ft/s (m/s)	Unvegetated 5.00 (1.52)	Unvegetated 6.00 (1.52)	Unvegetated 5.00 (1.2)	Unvegetated 6.00 (1.83)	Unvegetated 8.00 (2.44)	Unvegetated 10.00 (3.05)	Unvegetated 5.00 (1.52)
Top Net	Lightweight accelerated photodegradable polypropylene 1.50 lbs/1000 ft ² (0.73 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	Lightweight accelerated photodegradable polypropylene 1.50 lbs/1000 ft ² (0.73 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	Lightweight photodegradable polypropylene 1.50 lbs/1000 ft ² (0.73 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	Lightweight photodegradable polypropylene 1.50 lbs/1000 ft ² (0.73 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	Heavyweight UV-stabilized polypropylene 2.9 lbs/1000 ft ² (1.47 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	Heavyweight UV-stabilized polypropylene 2.9 lbs/1000 ft ² (1.47 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	Leno woven. 100% biodegradable jute fiber 9.30 lbs/1000 ft ² (4.53 kg/100 m ²) approx wt
Center Net	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fiber Matrix	Straw fiber 0.50 bs/yd² (0.27 kg/m²)	Straw fiber 0.50 lbs/yd² (0.27 kg/m²)	Straw fiber 0.50 lbs/yd² (0.27 kg/m²)	Straw fiber 0.50 lbs/yd² (0.27 kg/m²)	Straw/coconut matrix 70% Straw 0.35 lbs/yd² (0.19 kg/m²) 30% Coconut 0.15 lbs/yd² (0.08 kg/m²)	Coconut fiber 0.50 bs/yd² (0.27 kg/m²)	Straw fiber 0.50 lbs/yd² (0.27 kg/m²)
Bottom Net	N/A	Lightweight accelerated photodegradable polypropylene 1.50 lbs/1000 ft ² (0.73 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	N/A	Lightweight photodegradable polypropylene 1.50 lbs/1000 ft ² (0.73 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	Lightweight photodegradable polypropylene 1.50 lbs/1000 ft ² (0.73 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	Heavyweight UV-stabilized polypropylene 2.9 lbs/1000 ft ² (1.47 kg/100 m ²) approx wt	N/A
Thread	Accelerated degradable	Accelerated degradable	Degradable	Degradable	Degradable	UV-stabilized polypropylene	Biodegradable

Hydraulic Analysis Report

Project Data

Project Title:Project - Norwood Bible ChurchDesigner:JPSProject Date:Friday, September 22, 2023Project Units:U.S. Customary UnitsNotes:

Channel Analysis: Channel Analysis - Ditch D1.1a

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Triangular Side Slope 1 (Z1): 4.0000 ft/ft Side Slope 2 (Z2): 4.0000 ft/ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0300 Flow: 3.5000 cfs

Result Parameters

Depth: 0.6255 ft Area of Flow: 1.5649 ft² Wetted Perimeter: 5.1579 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.3034 ft Average Velocity: 2.2365 ft/s Top Width: 5.0039 ft Froude Number: 0.7048 Critical Depth: 0.5438 ft Critical Velocity: 2.9589 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0211 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 4.35 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.3903 lb/ft² Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.1893 lb/ft²

Channel Analysis: Channel Analysis - Ditch D1.1b

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Triangular Side Slope 1 (Z1): 4.0000 ft/ft Side Slope 2 (Z2): 4.0000 ft/ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0640 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0300 Flow: 4.8000 cfs

Result Parameters

Depth: 0.4972 ft Area of Flow: 0.9887 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 4.0997 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.2412 ft Average Velocity: 4.8550 ft/s Top Width: 3.9773 ft Froude Number: 1.7160 Critical Depth: 0.6170 ft Critical Velocity: 3.1519 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0202 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 4.94 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 1.9855 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.9631 lb/ft^2

TRM Lining Specified based on high velocities and Froude Number

Channel Analysis: Channel Analysis - Crosspan D1.1c

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Triangular Side Slope 1 (Z1): 50.0000 ft/ft Side Slope 2 (Z2): 50.0000 ft/ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0140 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0160 Flow: 4.3000 cfs

Result Parameters

Depth: 0.1929 ft Area of Flow: 1.8607 ft² Wetted Perimeter: 19.2949 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.0964 ft Average Velocity: 2.3109 ft/s Top Width: 19.2910 ft Froude Number: 1.3113 Critical Depth: 0.2150 ft Critical Velocity: 1.8605 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0079 ft/ft Critical Slope: 0.0079 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 21.50 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.1685 lb/ft²

Channel Analysis: Channel Analysis - Chase D1.1c

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Rectangular Channel Width: 2.0000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0330 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0130 Flow: 8.5000 cfs

Result Parameters

Depth: 0.4476 ft Area of Flow: 0.8953 ft² Wetted Perimeter: 2.8953 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.3092 ft Average Velocity: 9.4944 ft/s Top Width: 2.0000 ft Froude Number: 2.5008 Critical Depth: 0.8247 ft Critical Velocity: 5.1533 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0059 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 2.00 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.9218 lb/ft² Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.6367 lb/ft²

Channel Analysis: Channel Analysis - Channel D1.1c

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Trapezoidal Side Slope 1 (Z1): 4.0000 ft/ft Side Slope 2 (Z2): 4.0000 ft/ft Channel Width: 4.0000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0670 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0300 Flow: 8.5000 cfs

Result Parameters

Depth: 0.3157 ft Area of Flow: 1.6615 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 6.6033 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.2516 ft Average Velocity: 5.1160 ft/s Top Width: 6.5256 ft Froude Number: 1.7868 Critical Depth: 0.4447 ft Critical Velocity: 3.3076 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0192 ft/ft Critical Slope: 0.0192 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 7.56 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 1.3199 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 1.0519 lb/ft^2

TRM Lining Specified based on high velocities and Froude Number

Channel Analysis: Channel Analysis - Channel D1.1d

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Trapezoidal Side Slope 1 (Z1): 4.0000 ft/ft Side Slope 2 (Z2): 4.0000 ft/ft Channel Width: 4.0000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0088 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0300 Flow: 12.9000 cfs

Result Parameters

Depth: 0.6792 ft Area of Flow: 4.5622 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 9.6010 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.4752 ft Average Velocity: 2.8276 ft/s Top Width: 9.4337 ft Froude Number: 0.7165 Critical Depth: 0.5641 ft Critical Velocity: 3.6556 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0180 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 8.51 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.3730 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.2609 lb/ft^2 Hydraulic Structures

Q100 (max) = 8.5 cfs (DP-D1.1c); D = 2.0 ft
Q / D^1.5 = 8.5 / (2.0^1.5) = 3.0
$$H_a = \frac{(H + Y_n)}{2}$$

Equation 9-19

Where the maximum value of H_a shall not exceed H, and:

 D_a = parameter to use in place of D in Figure 9-38 when flow is supercritical (ft)

 D_c = diameter of circular culvert (ft)

 H_a = parameter to use in place of H in Figure 9-39 when flow is supercritical (ft)

H = height of rectangular culvert (ft)

 Y_n = normal depth of supercritical flow in the culvert (ft)



Yt = 0.3 ft (Channel D1.1c); Yt / D = (0.3 / 2.0) = 0.15



Use Type M Riprap



APPENDIX D

DRAINAGE COST ESTIMATE

JPS ENGINEERING

NORWOOD BIBLE CHURCH - LOT 38, SADDLEHORN RANCH FILING NO. 3
ENGINEER'S COST ESTIMATE
DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS (PRIVATE)

Item	Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Total
No.				Cost	Cost
				(\$\$\$)	(\$\$\$)
	PRIVATE DRAINAGE FACILITIES (NON-REIMBURSABLE)				
	Riprap Aprons (12" Riprap)	16.0	TN	\$104	\$1,664
	18" RCP Driveway Culvert	59	LF	\$82	\$4,838
	18" RCP Flared End Sections	2	EA	\$492	\$984
	SUBTOTAL				\$7,486
	Contingency @ 10%				\$749
	TOTAL				\$8,235
The cost	estimate submitted herein is based on time-honored practices within the construction in	dustry. As suc	ch	•	
the engin	eer does not control the cost of labor, materials, equipment or a contractor's method of o	Jetermining			
prices and	d competitive bidding practices or market conditions. The estimate represents our best j	udgement			
as design	professionals using current information available at the time of the preparation. The en	gineer cannot			

guarantee that proposals, bids and/or construction costs will not vary from this cost estimate.

APPENDIX E

FIGURES



40' 80' (24"x36" SHEET) 1'(EXISTING)	VICINITY MAP		19 E. Willamette Ave. Colorado Springs, CO 80903 PH: 719-477-9429 FAX: 719-471-0766 www.jpsengr.com
	LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE FEMA 100-YEAR FLOODWAY FEMA 100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN PROPERTY BOUNDARY DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY PROPOSED CONTOUR 6750 EXISTING CONTOUR 6750 FLOW DIRECTION ARROW Image: Control of the state o	OT 38, SADDLEHORN RANCH FILING NO.	No. REVISION BY DATE A A Call UTILITY NOTFICAT B DATE Call UTILITY NOTFICAT B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D B D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
		HORZ. SCALE: 1"= VERT. SCALE: N SURVEYED:	AO' DRAWN: PV DESIGNED: JPS JR CHECKED: JPS
	PCD FILE NO. PPR2346	CREATED: 08/29/ PROJECT NO: 0423 SHEET:	(22 LAST MODIFIED: 01/12/24 303 MODIFIED BY: PV





	ORIGINAL SCALE: 1"=4 Contour interva Contour interva
IMPERVIOUS AREA	$\frac{\text{CALCULATIONS:}}{= 1.46 \text{ AC}}$
<u>SURFACE TYPE</u> PROP. BUILDINGS PROP. ASPHALT/SIDE TOTAL IMPERVIOUS A	<u>AREA</u> 6,313 SF WALK <u>120 SF</u> REA 6,433 SF =
IMPERVIOUS AREA BASIN D1.16 AREA SURFACE TYPE PROP+FUTURE BUILDI	$CALCULATIONS:$ $= 0.43 \text{ AC.}$ $\frac{AREA}{539 \text{ SF}}$ 2474 SF
IMPERVIOUS AREA	REA 2,953 SF =
BASIN D1.1c AREA	= 1.91 AC.
<u>SURFACE TYPE</u> PROP+FUTURE BUILDI PROP. ASPHALT/SIDE	<u>AREA</u> INGS 5,892 SF WALK 32,956 SF
PROP. GRAVEL 9,310 @ 80% IMPERVIOUS= TOTAL IMPERVIOUS A	SF. 7,448 SF. REA 46,296 SF =
	=
IMPERVIOUS AREA BASIN D1.1d AREA	$\frac{\text{CALCULA HONS:}}{= 0.83 \text{ AC.}}$
<u>SURFACE TYPE</u> PROP. BUILDINGS PROP. ASPHALT/SIDE TOTAL IMPERVIOUS A	<u>AREA</u> 0,000 SF WALK <u>2,170 SF</u> REA <u>2,170 SF</u> =
	=
IMPERVIOUS AREA BASIN CH2 AREA	$\frac{\text{CALCULATIONS:}}{= 0.77 \text{ AC.}}$
<u>SURFACE TYPE</u> PROP. BUILDINGS PROP. ASPHALT/SIDE TOTAL IMPERVIOUS A	<u>AREA</u> 0,000 SF WALK 8,750 SF REA 8,750 SF =
DESIGN Q5 POINT (CF	KOLOGI TABL 5 Q100 S) (CFS)
D1.1a 0.8 D1.1b 1.3 D1.1c 3.9 CH2 0.9 D1.1d 4.7 D1.1 54	3 3.5 3 4.8 9 8.5 9 2.5 7 12.9
01.1 0.	4 14.9