

Planning and Community Development Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910 Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695 Website www.elpasoco.com

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Updated: 6/26/2019

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name :	Eagle Rising Filing No. 1
Schedule No.(s) :	5229000034
Legal Description :	TR IN THE SW4NE4 AND THE NW4SE4 SEC 29-12-65 DESC AS FOLS: BEG AT THE SE COR OF THAT PARCEL DESC BY REC #216091687, TH N00-13-10W ALG THE E LN THEREOF 1324.32 FT TO THE S LN OF PARK FOREST ESTATES FIL. NO. 2, TH N89-20-54E ALG THE S LN THEREOF 405.98 FT, TH S00-42-15 128.76 FT, TH S34-43-55E 165.41 FT, TH S36-51-01E 37.27 FT, TH S41-13-47E 32.71 FT, TH S42-40-08E 258.35 FT, TH S45-59-28W 314.01 FT, TH S43-17-37E 540.81 FT, TH S42-52-53E 499.61 FT, TH S33-45-28W 165.64 FT, TH N61-46-05W 467.41 FT, TH S50-41-14W 334.09 FT, TH ALG THE ARC OF A CUR TO THE R HAVING A RAD OF 405.00 FT, A C/A OF 47-21-17, ARC DIST OF 334.73 FT, WHICH CHORD BEARS S07-17-14W, TH S56-14-32E 474.06 FT, TH S83-30-06W 384.26 FT, TH S06-58-34E 325.86 FT, TH N72-11-14W 437.27 FT, TH N00-13-51W 298.01 FT, TH ALG THE ARC OF A NON TANG CUR TO THE LEFT HAVING A RAD OF 100.55 FT, A C/A OF 11-19-26, ARC DIST OF 19.87, WHICH CHORD BEARS N84-20-17W, TH S90-00-00W 8.37 FT THE THE E LN OF EAGLE WING ESTATES, TH N00-14-32W ALG SD E LN 805.58 FT TO THE POB

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Company :	Mypad, Inc. and Casas Limited Partnership #4
Name :	Steven J. Jacobs
	🛛 Owner 🛛 Consultant 🔲 Contractor
Mailing Address :	5390 N Academy Blvd, Suite 300
Phone Number :	719-539-1471
FAX Number :	
Email Address :	s2j1@me.com

ENGINEER INFORMATION

Name :	M.V.E., Inc. David R. Gorman 1903 Lelaray Street, Suite 200, Colorado Springs, CO 80909	Colorado P.E. Number :	31672
Phone Number : FAX Number : Email Address :	719-635-5736 daveg@mvecivil.com		

	PCD File #	22-25	
(\sim	\sim	\sim
ζ	PCD File No.		5
(····	uu	ل

OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

Signature of owner (or authori	zed representative)	Date
Engineer's Seal, Signature And Date of Signature	7/25/22 0 31672	

DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.3.8.A of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested. The request is to allow a proposed non-through road to provide access to the lots in this proposed subdivision with a length of 2120 feet. Please refer to the attached exhibit.

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:

2.3.8.A, Roadway Terminations - Cul-de-sacs

Cul-de-sacs shall have a maximum length of 1600 feet for rural condition.

State the reason for the requested deviation:

- No adjacent or connecting public ROW is available, except for Eagle Wing Drive.

- Eagle Wing Drive is currently a non-through road.

Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):

The new cul-de-sac termination would be located approximately 1,040 feet from the current Eagle Wing Drive cul-de-sac terminus. The total new cul-dec length, extending from the existing intersection of Rising Eagle Place and Eagle Wing Drive would be approximately 2,120 feet and would exceed the ECM standard of 1,600 feet by 520 feet.

A new public cul-dac bulb will be provided just inside the Eagle Rising property, replacing the existing temporary cul-de-sac located just west of the Eagle Rising property line. The new public cul-de-sac will provide a turn-round at approximatly 1,100 feet from the Rising Eagle Place intersection. A private cul-de-sac road, 870 feet in length, will be constructed from the end of the new public cul-de-sac bulb.i

Eight lots of Eagle Rising Filing No. 1 will access the proposed cul-de-sac roadway

The proposed cul-de-sac is shown on the attached exhibit.

This should state 7 lots and one tract will access the proposed cul-de-sac. Lot 8 is proposed to access from Kuri Road

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

(At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)

 $\hfill\square$ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.

☑ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent

alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.

A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

Provide justification:

• No adjacent or connecting public road ROW available, except for Eagle Wing Drive; Eagle Wings Drive is currently a non-through street itself.

• Existing terrain makes further extension of the roadway to the north impractical and not conducive as use for access to the rural residential lots.

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is <u>not based exclusively on financial</u> <u>considerations</u>. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with <u>all of the following criteria</u>:

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.

• The proposed subdivision roadway will be built to County standards.

• The proposed number of dwelling units (eight units, plus the 10 along existing Eagle Wing Drive to the west) on a non-through street would not exceed the limit (25 units) established by the Land Development Code. Therefore, a waiver would not be necessary.

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

The projected ADT of 57 vehicles per day (vpd) on this subdivision road would be relatively low.

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.

• The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance cost, as the roadway will be built to County roadway standards and maintained by the HOA. The roadway will include a County-standard cul-de-sac "bulb" constructed at the terminus of the road (which will accommodate County maintenance vehicles and snowplows).

The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

• The roadway will be built to County roadway standards.

The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

• The intent can be met considering the proposed cul-de-sac extension beyond the 1,600 feet is only minor at 2,120 feet (520 foot difference).

• A turn-round location is provided at about the 1100 foot point.

• The traffic volume on Eagle Wing Drive will remain low.

• The number of lots does not exceed the threshold number requiring a waiver of the Land Development Code.

The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.

The proposed deviation requests have no impact on control measure requirements specified by the County's MS4 Permit.
Appropriate stormwater control measures will be implemented by the project in accordance with the approved Drainage Report and Drainage Plans.

PCD File No.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined to have met the criteri hereby granted based on the justification provided.	a for approval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Г	٦	
L	L	
Denied by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined not to have met criteri hereby denied.	a for approval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Г	Г	
L	L	

ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
 modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
 the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

