

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT HIGHWAY 105 CORRIDOR & JACKSON CREEK PARKWAY INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS

February 2023

Prepared for: ELITE PROPERTIES OF AMERICA, INC 2138 FLYING HORSE CLUB DR. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80921

Prepared by: CLASSIC CONSULTING 619 N. CASCADE AVE., SUITE 200 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80903 (719) 785-0790

Job no. 1302.22



FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT – HIGHWAY 105 CORRIDOR & JACKSON CREEK PARKWAY INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS

DRAINAGE REPORT STATEMENT

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the Town for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

	basin. I accept responsibilit part in preparing this report.	ry for any liability c	aused by any	negligent acts, errors,
Marc A. Whorton, Co	olorado P.E. #37155	Date		
DEVELOPER'S STATE I, the developer, have and plan.	MENT: e read and will comply with a	all of the requireme	ents specified i	n this drainage report
Business Name:	ELITE PROPERTIES OF AME	RICA, INC.		
Ву:				
Title:				
Address:	2138 FLYING HORSE CLUB	DR.		
	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 8	30921		
	ENT ONLY: with Sections 12.13.010 of tl 11.160 of the Zoning Ordina			
For Town of Monum	ent	Date		
Conditions:				

Add this statement to end of signature block (See CD's for example) "This review is only for the proposed construction in Highway 105, east of Jackson Creek Parkway."

Include signature block for County

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT – HIGHWAY 105 CORRIDOR & JACKSON CREEK PARKWAY INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PURPOSE	Page	1
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	Page	1
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page	1
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS	Page	4
DRAINAGE CRITERIA	Page	8
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	Page	8
SUMMARY	Page	8
REFERENCES	Page :	10

APPENDICES

VICINITY MAP

SOILS MAP (S.C.S. SURVEY)

F.E.M.A. MAP

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

STORMWATER QUALITY / DETENTION CALCULATIONS

DRAINAGE MAPS



PURPOSE

This document is the Final Drainage Report for the Monument Junction Development and the associated adjacent off-site improvements to Highway 105 and the intersection with Jackson Creek Parkway. The purpose of this report is to identify the existing drainage patterns in this corridor, define and detail practical solutions for the conveyance and attenuation of developed flows to minimize drainage impacts further downstream resulting from these regional roadway improvements as required by Town of Monument, El Paso County and CDOT. Two separate reports cover the on-site aspects of the Monument Junction Development. Please reference "Monument Junction East – Phase 1 PD Site Plan" and "Monument Junction West Filing No. 1", both prepared by Classic Consulting. These reports have been approved by the Town of Monument and detail and describe all the on-site drainage design for the development.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

This report covers the Highway 105 corridor from the I-25 off-ramp to the Knollwood Drive intersection and the Jackson Creek Parkway improvements from Highway 105 to the high point in the road approximately 750 feet south of Highway 105. This area lies within Section 14, township 11 south, range 67 west of the 6th principal meridian, in El Paso County. This corridor is bounded on the north by CDOT property and private rural residential property, to the south by Monument Junction development and various commercial developments along Highway 105, to the east by existing Village Center at Woodmoor development and to the west by existing CDOT Right-ofway (Interstate-25).

The average soil condition reflects Hydrologic Group "D" (Alamosa Loam) as determined by the "Web Soil Survey of El Paso County Area," prepared by the Soil Conservation Service (see map in Appendix).

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

This corridor is entirely within the Dirty Woman Creek drainage basin. Existing slopes range from 1% to 33% and ground cover is predominantly paved roadway with native grass sideroad ditches with some trees and wetlands within the corridor. The current drainage pattern flows generally in a westerly direction towards the intersection of Highway 105 and the I-25 off-ramp where an existing 36" RCP culvert crosses the I-25 off-ramp. This natural drainageway just upstream of this facility contains wetland area within the natural channel behind the sidewalk along the south side of Highway 105. Please reference the Jurisdictional Determination (JD) Action No. SPA-2022-00180 that finds these wetlands to be non-jurisdictional. (See Appendix) The corridor east of Jackson Creek



Parkway contains an existing storm system collecting the developed street flows and the adjacent property along the south side of Highway 105.

The following off-site basins (OS-10 thru OS-18) are all along this stretch of the Highway 105 corridor east to Knollwood Drive including the intersection with Jackson Creek Parkway:

Basin OS-10 (Q_5 = 3 cfs, Q_{100} = 10 cfs) consists of the off-site property within the existing Right-of-Way for Highway 105 and the I-25 off-ramp. These flows travel as sheet flow in a northerly direction directly into the sideroad ditch along the south side of Highway 105 and the east side of the I-25 off-ramp. The flows then travel as ditch flow towards the intersection with the I-25 off-ramp. The total flows at this location are described later as Design Point H10.

Basin OS-16 (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 5 cfs) consists of the off-site fully developed properties east of Jackson Creek Parkway and south of Highway 105. This basin consists of existing buildings, parking lot, drive aisle and landscape area. These developed flows travel into a landscape sediment area adjacent to the buildings and then directly into Basin OS-11. Basin OS-11 (Q_5 = 3 cfs, Q_{100} = 6 cfs) consists of the off-site partially developed property due east of Jackson Creek Parkway just south of Highway 105. This basin consists of an existing building, parking lot, driveway and native planted slope adjacent to Jackson Creek Parkway. The combined developed flows travel in a westerly direction and directly into the sideroad ditch along Jackson Creek Parkway. However, the ditch on the east side of the road seems to end and these flows appear to spillover to the westerly side of the roadway (Design Point H7) as the northbound approach to the intersection with Highway 105 is superelevated due to the grade on Highway 105. At Design Point H7 (Q_5 = 5 cfs, Q_{100} = 10 cfs) these combined flows are partially collected by an existing 10′ Type R at-grade inlet (Q_5 = 4.9 cfs, Q_{100} = 7.7 cfs) with a flow-by of (Q_5 = 0.1 cfs, Q_{100} = 2.3 cfs). This minor flow-by continues around the corner and then in a westerly direction down the street within Basin OS-17. The collected flows along with the upstream flows are conveyed in an existing 30″ RCP storm pipe and daylight into the sideroad ditch along the south side of Highway 105.

Basin OS-12 (Q_5 = 1.2 cfs, Q_{100} = 3 cfs) consists of the most easterly portion of Highway 105 at the Knollwood Drive intersection. Portions of this basin include the existing paved roadway and naturally landscaped area within the ROW. It has been assumed that the upstream facilities (east of Knollwood Dr.) capture 100% of the minor flows but approximately 0.85 cfs bypass those facilities in the major event. (Information taken from recent "Preliminary Drainage Report Highway 105 Project A", prepared by HDR for El Paso County, dated November 2021) Thus, the



total flows are conveyed via curb and gutter in a westerly direction towards **Design Point H4** (Q_5 = 1 cfs, Q_{100} = 3.8 cfs). At this location, an existing 5' Type R at-grade inlet collects a portion of these flows (Q_5 = 1 cfs, Q_{100} = 2.5 cfs) with a flow-by of (Q_5 = 0 cfs, Q_{100} = 1.3 cfs). This minor flow-by continues in a westerly direction down the street within Basin OS-13. The collected flows are conveyed in an existing 15" RCP storm pipe in a westerly direction under Highway 105.

Basin OS-13 (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 4 cfs) consists of a portion of Highway 105 west of Knollwood Drive. Portions of this basin include the existing paved roadway and naturally landscaped area within the ROW. These flows along with the minor 100 yr. flow-by from Design Point H4 are conveyed via curb and gutter in a westerly direction towards Design Point H5. At this location, an existing 10' Type R at-grade inlet collects a portion of these flows (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 4.9 cfs) with a flow-by of (Q_5 = 0 cfs, Q_{100} = 0.4 cfs). This minor flow-by continues in a westerly direction down the street within Basin OS-14. The collected flows combine with the upstream system and are conveyed in an existing 15" RCP storm pipe in a westerly direction.

Basin OS-14 (Q_5 = 0.8 cfs, Q_{100} = 2 cfs) consists of a portion of Highway 105 just east of Jackson Creek Parkway. Portions of this basin include the existing paved roadway and naturally landscaped area within the ROW. These flows along with the 100 yr. flow-by from Design Point H5 are conveyed via curb and gutter in a westerly direction towards **Design Point H6**. At this location, an existing 10' Type R at-grade inlet completely collects these flows with no flow-by. The total collected flows are then conveyed in an existing 24" RCP storm pipe in a southwesterly direction.

Basin OS-15 (Q_5 = 4 cfs, Q_{100} = 8 cfs) consists of the off-site partially developed property due east of Jackson Creek Parkway just south of Highway 105. This basin consists of existing buildings, parking lots, drive aisles and native undeveloped areas. These developed flows generally travel in a westerly direction towards a sediment basin up on top of the slope at the intersection with Jackson Creek Parkway. This facility does not seem to have any formal stormwater quality features but rather just a rip-rap basin with and existing 18" ADS storm outfall. This outfall conveys the flows directly into a grated inlet behind the curb along the east side of Jackson Creek Parkway. The flows are then conveyed under the roadway within an existing 30" RCP storm system. This system daylights into the sideroad ditch at the SW corner of Highway 105 and Jackson Creek Parkway.

Basin OS-17 (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 4 cfs) consists of a portion of Highway 105 within the intersection with Jackson Creek Parkway. The majority of this basin includes the existing paved roadway along with an area naturally landscaped



slope at the SE corner of the intersection. These flows along with the by-pass flows from Design Point H7 described above are conveyed via curb and gutter in a westerly direction towards **Design Point H8** (Q_5 = **2.1 cfs**, Q_{100} = **6.3 cfs**). At this location, an existing 10′ Type R at-grade inlet collects a portion of these flows (Q_5 = **2.1 cfs**, Q_{100} = **5.5 cfs**) with a flow-by of (Q_5 = **0 cfs**, Q_{100} = **0.8 cfs**). This minor flow-by continues in a westerly direction down the street within Basin OS-18. The collected flows are conveyed out of the back of the inlet via a 24″ RCP directly into the sideroad ditch along the south side of Highway 105.

Basin OS-18 (Q_5 = 1.3 cfs, Q_{100} = 2 cfs) consists of a portion of Highway 105 just east of the intersection with the I-25 off-ramp. All of this basin includes the existing paved roadway. These flows along with the by-pass flows from Design Point H8 described above are conveyed via curb and gutter in a westerly direction towards **Design Point** H9 (Q_5 = 1 cfs, Q_{100} = 3 cfs). At this location, an existing 10' Type R sump inlet completely collects these flows. The collected flows are conveyed out of the back of the inlet via a 24" RCP directly into the sideroad ditch along the south side of Highway 105.

Design Point H10 (Q_5 = 14 cfs, Q_{100} = 36 cfs) consists of the total combined flows from all the tributary upstream basins described above. At this location, the natural ditch within CDOT ROW conveys the flows to an existing 36" RCP culvert under the I-25 off-ramp. This facility seems to adequately handle these current developed flows.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

Developed runoff from the proposed off-site public roadway improvements within the Highway 105 and Jackson Creek Parkway ROW corridors will be conveyed via surface drainage and public storm sewer systems to a proposed permanent storm water quality facility located at the SE corner of the intersection of the I-25 off-ramp and Highway 105. Given that these improvements span multiple jurisdictions, the proposed facilities will be designed and installed per the latest EI Paso County ECM and Town of Monument drainage criteria and detailed in this report. See the following general descriptions of the anticipated developed design points and how all the developed flows will be mitigated:

Design Point D4 (Q₅= **1.4 cfs, Q**₁₀₀= **3.9 cfs)** consists of the most easterly portion of Highway 105 at the Knollwood Drive intersection within Basin OS12D. Portions of this basin include the existing paved roadway and naturally landscaped area within the ROW and new roadway improvements proposed by El Paso County. These developed flows include the minor 100 yr. flow-by of 0.85 cfs as described in the "Preliminary Drainage Report Highway 105"



Project A", prepared by HDR for El Paso County, dated November 2021. The total flows are conveyed via curb and gutter in a westerly direction towards Design Point H4. At this location, a proposed 5' Type R at-grade inlet collects a portion of these flows (Q_5 = 1.4 cfs, Q_{100} = 2.5 cfs) with a flow-by of (Q_5 = 0 cfs, Q_{100} = 1.3 cfs). This minor flow-by continues in a westerly direction down the street within Basin OS13D. The collected flows are conveyed in a proposed 18" RCP storm pipe in a westerly direction under Highway 105. This proposed inlet and 18" RCP storm will be constructed as a part of the El Paso County Highway 105 Project A. In the interim, the existing inlet in place and storm outfall will remain and further downstream temporarily connected to the proposed re-aligned storm system as described below.

Design Point D5 (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 5 cfs) consists of a portion of the redeveloped Highway 105 by El Paso County. At this location, a proposed 10' Type R at-grade inlet collects a portion of these flows (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 4.9 cfs) with a flow-by of (Q_5 = 0 cfs, Q_{100} = 0.4 cfs). This minor flow-by continues in a westerly direction down the street within Basin OS14D. The collected flows combine with the upstream system and are conveyed in a proposed 18" RCP storm pipe in a westerly direction. At this location, the existing upstream storm system will be temporarily connected to this inlet along with a proposed storm stub for future connection upon the El Paso County project construction.

Design Point D6 (Q_5 = 4 cfs, Q_{100} = 8 cfs) consists of the off-site partially developed property due east of Jackson Creek Parkway just south of Highway 105. Basin OS-15D is the upstream basin that consists of existing buildings, parking lots, drive aisles and native undeveloped areas. These developed flows generally travel in a westerly direction and will continue to be captured by a proposed CDOT Type C inlet within their property, located behind the proposed retaining wall. Appropriate easement documents and agreements will need to be granted prior to construction. The collected flows will then be routed via a proposed 18" RCP pipe routed towards the proposed storm system within Highway 105. These flows then combine with the upstream flows described earlier and are conveyed further downstream in a westerly direction.

Design Point D7 (Q_5 = 6 cfs, Q_{100} = 12 cfs) consists of a portion of the proposed Jackson Creek Parkway road improvements and a few off-site basins to the east that are tributary to this location (Basins OS-16 and OS11D). Developed flows from these basins sheet flow towards Jackson Creek Parkway and enter the roadway. This portion of the road will remain superelevated and the flows sheet flow towards the median and a proposed 10' Type R at-grade inlet. This facility collects a portion of these flows (Q_5 = 5.4 cfs, Q_{100} = 8.2 cfs) with a flow-by of



(Q_5 = 0.6 cfs, Q_{100} = 3.8 cfs). This flow-by continues in a northerly direction down the street within Basin OS14D. The collected flows are conveyed in a proposed 18" RCP storm pipe in a northerly direction.

Design Point D8 (Q_5 = 3 cfs, Q_{100} = 8 cfs) consists of a portion of the intersection including both proposed Jackson Creek Parkway and Highway 105 road improvements. Developed flow from this basin along with the flow-by described above sheet flow towards the intersection and a proposed 10′ Type R sump inlet within the median. This facility completely collects these flows with a maximum ponding elevation of 6″. The collected flows combine with the routed upstream flows and are conveyed in a proposed 24″ RCP storm pipe in a westerly direction.

Design Point D9 (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 5 cfs) consists of a portion of the proposed Jackson Creek Parkway road improvements (Basin JPC7) and the on-site basin G. Developed flows from these basins sheet flow towards Jackson Creek Parkway and enter the roadway as curb and gutter flow towards a proposed 10' Type R at-grade inlet. This facility collects a portion of these flows (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 4.8 cfs) with a flow-by of (Q_5 = 0 cfs, Q_{100} = 0.2 cfs). This flow-by continues in a westerly direction down the street within Basin OS17D. The collected flows are conveyed in a proposed 18" RCP storm pipe in a northerly direction.

Design Point D10 (Q_5 = 3 cfs, Q_{100} = 6 cfs) consists of a portion of the proposed Highway 105 road improvements within Basin OS17D. Developed flows from this basin along with the upstream flow-by sheet flow towards Highway 105. At this location a proposed 10′ Type R at-grade inlet is proposed. This facility collects a portion of these flows (Q_5 = 3 cfs, Q_{100} = 5.4 cfs) with a flow-by of (Q_5 = 0 cfs, Q_{100} = 0.8 cfs). This flow-by continues in a westerly direction down the street within Basin OS18D. The collected flows are conveyed in a proposed 18″ RCP storm pipe towards the proposed storm system behind the curb.

Design Point D11 (Q_5 = 2 cfs, Q_{100} = 4 cfs) consists of a portion of the proposed Highway 105 road improvements within Basin OS18D. Developed flows from this basin along with the minor upstream flow-by sheet flow towards Highway 105. At this location, an existing 10′ Type R sump inlet completely collects these flows. The collected flows are conveyed out of the back of the inlet via an existing 24″ RCP directly into the proposed CDOT SWQ facility.

Basin H (Q_5 = 0.4 cfs, Q_{100} = 1.8 cfs) consists of a small portion of the proposed commercial development that will likely be landscape area and continue to sheet flow directly into Basin OS10D. Basin OS10D (Q_5 = 0.8 cfs, Q_{100} = 4 cfs) consists of the existing vegetated slope within CDOT ROW that sheet flows to the sideroad ditch along the



east side of the I-25 off-ramp. The combined flows will continue to travel as ditch flow directly into the proposed CDOT SWQ facility.

Design Point 13 (Q₅= **18 cfs, Q**₁₀₀= **40 cfs)** consists of the total combined developed flows from all the tributary upstream basins within the Highway 105 corridor and Jackson Creek Parkway intersection described above. These developed flows compare to the pre-development flows as follows:

Developed Flows at exist. 36" RCP at I-25 off-ramp: $(Q_5 = 18 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 40 \text{ cfs})$

Pre-developed flows at exist. 36" RCP at I-25 off-ramp: ($Q_5 = 14 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 36 \text{ cfs}$)

A proposed SWQ/detention facility is planned within CDOT Right-of-way to help manage the additional impervious area introduced within this roadway corridor created by the public roadway improvements. This facility is designed as an Extended Detention Basin (EDB) storm water quality facility with a full spectrum outlet box and associated forebay, micro pool, well screen, orifice plate, and 100-year outlet conveyance per the current drainage criteria manual. It will be owned and maintained by CDOT. The pond design allows for the required Water Quality Capture Volume with release though an orifice plate (Top of Micropool = 7004.50) and then the other storm events up to the 100 yr. event will be handled through a 6'x3' concrete outlet box (Top of box = 7010.00).

The following represents the required design for this facility (See Appendix):

CDOT SWQ Pond

Total tributary area: 11.84 ac. Effective Imperviousness: 53.6%

	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Provided</u>
Zone 1 (WQCV)	0.21 Acft.	0.21 Acft.
Zone 2 (EURV)	0.39 Acft.	0.39 Acft.
Zone 3 (100-yr.)	0.52 Acft.	0.24 Acft.
Total	1.12 Acft.	0.84 Acft.

Top of Micropool elev: 7004.50

6'x3' Conc. Outlet box with top of box height of 5.5' and exist. 36" RCP outfall pipe

Orifice Plate design: Four holes = 1-3/16" dia. spaced 16.5"



Calculations per MHFD-Detention_v4.05 spreadsheet:

Pond Peak Design Release: $(Q_2 = 0.5 \text{ cfs}, Q_5 = 4.6 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 25.2 \text{ cfs})$

Pre-developed Release: $(Q_2 = 10 \text{ cfs}, Q_5 = 14 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 36 \text{ cfs})$

This facility will be owned and maintained by CDOT.

DRAINAGE CRITERIA

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the Town of Monument Standards, which follow the City of

Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in May 2014. The Rational Method was

used to estimate storm water runoff anticipated from design storms for the 5 year and 100-year recurrence

interval for local storm inlet and pipe facility sizing. Runoff Coefficients are based on the imperviousness of the

particular land use and the hydrologic soil type in accordance with Table 6-6. The average rainfall intensity, by

recurrence interval found in the Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves in Figure 6-5. (See Appendix)

The UD-BMP spreadsheet (ver. 3.07) along with the MHFD-Detention spreadsheet (ver. 4.05) were used to

calculate the required volume for the EURV and 100-year release. User input 1-hour precipitation values in the

UD-Detention spreadsheet were taken from Table 6-2 Volume 1 Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.)

Map Number 08041C0278G effective date, December 7, 2018 (See Appendix).

SUMMARY

Construction of these proposed public roadway improvements will not adversely affect the surrounding

developments. All drainage facilities were sized using the current Drainage Criteria and will safely discharge storm

water runoff to adequate outfalls. Developed flows will be routed to the proposed SWQ/detention facility within

CDOT ROW and slowly released at historic rates. All existing downstream facilities will not be significantly affected

upon the construction of these public improvements.

CLASSIC SM CONSULTING ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS

PREPARED BY:

Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC

Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Project Manager

maw/130222/Reports/FDR – MJ West Hwy 105-JCP.doc

REFERENCES

- 1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual dated May 2014.
- 2. "Drainage Analysis Addendum No. 2 Village Center at Woodmoor", Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, dated June 2009.
- 3. "Drainage Letter Amendment for the Drainage Analysis Addendum Village Center at Woodmoor", Classic Consulting Engineers and Surveyors, dated October 2010.
- 4. "Village Center @ Woodmoor Filing No.1" Berge-Brewer and Associates, Inc., dated January 2005.
- 5. "Drainage Basin Planning Study Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek El Paso County," Kiowa Engineering Corporation, dated September 1993.
- 6. "MDDP for The Village" Classic Consulting, dated February 2020
- 7. "Final Drainage Report for Monument Junction East (Phase 1 PD Site Plan)", Classic Consulting, dated January 2022.
- 8. "Final Drainage Report for Monument Junction West Filing No. 1", Classic Consulting, dated March 2022.
- 9. "Preliminary Drainage Report Highway 105 Project A for El Paso County", HDR, Inc., dated November 2021.



APPENDIX




VICINITY MAP





SOILS MAP (S.C.S SURVEY)





MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Map Unit Polygons



Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

Blowout

Borrow Pit

Clay Spot

Closed Depression

Gravel Pit

Gravelly Spot

Candfill

Lava Flow

Marsh or swamp

Walsii Oi Swalli

Mine or Quarry

Miscellaneous Water

Perennial Water

♣ Saline Spot

Sandy Spot

Severely Eroded Spot

Sinkhole

Slide or Slip

Sodic Spot

Stony Spot

Very Stony Spot

Spoil Area

Wet Spot

Other

Special Line Features

Water Features

Δ

Streams and Canals

Transportation

Rails

Interstate Highways

US Routes

Major Roads

Local Roads

Background

Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24.000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Sep 23, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
1	Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	13.3	41.7%
92	Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes	18.6	58.3%
Totals for Area of Interest		32.0	100.0%

El Paso County Area, Colorado

1—Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3670 Elevation: 7,200 to 7,700 feet

Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated and reclaimed of

excess salts and sodium

Map Unit Composition

Alamosa and similar soils: 85 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of

the mapunit.

Description of Alamosa

Setting

Landform: Flood plains, fans Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 6 inches: loam
Bt - 6 to 14 inches: clay loam

Btk - 14 to 33 inches: clay loam

Cg1 - 33 to 53 inches: sandy clay loam Cg2 - 53 to 60 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 3 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Poorly drained

Runoff class: Very high

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 12 to 18 inches

Frequency of flooding: FrequentNone

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent

Maximum salinity: Very slightly saline to strongly saline (2.0 to 16.0

mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 10.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 5w

Hydrologic Soil Group: D

Ecological site: R048AY241CO - Mountain Meadow

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

El Paso County Area, Colorado

92—Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 36b9 Elevation: 7,300 to 7,600 feet

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Tomah and similar soils: 50 percent Crowfoot and similar soils: 30 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of

the mapunit.

Description of Tomah

Setting

Landform: Hills, alluvial fans

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium derived from arkose and/or residuum

weathered from arkose

Typical profile

A - 0 to 10 inches: loamy sand E - 10 to 22 inches: coarse sand

Bt - 22 to 48 inches: stratified coarse sand to sandy clay loam

C - 48 to 60 inches: coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Medium

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R049XY216CO - Sandy Divide

Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Crowfoot

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, hills

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 12 inches: loamy sand E - 12 to 23 inches: sand

Bt - 23 to 36 inches: sandy clay loam C - 36 to 60 inches: coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Medium

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R049XY216CO - Sandy Divide

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

F.E.M.A. MAP



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The **community map repository** should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date **stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations** than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact **FEMA Map Service Center** (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table


REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

Panel Location Map

Flooding Source Vertical Data

Offset


.....



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



LEGEND

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD

The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.

ZONE A No Base Flood Elevations determined.
ZONE AE Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AH Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AO Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.

ZONE AR Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance

flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE V Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE VE Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood

Elevations determined.

FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE

The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.

OTHER AREAS

ONE X Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)

CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.

Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

Floodplain boundary
Floodway boundary
Zone D Boundary

CBRS and OPA boundary

Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.

513 Sase Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet*
(EL 987) Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet*

* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)

Cross section line

3 Transect line

97° 07' 30.00" Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)

5^{000m}N 1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 13

6000000 FT 5000-foot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate system, central zone (FIPSZONE 0502),

DX5510 Bench mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of this FIRM panel)

River Mile

MAP REPOSITORIES
Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index
EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

EFFECTIVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL

DECEMBER 7, 2018 - to update corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and Special Flood Hazard Areas, to update map format, to add roads and road names, and to incorporate previously issued Letters of Map Revision.


MARCH 17, 1997

For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community

Map History Table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance

agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.



PANEL 0278G

FIRM

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

EL PASO COUNTY,

COLORADO

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

PANEL 278 OF 1300

(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)
CONTAINS:

CONTAINS:

COMMUNITY NUMBER

MONUMENT, TOWN OF 080064 0278

replaces any previous versions. See the Notice-to-User Letter that accompanied this correction for details.

Notice to User: The **Map Number** shown below should be used when placing map orders: the **Community Number** shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community.



MAP REVISED DECEMBER 7, 2018

MAP NUMBER

08041C0278G

Federal Emergency Management Agency

JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ALBUQUERQUE DISTRICT SOUTHERN COLORADO REGULATORY BRANCH 201 WEST 8TH STREET, SUITE 350 PUEBLO, COLORADO 81003

June 23, 2022

Regulatory Division

SUBJECT: Jurisdictional Determination- Action No.SPA-2022-00180

Classic Communities
Attn: Steve Schlosser
2138 Flying Horse Club Drive
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80921
sschlosser@classichomes.com

Dear Mr. Schlosser:

This letter responds to your request for a jurisdictional determination (JD) for multiple aquatic resources associated with the Monument Junction-Highway 105 improvement Project. The project site is located near Dirty Woman Creek, centered at latitude 39.092991°, longitude -104.856431°, Colorado Springs, El Paso County, Colorado. We have assigned Action No. SPA-2022-00180 to your request. Please reference this number in all future correspondence concerning the site.

Based on the information provided, we concur with your aquatic resource delineation for the site, as depicted on the enclosed drawing labeled, *SPA-2022-00180*, *Figure 1*, prepared by Core Consultants, Inc. (enclosure 1). We have determined that the site does not contain waters of the United States that are subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The aquatic resources identified as *WT-A1* (0.169 acres), *WT-A2* (0.006 acre), *ST-A1* (0.003 acre), *ST-A2* (0.001 acre), *ST-A3* (0.001 acre), and *ST-A4* (0.001 acre), on the above drawing are man-made wetland and ditch features that were constructed in uplands, drain only uplands, and do not have relatively permanent flow. As such, these aquatic resources are not regulated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This disclaimer of jurisdiction is only for Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act.

We are enclosing a copy of the *Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form* for your site (enclosure 2). A copy of this JD is also available at http://www.spa.usace.army.mil/reg/JD. This approved JD is valid for five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date.

You may accept or appeal this approved JD or provide new information in accordance with the attached Notification of Administration Appeal Options and Process and Request for Appeal (NAAOP-RFA) (enclosure 3). If you elect to appeal this approved JD, you must complete Section II of the form and return it to the Army Engineer Division, South Pacific, CESPD-PDS-O, Attn: Tom Cavanaugh, Administrative

Appeal Review Officer, P.O. Box 36023, 450 Golden Gate Ave, San Francisco, CA 94102 within 60 days of the date of this notice. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.

If you have any questions, please contact Senior Project Manager Kyle Zibung by email at kyle.d.zibung@usace.army.mil, or telephone at (651) 290-5877. For program information or to complete our Customer Survey, visit our website at https://www.spa.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory-Program-and-Permits/.

Sincerely,

Kyle Zibung

Senior Project Manager Southern Colorado Branch

Enclosures

CC:

Natalie Graves, Core Consultants, Inc. (<u>ngraves@liveyourcore.com</u>)






Figure 4.4 Potential WOTUS Location Map

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL					
	Applicant: Classic Communities c/o Steve Schlosser File No.: SPA-2022-00180 Date: June 23, 2022				
Attached is:			See Section below		
INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)			Α		
PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)		В			
PERMIT DENIAL			С		
→ APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION		D			
PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION		Е			

SECTION I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at http://www.usace.army.mil/cecw/pages/reg_materials.aspx or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.

- A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.
- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for
 final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized.
 Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and
 waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations
 associated with the permit.
- OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.
- B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit
- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for
 final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized.
 Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and
 waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations
 associated with the permit.
- APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions
 therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing
 Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer (address on reverse). This form must be received by
 the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer (address on reverse). This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.
- ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of
 the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved
 JD.
- APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers
 Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer
 (address on reverse). This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTIO	NS TO AN INITIAL PROF	FERED PERMIT
REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe	e your reasons for appealing th	e decision or your objections
to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You ma		to this form to clarify where
your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative re	cord.)	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of		
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplement		
needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant		
record. However, you may provide additional information to clari- administrative record.	ry the location of information the	at is already in the
POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORM	AATION:	
If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal	If you only have questions regard	ling the appeal process you may
process you may contact:	also contact:	ang the appear process you may
Kyle Zibung	Thomas J. Cavanaugh	
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Administrative Appeal Review	Officer
201 West 8th Street, Suite 350	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers South Pacific Division	
Pueblo, Colorado 81003	P.O. Box 36023, 450 Golden 0	Sate Ave
Phone: 651-290-5877	San Francisco, California 9410	2
Email: kyle.d.zibung@usace.army.mil	Phone: 415-503-6574, FAX:41	
	Email: Thomas.J.Cavanaug	
RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entre		
consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the day notice of any site investigation and will have the opportunity to		
day notice of any site investigation and will have the opportunity	Date:	Telephone number:
	Date.	i olophone number.
Signature of appellant or agent		
Signature of appellant or agent.		

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): June 23, 2022
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SPA-2022-00180, Classic Communities Highway 105 AJD
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Colorado County/parish/borough: El Paso County City: Monument

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.092991° N, Long. -104.856431° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: 15

Name of nearest waterbody:

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07020007

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

- D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
 - ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 22, 2022
 - Field Determination. Date(s): June 8, 2022

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area for this determination is comprised of two linear wetlands labeled as WT-A1 (0.169 acres) and WT-A2 (0.006 acre) and four linear stream segments labeled as ST-A1 (0.003 acre), ST-A2 (0.001 acre), ST-A3 (0.001 acre), and ST-A4 (0.001 acre) in the February 2022, Core Consultants, Inc. Wetland Delineation Report. In 2005, the entire review area was graded for roadway improvements to Highway 105 and the I-25 interchange, thereby creating all six linear aquatic resources evaluated by this determination. Based on an analysis of multiple years of aerial photography, USDA web soil survey data, USGS topographic maps, USGS NHD, NWI mapping, February 2022, Core Consultants, Inc. Wetland Delineation Report, and a June 22, 2002 site visit, the Corps has determined that all six aquatic resources are linear roadside drainage features constructed in uplands during grading for the Highway 105 and the I-25 interchange projects. In accordance with Corps Regulations at 33 CFR Part 328.3(b) and associated Rapanos Guidance, the aformentioned aquatic features are not within the Corps jurisdiction because they were constructed in uplands, drain only uplands, and do not have relatively permanent flow.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\rm\,N/A$

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.** A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: February 2022, Core Consultants, Inc. Wetland Delineation Report Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. ☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24k-Monument ■ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: National Wetland Inventory State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: **Delineation Report** or Other (Name & Date): Google Earth- 1999, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2011, 2015, 2017, 2019, 2020 Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS



Hydrology Chapter 6

For Colorado Springs and much of the Fountain Creek watershed, the 1-hour depths are fairly uniform and are summarized in Table 6-2. Depending on the location of the project, rainfall depths may be calculated using the described method and the NOAA Atlas maps shown in Figures 6-6 through 6-17.

 Table 6-2. Rainfall Depths for Colorado Springs

Return Period	1-Hour Depth	6-Hour Depth	24-Hour Depth
2	1.19	1.70	2.10
5	1.50	2.10	2.70
10	1.75	2.40	3.20
25	2.00	2.90	3.60
50	2.25	3.20	4.20
100	2.52	3.50	4.60

Where Z = 6.840 ft/100

These depths can be applied to the design storms or converted to intensities (inches/hour) for the Rational Method as described below. However, as the basin area increases, it is unlikely that the reported point rainfalls will occur uniformly over the entire basin. To account for this characteristic of rain storms an adjustment factor, the Depth Area Reduction Factor (DARF) is applied. This adjustment to rainfall depth and its effect on design storms is also described below. The UDFCD UD-Rain spreadsheet, available on UDFCD's website, also provides tools to calculate point rainfall depths and Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves² and should produce similar depth calculation results.

2.2 Design Storms

Design storms are used as input into rainfall/runoff models and provide a representation of the typical temporal distribution of rainfall events when the creation or routing of runoff hydrographs is required. It has long been observed that rainstorms in the Front Range of Colorado tend to occur as either short-duration, high-intensity, localized, convective thunderstorms (cloud bursts) or longer-duration, lower-intensity, broader, frontal (general) storms. The significance of these two types of events is primarily determined by the size of the drainage basin being studied. Thunderstorms can create high rates of runoff within a relatively small area, quickly, but their influence may not be significant very far downstream. Frontal storms may not create high rates of runoff within smaller drainage basins due to their lower intensity, but tend to produce larger flood flows that can be hazardous over a broader area and extend further downstream.

■ Thunderstorms: Based on the extensive evaluation of rain storms completed in the Carlton study (Carlton 2011), it was determined that typical thunderstorms have a duration of about 2 hours. The study evaluated over 300,000 storm cells using gage-adjusted NEXRAD data, collected over a 14-year period (1994 to 2008). Storms lasting longer than 3 hours were rarely found. Therefore, the results of the Carlton study have been used to define the shorter duration design storms.

To determine the temporal distribution of thunderstorms, 22 gage-adjusted NEXRAD storm cells were studied in detail. Through a process described in a technical memorandum prepared by the City of Colorado Springs (City of Colorado Springs 2012), the results of this analysis were interpreted and normalized to the 1-hour rainfall depth to create the distribution shown in Table 6-3 with a 5 minute time interval for drainage basins up to 1 square mile in size. This distribution represents the rainfall

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method

(Source: UDFCD 2001)

Land Use or Surface	Percent				•		Runoff Co	efficients					
Characteristics	Impervious	2-year		5-γ	ear	10-1	/ear	25-year		50-year		100-year	
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business	·												
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas				<u> </u>									
Historic Flow Analysis Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets				<u> </u>									
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_i) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For non-urban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_i) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

Chapter 6 Hydrology

Type of Land Surface	<i>C</i> _v
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Riprap (not buried)*	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, C_{ν}

The travel time is calculated by dividing the flow distance (in feet) by the velocity calculated using Equation 6-9 and converting units to minutes.

The time of concentration (t_c) is then the sum of the overland flow time (t_i) and the travel time (t_t) per Equation 6-7.

3.2.3 First Design Point Time of Concentration in Urban Catchments

Using this procedure, the time of concentration at the first design point (typically the first inlet in the system) in an urbanized catchment should not exceed the time of concentration calculated using Equation 6-10. The first design point is defined as the point where runoff first enters the storm sewer system.

$$t_c = \frac{L}{180} + 10 \tag{Eq. 6-10}$$

Where:

 t_c = maximum time of concentration at the first design point in an urban watershed (min)

L =waterway length (ft)

Equation 6-10 was developed using the rainfall-runoff data collected in the Denver region and, in essence, represents regional "calibration" of the Rational Method. Normally, Equation 6-10 will result in a lesser time of concentration at the first design point and will govern in an urbanized watershed. For subsequent design points, the time of concentration is calculated by accumulating the travel times in downstream drainageway reaches.

3.2.4 Minimum Time of Concentration

If the calculations result in a t_c of less than 10 minutes for undeveloped conditions, it is recommended that a minimum value of 10 minutes be used. The minimum t_c for urbanized areas is 5 minutes.

3.2.5 Post-Development Time of Concentration

As Equation 6-8 indicates, the time of concentration is a function of the 5-year runoff coefficient for a drainage basin. Typically, higher levels of imperviousness (higher 5-year runoff coefficients) correspond to shorter times of concentration, and lower levels of imperviousness correspond to longer times of

^{*}For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.




Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency

IDF Equations

 $I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$

 $I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$

 $I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$

 $I_{10} = -1.75 ln(D) + 8.847$

 $I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$

 $I_2 = -1.19 ln(D) + 6.035$

Note: Values calculated by equations may not precisely duplicate values read from figure.

JOB NAME: JOB NUMBER: DATE: 1302.22 02/24/23

MAW CALCULATED BY:

Area does not match basin label on map

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY

		DEVELO	PED AREA	/IMPERVIOU	S AREA	LAND	SCAPE/UN	DEVELOPED	AREAS		WEIGHTED			WEIGHTED C	A	IMPERVIOUSNESS
\	TOTAL															
BASIN	AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	%
G	0.20	0.05	0.79	0.81	0.88	0.15	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.22	0.27	0.49	0.04	0.05	0.10	25%
Н	0.57	0.05	0.79	0.81	0.88	0.52	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.10	0.15	0.41	0.06	0.09	0.23	10%
OS-10	4.10	0.60	0.89	0.90	0.96	3.50	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.16	0.21	0.45	0.64	0.86	1.84	16%
OS10D	2.00	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.96	1.90	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.07	0.13	0.39	0.15	0.26	0.78	7%
OS-11	1.70	0.80	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.90	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.43	0.47	0.64	0.73	0.79	1.08	45%
OS11D	1.70	1.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.64	0.66	0.78	1.08	1.13	1.33	71%
OS-12	0.51	0.27	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.24	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.49	0.52	0.68	0.25	0.26	0.35	54%
OS12D	0.58	0.31	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.49	0.52	0.68	0.28	0.30	0.39	54%
OS-13	0.67	0.40	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.54	0.57	0.72	0.36	0.38	0.48	61%
OS13D	0.67	0.40	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.54	0.57	0.72	0.36	0.38	0.48	61%
OS-14	0.28	0.15	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.13	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.49	0.52	0.68	0.14	0.15	0.19	55%
OS14D	0.86	0.72	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.14	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.75	0.77	0.86	0.65	0.66	0.74	84%
OS-15	1.60	1.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.68	0.70	0.81	1.08	1.12	1.30	76%
OS15D	1.60	1.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.68	0.70	0.81	1.08	1.12	1.30	76%
OS-16	1.00	0.70	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.30	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.63	0.65	0.78	0.63	0.65	0.78	67%
OS-17	0.53	0.43	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.73	0.75	0.85	0.39	0.40	0.45	82%
OS17D	1.00	0.60	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.55	0.58	0.72	0.55	0.58	0.72	61%
OS-18	0.30	0.30	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.27	0.29	100%
OS18D	0.78	0.43	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.35	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.50	0.54	0.69	0.39	0.42	0.54	56%
OS-19	0.18	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.18	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.01	0.02	0.06	2%
OS-20	0.11	0.01	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.11	0.16	0.41	0.01	0.02	0.05	10%
JCP7	0.59	0.50	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.09	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.76	0.78	0.87	0.45	0.46	0.51	85%
EX2	0.56	0.56	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.02	0.05	0.20	2%
EX3	1.80	1.80	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.02	0.03	0.65	2%
LAG	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	270
xist. Trib. to Pond	13.05															37.5%
Dev. Trib. to Pond	11.84															53.6%
Dev. Trib. to Forebay	8.49															67.2%
]											

JOB NUMBER: 1302.22

DATE: 02/24/23 CALC'D BY: MAW

Return Period	1-Hour Depth
2	1.19
5	1.50
10	1.75
25	2.00
50	2.25
100	2.52

_	$0.395(1.1-C_5)\sqrt{L}$	$V = C_v S_w^{0.5}$	Tc=
i —	C ^{0.33}	- v - w	. •

$V = C_v S_w^{0.5}$	Tc=L/V
---------------------	--------

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, Cv

Type of Land Surface	Cv
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field L	5
Riprap (not buried)* $I_c = \frac{180}{180}$	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY

	WEIGHTED			OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Tc	INTENSITY			TOTAL FLOWS			
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)	
G	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.09	30	1.5	5.9					5.9	3.93	4.93	8.27	0.17	0.3	0.8	
Н	0.06	0.09	0.23	0.09	40	2	6.8					6.8	3.76	4.71	7.91	0.2	0.4	1.8	
OS-10	0.64	0.86	1.84	0.09	65	2	10.1	950	3.5%	1.9	8.5	18.6	2.56	3.20	5.37	2	3	10	
OS10D	0.15	0.26	0.78	0.09	65	2	10.1	950	3.5%	1.9	8.5	18.6	2.56	3.20	5.37	0.4	0.8	4	
OS-11	0.73	0.79	1.08	0.08	100	2	14.7	500	4.0%	2.0	4.2	18.8	2.54	3.18	5.34	1.9	3	6	
OS11D	1.08	1.13	1.33	0.09	100	2	14.5	300	4.0%	2.0	2.5	17.0	2.66	3.33	5.59	3	4	7	
OS-12	0.25	0.26	0.35	0.09	40	2	6.8	200	3.5%	3.7	0.9	7.7	3.61	4.53	7.60	0.9	1.2	3	
OS12D	0.28	0.30	0.39	0.09	40	2	6.8	200	3.5%	3.7	0.9	7.7	3.61	4.53	7.60	1.0	1.4	3.0	
OS-13	0.36	0.38	0.48	0.09	25	3	4.0	240	5.5%	4.7	0.9	5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	1.5	2	4	
OS13D	0.36	0.38	0.48	0.09	25	3	4.0	250	3.5%	3.7	1.1	5.1	4.09	5.13	8.61	1.5	2	4	
OS-14	0.14	0.15	0.19	0.09	30	10	3.1	170	5.5%	4.7	0.6	5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.6	0.8	2	
OS14D	0.65	0.66	0.74	0.09	30	10	3.1	190	5.5%	4.7	0.7	5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	3	3	6	
OS-15	1.08	1.12	1.30	0.09	180	12	13.1	100	3.0%	1.7	1.0	14.0	2.89	3.62	6.08	3	4	8	
OS15D	1.08	1.12	1.30	0.09	180	12	13.1	80	3.0%	3.5	0.4	13.5	2.94	3.68	6.18	3	4	8	
OS-16	0.63	0.65	0.78	0.08	100	4	11.7	130	1.5%	1.2	1.8	13.4	2.94	3.69	6.19	1.9	2	5	

JOB NUMBER: 1302.22

DATE: 02/24/23

CALC'D BY: MAW

Return Period	1-Hour Depth
2	1.19
5	1.50
10	1.75
25	2.00
50	2.25
100	2.52

$$t_i = \frac{0.395 \big(1.1 - C_5 \big) \sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}} \qquad \qquad V = C_v S_w^{-0.5} \qquad \text{Tc=L/V}$$

$$V = C_v S_w^{0.5}$$
 Tc=L/V

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, Cv

Type of Land Surface	C,
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field L	5
Riprap (not buried)* $I_c = \frac{1}{180} + 10$	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY

WEIGHTED				OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Тс	INTENSITY			TOTAL FLOWS		
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	I(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)
OS-17	0.39	0.40	0.45	0.09	60	14	5.0	380	5.0%	4.5	1.4	6.4	3.82	4.80	8.05	1.5	2	4
OS17D	0.55	0.58	0.72	0.09	60	14	5.0	380	5.0%	4.5	1.4	6.4	3.82	4.80	8.05	2	3	6
OS-18	0.27	0.27	0.29	0.09	15	0.5	4.7	280	2.0%	2.8	1.6	6.4	3.83	4.80	8.06	1.0	1.3	2
OS18D	0.39	0.42	0.54	0.09	90	4	10.6	260	2.0%	2.8	1.5	12.1	3.07	3.84	6.45	1.2	1.6	3
OS-19	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.09	80	3.2	10.3	380	5.0%	4.5	1.4	11.7	3.10	3.89	6.53	0.02	0.1	0.4
OS-20	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.09	50	3	7.1					7.1	3.70	4.63	7.78	0.0	0.1	0.4
JCP7	0.45	0.46	0.51	0.09	20	0.6	5.7	300	4.5%	4.2	1.2	6.9	3.74	4.70	7.88	2	2	4
EX2	0.02	0.05	0.20	0.09	50	2	8.2					8.2	3.54	4.43	7.44	0.1	0.2	1.5
EX3	0.05	0.16	0.65	0.09	260	5	23.7					23.7	2.27	2.84	4.76	0.1	0.5	3

JOB NUMBER: 1302.22
DATE: 02/24/23

CALCULATED BY: \overline{MAW}

Basins OS19 & OS20 should be included as they

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

release to pond					Inten	sity	Fle	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
13	G, H, JCP7, OS10D thru OS15D, OS-16, OS17D, OS18D	5.84	7.90	21.1	3.01	5.05	18	. 70	CDOT SWQ FACILITY
H1	OS-1 thru OS-8	6.48	15.46	33.4	2.32	3.89	15	60	EXIST. 60" RCP CULVERT
H2	DP H1, OS-9, EX-1	9.17	25.43	39.4	2.07	3.48	19	88	EXIST. CDOT OUTFALL
Н3	EX4	1.49	5.98	35.7	2.22	3.73	3	22	EXIST. SIDE ROAD DITCH
H4	OS-12	0.26	0.46	7.7	4.53	7.60	1	3	EXIST. 5' TYPE R AT- GRADE INLET
H5	OS-13, Flow-by from H4	0.38	0.63	5.0	5.17	8.68	2	. 5	EXIST. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
H6	OS-14, Flow-by from H5	0.15	0.24	5.0	5.17	8.68	1	. ')	EXIST. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
H7	OS-11 and OS-16	1.45	1.86	18.8	3.18	5.34	5	10	EXIST. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
Н8	OS-17, Flow-by from H7	0.42	0.73	6.4	4.80	8.05	2	6	EXIST. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
Н9	OS-18, Flow-by from H8	0.27	0.41	6.4	4.80	8.06	1	- 3	EXIST. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
H10	EX2, EX3, OS-10 thru OS-18	5.09	7.60	23.7	2.84	4.76	14	36	EXIST. 36" RCP CDOT CULVERT

 JOB NUMBER:
 1302.22

 DATE:
 02/24/23

 CALCULATED BY:
 MAW

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

					Inten	sity	FI	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
D4	OS12D, 0.85 CFS 100Yr Flow-by from upstream	0.30	0.51	7.7	4.53	7.60	1.4	3.9	PROP. 5' TYPE R AT- GRADE INLET
D5	OS13D, Flow-by from D4	0.38	0.63	5.0	5.17	8.68	2	5	PROP. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
D6	OS15D	1.12	1.30	13.5	3.68	6.18	4	8	PROP. CDOT TYPE C INLET
D7	OS11D, OS-16, OS-19, OS-20	1.81	2.22	17.0	3.33	5.59	6	12	PROP. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
D8	OS14D, Flow-by from D5&D7	0.84	1.47	17.0	3.33	5.59	3	8	PROP. 10' TYPE R SUMP INLET
D9	JCP7, G	0.51	0.61	6.9	4.70	7.88	2	5	PROP. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
D10	OS17D, Flow-by from D8	0.58	0.74	6.4	4.80	8.05	3	6	PROP. 10' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
D11	OS18D, Flow-by from D9	0.42	0.64	12.1	3.84	6.45	2	4	EXIST. 10' TYPE R SUMP INLET

D9 would be intercepted at D10 prior to reaching D11

JOB NUMBER: 1302.22
DATE: 02/24/23

CALCULATED BY: MAW

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ PIPE ROUTING SUMMARY

					Inten	sity	FI	ow	
Pipe Run	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Pipe Size*
H1	Inlet Capture at DP-H4	0.26	0.24	7.7	4.53	7.60	1	2	Exist. 15" RCP
H2	PR-H1, Inlet Capture at DP-H5	0.65	0.77	7.8	4.51	7.57	3	6	Exist. 15" RCP
H3	PR-H2, Inlet Capture at DP-H6	0.80	1.01	7.9	4.49	7.54	4	8	Exist. 24" RCP
H4	OS-15	1.12	1.30	14.0	3.62	6.08	4	8	Exist. 18" ADS
H5	PR-H3, PR-H4, Portion of OS-11 and OS-16	3.20	3.95	18.8	3.18	5.34	10	21	Exist. 30" RCP
H6	Inlet Capture at DP-H8	0.42	0.64	6.4	4.80	8.05	2	5	Exist. 24" RCP
H7	Inlet Capture at DP-H9	0.27	0.41	6.4	4.80	8.06	1	3	Exist. 24" RCP

^{*} PIPES ARE LISTED AT MAXIMUM SIZE REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE Q100 FLOWS AT MINIMUM GRADE. REFER TO INDIVIDUAL PIPE SHEETS FOR HYDRAULIC INFORMATION.

JOB NUMBER: 1302.22
DATE: 02/24/23

CALCULATED BY: MAW

Minimum size is 18" RCP

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ PIPE ROUTING SUMMARY

					Inten	sity	FI	ow	
Pipe Run	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Pipe Size*
1	Inlet Capture at D4	0.30	0.33	7.7	4.53	7.60	1.4	2.5	PROP. 15" RCP
2	PR-1, Inlet Capture at D5	0.69	0.92	7.7	4.53	7.60	3	7	PROP. 18" RCP
3	CDOT Type C Inlet Capture at D6	1.12	1.30	13.5	3.68	6.18	4	8	PROP. 18" RCP
4	PR-2, PR-3	1.80	2.22	13.7	3.66	6.15	7	14	PROP. 24" RCP
5	Inlet Capture at D7	1.63	1.51	17.0	3.33	5.59	5	8	PROP. 18" RCP
6	PR-5, Inlet Capture at D8	2.47	2.98	17.0	3.33	5.59	8	17	PROP. 24" RCP
7	PR-6, Inlet Capture at D9	2.98	3.56	17.0	3.33	5.59	10	20	PROP. 24" RCP
8	PR-4, PR-7	4.79	5.77	17.2	3.32	5.56	16	32	PROP. 30" RCP
9	Inlet Capture at D10	0.58	0.66	6.4	4.80	8.05	3	5	PROP. 18" RCP
10	PR-8, PR9	5.36	6.43	17.4	3.30	5.54	18	36	PROP. 30" RCP
11	Inlet Capture at D11	0.42	0.64	12.1	3.84	6.45	2	4	EXIST. 18" RCP

^{*} PIPES ARE LISTED AT MAXIMUM SIZE REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE Q100 FLOWS AT MINIMUM GRADE. REFER TO INDIVIDUAL PIPE SHEETS FOR HYDRAULIC INFORMATION.

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.

Project: Inlet ID:


H4 STREET

Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb 7.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) SBACK 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.016 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line $\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{CURB}}$ 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 35.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 S_X = Street Transverse Slope 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition 0.035 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} : 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 3.6 13.7 inor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet N

Include calculation for drainage swale along top of retaining wall to show that it is sized to handle the flow.

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H4 2/23/2023, 5:09 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	5.00	5.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	1.0	2.5	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.3	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	66	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H4 2/23/2023, 5:09 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.


Project: Inlet ID:

Н5 STREET

Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.250 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.020 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 30.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.056 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 4.5 11.9 linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H5 2/23/2023, 5:10 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	2.0	4.9	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.4	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	93	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H5 2/23/2023, 5:10 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.


Project: Inlet ID:

Н6 STREET

Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.250 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.020 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 28.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.057 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 4.5 11.9 linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H6 2/23/2023, 5:11 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening	ī	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _r -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	1.0	2.4	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	100	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H6 2/23/2023, 5:11 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.


Project: Inlet ID:

Н7 STREET

Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 8.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.020 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 14.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.040 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.020 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 14.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 5.0 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 7.4 10.7 linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H7 2/23/2023, 5:12 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018




Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening	ā	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Type of Inlet	☐ Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L ₀ =	10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	4.9	7.7	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b =$	0.1	2.3	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q₃/Q₀ =	C% =	97	77	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H7 2/23/2023, 5:12 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.


Project: Inlet ID:



Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 6.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.020 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 30.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.022 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 2.8 15.8 linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H8 2/23/2023, 5:13 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018




Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	2.1	5.5	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	87	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H8 2/23/2023, 5:13 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.

Project: Inlet ID:




Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 6.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.020 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 38.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 16.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.8 6.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

130222 UD-Inlet v4.06, H9 2/23/2023, 5:14 PM

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Trace D Crist Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to o	continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or 0	Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside	e of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	3.8	6.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L ₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate	(typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Gra	ite (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical v	alue 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical	value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		_	MINOR	MAJOR	
ength of a Unit Curb Opening		L _o (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening	in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in	Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Fig	gure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Cur	b Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficien	t (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67]
Low Head Performance Reduc	ction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Ed	quation	d _{Curb} =	0.15	0.33	ft
Combination Inlet Performance I	Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.36	0.57	
Curb Opening Performance Rec	luction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.77	0.93	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduc	tion Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
			MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception C	apacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	2.1	8.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Min	nor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	1.0	2.8	cfs


130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, H9 2/23/2023, 5:14 PM

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm) (Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS. Project: Inlet ID: D4 STREET Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 7.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} ft/ft 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.016 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 50.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.035 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 3.6 13.7

linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D4 2/23/2023, 5:15 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L ₀ =	5.00	5.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	1.4	2.5	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	1.3	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	97	66	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D4 2/23/2023, 5:15 PM

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm) (Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS. Project: Inlet ID: D5 STREET Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 4.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.250 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.020 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 42.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.056 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm

4.5


11.9

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem

130222 UD-Inlet v4.06, D5 2/23/2023, 5:15 PM


Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	2.0	4.9	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.4	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	100	93	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D5 2/23/2023, 5:15 PM

AREA INLET IN A SWALE



MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Top Width Criterion Q_{al} 16.9 16.9 cfs MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Top Width Criterion $\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{allo}}$ 0.63 0.63 Water Depth in Channel Based On Design Peak Flow Design Peak Flow 4.0 8.0 cfs Water Depth 0.28 0.42

linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet "Inlet Management" lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Bottom Width

Paved

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D6 4/5/2022, 9:35 AM

AREA INLET IN A SWALE


MONUMENT JUNCTION WEST FILING NO. 1 D6 Inlet Design Information (Input) CDOT Type C (Depressed) Inlet Type = CDOT Type C (Depressed) T Type of Inlet Angle of Inclined Grate (must be <= 30 degrees) 0.00 degrees Width of Grate W = 3.00 feet Length of Grate 3.00 L= Open Area Ratio A_{RATIO} = 0.70 Height of Inclined Grate 0.00 Clogging Factor C_f = 0.50 Grate Discharge Coefficient C_d = 0.84 Orifice Coefficient C_o 0.56 Weir Coefficient 1.81 MINOR MAJOR Water Depth at Inlet (for depressed inlets, 1 foot is added for depression) 1.28 1.42 Q_a = Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition) 16.1 16.9 cfs Bypassed Flow, Q_b 0.0 cfs 0.0 Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_o = C% 100 100

Warning 04: Froude No. exceeds USDCM Volume I recommendation.

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D6 4/5/2022, 9:35 AM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.


Project: Inlet ID:



Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 3.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.100 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.016 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 42.0 Gutter Width w : 1.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = ft/ft 0.035 S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.040 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 11.7 4.5 WARNING: MINOR STORM max, allowable capacity is less than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Man

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D7 2/23/2023, 5:16 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	_
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R	Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _o =	10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f -G =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f -C =	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: WARNING: Q > ALLOWABLE Q FOR MINOR & MAJOR STORM	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	5.4	8.2	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.6	3.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =	C% =	90	68	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D7 2/23/2023, 5:16 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)
MONUMENT JUNCTION WEST FILING NO. 1

Project: Inlet ID:


D8 STREET

Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 3.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.100 ft/ft Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.016 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 42.0 Gutter Width w : 1.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.045 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 18.0 18.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 6.0 6.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Major Storm Minor Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

130222 UD-Inlet v4.06, D8 4/5/2022, 9:07 AM

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input)	CDOT Top a D Cook Opening	Ī	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening		Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside	of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information		_	MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L ₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (t	ypical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grat	e (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_f(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical va	lue 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical v	value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L _o (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening i	n Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W _p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduct	ion (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	<u> </u>		N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d _{Curb} =	0.42	0.42	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF _{Combination} =	0.57	0.57	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF _{Curb} =	0.93	0.93	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduct	ion Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
		_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Ca	apacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	10.0	10.0	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Min	or and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q _{PEAK REQUIRED} =	3.6	9.8	cfs

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D8 4/5/2022, 9:07 AM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.

Project: Inlet ID:


D9

STREET

Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 10.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} ft/ft 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.016 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 25.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.025 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.040 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 3.6 11.4 linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D9 2/23/2023, 5:25 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening	_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	Type = CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')		3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)		1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)		10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)		N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)		0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	2.0	4.8	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.2	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =		100	95	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D9 2/23/2023, 5:25 PM

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread) MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.


Project: Inlet ID:

D10 STREET

Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 10.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.016 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 48.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.030 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 inches Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no) check = yes MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion 3.3 14.4 linor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem lajor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Managem

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D10 2/23/2023, 5:29 PM

Version 4.06 Released August 2018




Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')		3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)		1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)		10.00	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)		N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)		0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity'		MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity		3.0	5.4	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b =	0.0	0.8	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q _o =		100	88	%

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D10 2/23/2023, 5:29 PM

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)
MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105/JCP INT. IMPS.

Project: Inlet ID:




Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells) Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb T_{BACK} = 5.0 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb) S_{BACK} 0.020 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) 0.016 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line H_{CURB} : 6.00 inches Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown T_{CROWN} 48.0 Gutter Width w : 2.00 Street Transverse Slope S_X = 0.020 ft/ft S_W Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft) ft/ft 0.083 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition So 0.000 ft/ft Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020) n_{STREET} = 0.016 Minor Storm Major Storm Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm 14.0 25.0 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm 3.4 6.0 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion Minor Storm Major Storm MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion SUMP SUMP cfs

130222 UD-Inlet v4.06, D11 2/23/2023, 5:33 PM

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION


Version 4.06 Released August 2018



Design Information (Input) CDOT Type R Curb Opening		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a _{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate	L₀ (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_f(G) =$	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L ₀ (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W _p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_f(C) =$	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d _{Curb} =	0.33	0.33	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Combination} =	0.57	0.57	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Curb} =	0.93	0.93	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	_	MINOR	MAJOR	_
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q _a =	8.3	8.3	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)	Q PEAK REQUIRED =	1.6	3.8	cfs

130222 UD-Inlet_v4.06, D11 2/23/2023, 5:33 PM

FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: EXIST. 36" RCP CULVERT




		ام به
		_ft/ft
- <u>-</u>		inches
Q =	40.00	cfs
_		_
· · · · —		sq ft
Pf =	9.42	_lft
Theta =	3.14	radians
Qf =	66.88	cfs
		-
Theta =		radians
An =	4.05	sq ft
Tn =	2.98	_ft
Pn =	5.06	_ft
Yn =	1.67	ft
Vn =	9.88	fps
Qn =	40.00	cfs
Flow =	59.8%	of full flow
Fr _n =	1.49	supercritical
		_
		_
Theta-c =	1.95	radians
Ac =	5.17	sq ft
Tc =	2.78	ft
Yc =	2.06	_ft
Vc =	7.73	fps
Fr _c =	1.00	
-		-
	Qf =	$\begin{array}{c} n = \\ 0.0130 \\ D = \\ 36.00 \\ Q = \\ 40.00 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} Af = \\ 7.07 \\ Pf = \\ 9.42 \\ Theta = \\ 3.14 \\ Qf = \\ 66.88 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} Theta = \\ 4.05 \\ Tn = \\ 2.98 \\ Pn = \\ 5.06 \\ Yn = \\ 1.67 \\ Vn = \\ 9.88 \\ Qn = \\ 40.00 \\ Flow = \\ Fr_n = \\ 1.49 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} Theta-c = \\ 1.95 \\ Ac = \\ 5.17 \\ Tc = \\ 2.78 \\ Yc = \\ 2.06 \\ Vc = \\ 7.73 \\ \end{array}$

CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)

Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS.

Pipe ID: Pipe Run 1




CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation


MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)

Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS.

Pipe ID: Pipe Run 2



FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: Pipe Run 3




Design Information (Input)			
Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0100	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	
Pipe Diameter	D =	18.00	inches
Design discharge	Q =	8.00	cfs
Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated)			¬ .
Full-flow area	Af =	1.77	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	4.71	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	10.53	cfs
Calculation of Normal Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta =</td><td>1.88</td><td>radians</td></theta<3.14)<>	Theta =	1.88	radians
Flow area	An =	1.22	sq ft
Top width	Tn =	1.43	ft.
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	2.82	⊢ _{ft}
Flow depth	Yn =	0.98	T _{ft}
Flow velocity	Vn =	6.56	fps
Discharge	Qn =	8.00	cfs
Percent of Full Flow	Flow =	76.0%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr _n =	1.25	supercritical
Calculation of Critical Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta-c<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta-c =</td><td>2.05</td><td>radians</td></theta-c<3.14)<>	Theta-c =	2.05	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	1.38	sq ft
	AC = Tc =	1.33	
Critical top width Critical flow depth	Yc =	1.33	⊣ <u>"</u>
Critical flow depth Critical flow velocity	Vc =	5.78	→ '''
·		1.00	fps
Critical Depth Froude Number	Fr _c =	1.00	
<u> </u>			

CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation


MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)

Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS.

Pipe ID: Pipe Run 4




FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: Pipe Run 5




Design Information (Input)			
Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0200	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	
Pipe Diameter	D =	18.00	inches
Design discharge	Q =	8.00	cfs
Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated)	<u></u>		
Full-flow area	Af =	1.77	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	4.71	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	14.90	cfs
<u>Calculation of Normal Flow Condition</u>	. —		-
Half Central Angle (0 <theta<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta =</td><td>1.61</td><td>radians</td></theta<3.14)<>	Theta =	1.61	radians
Flow area	An =	0.93	sq ft
Top width	Tn =	1.50	ft
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	2.42	ft
Flow depth	Yn =	0.78	ft
Flow velocity	Vn =	8.58	fps
Discharge	Qn =	8.00	cfs
Percent of Full Flow	Flow =	53.7%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr _n =	1.92	supercritical
Calandation of Critical Floor Condition			
Calculation of Critical Flow Condition	-, . <u> </u>	2.05	¬
Half Central Angle (0 <theta-c<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta-c =</td><td>2.05</td><td>radians</td></theta-c<3.14)<>	Theta-c =	2.05	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	1.38	sq ft
Critical top width	Tc =	1.33	_ ft
Critical flow depth	Yc =	1.10	ft
Critical flow velocity	Vc =	5.78	fps
Critical Depth Froude Number	$Fr_c = $	1.00	

CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: Pipe Run 6




	122.000		
Design Information (Input)			_
Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0200	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	
Pipe Diameter	D =	24.00	inches
Design discharge	Q =	17.00	cfs
Full Flour Conneits (Coloulated)			
Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated)		2.11	–
Full-flow area	Af =	3.14	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	6.28	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	32.08	cfs
Calculation of Normal Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta =</td><td>1.61</td><td>radians</td></theta<3.14)<>	Theta =	1.61	radians
Flow area	An =	1.64	sq ft
Top width	Tn =	2.00	⊢ft [.]
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	3.21	⊢ _{ft}
Flow depth	Yn =	1.04	ft
Flow velocity	Vn =	10.36	fps
Discharge	Qn =	17.00	cfs
Percent of Full Flow	Flow =	53.0%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr _n =	2.01	supercritical
Calculation of Critical Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta-c<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta-c =</td><td>2.08</td><td>radians</td></theta-c<3.14)<>	Theta-c =	2.08	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	2.50	sq ft
Critical top width	Tc =	1.75	H _{ft}
Critical flow depth	Yc =	1.49	⊢lt
Critical flow velocity	Vc =	6.79	fps
Critical Depth Froude Number	Fr _c =	1.00	
		•	

FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: Pipe Run 7




Design Information (Input)			
Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0150	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	
Pipe Diameter	D =	24.00	inches
Design discharge	Q =	20.00	cfs
Full Flour Conneits (Coloulated)			
Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated)		2.14	–
Full-flow area	Af =	3.14	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	6.28	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	27.78	cfs
Calculation of Normal Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta =</td><td>1.83</td><td>radians</td></theta<3.14)<>	Theta =	1.83	radians
Flow area	An =	2.08	sa ft
Top width	Tn =	1.93	⊢ft [']
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	3.66	⊢ _{ft}
Flow depth	Yn =	1.26	⊢ft
Flow velocity	Vn =	9.62	fps
Discharge	Qn =	20.00	cfs
Percent of Full Flow	Flow =	72.0%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr _n =	1.64	supercritical
Calculation of Critical Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta-c<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta-c =</td><td>2.22</td><td>radians</td></theta-c<3.14)<>	Theta-c =	2.22	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	2.70	sq ft
Critical flow area Critical top width	Tc =	1.59	- Sq It
Critical flow depth	Yc =	1.61	⊢ t
Critical flow velocity	Vc =	7.40	fps
Critical now velocity Critical Depth Froude Number	Fr _c =	1.00	ا ^{نامه}
Chacai Depart House Number	11c -	1.00	

FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: Pipe Run 8




Design Information (Input)			
Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0200	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	
Pipe Diameter	D =	30.00	inches
Design discharge	Q =	32.00	cfs
Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated)			
Full-flow area	Af =	4.91	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	7.85	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	58.16	cfs
Calculation of Normal Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta =</td><td>1.63</td><td>radians</td></theta<3.14)<>	Theta =	1.63	radians
Flow area	An =	2.64	sq ft
Top width	Tn =	2.50	ft
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	4.07	ft
Flow depth	Yn =	1.32	ft
Flow velocity	Vn =	12.13	fps
Discharge	Qn =	32.00	cfs
Percent of Full Flow	Flow =	55.0%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr _n =	2.08	supercritical
Calculation of Critical Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta-c<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta-c =</td><td>2.14</td><td>radians</td></theta-c<3.14)<>	Theta-c =	2.14	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	4.06	sq ft
Critical top width	Tc =	2.10	ft
Critical flow depth	Yc =	1.93	ft
Critical flow velocity	Vc =	7.88	fps
Critical Depth Froude Number	$Fr_c = $	1.00	

CIRCULAR CONDUIT FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: Pipe Run 9




Design Information (Input)			
Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0100	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	
Pipe Diameter	D =	18.00	inches
Design discharge	Q =	5.00	cfs
Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated)			_
Full-flow area	Af =	1.77	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	4.71	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	10.53	cfs
Colo latino (No col Ele Contino			
<u>Calculation of Normal Flow Condition</u>			¬
Half Central Angle (0 <theta<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta =</td><td>1.54</td><td>radians</td></theta<3.14)<>	Theta =	1.54	radians
Flow area	An =	0.85	sq ft
Top width	Tn =	1.50	ft
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	2.31	ft
Flow depth	Yn =	0.73	ft
Flow velocity	Vn =	5.88	fps
Discharge	Qn =	5.00	cfs
Percent of Full Flow	Flow =	47.5%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr _n =	1.38	supercritical
Calculation of Critical Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta-c<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta-c =</td><td>1.72</td><td>radians</td></theta-c<3.14)<>	Theta-c =	1.72	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	1.05	sq ft
Critical top width	Tc =	1.48	ft.
Critical flow depth	Yc =	0.86	ft
Critical flow velocity	Vc =	4.77	fps
Critical Depth Froude Number	Fr _c =	1.00	<u> </u>

FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: Pipe Run 10



Design Information (Input)			
Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0100	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	
Pipe Diameter	D =	30.00	inches
Design discharge	Q =	36.00	cfs
Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated)			
Full-flow area	Af =	4.91	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	7.85	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	41.13	cfs
<u>Calculation of Normal Flow Condition</u>			_
Half Central Angle (0 <theta<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta =</td><td>2.04</td><td>radians</td></theta<3.14)<>	Theta =	2.04	radians
Flow area	An =	3.81	sq ft
Top width	Tn =	2.23	ft
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	5.09	ft
Flow depth	Yn =	1.81	ft
Flow velocity	Vn =	9.45	fps
Discharge	Qn =	36.00	cfs
Percent of Full Flow	Flow =	87.5%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr _n =	1.27	supercritical
Coloniation of Critical Floor Condition			
Calculation of Critical Flow Condition	-, . -	2.25	¬
Half Central Angle (0 <theta-c<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta-c =</td><td>2.25</td><td>radians</td></theta-c<3.14)<>	Theta-c =	2.25	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	4.28	sq ft
Critical top width	Tc =	1.95	ft
Critical flow depth	Yc =	2.03	ft
Critical flow velocity	Vc =	8.41	fps
Critical Depth Froude Number	Fr _c =	1.00	

FLOW (Normal & Critical Depth Computation) MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020) Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT - HWY. 105 & JCP INT. IMPS. Pipe ID: Pipe Run 11




Design Information (Input)			
Design Information (Input)	C- [0.0100	- A / G
Pipe Invert Slope	So =	0.0100	ft/ft
Pipe Manning's n-value	n =	0.0130	-
Pipe Diameter	D =	18.00	inches
Design discharge	Q =	4.00	cfs
Full-Flow Capacity (Calculated)	—		_
Full-flow area	Af =	1.77	sq ft
Full-flow wetted perimeter	Pf =	4.71	ft
Half Central Angle	Theta =	3.14	radians
Full-flow capacity	Qf =	10.53	cfs
Calculation of Normal Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta =</td><td>1.42</td><td>radians</td></theta<3.14)<>	Theta =	1.42	radians
Flow area	An =	0.72	sq ft
Top width	Tn =	1.48	ft
Wetted perimeter	Pn =	2.14	ft
Flow depth	Yn =	0.64	ft
Flow velocity	Vn =	5.55	fps
Discharge	Qn =	4.00	cfs
Percent of Full Flow	Flow =	38.0%	of full flow
Normal Depth Froude Number	Fr _n =	1.40	supercritical
Calculation of Critical Flow Condition			
Half Central Angle (0 <theta-c<3.14)< td=""><td>Theta-c =</td><td>1.59</td><td>radians</td></theta-c<3.14)<>	Theta-c =	1.59	radians
Critical flow area	Ac =	0.91	sq ft
Critical top width	Tc =	1.50	ft
Critical flow depth	Yc =	0.77	ft
Critical flow velocity	Vc =	4.41	fps
Critical Depth Froude Number	Fr _c =	1.00	-
·	٠ ــــــ		

DETERMINATION OF CULVERT HEADWATER AND OUTLET PROTECTION

Project: MONUMENT JUNCTION - HWY. 105 / JCP INT. IMPROVEMENTS


30" RCP STORMWATER QUALITY OUTFALL





Design Infor	mation:			
Design Inioi	Design Discharge	٥ ٦	36	cfs
	Design Discharge	Q = _	30	
Circular Culve	rt:			
	Barrel Diameter in Inches	D = [30	inches
	Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list)		e Edge Projectir	
OR	· , ,	oquu.	c Luge ojecu.	.9
Box Culvert:	<u>u</u>		OR	
DOX CUIVCIL.	Barrel Height (Rise) in Feet	H (Rise) =	<u> </u>	ft
	Barrel Width (Span) in Feet	W (Span) =		- ft
	Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list)	W (Spail) = [
	Thet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list)			
	Number of Barrels	# Barrels =	1	
	Inlet Elevation	Elev IN =	7013.25	T ft
	Outlet Elevation OR Slope	Elev OUT =	7012.75	T _{ft}
	Culvert Length	L =	100	T _{ft}
	Manning's Roughness	n =	0.013	T
	Bend Loss Coefficient	k _b =	0.015	-
	Exit Loss Coefficient	k _x =	1	_
	Tailwater Surface Elevation	Y _{t. Elevation} =		ft
	Max Allowable Channel Velocity	V =	7	ft/s
	Max Allowable Charmer velocity	v – <u>L</u>	,	
Calculated R	esults:			
	Culvert Cross Sectional Area Available	A = [4.91	∏ft²
	Culvert Normal Depth	$Y_n = $	2.50	⊣ _{ft}
	Culvert Critical Depth	Y _c =	2.03	⊢ _{ft}
	Froude Number	Fr =	-	Pressure flow!
	Entrance Loss Coefficient	k _e =	0.20	- I ressure now:
	Friction Loss Coefficient	k _f =	0.92	7
	Sum of All Loss Coefficients	k _s =	2.12	⊢ _{ft}
	Sum of All 2005 Coefficients	IN _S — [2.12	
Headwater:		_		
	Inlet Control Headwater	$HW_{I} =$	3.85	ft
	Outlet Control Headwater	HW _o =	3.54	ft
	Design Headwater Elevation	HW =	7017.10	ft
	Headwater/Diameter OR Headwater/Rise Ratio	HW/D =	1.54	HW/D > 1.5!
Outlet Dretest	ion.			
Outlet Protect	ion: Flow/(Diameter^2.5)	Q/D^2.5 =	3.64	ft ^{0.5} /s
	,	_	1.00	- ft
	Tailwater Surface Height	Y _t =		⊣"
	Tailwater/Diameter	Yt/D =	0.40	-
	Expansion Factor	$1/(2*tan(\Theta)) =$	3.82	
	Flow Area at Max Channel Velocity	A _t =	5.14	ft²
	Width of Equivalent Conduit for Multiple Barrels	W _{eq} =		_ ft
	Length of Riprap Protection	L _p =	11	ft
	Width of Riprap Protection at Downstream End	T = [6	ft
	Adjusted Diameter for Supercritical Flow	Da =		Πft
	Minimum Theoretical Riprap Size	d ₅₀ min=	8	- in
	Nominal Riprap Size	d ₅₀ nominal=	9	⊣"'
	·		L E	⊣"'
	MHFD Riprap Type	Type =	L	

Include future inlet and pipe segment, to ensure system will function adequately upon future build-out



100-yr HGL Calculations Map Layout

100-yr HGL Calculations

System Input Summary

Rainfall Parameters

Rainfall Return Period: 100

Rainfall Calculation Method: Table

Time	Intensity
5	8.68
10	6.93
20	5.19
30	4.16
40	3.44
60	2.42
120	0.67

Rational Method Constraints

Minimum Urban Runoff Coeff.: 0.20

Maximum Rural Overland Len. (ft): 500

Maximum Urban Overland Len. (ft): 300

Used UDFCD Tc. Maximum: Yes

Sizer Constraints

Minimum Sewer Size (in): 18.00 Maximum Depth to Rise Ratio: 0.90 Maximum Flow Velocity (fps): 18.0 Minimum Flow Velocity (fps): 2.0

Backwater Calculations:

Tailwater Elevation (ft): 7013.75

Manhole Input Summary:

		Give	en Flow			Sub Basir	ı Informat	tion		
Element Name	Ground Elevation (ft)	Total Known Flow (cfs)	Local Contribution (cfs)	Drainage Area (Ac.)	Kunoff	5yr Coefficient	Overland Length (ft)	Overland Slope (%)		Gutter Velocity (fps)
OUTFALL 1	7016.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	7020.89	36.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	7017.60	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	7026.17	32.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	7036.94	14.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	7044.73	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	7044.73	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 10 SWR 10 - 1	7045.00	2.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	7026.30	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	7030.00	17.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	7037.20	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Manhole Output Summary:

		Loc	al Contribu	ıtion			Total D	esign Flow		
Element Name	Overland Time (min)	Gutter Time (min)	Basin Tc (min)	Intensity (in/hr)	Local Contrib (cfs)	Coeff. Area	Intensity (in/hr)	Manhole Tc (min)	Peak Flow (cfs)	Comment
OUTFALL 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	54.92	0.66	0.38	36.00	
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.00	
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.00	
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.00	
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00	
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	
MH 10 SWR 10 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.00	
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	

Sewer Input Summary:

					Loss C	oeffici	ents	Given	Dimensio	ns
Element Name	Sewer Length (ft)	Downstream Invert (ft)	Slope (%)	Upstream Invert (ft)	Mannings n	Bend Loss	Lateral Loss	Cross Section	Rise (ft or in)	Span (ft or in)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	166.16	7012.75	0.5	7013.58	0.013	0.03	1.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	3.17	7014.58	1.0	7014.61	0.013	1.32	0.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	18.00 in
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	260.12	7014.08	2.2	7019.68	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	186.40	7020.18	5.5	7030.44	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	137.77	7030.94	5.7	7038.82	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	18.00 in
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	13.51	7039.32	4.0	7039.86	0.013	0.83	0.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	18.00 in
MH 10 SWR 10 - 1	8.00	7039.32	5.0	7039.72	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	18.00 in
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	12.11	7020.18	3.7	7020.63	0.013	0.83	1.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	69.88	7021.13	3.1	7023.28	0.013	0.94	1.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	200.00	7023.78	4.5	7032.73	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	18.00 in

Per storm profiles in CD set, pipe size is 24".

Sewer Flow Summary:

	Full Flow Capacity Critical Flow		al Flow	Normal Flow							
Element Name	Flow (cfs)	Velocity (fps)	Depth (in)	Velocity (fps)	Depth (in)	Velocity (fps)	Froude Number	Flow Condition	Flow (cfs)	Surcharged Length (ft)	Comment
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	29.08	5.92	30.00	7.33	30.00	7.33	0.00	Pressurized	36.00	166.16	
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	10.53	5.96	10.32	4.77	8.73	5.88	1.38	Pressurized	5.00	3.17	
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	60.35	12.29	23.12	7.88	15.53	12.47	2.17	Supercritical Jump	32.00	21.07	
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	53.22	16.94	16.17	6.22	8.40	14.28	3.51	Supercritical	14.00	0.00	
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	25.19	14.25	12.29	5.45	6.49	12.20	3.41	Supercritical	7.00	0.00	
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	21.06	11.92	7.18	3.80	4.19	8.01	2.85	Supercritical	2.50	0.00	
MH 10 SWR 10 - 1	23.55	13.33	7.18	3.80	3.96	8.67	3.17	Supercritical	2.50	0.00	
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	43.63	13.89	19.27	7.40	11.41	13.59	2.79	Supercritical	20.00	0.00	
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	39.79	12.66	17.83	6.79	10.96	12.17	2.56	Supercritical Jump	17.00	40.41	
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	22.28	12.61	13.15	5.78	7.46	11.57	2.98	Supercritical	8.00	0.00	

- A Froude number of 0 indicates that pressured flow occurs (adverse slope or undersized pipe).
- If the sewer is not pressurized, full flow represents the maximum gravity flow in the sewer.
- If the sewer is pressurized, full flow represents the pressurized flow conditions.

Sewer Sizing Summary:

			Exis	ting	Calcu	lated		Used		
Element Name	Peak Flow (cfs)	Cross Section	Rise	Span	Rise	Span	Rise	Span	Area (ft^2)	Comment
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	36.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in	33.00 in	33.00 in	30.00 in	30.00 in	4.91	Existing height is smaller than the suggested height. Existing width is smaller than the suggested width. Exceeds max. Depth/Rise
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	5.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	1.77						
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	32.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	30.00 in	30.00 in	4.91	
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	14.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	18.00 in	18.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	3.14	
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	7.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	1.77						
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	2.50	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	1.77						
MH 10 SWR 10 - 1	2.50	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	1.77						
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	20.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	18.00 in	18.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	3.14	
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	17.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in	18.00 in	18.00 in	24.00 in	24.00 in	3.14	
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	8.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	1.77						

- Calculated diameter was determined by sewer hydraulic capacity rounded up to the nearest commercially available size.
- Sewer sizes should not decrease downstream.
- All hydraulics where calculated using the 'Used' parameters.

Grade Line Summary:

Tailwater Elevation (ft): 7013.75

	Invert Elev.		_	eam Manhole osses	HGL			EGL		
Element Name	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Bend Loss (ft)	Lateral Loss (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Friction Loss (ft)	Upstream (ft)	
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	7012.75	7013.58	0.00	0.00	7015.25	7016.52	7016.08	1.27	7017.36	
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	7014.58	7014.61	0.16	0.00	7017.40	7017.40	7017.52	0.01	7017.53	
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	7014.08	7019.68	0.03	0.18	7016.91	7021.61	7017.57	5.01	7022.57	
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	7020.18	7030.44	0.02	0.35	7021.97	7031.79	7024.05	8.34	7032.39	
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	7030.94	7038.82	0.01	0.06	7031.86	7039.84	7033.79	6.51	7040.30	
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	7039.32	7039.86	0.03	0.00	7039.87	7040.46	7040.67	0.02	7040.68	
MH 10 SWR 10 - 1	7039.32	7039.72	0.00	0.21	7040.06	7040.76	7040.82	0.00	7040.82	
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	7020.18	7020.63	0.52	0.03	7022.16	7023.37	7024.00	0.00	7024.00	
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	7021.13	7023.28	0.43	0.17	7024.15	7024.77	7024.60	0.88	7025.48	
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	7023.78	7032.73	0.02	0.14	7024.92	7033.83	7026.48	7.87	7034.35	

- Bend and Lateral losses only apply when there is an outgoing sewer. The system outfall, sewer #0, is not considered a sewer.
- Bend loss = Bend K * V_fi ^ 2/(2*g)
 Lateral loss = V_fo ^ 2/(2*g)- Junction Loss K * V_fi ^ 2/(2*g).
- Friction loss is always Upstream EGL Downstream EGL.

STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS



	Design Procedure Form: E	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)				
	UD-BMP ((Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3				
Designer: Marc A. Whorto	n, P.E.					
Company: Classic Consult						
Date: January 25, 202						
	ction Development - Hwy. 105 & JCP Int. Imps.					
Location: CDOT SWQ Fac	cility - Forebay Sizing					
Basin Storage Volume						
A) Effective Imperviousness of Trib	outary Area, I _a	I _a = 67.2 %				
B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness	s Ratio (i = I _a / 100)	i = 0.672				
C) Contributing Watershed Area		Area = 8.490 ac				
D) For Watersheds Outside of the Runoff Producing Storm	Denver Region, Depth of Average	d ₆ = 0.42 in				
E) Design Concept (Select EURV when also design	ning for flood control)	Choose One				
F) Design Volume (WQCV) Based (V _{DESIGN} = (1.0 * (0.91 * i³ - 1.19		V _{DESIGN} = ac-ft				
G) For Watersheds Outside of the Water Quality Capture Volume (V _{WQCV OTHER} = (d ₆ *(V _{DESIGN} /0.4:	(WQCV) Design Volume	V _{DESIGN OTHER} = ac-ft				
User Input of Water Quality Cap (Only if a different WQCV Designation)	pture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume gn Volume is desired)	V _{DESIGN USER} = 0.121 ac-ft				
NRCS Hydrologic Soil Groups of Percentage of Watershed of Percentage of Watershed of Watershed of Percentage of Watershed of	onsisting of Type A Soils consisting of Type B Soils	HSG A =				
J) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (I For HSG A: $EURV_A = 1.68 * 1^1$. For HSG B: $EURV_B = 1.36 * 1^1$. For HSG C/D: $EURV_{C/D} = 1.20$	28 08	EURV _{DESIGN} = ac-f t				
K) User Input of Excess Urban Ru (Only if a different EURV Desig	noff Volume (EURV) Design Volume n Volume is desired)	EURV _{DESIGN USER} = ac-f t				
Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio (A basin length to width ratio of at length to width ratio of a length r	o east 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L:W= 2.0 :1				
Basin Side Slopes						
A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit ve	rtical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Z = 3.00 ft / ft DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN, INCREASE WHERE POSSIBLE				
4. Inlet		Concrete Forebay				
4. HIGE						
 A) Describe means of providing er inflow locations: 	nergy dissipation at concentrated					
illiow locations.						
5. Forebay						
A) Minimum Forebay Volume		$V_{\text{FMIN}} = 0.004$ ac-ft				
	e WQCV)					
B) Actual Forebay Volume C) Forebay Depth		$V_F = 0.004$ ac-ft				
(D _F = <u>18</u> inch	maximum)	D _F = 18.0 in				
D) Forebay Discharge						
i) Undetained 100-year Peak D	Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 36.00 cfs				
ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow $(Q_F = 0.02 * Q_{100})$		Q _F = cfs				
E) Forebay Discharge Design		Choose One Berm With Pipe Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir				
F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8	3-inches)	Calculated D _P =in				
G) Rectangular Notch Width		Calculated W _N = 5.0 in				

Design Procedure Form: E	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)
Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Company: Classic Consulting Date: January 25, 2023 Project: Monument Junction Development - Hwy. 105 & JCP Int. Imps. Location: CDOT SWQ Facility - Forebay Sizing	Sheet 2 of 3
Trickle Channel A) Type of Trickle Channel F) Slope of Trickle Channel	Choose One ○ Concrete Soft Bottom PROVIDE A CONSISTENT LONGITUDINAL SLOPE FROM FOREBAY TO MICROPOOL WITH NO MEANDERING. RIPRAP AND SOIL RIPRAP LINED CHANNELS ARE NOT RECOMMENDED. S = 0.0200 ft / ft MINIMUM DEPTH OF 1.5 FEET
7. Micropool and Outlet Structure A) Depth of Micropool (2.5-feet minimum) B) Surface Area of Micropool (10 ft ² minimum) C) Outlet Type	D _M = ft A _M = sq ft Choose One Orifice Plate Other (Describe):
D) Smallest Dimension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing (Use UD-Detention) E) Total Outlet Area	D _{orifice} =inches $A_{ct} =square inches$
8. Initial Surcharge Volume A) Depth of Initial Surcharge Volume (Minimum recommended depth is 4 inches) B) Minimum Initial Surcharge Volume (Minimum volume of 0.3% of the WQCV) C) Initial Surcharge Provided Above Micropool	$D_{IS} =$ in $V_{IS} =$ 16 cu ft $V_{a} =$ cu ft
9. Trash Rack A) Water Quality Screen Open Area: A _t = A _{xt} * 38.5*(e ^{-0.095D}) B) Type of Screen (If specifying an alternative to the materials recommended in the USDCM, indicate "other" and enter the ratio of the total open are to the total screen are for the material specified.) Other (Y/N): C) Ratio of Total Open Area to Total Area (only for type 'Other') D) Total Water Quality Screen Area (based on screen type) E) Depth of Design Volume (EURV or WQCV) (Based on design concept chosen under 1E) F) Height of Water Quality Screen (H _{TR}) G) Width of Water Quality Screen Opening (W _{opening}) (Minimum of 12 inches is recommended)	A _t =square inches User Ratio = A _{total} =sq. in. H =feet H _{TR} = inches W _{opening} = inches

	Design Procedure For	: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)					
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Classic Consulting January 25, 2023 Monument Junction Development - Hwy. 105 & JCP Int. Imps. CDOT SWQ Facility - Forebay Sizing						
B) Slope of (bankment embankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping: Overflow Embankment al distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Buried Rip-Rap Ze = 4.00 ft / ft Choose One					
12. Access A) Describe Notes:	Sediment Removal Procedures						

	Design Procedure Form: E	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)				
	UD-BMP (Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3				
Designer: N	Marc A. Whorton, P.E.					
	Classic Consulting					
_	January 25, 2023					
· -	Monument Junction Development - Hwy. 105 & JCP Int. Imps.					
Location:	CDOT SWQ Facility					
Basin Storage Volu	ime					
A) Effective Imperv	iousness of Tributary Area, I _a	I _a = 53.6 %				
B) Tributary Area's	Imperviousness Ratio (i = I _a / 100)	i = 0.536				
C) Contributing Wa	atershed Area	Area = 11.840 ac				
D) For Watersheds Runoff Producir	s Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average ng Storm	d ₆ = 0.42 in				
E) Design Concep (Select EURV w	t vhen also designing for flood control)	Choose Ōne				
	(WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time * (0.91 * i³ - 1.19 * i² + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)	V _{DESIGN} = ac-ft				
Water Quality C	s Outside of the Denver Region, Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume (d _s *(V _{DESIGN} /0.43))	V _{DESIGN} OTHER= ac-ft				
	/ater Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume ent WQCV Design Volume is desired)	V _{DESIGN USER} = 0.121 ac-ft				
i) Percentageii) Percentage	ic Soil Groups of Tributary Watershed of Watershed consisting of Type A Soils of Watershed consisting of Type B Soils of Watershed consisting of Type C/D Soils	HSG _A =				
For HSG A: EU For HSG B: EU	Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume $JRV_A = 1.68 * 1^{1.26}$ $JRV_B = 1.36 * 1^{1.06}$ $JRV_B = 1.36 * 1^{1.06}$ $EURV_{CID} = 1.20 * 1^{1.08}$	EURV _{DESIGN} = ac-f t				
	xcess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume ent EURV Design Volume is desired)	EURV _{DESIGN USER} = ac-f t				
Basin Shape: Leng (A basin length to v	th to Width Ratio vidth ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L:W= 2.0 :1				
Basin Side Slopes						
A) Basin Maximum	n Side Slopes ance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Z = 3.00 ft / ft DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN, INCREASE WHERE POSSIBLE				
4. Inlet		Concrete Forebay				
		·				
A) Describe means inflow locations	s of providing energy dissipation at concentrated					
mile w resultance						
5. Forebay						
A) Minimum Foreb		V _{FMIN} = 0.004 ac-ft				
(V _{FMIN} = _ B) Actual Forebay		V _E = 0.004 ac-ft				
C) Forebay Depth						
(D _F = _	18 inch maximum)	D _F = 18.0 in				
D) Forebay Dischar						
i) Undetained 1	100-year Peak Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 36.00 cfs				
ii) Forebay Disc (Q _F = 0.02 * 0	charge Design Flow Q ₁₀₀)	Q _F = 0.72 cfs				
E) Forebay Dischar	rge Design	Choose One				
F) Discharge Pipe	Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D _P =in				
G) Rectangular No	tch Width	Calculated W _N = 5.0 in				

UD-BMP_v3.07 - CDOT Pond, EDB 1/25/2023, 1:00 PM

	Design Procedure Form: E	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)
Danisa	Marc A. Whorton, P.E.	Sheet 2 of
Designer:	Classic Consulting	
Company: Date:	January 25, 2023	
Project:	Monument Junction Development - Hwy. 105 & JCP Int. Imps.	
Location:	CDOT SWQ Facility	
6. Trickle Channel		Choose One PROVIDE A CONSISTENT LONGITUDINAL
A) Type of Trick	de Channel	SLOPE FROM FOREBAY TO MICROPOOL WITH NO MEANDERING. RIPRAP AND
F) Slope of Tric	kle Channel	SOIL RIPRAP LINED CHANNELS ARE NOT RECOMMENDED. S = 0.0200 ft / ft MINIMUM DEPTH OF 1.5 FEET
T) Slope of The	Ne Granier	0-0.0200 R/II IIIIIIIIIII DE TITOT TOTEL
7. Micropool and C	Outlet Structure	
A) Depth of Mic	ropool (2.5-feet minimum)	$D_{\rm M} = \frac{2.5}{\rm ft}$
B) Surface Area	a of Micropool (10 ft ² minimum)	$A_{\rm M} = \frac{48}{\rm sq} \mathrm{ft}$
C) Outlet Type		☐ Choose One
		Orifice Plate
		Other (Describe):
D) Smallest Din (Use UD-Detent	nension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing ion)	D _{onfice} = 1.19 inches
E) Total Outlet A	леа	A _{ct} = 4.36 square inches
Initial Surcharge	Volume	
	al Surcharge Volume	D _{IS} = 6 in
(Willilling rec	commended depth is 4 inches)	
	al Surcharge Volume ume of 0.3% of the WQCV)	V _{IS} =16 cu ft
C) Initial Surcha	rge Provided Above Micropool	V _s = 24.0 cu ft
9. Trash Rack		
A) Water Qualit	y Screen Open Area: A _t = A _{ct} * 38.5*(e ^{-0.095D})	A _t = 150 square inches
	en (If specifying an alternative to the materials recommended	S.S. Well Screen with 60% Open Area
	ndicate "other" and enter the ratio of the total open are to the for the material specified.)	
	Other (Y/N): N	
	Calor (1717).	
C) Ratio of Total	Open Area to Total Area (only for type 'Other')	User Ratio =
D) Total Water 0	Quality Screen Area (based on screen type)	A _{total} =sq. in.
	ign Volume (EURV or WQCV) lesign concept chosen under 1E)	H= 5.5 feet
F) Height of Wat	ter Quality Screen (H _{TR})	H _{TR} = 94 inches
	er Quality Screen Opening (W _{opening}) inches is recommended)	W _{opening} = 12.0 inches VALUE LESS THAN RECOMMENDED MIN. WIDTH. WIDTH HAS BEEN SET TO 12 INCHES.

UD-BMP_v3.07 - CDOT Pond, EDB 1/25/2023, 1:00 PM

	Design Procedure Form	: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)					
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Classic Consulting January 25, 2023 Monument Junction Development - Hwy. 105 & JCP Int. Imps. CDOT SWQ Facility						
B) Slope of C	pankment embankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping: Overflow Embankment al distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Buried Rip-Rap Ze = 50.00 ft / ft Choose One					
12. Access A) Describe s Notes:	Sediment Removal Procedures						

UD-BMP_v3.07 - CDOT Pond, EDB 1/25/2023, 1:00 PM


DETENTION FACILITY CALCULATIONS



DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Project: Monument Junction Development - Hwy. 105 & JCP Int. Imps.



Watershed Information

Selected BMP Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	11.84	acres
Watershed Length =	1,200	ft
Watershed Length to Centroid =	600	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.050	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	53.60%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	100.0%	percent
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	J	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.213	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.604	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.673	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.955	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	1.195	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	1.472	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	1.723	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	2.026	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.85 in.) =	3.392	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.535	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.786	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.896	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.965	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.998	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.124	acre-feet

Define Zones and Basin Geometry


efine Zones and Basin Geometry		
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.213	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.390	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.520	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.124	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth $(H_{total}) =$	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S _{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	user	

Initial Surcharge Area (A _{ISA}) =	user	ft 2
Surcharge Volume Length (L _{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W _{ISN}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H _{FLOOR}	() =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (LFLOOR	() =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (WFLOOR	() =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft 2
Volume of Basin Floor (V _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft ³
Depth of Main Basin (H _{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L _{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W _{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A _{MAIN}) =	user	ft ²
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MAIN}) =	user	ft ³
Calculated Total Basin Volume (Vtota) =	user	acre-
			_

Ontional User Overrides


Optional osci	Overnues
	acre-feet
	acre-feet
1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
3.85	inches

Doubh Ingramant -	1.00] _{ft}							
Depth Increment =	Stage	Optional Override	Length	Width	Area	Optional Override	Area	Volume	Volume
Stage - Storage Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft ²)	Area (ft 2)	(acre)	(ft 3)	(ac-ft)
Top of Micropool		0.00				48	0.001		
7006		1.50				3,347	0.077	2,546	0.058
7008		3.50				5,688	0.131	11,581	0.266
7010 7012		5.50 7.50				9,690 14,548	0.222	26,959 51,197	0.619 1.175
		1.00				2.,0.0			
	-								
								-	
								 	
	-								
					-				
								<u> </u>	
	-		 	 	 				
				l				_	
				-					
								-	
								<u> </u>	



MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Project: Monument Junction Development - Hwy. 105 & JCP Int. Imps.



	Estimated	Estimated	
_	Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.09	0.213	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	5.44	0.390	Orifice Plate
ne 3 (100-year)	7.35	0.520	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
_	Total (all zones)	1.124	

<u>User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)</u>

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = ft (distance below the filtration media surface) N/A Underdrain Orifice Diameter = N/A inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain Underdrain Orifice Area N/A Underdrain Orifice Centroid = N/A feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP) Centroid of Lowest Orifice = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) W 0.00 Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = 5.50 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = 16.50 inches

Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = sq. inches (diameter = 1-3/16 inches) 1.09

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

BMP)	Calculated Parame	ters for Plate
VQ Orifice Area per Row =	7.569E-03	ft ²
Elliptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A	ft ²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)	
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.40	2.80	4.20					
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.09					

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A

ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) inches

Vertical Orifice Area Vertical Orifice Centroid

	Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice						
	Not Selected Not Selected						
=	N/A	N/A	ft ²				
l =	N/A	N/A	feet				

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe)

	Zone 3 Weii	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	5.50	N/A	ft (re
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	6.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Grate Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	3.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Grate Type =	Type C Grate	N/A	
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%

relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Height of Grate Upper Edge, H Overflow Weir Slope Lengtl Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debri Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debri

	Calculated Farantie	ters for Overflow W	CII
	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
H _t =	5.50	N/A	fee
h =	3.00	N/A	fee
a =	1.77	N/A	
is =	12.53	N/A	ft ²
is =	6.26	N/A	ft ²

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.50	N/A	f
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	36.00	N/A	i
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	36.00		i

ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) inches inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate Zone 3 Restrictor Not Selected Outlet Orifice Area = 7.07 N/A Outlet Orifice Centroid = 1.50 N/A feet Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe = 3.14 N/A radians

1.18

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

att Emergency opinital (necessingular or	11 ap czoraary	
Spillway Invert Stage=	6.50	ft (re
Spillway Crest Length =	30.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	3.00	H:V
Freehoard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet

elative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

0.626

Spillway Design Flow Depth= Stage at Top of Freeboard = Basin Area at Top of Freeboard = Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard =

	Calculated Parame	ters for Spillway
=	0.51	feet
=	8.01	feet
=	0.33	acres

acre-ft

nns W through Al

0.84

Routed Hydrograph Results

outed y a. og. ap recourse
Design Storm Return Period =
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =
Structure Controlling Flow =
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =

	The user can over	ride the default CUI	HP hydrographs and	d runoff volumes by	v entering new valu	es in the Inflow Hy	drographs table (Co	olumns W through A
d =	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year
) =	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52
) =	0.213	0.604	0.673	0.955	1.195	1.472	1.723	2.026
) =	N/A	N/A	0.673	0.955	1.195	1.472	1.723	2.026
) =	N/A	N/A	2.6	5.4	7.5	11.5	14.2	17.5
) =	N/A	N/A	10.0	14.0	20.0	27.0	30.0	36.0
) =	N/A	N/A	0.84	1.18	1.69	2.28	2.53	3.04
) =	N/A	N/A	11.9	16.8	20.2	25.4	29.7	35.1
) =	0.1	0.3	0.5	4.6	7.8	14.8	19.2	25.2
2 =	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7
v =	Plate	Plate	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1
) =	N/A	N/A	0.02	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.5	2.0
) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
) =	38	58	61	58	56	53	51	49
) =	40	63	66	65	64	63	62	61
) =	3.08	5.44	5.54	5.78	5.91	6.13	6.25	6.40
) =	0.12	0.22	0.22	n 24	n 24	0.26	0.26	0.27

0.683

0.712

0.768

Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres)

Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =

500 Year 3.85 3.392

3.392

31.7

2.68

57.6

51.2


Spillway

N/A 56

6.79

0.29

0.949



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

ı	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]		25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	
	0:00:00									
5.00 min		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.85
	0:15:00 0:20:00	0.00	0.00	1.11	1.81	2.23	1.50	1.86	1.83	3.46
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	3.84	5.19	6.38	3.70	4.30	4.62	8.62
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	9.05 11.92	13.93 16.76	17.60 20.16	8.81 22.94	10.91 27.05	12.17 30.39	23.92 51.17
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	10.94	15.00	17.92	25.45	29.66	35.13	57.60
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	9.56	12.83	15.35	24.05	27.92	32.89	53.60
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	7.81	10.80	13.12	20.93	24.30	29.53	48.00
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	6.41	9.13	10.90	18.49	21.42	25.87	41.94
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	5.38	7.67	9.37	15.18	17.62	21.91	35.64
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	4.69	6.67	8.35	12.86	14.96	19.17	31.30
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	4.13	5.84	7.48	11.21	13.06	17.26	28.20
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	3.36	5.07	6.62	9.29	10.87	13.89	22.87
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	2.68	4.16	5.84	7.61	8.94	11.01	18.28
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	2.13	3.32	4.80	5.85	6.85	8.06	13.45
	1:25:00 1:30:00	0.00	0.00	1.81	2.87	3.97	4.46 3.51	5.23	5.78	9.81
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	1.67 1.59	2.64 2.48	3.44 3.07	3.51 2.90	4.13 3.42	4.39 3.54	7.57 6.19
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	1.59	2.48	2.80	2.51	2.95	2.97	5.24
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	1.51	1.94	2.62	2.24	2.63	2.58	4.60
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.48	1.77	2.49	2.06	2.42	2.31	4.15
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.28	1.65	2.31	1.94	2.27	2.12	3.83
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.13	1.50	2.04	1.85	2.17	2.01	3.65
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.83	1.10	1.48	1.36	1.59	1.47	2.67
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.79	1.05	0.97	1.13	1.05	1.91
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.56	0.75	0.69	0.81	0.76	1.37
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.39	0.52	0.49	0.57	0.54	0.97
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.26	0.36	0.33	0.39	0.37	0.67
	2:30:00 2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.17	0.24	0.23	0.27	0.26	0.46
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.11	0.16 0.09	0.15 0.09	0.18	0.17	0.30
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.18
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00 3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00 4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
}	4:45:00 4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00 5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00 5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00 6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ı	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stage - Storage Description	Stage [ft]	Area [ft²]	Area [acres]	Volume [ft ³]	Volume [ac-ft]	Total Outflow [cfs]	
							For best results, include the
							stages of all grade slope
							changes (e.g. ISV and Floor from the S-A-V table on
							Sheet 'Basin'.
							_
							Also include the inverts of al
							outlets (e.g. vertical orifice,
							overflow grate, and spillway where applicable).
							/
							+
							1
							4
							+
							+
							†
							†
							1
							1
							+
							+
							†
							+
							1
							+
							1
							+
							1
]
							4
							+
				1			1
							_



DRAINAGE MAPS





Both maps, labels and contours are hard to read. Suggest lightening the aerial or using color labels.


On both maps, indicate what facilities are public and/or private


			FI	NAL DR	AINAGE	REPORT	~ BASI	N RUNOF	F COEFFI	CIENT SU	MMARY					
		DEVELO	PED AREA	IMPERVIOU	S AREA	LAND	LANDSCAPE/UNDEVELOPED AREAS				WEIGHTED			WEIGHTED (CA	IMPERVIOUSNESS
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	%
OS-10	4.10	0.60	0.89	0.90	0.96	3.50	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.16	0.21	0.45	0.64	0.86	1.84	16%
OS-11	1.70	0.80	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.90	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.43	0.47	0.64	0.73	0.79	1.08	45%
OS-12	0.51	0.27	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.24	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.49	0.52	0.68	0.25	0.26	0.35	54%
OS-13	0.67	0.40	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.54	0.57	0.72	0.36	0.38	0.48	61%
OS-14	0.28	0.15	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.13	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.49	0.52	0.68	0.14	0.15	0.19	55%
OS-15	1.60	1.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.68	0.70	0.81	1.08	1.12	1.30	76%
OS-16	1.00	0.70	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.30	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.63	0.65	0.78	0.63	0.65	0.78	67%
OS-17	0.53	0.43	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.73	0.75	0.85	0.39	0.40	0.45	82%
OS-18	0.30	0.30	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.27	0.29	100%
EX2	0.56	0.56	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.02	0.05	0.20	2%
EX3	1.80	1.80	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.05	0.16	0.65	2%
Exist. Trib. to Pond	13.05															

		WEIGHTE	D	OVERLAND				STREE	ET / CH	ANNEL	FLOW	Tc	INTENSITY			TOTAL FLOWS		
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100 (cfs)
OS-10	0.64	0.86	1.84	0.09	65	2	10.1	950	3.5%	1.9	8.5	18.6	2.56	3.20	5.37	2	3	10
OS-11	0.73	0.79	1.08	0.08	100	2	14.7	500	4.0%	2.0	4.2	18.8	2.54	3.18	5.34	1.9	3	6
OS-12	0.25	0.26	0.35	0.09	40	2	6.8	200	3.5%	3.7	0.9	7.7	3.61	4.53	7.60	0.9	1.2	3
OS-13	0.36	0.38	0.48	0.09	25	3	4.0	240	5.5%	4.7	0.9	5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	1.5	2	4
OS-14	0.14	0.15	0.19	0.09	30	10	3.1	170	5.5%	4.7	0.6	5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.6	0.8	2
OS-15	1.08	1.12	1.30	0.09	180	12	13.1	100	3.0%	1.7	1.0	14.0	2.89	3.62	6.08	3	4	8
OS-16	0.63	0.65	0.78	0.08	100	4	11.7	130	1.5%	1.2	1.8	13.4	2.94	3.69	6.19	1.9	2	5
OS-17	0.39	0.40	0.45	0.09	60	14	5.0	380	5.0%	4.5	1.4	6.4	3.82	4.80	8.05	1.5	2	4
OS-18	0.27	0.27	0.29	0.09	15	0.5	4.7	280	2.0%	2.8	1.6	6.4	3.83	4.80	8.06	1.0	1.3	2
EX2	0.02	0.05	0.20	0.09	50	2	8.2					8.2	3.54	4.43	7.44	0.1	0.2	1.5
EX3	0.05	0.16	0.65	0.09	260	5	23.7					23.7	2.27	2.84	4.76	0.1	0.5	3

					Intensity		FI	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
H4	OS-12	0.26	0.46	7.7	4.53	7.60	1	3	EXIST. 5' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
H5	OS-13, Flow-by from H4	0.38	0.63	5.0	5.17	8.68	2	5	EXIST. 10' TYPE F AT-GRADE INLET
H6	OS-14, Flow-by from H5	0.15	0.24	5.0	5.17	8.68	1	2	EXIST. 10' TYPE F AT-GRADE INLET
H7	OS-11 and OS-16	1.45	1.86	18.8	3.18	5.34	5	10	EXIST. 10' TYPE F AT-GRADE INLET
Н8	OS-17, Flow-by from H7	0.42	0.73	6.4	4.80	8.05	2	6	EXIST. 10' TYPE F AT-GRADE INLET
H9	OS-18, Flow-by from H8	0.27	0.41	6.4	4.80	8.06	1	3	EXIST. 10' TYPE F AT-GRADE INLET
H10	EX2, EX3, OS-10 thru OS-18	5.09	7.60	23.7	2.84	4.76	14	36	EXIST. 36" RCP CDOT CULVERT

	FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ PIPE ROUTING SUMMARY								
					Inten	sity	FI	ow	
Pipe Run	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Pipe Size*
H1	Inlet Capture at DP-H4	0.26	0.24	7.7	4.53	7.60	1	2	Exist 15" RCP
H2	PR-H1, Inlet Capture at DP-H5	0.65	0.77	7.8	4.51	7.57	3	6	Exist 15" RCP
Н3	PR-H2, Inlet Capture at DP-H6	0.80	1.01	7.9	4.49	7.54	4	8	Exist 24" RCP
H4	OS-15	1.12	1.30	14.0	3.62	6.08	4	8	Exist 18" ADS
H5	PR-H3, PR-H4, Portion of OS-11 and OS-16	3.20	3.95	18.8	3.18	5.34	10	21	Exist 30" RCP
Н6	Inlet Capture at DP-H8	0.42	0.64	6.4	4.80	8.05	2	5	Exist 24" RCP
H7	Inlet Capture at DP-H9	0.27	0.41	6.4	4.80	8.06	1	3	Exist 24" RCP







MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT
HWY. 105 & JACKSON CREEK INT. IMPS.
FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

PRE-DEVELOPED DRAINAGE MAP

DESIGNED BY MAW SCALE DATE 03/16/22

DRAWN BY MAW (H) 1"= 100' SHEET 1 OF 2

(V) 1"= N/A JOB NO. 1302.22


		DEVELO	PED AREA/	IMPERVIOL	IS AREA	LAND	SCAPE/UNI	DEVELOPED	AREAS	v	WEIGHTED			WEIGHTED (CA	IMPERVIOUSNES:
	TOTAL															
BASIN	AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	%
G	0.20	0.05	0.79	0.81	0.88	0.15	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.22	0.27	0.49	0.04	0.05	0.10	25%
Н	0.57	0.05	0.79	0.81	0.88	0.52	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.10	0.15	0.41	0.06	0.09	0.23	10%
OS-10	4.10	0.60	0.89	0.90	0.96	3.50	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.16	0.21	0.45	0.64	0.86	1.84	16%
OS10D	2.00	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.96	1.90	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.07	0.13	0.39	0.15	0.26	0.78	7%
OS-11	1.70	0.80	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.90	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.43	0.47	0.64	0.73	0.79	1.08	45%
OS11D	1.70	1.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.64	0.66	0.78	1.08	1.13	1.33	71%
OS-12	0.51	0.27	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.24	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.49	0.52	0.68	0.25	0.26	0.35	54%
OS12D	0.58	0.31	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.49	0.52	0.68	0.28	0.30	0.39	54%
OS-13	0.67	0.40	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.54	0.57	0.72	0.36	0.38	0.48	61%
OS13D	0.67	0.40	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.54	0.57	0.72	0.36	0.38	0.48	61%
OS-14	0.28	0.15	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.13	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.49	0.52	0.68	0.14	0.15	0.19	55%
OS14D	0.86	0.72	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.14	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.75	0.77	0.86	0.65	0.66	0.74	84%
OS-15	1.60	1.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.68	0.70	0.81	1.08	1.12	1.30	76%
OS15D	1.60	1.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.68	0.70	0.81	1.08	1.12	1.30	76%
OS-16	1.00	0.70	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.30	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.63	0.65	0.78	0.63	0.65	0.78	67%
OS-17	0.53	0.43	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.73	0.75	0.85	0.39	0.40	0.45	82%
OS17D	1.00	0.60	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.55	0.58	0.72	0.55	0.58	0.72	61%
OS-18	0.30	0.30	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.27	0.27	0.29	100%
OS18D	0.78	0.43	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.35	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.50	0.54	0.69	0.39	0.42	0.54	56%
OS-19	0.18	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.18	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.01	0.02	0.06	2%
OS-20	0.11	0.01	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.11	0.16	0.41	0.01	0.02	0.05	10%
JCP7	0.59	0.50	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.09	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.76	0.78	0.87	0.45	0.46	0.51	85%
EX2	0.56	0.56	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.02	0.05	0.20	2%
EX3	1.80	1.80	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.05	0.16	0.65	2%
xist. Trib. to Pond	13.05															37.5%
ev. Trib. to Pond	11.84															53.6%

		WEIGHTE)		OVER	LAND		STREE	ET / CH	ANNEL	FLOW	Tc	IN	ITENSI	ГΥ	TOT	AL FLO	ows
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	-	Height	Tc	Length	-	Velocity	Tc	TOTAL	(in/hr)	l(5)	I(100)	Q(2)	Q(5)	Q(100)
G	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.09	(ft) 30	(ft) 1.5	(min) 5.9	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(<i>min</i>) 5.9	(<i>in/hr</i>) 3.93	(in/hr) 4.93	(in/hr) 8.27	(cfs) 0.17	(cfs)	(cfs)
Н	0.06	0.09	0.23	0.09	40	2	6.8					6.8	3.76	4.71	7.91	0.2	0.4	1.8
OS-10	0.64	0.86	1.84	0.09	65	2	10.1	950	3.5%	1.9	8.5	18.6	2.56	3.20	5.37	2	3	10
OS10D	0.15	0.26	0.78	0.09	65	2	10.1	950	3.5%	1.9	8.5	18.6	2.56	3.20	5.37	0.4	0.8	4
OS-11	0.73	0.79	1.08	0.08	100	2	14.7	500	4.0%	2.0	4.2	18.8	2.54	3.18	5.34	1.9	3	6
OS11D	1.08	1.13	1.33	0.09	100	2	14.5	300	4.0%	2.0	2.5	17.0	2.66	3.33	5.59	3	4	7
OS-12	0.25	0.26	0.35	0.09	40	2	6.8	200	3.5%	3.7	0.9	7.7	3.61	4.53	7.60	0.9	1.2	3
OS12D	0.28	0.30	0.39	0.09	40	2	6.8	200	3.5%	3.7	0.9	7.7	3.61	4.53	7.60	1.0	1.4	3.0
OS-13	0.36	0.38	0.48	0.09	25	3	4.0	240	5.5%	4.7	0.9	5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	1.5	2	4
OS13D	0.36	0.38	0.48	0.09	25	3	4.0	250	3.5%	3.7	1.1	5.1	4.09	5.13	8.61	1.5	2	4
OS-14	0.14	0.15	0.19	0.09	30	10	3.1	170	5.5%	4.7	0.6	5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.6	0.8	2
OS14D	0.65	0.66	0.74	0.09	30	10	3.1	190	5.5%	4.7	0.7	5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	3	3	6
OS-15	1.08	1.12	1.30	0.09	180	12	13.1	100	3.0%	1.7	1.0	14.0	2.89	3.62	6.08	3	4	8
OS15D	1.08	1.12	1.30	0.09	180	12	13.1	80	3.0%	3.5	0.4	13.5	2.94	3.68	6.18	3	4	8
OS-16	0.63	0.65	0.78	0.08	100	4	11.7	130	1.5%	1.2	1.8	13.4	2.94	3.69	6.19	1.9	2	5
OS-17	0.39	0.40	0.45	0.09	60	14	5.0	380	5.0%	4.5	1.4	6.4	3.82	4.80	8.05	1.5	2	4
OS17D	0.55	0.58	0.72	0.09	60	14	5.0	380	5.0%	4.5	1.4	6.4	3.82	4.80	8.05	2	3	6
OS-18	0.27	0.27	0.29	0.09	15	0.5	4.7	280	2.0%	2.8	1.6	6.4	3.83	4.80	8.06	1.0	1.3	2
OS18D	0.39	0.42	0.54	0.09	90	4	10.6	260	2.0%	2.8	1.5	12.1	3.07	3.84	6.45	1.2	1.6	3
OS-19	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.09	80	3.2	10.3	380	5.0%	4.5	1.4	11.7	3.10	3.89	6.53	0.02	0.1	0.4
OS-20	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.09	50	3	7.1					7.1	3.70	4.63	7.78	0.0	0.1	0.4
JCP7	0.45	0.46	0.51	0.09	20	0.6	5.7	300	4.5%	4.2	1.2	6.9	3.74	4.70	7.88	2	2	4
EX2	0.02	0.05	0.20	0.09	50	2	8.2					8.2	3.54	4.43	7.44	0.1	0.2	1.5
EX3	0.05	0.16	0.65	0.09	260	5	23.7					23.7	2.27	2.84	4.76	0.1	0.5	3

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY

					Inten	sity	FI	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
13	G, H, JCP7, OS10D thru OS15D, OS-16, OS17D, OS18D	5.84	7.90	21.1	3.01	5.05	18	40	CDOT SWQ FACILITY
D4	OS12D, 0.85 CFS 100Yr Flow-by from upstream	0.30	0.51	7.7	4.53	7.60	1.4	3.9	PROP. 5' TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
D5	OS13D, Flow-by from D4	0.38	0.63	5.0	5.17	8.68	2	5	PROP. 10' TYPE F AT-GRADE INLET
D6	OS15D	1.12	1.30	13.5	3.68	6.18	4	8	PROP. CDOT TYPE C INLET
D7	OS11D, OS-16, OS-19, OS-20	1.81	2.22	17.0	3.33	5.59	6	12	PROP. 10' TYPE F AT-GRADE INLET
D8	OS14D, Flow-by from D5&D7	0.84	1.47	17.0	3.33	5.59	3	8	PROP. 10' TYPE F SUMP INLET
D9	JCP7, G	0.51	0.61	6.9	4.70	7.88	2	5	PROP. 10' TYPE F AT-GRADE INLET
D10	OS17D, Flow-by from D8	0.58	0.74	6.4	4.80	8.05	3	6	PROP. 10' TYPE I AT-GRADE INLET
D11	OS18D, Flow-by from D9	0.42	0.64	12.1	3.84	6.45	2	4	EXIST. 10' TYPE I SUMP INLET

							Future	18" RCP	
	FINAL	_ DRAINAG	E REPORT	~ PIPE RO	DUTING S	UMMAR	′		
					Inten	sity	FI	ow	
Pipe Run	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Ripe Size*
1	Inlet Capture at D4	0.30	0.33	7.7	4.53	7.60	1.4	2.5	PROP. 15" RCP
2	PR-1, Inlet Capture at D5	0.69	0.92	7.7	4.53	7.60	3	7	PROP. 18" RCP
3	CDOT Type C Inlet Capture at D6	1.12	1.30	13.5	3.68	6.18	4	8	PROP. 18" RCP
4	PR-2, PR-3	1.80	2.22	13.7	3.66	6.15	7	14	PROP. 24" RCP
5	Inlet Capture at D7	1.63	1.51	17.0	3.33	5.59	5	8	PROP. 18" RCP
6	PR-5, Inlet Capture at D8	2.47	2.98	17.0	3.33	5.59	8	17	PROP. 24" RCP
7	PR-6, Inlet Capture at D9	2.98	3.56	17.0	3.33	5.59	10	20	PROP. 24" RCP
8	PR-4, PR-7	4.79	5.77	17.2	3.32	5.56	16	32	PROP. 30" RCP
9	Inlet Capture at D10	0.58	0.66	6.4	4.80	8.05	3	5	PROP. 18" RCP
10	PR-8, PR9	5.36	6.43	17.4	3.30	5.54	18	36	PROP. 30" RCP
11	Inlet Capture at D11	0.42	0.64	12.1	3.84	6.45	2	4	EXIST. 18" RCP



	♣		
	LAS	SIC	
СО	NSUL	ΓING	
619 N. Cascade A Colorado Springs,		(719)785-0790 (719)785-0799 (Fax)	

MONUMENT JUNCTION DEVELOPMENT
HWY. 105 & JACKSON CREEK INT. IMPS.
FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
DEVELOPED DRAINAGE MAP

ESIGNED BY	MAW	SCALE	DATE	0.	3/16/	′ 22
RAWN BY	MAW	(H) 1"= 100'	SHEET 2	2	OF	2
HECKED BY		(V) 1"= N/A	JOB NO.	1	302.2	22

TRIBUTARY AREA TO CDOT FACILITY

<u>LEGEND</u>

PROPOSED FINISHED CONTOUR — 6910 — 6910

<u>SYMBOL</u>

6910 ____

DESCRIPTION

EXISTING GROUND CONTOUR

MAJOR BASIN BOUNDARY

BASIN BOUNDARY

DESIGN POINT

PIPE ROUTING

BASIN IDENTIFIER —— AREA IN ACRES ——

EXIST. STORM SEWER

PROPOSED STORM SEWER

EXISTING DIRECTION OF FLOW

PROPOSED DIRECTION OF FLOW

V1_Drainage Report - Final.pdf Markup Summary

#55550 (0)		
0.67 0.67 0.28	Subject: Highlight Page Label: 40 Author: CDurham Date: 3/28/2023 1:38:54 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	0.67
AR 30.00 in 30.00 in AR 24.00 in 24.00 in AR 18.00 in 18.00 in AR 18.00 in 18.00 in AR 18.00 in 18.00 in	Subject: Highlight Page Label: 92 Author: CDurham Date: 3/29/2023 9:03:14 AM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	18.00 in 18.00 in
#0000FF (20)		
Det Paul Nove House Nove House Nove House Nove House	Subject: Callout Page Label: 43 Author: CDurham Date: 3/28/2023 4:33:26 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	This line should be moved to next page with developed design points
3. Flow-by from DB 0.58 0.7 3. Rlow-by from DB 0.42 0.6 DB would be intercepted at D10 prior to reaching D11	Subject: Callout Page Label: 44 Author: CDurham Date: 3/28/2023 4:34:49 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	D9 would be intercepted at D10 prior to reaching D11
	Subject: Callout Page Label: 40 Author: CDurham Date: 3/28/2023 4:35:43 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Area does not match basin label on map
For Town of Mahameset Candidors And the Candidors And the Separate Stands for County	Subject: Text Box Page Label: 2 Author: CDurham Date: 3/28/2023 5:14:44 PM Status: Color: Layer: Space:	Include signature block for County



Subject: Callout Page Label: 46

Author: CDurham

Date: 3/28/2023 5:20:08 PM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Minimum size is 18" RCP

On both maps, indicate what facilities are public and/or private

Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] Layout1 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 10:33:22 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: On both maps, indicate what facilities are public

and/or private

Include future inlet and pipe segment, to ensure system will function adequately upon future build-out Subject: Text Box Page Label: 88 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 10:35:31 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Include future inlet and pipe segment, to ensure system will function adequately upon future

build-out



Subject: Callout Page Label: 59 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 8:16:20 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Per road profiles in CD set, street slope is

approximately 5.5%



Subject: Callout Page Label: 61 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 8:16:31 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Per road profiles in CD set, street slope is

approximately 5.5%



Subject: Callout Page Label: 76 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 8:49:04 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Per storm profiles in CD set, pipe slope is

approximately 5%



Subject: Callout Page Label: 77

Author: CDurham **Date:** 3/29/2023 8:50:35 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Per road profiles in CD set, street slope is

approximately 5.5% & size is 24"



Subject: Callout Page Label: 79 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 8:54:02 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Per storm profiles in CD set, pipe slope is approximately 5.5%



Subject: Callout Page Label: 92 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 9:04:14 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Per storm profiles in CD set, pipe size is 24".



Subject: Text Box Page Label: [1] Layout1 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 9:08:06 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Both maps, labels and contours are hard to read. Suggest lightening the aerial or using color labels.



Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] Layout1
Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 9:09:15 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Label ROW & any easements along SH 105



Subject: Callout Page Label: 43 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 9:11:11 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Basins OS19 & OS20 should be included as they release to pond

Subject: Callout

Page Label: [1] Layout1 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 9:12:44 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Future 18" RCP



Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] Layout1
Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 9:14:08 AM

Status:
Color: Layer:
Space:

Verify pipe size-Appendix calculations say 18" and CD's say 24". Update so same size is referenced

through all documents

Include calculation for drainage swale along top of retaining wall to show that it is sized to handle the flow Subject: Text Box Page Label: 47 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/29/2023 9:35:59 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Include calculation for drainage swale along top of retaining wall to show that it is sized to handle the flow.

Conditions:

Rottliss separate to end of Goranus block Gles CO's for

Subject: Text Box Page Label: 2 Author: CDurham

Date: 3/30/2023 7:31:17 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: Add this statement to end of signature block (See CD's for example) "This review is only for the proposed construction in Highway 105, east of

Jackson Creek Parkway."