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## MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 12, 2017
TO: Kari Parsons/Jeff Rice - El Paso County Planning and Community Development
FROM: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon - LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
SUBJECT: Lorson Ranch East
PUDSP-16-003
Response to Comments Memorandum
LSC \#164360
Following are the LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. responses to El Paso County Planning and Community Development comments regarding the March 7, 2017 Traffic Impact Analysis by LSC.

## EL PASO COUNTY PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

## Planning

Reports
9. Traffic Report-Update the traffic study to include the school site

LSC Response: The updated traffic report includes a future 1,000-student, K-8 school.
10. Please add the timing of the construction of Lorson and Fontaine Boulevards to include the crossings

LSC Response: The updated traffic report addresses this comment.

## Engineering Division <br> Preliminary Plan/PUD DP

4. Provide and revise notes as applicable regarding required noise study-reference ECM 2.5.3. Address where noise walls or other forms of mitigation would be placed if required (tracts, etc.)

LSC Response: Noise studies will be required with the final plat submittal for any plat that with residential uses adjacent to Fontaine Boulevard.

## Transportation/Traffic Impact Study

1. Address the requirement in the Sixth Amended Development Agreement (2015) requiring construction of a second point of access to Lorson Ranch prior to development of more than 1,750 dwelling units east of the Jimmy Camp Creek main channel.

LSC Response: The report addresses this comment on page 2.
2. Address Preliminary Plan comment \#2 (justification for deviations), specifically \#2.c, in the TIS.
c. Per ECM Table 2-7 and B.3.1.C, the design ADT of a Residential Collector is 10,000, which is exceeded by Lorson Boulevard. In a previous deviation approval (DEV-16-027) for the western portion of this road, the County Engineer specifically noted that the classification is a Non-Residential Collector.
Revise the Preliminary Plan design or provide deviation requests as appropriate.
LSC Response: The updated report addresses this comment. Also, please refer to the approved deviation for Lorson Boulevard east of Stingray Lane.
3. Address the timing and method of "fair share"/proportionate offsite improvement contributions including the Lorson Blvd./Marksheffel and Fontaine/Lamprey traffic signals/intersections.

LSC Response: The requested information has been added to the updated report. The Fontaine/Lamprey intersection is likely to be constructed as a modern roundabout. If a roundabout is constructed, a signal escrow would not apply. If the applicant decides against the roundabout option and constructs the intersection as a conventional intersection, signal escrow could be determined with future development beyond Lorson Ranch East (to the east of the Preliminary Plan area).
4. Label Lorson Blvd. on the applicable figures.

LSC Response: The labels have been added to the updated report as requested.
5. On Figure 2, there appear to be some old road alignments overlapping the Preliminary Plan area; delete or label these alignments as appropriate.

LSC Response: The old road alignments have been deleted as requested.
6. Regarding the school site, include general traffic generation analysis and address the last requirement of ECM Section B.2.3.B regarding pedestrian facilities in the project vicinity. Address the pertinent pedestrian and bicycle analyses required by ECM Sections B.2.4.B, B.4.1.C and D.

LSC Response: The updated report addresses this comment.
7. Provide a summary table of recommended improvements and responsibilities.

LSC Response: A summary table has been included in the updated report as requested.

# Lorson Ranch East <br> Updated Traffic Impact and Access Analysis <br> (LSC \#164360) <br> July 12, 2017 

## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.


July 12, 2017

Mr. Jeff Mark
The Landhuis Company
212 North Wahsatch Avenue, Suite 301
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

RE: Lorson Ranch East<br>Preliminary Plan<br>El Paso County, Colorado<br>Updated Traffic Impact and Access Analysis<br>LSC \#164360

Dear Mr. Mark:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic impact analysis update to accompany the Preliminary Plan submittal for the Lorson Ranch East residential development to be located within the Lorson Ranch development in El Paso County, Colorado. The site location is shown on Figure 1.

## REPORT CONTENTS

The report contains the following:

- Recent/current street and traffic conditions in the vicinity of the site including the street widths, lane geometries, traffic controls, posted speed limits, street classification, etc.
- Existing traffic volumes at the key intersections in the vicinity of the site and estimates of short-term and 2040 background traffic volumes.
- The projected average weekday and peak-hour vehicle-trips to be generated by the land uses shown on the Preliminary Plan.
- The assignment of the projected trips to the existing and planned street system.
- The resulting short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes on the street system.
- The resulting traffic impacts. The traffic impacts have been quantified by determining the future levels of service at the intersections of Marksheffel Road/Lorson Boulevard, Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard and the proposed site access point intersections on Fontaine Boulevard and Lorson Boulevard.
- Recommendations for street functional classification, traffic controls, and auxiliary turn lanes.


## SITE DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE

## Land Use

Phase 1 of Lorson Ranch East is planned to include 331 lots for single-family homes. This is the maximum number of homes that can be built prior to the construction of a second access for the Lorson Ranch Sketch Plan Area. At buildout Lorson Ranch East is planned to be developed with a total 826 lots for single-family homes and a Kindergarten through $8^{\text {th }}$ grade school. The site plan is shown in Figure 2.

## Access Points

Three full-movement access points are proposed to Fontaine Boulevard about 390 and 1,158 feet west of Lamprey Drive and 1,335 feet east of Lamprey Drive. The proposed access points do not meet the criteria for intersection spacing on a Principal Arterials. However, the street will function as a Collector street for the foreseeable future and will be constructed as an interim Urban NonResidential Collector street. Once Fontaine Boulevard is constructed as a Principal Arterial, all three access points would likely be restricted to right-in/right-out only. The access on the north side of Fontaine west of Lamprey may ultimately need to be closed in the long-term future.
Access is also proposed to a Residential Collector (Lamprey Drive), which will extend north from Lorson Boulevard, and a Residential Collector (Trappe Drive), which will extend south from Lorson Boulevard about 1,595 feet west of Lamprey Drive.

Two full-movement access points are proposed to Lorson Boulevard about 550 feet west of Lamprey Drive and 575 feet east of Trappe Drive.

## Street Connections

For Phase 1, Fontaine Boulevard is planned to be extended east from its current terminus at the intersection of Stingray Lane and Old Glory (east) to the westernmost Lorson Ranch East access only (Lamine Drive). Phase 1 would also include the construction of Lorson Boulevard between Stingray Lane and the first access on the north side of Lorson Boulevard (Willapa Drive). The section of Lorson Boulevard from Carriage Meadows South across the main channel of Jimmy Camp Creek to Stingray would not be included with Phase 1. The phasing plan is shown in Figure 3.

Following Phase 1, Fontaine Boulevard is planned to be extended east from Lamine Drive to the east boundary of the site. Lorson Boulevard is planned to be constructed across the main channel of Jimmy Camp Creek and from Willapa Drive to the east boundary of the site following Phase 1.

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Route Analysis

It is our understanding that a school access point to Fontaine Boulevard about 1,335 feet east of Lamprey Drive has been confirmed but any access to Lamprey Drive remains under discussion. Figure 4 shows a pedestrian and bicycle route analysis for the school based on preliminary assumptions as no site plan is available.

## Dwelling Unit Cap

Phase 1 , at 331 dwelling units, would just meet the allowable 1,750 single-family equivalent dwelling units east of the main channel of Jimmy Camp Creek as per the amended development agreement. The development agreement states:

> Amendment Regarding Second Access. The Parties stipulate and agree that Lorson and LRMD shall be required to construct a second access benefitting all lots to that portion of Lorson Ranch lying east of the main channel of Jimmy Camp Creek only at such time as Lorson, or its successor or assign, submits a development application to the County that will increase the number of single-family-equivalent residential units above 1750 units approved or planned within that same area of Lorson Ranch.

Table 1 shows the updated dwelling unit cap status table with the proposed Lorson East Phase 1 added. The development of any lots beyond the 331 lots included as part of Phase 1 will require Lorson Boulevard to be constructed from the Carriage Meadows South east boundary to Stingray Lane including a crossing of the main Jimmy Camp Creek channel.

## ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## Area Roadways

Figure 1 shows the roadways in the vicinity of the site. The major roadways are identified below followed by a brief description of each.

- Marksheffel Road extends north from the Link Road/C\&S Road intersection in Fountain, Colorado to north of Woodmen Road. Marksheffel Road is shown as a future four-lane Expressway on the County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP). The posted speed limit on Marksheffel Road at Fontaine Boulevard is 45 miles per hour (mph). The PPRTA is currently upgrading Marksheffel Road between Mesa Ridge Parkway and Bradley Road. Road construction is in progress. This includes intersection improvements at the Fontaine Boulevard intersection.
- Fontaine Boulevard is designated as a four-lane Urban Principal Arterial east of Marksheffel Road and it has been constructed as such from Marksheffel Road east to Old Glory Drive. As part of this development Fontaine Boulevard will be extended east from Old Glory Drive adjacent to the site. In the interim, an Urban Non-Residential Collector Street will be constructed east of Stingray Lane as development progresses. The applicant will be dedicating 100 feet of right-of-way. The posted speed limit on Fontaine Boulevard is 35 mph just east of (and a short distance west of) Marksheffel Road. The speed limit increases to 45 mph just east of the bridge over Jimmy Camp Creek.
- Lorson Boulevard is a planned future roadway that will ultimately extend from Marksheffel Road about one-half mile south of Fontaine Boulevard. Initially, Lorson Boulevard is planned to cross the east tributary of Jimmy Camp Creek, then as required by the development
agreement, cross the main channel of Jimmy Camp Creek. The Phase 1 section of Lorson Boulevard will connect Stingray Lane and Willapa Drive (in Lorson East) via a bridge over the east tributary. Lorson Boulevard will be classified as an Urban Non-Residential Collector Street (modified for a 44 -foot street width rather than the standard 52-foot street width) with an 80 -foot-wide right-of-way. East of Stingray Lane, Lorson Boulevard will be classified as an Urban Non-Residential Collector Street (modified for a 44-foot street width rather than the standard 52-foot street width). The ROW will vary from 64 feet to 72 feet to accommodate anticipated future right-turn deceleration lanes. The ROW not adjacent to right turn lanes would be 64 feet. Also, tracts adjacent to the ROW will allow for future ROW expansion to 80 feet if ever needed. The proposed cross section includes two 14 -foot "shared-use" travel lanes, a striped two-way left-turn lane and right-turn deceleration lanes where warranted. Approved deviation DEV17008 is attached for reference and additional detail.


## Existing Traffic Conditions

Figure 5 shows the recent traffic volumes at the intersection of Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard. The traffic volumes were based on traffic counts conducted by LSC in March 2017. The traffic count reports are attached.

## Existing Levels of Service

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection. Level of service is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A represents control delay of less than 10 seconds for unsignalized and signalized intersections. LOS F represents control delay of more than 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections and more than 80 seconds for signalized intersections. Table 2 shows the level of service delay ranges.

| Table 2 <br> Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized Intersections |  | Unsignalized Intersections |
| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) | V/C ${ }^{(1)}$ | Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| A | 10.0 sec or less | less than 0.60 | 10.0 sec or less |
| B | $10.1-20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.60-0.69 | $10.1-15.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| C | $20.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.70-0.79 | $15.1-25.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.80-0.89 | $25.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.90-0.99 | $35.1-50.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| F | 80.1 sec or more | 1.00 and greater | 50.1 sec or more |
| (1) Source: Transportation Research Circular 212 <br> (2) For unsignalized intersections if $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio is greater than 1.0 the level of service is LOS F regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle. |  |  |  |

The intersection of Marksheffel/Fontaine was analyzed to determine the existing levels of service using Synchro. Figure 5 shows the level of service analysis results. As shown on the figure, all movements this intersection are currently operating at a level of service $C$ or better during the peak hours. The level of service (LOS) reports are attached.

## SHORT-TERM (YEAR 2020) BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Background traffic is the traffic estimated to be on the roadways without the Lorson Ranch East traffic. Background traffic includes the existing traffic and increases in through traffic on Marksheffel Road due to both regional growth and the extension of Mesa Ridge Parkway east to Marksheffel Road. The portion of the existing traffic volumes were also assumed to be rerouted due to the extension of Mesa Ridge Parkway east to Marksheffel Road. A portion of the existing traffic that currently travels to and from the west on Fontaine Boulevard was assumed to shift to travel to and from the south on Marksheffel Road to this new connection. The short-term background traffic also includes traffic generated by buildout of the residential portion of Lorson Ranch subdivisions north of Lorson Boulevard between Jimmy Camp Creek and the east tributary and the Carriage Meadows North and Carriage Meadows South subdivisions located west of Jimmy Camp Creek, but assumes zero traffic generated by Lorson Ranch East. The short-term background volumes assume Lorson Boulevard has been constructed east of Marksheffel Road to serve the Carriage Meadows South subdivision (with a street connection north to Fontaine Boulevard) but does not cross Jimmy Camp Creek (main channel). The short-term background traffic volumes are shown in Figure 6.

## 2040 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Figure 7 shows the projected 2040 background traffic volumes. The 2040 background traffic volumes are based on estimates of traffic projected to be generated at buildout of the Lorson Ranch Sketch Plan (excluding the traffic projected to be generated by Lorson Ranch East) and traffic volumes shown in the Marksheffel Road South Corridor Preservation Plan dated July 2014. Appendix Table 1 shows the trip generation estimates for all existing and future land uses assumed to be built out by 2040 in the Lorson Ranch development. The 2040 background volumes also assume full buildout of the street network within Lorson Ranch but assume Meridian Road has not been extended south to Fontaine Boulevard.

## TRIP GENERATION

Estimates of the traffic volumes expected to be generated by the site have been made using the nationally published trip generation rates found in Trip Generation, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2012 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Table 3 shows the results of the trip generation estimates.

As shown in Table 3, following Phase 1 the Lorson Ranch East site is projected to generate about 3,151 new vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 62 vehicles would enter and 186
vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 209 vehicles would enter and 122 vehicles would exit the site.

At buildout, the Preliminary Plan land uses are projected to generate about 9,319 new vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour about 427 vehicles would enter and 687 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour about 596 vehicles would enter and 385 vehicles would exit the site.

Table 3 includes an additional alternate analysis using a trip generation rate consistent with actual data collected. This analysis assumes single-family detached housing generating trips using ITE fitted curve rates. These rates are closer to actual current trip generation rates for Lorson Ranch based on the recent counts shown in Figure 5. Based on the data, the ITE average rate of 9.52 trips per day per single-family dwelling unit are conservative. This information is provided for information only. The analysis in this report is based on the trip generation using the ITE average trip generation rates.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The directional distribution of the site-generated traffic volumes on the street and roadway system serving the site is one of the most important factors in determining the site's traffic impacts. Figure 8 shows the external trip distribution estimates (external to Lorson Ranch). The directional distribution estimates have been based on the location of the site with respect to the regional residential employment, commercial, and activity centers; the land use proposed; the access/roadway connections assumed; and the roadway network. The directional distribution estimate assumes Mesa Ridge Parkway has been extended east to Marksheffel Road.

When the external trip distribution percentages (from Figure 8) are applied to the trip generation estimates (from Table 3), the resulting site-generated traffic volumes can be determined. Figures 9 and 10 show the short-term (Phase 1 only) and long-term site-generated traffic volume estimates, respectively. The Phase 1 site-generated traffic volumes assume all trips generated by Lorson Ranch East have origins and destinations outside of Lorson Ranch. The long-term site-generated volumes assume a portion of the trips will travel within the Lorson Ranch Development to and from the planned commercial areas to be located near the intersection of Carriage Meadows Drive/ Fontaine Boulevard and the proposed school site located northeast of the intersection of Lamprey Drive/Fontaine Boulevard. The number of vehicle-trips assigned within the Lorson Ranch development were based on the internal trip estimates shown in Appendix Table 3. Internal trips from this site are shown in Table 3.

The short-term site-generated traffic volumes assume Lorson Boulevard has been been constructed from Marksheffel Road to just west of Jimmy Camp Creek and from Stingray Lane east across the east tributary of Jimmy Camp Creek to Willapa Drive. The long-term site-generated traffic volumes assume full buildout of the street network within Lorson Ranch (including a crossing for Lorson Boulevard across the main Jimmy Camp Creek bed) but assume Meridian Road has not been extended south to Fontaine Boulevard.

As requested by County staff, the long-term trip assignment assumes half of the school trips using the Fontaine access and half of the school trips accessing the school site via Lamprey Drive (preliminary assumption as no site plan is available).

## PROJECTED TOTAL TRAFFIC

Figure11a shows the short-term total traffic volumes. These short-term volumes are the sum of the short-term background traffic volumes (from Figure 6) plus the short-term Phase 1 site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 9).

Figure 12a shows the 2040 total traffic volumes. These 2040 total traffic volumes are the sum of the 2040 background traffic volumes (from Figure 7) plus the long-term site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 10).

A "sensitivity analysis" was also conducted to estimate a hypothetical worst-case average daily traffic volume on Lorson Boulevard. This analysis assumes that all residential trips generated by the residential development areas (Lorson East and future) south of Fontaine Boulevard and east of the east tributary would use Lorson Boulevard (and zero traffic would use Fontaine Boulevard) to travel to/from the south on Marksheffel Road south of Lorson Ranch. A small percentage (two percent) of trips originating in areas north of Fontaine have also been assigned to Lorson Boulevard instead of Fontaine. The percentage is limited because under this worst-case scenario, the Fontaine volumes are shown being significantly reduced and the resulting westbound left-turn volume at the Marksheffel/Lorson intersection would be significantly higher than the corresponding left turn at Fontaine/Marksheffel. These factors would discourage use of Lorson Boulevard in lieu of Fontaine for development areas north of Fontaine.

The worst-case analysis for Lorson Boulevard also assumes trips generated in the south areas of the Lorson East Preliminary Plan and areas south and southwest of the Preliminary Plan area would also use Lorson Boulevard and the Old Glory connection to Fontaine to travel to/from the west on Fontaine (west of Marksheffel) and north on Marksheffel. Residential trips paired with the school in the areas south of and north of (and in close proximity to) Lorson Boulevard have also been assigned to Lorson Boulevard. The resulting average daily traffic volumes are shown on Figure 12a. Note, the hypothetical worst-case 13,000 average daily traffic volumes on Lorson Boulevard are for the section over the east tributary. East of Trappe Drive, the volume drops to 4,900 vehicles per day and west of Old Glory, the volume drops to 9,900 vehicles per day.

Table 3 also shows an estimate of the total average daily traffic volume on Lorson Boulevard just west of Trappe Drive using site-generated and background traffic volumes for homes east of the tributary estimated based on ITE fitted curve trip generation rates instead of average rates.

## PROJECTED LEVELS OF SERVICE

The intersections of Marksheffel/Lorson, Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard, Fontaine/ Lamprey, Lorson/Lamprey and Lorson/Trappe and the site access points to Fontaine Boulevard and Lorson Boulevard have been analyzed to determine the projected levels of service for the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic volumes based on the signalized method of analysis from Synchro and the unsignalized method of analysis procedures outlined in the Highway

Capacity Manual, 2010 Edition by the Transportation Research Board. The level of service reports are attached. The results of the analysis are shown in Figures 6, 7, 11b, and 12b.

## Marksheffel/Fontaine

The signal-controlled Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard intersection is projected to continue to operate at a level of service D overall or better based on the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic conditions.

## Marksheffel/Lorson

Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes, all movements at the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson are projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours as a Stop-sign-controlled intersection (Stop-sign on the westbound approach). By 2040 it was assumed that this intersection would be signal controlled. As a signalized intersection, all movements are projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours based on the projected 2040 background and total traffic volumes.

## Fontaine/Lamprey

By 2040, the northbound and southbound left-turn and through movements at the intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey are projected to operate at LOS F during the peak hours if this intersection remains Stop-sign controlled. All movements are projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service based on the projected 2040 peak-hour total traffic volumes if this intersection is either signal controlled or constructed as an interim one-lane modern roundabout (interim meaning the single-lane roundabout could remain in place until Fontaine is ultimately expanded to the full fourlane Principal Arterial cross section).

## Lorson/Lamprey

Based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes, all movements at the intersection of Lorson/ Lamprey are projected to operate at LOS B or better during the peak hours as a two-way Stop-sign-controlled intersection.

## Lorson/Trappe

Based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes, all movements at the intersection of Lorson/ Trappe are projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours as a two-way Stop-signcontrolled intersection.

## Fontaine Boulevard Site Access Points

The northbound approach at the westernmost access (Lamine Drive) is projected to operate at LOS F during the afternoon peak hour based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes. A future traffic signal at the intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey will likely create gaps to help these movements occur more easily. Alternatively, residents would have the option to turn onto northbound Lamprey and use the northbound left turn at Lamprey/Fontaine instead. If the interim traffic control at

Fontaine/Lamprey is a one-lane modern roundabout, northbound left turning traffic at the Chaplin Drive intersection would have the option to turn right and execute a U-turn using the roundabout to travel west. Once the four-lane Principal Arterial is ultimately completed on Fontaine at some future time by the County (likely beyond 2040), the raised center median would restrict this intersection to a right-in/right-out.

The intersections of Fontaine Boulevard/Edisto Drive and Fontaine Boulevard/Tillamook Drive are projected to operate at level of service C or better as Stop-sign-controlled intersections based on the projected short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes.

## Lorson Boulevard Site Access Points

All movements at the proposed site access points to Lorson Boulevard are projected to operate at level of service B or better as Stop-sign-controlled intersections based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes.

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

The intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey was analyzed to determine if a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant will be met or close to being met based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes. The results of the analysis are shown in Figure 13. As shown in the figure, this intersection is projected to meet the thresholds for a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant during the morning and afternoon peak hours. This analysis using the peak hours is intended to provide an indication that a warrant may be met or is close to being met. In order for a Four-Hour Traffic Signal Warrant to be satisfied, the volume threshold would need to be met for two additional hours of the day. For example, the four-hour warrant would be satisfied with the volume thresholds met for the one hour in the morning, two hours (instead of the one-hour peak) during the afternoon peak period, and an hour during the mid-afternoon. The satisfaction of warrants does not indicate that a signal must be installed. The decision to require a signal to be installed at this location rests with the El Paso County Department of Transportation. An alternative to a traffic signal would be to initially construct the intersection as a one-lane modern roundabout. The one-lane roundabout option would work with the interim Non-Residential Collector. Once Fontaine is ultimately upgraded to a four-lane Principal Arterial, the one-lane roundabout would need to be removed and replaced with a signal oy two-lane roundabout. As it may be well beyond 2040 before Fontaine is upgraded to four lanes, the interim one-lane roundabout is likely a viable option.
expanded to a
The intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson was analyzed to determine when the Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant thresholds would be reached or exceeded based on the projected morning and afternoon peak-hour total traffic volumes. The results of the analysis are shown in Figure 14. The minor approach volumes were assumed to include the westbound left-turn movements only.

As shown in the figure, the thresholds for a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant are not projected to be exceeded during the morning and afternoon peak hours based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes. Figure 14 also shows the peak-hour volumes based on
theoretical intermediate-term volumes. This intermediate-term scenario assumes Lorson Boulevard extended east across the Jimmy Camp Creek main bed and east tributary to serve the Lorson Ranch East development. The intermediate-term volumes are the sum of the short-term
 from Figure 10. These volumes are likelyconservative as they do not include any traffic from existing or approved developments within Lorson Ranch that may use this connection. Estimates for these developments were not included as theyare existing and willnot participate in_funding $\int$ the future cost of a signal at the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson. As shown on Figure 14, the thresholds for a Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant are projected to be exceeded during both the morning and afternoon peak hours based on the prdjected intermediate-term total traffic volumesr- Fhepreat forpatraffic signal and the escrow amounts towards that signal should be reevaluated once the timing of the Jimmy Camp Creek main qhannel bridge construction is determined.

## This doesn't make sense.

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL ESCROW PERCENTAGES/AMOUNTS

Needs to be allocated with this study.
The minor approach movements at the intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey are projected to operate at LOS F during the peak hours based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes if this intersection remains stop-sign controlled. All movements are projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service if this intersection is either signal controlled or constructed as an interim one-lane modern roundabout. A traffic signal will likely not be needed or warranted until there is an increase in through traffic on Fontaine Boulevard due to the development of parcels within the overall Lorson Ranch development east of this site. Should the decision be made to construct this intersection as a conventional/future signalized intersection instead of constructing it as a modern roundabout, escrow for a future signat could be delayed until applications for further development within Lorson Ranch east of the Lotson Ranch eastPreliminary Plan area.

- Lorson East needs to contribute as well.

As shown in Figure 14, the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson is likely to meet a traffic signal warrant based on the intermediate-term total trafficuptoppres. Ftrenteed for a traffic signal and the escrow amounts towards that signal should be evaluated once the timing of the Jimmy Camp Creek main channel bridge construction is determineted to be allocated with this study.

## FONTAINE BOULEVARD CLASSIFICATION AND INTERIM CROSS SECTION

The ultimate classification of Fontaine Boulevard is Principal Arterial. Based on Lorson Ranch buildout only and assuming no through street connections to the east and north, the projected daily traffic volumes on the section of Fontaine east of Stingray Lane and the Jimmy Camp Creek east tributary are projected to be well below the thresholds for this classification. In the intermediate term this section of Fontaine Boulevard would be constructed as an interim three-lane NonResidential Collector and a 100-foot right-of-way will be dedicated

## LORSON BOULEVARD RECOMMENDED FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION CROSS

 SECTIONThe projected average weekday traffic volume on Lorson Boulevard just west of Trappe Drive is about 9,555 vehicles per day. A sensitivity analysis showed a hypothetical maximum volume of

13,000 vehicles per day in the relatively short section over the east tributary (and 4,900 vehicles per day east of Trappe). The maximum daily traffic volume could be accommodated by the proposed enhanced Collector cross section and right-of-way. The standard Collector cross section is two lanes with no center turn lane and six-foot paved shoulders and no auxiliary turn lanes. The proposed section adds a continuous center left-turn lane, 14-foot "shared use" through lanes, and right-turn deceleration lanes where warranted. This cross section would fit within the proposed 64foot right-of-way with 12 -feet of additional right-of-way adjacent to and to accommodate rightturn deceleration lanes where warranted. This cross section was approved via deviation \#DEV-17-008. This deviation contains the requirement for tracts adjacent to the right-of-way, which will allow for future right-of-way expansion to 80 feet if ever needed.

## RECOMMENDED INTERNAL STREET CLASSIFICATIONS

Figure 14 shows the estimated average weekday traffic volumes and recommended street classifications for the Lorson Ranch East internal streets.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Trip Generation

- At buildout, the Lorson Ranch East Preliminary Plan land uses are projected to generate about 9,320 new vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour about 427 vehicles would enter and 687 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour about 596 vehicles would enter and 385 vehicles would exit the site.


## Projected Levels of Service

- The signal-controlled Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard intersection is projected to continue to operate at level of service D or better based on the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic conditions.
- Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes all movements at the intersection of Marksheffel/Lorson are projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours as a two-way Stop-sign-controlled intersection. By 2040, it was assumed that this intersection would be signal controlled. As a signalized intersection, all movements are projected to operate a LOS D or better during the peak hours based on the projected 2040 background and total traffic volumes. See the above.
- By 2040, the northbound and southbound left-turn and through movements at the intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey are projected to operate at LOS F during the peak hours if this intersection remains Stop-sign controlled. As a signalized intersection, all movements are projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours based on the projected 2040 background and total traffic volumes. An alternative to a traffic signal would be to initially construct the intersection as a one-lane modern roundabout. The one-lane roundabout option would work with the interim Non-Residential Collector. Once Fontaine is ultimately
upgraded to a four-lane Principal Arterial (which may be well beyond 2040), the one-lane roundabout would need to be removed and replaced with a signal or wo-lane roundabout. As it may be well beyond 2040 before Fontaine is upgraded to four lanes, the interim onelane roundabout is likely a viable option.
expanded to a -
- Although the signal could not be installed until traffic signal warrant thresholds are reached for the intersection. The roundabout could likely be installed up front, once the LOS drops below D or once signal warrants are met.
- The intersections of Fontaine/Edisto, Fontaine/Tillamook, Lorson/Trappe, Lorson/Willapa, Lorson/Skuna, and Lorson/Lamprey are projected to operate at satisfactory levels of service as Stop-sign-controlled intersections based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes.
- The northbound approach at the westernmost access to Fontaine Boulevard (Lamine Drive) is projected to operate at LOS F during the afternoon peak hour based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes. Northbound left-turning traffic at the Lamine Drive intersection would have the option to turn right and execute a U-turn using the planned roundabout to travel west. Once Fontaine is upgraded to a four-lane Principal Arterial at some future time by the County (likely beyond 2040), the raised center median would restrict this intersection to a right-in/right-out.


## Recommended Improvements

- Tables 4 and 5 provide a summary of the recommended improvements in the vicinity of the site. The table includes estimated timing and responsibility for those improvements.

Traffic Signal Escrow Percentages/Amounts
intermediate-term total traffic volumes. The need for a traffic signal and the escrow amounts toward that signal should be evaluated once the timing of the Jimmy Camp Creek main channel bridge construction is determined.

- If Fontaine/Lamprey is constructed as a conventional/future signalized intersection instead of constructing it as a modern roundabout, escrow for a future signal could be delayed until applications for further development within Lorson Ranch east of the Lorson Ranch East Preliminary Plan area. This escrow would not be required if this intersection is constructed as a modern one-lane roundabout.


## Recommended Auxiliary Turn Lanes on Fontaine Boulevard

- Based on the projected long-term traffic volumes, an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane would be required on Fontaine Boulevard approaching Lamine Drive. No westbound rightturn deceleration lanes would be required.
- Based on the projected long-term traffic volumes, an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane would be required on Fontaine Boulevard approaching Lamprey Drive. This lane would not be needed if the intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey is constructed as a modern one-lane roundabout.
- Based on the projected long-term traffic volumes, an eastbound left-turn lane would be required on Fontaine Boulevard approaching Edisto Drive. The Non-Residential Collector would provide one through lane in each direction plus a center two-way left-turn lane. This center painted median would accommodate left turns at all these intersections. Eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes would not be required on Fontaine approaching Lamprey Drive if it is constructed as a modern roundabout.


## Recommended Auxiliary Turn Lanes on Lorson Boulevard

- Based on the projected long-term traffic volumes, an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane would be required on Lorson Boulevard approaching Trappe Drive. This lane should be 155 feet long plus a 160 -foot taper.
- A center striped two-way left-turn lane will be provided on Lorson Boulevard. This will provide left-turn lanes for the access points.

Please contact me if you have any questions or need further assistance.
Sincerely,
LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

JCH:KDF:bjwb
Enclosures: Tables 1, 3, 4, and 5
Appendix Tables 1-3
Figures 1-15
Traffic Count Reports
Level of Service Reports

|  | Table 1 <br> Lorson Ranch Subdivis <br> Relative to Dwelling | Status <br> Cap |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subdivision | Plats Already Recorded OR Planned for Recording the Short Term | Recorded Plats | Number of Building <br> Permits Issued |
| Townhomes | 46 | 46 | 46 |
| Pioneer Landing Filing \#1 | 118 | 118 | 118 |
| Ponderosa Filings \#1 \& \#2 | 204 | 204 | 204 |
| Allegiant | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Meadows Filing \#2 | 109 | 109 | 109 |
| Meadows Filing \#1 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Meadows Filing \#3 | 138 | 138 | 126 |
| Meadows Filing \#4 | 236 | 236 | 100 |
| Buffalo Crossing | 204 | 204 | 204 |
| Pioneer Landing Filing \#2 | 158 | 0 | 0 |
| Pioneer Landing Filing \#3 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 1,419 | 1,249 | 1,101 |
| Phase 1 of Lorson Ranch East | 331 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1,750 | 1,249 | 1,101 |
| Current Dwelling Unit Cap |  |  | 1,750 |
| ** Future - Lorson East plats have not been submitted. This is at the preliminary plan approval stage. |  |  |  |



## Isn't the development required to provide access to the school site?

| Table 4 Lorson Ranch Fontaine Boulevard Roadw |  | East <br> y Improvements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement |  | Timing | Responsibility |
| Extend Fontaine Boulevard from Old Glory/Stingray to Lamine Drive (westmost Lorson Ranch East access) as an interim Urban Non-Residential Collector. |  | Phase 1 | Lorson Ranch East |
| Extend Fontaine Boulevard from Lamine Drive to the east border of Lorson Ranch East (Rockcastle Drive) as an interim Urban Non-Residential Collector. |  |  | Lorson Ranch East |
| Extend Fontaine Boulevard from Rockcastle Drive to the future east boundary of Lorson Ranch (location of future extension of Meridian Road). |  | sumed by 2040 | Future Lorson Ranch Development |
| Upgrade Fontaine Boulevard to a 4-Lane Principal Arterial. |  | Beyond 2040 | By others - TBD - MTCP <br> Master Planned 2060 |
| Construct one-lane modern roundabout at the intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey (assuming the developer proceeds with the roundabout option. If the conventional intersection option with future traffic signal is selected, signal escrow would be determined with applications for future development within Lorson Ranch east of the Lorson Ranch East Preliminary Plan area.) |  |  | Lorson Ranch East |
| Replace one-lane roundabout at the intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey with a traffic signal or two-lane roundabout with upgrade of Fontaine Boulevard to a 4-Lane Principal Arterial. |  | Beyond 2040 | By others - TBD - With the master-planned upgrade to a 4lane Principal Arterial. |
| Provide a center two-way left-turn lane on Fontaine Boulevard to accommodate left-turn movements - width will be provided within the interim Non-Residential Collector cross section; incorporate eastbound right-turn deceleration lanes where indicated in this report into the design and construction of the Fontaine Boulevard interim Non-Residential Collector street. (Note: If the developer proceeds with the roundabout option at Lamprey/Fontaine, auxiliary turn lanes would not be needed.) | Phase 1 from Phase 2 fro | Id Glory/Stingray to Lamine Dr/ Lamine Dr to Rockcastle Dr | Lorson Ranch East |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |









This route is mentioned in the LOI. Is



|  |
| :---: |











$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Figure } 13 \\
\text { Signal Warrant Analysis } \\
\text { Marksheffel/Lorson } \\
\text { Lorson Ranch East (LSC \#164360) }
\end{array}
$$

Figure 4C－2．Warrant 2 Four－Hour Vehicular Volume（ $70 \%$ Factor）
 MAJOR STREET－TOTAL OF BOTH APPROACHES－VPH
＊Note： 80 voh aplies as the lower threshold volumes for a minor－street
approach with two or more lanes and 60 von applies as the lower
threshold volume for a minor－street approach with one lane．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The intermediate traffic volumes are the sum of the } \\
& \text { short-term background volumes from Figure } 6 \text { plus the } \\
& \text { long term site generated traffic volumes from Figure } 10 \text {. } \\
& \text { These volumes do not account for any traffic from existing } \\
& \text { or approved developments within Lorson Ranch that may } \\
& \text { use this intersection once Lorson Boulevard is constructed } \\
& \text { across the main Jimmy Camp Creek channel. }
\end{aligned}
$$

s!sイןeu fuedreM ןeus!s
Marksheffel/Lorson
Lorson Ranch East (LSC \#164360)


LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., \#210
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO 809@3vame : Marksheffel - Fontaine Blvd AM

| (719) 633-2868 | Site Code $: 00164360$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Start Date $: 03 / 21 / 2017$ |
|  | Page No $: 1$ |

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Marksheffel Rd From North |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From East |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd From South |  |  |  | Fontain Blvd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 06:30 AM | 8 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 87 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 63 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 266 |
| 06:45 AM | 9 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 104 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 19 | 0 | 15 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 290 |
| Total | 17 | 41 | 15 | 0 | 54 | 191 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 99 | 27 | 0 | 25 | 57 | 8 | 0 | 556 |


| 07:00 AM | 12 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 78 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 56 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 284 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 9 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 43 | 78 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 58 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 36 | 7 | 0 | 281 |
| 07:30 AM | 14 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 30 | 68 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 267 |
| 07:45 AM | 9 | 23 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 48 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 47 | 7 | 0 | 25 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 256 |
| Total | 44 | 91 | 43 | 0 | 117 | 272 | 44 | 0 | 12 | 195 | 29 | 0 | 59 | 159 | 23 | 0 | 1088 |


| 08:00 AM | 12 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 80 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 24 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 240 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 08:15 AM | 14 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 226 |
| Grand Total | 87 | 164 | 71 | 0 | 210 | 623 | 70 | 1 | 27 | 339 | 85 | 0 | 104 | 288 | 41 | 0 | 2110 |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., \#210
Colorado Springs, CO 809@3Vame : Marksheffel - Fontaine BIvd AM
(719) 633-2868 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site Code :00164360 } \\ & \text { Start Date :03/21/2017 }\end{aligned}$

Page No : 2

|  | Marksheffel Rd From North |  |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From East |  |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd From South |  |  |  |  | Fontain Blvd From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Thr | Lef t | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline \text { Lef } \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Thr } \\ \text { u } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Lef t | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \end{array}$ | Thr | Lef t | Pe ds | App. <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |




LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., \#210
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO 809@3Vame : Marksheffel - Fontaine Blvd PM (719) 633-2868 Site Code : 00164360
Start Date : 03/20/2017
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Marksheffel Rd From North |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From East |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd From South |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:00 PM | 18 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 225 |
| 04:15 PM | 13 | 36 | 28 | 0 | 21 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 32 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 84 | 7 | 0 | 287 |
| 04:30 PM | 21 | 35 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 69 | 6 | 0 | 256 |
| 04:45 PM | 19 | 39 | 29 | 0 | 10 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 91 | 5 | 0 | 286 |
| Total | 71 | 135 | 79 | 0 | 54 | 162 | 18 | 0 | 23 | 98 | 35 | 0 | 56 | 305 | 18 | 0 | 1054 |
| 05:00 PM | 16 | 24 | 19 | 0 | 14 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 81 | 5 | 0 | 244 |
| 05:15 PM | 20 | 51 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 50 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 84 | 7 | 0 | 309 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 25 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 39 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 265 |
| 05:45 PM | 8 | 24 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 77 | 2 | 0 | 216 |
| Total | 60 | 124 | 75 | 0 | 45 | 172 | 20 | 0 | 35 | 72 | 31 | 0 | 55 | 330 | 15 | 0 | 1034 |
| Grand Total | 131 | 259 | 154 | 0 | 99 | 334 | 38 | 0 | 58 | 170 | 66 | 0 | 111 | 635 | 33 | 0 | 2088 |
| Apprch \% | 24.1 | 47.6 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 70.9 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 57.8 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 81.5 | 4.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 6.3 | 12.4 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 16.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 8.1 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 30.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 |  |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., \#210
Colorado Springs, CO 809@3Vame : Marksheffel - Fontaine Blva PM
(719) 633-2868 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Site Code } \\ \text { Start Date } & : 00164360 \\ \text { : } & \text { San2017 }\end{array}$

Start Date : 03/20/2017
Page No : 2

|  | Marksheffel Rd From North |  |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From East |  |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd From South |  |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Lef } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | Rig | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Lef } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lef t | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \text { ds } \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \end{array}$ | Thr u | Lef | Pe ds | App. <br> Total | Int. Total |




|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ＊ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 23 | 140 | 49 | 46 | 328 | 125 | 41 | 184 | 11 | 39 | 92 | 44 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 23 | 140 | 49 | 46 | 328 | 125 | 41 | 184 | 11 | 39 | 92 | 44 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | ， | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.58 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 29.9 | 28.4 | 9.7 | 30.3 | 33.7 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 1.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 29.9 | 28.4 | 9.7 | 30.3 | 33.7 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 1.8 |
| LOS | C | C | A | C | C | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 24.2 |  |  | 26.9 |  |  | 4.7 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 79.1
Natural Cycle： 40
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.58
Intersection Signal Delay： 18.5
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 43．8\％
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


[^0]Synchro 8 Report

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ＊ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 18 | 344 | 64 | 18 | 169 | 49 | 31 | 79 | 32 | 90 | 139 | 71 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 18 | 344 | 64 | 18 | 169 | 49 | 31 | 79 | 32 | 90 | 139 | 71 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | ， | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.09 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 28.1 | 34.3 | 9.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 1.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 28.1 | 34.3 | 9.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 1.3 |
| LOS | C | C | A | C | C | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.3 |  |  | 26.1 |  |  | 3.7 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 78.1
Natural Cycle： 40
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.59
Intersection Signal Delay： 18.3
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 38．9\％
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


[^1]Synchro 8 Report
KDF

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\downarrow$ | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 | 「 | \％ | 性 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 28 | 112 | 64 | 287 | 300 | 190 | 61 | 244 | 103 | 61 | 118 | 50 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 28 | 112 | 64 | 287 | 300 | 190 | 61 | 244 | 103 | 61 | 118 | 50 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 11．1\％ | 11．1\％ | 38．9\％ | 38．9\％ | 38．9\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 26.6 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.53 | 0.29 | 0.66 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 20.8 | 44.8 | 3.1 | 26.9 | 22.8 | 5.4 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 1.6 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 20.8 | 44.8 | 3.1 | 26.9 | 22.8 | 5.4 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 1.6 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 0.1 |
| LOS | C | D | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 28.4 |  |  | 20.1 |  |  | 9.2 |  |  | 8.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 76.7
Natural Cycle： 40
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.66
Intersection Signal Delay： 16.8
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 52．8\％
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


[^2]Synchro 9 Report
KDF

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | Tr | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 73 | 25 | 363 | 24 | 9 | 460 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 73 | 25 | 363 | 24 | 9 | 460 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 250 | 250 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 79 | 27 | 395 | 26 | 10 | 500 |



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | F | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 28 | 305 | 91 | 163 | 165 | 92 | 45 | 124 | 284 | 163 | 186 | 80 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 28 | 305 | 91 | 163 | 165 | 92 | 45 | 124 | 284 | 163 | 186 | 80 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Free | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | ， | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 10.0 |  | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 30.0 |  | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．0\％ | 30．0\％ |  | 20．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ |  | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None | None | Max | Max |  | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 17.6 | 12.6 | 85.0 | 29.8 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 45.1 | 45.1 | 85.0 | 45.1 | 45.1 | 45.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.51 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.11 |
| Control Delay | 19.4 | 38.9 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 6.1 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 0.3 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 1.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 19.4 | 38.9 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 6.1 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 0.3 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 1.4 |
| LOS | B | D | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 29.2 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 10.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 85
Natural Cycle： 40
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.59
Intersection Signal Delay： 15.9
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 49．7\％
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


[^3]Synchro 9 Report
KDF

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 17 | 370 | 81 | 28 | 371 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 17 | 370 | 81 | 28 | 371 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 250 | 250 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 96 | 92 | 92 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 52 | 18 | 385 | 88 | 30 | 447 |



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\downarrow$ | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 28 | 131 | 64 | 371 | 356 | 237 | 61 | 244 | 131 | 77 | 118 | 50 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 28 | 131 | 64 | 371 | 356 | 237 | 61 | 244 | 131 | 77 | 118 | 50 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 35.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 12．2\％ | 12．2\％ | 38．9\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 11.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 31.5 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.74 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 20.5 | 45.5 | 2.7 | 28.1 | 21.1 | 4.6 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 14.5 | 13.4 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 20.5 | 45.5 | 2.7 | 28.1 | 21.1 | 4.6 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 14.5 | 13.4 | 0.1 |
| LOS | C | D | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.0 |  |  | 19.7 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 11.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 80.7
Natural Cycle： 45
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.74
Intersection Signal Delay： 17.8
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58．5\％
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 73 | 25 | 391 | 24 | 9 | 544 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 73 | 25 | 391 | 24 | 9 | 544 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 250 | 250 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 79 | 27 | 425 | 26 | 10 | 591 |



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | ＊ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 28 | 368 | 91 | 218 | 202 | 123 | 45 | 124 | 378 | 215 | 186 | 80 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 28 | 368 | 91 | 218 | 202 | 123 | 45 | 124 | 378 | 215 | 186 | 80 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Free | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 10.0 |  | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．0\％ | 25．0\％ |  | 25．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ |  | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ | 50．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None | None | Max | Max |  | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 19.7 | 14.7 | 90.2 | 34.9 | 31.2 | 31.2 | 45.2 | 45.2 | 90.2 | 45.2 | 45.2 | 45.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.22 | 0.16 | 1.00 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.65 | 0.06 | 0.64 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.41 | 0.24 | 0.11 |
| Control Delay | 18.9 | 41.3 | 0.1 | 26.9 | 21.9 | 5.0 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 0.4 | 18.2 | 15.0 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 18.9 | 41.3 | 0.1 | 26.9 | 21.9 | 5.0 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 0.4 | 18.2 | 15.0 | 1.6 |
| LOS | B | D | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 32.3 |  |  | 20.1 |  |  | 4.7 |  |  | 14.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 90.2
Natural Cycle： 45
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.65
Intersection Signal Delay： 17.4
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 57．4\％
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 17 | 464 | 81 | 28 | 426 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 17 | 464 | 81 | 28 | 426 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | - | 250 | 250 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 96 | 92 | 92 | 83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 52 | 18 | 483 | 88 | 30 | 513 |



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 36 | 207 | 48 | 484 | 604 | 469 | 149 | 540 | 171 | 171 | 520 | 45 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 36 | 207 | 48 | 484 | 604 | 469 | 149 | 540 | 171 | 171 | 520 | 45 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | ， |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 |  | 10.0 | 35.0 |  | 15.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 16．7\％ | 16．7\％ | 27．8\％ | 33．3\％ |  | 11．1\％ | 38．9\％ |  | 16．7\％ | 44．4\％ | 44．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 17.3 | 25.8 | 86.8 | 36.0 | 31.0 | 86.8 | 9.1 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 0.41 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.21 | 0.57 | 0.14 | 0.75 | 0.60 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 22.4 | 43.6 | 0.9 | 40.1 | 29.8 | 0.5 | 17.0 | 23.6 | 0.1 | 42.3 | 19.7 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 22.4 | 43.6 | 0.9 | 40.1 | 29.8 | 0.5 | 17.0 | 23.6 | 0.1 | 42.3 | 19.7 | 0.2 |
| LOS | C | D | A | D | C | A | B | C | A | D | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 33.9 |  |  | 24.2 |  |  | 17.8 |  |  | 23.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 86.8
Natural Cycle： 60
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.75
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.3
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59．3\％
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd



| 5: Marksheffel Rd \& Lorson Blvd | Synchro 8 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2040 Background Traffic AM Peak Hour | KDF |






|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | ＊ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | F | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | F | \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 65 | 758 | 141 | 402 | 447 | 396 | 115 | 236 | 635 | 646 | 317 | 65 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 65 | 758 | 141 | 402 | 447 | 396 | 115 | 236 | 635 | 646 | 317 | 65 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 11.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 19.0 | 39.0 |  | 10.0 | 14.0 |  | 26.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．2\％ | 34．4\％ | 34．4\％ | 21．1\％ | 43．3\％ |  | 11．1\％ | 15．6\％ |  | 28．9\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 31.6 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 14.1 | 33.8 | 86.1 | 16.4 | 9.4 | 86.1 | 20.5 | 26.2 | 26.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.39 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.81 | 0.25 | 0.75 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 0.42 | 0.83 | 0.31 | 0.11 |
| Control Delay | 13.3 | 36.6 | 1.9 | 44.4 | 20.1 | 0.4 | 27.7 | 46.7 | 0.8 | 41.9 | 25.6 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 13.3 | 36.6 | 1.9 | 44.4 | 20.1 | 0.4 | 27.7 | 46.7 | 0.8 | 41.9 | 25.6 | 0.4 |
| LOS | B | D | A | D | C | A | C | D | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 29.9 |  |  | 21.7 |  |  | 14.9 |  |  | 34.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 86.1
Natural Cycle： 60
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay： 25.1
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 72．4\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


[^4]Synchro 8 Report
KDF


| 5: Marksheffel Rd \& Lorson Blvd | Synchro 8 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2040 Background Traffic PM Peak Hour | KDF |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 121 | 377 | 0 | 73 | 210 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 121 | 377 | 0 | 73 | 210 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | S | None |
| Storage Length | - | 225 | 275 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 127 | 397 | 0 | 77 | 221 | 0 |





|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | ， | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | 7＊ | 性 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | 「 | ＊＊ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Trafic Volume（vph） | 36 | 267 | 48 | 625 | 757 | 604 | 155 | 549 | 228 | 226 | 523 | 45 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 36 | 267 | 48 | 625 | 757 | 604 | 155 | 549 | 228 | 226 | 523 | 45 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 |  | 10.0 | 35.0 |  | 15.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 16．7\％ | 16．7\％ | 27．8\％ | 33．3\％ |  | 11．1\％ | 38．9\％ |  | 16．7\％ | 44．4\％ | 44．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 19.4 | 28.2 | 89.2 | 35.4 | 30.4 | 89.2 | 9.6 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.72 | 0.14 | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 22.9 | 50.3 | 0.8 | 48.9 | 32.2 | 0.8 | 18.4 | 25.1 | 0.2 | 46.9 | 20.7 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 22.9 | 50.3 | 0.8 | 48.9 | 32.2 | 0.8 | 18.4 | 25.1 | 0.2 | 46.9 | 20.7 | 0.2 |
| LOS | C | D | A | D | C | A | B | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 40.7 |  |  | 27.9 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 27.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 89.2
Natural Cycle： 60
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.88
Intersection Signal Delay： 26.5
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64．9\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


|  |  |  |  |  | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 「 | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 625 | 148 | 784 | 195 | 34 | 1162 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 625 | 148 | 784 | 195 | 34 | 1162 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 9.0 | 20.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 22．2\％ | 22．2\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 11．1\％ | 77．8\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Min | Min | None | Min |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 15.2 | 15.2 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 26.8 | 26.8 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.27 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.73 |
| Control Delay | 22.0 | 5.4 | 12.6 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 12.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 22.0 | 5.4 | 12.6 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 12.4 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A | A | B |
| Approach Delay | 18.8 |  | 10.7 |  |  | 12.3 |
| Approach LOS | B |  | B |  |  | B |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 52.2
Natural Cycle： 50
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.73
Intersection Signal Delay： 13.5 Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58．3\％ ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：5：Marksheffel Rd \＆Lorson Blvd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 414 | 21 | 3 | 1008 | 66 | 7 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 414 | 21 | 3 | 1008 | 66 | 7 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 225 | 275 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 436 | 22 | 3 | 1061 | 69 | 7 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 82 | 154 | 2 | 0 | 477 | 31 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 79 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 82 | 154 | 2 | 0 | 477 | 31 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 79 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 225 | - | - | 275 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 86 | 162 | 2 | 0 | 502 | 33 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 83 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 8 | $\mathbf{r}$ | 114 | 12 | 205 | $\mathbf{r}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 85 | 114 | 12 | 205 | 338 | 25 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 338 | 25 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | Free | Free | Free | Free | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | - | None | - | None | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | 225 | 100 | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 89 | 120 | 13 | 216 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow |  |  |  |  | 356 | 26 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 13 | 97 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 13 | 97 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 100 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 14 | 102 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 42 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 6 |  | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 91 | 6 | 3 | 156 | 19 | 9 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 91 | 6 | 3 | 156 | 19 | 9 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | 275 | - | 0 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 96 | 6 | 3 | 164 | 20 | 9 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 65 | 35 | 109 | 99 | 28 | 51 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 65 | 35 | 109 | 99 | 28 | 51 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 275 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 68 | 37 | 115 | 104 | 29 | 54 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F |  | * ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 412 | 987 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 412 | 987 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 275 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 434 | 1039 | 0 | 0 | 26 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 114.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 129 | 229 | 54 | 2 | 557 | 10 | 176 | 62 | 5 | 5 | 29 | 254 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 129 | 229 | 54 | 2 | 557 | 10 | 176 | 62 | 5 | 5 | 29 | 254 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 275 | - | 225 | 275 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 136 | 241 | 57 | 2 | 586 | 11 | 185 | 65 | 5 | 5 | 31 | 267 |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\nsim$ | 4 | 4 | * | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F' |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 129 | 229 | 54 | 2 | 557 | 176 | 62 | 5 | 29 | 254 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 129 | 229 | 54 | 2 | 557 | 176 | 62 | 5 | 29 | 254 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.41 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.54 |
| Control Delay | 8.8 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 9.4 | 39.6 | 13.8 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 7.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 8.8 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 9.4 | 39.6 | 13.8 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 7.7 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A | A | D | B | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 5.7 |  |  | 9.4 |  | 32.5 |  | 8.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  | C |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 29.8
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.9
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.9\%
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 14: Lamprey Dr \& Fontaine Blvd



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | \％ | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个 4 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | F | \％${ }^{*}$ | 性 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 65 | 908 | 144 | 476 | 533 | 466 | 118 | 242 | 761 | 766 | 326 | 65 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 65 | 908 | 144 | 476 | 533 | 466 | 118 | 242 | 761 | 766 | 326 | 65 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 11.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 39.0 |  | 10.0 | 14.0 |  | 26.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．2\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 22．2\％ | 43．3\％ |  | 11．1\％ | 15．6\％ |  | 28．9\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 32.6 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.6 | 35.9 | 89.6 | 16.0 | 9.0 | 89.6 | 22.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.96 | 0.25 | 0.84 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.95 | 0.35 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 13.6 | 53.1 | 2.1 | 50.0 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 29.9 | 51.8 | 1.2 | 54.9 | 27.1 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 13.6 | 53.1 | 2.1 | 50.0 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 29.9 | 51.8 | 1.2 | 54.9 | 27.1 | 0.4 |
| LOS | B | D | A | D | C | A | C | D | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 44.1 |  |  | 23.8 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 44.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 89.6
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay： 31.2
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82．2\％
ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


|  |  | 4 |  |  | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*} 1$ | 「 | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 422 | 98 | 1023 | 692 | 118 | 827 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 422 | 98 | 1023 | 692 | 118 | 827 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  | Free | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 9.0 | 20.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 |  | 10.0 | 70.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 22.2\% | 22.2\% | 66.7\% |  | 11.1\% | 77.8\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag |  | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None |  | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 12.7 | 12.7 | 25.2 | 55.8 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.23 | 0.67 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.45 |
| Control Delay | 24.1 | 6.9 | 15.2 | 1.0 | 10.6 | 7.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.1 | 6.9 | 15.2 | 1.0 | 10.6 | 7.2 |
| LOS | C | A | B | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | 20.9 |  | 9.4 |  |  | 7.6 |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.8
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.8
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.4\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1055 | 79 | 2 | 646 | 47 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1055 | 79 | 2 | 646 | 47 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 225 | 275 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1111 | 83 | 2 | 680 | 49 | 1 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 26 | 557 | 7 | 0 | 329 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 25 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 26 | 557 | 7 | 0 | 329 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 25 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 225 | - | - | 275 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 27 | 586 | 7 | 0 | 346 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 26 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 221 | 425 | 8 | 136 | 238 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 221 | 425 | 8 | 136 | 238 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 225 | 100 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 233 | 447 | 8 | 143 | 251 | 4 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | SBR |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 45 | 180 | 118 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 45 | 180 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 10 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 47 | 189 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 28 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F゙ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 40 | 121 | 73 | 66 | 94 | 39 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 40 | 121 | 73 | 66 | 94 | 39 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 275 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 42 | 127 | 77 | 69 | 99 | 41 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 29 | 1027 | 631 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 29 | 1027 | 631 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 275 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 31 | 1081 | 664 | 0 | 0 | 18 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh 63.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 238 | 586 | 203 | 1 | 359 | 1 | 125 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 146 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 238 | 586 | 203 | 1 | 359 | 1 | 125 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 146 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None |
| Storage Length | 275 | － | 225 | 275 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 251 | 617 | 214 | 1 | 378 | 1 | 132 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 154 |


| Major／Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 379 | 0 | 0 |  | 617 | 0 | 0 |  | 1509 | 1499 | 617 | 1505 | 1499 | 378 |
| Stage 1 | － | － | － |  | － | － |  |  | 1118 | 1118 | － | 381 | 381 |  |
| Stage 2 | － | － | － |  |  | － | － |  | 391 | 381 |  | 1124 | 1118 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | － |  |  | 4.12 | － | － |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 |  | － | － |  |  | － | － |  | 6.12 | 5.52 |  | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | － | － |  |  |  | － | － | － | 6.12 | 5.52 |  | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow－up Hdwy | 2.218 | － | － |  | 2.218 | － | － | － | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap－1 Maneuver | 1179 | － | － |  | 963 | － | － | － | ～99 | 122 | 490 | 100 | 122 | 669 |
| Stage 1 |  | － | － |  |  | － | － |  | 251 | 282 | － | 641 | 613 |  |
| Stage 2 | － | － |  |  |  | － | － |  | 633 | 613 |  | 249 | 282 |  |
| Platoon blocked，\％ |  | － | － |  |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap－1 Maneuver | 1179 | － | － |  | 963 | － | － |  | $\sim 54$ | 96 | 490 | 77 | 96 | 669 |
| Mov Cap－2 Maneuver | － | － | － |  | － | － | － |  | $\sim 54$ | 96 | － | 77 | 96 |  |
| Stage 1 | － | － |  |  |  | － | － |  | 198 | 222 |  | 505 | 612 |  |
| Stage 2 | － | － | － |  | － | － | － |  | 471 | 612 | － | 186 | 222 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 2.1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | \＄ 753.8 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 | SBLn2 | SBLn3 |  |  |  |
| Capacity（veh／h） | 54 | 104 | 1179 | － | － | 963 | － | －－ | 77 | 96 | 669 |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 2.437 | 0.111 | 0.212 | － |  | 0.001 | － | － | 0.041 | 0.208 | 0.23 |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | \＄ 816.3 | 43.9 | 8.9 | － | － | 8.7 | － | －－ | 53.7 | 52.1 | 12 |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | E | A | － | － | A | － | －－ | F | F | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | 13.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | － | － | 0 | － | －－ | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ Volume exceeds cap | \＄：De | lay exc | eeds 3 | OS | ＋：Com | putation | Not D | Defined | ＊：All | major v | volume |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\hat{F}$ | \% | $\uparrow$ | * | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 238 | 586 | 203 | 1 | 359 | 125 | 10 | 3 | 19 | 146 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 238 | 586 | 203 | 1 | 359 | 125 | 10 | 3 | 19 | 146 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 88.9\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.1\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| V/c Ratio | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.59 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.41 |
| Control Delay | 7.5 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 32.6 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 8.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 7.5 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 32.6 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 8.0 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A | A | C | B | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.5 |  |  | 5.3 |  | 31.1 |  | 9.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  | C |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 33.4
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.5
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.3\%
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 14: Lamprey Dr \& Fontaine Blvd



## Markup Summary

| dsdrice (22) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ast tributc | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: 6 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 12:04:24 PM <br> Color: |  |
|  | Subject: Callout <br> Page Label: 12 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:29:21 PM <br> Color: | expanded to a |
|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: 13 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:34:07 PM <br> Color: | Needs to be allocated with this study. |
| ipal Arter | Subject: Highlight <br> Page Label: 13 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:37:53 PM <br> Color: |  |
|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: 13 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:35:46 PM <br> Color: | Lorson East needs to contribute as well. |
|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: 13 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:34:04 PM <br> Color: | This doesn't make sense. |


|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: 13 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:37:10 PM <br> Color: | Needs to be allocated with this study. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subject: Callout <br> Page Label: 13 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/11/2017 10:17:47 AM <br> Color: | not? |
| YU. | Subject: Callout <br> Page Label: 13 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:40:03 PM <br> Color: | with 15-foot ROW preservation on each side |
|  | Subject: Callout <br> Page Label: 15 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:44:08 PM <br> Color: | expanded to a |
|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: 15 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/10/2017 3:49:56 PM <br> Color: | Provide Phase 1 and Lorson East total \% and \$ in this report |
|  | Subject: Cloud+ <br> Page Label: 19 <br> Lock: Unlocked <br> Status: <br> Checkmark: Unchecked <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 8/11/2017 12:29:37 PM <br> Color: | Isn't the development required to provide access to the school site? |





[^0]:    1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd
    Existing Traffic AM Peak Hour

[^1]:    1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd
    Existing Traffic PM Peak Hour

[^2]:    1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd
    2020 Background Traffic AM Peak Hour

[^3]:    1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd
    2020 Background Traffic PM Peak Hour

[^4]:    1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd
    2040 Background Traffic PM Peak Hour

